



Evaluation of diets based on *Brachiaria* grass with different levels of byproducts from the biodiesel industry using *in vitro* gas production degradability

[Avaliação de dietas à base de capim *Brachiaria* com diferentes níveis de coprodutos da indústria do biodiesel pela técnica de degradabilidade *in vitro* de produção de gás]

"Scientific Article/Artigo Científico"

Juliana Marques **Freire**^{1*}, Aderbal Marcos de Azevedo **Silva**², Heloisa **Carneiro**^{3*}, José Morais **Pereira Filho**³, Daiane Caroline de **Moura**⁴, Jovanna Karine **Pinheiro**⁵

¹Departamento de Zootecnia, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Cuiabá-MT, Brasil.

²Departamento de Zootecnia, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Patos-PB, Brasil.

³Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária, Gado de Leite, Juiz de Fora-MG, Brasil.

⁴Departamento de Zootecnia, Instituto Federal de Mato Grosso, Cidade-UF, Brasil.

⁵Departamento de Medicina Veterinária, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Patos-PB, Brasil.

*Autor para correspondência/Corresponding author: E-mail: juliana.zoo@hotmail.com; heloisacarneiro@embrapa.br

Abstract

This study was performed to evaluate the production of gas and volatile fatty acids in diets containing byproducts from the national biodiesel industry (castor bean, canola, forage turnip, and black sunflower) in four levels (0, 30, 50 and 70%), through the use of a semi-automated *in vitro* technique. The inoculum for *in vitro* incubation was obtained from three fistulated Holstein cows. This was a 4 x 4 factorial completely randomized experimental design consisting of four levels of four byproducts. The byproduct of forage turnip was the ingredient of greatest potential for producing acetate, propionate, and butyrate. The increased production of carbon dioxide and methane in relation to the degraded dry matter was obtained using the byproduct of canola ($P < 0.05$). The byproduct of castor bean resulted in the lowest potential for producing acetate, propionate, and butyrate; and among the evaluated byproducts it can be considered the one with the least impact to the environment without damage to ruminal fermentation.

Keywords: alternative food, digestibility, greenhouse effect, methanogenesis, environment.

Resumo

Este estudo foi realizado para avaliar a produção de gás e ácidos graxos voláteis em dietas contendo coprodutos da indústria nacional de biodiesel (mamona, canola, nabo forrageiro e girassol preto) em quatro níveis (0, 30, 50 e 70%), através do uso da técnica semiautomática *in vitro*. O inóculo para incubação *in vitro* foi obtido a partir de três vacas Holandesas fistuladas. O delineamento experimental foi de 4 x 4, com delineamento experimental inteiramente casualizado, constituído por quatro coprodutos e quatro níveis. O coproduto do nabo forrageiro foi o ingrediente de maior potencial de produção de acetato, propionato e butirato. O aumento da produção de dióxido de carbono e metano em relação à matéria seca degradada foi obtido utilizando-se o coproduto da canola ($P < 0,05$). O coproduto da mamona resultou em menor potencial para a produção de acetato, propionato e butirato, podendo ser considerado entre os coprodutos avaliados o de menor impacto no meio ambiente sem prejuízo à fermentação ruminal.

Palavras-chave: alimentos alternativos; digestibilidade; efeito estufa; metanogênese; meio ambiente.

Introduction

The growing demand for food alternatives allows the use of byproducts, which enables the reduction of environmental impacts and production costs. Studies on the use of these ingredients allowed to know the nutritive value, after

processing in the biodiesel industry, making them excellent food sources.

The biodiesel industry uses oilseeds for oil extraction (Abdalla et al., 2008), and due to this extensive production, there is a large amount of

Recebido 27 de agosto de 2018. Aceito 06 de março de 2020.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26605/medvet-v13n4-3670>

residues. The potential for supplying foods with high fiber content to ruminants allows a suitable destination for these residues and at the same time allows the replacement of commonly used forage in low cost food.

Byproducts arouse the interest as alternative sources for the mitigation of methane originating from the digestive process in ruminants. These foods have in their composition elements capable of acting on the rumen microbiota, either because of their high concentration of fatty acids, tannins, or non-structural carbohydrates.

Despite the potential for feeding ruminants, it is necessary to know, estimate and evaluate the effects of biodiesel byproducts on the performance and health of the animals (Azevedo et al., 2013). Due to these questions, techniques that simulate ruminal fermentation (*in vitro* gas production) and specific tests for supplied foods have been developed (Bueno et al., 2008).

The objective of this study was to evaluate the *in vitro* production of volatile fatty acids and methane from byproducts from the biodiesel industry (canola, forage turnip, black sunflower, and castor bean) after 48 hours of incubation in culture medium.

Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the Experimental Field of Coronel Pacheco, which is owned by Embrapa Dairy Cattle and located in the Zona da Mata of Minas Gerais – Minas Gerais State.

The substrates used for *in vitro* incubation were Marandu grass (*Brachiaria brizantha* Stapf cv. Marandu, 28 days cut) as control and byproducts from the biodiesel industry: castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.), canola (*Brassica napus* L.), black sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.), and forage turnip (*Raphanus sativus* L.).

The substrates composed of byproducts and forage were oven-dried in forced ventilation at 55°C for 48 hours, then ground in a Wiley mill with 1.0 mm sieve to determine dry matter (DM) (Method 967.03 - Association of Official Analytical Chemists [AOAC], 1990). Ash (ASH), crude protein (CP) and ether extract (EE) were determined according to the procedures described in methods 942.05, 981.10 and 920.29 of AOAC (AOAC, 1990). To determine NDF and ADF, the methodology by Van Soest, Robertson, and Lewis (1991) was used, due to modifications proposed in

the Ankon device manual (Ankon Technology Corporation Macedon, New York, USA).

For ruminal fluid collection, three Holstein cows averaging 600 kg and fistulated in the rumen were sampled; fluid was transferred to thermal bottles previously heated to 39°C and taken immediately to the laboratory. In the laboratory, the ruminal contents were homogenized and filtered through two layers of cotton cloth and kept in a water bath at 39°C under CO₂ saturation until adding other solutions (buffer, macro- and micro-minerals, resazurin solution, and medium B) to the culture medium. The ruminal fluid and buffer solution were mixed at a proportion of 5:1 (Vitti et al., 1999).

The inoculum (30 mL) was then transferred to incubation flasks, sealed and placed on a rack orbital shaker set at 120 oscillations per minute in a 39°C incubator.

After the last measurement of gas at 48 hours post-incubation, the gas from each vial was collected and stored to determine the concentration of CH₄ and CO₂. The content of each vial was removed by means of 30 cc plastic syringes and transferred immediately to 20 cc amber flask in vacuum for sample storage. Afterwards, the fermentation flasks were opened and the pH of the culture medium was measured using a pH meter (Orion model 260A, Fisher Scientific, Toronto, ON, Canada).

The percentage of CH₄ and CO₂ was determined in the Laboratory of Chromatography of EMBRAPA - Dairy Cattle, located in the city of Juiz de Fora, State of Minas Gerais, using a gas chromatography apparatus (Fedorak and Hrudehy, 1983). From the percentage of gas production, the volume corresponding to the accumulated gas production was calculated for 24 and 48 hours of the fermentation process, corrected for each gram of degraded dry matter. The results of methane and carbon dioxide were expressed in mL/DM incubated.

To identify and quantify volatile fatty acids (VFAs), a liquid fraction of the culture medium (10 mL) was collected after digestibility (48 hours) and 2 mL metaphosphoric acid (20%) was added to the medium to preserve the sample, and then stored in a freezer until further analysis (Holtshausen et al., 2009).

For the identification and quantification of ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N), a liquid fraction of the culture medium (10 mL) was collected after

digestibility (48 hours), then stored in a freezer until further analysis (Holtshausen et al., 2009).

The statistical design used to evaluate cumulative gas production and dry matter degradability was completely randomized in a 4 x 4 factorial arrangement (4 byproducts and 4 substitution levels).

The production of CH₄, CO₂, VFAs, pH, and NH₃ were subjected to analysis of variance (Proc Anova) in SAS where Tukey's test (P < 0.05) was applied to the main factors of each substitution

level. The results of the increasing levels were interpreted statistically through the regression models by PROC REG (SAS, 2003).

Results and Discussion

The evaluated byproducts showed high crude protein content (forage turnip and castor bean) and high content of ether extract (forage turnip) (Table 1).

Table 1. Chemical composition (g/kg) of *Brachiaria* grass and four substrates from the biodiesel industry, on a dry matter basis.

Ingredients	DM (g/kg)	CP (g/kg)	NDF (g/kg)	ADF (g/kg)	EE (g/kg)	DMD (g/kg)
<i>Brachiaria</i> grass	870.8	122.2	556.2	277.7	32.2	652
Forage turnip	935.6	393.7	217.1	137.1	284.1	644.8
Castor bean	912.6	420.2	423.3	383.4	43.8	497.1
Black sunflower	901.1	342.6	390.1	243.6	32.1	582.3
Canola	922.1	375.1	410.3	378.3	24.3	689.1

DM: dry matter; CP: crude protein; NDF: neutral detergent fiber; ADF: acid detergent fiber; EE: ether extract; DMD: dry matter digestibility.

The composition of the diet is very important when it is based on forage, as large proportions of structural carbohydrates produce large amounts of CH₄ (Archimed et al., 2011)

The levels of interaction and substitution of byproducts to measure methane *in vitro* production were verified in the time interval of 48 hours (Table 2).

At the 30% level, there was a significant difference between the evaluated foods, with the highest methane production observed for canola. At levels of 50 and 70%, canola and sunflower did not differ from each other, with these results superior when compared to forage turnip and castor bean.

Using the black sunflower byproduct, each percentage unit of replacement of *Brachiaria* grass with this byproduct, there was an increase of 0.50 mL/g in methane production, while the byproducts of turnip and castor bean showed decreasing linear responses, with 0.13 and 0.37 mL/g CH₄, respectively. The decreasing response in methane production with the inclusion of forage turnip confirms the results reported by Abdalla et al. (2008), who detected significant differences for the inclusion of this byproduct, together with lupine cake.

With the use of byproducts, we expected a reduction in the levels of methane production, but

when replacing *Brachiaria* grass with black sunflower and canola, we observed a high methane production, which is related to the content of fiber carbohydrates in these foods. Berchielli et al. (2006) stated that with the disappearance of the potentially digestible portion, there is a reduction in the digestion of a polymer. It becomes necessary a longer time of exposure to the microorganisms for digestion and production of VFA, as a consequence, production of methane gas.

When analyzing the replacement of *Brachiaria* grass with the castor bean byproducts, it was observed that their use resulted in the lowest levels of methane production and could be related to antinutritional compounds, such as ricin, which is harmful to ruminal microorganisms, and the high amount of polyunsaturated fatty acids present in its composition when compared to the others.

Analyzing the CO₂ production, the interaction effect of byproducts and the substitution levels for the production of this gas was found with a time interval of 48 hours (Table 2).

Considering the production of CO₂ in the replacement of 30 and 50% of byproducts, it was observed that canola presented a significant production differing from the others (P < 0.05). At 70% substitution, byproducts of canola and black sunflower did not statistically differ from each other, maintaining their high CO₂ production.

Observing the substitution of *Brachiaria* grass, we observed a linear decreasing effect ($P < 0.05$) when using forage turnip, for each 1% of the substitution level of this byproduct there was a

decrease of 0.139 mL/g in the production of enteric CO_2 , in turn, for the byproduct of castor oil, the reduction was 0.239 mL/g in the production of enteric CO_2 .

Table 2. Production of CH_4 , CO_2 , $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ (mL/g) and pH, of biodiesel byproducts in different levels of substitution of *Brachiaria* grass.

Byproducts	Replacement levels				Regression equation	R^2	P
	0%	30%	50%	70%			
CH_4							
Forage turnip	4.86 ^A	4.43 ^C	4.36 ^B	3.83 ^B	$Y = 4.887 - 0.013x$	0.630	0.0021
Castor bean	4.86 ^A	3.50 ^D	3.06 ^B	2.13 ^C	$Y = 4.808 - 0.037x$	0.952	<0001
Black sunflower	4.86 ^A	6.50 ^B	8.63 ^A	7.93 ^A	$Y = 5.097 + 0.050x$	0.778	0,0001
Canola	4.86 ^A	9.33 ^A	10.40 ^A	8.66 ^A	$Y = 4.820 + 0.233x - 0.002x^2$	0.921	<0001
CO_2							
Forage turnip	25.20 ^A	21.00 ^{BC}	18.06 ^C	15.50 ^B	$Y = 25.169 - 0.139x$	0.91	<0001
Castor bean	25.20 ^A	16.50 ^C	13.20 ^C	8.13 ^C	$Y = 24.826 - 0.239x$	0.97	<0001
Black sunflower	25.20 ^A	24.40 ^B	28.83 ^B	24.96 ^A	$Y = 25.235$	-	NS
Canola	25.20 ^A	34.70 ^A	37.23 ^A	29.70 ^A	$Y = 24.942 + 0.578x - 0.007x^2$	0.79	0,0009
N-NH_3							
Forage turnip	12.60 ^A	27.53 ^C	36.63 ^C	43.63 ^C	$Y = 13.319 + 0.447x$	0.972	<0001
Castor bean	12.60 ^A	14.23 ^D	21.00 ^D	27.53 ^D	$Y = 12.425 - 0.015x + 0.003x^2$	0.982	<0001
Black sunflower	12.60 ^A	38.73 ^B	61.83 ^A	69.66 ^B	$Y = 11.874 + 1.118x - 0.003x^2$	0.969	<0001
Canola	12.60 ^A	52.26 ^A	57.40 ^A	75.36 ^A	$Y = 17.131 + 0.860x$	0.941	<0001
pH							
Forage turnip	6.36 ^A	6.43 ^B	6.50 ^B	6.60 ^B	$Y = 6.352 + 0.003x$	0.837	<0001
Castor bean	6.36 ^A	6.60 ^A	6.70 ^A	6.80 ^A	$Y = 6.385 + 0.006x$	0.964	<0001
Black sunflower	6.36 ^A	6.40 ^{BC}	6.40 ^B	6.50 ^B	$Y = 6.353 + 0.001x$	0.619	00024
Canola	6.36 ^A	6.30 ^C	6.33 ^B	6.40 ^C	$Y = 6.365 - 0.003x + 0.00006x^2$	0.549	0.0276

* Different letters in the same column indicate statistically different values by Tukey's test at 5% probability.

The byproduct of canola provided a quadratic effect considering the production of CO_2 when replacing the *Brachiaria* grass, notably increasing its amounts. Being 60.5% the maximum point (219.62 mL/g) of CO_2 production of the canola byproduct.

Influence on $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ ratios *in vitro* in the 48-hour time interval was verified using biodiesel byproducts in substitution of *brachiaria* grass.

The use of the canola byproduct resulted in an increasing production of $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ the evaluated levels of substitution. When at 30% level, it significantly differed from the others, and was similar only to black sunflower replacing 50% of *Brachiaria*, evidencing no significant difference. At 70% level, the canola byproduct resulted in the maximum production of $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$, that is, as the percentage unit of that byproduct increased, it increased 0.86 mL/g the production of $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$.

The lowest production was observed for the inclusion of forage turnip and castor bean. The lowest production with the use of the castor byproduct at the 30% level ($P < 0.05\%$) was recorded. The forage turnip presented an increasing

behavior in the evaluations with an increase of 0.48 mL/g $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$.

As reported by Freire et al. (2017), high contents of NDF were found when using canola and black sunflower (410.3 and 390.1), providing high levels of CO_2 and N-NH_3

In the same way we observed a decline in methane production *in vitro* related to the content of unsaturated lipids present in byproducts of castor bean (43.2 g/kg) and forage turnip (284.1 g/kg), lower levels of CO_2 and N-NH_3 were registered when replacing *Brachiaria* grass.

Due to deleterious effects to ruminal microorganisms, unsaturated fatty acids are converted into saturated fatty acids by the mechanism known as biohydrogenation, which through the addition of hydrogen in the unsaturations, allows the reduction of their toxicity and utilization (Palmquist and Mattos, 2006). The use of saturated lipids in the diets after biohydrogenation promotes a reducing effect of ammonia and the elimination of H from CHO fermentation, increasing ruminal propionate

production and reducing methanogenesis (Oliveira et al., 2009).

Substituting the *Brachiaria* grass with the

co-products, for the production of VFA in the time interval of 48 hours (Table 3), we have the production of acetic, propionic and butyric acids.

Table 3. Production of Acetate, Propionate and Butyrate (mL/g) of biodiesel by products in different levels of substitution of *Brachiaria* grass.

Byproducts	Replacement levels				Regression equation	R ²	P
	0%	30%	50%	70%			
Acetate							
Forage turnip	35.37 ^A	38.19 ^A	12.54 ^B	24.10 ^A	Y= 36.981 – 0.251x	0.404	0.0006
Castor bean	35.37 ^A	18.64 ^C	12.97 ^B	13.07 ^B	Y=35.420 – 0.751x + 0.006x ²	0.952	<.0001
Black sunflower	35.37 ^A	31.66 ^B	30.14 ^A	24.01 ^A	Ŷ = 37.9397 - 0.0081x - 0.0017x ²	0.85	0.0002
Canola	35.37 ^A	31.04 ^B	30.21 ^A	30.21 ^A	Y= 34.681 – 0.084x	0.639	0.0018
Propionate							
Forage turnip	16.61 ^A	17.01 ^A	5.37 ^B	11.18 ^A	Y= 17.032 – 0.119x	0.416	0.0234
Castor bean	16.61 ^A	8.60 ^C	5.58 ^B	5.61 ^C	Y= 16.657 – 0.361x + 0.002x ²	0.958	<.0001
Black sunflower	16.61 ^A	11.83 ^B	10.64 ^A	8.29 ^B	Y= 16.182 – 0.115x	0.929	0.0036
Canola	16.61 ^A	10.64 ^{BC}	10.75 ^A	10.61 ^{AB}	Y= 16.486 – 0.247x + 0.002x ²	0.923	0.1670
Butyrate							
Forage turnip	7.25 ^A	8.32 ^A	2.57 ^B	4.70 ^A	Y= 7.811 – 0.055x	0.412	0.0245
Castor bean	7.25 ^A	3.74 ^C	2.59 ^B	2.34 ^C	Y= 7.255 – 0.151x + 0.001x ²	0.956	<.0001
Black sunflower	7.25 ^A	5.34 ^B	5.20 ^A	3.50 ^{BC}	Y= 7.198 – 0.049x	0,876	<.0001
Canola	7.25 ^A	5.80 ^B	5.19 ^A	5.70 ^{AB}	Y= 7.288 – 0.076x + 0.0007x ²	0.803	0.0007

Evaluating the byproduct of castor bean for the production of acetic, propionic and butyric acids, it was observed inferior results to the other byproducts, justified by the presence of ricin, which decreases the digestibility and consequently the production of gases. Regarding the other by products studied, canola provided a high and stable production of acetic and propionic acids, even though it did not have the largest amount of fiber carbohydrates in its composition, but did not have antinutritional factors.

Forage turnip at 30% in the evaluations for VFA revealed a differential when compared to other foods, since at this level even having a high content of lipids in its composition, presents values higher than the others. Araújo et al. (2012) concluded that the level of forage inclusion increases the production of gases, demonstrating the effect of fiber on ruminal dynamics.

With respect to the production of butyric acid, it was influenced by the substitution of grass by the byproducts, with lower production in relation to the control group. This may be related to the high protein content of these byproducts that result in the formation of ammonium bicarbonate, from CO₂ and ammonia, thus reducing the contribution of CO₂ to the total gas production.

Some factors may directly influence ruminal fermentation and the amount of VFA produced,

modifying the normal patterns. Kosloski (2009) reported that the type of carbohydrate in the diet, such as the cuticle surrounding the grains, the lignin of the cell wall of vegetables, the protein surrounding the starch granules, as well as excess lipids in the diet are barriers to bacterial hydrolytic activity.

The pH ruminal is a chemical and physiological factor that influences microbial growth and is influenced by the type of food consumed (Van Soest, 1994). The ruminal pH is a very important parameter for the ruminal fermentation study; thus, it was observed that the interaction of the replacement levels promote variation in ruminal pH in the interval of 48 hours.

In this evaluation of pH, canola byproduct resulted in lower values, showing a quadratic effect in relation to the pH with increasing substitution, being 60.5% the level that promoted the maximum point. This behavior can be because the byproduct of canola increased the production of propionate, occurring sequestration of H₂ + for the formation of this VFA. Orskov (1988) proposed that, in ruminal pH below 6.2, fiber digestion is reduced due to the sensitivity of fibrolytic bacteria and the optimal fiber digestion point occurs at pH values between 6.7 and 7.1.

Conclusion

We concluded that, among the evaluated byproducts, the lowest production of methane was evidenced with the use of castor bean byproduct at 50 to 70% substitution levels. This resulted in a reduction of 0.37 mL/g CH₄, promoting satisfactory pH and NH₃ levels for maximum rumen fermentation. It can be considered a quality alternative for the use of *Brachiaria* grass.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethics Committee

Care and handling of the animals used in the current study were conducted as outlined in the guidelines of the Universidade Federal de Campina Grande Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC# 089/2016).

References

- Abdalla, A.L.; Silva Filho, J.C.; Godoi, A.R.; Carmo, C.A.; Eduardo, J.L.P. Utilização de subprodutos da indústria de biodiesel na alimentação de ruminantes. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, 37: 260-258, 2008.
- Araújo, D.R.C.; Carneiro, H.; Silva, A.M.A.; Silva, U.L.; Bernardi, T.M. Avaliação da degradabilidade *in vitro*, ácidos graxos voláteis e perdas energéticas através da produção total de gases, metano e dióxido de carbono de quatro espécies forrageiras em bovinos e ovinos. **Revista Ciência e Produção Animal**, 14: 203-206, 2012.
- Archimède, H.; Eugène, M.; Marie, M.C.; Boval, M.; Martin, C.; Morgavi, D.P.; Lecomte, P.; Doreau, M. Comparison of methane production between C3 and C4 grasses and legumes. **Animal Feed Science and Technology**, 59-64, 166-1667: 2011.
- Azevedo, R.A.; Bicalho, F.L.; Araújo, L.; Ribeiro Júnior, C.S.; Santos, A.C.R.; Jayme, D.G.; Geraseev, L.C. Technical and economic analysis of different levels of macauba cake in diets for dairy cows. **Archivos de Zootecnia**, 62: 147-150, 2013.
- Berchielli, T.T.; Pires, A.V.; Oliveira, S.G. **Nutrição de ruminantes**. Funep. 1^a ed. Jaboticabal-SP. cap. 7, 2006. 192 p.
- Bueno, I.C.S.; Vitti, D.M.S.S.; Louvadini, H.; Abdalla, A.L. A new approach for *in vitro* bioassay to measure tannin biological effects based on a gas production technique. **Animal Feed Science and Technology**, 41:153-170. 2008.
- Fedorak, P.M.; Hrudey, S.E. A simple apparatus for measuring gas-production by methanogenic cultures in serum bottles. **Environmental Technology Letters**, 10(4): 425-432, 1983.
- Freire, J.M.; Silva, A.M.A.; Carneiro, H.; Pereira Filho, J.M.; Rocha, L.B.; Bidler, D.C. *In vitro* degradation and gas production of *brachiaria* grass with levels of biodiesel byproducts. **Acta Scientiarum Animal Sciences**, 39: 175-179, 2017.
- Kozloski, G, V. **Bioquímica dos ruminantes**. 2^a ed. Santa Maria: UFSM, 2009. 214 p.
- Holtshausen, L.; Chaves, A.V.; Beauchemin, K.A.; McGinn, S.M.; Mcallister, T.A.; Odongo, NE.; Cheeke, P.R.; Benchaar, C. Feeding saponin-containing *Yucca shidigera* and *Quillaja saponaria* to decrease enteric methane production in dairy cows. **Journal of Dairy Science**, 92: 2809-2821. 2009.
- Oliveira, R.L.; Bagaldo, A.R.; Ladeira, M.M.; Barbosa, M.A.A.F.; Oliveira, R.L.; Jaeger, S.M.P.L. Fontes de lipídeos na dieta de búfalas lactantes: consumo, digestibilidade e N-uréico plasmático. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, 38: 553-559, 2009
- Orskov, E.R. **Nutrición proteica de los ruminantes**. Zaragoza: Acribia, 1988. 178 p.
- Palmquist, D.L.; Mattos, W.R.S. Metabolismo de lipídeos. In: **Nutrición de ruminantes**. 1^a ed. Jaboticabal: Telma Teresinha Berchielli, Alexandre Vaz Pires e Simone Gisele de Oliveira. cap. 10, 2006. 292 p.
- SAS –**Statistica Analysis System**. Cary: Institute Inc. User's Guide, 2003.
- Silva, D.J.; Queiroz, A.C. **Análise de alimentos: métodos químicos e biológicos**. Viçosa: UFV, 2002.
- Van Soest, P.J.; Robertson, J.B.; Lewis, B.A. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and non starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. **Journal of Dairy Science**, v.74: p.3583-3597.1991
- Van Soest, P.J. **Nutritional ecology of the ruminant**. 2nd ed. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1994.
- Vitti, D.M.S.S.; Abdalla, A.L.; Silva Filho, J.C.; Del Mastro, N.L.; Maurício, R.; Owen, E.; Mould, F. Misleading relationships between *in situ* rumen dry matter disappearance,

chemical analyzed and in vitro gas production and digestibility, of sugarcane baggage treated with varying levels of electron irradiation and

ammonia. **Animal Feed Science and Technology**, 79:145-153.1999.