

Original Article

DOI: 10.22114/ajem.v0i0.336

Sex Differences in Risk Factors Profile and Angiographic Pattern of the Patients Undergoing Coronary Angiography

Mohammad Rouzbahani, Sousan Mahmoudi, Nader Asgari, Nahid Salehi, Reza Heidarimoghadam, Mostafa Bahremand, Maryam Babakhani, Javad Azimivaghar*

Cardiovascular Research Centre, Health Institute, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

*Corresponding author: Javad Azimivaghar; Email: drazimivaghar@gmail.com

Published online: 2020-04-12

Abstract

Introduction: Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a costly problem and its presentations and risk factors may differ by sex.

Objective: This study aimed to evaluate the risk factors profile and angiographic pattern of the patients undergoing coronary angiography, according to their gender.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted on 741 patients who were referred for coronary angiography from March to August 2018 at Imam Ali cardiovascular center, western Iran. Using a checklist, we collected the demographic, clinical, biochemical, and lab parameters and angiographic findings in these patients. Also, differences between groups were compared using Chi-square and independent t-tests.

Results: Women were different from men in terms of the prevalence of hypertension (71.7% vs. 45.3%), diabetes mellitus (34.9% vs. 17.8%), and hypercholesterolemia (26.4% vs. 17.1%). Whereas, men were more likely to be smoker (28.7% vs. 0%) and obese (42.09±16.68 vs. 29.12±4.72). Total Cholesterol and Triglycerides were higher in women compared to men, which were statistically significant. Glucose plasma was significantly higher in women compared to men (p=0.01). Both atherogenic (low-density lipoprotein (LDL)) and protective (high-density lipoprotein (HDL)) cholesterol were higher in women than men. Women were more likely to take antiplatelet (i.e. Aspirin) and antihypertensive therapies (i.e. beta-Blocker, angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), and angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors) than men. Also, it was shown that, Men were more likely to have two-vessel disease (p=0.041) and three-vessel disease (P=0.013) compared to women. Disease in the right coronary artery (RCA) (28.9% vs. 14.4%), circumflex (LCx) (26.0% vs. 15.3%), and left anterior descending (LAD) (37.8% vs. 26.4%) was more plausible to occur in men compared to women (p≤0.05).

Conclusion: Access and use of health care programs are needed to control CAD risk factors. The findings of the current study showed the significance of gender in the extent of coronary artery blockages.

Key words: Coronary Angiography; Coronary Artery Disease; Iran; Risk Factors; Sex

Cite this article as: Rouzbahani M, Mahmoudi S, Asgari N, Salehi N, Heidarimoghadam R, Bahremand M, et al. Sex Differences in Risk Factors Profile and Angiographic Pattern of the Patients Undergoing Coronary Angiography. *Adv J Emerg Med.* 2020;4(*):e*.

INTRODUCTION

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a costly condition and is considered as the leading cause of death in both women and men worldwide (1, 2). Directly, CAD is responsible for half of the cardiovascular deaths (3). It is estimated that, between 4% and 10% of the patients with CAD are aged less than 45 years old (4, 5). Furthermore, the patients with CAD are suffering from comorbidity diseases that potentially affect the treatment and risk of mortality (6).

Coronary angiography is the gold standard for assessing the CADs. The extent and severity of coronary artery stenosis, the number of culprit vessels, the presence or the absence of atherosclerosis, and some other factors are useful indexes to determine the CAD patient's prognosis.

This evidence is based on the coronary angiography findings (7, 8). Likewise, the angiographic evaluation of disease is very important for deciding on the treatment strategy. However, previous studies clearly demonstrated the limitations of coronary angiography (9, 10). For example, angiographic evaluation is based on visualizing the vessel lumen; therefore, it is not ideal for the assessment of complex lesions like bifurcation lesions (8).

Risk factors of CAD are classified into modifiable and non-modifiable factors. Accordingly, Non-modifiable are some factors such as age, family history, and gender. Also, Modifiable risk factors are hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity, smoking, diabetes, and psychosocial stress. Moreover, there

are differences in the prevalence of risk factors between men and women (11); though, the reasons for this sex-based difference have not been clear, yet.

To this end, the current study was conducted on the patients who were referred for coronary angiography at Imam Ali cardiovascular center at Kermanshah University of Medical Science (KUMS) between March and April 2018, to assess the gender differences in risk factor profile and coronary angiography, and also the other likely associated factors.

Methods

Study population and design

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Imam Ali cardiovascular center at KUMS. Imam Ali hospital, as the main cardiovascular center in western Iran with 280 active beds, is a public hospital, and covers about two millions population who are mostly Kurdish.

With the aim of evaluating only 50% of the patients, six-month data of the patients admitted for coronary angiography were assessed. From March-1 to August-30 2018, we evaluated all the patients (convenience sampling) referred for coronary angiography. Thus, all the patients who met the inclusion criteria were selected to be included in the study. Inclusion criteria were as follows: age \geq 18 years old. The patients were excluded from the study if they were non-residential (living in other countries like Iraq), and/or had incomplete personal or medical information.

Instrument and data collection

A research assistant, extracted the data from the patients' medical records (both paperwork records and electronic medical records) using a checklist. Checklist was developed and then verified by expert opinions comprising a cardiologist, a physician, a statistician, and a PhD in health promotion. For the goals of this study, demographic characteristics (e.g. gender), clinical histories (e.g. previous myocardial infarction), cardiovascular risk factors (e.g. smoking), presentation assessment (e.g. heart rate), biochemical and lab parameters (e.g. total cholesterol), angiographic findings (e.g. topography of significant stenosis), and recommendations were obtained.

Statistical methods

Baseline data including demographic characteristics and cardiovascular risk factors were compared between men and women. Normal distributed variables (e.g., age) were described

using mean \pm standard deviation ($\mu \pm SD$), and qualitative/categorical variables (e.g., smoking) were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Differences between men and women were assessed using independent t-tests for continuous and normally distributed variables, and chi-square (or Fisher exact tests) for qualitative/categorical variables. The effect size scores were calculated for better comparing the differences between men and women, using Cohen's d for continuous variables and chi-square (or Fisher exact tests) value for qualitative/categorical variables. Cohen's d was calculated by the difference in the means of the two groups divided by the weighted pooled standard deviations of these groups. A test was considered as significant if the probability value (p-value) was less than 0.05. The Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) software, version 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY USA) was used for analyzing.

Ethical approval

The research ethics committee at KUMS (IR.KUMS.REC.1398.702) has approved the study protocol and has monitored the research process. Moreover, the individual personal information has been kept confidentially with access limited to researcher.

RESULTS

During the study period, a total of 741 patients, comprising 415 (56%) men and 326 (44%) women met the inclusion criteria of this study. The demographic and clinical characteristics of patients (N=741), stratified by sex are reported in table 1. The mean age of the women was 60.77 ± 9.16 years old, and for men, it was 59.30 ± 11.17 years old ($p = 0.284$). A significant difference was observed in presenting the risk factors of CAD based on sex. Men had, on average, higher body mass index (BMI) than the women patients as follows: 42.09 ± 16.68 for men and 29.12 ± 4.72 for the women ($p = 0.001$). The prevalence rates of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia were significantly higher in women compared to men, whereas more men were current smoker ($p \leq 0.05$).

The mean heart rate of the patients was 79.29 ± 10.77 for men vs. 80.18 ± 11.07 for women ($p = 0.541$). The mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) of men was 145.56 ± 22.86 mm hg, whereas for women, it was 163.27 ± 20.97 mm hg ($p = 0.008$). The mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of the patients was 85.0 ± 10.71 mm hg for men vs. 86.29 ± 12.26 mm hg for women ($p = 0.391$).

Also, the median ejection fraction (EF) for women was 48.89 ± 8.76 and for men 46.85 ± 9.86 ($p=0.145$).

The mean glucose plasma levels for men was 117.39 ± 39.32 vs. 123.32 ± 55.19 for women ($p=0.012$). Comparing the lipid profiles, we observed that, mean total cholesterol

(200.41 ± 59.20 vs. 159.37 ± 48.78) and mean serum triglycerides (160.74 ± 65.97 vs. 134.30 ± 54.52) were significantly higher in women compared to men ($p \leq 0.05$). Likewise, both atherogenic (low-density lipoprotein (LDL)) and protective (high-density lipoprotein (HDL)) cholesterol were significantly higher in women than men ($p \leq 0.05$).

Table 1: The demographic and clinical characteristics of patients (N=741), stratified by sex

Variable	Men (n=415)	Women (n=326)	Effect size† (p-value)
Age (y)†	59.30±11.17	60.77±9.16	0.14 (0.284)*
Body mass index (kg/m2)†	42.09±16.68	29.12±4.72	1.07(0.001)*
Current smoker	213 (28.7)	0 (0)	234.22 (<0.001)**
Diabetes mellitus	74 (17.8)	114 (34.9)	28.32 (<0.001)**
Hypercholesterolemia	71 (17.1)	86 (26.4)	9.39 (0.002)**
Hypertension	188 (45.3)	234 (71.7)	52.20(<0.001)**
Prior congestive heart failure	0 (0)	0 (0)	NAN**
Prior myocardial infarction	52 (12.5)	31 (9.5)	1.67 (0.195)**
Prior angina	203 (48.9)	169 (51.8)	0.62 (0.429)**
Prior stroke	6 (1.4)	0 (0)	1.93 (0.261)**
Prior percutaneous coronary intervention	12 (2.9)	3 (0.9)	3.57 (0.058)**
Prior coronary artery bypass grafting	12 (2.9)	3 (0.9)	3.57 (0.058)**
Aspirin user	296 (71.3)	255 (78.2)	4.55 (0.032)**
Clopidogrel user	99 (23.8)	74(22.7)	0.13 (0.711)**
Angiotensin receptor blockers user	105 (25.3)	120 (36.8)	11.43 (<0.001)**
Beta-Blocker user	227 (54.7)	216 (66.2)	10.14 (0.001)**
Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors user	48 (11.5)	74 (22.7)	16.45 (<0.001)**
Statin user	249 (60.0)	209 (64.1)	1.30 (0.253)**
Cholesterol†	159.37±48.78	200.41±59.20	1.65 (0.001)*
Low-density lipoprotein †	86.66±26.89	114.65±37.38	1.39 (0.001)*
High-density lipoprotein †	41.13±10.26	49.83±15.29	0.62 (0.021)*
Triglycerides†	134.30±54.52	160.74±65.97	0.45 (0.043)*
Glucose plasma†	117.39±39.32	123.32±55.19	0.53 (0.012)*

† The effect size scores were reported using Cohen's d for continuous variables and chi-square (or Fisher exact tests) value for qualitative/categorical variables.

‡ Continuous variables expressed as mean ± SD, otherwise n (%).

* t-test; ** chi-square; *** Fisher exact test

Table 2: Procedural and angiographic characteristics according to sex

Variable	Men (n=415)	Women (n=326)	Effect size† p-value
Final diagnosis			
Normal coronary	151 (36.4)	188 (57.7)	33.32 (<0.001)**
One-vessel disease	57 (13.7)	41 (12.6)	0.21 (0.644)**
Two-vessel disease	77 (18.5)	31 (9.5)	11.99 (<0.001)**
Three-vessel disease	121 (29.1)	63 (19.3)	9.45 (0.002)**
Left main disease	18 (4.3)	10 (3.0)	0.80 (0.368)**
Culprit vessel			
Left Anterior Descending	157 (37.8)	86 (26.4)	10.86 (<0.001)**
Left Main Stem	18 (4.3)	10 (3.0)	0.80 (0.368)**
Right Coronary Artery	120 (28.9)	47 (14.4)	21.98 (<0.001)**
Circumflex	108 (26.0)	50 (15.3)	12.42 (<0.001)**
Posterior Descending Artery	15 (3.8)	9 (2.7)	0.42 (0.514)**
First Diagonal branch	61 (14.7)	43 (13.2)	0.34 (0.557)**
Second Diagonal branch	31 (7.4)	15 (4.6)	2.58 (0.108)**
Ramus	13 (3.1)	9 (2.7)	0.08 (0.767)**
Saphenous Vein Graft	0 (0)	0 (0)	NAN**
First Obtuse Marginal branch	27 (6.5)	21 (6.4)	0.001(0.971)**
Second Obtuse Marginal branch	9 (2.2)	4 (1.2)	0.93 (0.332)**
Recommendations after angiography			
Percutaneous Coronary Intervention	83 (20.0)	54 (16.5)	1.42 (0.231)**
Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting	130 (31.3)	69 (21.2)	9.59 (0.001)**
Medical Follow Up	183 (44.1)	192 (58.9)	15.99 (<0.001)**

† The effect size scores were reported using Cohen's d for continuous variables and chi-square (or Fisher exact tests) value for qualitative/categorical variables.

** chi-square

Similar treatment patterns existed for medication use, however, women were more likely to take beta-blocker ($p=0.001$), angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors ($p<0.001$), Aspirin ($p=0.032$), and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) ($p<0.001$) compared to men.

Angiographic findings (Table 2) demonstrated that, men were more likely to have two-vessel disease ($p<0.001$) and three-vessel disease ($p=0.002$), whereas women were more likely to have normal coronary ($p<0.001$). No significant sex differences were observed in left main disease. The distribution of stenosis in different coronary arteries was compared in both genders. Stenosis in left anterior descending (LAD) was observed in 51 (37.8%) men compared to 28 (26.4%) women ($p<0.001$). right coronary artery (RCA) stenosis was more frequent in men (120(28.9%) vs. 47(14.4%)) ($p<0.001$). In circumflex (LCx), stenosis was observed in 108(26.0%) men ($p<0.001$). Also after angiography evaluation, men were significantly recommended to seek treatment with coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) ($p=0.001$), whereas medical follow up was significantly recommended to women ($p<0.001$).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study illustrated that, women who were referred for coronary angiography, had significantly higher prevalence of diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia. This result was in line with the findings reviewed from the West (12-14). However, men were more likely to be current smokers and had higher BMI compared to their women counterparts. Consistent with the results of this study, Deepti et al. reported that, women were more likely to be hypertensive and were less likely to be current smokers (15). Bajaj's study performed in India, reported a higher prevalence of diabetes and hypertension among women in 2013, whereas men were more likely to be smoker and obese.¹¹ Hemal K et al. have reported that, women who undergoing coronary angiography were more likely to be hypertensive and dyslipidemic. In current study, women were more likely to be dyslipidemic, which this result support the findings of study done by Hemal K et al (16).

On the other hand, many others report found converse results. For instance, Gupta et al. and Anand et al., showed a higher prevalence of diabetes and hypertension among men compared to women (17, 18). Conversely, Butala NM et al. and Jarrah et al., reported a higher BMI in women

compared to men (13, 19). Also in contrast, in previous studies done by Yasar et al., Bajaj et al., and Enas et al., dyslipidaemia was more common among men compared to women patients (11, 14, 20).

Smoking was one of the significant risk factors in men who undergone coronary angiography, whereas it was totally absent in women. In fact, the potential reason why women refuse from smoking may be due to the socio-cultural factors in Iran where smoking is less common among women. Our results are in agreement with the findings of previous studies conducted on different populations in other countries (11, 17, 18, 21).

Comparing the lipid profiles, we found that, total cholesterol, serum triglycerides, LDL, and HDL levels were significantly higher in women compared to their male counterparts. These results support the findings of Deepti's study reporting that, total cholesterol, LDL, and HDL levels were more in women than men (15). However, in contrast, in a study conducted by Bajaj et al, the mean LDL, HDL, and serum triglycerides levels were higher among men than women (11).

Our results demonstrated that, the mean SBP was significantly higher in women compared to men. This might be explained by the possible negative effect of menopause on the blood pressure. In this study, women had the age between 40 and 71 years old (menopause age). Increase in plasma rennin activity and salt sensitivity with sympathetic activity due to physiological and hormonal changes in menopause (the declining estrogen levels), can lead to an increase in the blood pressure in peri-menopausal and post-menopausal women (22).

Given that the mean SBP ($140\leq$), total cholesterol ($160\leq$), glucose plasma ($100\leq$), and BMI ($25\leq$) was higher than normal range in both sex. Tailoring healthcare interventions to control the hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes and obesity epidemic, including early detection and management, especially those targeted the women (because women had worse risk profiles), should be a top priority in the coming years. Moreover, educational campaigns and programs to promote lifestyle can be cost-benefit in improving the population level. Moreover, policy interventions might be beneficial in modifying unhealthy habits and improving lifestyle, for example Colchero et al. found that implementing the excise tax on sugar sweetened beverages in Mexico leads to a considerable reduction in purchasing the taxed

beverages and an increase in purchasing the bottled water (23).

In our study, similar treatment patterns recommended for both sex, although, women were more likely than men to be taking antiplatelet (Aspirin) and antihypertensive therapies (beta-blocker, ARBs, and ACE inhibitors). Hemal K et al. have reported that women were more likely than men to take β -blockers, however, by contrast, they were less likely to be taking ACE inhibitors or ARBs (16). These differences may be due to the higher incidence of CAD risk factors i.e. hypertension among women.

We found that men were more likely to have two-vessel disease and three-vessel disease. The results were concurrent with the findings of the study conducted by George et al., which have reported two-vessel disease was more common to men (24). Likewise, Bajaj et al. showed multiple vessel disease was more common in men (11). A study by Jong et al. showed women were more likely than men to have normal coronary arteries (25). Some previous studies, although, showed no such gender differences (19, 26-28). In fact, the findings of current study showed the significance of gender in the extent of coronary artery blockages. Likewise, the results of current study indicated that disease in the RCA, LCx, and LAD was more plausible to occur in men. George and colleagues have reported that stenosis in RCA and LCx were more frequent in men, whereas no sex differences were seen in LAD disease (26). Furthermore, our findings show after angiography assessment men were more recommended to seek treatment with CABG and percutaneous coronary intervention, whereas medical follow up were recommended to women. This may be due to nearly half of the men had multi-disease vessel, whereas majority of women had normal coronary.

Limitations

The most important limitation of the current study was poor documentation in the past medical

recorded information. To move bias, we tested the data through electronic medical records (hospital information system). Furthermore, our data were derived from a single center; hence, our participants may not be the representative of the whole patients undergoing CAG.

CONCLUSIONS

The results showed that women had the more adverse clinical risk profiles. In general, women were more likely to be hypertensive, diabetic, and dyslipidemic, whereas, men were more likely to be current smokers and obese. Likewise, women were more likely than men to be taking antiplatelet and antihypertensive therapies. Access and use of health care programs to control the hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes and obesity epidemic, in particular for women, are needed. Men were more likely than women to have two-vessel disease and three-vessel disease and, also, disease in the RCA, LCx, and LAD was more plausible to occur in men.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences for funding this project. Also, we greatly appreciate Kermanshah Imam Ali cardiovascular center.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

All the authors met the standards of authorship based on the recommendations of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

FUNDING

None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Pieris RR, Al-Sabti HA, Al-Abri QSA, Rizvi SGA. Prevalence pattern of risk factors for coronary artery disease among patients presenting for coronary artery bypass grafting in Oman. *Oman Med J.* 2014;29(3):203-7.
2. Shyu KG, Wu CJ, Mar GY, Hou CJY, Li AH, Wen MS, et al. Clinical characteristics, management and in-hospital outcomes of patients with acute coronary syndrome-observations from the Taiwan ACS full spectrum registry. *Acta Cardiol Sin.* 2011;27:135-44.
3. Feeman Jr W. Risk factors versus inflammation in atherothrombotic disease. *Circ.* 2002;106:e31.

4. Shemirani, H., and K. H. Separham. The relative impact of smoking or Hypertension on severity of premature coronary artery disease. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2007;9(4):177-181.
5. Doughty M, Mehta R, Bruckman D, Das S, Karavite D, Tsai T, et al. Acute myocardial infarction in the young—The University of Michigan experience. *Am Heart J.* 2002;143(1):56-62.
6. Sachdev M, Sun JL, Tsiatis AA, Nelson CL, Mark DB, Jollis JG. The prognostic importance of comorbidity for mortality in patients with stable coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004;43(4):576-82.
7. Califf R, Armstrong P, Carver J, D'agostino R, Strauss W. 27th Bethesda Conference: matching the intensity of risk factor management with the hazard for coronary disease events. Task Force 5. Stratification of patients into high, medium and low risk subgroups for purposes of risk factor management. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1996;27(5):1007-19.
8. Nakamura M. Angiography is the gold standard and objective evidence of myocardial ischemia is mandatory if lesion severity is questionable. - Indication of PCI for angiographically significant coronary artery stenosis without objective evidence of myocardial ischemia (Pro)-. *Circ J.* 2011;75(1):204-10.
9. Topol EJ, Nissen SE. Our preoccupation with coronary luminology: the dissociation between clinical and angiographic findings in ischemic heart disease. *Circ.* 1995;92(8):2333-42.
10. Yamagishi M, Hosokawa H, Saito S, Kanemitsu S, Chino M, Koyanagi S, et al. Coronary disease morphology and distribution determined by quantitative angiography and intravascular ultrasound--re-evaluation in a cooperative multicenter intravascular ultrasound study (COMIUS). *Circ J.* 2002;66(8):735-40.
11. Bajaj S, Mahajan V, Grover S, Mahajan A, Mahajan N. Gender based differences in risk factor profile and coronary angiography of patients presenting with acute myocardial infarction in north Indian population. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2016;10(5):OC05-7.
12. Cheng CI, Yeh KH, Chang HW, Yu TH, Chen YH, Chai HT, et al. Comparison of baseline characteristics, clinical features, angiographic results, and early outcomes in men vs women with acute myocardial infarction undergoing primary coronary intervention. *Chest.* 2004;126(1):47-53.
13. Butala NM, Desai MM, Linnander EL, Wong YR, Mikhail DG, Ott LS, et al. Gender differences in presentation, management, and in-hospital outcomes for patients with AMI in a lower-middle income country: evidence from Egypt. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(10):e25904.
14. Yasar AS, Turhan H, Basar N, Metin F, Erbay AR, Ilkay E, et al. Comparison of major coronary risk factors in female and male patients with premature coronary artery disease. *Acta Cardiol.* 2008;63(1):19-25.
15. Deepti G, Kiran R, Bharathi V. Gender Difference in Risk Factor Profiles in Patients Referred for Coronary Angiogram. *Ind J Car Dis Wom.* 2016;1(2):11-6.
16. Hemal K, Pagidipati NJ, Coles A, Dolor RJ, Mark DB, Pellikka PA, et al. Sex Differences in Demographics, Risk Factors, Presentation, and Noninvasive Testing in Stable Outpatients With Suspected Coronary Artery Disease: Insights From the PROMISE Trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2016;9(4):337-46.
17. Gupta R, Sharma K, Gupta A, Agrawal A, Mohan I, Gupta V, et al. Persistent high prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in the urban middle class in India: Jaipur Heart Watch-5. *J Assoc Physicians India.* 2012;60(3):11-6.
18. Anand K, Shah B, Yadav K, Singh R, Mathur P, Paul E, et al. Are the urban poor vulnerable to non-communicable diseases? A survey of risk factors for non-communicable diseases in urban slums of Faridabad. *Natl Med J India.* 2007;20(3):115-20.
19. Jarrah MI, Hammoudeh AJ, Al-Natour DB, Khader YS, Tabbalat RA, Alhaddad IA, et al. Gender differences in risk profile and outcome of Middle Eastern patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Saudi Med J.* 2017;38(2):149-55.
20. Enas E, Senthilkumar A. Coronary artery disease in Asian Indians: an update and review [online] *Internet J Cardiol.* 2001;1(2):1-22.

21. Hendricks AS, Goodman B, Stein JH, Carnes M. Gender differences in acute myocardial infarction: the University of Wisconsin experience. *WMJ*. 1999;98(8):30-3, 6.
22. Dubey RK, Oparil S, Imthurn B, Jackson EK. Sex hormones and hypertension. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2002;53(3):688-708.
23. Colchero MA, Popkin BM, Rivera JA, Ng SW. Beverage purchases from stores in Mexico under the excise tax on sugar sweetened beverages: observational study. *BMJ*. 2016;352:h6704.
24. Giannoglou GD, Antoniadis AP, Chatzizisis YS, Damvopoulou E, Parcharidis GE, Louridas GE. Sex-related differences in the angiographic results of 14,500 cases referred for suspected coronary artery disease. *Coron Artery Dis*. 2008;19(1):9-14.
25. Jong P, Mohammed S, Sternberg L. Sex differences in the features of coronary artery disease of patients undergoing coronary angiography. *Can J Cardiol*. 1996;12(7):671-7.
26. Roeters van Lennep J, Zwinderman A, Roeters van Lennep H, Westerveld H, Plokker H, Voors A, et al. Gender differences in diagnosis and treatment of coronary artery disease from 1981 to 1997. No evidence for the Yentl syndrome. *Eur Heart J*. 2000;21(11):911-8.
27. Enbergs A, Bürger R, Reinecke H, Borggreffe M, Breithardt G, Kerber S. Prevalence of coronary artery disease in a general population without suspicion of coronary artery disease: angiographic analysis of subjects aged 40 to 70 years referred for catheter ablation therapy. *Eur Heart J*. 2000;21(1):45-52.
28. Leaf DA, Sanmarco ME, Bahl RA. Gender differences in coronary angiographic findings from 1972 through 1981 in Los Angeles, California. *Angiology*. 1990;41(8):609-15.