

Letter to the Editor

DOI: 10.22114/ajem.v0i0.374

Endotracheal Intubation of COVID-19 Patients

Zahid Hussain Khan*, Jalil Makarem, Mojgan Rahimi

Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

*Corresponding author: Zahid Hussain Khan; Email: khazh51@yahoo.com

Published online: 2020-04-09

The novel coronavirus (COVID-19) emerged for the first time in China and then rapidly spread and swept the entire world like a tornado killing thousands of patients around the planet. People were advised to stay in-doors to prevent the spread of this deadly disease, and this slogan helped to a greater extent in containing the spread of the virus ⁽¹⁾. Unfortunately, there is no treatment for the disease at present, but extensive research is going on to find a definitive treatment. Regarding endotracheal intubation (ETI) of COVID-19 patients, data are scarce and no randomized clinical trials are available to develop and formulate succinct and acceptable guidelines in tackling the problem of ETI in these highly risky and vulnerable patients. Zuo et al. provide us with a step-wise approach in intubating the patients with novel coronavirus disease. They emphasized that these patients should be intubated employing the videolaryngoscope ⁽²⁾. In the same vein, Orser BA does not recommend the use of glidescope for all intubations, but rather suggest that anesthetists consider using a glidescope in preference to fiberoptic bronchoscopy in COVID-19 patients ⁽³⁾. The use of a glidescope or a similar device is useful but we have some concerns regarding the use of VL based on our own experience from Iran which is probably the worst hit by this deadly disease in The Middle East countries with a high death toll. Although we agree that VL should preferentially be used in intubating COVID-19 patients, nevertheless would like to emphasize that ETI with VL is not always straightforward and easy.

Tracing back the history of ETI ever since the Macintosh direct laryngoscopy was introduced into clinical practice, it has since that time remained the goal standard for ETI ⁽⁴⁾. It requires a direct line of sight to align the three axes (oral-pharyngeal-laryngeal) for optimal glottic visualization, and to align these axes head extension, neck flexion and slight manipulations are needed. Although new airway devices such as VL have been introduced, the time to successful intubation had been the shortest when the classic

Macintosh laryngoscope was used by anesthetists and residents compared to the different forms of videolaryngoscopes ⁽⁵⁾. Similarly, others have also floated the idea that it entails a longer time for ETI when the glidescope and McGrath VL were used in both manikins and patients ⁽⁶⁾. Difficulty in passing the endotracheal tube despite improved glottic visualization especially with angulated blade, increased the intubation time ⁽⁷⁾. We do agree that videolaryngoscopy is customarily employed when a difficult airway is anticipated. This idea is grounded on the valid assumption that videolaryngoscopy improves the laryngeal view as compared to DL in patients with suspected difficult intubation, but it is shrouded in the darkness whether videolaryngoscopy translates to increase in overall endotracheal tube success rates ⁽⁸⁾. Furthermore, videolaryngoscopy could possibly be associated with an overall higher rate of complications such as damage of the laryngeal structures including the cuneate and corniculate cartilages as the endotracheal tube is negotiated into the glottic orifice. Such events are noticed when videolaryngoscopy is conducted by a novice or else hurriedly executed by an experienced health provider.

Even with improved glottis visualization, tracheal intubation can still be challenging with varying success rate depending on the provider's experience ⁽⁹⁾. We have also noticed in our own experience that at times it may be exceedingly difficult to introduce the endotracheal tube through the glottic aperture despite adequate exposure, and the process can only be successfully accomplished if the endotracheal tube is rotated 90 degrees anticlockwise to avoid its impingement on the cartilages. Moreover, cases of difficult insertion can be managed successfully if smaller sized tubes are used or a malleable guide is introduced into the endotracheal tube prior to its insertion into the glottic opening ⁽¹⁰⁾. Zou et al. emphasis in performing a rapid airway assessment often neglected in stressful conditions is commendable because such an assessment which hardly takes a few minutes, if not

performed can usher in disastrous repercussions if an accidental difficult intubation is encountered⁽²⁾. To the airway indexes that they have provided, we would like to add the upper lip bite test which can be easily and quickly executed in the non-edentulous population⁽¹¹⁾.

In conclusion, although we fully endorse that videolaryngoscope with disposable blades should be available in the airway cart, nevertheless feel that it would be prudent and in the best interest of the patients to also ensure the availability of a Macintosh laryngoscope with disposable blades in case the care provider is well trained with this device in executing the task of ETI under stressful conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Khan ZH, Rahimi M, Makarem J. Stay Indoors: The Slogan for Nowruz 2020. Arch Neurosci. In Press; e103043.
2. Zuo M, Huang Y, Ma W, Xue Z, Zhang J, Jong Y, et al. Expert recommendations for tracheal intubation in critically ill patients with novel coronavirus disease 2019. Chin Med Sci J 2020;35:ePub ahead of print.
3. Orser BO. Recommendations for endotracheal intubation of COVID-19 patients. Anesth Analg. 2020: Epub ahead of print.
4. Macintosh RR. A new laryngoscope. Lancet. 1943;241:205.
5. Pieters BM, Wilbers NE, Huijzer M, Winkens B, van Zundert AA. Comparison of seven videolaryngoscopes with the Macintosh laryngoscope in manikins by experienced and novice personnel. Anaesthesia. 2016;71(5):556-64.
6. Hodd JAR, Doyle DJ, Gupta S, Dalton JE, Cata JP, Brewer EJ, et al. A mannequin study of intubation with the AP advance and GlideScope Ranger videolaryngoscopes and the Macintosh laryngoscope. Anesth Analg. 2011;113(4):791-800.
7. Sun DA, Warriner CB, Parsons DG, Klein R, Umedaly HS, Moulton M. The GlideScope Video Laryngoscope: randomized clinical trial in 200 patients. Br J Anaesth. 2005;94(3):381-4.
8. Lee RA, van Zundert AA. Ensuring direct laryngoscopy will not become an extinct skill. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2012;56(6):803.
9. Wong E, Ng Y. The difficult airway in the emergency department. Int J Emerg Med. 2008;1(2):107-11.
10. Khan ZH, Tavakoli F. Difficult tracheal tube insertion: A new phraseology. S Afr Med J. 2016;106(2):129.
11. Khan ZH, Kashfi A, Ebrahimkhani E. A comparison of the upper lip bite test (a simple new technique) with modified Mallampati classification in predicting difficulty in endotracheal intubation: a prospective blinded study. Anesth Analg. 2003;96(2):595-9.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

None.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

All the authors met the standards of authorship based on the recommendations of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

FUNDING

None declared.