

THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT DOSES OF MAGNESIUM TREATMENTS ON THE FENUGREEK (*TRIGONELLA FOENUM-GRAECUML.*) YIELD**VIKTOR JÓZSEF VOJNICH, JUDIT PETŐ, ANDRÁS PALKOVICS, ATTILA HÜVELY**

John von Neumann University
Faculty of Horticulture and Rural Development
Department of Agricultural Sciences
Kecskemét, Mészöly Gyula. Square 1-3. 6000
vojnich.viktor@kvk.uni-neumann.hu

ABSTRACT

Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) is an annual plant belonging to the legumes (*Fabaceae*) family. The plant is a Mediterranean one, native to the Mediterranean coast. It is a multi-use plant that is used as a herb, spice, and fodder plant. Fenugreek has high protein content and is well suited for feeding domestic and wild animals. Our open-field experiment was set up at the beginning of April 2018 in John von Neumann University, Faculty of Horticulture and Rural Development (Kecskemét). The treatments were: treatment 1 = 150 kg/ha, treatment 2 = 300 kg/ha, treatment 3 = 450 kg/ha complex fertilizer. The treatments were carried out in plots of 50-50 m². In the experiment, different nutrient supply treatments were set up to achieve the highest fresh biomass weight. The fertilizer used in the research was Novatec premium (15 N - 3 P₂O₅ - 20 K₂O - 2 MgO). When measuring fresh weight of fenugreek, the highest value was measured after 300 kg/ha treatment (14.45 kg). The values of treatment 1 (7.8 kg) and the treatment 3 (8.5 kg) were almost the same. The highest dose of treatment (450 kg/ha) resulted a decrease in the yield of *T. foenum-graecum* in our experiment.

Keywords: fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.), yield, magnesium treatments, open-field, fertilizer

INTRODUCTION

Trigonella foenum-graecum L. is an annual plant belonging to the *Fabaceae* family of legumes (*Fabales*) (PROVOROV, 1985). Its leaves are like those of alfalfa, the leaves are scattered along the stem (VAN WYK, 2005). The plant is primarily self-pollinating but can also be cross-pollinating (SALVATORE, 1951). The plant is a Mediterranean one native to the Mediterranean coast. In countries of temperate climate, it is grown as a spring-sown plant. It is grown as a winter-sown plant in Egypt, Morocco and India (MAKAI et al., 1996). In Hungary, Sámuel Diószegi and Mihály Fazekas published in the Hungarian Phenomenon in 1807 as a wild herb (MAKAI and MAKAI, 2004). In Hungary before 1945 years, fenugreek was cultivated in the Southern part of the country as a horticultural crop. Later, in 1969-1970, the Agrobotany Institute in Tápíószele started the experimental cultivation. From 1982, research on the technology of cultivating fenugreek and the production of new, intensive varieties began in Mosonmagyaróvár. Then in 1987, a new Hungarian fenugreek variety has been bred, known under the name "Óvári-4". This variety was accepted by the state later, in 1994. It is currently cultivated on 100 hectares in Hungary.

The word *foenum-graecum* means "Greek hay" because the ancient Greeks used the plant to feed animals (MÁTHÉ, 1975). The Egyptians and Romans also called it by this name. Its medical value is also mentioned by Ebers papyrus, B.C. II. Millenium, used as anti-burn medicine (VARGA, 2001). Despite its many healing properties, its effect on humans was not used widespread until the Middle Ages. In modern science, it is only now that its advantages are being discovered. It is primarily used in veterinary medicine. It is mainly used to promote digestion, compress inflammation, fattening, and milk secretion. In North

America, the settlers took fenugreek and used as fodder plant. The *T. foenum-graecum* crop has several advantages. *Rhizobium meliloti* is a nitrogen-binding bacterium on its roots that can bind about 70-90 kg/ha of nitrogen in the soil (MAKAI et al., 1996). Due to its high protein content, fenugreek is well suited for feeding domestic and wild animals.

It is grown for feeding purposes in the following countries: United States, Spain, Algeria, Tunisia, Egypt, Ethiopia, Afghanistan, Iran, India, China (KALMÁR, 1999). It is used as a green manure plant in the USA (California State), Chile and South France. Using as herbal medicine, it is grown in Central Europe. Fenugreek is grown in 2012 on about 96,000 hectares yearly in India.

In our experiment, different fertilization treatments were set to reach the highest volume of green mass and to follow the most advisable treatment dose in these circumstances.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

The experiment was carried out in the study garden of John von Neumann University, Faculty of Horticulture and Rural Development, in Kecskemét in 2018. Our test plant was fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). In the course of the open field trials different nutrient doses were applied. Seed sowing was placed in open field on 9th of April 2018. The treatments were carried out in plots of 50-50 m², according to the following methods: treatment 1 = 150 kg/ha; treatment 2 = 300 kg/ha; treatment 3 = 450 kg/ha complex ground fertilizer. Mechanical weed control was applied. No chemicals or herbicides were applied. The harvest took place from June 25th to July 9th.

The soil analysis of the experimental area (Table 1) and its evaluation was carried out by the Soil and Plant Testing Laboratory of the Faculty of Horticulture and Rural Development (Kecskemét).

Table 1. Soil characteristics of the experimental area (2018)

Denomination	Measurement unit	Value
pH _{KCL}	-	7.61
K _A	-	28
Water soluble salt	m/m%	<0.02
Humus	m/m%	1.43
CaCO ₃	m/m%	2.62
NO ₂ -NO ₃ -N	mg/kg	1.43
P ₂ O ₅	mg/kg	548
K ₂ O	mg/kg	104
Mg	mg/kg	106
Na	mg/kg	6.61
Cu	mg/kg	13.1
Mn	mg/kg	55
Zn	mg/kg	9.72
Fe	mg/kg	64.1
SO ₄	mg/kg	8.4

Fertilizer used in the research was NovaTec premium 15-3-20 (+2MgO+10S) + TE. Technical data of the fertilizer: 15.0% total nitrogen (N); 8.0% ammoniacal nitrogen (NH₄-N); 7.0% nitrate nitrogen (NO₃-N); 0.0% carbamide nitrogen (NH₂-N); 3.0% phosphate (P₂O₅) soluble in neutral ammonium citrate and water; 2.4% phosphate (P₂O₅), water soluble; 20.0% potassium oxide (K₂O), water soluble; 2.0% total magnesium oxide (MgO);

1.6% magnesium oxide (MgO), water soluble; 10.0% total sulphur (S); 8. % sulphur (S), water soluble; 0.02% total boron (B); 0.0% total copper (Cu); 0.06% total iron (Fe); 0.0% total manganese (Mn); 0.01% total zinc (Zn); 0.8% nitrification inhibitor 3,4-dimethylpyrazole-phosphate (DMPP) related to total of $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ and $\text{NH}_2\text{-N}$; low in chlorine (Cl).

Physical properties: 1, physical appearance: solid, granulated; 2, colour: purple; 3, bulk density: $1,250 \pm 100 \text{ kg/m}^3$; 4, granulometry: 90% = 2-4 mm; 5, average granule size (d50): $3.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ mm}$; 6, pH (1:10 in water): 4.5-5.5 (URL¹).

Leaf- and stem samples were dried at 70 °C. The air-dry samples were thoroughly minced. For elemental studies powdered samples were digested in a microwave device by means of concentrated nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide (Milestone Ethos Plus). Main macro element content was measured by optical emission spectrometer (ICP-AES method) (HÜVELY, 2005). Nitrogen content in leaf and stem were determined using the Kjeldahl method after sulphuric acid digestion (FOSS Kjeltac 2300). Macro element (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Na) contents were calculated in m/m% dry matter.

The required tests were made according to the regulation include measurement of the N, P, K, Ca, Mg content.

RESULTS

Fresh weight and dry weight values of fenugreek are illustrated in *Figure 1*. The highest value (14.45 kg/plot) for the measurement of the fresh weight of *T foenum-graecum* was 300 kg/ha treatments. The 150 kg/ha fertilizer dose (Treatment 1) showed the lowest fresh weight value (7.8 kg/plot). The highest dose of treatment (450 kg/ha) resulted in a decrease in the amount of fenugreek (fresh and dry weight as well). Dry matter content of the plants has also decreased.




Figure 1. Fresh mass (kg) and dry mass (kg) characteristics of *Trigonella foenum-graecum*

The spring-type *T. foenum-graecum* can be harvested in 80-90 days after seeding (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Fenugreek stock on 4th of June 2018

The harvest was at the end of June and early July, when the biomass was recorded (*Figure 3*).



Figure 3. The harvest of fenugreek (3th July 2018)

During the study we determined the concentration of some nutrients (nitrogen, magnesium, calcium, potassium and phosphorus) of fenugreek in the parts of the plants above the ground (stem, leaf) (*Figure 4*).




Figure 4. Macro element (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Na) contents were calculated in m/m% dry matter

CONCLUSIONS

As a result of Treatment 3 (450 kg/ha), the biomass of fenugreek has decreased (wet and dry weight). In order to interpret the effects, we will continue our investigations in the future.

The highest yield of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* was achieved by Treatment 2 (300 kg/ha), both in terms of fresh weight (14.45 kg) and dry weight (7.2 kg).

The content of calcium in dry matter (m/m%) increased linearly from Treatment 1 (150 kg/ha) to Treatment 3 (450 kg/ha) in the examined fenugreek stem and leaf.

In the tested *T. foenum-graecum* plants, nitrogen and potassium contents of air-dry substance (% m/m) decreased steadily by increasing the fertilizer doses from 150 kg/ha to 450 kg/ha.

After the initial growth, phosphorus and magnesium concentration decreased with the increasing fertilizer use. Our results suggest that the highest fertilizer treatment resulted in general lower nutrient levels in *Trigonella foenum-graecum* biomass. In our study, 300 kg/ha fertilizer dose had beneficial effects on biomass production and nutrient contents as well.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the support of research that "Development of functional, healthy and safe food product chain model based on the principle table, thematic research networks from the field," the EFOP-3.6.2-16-2017-00012 realized under the project of the John von Neumann University.

REFERENCES

- HÜVELY, A. (2005): Az ICP, vagyis az emissziós analízis lehetőségei című előadás/ A Magyar Tudomány Ünnepe, Megyei Tudományos Fórum/ Kecskeméti Főiskola/ Kertészeti Főiskolai Kar/ Kecskemét. pp. 102-105.
- KALMÁR, É.A. (1999): A görögszéna (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) beltartalmi értékének és silózhatóságának vizsgálata. Diplomamunka, University of Pannonia, Mosonmagyaróvár. pp. 20-26.
- MAKAI, P.S., MAKAI, S. (2004): Görögszéna (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) fajták terméseredményeinek összehasonlítása és az optimális csíraszám meghatározása. Acta Agronomica Óvariensis 46(1): 17-23.
- MAKAI S., PÉCSI, S., KAJDI, F. (1996): A görögszéna (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) termesztése és hasznosítása. Környezet- és Tájgazdálkodási Füzetek 4: 32-35.
- MÁTHÉ, I. (1975): A görögszéna. Magyarország Kultúrflórája. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest. 2: 5-50.
- PROVOROV, H.A. (1985): Izomencsivoszty rasztenij nazsitnyika grecseszkoivo (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) po szpaszbnosztyik szimbiozus klubenkovümi bakterijami lucernü Buluten vszecajuznovo naucsno-Isszledovatyelszkovo Insztiuta Szelszkohozjajszennoj Mikrobiologii 41: 21-213.
- SALVATORE, D.G. (1951): Ricerche sui consumi idrici e indogini sull autofertilita del feno greco stazione. Agraria Sperimentale Bari. pp. 1272-1286.
- VAN WYK, B.E. (2005): Handbuch der Nahrungspflanzen. Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft, Stuttgart. pp. 15, 367.
- VARGA, R. (2001): A görögszéna (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) termelésének jelentősége. Diplomamunka, Szent Istvan University, Gödöllő. pp. 35-42.