

Level of Agreement of 36-Month ASQ-3 between Parents, Child Care Providers, and Health Care Professionals

Victoria Hill, SPT, Emilyne Nichols, SPT, Julie Sohre, SPT, Madeline Swain, SPT, Kathleen Zenker, SPT, Erin Simunds, PT



Concordia
UNIVERSITY SAINT PAUL

Introduction

- Developmental delay is present in approximately 15% of children in the United States.¹
- Less than one-fifth of those children receive early intervention before age 3.¹
- Screening processes in pediatric settings are insufficient to identify all children with developmental delay.²

Objective

Investigate the level of agreement in typical methods of administration of the 36-month Ages and Stages Questionnaire 3rd ed. (ASQ-3) between parents, CCPs, and student physical therapists (SPTs).

Participants

- 11 children and parents from 2 childcare centers
- 5 childcare providers (CCPs)
- 7 student physical therapists (SPTs)



Methods


Outcome Measure: 36-month ASQ-3

- Standardized developmental screening tool designed to test a wide age range and be administered by various parties
- Rapid, simple, and cost-effective way to monitor a child's development

Developmental screening was conducted across two testing sessions for each child based on common practices of ASQ-3 administration (Fig. 1).

- **Session 1:** Parent scored child's performance from memory, unless confronted with novel items in which scoring was inferred or administered. SPT was present for questions.
- **Session 2:** CCP administered every item and scored the child based on their elicited performance while another SPT scored as an observer.

Figure 1. Session Design



Results

Inter-rater Agreement:

- CCPs and SPTs significantly agreed in 4/5 domains
- No significant agreement between parents/CCPs or parents/SPTs for any domain (Table 1).

Trends in specific domains (Fig. 2):

- Highly variable across the 5 domains
- Gross Motor: 73% of parents scored their child's ability higher than at least one rater from the standardized session by as much as 25 points.
- Problem Solving: 64% of the parents scored their child as much as 30 points lower than at least one other rater.

Table 1. Spearman's Rho Values

Dyad	ASQ-3 Developmental Domain				
	Communication	Gross Motor	Fine Motor	Problem Solving	Personal Social
Parent-CCP	0.371	0.161	0.343	-0.487	-0.151
Parent-SPT	0.108	0.437	0.223	-0.381	0.284
CCP-SPT	0.699*	0.603*	0.254	0.842*	0.686*

*Rho value is significant at the 0.05 level

Conclusion

Inter-rater agreement between parents and CCPs or healthcare professionals was limited.


Acknowledgements

- Sean Colaco, Liz Diede, Julia Lebert, Lauren Marold, Madeline Wiltgens
- Peter Rundquist, PT, PhD
- Kristin Lefebvre, PT, PhD

Clinical Relevance

- Testing condition for parents was not parallel to the condition for other groups
- Recommend future exploration into:
 - Interpretation of this screening tool
 - Best use of the ASQ-3 and by parents and CCPs to promote their participation

Figure 2. Scoring Trends



References

1. Vitrikas K, Savard D, Bucay M. Developmental delay: when and how to screen. *Am Fam Phys* 2017;96(1), 36-43. PMID: 28671370
2. Mackrides PS, Ryherd SJ. Screening for developmental delay. *Am Fam Phys* 2011;84(5),544-549. PMID: 21888305