

# A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF LOWER LIMB ASYMMETRY AFTER ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT RECONSTRUCTION IN ADOLESCENT ATHLETES

Gerwyn Hughes<sup>1</sup>, Perry Musco<sup>1</sup> and Samuel Caine<sup>1</sup>

Kinesiology Department, University of San Francisco, San Francisco, USA<sup>1</sup>

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture is a common athletic injury in adolescents which typically requires surgery to repair the injured ACL. Despite considerable efforts to improve outcomes, secondary ACL injury is common in athletes who return to sport. One of the main risk factors for secondary ACL injury is asymmetry in landing mechanics. The aim of this systematic review was to identify the common biomechanical asymmetries after ACL reconstruction in adolescents during landing. Sources were identified through searching databases using relevant search terms. Study titles and abstracts were screened using inclusion criteria which resulted in 13 articles being selected for further analysis. The methodological quality of each study was assessed independently by three reviewers. Asymmetry was more commonly identified in kinetic variables than kinematic variables. The most common asymmetries identified were peak knee extension moment and peak vertical GRF, both of which were frequently shown to be significantly lower in the surgical limb compared to the uninjured limb. These findings suggest that return to sport criteria following ACL reconstruction should incorporate analysis of the asymmetry in loading experienced by each limb rather than examining movement patterns alone. **KEYWORDS:** ACL injury, landing, biomechanics.

**INTRODUCTION:** It has been reported that between 250,000 and 300,000 ACL injuries occur annually (Prodromos et al., 2007) the majority of which (approximately 72%) occur in non-contact situations. As a result, the incidence of ACL injury is particularly high for sports characterised by a high frequency of landing, side-stepping/cutting or change of directions. Most athletes who aim to return to competition after ACL injury choose to have surgery to repair the ligament. Despite advances in surgical and rehabilitation practices, the prevalence of secondary ACL injury is very high. Paterno et al. (2012) found that for adolescent athletes ( $n = 78$ ) who underwent ACL reconstruction, 30% had a subsequent ACL injury within two years of returning to sport. Asymmetry in landing mechanics has been proposed as a risk factor for secondary ACL injury (Paterno et al., 2010). This asymmetry is likely due to reduced function of the surgical limb which will likely result in a compensatory strategy of increasing the loading of the non-surgical limb which places both the surgical and non-surgical limb at an increased risk of a secondary injury. During adolescence, the musculoskeletal system alters structure and function which has been shown to result in altered landing mechanics (Hewett et al., 2006). ACL reconstruction and resulting asymmetry in lower limb mechanics may therefore have a more profound effect on young athletes. The aim of this systematic review was to identify the common biomechanical asymmetries reported after ACL reconstruction in adolescents during landing movements. This paper presents part of a larger study (Hughes, Musco, Caine, & Howe, In press).

**METHODS:** Relevant sources were identified through searching SPORTDiscus, CINAHL, Scopus and PubMed electronic databases. Databases were searched from their first reported date of January 1966 to January 2019. The following search terms were used: Asymmetry OR symmetry AND landing AND biomechanics OR kinematics OR kinetics. Articles not written in English were excluded, along with reviews, meta analyses, non-peer reviewed sources and abstracts unaccompanied by a full-text journal article. A total of 164 articles were identified.

Article data (including authors, title, abstract, journal) were downloaded to RefWorks (ProQuest®, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA) citation management software where duplicate citations were deleted. This reduced the number of citations to 85 articles. Following this, inclusion criteria were applied through reviewing article titles and abstracts. These criteria required studies to include human participants who had ACL reconstruction surgery and were

adolescents (mean age above 11 and below 18). The study had to include one or more kinetic or kinematic measure of asymmetry which compared between surgical and non-surgical limbs. Lastly, the task performed was required to be either a bilateral or unilateral landing manoeuvre which included single leg vertical jump landing, single leg vertical drop landing, double leg vertical drop jump landing and vertical stop-jump landing. For drop landings, drop heights ranged between 31 cm and 40 cm with the first landing being used for analysis. Two independent reviewers assessed all articles considered for inclusion with any disagreements resolved through verbal discussion after all articles had been reviewed. Once inclusion criteria had been applied, a total of 12 papers were selected. The reference lists of each paper were then inspected to identify further studies which found one further study to be included, bringing the total research papers to be included in this systematic review to 13. Three reviewers independently assessed each study's methodological quality using the Downs and Black (1998) revised checklist where 14 relevant criteria were included. A study which scored  $\leq 8$  was considered low quality, a study which scored 9-10 was moderate quality and a study which scored  $\geq 11$  was considered high quality. Disagreements were again resolved by a consensus meeting.

**RESULTS:** For the studies assessed, 5 scored high quality, 7 scored moderate quality and only one study scored low quality (Table 1). The average score was 10.2 out of 14, suggesting moderate quality overall.

Most studies only examined asymmetries in the sagittal plane, with only three studies examining variables in all three planes of motion. In the sagittal plane, kinematic and kinetic joint variables were examined a total of 61 times across 10 studies, compared to only 14 times across 5 studies for the frontal plane and 7 times across 4 studies for the transverse plane. Significant differences between surgical and non-surgical limbs were commonly observed in the sagittal plane, where 29% (9/31 included data points) of times significant differences were observed for sagittal plane kinematics and 70% (21/30 included data points) of the time significant differences were observed for sagittal plane kinetics. Very few kinematic variables were found to be significantly different between surgical and non-surgical limbs in the frontal and transverse planes (only 1 significant difference in 13 variables measured across 5 studies) but for kinetic measures in the frontal and transverse planes, 6 significant differences were observed for only 8 variables measured across 4 studies (Table 1).

The most commonly measured variable was peak vertical GRF, which was measured in 10 out of the 13 studies. It was also the variable which was most commonly found to be significantly different between limbs, with 9 studies finding a significantly reduced vertical GRF for the surgical limb compared to the non-surgical limb. The only study which measured peak vertical GRF and did not find a significant difference between limbs utilised a single limb landing task, whereas the other 9 studies which did find a significant difference between limbs used double limb landing tasks. The second most commonly measured variable to assess asymmetry was peak knee extension moment, which was measured in 7 of the 13 studies and found to be significantly reduced in the surgical limb compared to the non-surgical limb in 6 of those 7 occasions, all of which employed a double limb landing task (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION:** The most commonly measured variables and the variables where asymmetry between surgical and non-surgical limbs was most frequently identified were for sagittal plane knee kinetics and vertical GRF. This is supported by Lepley and Kuenze (2018) who also found significant asymmetry in these variables for ACL reconstructed adults during landing. In all cases where significant kinetic asymmetry was identified, the loading of the surgical limb was found to be significantly lower than the non-surgical limb. It should be noted that the only study which measured peak vertical GRF and did not find the surgical limb to be significantly lower than the non-surgical limb used a single leg landing task where the option of unloading the surgical limb through placing additional load on the non-surgical limb is not possible. This



play criteria after ACL reconstruction which includes affordable techniques for analysing lower limb loading during landing.

**CONCLUSION:** For the studies included in this systematic review, significant asymmetries between surgical and non-surgical limbs were more frequently identified in kinetic variables than kinematics variables. The most common significant asymmetries reported were peak knee extension moment and peak vertical GRF during double limb landing, both of which were frequently shown to be significantly lower in the surgical limb compared to the uninjured limb. In most cases, lower limb kinematics did not present significant asymmetry between limbs. Our findings suggest that return to sport criteria following ACL reconstruction should incorporate analysis of the asymmetry in loading experienced by each limb, rather than examining movement patterns alone.

## REFERENCES

- Butler, R. J., Dai, B., Garrett, W. E., & Queen, R. M. (2014). Changes in landing mechanics in patients following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction when wearing an extension constraint knee brace. *Sports Health*, 6(3), 203-209. doi:10.1177/1941738114524910
- Butler, R. J., Dai, B., Huffman, N., Garrett, W. E., & Queen, R. M. (2016). Lower Extremity Movement Differences Persist After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction and When Returning to Sports. *Clin J Sport Med*, 26(5), 411-416. doi:10.1097/JSM.0000000000000279
- Dai, B., Butler, R. J., Garrett, W. E., & Queen, R. M. (2014). Using ground reaction force to predict knee kinetic asymmetry following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*, 24(6), 974-981. doi:10.1111/sms.12118
- Downs, S. H., & Black, N. (1998). The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. *J Epidemiol Community Health*, 52(6), 377-384. doi:10.1136/jech.52.6.377
- Hewett, T. E., Myer, G. D., Ford, K. R., & Slauterbeck, J. R. (2006). Preparticipation physical examination using a box drop vertical jump test in young athletes: the effects of puberty and sex. *Clin J Sport Med*, 16(4), 298-304. doi:10.1097/00042752-200607000-00003
- Hughes, G., Musco, P., Caine, S., & Howe, L. (In press). Lower limb asymmetry after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in adolescent athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Athletic Training*.
- Ithurburn, M. P., Paterno, M. V., Ford, K. R., Hewett, T. E., & Schmitt, L. C. (2017). Young Athletes After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction With Single-Leg Landing Asymmetries at the Time of Return to Sport Demonstrate Decreased Knee Function 2 Years Later. *Am J Sports Med*, 45(11), 2604-2613. doi:10.1177/0363546517708996
- Lepley, A. S., & Kuenze, C. M. (2018). Hip and Knee Kinematics and Kinetics During Landing Tasks After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Athl Train*, 53(2), 144-159. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-334-16
- Mueske, N. M., Patel, A. R., Pace, J. L., Zaslowsky, T. L., Vandenberg, C. D., Katzel, M. J., . . . Wren, T. A. L. (2018). Improvements in landing biomechanics following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in adolescent athletes. *Sports Biomech*, 1-12. doi:10.1080/14763141.2018.1510539
- Mueske, N. M., Vandenberg, C. D., Pace, J. L., Katzel, M. J., Zaslowsky, T. L., Padilla, R. A., & Wren, T. A. L. (2018). Comparison of drop jump landing biomechanics and asymmetry among adolescents with hamstring, patellar and quadriceps tendon autografts for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee*, 25(6), 1065-1073. doi:10.1016/j.knee.2018.09.005
- Myer, G. D., Martin, L., Ford, K. R., Paterno, M. V., Schmitt, L. C., Heidt, R. S., . . . Hewett, T. E. (2012). No association of time from surgery with functional deficits in athletes after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: evidence for objective return-to-sport criteria. *Am J Sports Med*, 40(10), 2256-2263. doi:10.1177/0363546512454656
- Paterno, M. V., Rauh, M. J., Schmitt, L. C., Ford, K. R., & Hewett, T. E. (2012). Incidence of contralateral and ipsilateral anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury after primary ACL reconstruction and return to sport. *Clin J Sport Med*, 22(2), 116-121. doi:10.1097/JSM.0b013e318246ef9e
- Paterno, M. V., Schmitt, L. C., Ford, K. R., Rauh, M. J., Myer, G. D., & Hewett, T. E. (2011). Effects of sex on compensatory landing strategies upon return to sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*, 41(8), 553-559. doi:10.2519/jospt.2011.3591
- Paterno, M. V., Schmitt, L. C., Ford, K. R., Rauh, M. J., Myer, G. D., Huang, B., & Hewett, T. E. (2010). Biomechanical measures during landing and postural stability predict second anterior cruciate ligament injury after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and return to sport. *Am J Sports Med*, 38(10), 1968-1978. doi:10.1177/0363546510376053
- Prodromos, C. C., Han, Y., Rogowski, J., Joyce, B., & Shi, K. (2007). A meta-analysis of the incidence of anterior cruciate ligament tears as a function of gender, sport, and a knee injury-reduction regimen. *Arthroscopy*, 23(12), 1320-1325.e1326. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2007.07.003
- Renner, K. E., Franck, C. T., Miller, T. K., & Queen, R. M. (2018). Limb asymmetry during recovery from anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *J Orthop Res*, 36(7), 1887-1893. doi:10.1002/jor.23853
- Schmitt, L. C., Paterno, M. V., Ford, K. R., Myer, G. D., & Hewett, T. E. (2015). Strength Asymmetry and Landing Mechanics at Return to Sport after Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 47(7), 1426-1434. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000000560
- Schneider, D. K., Gokeler, A., Otten, E., Ford, K. R., Hewett, T. E., Divine, J. G., . . . Myer, G. D. (2017). A Novel Mass-Spring-Damper Model Analysis to Identify Landing Deficits in Athletes Returning to Sport After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *J Strength Cond Res*, 31(9), 2590-2598. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000001569
- Wren, T. A. L., Mueske, N. M., Brophy, C. H., Pace, J. L., Katzel, M. J., Edison, B. R., . . . Zaslowsky, T. L. (2018). Hop Distance Symmetry Does Not Indicate Normal Landing Biomechanics in Adolescent Athletes With Recent Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*, 48(8), 622-629. doi:10.2519/jospt.2018.7817