# **Dakota State University**

# **Beadle Scholar**

Masters Theses & Doctoral Dissertations

Spring 4-2020

BYOD-Insure: A Security Assessment Model for Enterprise BYOD

Melva Ratchford

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.dsu.edu/theses

Part of the Information Security Commons, Other Computer Sciences Commons, and the Software Engineering Commons



# BYOD-INSURE: A SECURITY ASSESSMENT MODEL FOR ENTERPRISE BYOD

A dissertation submitted to Dakota State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

**Information Systems** 

April, 2020

By

Melva Ratchford

Dissertation Committee:

Dr. Yong Wang (Chair)

Dr. Cherie Noteboom (Program & Graduate Council Representative)

Dr. Omar El-Gayar (Committee Member)

Dr. Insu Park (Committee Member)



# **Dissertation Approval Form**

This dissertation is approved as a credible and independent investigation by a candidate for the Doctor of Science in Information Systems degree and is acceptable for meeting the dissertation requirements for this degree. Acceptance of this dissertation does not imply that the conclusions reached by the candidate are necessarily the conclusions of the major department or university.

Student Name: Melva M. Ratchford

Dissertation Title: BYOD-Insure: A Security Assessment Model for Enterprise BYOD

Yong Wang	Yong Wang	May 4, 2020
Signature	(co-chairperson)	Date
Cheric Noteboom	Cherie Noteboom	May 4, 2020
Signature	(member)	Date
Insu Park	Insu Park	May 4, 2020
Signature	(member)	Date
Omar El-Gayar	Omar El-Gayar	May 4, 2020
Signature	(member)	Date

# Acknowledgment

I wish to express sincere appreciation to my dissertation chair and adviser, Dr. Yong Wang, for his time, interest, positive encouragement, and continuous guidance, which were essential to the development of this research. Sincere appreciation is also expressed to: Dr. Cherie Noteboom, for her support and counsel during the initial and final stages of the project; Dr. Omar El-Gayar, for his objectivity and thoroughness during the different phases of this study; and Dr. Insu Park, for his cooperation.

I also want to extend special love and appreciation to my husband, Donald, for his support, patience and motivation. Without his proofreading and advice throughout the entire program, this project could not have been undertaken.

Special thanks to my children Angie, Diego and Monica, and their spouses Brady, Alejandra and Ty, for their patience, tolerance, support and encouragement throughout the completion of this work. To my grandchildren, Isabella, Sofia, Lucas, Juliette and Emma, who came to this world while I was working on this program, and who provided many joyful moments in the midst of stress.

Finally, I dedicate this dissertation to my mother Gloria, and my father Nestor, rest in peace, whose example, inspiration, and belief in academic pursuits have helped me stay on course throughout my life as well as throughout this research and the terminal degree.

# **Abstract**

As organizations continue allowing employees to use their personal mobile devices to access the organizations' networks and the corporate data, a phenomenon called 'Bring Your Own Device' or BYOD, proper security controls need to be adopted not only to secure the corporate data but also to protect the organizations against possible litigation problems. Until recently, current literature and research have been focused on specific areas or solutions regarding BYOD. The information associated with BYOD security issues in the areas of Management, IT, Users and Mobile Device Solutions is fragmented. This research is based on a need to provide a holistic approach to securing BYOD environments. This dissertation puts forth design science research methods to develop a comprehensive security assessment model, BYOD-Insure, to assess the security posture of an organization's BYOD environment. BYOD-Insure aims to identify security vulnerabilities in organizations that allow (or are planning to adopt) BYODs. The main questions this research aims to answer are: 1) In order to protect the enterprise and its corporate data, how can an organization identify and mitigate the security risks associated with BYOD? 2) How can a holistic approach to security strengthen the security posture of BYOD environments?

BYOD-Insure is composed of 5 modules that, in tandem, use a holistic approach to assess the security posture of the four domains of BYOD environments: assessment of management (BYOD-Insure-Management), assessment of IT (BYOD-Insure-IT), assessment of users' behavior/security (BYOD-Insure-User), and assessment of the mobile device security adopted by the organization (BYOD-Insure-Mobile). The combined results of the 4 domains provide the overall security posture of the organization (BYOD-Insure-Global). The evaluation process for this model is based on a design science method for artifact evaluation. For BYOD-Insure, this process involves the use of descriptive scenarios to describe different types of BYOD security postures. This entails a detailed description of scenarios that depict low, moderate and high security postures with respect to BYOD. The results, for a particular organization, show the security controls that need to be strengthened, and the safeguards recommended. The BYOD-Insure assessment model helps answer the research questions raised in this study.

# **Declaration**

I hereby certify that this dissertation constitutes my own product, that where the language of others is set forth, quotation marks so indicate, and that appropriate credit is given where I have used the language, ideas, expressions or writings of another.

I declare that the dissertation describes original work that has not previously been presented for the award of any other degree of any institution.

Signed,

Melua Ratcleford

Melva Ratchford

# **Table of Contents**

BYOD-Insure: A Security Assessment Model for Enterprise BYOD	i
Dissertation Approval Form	ii
Acknowledgment	iii
Abstract	iv
Declaration	V
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xiv
CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Problem Identification	3
1.3 Research Objective	9
1.4 Research Questions	10
1.5 Research Design	10
1.6 Dissertation Outline	10
1.7 Chapter Summary	11
CHAPTER 2: Literature Review	12
2.1 Holistic Approach to Information Security	12
2.2 BYOD Security Issues	13
2.2.1 Management	16
2.2.2 IT	16
2.2.3 Users	17

	2.2.4 Mobile Devices	18
	2.3 Gap in the Literature	18
	2.4 Chapter Summary	19
C	CHAPTER 3: Research Methodology	20
	3.1 Research Method: Design Science Research (DSR)	20
	3.2 DSR Process for BYOD-Insure	21
	3.2.1 Problem Identification and Motivation	21
	3.2.2 Definition of the Objectives and Requirements for a Solution	21
	3.2.3 Design and Development	22
	3.2.4 Demonstration	22
	3.2.5 Evaluation	22
	3.2.6 Communication	23
	3.3 Chapter Summary	23
C	HAPTER 4: Artifact - Architecture	24
	4.1 Securing BYOD Environments using a Holistic Approach	24
	4.2 BYOD-Insure - Design	27
	4.2.1 Overview	27
	4.2.2 Security Assessment Process	28
	4.2.3 Security Posture Calculation	32
	4.2.4 Artifact's Results	35
	4.3 Chapter Summary	38
C	CHAPTER 5: Artifact – Security Controls Development	39
	5.1 Overview – Controls and Overlaps Across Domains	39
	5.1.1 Security Control Overlap	45

	5.2 BYOD-Insure-Management Controls	46
	5.3 BYOD-Insure-IT Controls	50
	5.4 BYOD-Insure-User Controls	56
	5.5 BYOD-Insure-Mobile-Devices Controls	58
	5.6 Chapter Summary	61
C	CHAPTER 6: Artifact - Demonstration	62
	6.1 Overview	62
	6.2 Assessing the Security Posture of the Management Domain – BYOD-Insure-Mgmt. Module	62
	6.2.1 Determining the Security Level of Management Controls	62
	6.2.2 Present Graphical Representation of Security Level for the Management Domain	66
	6.2.3 Calculate the Security % for the Management Domain	67
	6.2.4 Provide Management Recommendations Based on Findings	69
	6.3 Assessing the Security Posture of the IT Domain – BYOD-Insure-IT Module	72
	6.3.1 Determining the Security Level of IT Controls	72
	6.3.2 Present Graphical Representation of Security Level for the IT Domain	79
	6.3.3 Calculate the Security % for the IT Domain	80
	6.3.4 Provide IT Recommendations Based on Findings	83
	6.4 Assessing the Security Posture of the User Domain – BYOD-Insure-User Module	89
	6.4.1 Determining the Security Level of User Controls	89
	6.4.2 Present Graphical Representation of Security Level for the User Domain	91
	6.4.3 Calculate the Security % for the User domain	92
	6.4.4 Provide User Recommendations Based on Findings	94
	6.5 Assessing the Security Posture of the Mobile Device Domain BYOD-Insure Mobile Device Module	95

6.5.1 Determining the Security Level of Mobile Device Controls	96
6.5.2 Present Graphical Representation of Security Level for the Mobile I	Device Domain .99
6.5.3 Calculate the Security % for the Mobile Device Domain	100
6.5.4 Provide Mobile Device recommendations based on findings	103
6.6 Assessing the Organization's Global Security Posture	106
6.7 Chapter Summary	108
CHAPTER 7: Artifact - Evaluation	109
7.1 Overview	109
7.2 Evaluation - Formative & Summative Validity	109
7.3 Evaluation - Model's Characteristics & Meeting Requirements for a S	Solution110
7.3.1 Model Characteristics	110
7.3.2 Meeting Initial Requirements for a Solution	111
7.4 Evaluation – Descriptive Scenarios for Low, Moderate and High BYO Posture	•
7.4.1 Scenario – Low Security Posture with Respect to BYOD	113
7.4.2 Scenario – Moderate Security Posture with Respect to BYOD	118
7.4.3 Scenario – High Security Posture with Respect to BYOD	123
7.5 Evaluation - Comparative Analysis	127
7.6 Chapter Summary	130
CHAPTER 8: Summary and Conclusions	131
8.1 BYOD-Insure	131
8.2 Research Contributions	132
8.2.1 Theoretical Contribution.	132
8.2.2 Practical Contribution	133

8.3 Limitations	134
8.4 Communications	134
8.5 Future Work	135
References	136
Appendix A	144
Findings and Recommendations - LOW Security Scenario - All Domains	144
Appendix B	155
Findings and Recommendations - MODERATE Security Scenario - All Domains	155
Appendix C	166
Findings and Recommendations - HIGH Security Scenario - All Domains	166

# **List of Tables**

Table 1.1 Survey Key Findings (Syntonic-ISG, 2016)	3
Table 1.2 Threats and Attacks Related to Mobile Devices (Tse et al., 2016)	4
Table 2.1 BYOD Security Issues and Considerations as per Systematic Literature Review	13
Table 3.1 BYOD Security Solution Requirements	21
Table 4.1 BYOD-Insure Domains and Security Controls	28
Table 4.2 Security Level Classification	29
Table 4.3 Example. Definition of Security Controls and Security Levels for Domain 1	29
Table 4.4 Example Security Level for an Organization's Domain 1	32
Table 4.5 Example - Format Presentation of Recommendations based on Findings	37
Table 5.1 Security Controls, Description and Domain Association	40
Table 5.2 Management Domain Security Controls	47
Table 5.3 Management Domain Security Controls with Security Level Definitions and Binar         Value Representation	-
Table 5.4 IT Domain Security Controls	50
Table 5.5 IT Domain Security Controls with Security Level Definitions and Binary Value         Representation	.51
Table 5.6 User Domain Security Controls	56
Table 5.7 User Domain Security Controls with Security Level Definitions and Binary Value Representation.	
Table 5.8 Mobile Device Domain Security Controls	58
Table 5.9 Mobile Device Domain Security Controls with Security Level Definitions and Binary Value	.58
Table 6.2.1 Example Security Posture for a Management Domain	63
Table 6.2.2 Example Summary Security Posture for Management Domain	67

Table 6.2.3 Management Security Posture Based on % Secure	69
Table 6.2.4 Example of Management Recommendations Based on Findings	70
Table 6.3.1 Example Security Posture for an IT Domain	73
Table 6.3.2 Example Summary Security Posture for IT Domain of Organization X	81
Table 6.3.3 IT Security Posture Based on %Secure	84
Table 6.3.4 Example of IT Recommendations Based on Findings	84
Table 6.4.1 Example Security Posture for a User Domain	89
Table 6.4.2 Example Summary Security Posture for User Domain of Organization X	92
Table 6.4.3 USER Security Posture Based on %Secure	94
Table 6.4.4 Example of User Recommendations Based on Findings.	95
Table 6.5.1 Example Security Posture for a Mobile Device Domain	96
Table 6.5.2 Example Summary Security Posture for Mobile Device Domain of Organizati	
X	
Table 6.5.3 MOBILE DEVICE Security Posture Based on %Secure	103
Table 6.5.4 Example of Mobile Device Recommendations Based on Findings	103
Table 6.6.1 Global Security Posture Based on %Secure	107
Table 7.3.2. Requirements for a Problem Solution	112
Table 7.4.1 Global Security Posture Based on 30 % Secure	118
Table 7.4.2 Global Security Posture Based on 41% Secure	123
Table 7.4.3.g Global Security Posture Based on 72% Secure	127
Table 7.5.1 Comparison between different types of BYOD security solutions	130
Table A.1 Findings and Recommendations for Management Domain-LOW Security Scen	
Table A.2 Findings and Recommendations for IT Domain - LOW Security Scenario	146
Table A.3 Findings and Recommendations for User Domain – LOW Security Scenario	151

cenario	52
able B.1 Findings and Recommendations for Management Domain – MODERATE Securit cenario	•
able B.2 Findings and Recommendations for IT Domain – MODERATE Security Scenario	
able B.3 Findings and Recommendations for User Domain – MODERATE Security cenario	52
able B.4 Findings and Recommendations for Mobile Device Domain – MODERATE ecurity Scenario16	53
able C.1 Findings and Recommendations for Management Domain – HIGH Security cenario16	56
able C.2 Findings and Recommendations for IT Domain – HIGH Security Scenario16	59
able C.3 Findings and Recommendations for User Domain – HIGH Security Scenario17	74
able C.4 Findings and Recommendations for Mobile Device Domain – HIGH Security cenario	74

# **List of Figures**

Figure 1.1 Expectation of Increased Smartphone Use (Syntonic, 2016)1
Figure 1.2 Organizations with BYOD Programs (Syntonic, 2016)
Figure 1.3 Concerns with Organization's BYOD Program (Syntonic, 2016)5
Figure 1.4 Perceived risks of network security threats worldwide by organization size (Cisco-Systems, 2017)6
Figure 1.5 Biggest Threats to Endpoint Security in Organizations (Ponemon-Institute, 2016).7
Figure 1.6 Factors Contributing to Endpoint Security Risks (Ponemon-Institute, 2016)7
Figure 1.7 SANS Institute Survey - Confidence Level in Security Mobile Applications and Data (Johnson & DeLaGrange, 2012)9
Figure 2.1 Institute for Critical Information Infrastructure Protection (ICIIP) conceptual framework (Kiely & Benzel, 2006)
Figure 3.1 Design Science Research Methodology – Process Model (Gregor & Hevner, 2013; Hevner et al., 2004; Peffers et al., 2007)20
Figure 4.1 Holistic Approach to BYOD Security25
Figure 4.2 Classification Scheme for Security Issues in BYOD Environments26
Figure 4.3 Comparison Process
Figure 4.4 Example Calculation for Organization's Domain 1
Figure 4.5 Example Calculation where there is NO Security Controls – Domain34
Figure 4.6 Applying Weights to Controls – An Example35
Figure 4.7 Example of Domain 1 BYOD Security Posture36
Figure 4.8 Example of a BYOD Global Security Posture Representation for an Organization
Figure 5.1 Overlap of Security Controls across Domains
Figure 5.2 Example of Overlap Across three Domains
Figure 6.2.1 Example Graphical Representation of Security Level for each Management

Control	66
Figure 6.2.2 Example Calculation of Security Posture for Management Domain	68
Figure 6.2.3 Calculation: NO Safeguards have been implemented for Management Domain	ı.69
Figure 6.3.1 Example Graphical Representation of Security Level for each IT Control	80
Figure 6.3.2 Example Calculation of Security Posture for IT Domain	82
Figure 6.3.3 Calculation: NO Safeguards have been implemented for IT Domain	83
Figure 6.4.1 Example Graphical Representation of Security Level for each User Control	91
Figure 6.4.2 Example Calculation of Security Posture for User Domain	93
Figure 6.4.3 Calculation: NO Safeguards have been implemented for User Domain	94
Figure 6.5.1 Example Graphical Representation of Security Level for each Mobile Device Control	100
Figure 6.5.2 Example Calculation of Security Posture for Mobile Device Domain	102
Figure 6.5.3 Calculation: NO Safeguards have been Implemented for Mobile Device Doma	
Figure 6.6.1 Summary BYOD Domains % Security Posture from earlier calculations	106
Figure 6.6.2 Global BYOD Security Posture for an Organization	107
Figure 7.1 Hevner's Design Evaluation Methods (Hevner et al., 2004)	113
Figure 7.4.1.a Scenario: Mgmt. & IT Security Posture – LOW	114
Figure 7.4.1.b Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture – LOW	115
Figure 7.4.1.c Scenario: Mgmt and IT Security Posture Graphical Representation – LOW1	116
Figure 7.4.1.d Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture Graphical Representation LOW	
Figure 7.4.1.e Scenario: Security % - Each Domain - LOW	117
Figure 7.4.1.f Scenario: Security % - Global - LOW	117
Figure 7.4.2.a Scenario: Mgmt. & IT Security Posture – MODERATE	119

Figure 7.4.2.b Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture – MODERATE1	119
Figure 7.4.2.c Scenario: Mgmt and IT Security Posture Graphical Representation – MODERATE	120
Figure 7.4.2.d Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture Graphical Representation MODERATE	
Figure 7.4.2.e Scenario: Security % - Each Domain - MODERATE	122
Figure 7.4.2.f Scenario: Security % - Global - MODERATE	122
Figure 7.4.3.a Scenario: Mgmt. & IT Security Posture – HIGH	123
Figure 7.4.3.b Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture – HIGH1	124
Figure 7.4.3.c Scenario: Mgmt and IT Security Posture Graphical Representation – HIGH.1	125
Figure 7.4.3.d Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture Graphical Representation	
Figure 7.4.3.e Scenario: Security % - Each Domain - HIGH	126
Figure 7.4.2.f Scenario: Security % - Global - HIGH1	127

# **CHAPTER 1: Introduction**

#### 1.1 Motivation

As the use of personal mobile devices accessing corporate data continues to grow, a phenomenon known as Bring Your Own Device (BYOD), organizations realize that allowing this type of access reduces cost and increases productivity (Bello Garba, Armarego, & Murray, 2015). The majority of employees prefer to use one device to combine access to personal and work related information (M. Ratchford, Wang, & Sbeit, 2018). This phenomenon is a by-product of IT consumerization (Ogie, 2016). The 'BYOD' is rapidly becoming the norm rather than the exception (Crossler, Long, Loraas, & Trinkle, 2014), and, whether companies like it or not, this is a trend that is happening (Absalom, 2012). Organizations expect this trend to grow. Figure 1.1 shows the expectation of growth of personal smartphones use in the work environment, where 81% of C-level management and 83% of IT expect this trend to increase. Organizations are no longer saying 'no, we do not do it', but rather asking 'how do we do it' (Thompson, 2012).




Figure 1.1 Expectation of Increased Smartphone Use (Syntonic, 2016)

The statistics regarding the proliferation and BYOD usage in the enterprise demonstrate the rate of growth and impact of this phenomenon. It is predicted that by year 2022, more than 75% of smartphones used in the organizations will be BYOD (Gartner, 2018). In 2012 it was reported that 95% of organizations allow the use of BYOD in the workplace (Cisco, 2012). In 2013 Cisco also reported that, of the 90% of Americans who use smartphones for work, 40% do not password protect it, and 51% connect to unsecure wireless networks

(Cisco, 2013). By 2020, 80% of the adults on earth will be using smartphones (RSA, 2016). The BYOD market is predicted to increase from \$30 billion in 2014 to an estimated value of \$366.95 billion by 2022 (Insights, 2016). The usage of BYOD impacts every area of an organization to include HR, finance, IT and the user community, therefore, the C-Suite (i.e. CEOs, CFOs & CIOs) become critical stakeholders in managing BYOD (Syntonic, 2016).

Other findings help understand the use of personal devices in the work environment. For example, a recent study by Weeger et al. (2020) showed results that indicate that the millennials (people born between 1980 and 1995) embrace the use of BYOD based on the benefits they perceive, while ignoring the risks (Weeger et al., 2020). In 2016, Syntonic, a consumer and enterprise mobile platform services, and Information Solutions Group (ISG), a market research organization, surveyed 501 individuals who worked for organizations with BYOD environments with more than 100 employees living in the United States. Their research finds that the larger the organization, the more likely they have formal BYOD programs in place, whereas those organizations with less than 1,000 employees allow the use of BYOD without a BYOD program in place (Figure 1.2). The BYOD areas of concern range from employees' apprehension and desire for reimbursement to concerns related to the organization's security and legal repercussion. Table 1.1 presents a summary of the findings in this research.




Figure 1.2 Organizations with BYOD Programs (Syntonic, 2016)

The SANS Institute performed a survey to determine the degree of importance with respect to BYOD security. The survey found that, while 97% indicated that security through policies needs to be incorporated, only 40% indicated that it is extremely important, and 37% indicated that it is critical (Johnson & DeLaGrange, 2012).

Table 1.1 Survey Key Findings (Syntonic-ISG, 2016)

#### Syntonic-ISG BYOD Survey - Key Findings

- Employees Feel Pressure to Use Their Personal Device for Work, Forcing them to Change Where and When they Work
- Almost half (45%) of US employees are required by their employer to use their personal smartphone for work. Of the 55% who voluntarily use their personal smartphone for work purposes, 42% admit to feeling pressured by their employer to use it outside of work
- Fifty percent of employees postpone work-related data usage until they have access to Wi-Fi to avoid dipping into their personal data plans, limiting productivity a primary benefit of BYOD, according to 43% of employers.
- Of the 29% of employees that are reimbursed for work-related usage on their personal device, over half (57%) say that reimbursement positively affects their productivity. Of those required to use their personal smartphones for work, nearly three quarters (73%) reported that it is very or somewhat important to be reimbursed
- BYOD Mandate or Not, Employees Still Use Their Personal Mobile Device
- Two thirds (64%) of employees use their personal smartphone for work, regardless of whether their company requires them to do so.
- In Syntonic-ISG's earlier employer survey, 87% of companies rely on employees to have access to mobile business apps from their personal smartphones, yet 40% do not have a formal BYOD policy in place.
- The new survey finds that awareness and availability of BYOD policies are lacking, with almost half (43%) of employees unaware of their company's BYOD policy, or who work for a company with no policy at all.
- US Employees Uninformed of Labor Laws Requiring BYOD Reimbursement, but Strongly Support More Legislation
- Only 24% of employees are aware that labor laws requiring reimbursement already exist in several states vs. 71% of employers who are aware of the laws.
- Eighty-two percent of employees would favor laws that require companies to reimburse the use of personal smartphones for work purposes
- Over half (58%) of employees surveyed, believe it is important to be reimbursed for work related usage on a personal phone, but only 39% of employees who are not currently reimbursed have asked for it
- Of the 69% of employers that are reimbursing employees, more than one-third (36%) of employers named legal compliance as a key motivator to provide fair reimbursement
- Forty-five percent of CEOs are extremely concerned about the recent ruling in Cochran v. Schwan's Home Service, which requires companies to reimburse employees for work-related use of their personal smartphone

#### 1.2 Problem Identification


Often, organizations adopt BYOD environments without taking in consideration security vulnerabilities introduced via BYODs. Concerns associated with BYOD include insecure connections, lost or stolen device, malware, work product created on personal devices, and access and permissions (Shumate & Ketel, 2014). BYODs are subject to threats and attacks as explained by Tse et al (Tse, Wang, & Li, 2016) and depicted in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2 Threats and Attacks Related to Mobile Devices (Tse et al., 2016)

Threats & Attacks		Description
Sniffing		Tapping or eavesdropping, E.g. GSM A/1 cracked
Spam		Email spam and MMS message spam, e.g. unsolicited
		MMS
Spoofing		Spoof "Caller ID" or MMS "Sender ID", e.g. spoofed
		MMS message from 611
Phishing		Steal personal information using a spoofed target mobile
		application
Pharming		Redirect web traffic to a malicious website and followed
		by more specific attacks
Vishing		Voice phishing by utilizing VoIP technique
Data leakage		Unauthorized transmission of data, e.g. mobile virus
		ZitMo
Vulnerabilities of Webkit engine		Vulnerability allowing attackers to crash user
		applications and execute code, e.g. the Webkit
		vulnerability revealed by CrowdStrike
	Jamming	Jamming radio channel
	Flooding	MMS message flooding attacks and incoming phone call
DoS		flooding attacks
	Exhausting	Battery exhaustion attack
	Blocking	Use smartphone blocking functions to disable
		smartphone

The research indicates there is lack of 1) awareness of the security issues with respect to the BYOD phenomenon, and 2) the implementation of countermeasures to mitigate the inherent BYOD security risks. Independent of organization size, BYOD security needs to be part of the information security program of the organization. Large organizations may have weak BYOD programs, and mid-size to small size organization may not have one at all (Syntonic, 2016). The inability to differentiate between corporate and personal data, and the lack of adequate security are some of the main security concerns of BYOD environments. Refer to Figure 1.3.

Three-fourths (75%) of the respondents have concerns about their current BYOD program with the **ability to differentiate between personal and business use** being the number one concern, followed by a **lack of adequate security** 



	Overall	
It is challenging to differentiate between personal and business usage		
It does not provide enough security		
The cost of reimbursing employees for their mobile usage is too high		
Our IT help desk can't keep up with employee requests	20%	
The support costs are too high		
The return on our BYOD investment is unclear		
It creates too much administrative overhead		
It is creating too much confusion among employees		
We don't have a way to calculate employee reimbursement for work-related mobile expenses		
We don't have any concerns about our existing BYOD program		

<sup>\*</sup>Respondents were allowed to check all that apply

Figure 1.3 Concerns with Organization's BYOD Program (Syntonic, 2016)

New security risks and challenges are raised with the use of BYODs (Yong Wang, Jinpeng Wei, & Karthik Vangury, 2014). BYODs can easily be lost or stolen. Many threats and attacks including spoofing, phishing, sniffing, spam, and denial-of-service have also been found targeting BYODs (Yong Wang et al., 2014). Corporate data can be leaked when accessing BYODs within or outside of emails (Disterer & Kleiner, 2013).

In Germany, 33% privately-owned devices are serving dual-use beyond the use of email and telephony (Disterer & Kleiner, 2013), and these personally owned devices may cause greater threats to organizations than their own assets (Yang, Vlas, Yang, & Vlas, 2013). Organizations are also exposed to legal issues – privacy laws - protected by the 4<sup>th</sup> amendment of the U.S. Constitution (U.S.-Government, 1791) in favor of the BYOD owner (Absalom, 2012; M. Ratchford et al., 2018). This law applies to BYODs since the device is the property of the individual; therefore this restricts the organization when protecting the corporate data that resides in the BYOD (Utter & Rea, 2015). 'The need to manage the BYOD practice is undeniable' (Yang et al., 2013).

In addition to Advanced Persistent Threats (APT), organizations are realizing that the proliferation of BYOD

and smart devices pose high cyber security risks (Cisco-Systems, 2017). Figure 1.4 shows the results of a Cisco mid-year cybersecurity report for 2017 where the security risks are listed based on the opinion of organizations of different sizes. The APTs, proliferation of BYODs, and regulatory compliance are among the main concerns of network security.




Figure 1.4 Perceived risks of network security threats worldwide by organization size (Cisco-Systems, 2017)

Likewise, the Ponemon Institute (2016) found threat increased to endpoint security for organizations during 2014-2016. Figure 1.5 shows that employees' carelessness and the use of multiple mobile devices (including BYODs) represent main threats. The threats in the workplace by insecure mobile devices increased from 33% to 50% from 2013 to 2016 (Ponemon-Institute, 2016). The use of commercial cloud applications through BYODs also pose security risks. BYOD users need to consider security when utilizing resources available on the cloud (Lennon, 2012). Figure 1.6 describes the factors contributing to endpoint security risks where the increase of cloud computing usage represents a high risk.




Figure 1.5 Biggest Threats to Endpoint Security in Organizations (Ponemon-Institute, 2016)




Figure 1.6 Factors Contributing to Endpoint Security Risks (Ponemon-Institute, 2016)

When BYODs are adopted in an organization, all the security concerns need to be treated as an integrated solution rather than the traditional 'technology alone approach' (Zahadat, Blessner, Blackburn, & Olson, 2015). When organizations adopt the use of BYOD, the confidentiality, integrity and availability (CIA) of the corporate data need to be preserved (Murugiah Souppaya & Karen Scarfone, 2013).

It is also worth mentioning the risks to organizations created by the new Internet of Things (IoT) technology. Everyday objects such as home appliances, medical devices and wearable devices are capable of connecting through the Internet in order to sense, network, and communicate with each other in order to achieve a specific task (Siboni, Shabtai, & Elovici, 2018; Whitmore, Agarwal, & Da Xu, 2015). The use of wearables such as smartwatches accessing the organization's networks, can incur in network security breaches in BYOD environments, and this is possible because these types of mobile IoT devices have limited resources (i.e. limited power source, memory size, poor computational capabilities therefore poor authentication and encryption mechanisms) making them easy targets to new types of attacks (Siboni et al., 2018). Furthermore, Siboni et al. (2018) raise the concern posed by mobile IoT devices connecting to enterprise systems by describing a scenario whereby a vulnerability in a smartwatch device (that belongs to an innocent employee) is compromised in order to obtain sensitive corporate information.

A SANS Institute survey also found that employees lack confidence in the security for BYOD provided by their organizations. Most of the respondents do not have confidence with respect to the security controls implemented by the organization. Based on the confidence level, the survey found that respondents feel email is among the most protected application whereas cloud storage, social media, collaboration environments and custom applications are not (Johnson & DeLaGrange, 2012). Figure 1.7 shows the level of confidence in securing mobile applications and data as reported by SANS' survey.

# Confidence in Securing Mobile Applications and Data




Figure 1.7 SANS Institute Survey - Confidence Level in Security Mobile Applications and Data (Johnson & DeLaGrange, 2012)

The literature review presented in Chapter 2 discusses the need for a comprehensive approach when protecting organizations adopting BYODs. Several approaches to securing BYOD environments for organizations can be found in few formats including checklists or by-hand approaches, general frameworks, and best practices documentation ((Alotaibi & Almagwashi, 2018; Bello Garba et al., 2015; Citrix Systems, 2012; ISACA, 2016; Romer, 2014; Zahadat et al., 2015). However, there is a lack of automated or practical tools to assess the individual posture of an organization with respect to BYOD.

# 1.3 Research Objective

In order to address the gap in knowledge discussed above, this work aims to help organizations secure their BYOD environments by providing a process/model that a) identifies security weaknesses in their own BYOD environments, b) recommends safeguards to mitigate BYOD security risks and c) creates awareness with respect to BYOD. It is also the objective of this research, to d) demonstrate and evaluate the utility and usefulness of the model when organizations exhibit low, moderate or high security postures with respect to BYOD.

# 1.4 Research Questions

This research aims to answer the following questions:

- 1) In order to protect the enterprise and its corporate data, how can an organization identify and mitigate the security risks associated with BYOD?
- 2) How can a holistic approach to security strengthen the security posture of BYOD environments?

The above questions generate the following sub-questions:

- a) What are the security controls that management needs to consider and authorize?
- b) What are the security controls that IT departments need to implement?
- c) What are the security controls that BYOD users need to follow?
- d) What are the security controls, with regard to mobile device solutions, the organizations need to consider?

# 1.5 Research Design

By employing design science research (DSR) methodology, this research presents a model to assess organizations' BYOD security posture. The model adopts a holistic approach to security where the main areas of an organization (i.e. Management, IT, Users, and Mobile Device Solutions) are assessed based on an optimal set of security controls. The model provides a non-ambiguous assessment process that uses diagrams and tables to identify security vulnerabilities and provide recommendations for risk mitigation based on the security posture of the organization being assed. Organizations considering the adoption of BYOD can use this model to obtain an individualized security assessment before BYOD implementation. In the same manner, organizations already in BYOD environments can use BYOD-Insure to assess their current BYOD security controls and strengthen their security posture. Likewise, auditors and other security professionals can use this tool to aid in their security assessments projects.

### 1.6 Dissertation Outline

This dissertation is divided into 8 chapters as follows:

- *Chapter 1:* Introduction. Describes the research problem and research objectives. It also poses the research questions and provides a brief description of research methodology for the artifact being developed.
- *Chapter 2*: Literature Review. Presents a review of the literature related to holistic approach to information security, the literature associated with BYOD security issues, and a discussion of the gap in the literature with respect to the security of BYOD environments.
- *Chapter 3*: Research Methodology. Discusses design science research methodology (DSR), and the DSR process used in the development of the model presented in this research.
- *Chapter 4:* Artifact Architecture. Discusses concepts of holistic approach to security, and describes the architecture and design of the BYOD-Insure model. It presents an overview of the artifact, the assessment process, the calculations, and the results.
- *Chapter 5:* Artifact Security Controls Development. Describes the security controls associated with BYOD, and presents the controls associated with the domains of an organization corresponding to Management, IT, User, and Mobile Device.
- *Chapter 6:* Artifact Demonstration. Demonstrates the functionality of each BYOD-Insure module, where an example for each domain is presented independently.
- *Chapter 7:* Artifact Evaluation. Evaluates BYOD-Insure based on its formative/summative validity, models characteristics, descriptive scenarios, and comparative analysis.
- Chapter 8: Summary and Conclusions. Summarizes the model, and discusses its limitations, research contribution and future work.

# 1.7 Chapter Summary

This chapter discussed the BYOD phenomenon and identified the security problems it represents to organizations. It presents statistics of the growing tendency in the adoption of BYOD independent of the size of the organization. The research objective is stated, as well as the questions it aims to answer by designing a process (artifact) that aids organization to secure their BYOD environments. Finally, the outline of this dissertation is stated. The next chapter presents the literature review for this research.

# **CHAPTER 2: Literature Review**

# 2.1 Holistic Approach to Information Security

Enterprise security has been a topic of concern since the development of the Internet. The goals of information assurance include the preservation of confidentiality, integrity and availability (CIA) of the organization's information. *Confidentiality* ensures that people who are supposed to have access to information are the only people who have access to that information. *Integrity* ensures that information can be trusted, and that no one has manipulated it; information can be traced back to the source and information can be relied upon to make decisions. *Availability* ensures that information can be accessed by the people who are supposed to access it, from the location planned, and for the duration planned (Hasib, 2014). Several frameworks have been proposed in order to provide information protection to organizations. McCumber (McCumber, 2004) explains the security of information when associating the critical information characteristics of confidentiality, integrity, and availability with the security measures established through technology, policies, and human factors as the information is transmitted, stored or processed. 'Enterprise security includes all of an organization's aspects' as stated by Kiely and Benzel (2006) and depicted by their Institute for Critical Information Infrastructure Protection (ICIIP) conceptual framework shown in Figure 2.1. In the framework, the authors define elements beyond the traditional people, process and technology by depicting a 3D pyramid that includes elements (and their relationships) necessary to secure systems (Kiely & Benzel, 2006).




Figure 2.1 Institute for Critical Information Infrastructure Protection (ICIIP) conceptual framework (Kiely & Benzel, 2006)

Subsequent models have added authentication and non-repudiation to the traditional goals (Maconachy, Schou, Ragsdale, & Welch, 2001) to the McCumber's (200) model, where authentication is a component of confidentiality, and non-repudiation is a component of integrity (Hasib, 2014). Hasib further expanded the information assurance existing models by defining a cybersecurity model that includes mission, risk, and governance as part of security foundation and stating that these elements are always improving over time (Hasib, 2014).

With respect to BYOD, Zahadat et al. (2015) propose BYOD security framework to address concerns with respect to BYOD security by discussing safeguards associated with IT, Management and Users in order to integrate technology, policy management, and people and thus protect BYOD environments (Zahadat et al., 2015). Therefore, when implementing a holistic approach to BYOD security, an organization is in a better position to mitigate the risks associated with this phenomenon.

# 2.2 BYOD Security Issues

Drawing from Fenz's Security Relationship Model (Fenz & Ekelhart, 2009) and the ISO/IEC 27001:2013 standard (27001Academy, 2017b; Disterer, 2013b), the definition for *BYOD security issues* refers to any type of security concern that represents a threat to organizational assets through the exploitation of a vulnerability, where the implementation of controls is needed in order to mitigate the risks to the organization's assets. A systematic literature review identified BYOD-related security issues which are presented in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 BYOD Security Issues and Considerations as per Systematic Literature Review

	Security Issues & Considerations	Keywords	Articles
1.	Access Control	Authorization Authentication Access control	(Bann, Singh, & Samsudin, 2015) (Chung, Chung, Escrig, Bai, & Endicott-Popovsky, 2012) (Ali, Qureshi, & Abbasi, 2015) (Zheng, Cao, & Chang, 2018)
2.	Applications	Application program Interface Applications	(Thielens, 2013) (Antonio Scarfo, 2012)
3.	Best Practices	General Best practices	(Abubakar Garba, Murray, & Armarego, 2017) (Romer, 2014) (Alotaibi & Almagwashi, 2018) (Antonio Scarfo, 2012)
4.	BYOD Programs	BYOD Program	(Shumate & Ketel, 2014)
5.	Cloud Access	Cloud Computing	(Selviandro, Wisudiawan, Puspitasari, & Adrian, 2015)

	Security Issues & Considerations	Keywords	Articles
		Cloud Solutions Cloud Storage	(Morrow, 2012) (Zhang & Wei, 2017) (Antonio Scarfo, 2012) (Samaras, Daskapan, Ahmad, & Ray, 2014) (Moreira, Cota, & Gonçalves, 2016) (Lennon, 2012) (Downer & Bhattacharya, 2015)
6.	Compliance	User compliance Compliance	(Musarurwa, Flowerday, & Cilliers, 2018) (Ocano, Ramamurthy, & Wang, 2015)
7.	Corporate Data Protection	Data security Data leakage Data exfiltration Data infiltration Data confidentiality Data integrity	(Morrow, 2012) (Garba, Armarego, Murray, & Kenworthy, 2015) (Zhang & Wei, 2017) (Woodring & El-Said, 2014) (Y. Wang, J. Wei, & K. Vangury, 2014) (Antonio Scarfo, 2012) (Petrov & Znati, 2018) (Ocano et al., 2015)
8.	Education	Training Awareness Risk awareness Education	(Ketel & Shumate, 2015) (Shumate & Ketel, 2014) (Saa, Moscoso-Zea, & Lujan-Mora, 2017) (Ketel & Shumate, 2015) (Downer & Bhattacharya, 2015)
9.	User/Employee Behavior/Attitude	Employees Employee behavior Employee attitude Personal information Intrusiveness User compliance End-users	(Musarurwa, Flowerday, & Cilliers, 2018) (Abubakar Garba et al., 2017) (Cho & Ip, 2018) (Hovav & Putri, 2016) (Antonio Scarfo, 2012) (Lennon, 2012) (Giwah, 2018) (Ocano, Ramamurthy, & Wang, 2015) (Hovav & Putri, 2016)
10.	IT consumerization	Consumerization	(Vignesh & Asha, 2015) (Scarfo, 2012) (Ogie, 2016) (Weeger et al., 2020)
11.	Legal	Law Legal issues	(Oktavia, Tjong, Prabowo, & Meyliana, 2016) (Alotaibi & Almagwashi, 2018)
12.	Malware	Computer viruses Malware	(Wang, Wei, & Vangury, 2014) (Salles-Loustau, Garcia, Joshi, & Zonouz, 2016) (Li, Huang, Huang, & Peng, 2014) (Chung, Chung, Escrig, Bai, & Endicott-Popovsky, 2012) (Chang, Ho, & Chang, 2014)
13.	Mobile Device Security	Mobile security Electronic devices BYOD solutions Mobile device Deployments Device Security Mobile device mgmt. solutions Device Patches/Upgrades	(Wei, Feng, Han, Xukai, & Jie, 2013) (Y. Wang et al., 2014) (Tse et al., 2016) (Scarfo, 2012) (Rai, 2015) (Ogie, 2016) (Ali, Qureshi, & Abbasi, 2015)
14.	Monitoring	Monitoring	(Woodring & El-Said, 2014) (Stoecklin et al., 2016) (Downer & Bhattacharya, 2015)
15.	Network	Network Security Mobile Communication Networks Wireless networks Virtual Private Networks Wireless Access Points	(Morrow, 2012) (Zahadat, Blessner, Blackburn, & Olson, 2015) (Miller, Voas, & Hurlburt, 2012a) (Musarurwa et al., 2018) (Tokuyoshi, 2013) (Abubakar Garba, Murray, & Armarego, 2017) (Thielens, 2013) (Woodring & El-Said, 2014) (Wang, Wei, & Vangury, 2014) (Saa, Moscoso-Zea, & Lujan-Mora, 2017)

	Security Issues & Considerations	Keywords	Articles
			(Ketel, 2018)
			(Chang, Ho, & Chang, 2014)
			(AlHarthy & Shawkat, 2013)
16.	Policies	Policies	(Fabricio & Rodriguez Rafael, 2018)
		Security Policies	(Cho & Ip, 2018)
		Personnel Policies	(Vignesh & Asha, 2015)
		Employment Policies	(Bann, Singh, & Samsudin, 2015)
		Policy Enforcement	(Wang, Wei, & Vangury, 2014)
		Policy Implementation	(Shumate & Ketel, 2014)
			(Salles-Loustau, Garcia, Joshi, & Zonouz, 2016)
			(Ocano, Ramamurthy, & Wang, 2015)
			(Ketel & Shumate, 2015)
			(Hajdarevic, Allen, & Spremic, 2016)
			(Downer & Bhattacharya, 2015)
			(Chang, Ho, & Chang, 2014)
			(Armando, Costa, Verderame, & Merlo, 2014)
			(Alotaibi & Almagwashi, 2018)
17.	User Privacy	Privacy	(Miller, Voas, & Hurlburt, 2012a)
		Data privacy	(Garba, Armarego, Murray, & Kenworthy, 2015)
		Computer privacy	(Abubakar Garba, Murray, & Armarego, 2017)
		Employee Privacy	(Zheng, Cao, & Chang, 2018)
			(Woodring & El-Said, 2014)
			(Salles-Loustau, Garcia, Joshi, & Zonouz, 2016) (Saa, Moscoso-Zea, & Lujan-Mora, 2017)
			(Oktavia, Tjong, Prabowo, & Meyliana, 2016)
			(Miller, Voas, & Hurlburt, 2012b)
			(Alotaibi & Almagwashi, 2018)
			(Ali, Qureshi, & Abbasi, 2015)
18.	Risk Management	Enterprise risk management	(Zahadat, Blessner, Blackburn, & Olson, 2015)
		Risk analysis	(Tanimoto et al., 2016)
		Risk assessment	(Petrov & Znati, 2018)
		Risk Management	(Ogie, 2016)
			(Ketel & Shumate, 2015)
			(k. Al, Shah, & Shankarappa, 2018)
			(Hajdarevic, Allen, & Spremic, 2016)
19.	Security Management	Security Management	(Musarurwa, Flowerday, & Cilliers, 2018)
20.	Separation of data	Isolation of data	(Wang, Wei, & Vangury, 2014)
		Separation of data	(Ocano, Ramamurthy, & Wang, 2015)
21.	Governance	C-level	(Garba, Armarego, Murray, & Kenworthy, 2015)
		Chief Executive Officers	(Selviandro, Wisudiawan, Puspitasari, & Adrian, 2015)
		Corporate Culture	(Baillette, Barlette, & Leclercq-Vandelannoitte, 2018)
		Organizational practice	(Ketel & Shumate, 2015)
		Governance	(Fani, Solms, & Gerber, 2016)
			(Musarurwa, Flowerday, & Cilliers, 2018)
22.	Vietuolization	Virtualization	(Abubakar Garba, Murray, & Armarego, 2017) (Petrov & Znati, 2018)
22.	Virtualization	virtualization	(Ocano, Ramamurthy, & Wang, 2015)
			(Ketel, 2018)
23.	User Support	Helpdesk	(NCIOI, 2010)
۷۵.	Oser Support	Herpuesk	(II
			(Hovav & Putri, 2016)

The literature review presented in the next sections covers aspects of BYOD security as it relates to the Management, IT, Users and Mobile Devices associated to an organization. The findings are grouped by domains as follows:

# 2.2.1 Management

At the organizational level, there is the need to design structures and strategies to allow the enterprise to compete effectively, to define its risk tolerance, and to create governance practice that elevates security to a top priority level (Kiely & Benzel, 2006). Management needs to adopt a holistic approach to secure the information of an organization. This includes the overseeing of security-related activities such as the development and execution of information security policies, the compliance of training of awareness programs, the development of the organization's information architecture, IT infrastructure and business alignment, and human resources management (Soomro, Shah, & Ahmed, 2016).

The decision to adopt BYOD needs to be made at the executive level of an organization, since governance is critical to the success of BYOD (Thompson, 2012). BYOD should be subject to monitoring and oversight by management (ISACA, 2016). Policies need to be determined at the management level, however there are inconsistent security policies and this gap in security policies are the genesis for most security failures (Zahadat et al., 2015). In addition, security is a corporate governance responsibility and a business issue that needs to be addressed separately from the traditional technical considerations (Von Solms, 2006; Zahadat et al., 2015). With respect to BYOD, Management responsibilities can be categorized/associated with sub-domains such as governance, risk management, training and awareness, legal issues, help desk, policies, and HR.

### 2.2.2 IT

IT represents the domain responsible for developing and implementing the technological approach to protect the organization's information and stay ahead of possible threats that can corrupt the systems (Kiely & Benzel, 2006). IT departments are the enablers of the BYOD environments (Zahadat et al., 2015). The use of these devices for personal and corporate access creates a new set of threats for IT departments (A. Scarfo, 2012). IT is the domain with most security responsibilities associated with implementation of BYOD. It is responsible for planning and minimizing security risks to the network (Hernandez & Choi, 2014). This includes implementation of security controls related to wireless communications, Virtual Private Networks (VPN), cellular technologies, Wi-Fi,

Bluetooth, and network monitoring tools. IT is also responsible for security issues related to third party access, employees access control, data protection, device configuration, cloud access, encryption, anti-malware, patch updates, and mobile device issues such as apps control, device detection, jailbreak/root, browser, and password enforcement. IT departments need to provide Helpdesk and user support in order to increase employees' compliance (Hovav & Putri, 2016). In addition, IT plays important security roles in training and awareness programs, policy enforcement, and risk assessments. However, in order to properly implement security controls, there needs to be an IT alignment with the business needs and organizational strategies in order to reduce security incidents (Soomro et al., 2016).

#### **2.2.3 Users**

'Humans are the most critical element in information security management' (Soomro et al., 2016). There is a positive effect to information security when the employees are properly trained and are aware of security issues, however, they can act with malicious intent when stealing the organization's information (Soomro et al., 2016). The users represent the people who must 1) practice fundamental security hygiene (i.e., implement security practice and procedures such as strong and frequently passwords, separation of duty, etc.) and 2) be properly trained in order to secure the organization's communications and its corporate data since the 'the human factor is vital to managing and perfecting security' (Kiely & Benzel, 2006). When users are allowed to use their personal devices to access the organization's system, the users' perception is influenced by the security controls imposed by the organization (e.g., data encryption, remote wipe, VPN) and the liabilities (e.g., possible job termination, financial impact, loss of privacy) the user may incur, and this perception influences the user's behavior (Yang et al., 2013). Therefore, the organization needs to ensure the user understands, agrees and signs the relevant policies before connectivity is allowed. Business needs to be clear with employees in order to avoid confusion and protect the organization against BYOD-related risks (United-Kingdom, 2012). Other BYOD-related issues, that affect users, involve privacy and intrusiveness concerns, especially when personal and corporate data comingle in the same space. The privacy paradox (Gerber, Gerber, & Volkamer, 2018) between user's privacy concerns and actual user's behavior needs to be addressed through training, awareness, and policies. Users' concerns also include issues related to mobile device resource consumption associated with agents (or special

applications) that need to be installed on the device (by the organization) for device enrollment and monitoring purposes (Y. Wang et al., 2014).

#### 2.2.4 Mobile Devices

There are several options organizations need to consider before allowing BYODs. These range from complete virtualization to various forms of device control. When considering mobile device security, the goals for a secure BYOD environment are space isolation (separation of personal and corporate data), security policy enforcement, corporate data protection, non-intrusiveness, low-resource consumption, and true space isolation (i.e. corporate data not stored in user's mobile device) (Gimenez, Ramamurthy, & Wang, 2015). The properties that these goals address include confidentiality, integrity, availability, authentication, authorization, accountability and privacy (Gimenez et al., 2015). Furthermore, the implementation of security controls that directly affect the mobile device itself involves responsibilities (security controls) associated across the domains discussed earlier. For example, a device lost or stolen situation involves IT (i.e., IT needs to execute a device wipe), the User (i.e., the user needs to report the loss of the device), Management (i.e. there needs to be a policy that requires the user to report the loss of the compromised device). There are different solutions available when seeking to manage BYODs (Tse et al., 2016).

# 2.3 Gap in the Literature

Although there is literature covering different aspects of BYOD security for organizations, there is not enough research covering a comprehensive approach when protecting organizations adopting BYODs. The current literature provides valuable information and guidelines to understand the BYOD paradigm, its challenges (Ghosh, Gajar, & Rai, 2013; A. Scarfo, 2012; Y. Wang, Streff, & Raman, 2012; Yong Wang et al., 2014), and the need for BYOD security awareness and training programs (Harris, Patten, & Regan, 2013). Legal considerations for organizations and guidance for BYOD policies are also discussed as new legal issues surface when corporate data resides on an employee's personal device (Absalom, 2012; Utter & Rea, 2015).

Comprehensive approach to securing BYOD environments for organizations can be found in few formats including checklists or by-hand approaches, however, there is a lack for automated or

practical tools to assess the individual posture of an organization with respect to BYOD. For example, 1) ISACA, a global association for industry-leading knowledge for Information Systems, has a BYOD audit program that helps identify specific security controls in management and IT (ISACA, 2016). However, the program represents a checklist of items and does not include areas related to mobile device solutions and users' behavior. Another example of comprehensive approach is discussed by Zahadat (Zahadat et al., 2015). It 2) proposes a BYOD security framework that addresses issues related to technology, policy management, and people. However, their research only provides a roadmap to organizations as they implement their BYOD programs (Zahadat et al., 2015). Although their framework contemplates many BYOD related security controls, it does not consider users' concerns such as privacy and intrusiveness. Consolidation of security controls can be found in other publications, however, the approach followed does not include all the four domains proposed in this research. Other type of information to guide the security of BYOD environments can be found in literature for 3) BYOD Best Practices (Alotaibi & Almagwashi, 2018; Citrix Systems, 2012; Romer, 2014). Although this type of information provides guidance, it does not assess the organization's current posture with respect to BYOD.

# 2.4 Chapter Summary

This chapter presents a literature review where concepts of holistic approach to security are discussed based on existing models. It also presents a review of the literature that identifies BYOD security issues related to an organization's domains corresponding to Management, IT, Users and personal Mobile Devices. Finally, the gaps in the literature are discussed. The next chapter explains the research methodology.

# **CHAPTER 3: Research Methodology**

## 3.1 Research Method: Design Science Research (DSR)

Hevner et al (2004) explain Design Science as the methodology that 'creates and evaluates IT artifacts intended to solve identified organizational problems' (Hevner, March, Park, & Ram, 2004). Design science research method (DSRM) is the research methodology used to develop BYOD-Insure. The seminal works on DSRM are used as foundation for this research. Peffers (2007) describes the design science process/model. This research has adopted Peffers' (2007) Problem Centered Approach based on his model. Figure 1 describes the Peffers' model for DSRM as follows: 1. Problem identification and motivation, 2. Definition of the objectives for a solution, 3. Design and development, 4. Demonstration, 5. Evaluation, and 6. Communication (Peffers, Tuunanen, Rothenberger, & Chatterjee, 2007).




Figure 3.1 Design Science Research Methodology – Process Model (Gregor & Hevner, 2013; Hevner et al., 2004; Peffers et al., 2007)

Hevner (2004), also provide clear guidelines for the IS researchers and practitioners regarding how to 'conduct, evaluate, and present good design science research' (Hevner et al., 2004). His Seven Guidelines for Design Science Research include: 1. Design as an artifact, 2. Problem relevance, 3.

design evaluation, 4. research contributions, 5.research rigor, 6. design as a search process, and 7. communication of research (Hevner et al., 2004).

Gregor (2013), further discusses DSR concepts and methods. For this research, the benefits from Gregor are two-fold: a) exaptation where known solutions apply to new problems, and b) a schema to structure design science paper for publication as follows: 1. Introduction, 2. Literature Review, 3. Method, 4.Artifact Description, 5. Evaluation, 6. Discussion/Contribution, 7. Conclusion. (Gregor & Hevner, 2013).

#### 3.2 DSR Process for BYOD-Insure

The following presents a brief summary of the six steps of the design science process as proposed by Peffers (2007), as it applies to the development of the BYOD-Insure model.

#### 3.2.1 Problem Identification and Motivation

The purpose of this first step is to 'define the specific research problem and justify the value of a solution' (Peffers et al., 2007). In this research, the specific research problem refers to the security of an organization's information in BYOD environments. The information in this research is valuable because there is a need to create organizational awareness with respect to inherent security issues when BYODs are adopted. This awareness is critical in order to mitigate the risks associated with BYOD. When organizations know the specific vulnerabilities associated with their environments, they are in better position to implement the appropriate safeguards to secure their information.

# 3.2.2 Definition of the Objectives and Requirements for a Solution

During this step, the objectives of the solution are inferred 'from the problem definition and knowledge of what is possible and feasible' (Peffers et al., 2007). In order to address the issues posed by this manuscript's research questions, there are a set of requirements that must be met. Table 3.1 enumerates and describes these requirements.

Table 3.1 BYOD Security Solution Requirements

	Requirements
R1	Understand the risks and vulnerabilities associated with BYODs.

R2	Define a comprehensive set of security controls including
	management, IT, users, and mobile device solutions for
	organizations adopting BYODs.
R3	Design a non-ambiguous assessment process that identifies security
	vulnerabilities in BYOD environments.
R4	Provide actionable recommendations to mitigate BYOD related
	security risks.

## 3.2.3 Design and Development

The purpose of this step is to 'create the artifact' where the 'research contribution is embedded in the design' (Peffers et al., 2007). This research creates a model (BYOD-Insure) that assesses the security posture of an organization with respect to BYOD. Its design is grounded in existing mathematical algorithms used to compare the security posture from two organizations. Casola et al. (2007) first proposed this type of analysis when comparing Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) policies. BYOD-Insure adopts this analysis and adapts it to the assessment of BYOD environments in order to identify BYOD-related risks and propose safeguards to mitigate specific risks. The specifics of its design and development are discussed in Chapter 4 and Chapter 5 of this manuscript.

#### 3.2.4 Demonstration

The objective of this step of DSRM is to 'demonstrate the use of the artifact to solve one or more instances of the problem' (Peffers et al., 2007). BYOD-Insure is demonstrated by presenting the assessment of the security posture of each of the domains proposed in the holistic approach framework. Each domain is assessed by performing the matrix calculations for each of the modules that comprise BYOD-Insure: BYOD-Insure-Management module, BYOD-Insure-IT module, BYOD-Insure-User module, BYOD-Insure-Mobile-Device module and BYOD-Insure-Global Module. The results of the security assessment for each module are depicted using diagrams and tables that include findings and recommendations. The specifics of this demonstration are discussed in Chapter 6, section 6.1.

#### 3.2.5 Evaluation

The artifact's evaluation is based on 1) formative and summative validity of the model, 2) model's unique characteristics of its design and requirements, 3) a comparative analysis with existing modalities, and 4) recommendations for DSR evaluation methods proposed by Hevner (2004). With

respect to the latter, for BYOD-Insure, a descriptive approach in the form of a 'detailed scenarios around the artifact to demonstrate its utility' (Hevner et al., 2004) has been adopted. The evaluation presents three detailed scenarios with respect to BYOD security: 1) low security, 2) moderate security and 3) high security. Chapter 7 sections 7.1 - 7.4 presents the model's evaluation.

#### 3.2.6 Communication

The purpose of this last step of the DSRM process is to 'communicate the problem and its importance, the artifact, its utility and novelty, the rigor of its design ad its effectiveness' (Peffers et al., 2007). For this research, several articles have been published as preamble to this manuscript. Chapter 8, section 8.3 describes the publications related to this research.

# **3.3** Chapter Summary

This chapter discusses concepts of Design Science Research (DSR) methodology as the chosen research method for this project. It briefly explains the Peffers (2007) framework for developing an artifact using DSR. Then, the BYOD-Insure model is discussed as a model defined following the DSR framework which includes the phases corresponding to problem identification, objectives of a solution, artifact design and development, artifact demonstration, evaluation, and communication. The next chapter delves into the architecture of the BYOD-Insure artifact.

# **CHAPTER 4: Artifact - Architecture**

## 4.1 Securing BYOD Environments using a Holistic Approach

The ultimate goal of the BYOD security controls is to preserve the confidentiality, integrity and availability (CIA) of the organization's information (Murugiah Souppaya & Karen Scarfone, 2013). With respect to BYOD, *confidentiality* is maintained when the security controls prevent corporate data from being disclosed; *integrity* is maintained when security controls prevent corporate data from being wrongfully modified or deleted; and *availability* is maintained when BYOD security solutions maintain low resource consumption so that the mobile device does not become unusable (Gimenez et al., 2015). In order to protect the CIA of the organization's information, a holistic approach to securing BYOD environments is necessary. This means that the entire organization needs to be part of the security solution (Zahadat et al., 2015).

The holistic approach to security is further expanded by Hasib (2014) when introducing concepts of cybersecurity by defining it as 'Cybersecurity is the mission-focused and risk-optimized governance of information, which maximizes confidentiality, integrity, and availability using a balanced mix of people, policy, and technology while perennially improving over time' (Hasib, 2014). Hasib proposes a cybersecurity model that strengthens the McCumber's cube model (McCumber, 2004) and the Maconachy's model (Maconachy et al., 2001) by adding that mission, risk and governance are essential elements of information assurance (Hasib, 2014). To this effect, the organization needs to have security measures to ensure proper authentication, authorization, accountability, nonrepudiation and privacy of its BYOD users (Yong Wang et al., 2014). This leads to conclude that the same security measures an organization implements when considering the security of their main systems, need to be considered when BYODs are adopted. Often, organizations focus only on the technology aspects of BYOD when considering the CIA of the information, neglecting other security considerations that involve upper C-level management decisions and BYOD users' behavior and actions towards their own devices. Therefore, a holistic approach to securing the organization in BYOD environments is necessary. The security concerns, when BYODs are adopted, need to be treated as an integrated solution rather than the traditional 'technology alone approach' (Zahadat et al., 2015).

There are security controls and responsibilities associated with management, IT, users and mobile device solutions that, like a jigsaw puzzle, need to be part of a comprehensive solution in order to protect the entire organization. If one of the areas is weak (i.e., lacking security controls), the entire organization is vulnerable to threats associated with BYODs. Figure 4.1 depicts this concept.




Figure 4.1 Holistic Approach to BYOD Security.

Drawing from security principles defined by McCumber (2004), Maconachy (2001), and Hasib (2014) where information security is explained as a three dimensional correlation among the critical *information characteristics* (confidentiality, integrity, availability, authentication, non-repudiation and privacy), the *information states* (data transmission, storage & processing) and the implementation of the appropriate *security measures* (human factors, policies and procedures and technology), where the foundation is based on mission, risk and governance over time (Hasib, 2014), this paper describes an approach that considers these security factors as they apply to BYOD. It describes the security controls corresponding to management (i.e. authorization of policies and procedures), the security controls that need to be implemented by IT departments in order to protect the information states to and from BYODs, and the security controls the users need to follow, since it is their personal property that is playing a role in the organization's security.

Adopting information security concepts from McCumber (2004), Maconachy (2001), Hasib (2014), and the ICIIP (Kiely & Benzel, 2006) and adding inherent risks posed by BYOD, this research proposes a framework for BYOD security that categorizes the security issues as they relate to the

domains of an organization as follows: Management (i.e. policies), IT (i.e. technology), Users (i.e. human factors), and Mobile Devices (i.e. new risks introduced by the inherent nature of BYODs). Using a classification scheme based on a concept-centric approach (Ngai, Hu, Wong, Chen, & Sun, 2011; Webster & Watson, 2002), Figure 3 depicts the proposed classification framework for security issues related to BYOD environments. Safeguards associated with IT, Management and Users need to be implemented in order to integrate technology, policy management, and people and thus protect BYOD environments (Zahadat et al., 2015). The classification proposed in Figure 4.2 adds a fourth domain corresponding to Mobile Device since there are physical characteristics required of the devices themselves (e.g. types of operating systems, security capabilities, personal setup, etc.).




Figure 4.2 Classification Scheme for Security Issues in BYOD Environments

The objective of this research is to help organizations (that have or are planning to have) secure their BYOD environments by 1) create security awareness with respect to BYOD, 2) provide a process that identifies security risks, and 3) recommend countermeasures to mitigate the security risks in their own environments.

Although security risks cannot be eliminated, they can certainly be mitigated by implementing controls. Some security controls may overlap (in definition) across the domains, but the functionality and responsibilities are different in regard to the implementation and monitoring of the controls. For example, risk management involves the analysis of how risk is to be accepted, resolved and

monitored (Stewart, Chapple, & Gibson, 2015; A. J. A. Wang, 2005). Therefore, risk management requires the involvement of the upper echelon of the organization as well as the IT department. Management is involved in the acceptance of levels of risk incurred when BYODs are adopted, whereas IT departments analyze the technical aspects of the accepted risks levels and implement the safeguards in order to mitigate those risks.

### 4.2 BYOD-Insure - Design

This paper proposes a security assessment model, BYOD-Insure, to help organizations identify vulnerabilities, mitigate security risks, and strengthen the security posture in their BYOD environments.

#### 4.2.1 Overview

As discussed in the literature review, basic concepts from set theory have been taken in order to avoid ambiguity in the results produced by BYOD-Insure. 'Mathematical formulations can be useful for proving completeness and correctness of certain types of IT artifacts' (Sarnikar, 2015).

BYOD-Insure is a model that assesses the security posture of an organization with respect to BYOD. Its design is grounded in existing mathematical algorithms in order to compare a posture among two organizations. The Euclidian's algorithm to calculate the distance between two matrices is an algorithm that serves this purpose. Casola et al. (2007) first proposed this type of analysis when comparing cryptographic policies. BYOD-Insure adopts this analysis and adapts it to BYOD security posture assessment. As explained before, BYOD-Insure applies a holistic approach to security where four domains of an organization (i.e. Management, IT, User, and Mobile Device) work together in order to ensure confidentiality, integrity, and availability (CIA) of the organization's information. BYOD-Insure is composed of five modules as follows:

- *BYOD-Insure-Management:* Assesses the security posture of the Management of an organization with respect to BYOD.
- BYOD-Insure-IT: Assesses the security posture of IT of an organization with respect to BYOD.

- BYOD-Insure-User: Assesses the security posture of the BYOD Users of an organization.
- BYOD-Insure-Mobile Devices: Assesses the security posture of the personally owned mobile devices that have access to the organization's information.
- *BYOD-Insure-Global:* Assesses the overall security posture of the organization with respect to BYOD, once all the above modules have performed the respective assessment.

The security controls (as of the time of this writing) adopted for each module are described in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 BYOD-Insure Domains and Security Controls

	BYOD Global Security Posture					
Domains	1. Management	2. IT	3. User	4. Mobile Device		
ontrols	1. Management 1.1 Governance 1.2 Risk Management 1.3 Education 1.4 Legal 1.5 Held Desk 1.6 Policies 1.7 Compliance 1.8 Employee Behavior 1.9 BYOD Program 1.10 Security Management 1.11 IT Consumerization	2.1 BYOD Program 2.2 Risk Mgmt. 2.3 Security Management 2.4 HelpDesk 2.5 IT Consumerization 2.6 Education 2.7 Policies 2.8 Best Practices 2.9 Monitoring & Reporting 2.10 Network 2.11 Virtualization	3. User 3.1 Compliance 3.2 Education 3.3 Policies 3.4 Cloud Access 3.5 Resource Consumption 3.6 User Data Privacy & Data Protection	4. Mobile Device 4.1 Access Control 4.2 Mobile Application Mgmt. 4.3 Anti-Malware 4.4 Corporate Data Protection 4.5 Mobile Device Security/Mgmt. 4.6 Separation of Data 4.7 Mobile Device Content Mgmt. 4.8 Cloud Access 4.9 Resource Consumption		

## **4.2.2 Security Assessment Process**

The assessment process consists of four stages as follows:

- Stage 1: Generation of the optimal set of security controls
- Stage 2: Extraction of an organization's BYOD posture
- Stage 3: Assessment/comparison process
- *Stage 4:* Generation of the output/results

Stage 1: During this stage, the security controls and security levels are developed. The security controls are defined as they pertain to the responsibilities of each domain. Each of the security controls are expanded into a specific set of actions. Based on the actions the organization has taken, the security levels for each control are determined. The security levels are four and are defined from 0-3. Level 0 (no security) is defined as the security level where the organization does not have in place any (or only has minimal) safeguards with respect to the type of control being discussed. Level 1 (low security) indicates that the organization has only put in place few safeguards. Level 2 (moderate security) indicates that most safeguards have been implemented. Level 3 reflects a level of security where all safeguards (to date as per this research) have been implemented. A description of each control/safeguard are discussed in Chapter 5 where the modules for each domain are defined. Table 4.2 summarizes these level definitions.

Table 4.2 Security Level Classification

Level	Classification	General Description	Specific Description	Matrix/binary Representation
0	No Security	The organization has not implemented any (or minimal) controls/actions/safeguards	Refer to specific domain for security controls (i.e. safeguards/actions) defined at each level.	1000
1	Low Security	Few controls/actions/safeguards have been implemented		1100
2	Moderate Security	Most controls/actions/safeguards have been implemented		1110
3	High Security	All optimal controls/actions/safeguards have been implemented		1111

Table 4.3 shows an example of a layout representing this stage. In this example, Domain 1 requires n security controls represented as 1.1, 1.2, ... 1.n. Security control 1.1 has four security levels (i.e, 1.1.0, 1.1.1, 1.1.2, 1.1.3) with the corresponding security level description.

Table 4.3 Example. Definition of Security Controls and Security Levels for Domain 1.

Domain 1	Security Levels	Security Level Description	Matrix/binary Value
ķ	1.1.0	No actions/safeguards are in place for security control 1.1	1000
Security	1.1.1	Minimal actions/safeguards are in place for security control 1.1	1100
Secont	1.1.2	Most actions/safeguards are in place for security control 1.1	1110
1.18	1.1.3	All actions/safeguards (optimal) are in place for security control 1.1	1111

Domain 1	Security Levels	Security Level Description	Matrix/binary Value
ity _	1.2.0	No actions/safeguards are in place for security control 1.2	1000
2 Securi	1.2.1	Minimal actions/safeguards are in place for security control 1.2	1100
Sec	1.2.2	Most actions/safeguards are in place for security control 1.2	1110
1.2 Security Control	1.2.3	All actions/safeguards (optimal) are in place for security control 1.2	1111
:			
ity	1.n.0	No actions/safeguards are in place for security control 1.n	1000
	1.n.1	Minimal actions/safeguards are in place for security control 1.n	1100
n Securii Control	1.n.2	Most actions/safeguards are in place for security control 1.n	1110
1.n Security Control	1.n.3	All actions/safeguards (optimal) are in place for security control 1.n	1111

Table 4.3 also includes a column for Matrix Value which indicates the binary representation for each level. A value of '1000' indicates Level 0 where the left most bit is '1', meaning that the organization has not implemented any of the controls for the given security control. A '1100' indicates that the organization is at the Level 1 indicating that the minimal controls for a given sub-domain have been implemented. A '1110' indicates that most controls have been implemented (i.e. Level 2), and '1111' indicates that all controls (i.e. Level 3) have been implemented. This binary value representation will be used later on during the comparison process in Stage 3. Chapter 6, section 6.1 demonstrates the application of this process using the organization domains (i.e. Management, IT, User, Mobile Device).

Stage 2: During this stage, the organization's BYOD security posture is extracted. This process is accomplished through the use of structured interviews. This type of interviews consists of 'preformulated questions, strictly regulated with regard to the order of the questions' where the process ensures 'consistency across multiple interviews' and 'eliminates the need for improvisation during the interview' (Yin, 1994). The interviews are given to key personnel that can best address the domain-related questions. The questionnaires for the structured interviews are designed based on the security controls.

Stage 3: During this stage, the comparison process takes place. This process is depicted in Figure 4.3. Through this comparison process, the existing security posture of an organization is compared against the optimal set of BYOD-related security controls in order to identify weaknesses and recommend safeguards.




Figure 4.3 Comparison Process

On the left-hand side of Figure 4.3, the 'Optimal Security Controls' shows the creation of the optimal set of security controls. These security controls are then organized and converted into a binary matrix as shown in Table 4.2 Matrix Value column. The right side of Figure 4.3 shows the repetitive process (i.e., once for each organization). The security controls of the organization's BYOD security posture are also converted to a binary matrix. After both matrices are built, the comparison (i.e., calculations based on Euclidian's Distance) takes place. The results of these calculations assess the posture of an organization against the optimal values.

This comparison is based on a process designed by Casola (Casola, Mazzeo, Maxxocca, & Vittorini, 2007) and adapted by Ratchford (M. M. Ratchford, 2018). It uses a mathematical algorithm to calculate the Euclidean distance between two matrices. For BYOD-Insure, the comparison is between the matrix that represents the company's BYOD security posture and the matrix that represents the optimal BYOD security posture. The result of this calculation provides a percentage security level for a specific domain. The security level analysis helps identify the weaknesses and

vulnerabilities for each domain. Based on these results, organization-specific recommendations can be provided using BYOD-Insure's optimal controls. The details of these calculations are explained in section 4.2.3.

Stage 4: During this stage, the output is produced. The BYOD-Insure model presents the results of the security assessment in graphical and table format. Kiviat diagrams (which are a type of web/star/radar diagrams that facilitate the visualization of comparisons of multiple postures) are used to depict the level of security of an organization when compared against the ideal security posture. Then, based on the findings, the specific set of recommendations are provided in text & table format. An example output/results is described in section 4.2.4

## **4.2.3 Security Posture Calculation**

The security posture for a domain is identified by 1) assessing the security level for each control within the organization's domains, and 2) by performing a comparison process to calculate the % security. The former provides information suitable for graphical mapping of each level in order to show how far is the organization's security posture from the ideal security posture. The latter uses the Euclidian's algorithm to calculate the % security. The advantage of using this type of representation is that, by defining the levels as columns and the controls as rows, the mathematical analysis provides non-ambiguous results using straight forward calculations. For purpose of illustration, Table 4.4 shows an example of security levels for Domain 1. In this case, the analysis of the safeguards for Control 1 indicate that only few of the safeguards corresponding to Control 1 have been implemented (refer to Table 4.3). The same analysis applies for the rest of the controls of this example.

Table 4.4 Example Security Level for an Organization's Domain 1

Controls for Domain 1	Matrix Value	Level
Security Control 1	1100	1
Security Control 2	1110	2
Security Control 3	1000	0
Security Control 4	1100	1
Security Control 5	1111	3
Security Control 6	1100	1
Security Control 7	1000	0

This section explains the matrix calculations involved in the comparison process to determine %

security. In Figure 4.4, let matrix C represent Domain 1's security controls which indicate the domain's security posture. For the purpose of this explanation, assume that Domain 1 requires seven security controls (i.e. represented by seven rows). The security level for each control can be depicted by columns 0-3. The value of '1' on the *right* most bit indicates the level. For example, security control 1 is represented by the values '1100'. Since the right most bit corresponds to column 1, this indicates that level 1 is security level for security control 1. In the same manner, security control 2 is represented by the value '1110' indicating security level of 2. Security control 3 has the value of '1000' indicating security level of 0. The same analysis indicates that security control 4 is at level 1, security control 5 is at level 3, security control 6 is at level 1, and security control 7 is at level 0.

Let matrix R represent the optimal security posture for a given domain (i.e. Domain 1 in this example). This 4x7 matrix has the binary representation for optimal set of values as explained in Table 4.3 where all bits are set to 1's. Using the Euclidian's algorithm to calculate the distance between two matrices  $d(A,B) = \sqrt{Tr((A-B)(A-B)^T)}$ , where the distance d between matrix A and B is equal to the square root of the trace of the product between (A-B) and its transpose  $(A-B)^T$ , the security can be calculated.

As shown in Figure 4.4, the distance between C and R is  $d(C,R) = \sqrt{Tr((C-R)(C-R)^T)} = \sqrt{13} = 3.60$ . The value of 3.6 is then used to calculate the % security for Domain 1 in this example. Now, we want to compare this value against a value when no controls have been implemented (i.e. 100% insecure). For this, we calculate the distance between a matrix M and matrix R as calculated in Figure 4.5 where M represents a matrix where no controls have been implemented (i.e., a matrix where all the rows are '1000' indicating minimum/no security), generating a value of  $d(M,R) = \sqrt{Tr((M-R)(M-R)^T)} = \sqrt{21} = 4.58$ .

Thus, if 4.58 represents 100% insecure, 3.6 represents 3.6/4.58= 78.6% insecure or 21.3% secure. For this example, the value of 3.6 indicates that Domain 1 is 78.6% insecure. In other words, its security is at 21.3%.




Figure 4.4 Example Calculation for Organization's Domain 1




Figure 4.5 Example Calculation where there is NO Security Controls – Domain 1

This example demonstrated how to calculate the security posture of an organization's domain. This process is depicted in Chapter 6 when demonstrating each of the BYOD-Insure domains presented in this research. The global security posture can be derived from the results obtained for each of the domains. This is done by adding the results from all the domains and dividing by the number of

domains. Chapter 6 section 6.6 demonstrates this process.

Adding weights to controls: Although the calculation/addition of weights to the controls is not demonstrated in this research, it merits its discussion. Since not all security controls may be equally important, a weighting system can be implemented. As Casola et al (2007) state, 'assigning the relative importance, to the controls, is a hard task'. However, once the criticality of the controls has been determined, the weights can be applied to the matrix representation by multiplying each element of a row by the corresponding weight such as follows: let  $\beta \in [0,1]$  be a weight, then multiply the row times the weight such as  $\beta*(1,1,0,0)$  with the result of  $(\beta,\beta,0,0)$  (Casola et al., 2007). An example is depicted in figure 4.6, where Matrix C represents Domain 1. Each element of each row is multiplied by the corresponding weight. For example, for row 1 (i.e. control 1), each element is multiplied by 0.3 such that 0.3\*(1,1,0,0) = (.3,.3,0,0) corresponding to row 1 in the Matrix C-Weighted. Once the weights are applied to all the controls, the rest of the calculating processes applies as explained before.




Figure 4.6 Applying Weights to Controls – An Example

#### 4.2.4 Artifact's Results

The results of the security assessment are shown as 1) graphical representation of findings, and 2) table representation with recommendations. An example of a graphical representation for a Domain 1 security posture is shown in Figure 4.7. The green lines denote the organization's posture for Domain 1, and the red lines denote the optimal security posture for this domain. The % result shown in the yellowed text of Figure 4.6 is the result of the matrix calculations explained in the previous

section. It indicates that, for Domain 1, the security posture is at 21% far from the ideal of 100%. Although 100% security is impossible to achieve, in the context of this analysis, 100% refers to the implementation of all the safeguards defined as per the time of this writing. Further analysis of this diagram indicates that, although the organization has implemented the ideal safeguards for Security Control 5, the organization needs to pay close attention to the implementation of safeguards related to Security Control 3 and 7.




Figure 4.7 Example of Domain 1 BYOD Security Posture

Table 4.5 shows a format for the list of recommendations based on the findings (i.e. weak security controls found during the analysis of Domain 1). Similar assessment is applied to each of the organization's domains. To achieve this, each of the security controls need to be defined for each domain (e.g. controls for Management, IT, User, Mobile Devices) and identify the security levels for each sub-domain (e.g. Security Control 1, Security Control 2, etc.). For example, a reference matrix is created for optimal posture (i.e. Matrix R), and an organization's posture is identified for the desired domain (i.e. Matrix C). Then, apply the Euclidian's algorithm to calculate the percentage of security and report recommendations. Once all the four domains have been calculated, the organization's global posture can be assessed.

Table 4.5 Example - Format Presentation of Recommendations based on Findings

	Findings and Recommendations for Domain 1
ity ol 1	Finding: It was found that
Securi	Recommendation: It is recommended that
rity ol 2	Finding: It was found that
Securit	Recommendation: It is recommended that
:	
ity ol n	Finding: It was found that
Securit Control	Recommendation: It is recommended that

The BYOD-Insure-Global model works slight differently than the other models in that, instead of using the Euclidean algorithm per se, it uses the results obtained (i.e., security percentage) in the assessment of each of the domains previously calculated. For the purpose of illustration in this example, assume four domains have been assessed (e.g. Domain1, Domain 2, Domain 3, Domain 4) where the security posture has been identified at 21% for Domain 1, 70% for Domain 2, 60% for Domain 3, and 68% for Domain 4 respectively. All four percentages are added and divided by four such as [(21+70+60+68)/4] = 54%. The result of this assessment is shown in Figure 4.8. The green lines denote the organization's posture and the red lines denote the optimal posture. The concentric circles depict the security defined as follows: No Security = 0-25%, Minimal=26-50%, Moderate Security=51-75%, and High Security=76-100%. In this example, although none of the organization's domains are at the ideal security level, Domain 1 is the one that needs more attention.

# Example - BYOD GLobal Security Posture Representation for an Organization at 54%




Figure 4.8 Example of a BYOD Global Security Posture Representation for an Organization

# 4.3 Chapter Summary

This chapter first discussed concepts of holistic approach to security and applied it to BYOD environments, where confidentiality, integrity and availability are associated with the four domains of an organization. It then explained the design of BYOD-Insure by describing its assessment process, security posture calculation and the model's results. The next chapter explains the development of the security controls used as optimal controls to secure BYOD environments.

# **CHAPTER 5: Artifact – Security Controls Development**

## **5.1** Overview – Controls and Overlaps Across Domains

This chapter describes the security controls and the assignment of the controls to the four domains: Management, IT, User, and Mobile Device. The literature review presented in Chapter 2 has been the source for control identification and their association with each domain. Zahadat et al. (2015) describe a security framework based on policy, people and technology, which includes steps for planning, identifying, protecting, detecting, responding, recovering and monitoring BYOD (Zahadat et al., 2015). As the steps are described, the controls emerge. For example, during the planning step, the stakeholders and high levels of management are involved in order to ensure the allocation of proper security resources. During the identifying step, the standards are designed in order to impart security requirements. In the same manner, each of the subsequent steps detail controls associated with governance, control of applications, configuration settings for mobile devices, network requirements, governance, policies, procedures, privacy issues, and asset monitoring among others (Zahadat et al., 2015). Bello-Garba et al. (2015) also discuss policy issues associated with BYOD, where the policy-based framework they present focuses on managing information security and privacy risks for organizations adopting BYOD. Their controls are associated with security standards and procedures, privacy principles, technical considerations, liabilities, awareness and training programs, and BYOD users perception and behavior (Garba, Armarego, & Murray, 2015).

Table 5.1 describes the security controls, their explanation, and the domain associated with each control. These controls, as of the time of this writing, are defined based on systematic literature review of the security controls as explained in Chapter 2. Each of the four domains corresponds to a BYOD-Insure module associated with it (as explained in Chapter 4). The definitions, explanations, and keywords presented in Table 5.1 are used to define the levels for each security control for the corresponding BYOD-Insure modules. These definitions are derived from the following ontologies and taxonomies, in addition to BYOD-related literature review:

• Grundshutz IT Manual and Supplement which is a compilation and definition of elementary threats (Grundshutz, 2004; G. I. Grundshutz)

- Basic Concepts and Taxonomy of Dependable Secure Computing which provides definition for basic computer security concepts. (Algirdas Avizienis, J-C Laprie, Brian Randell, & Carl Landwehr, 2004b)
- Internet Security Glossary which provides information for the Internet community (Shirey, 2000)
- National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publications: 800-12, 800-46, 800-114, 800-125, 800-124, which, in addition to introducing computer security concepts, also define concepts for access control, teleworking, an BYOD security (Guttman & Roback, 1995; Scarfone, Souppaya, & Hoffman, 2011; M Souppaya & K Scarfone, 2013; Souppaya & Scarfone, 2016a, 2016b)
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation which discusses general concepts and principles of IT (CCMB-2012-09-001, 2012).
- ISACA Cybersecurity Fundamentals Glossary which defines concepts related to computer security (ISACA, 2019a, 2019b)
- ISO/IEC 27001:2013 standard for information security management which discusses IT security issues in general and the applicability to BYOD (27001Academy, 2017a, 2017b; Disterer, 2013b).

Table 5.1 Security Controls, Description and Domain Association

	Security Controls	Definitions and Explanations	Security Concepts	Domain Association
1.	Access Control	Access is the ability to use any system resource. Access controls prescribe not only who or what, but also the type of access that is permitted' (Guttman & Roback, 1995). The ISO 27001 Information Security standards define access controls based on the need: 'To control access to information. To ensure authorized user access and to prevent unauthorized access to information systems. To prevent unauthorized user access, compromise or theft of information and information processing facilities. To prevent unauthorized access to networked services. To prevent unauthorized access to operating systems. To prevent unauthorized access to information held in application systems. To ensure information security when using mobile computing and teleworking facilities. (Disterer, 2013a)	Access control	IT, Mobile Device
2.	Best Practices	A proven activity or process that has been successfully	General Best practices	Management, IT, User

	Security Controls	Definitions and Explanations	Security Concepts	Domain Association
		used by multiple enterprises (ISACA, 2019b)		
3.	BYOD Programs	This refers to a program that supports the implementation of a Bring Your Own Device. (Souppaya & Scarfone, 2016a)	BYOD Program	Management, IT
4.	Cloud Access	In general, this refers to a 'convenient, on-demand network access to a shared pool of resources that can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management effort or service provider interaction' (ISACA, 2019a). In terms of BYOD, NIST 800-124 Special Publication discusses this security issue in terms of mobile devices accessing storage resources outside of the control of the organization (M Souppaya & K Scarfone, 2013).	Cloud Computing Cloud Solutions Cloud Storage	User, IT, Mobile Device
5.	Compliance	The ISO 27001 standard discusses compliance in	User compliance Compliance	Management, User
6.	Corporate Data Protection	of the organization's information. Avizienis et al (2004) define these security attributes as confidentiality, integrity, availability, reliability and safety of the information, where confidentiality refers to the 'absence	Data security Data leakage Data exfiltration Data infiltration Data confidentiality Data integrity Encryption	IT, Mobile Device

	Security Controls	Definitions and Explanations	Security Concepts	Domain Association
		and operations staff, as well as users, are trained in security procedures and aware of the importance of security. (Guttman & Roback, 1995)	Awareness Risk awareness Education	User
8.	Behavior/Attitude	Non-malicious actions can be non-deliberate (i.e. a mistake) or deliberate (i.e. a bad decision) where either action can be accidental or due to incompetence. A	Employees Employee behavior Employee attitude Personal information Intrusiveness User compliance End-users	Management, User
9.		Ensures that stakeholder needs, conditions and options are evaluated to determine balanced, agreed-on enterprise objectives to be achieved; setting direction through prioritization and decision making; and monitoring performance and compliance against agreed-on direction and objectives (ISACA, 2019a)	C-level Chief Executive Officers Corporate Culture Organizational practice Governance	Management
10.	Helpdesk/User Support	User support takes place through a service desk that can support the entire organization (Guttman & Roback, 1995)	Helpdesk	Management, IT
11.	IT consumerization	Refers to new trends/modality 'in which emerging technologies are first embraced by the consumer market and later spread to the business' (ISACA, 2019b). It also refers to the adoption of privately owned IT solutions in the organizations (Weeger et al., 2020)	Consumerization	Management, IT
12.	Legal	This refers to legal issues associated with regulatory and	Law Legal issues	Management
13.	Malware/Anti- Malware	Malware is malicious software developed with the aim of performing unwanted and often harmful operations (Grundshutz, 2004)	Computer viruses Malware Anti-malware	IT, Mobile Device
14.	Management	system. If users or systems personnel can install and execute any software on a system, the system is more vulnerable to viruses, unexpected software interactions, and software that may subvert or bypass security controls. (Guttman & Roback, 1995). Control of applications refers to the distribution, installation, cataloguing, blacklisting/whitelisting, and reporting of applications (Tse et al., 2016). In addition to application provisioning, this control concerns with update and backup (Gimenez et al., 2015).	Application program Interface Applications Mobile Application Management Backup	IT, Mobile Device
15.		This refers to the protection of the enterprise's data itself. Therefore it concerns with the control access to corporate documents, secure content storage, synchronize content, encrypt content container, and reporting/analysis (Tse et al., 2016)	Content protection/encryption	IT, Mobile Device
16.	Security/Management	at least one wireless network interface, non-removable	Mobile security Electronic devices BYOD solutions	IT, Mobile Device

	Security Controls	Definitions and Explanations	Security Concepts	Domain Association
		keyboard and weighing less than two pounds (ISACA, 2019a). In terms of BYOD, mobile device security includes the method through which the organization manages the personally-owned mobile devices and controls the	Mobile device Deployments Device Security  Mobile device mgmt. solutions  Device Patches/Upgrades Device tracking Device detection Remote wipe Data encryption	
17.	Monitoring & Reporting	Information Monitoring refers to the 'maintenance of ongoing awareness of information security, vulnerabilities, and threats to support organizational risk management decisions' (Guttman & Roback, 1995). In the context of BYOD, networks that allow BYOD should be monitored in a manner consistent with how remote access segments are secured and monitored (Souppaya & Scarfone, 2016a)	Monitoring	IT
18.	Network	A network is a 'collection of host computers together with the subnetwork or internetwork through which they can exchange data' (Shirey, 2000). For the purpose of BYOD, this issue refers to the connectivity and access of the organization's network, defined as a separate, external dedicated network (e.g. off the organization's DMZ). (Souppaya & Scarfone, 2016a)	Network Security Mobile Communication Networks Wireless networks Virtual Private Networks Wireless Access Points	ΙΤ
19.	Policies	In the context of information security, NIST 800-12 defines policy as an 'aggregate of directives, regulations, rules and practices that prescribe how an organization manages, protects, and distributes information' (Guttman	Security Policies Personnel Policies Employment Policies	Management, User, IT
20.	Resource Consumption	This control is associated with the Availability required from CIA goals. This refers to the amount of device resources a mobile solution requires when implementing monitoring or configuration options where the user's mobile device resources are diminished (Gimenez et al., 2015).	Resource Consumption	Mobile Device
21.	Risk Mgmt.	context of information security as the 'process of minimizing risks to organizational operations (e.g.	Enterprise risk management Risk analysis Risk assessment Risk Management	Management, IT

	Security Controls	Definitions and Explanations	Security Concepts	Domain Association
22.	Security Management	enterprise's risk appetite. (ISACA, 2019b)  This refers to the process of establishing and maintaining security for a computer or network system, where the stages of the process of security management include prevention of security problems, detection of intrusions, and investigation of intrusions and resolution. In network management, the stages are: controlling access to the network and resources, finding intrusions, identifying entry points for intruders and repairing or otherwise closing those avenues of access.(ISACA, 2019b)	Security Management	Management, IT
23.	Separation of data	This is an issue inherent of BOYD, and it refers to the 'separation of personal space and corporate space on a BYOD' (Yong Wang et al., 2014). This control also aims to avoid sharing of data across spaces where personal data can be transferred from personal space to corporate space (Gimenez et al., 2015)	Isolation of data Separation of data	IT, Mobile Device
24.	Third Party	This control refers to the access granted to third-party vendors or other entities using their BYOD.	Third Party Access Vendors	IT
25.		In the context of an individual's privacy, this refers to 'The right of individuals to control or influence what information related to them may be collected and stored and by whom and to whom that information may be disclosed' (Shirey, 2000). An abuse of personal data takes place if an institution collects, for example, too much personal data, collects it without legal basis or consent, uses it for purposes different from the objective stated at the time of collecting, deletes personal data too late or discloses such data in an unauthorized manner. (Grundshutz, 2004).  The user's data requires protection when factory resets by the organization may affect the user's personal data (Gimenez et al., 2015)  Agents or monitoring application should not intrude in the user's space/data, nor should modify the user's operating system nor alter the original user's device configuration (Gimenez et al., 2015)	Privacy Data privacy Computer privacy Employee Privacy Intrusiveness	User
26.	Virtualization	NIST 800-125 defines virtualization as the simulation of the software and/or hardware upon which other software runs. This simulated environment is called a virtual machine (VM) (Scarfone et al., 2011).  For BYOD, virtualization is implemented to achieve space isolation, where a control software is installed in order to obtain 1) full virtualization or 2) paravirtualization (Gimenez et al., 2015) For isolation options, full virtualization provides the best solution (Gimenez et al., 2015)	Virtualization Mobile Virtual Machines	IΤ

# **5.1.1 Security Control Overlap**

This section also discusses the overlap that exists among the domains as the various controls are implemented. This overlap is described in the Venn's diagram depicted in Figure 5.1. For example, the safeguards associated with the Education (e.g. Training and Awareness) security control are related to the Management, IT and User domains. Although the specific safeguards are different (e.g. Management needs to approve and budget for training/awareness, IT needs to provide technical support, and Users need to take the training), the control is associated with all three domains.





Figure 5.1 Overlap of Security Controls across Domains

Further explanation of security controls overlap is presented in Figure 5.2, where the example depicts the level of security for a particular control associated with three domains. The *Education* security control is a type of control that is associated with three domains: Management, IT, and User.

Education, in this context refers to the *training and awareness* required for an organization with a BYOD environment. In Figure 5.2, the red line indicates the optimal level where all identified safeguards have been implemented with respect to the Education security control. The green line depicts the organization's posture with respect to Education. This example shows that a) Management is at level 2 (moderate security) meaning that most of management's responsibilities (safeguards) with respect to Education have been implemented (e.g. approval of most of recommended training and awareness programs), that b) IT is at level 3 (high security), indicating that IT is meeting all recommended safeguards (e.g. active in all BYOD-related training and awareness programs); and c) User is at level 0 (no security) meaning that the users are not participating in BYOD-related training and awareness programs.



Assessment of Overlapped Security Control EDUCATION

Figure 5.2 Example of Overlap Across three Domains

#### **5.2 BYOD-Insure-Management Controls**

The Management module defines the security controls associated with the Management domain of an organization's BYOD environment. As of the time of this research and based on the literature review discussed in Chapter 2, we identified eleven security controls associated with this domain. These controls are listed in Table 5.2. Each security control describes a control objective.

Table 5.2 Management Domain Security Controls

1. Management Domain					
Control Objective	Security Control				
To identify the level of management. involvement in BYOD	1.1 Governance				
To identify management, involvement in risk analysis with respect to BYOD and developing strategies such as avoiding, reducing or transferring the risks associated with BYOD	1.2 Risk Management				
To identify management approval of education programs associated with training and awareness of the employees and BYOD associated personnel	1.3 Education				
To identify the level of the organization's legal counsel involvement related to BYOD	1.4 Legal				
To identify help desk or user support and resource allocation at management levels	1.5 Held Desk				
To identify management involvement in BYOD policies	1.6 Policies				
To identify the involvement of HR in BYOD compliance	1.7 Compliance				
To identify the HR involvement with respect to user's behavior and attitude with respect to BYOD	1.8 Employee Behavior				
To identify if the organization has implemented a BYOD program	1.9 BYOD Program				
To identify the level of management involvement in decision making and support of tasks related to prevention and detection of security problems associated with BYOD	1.10 Security Management				
To identify if Management is aware of trends and modalities of emerging technologies that are readily embraced by BYOD employees	1.11 IT Consumerization				

Table 5.3 defines the security controls level for the management domain and describes the actions/safeguards for each one of them. The Security Level column defines the levels (0-3) as explained in Chapter 4, section 4.2. The binary representation for each level is also depicted under the Binary Value column. In addition to defining the levels, these binary values are used to determine the % security as explained in Chapter 4, Section 4.2.3.

Table 5.3 Management Domain Security Controls with Security Level Definitions and Binary Value Representation

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards
	0	1000	Neither Board of Directors (BoD) nor Upper Mgmt. are involved in BYOD decision making
			BoD and Upper Mgmt. are aware of BYOD implementation.
	1	1100	Initial approval of Program and Policies are discussed.
			There is no further involvement.
d)			Occasional updates to BoD and Upper Mgmt.
nce	2	1110	BYOD programs are subject to regular and periodic oversight.
na			Regular monitoring by management
vei			Key controls as per Level 3 are missing
1.1 Governance	3	3 1111	Executive Mgmt. must:
			Approve BYOD policies
7			Receive regular/scheduled status reports
			Reports include:
			BYOD usage
			BYOD adherence to policy
			BYOD Incident Reports
Risk nage ent	0		No Risk Analysis performed prior to BYOD implementation. BoD and Upper Mgmt. have not
1.2 Risk Manage ment	U		considered risk management analysis
11.2 M.5 n	1	1100	Risk Analysis performed prior to BYOD implementation with no follow-up.

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards
	2	1110	Risk analysis performed prior to BYOD implementation and follow-up, but controls as per Level 3 are missing.
	3	1111	BoD and Upper Mgmt. involved in Risk Mgmt. Risk analysis performed prior to BYOD implementation:  • With the involvement and approval of C-level and Board of Directors  • Acceptable risks levels are approved  • Subsequent risks assessments are performed  • Acceptable risks levels are approved
	0	1000	No training and awareness program. BoD and Upper Mgmt. are not involved in the approval/authorization of training and awareness program.
g	1	1100	BoD and Upper Mgmt. authorized awareness program only
catio	2	1110	BoD and Upper Mgmt. authorized <i>training and awareness</i> programs but controls as per level 3 are missing.
1.3 Education	3	1111	BoD and Upper Mgmt. approve initial and follow-up training and awareness programs as follows:  • Approve and endorse training and awareness programs  • Approve initial orientation awareness  • Approve regular follow up sessions
	0	1000	Legal counsel is not involved. Advice of legal counsel is not considered
	1	1100	Initial legal counsel consultation. Legal counsel provides informal advice.
	2	1110	Legal counsel involved but Level 3 controls are missing
1.4 Legal Issues	3	1111	There are legal aspects organizations need to consider when adopting BYODs, and these must require the advice of legal counsel in order to ensure policy and terms will hold in a court of law. Legal counsel must:  • Review BYOD policies  • Approve BYOD policies  • Provide documented approval of BYOD policies and procedures with respect to legal issues  • Ensure that aspects in BYOD policy include expectations of:  • Privacy of the individual  • Comingled data  • Device monitoring  • Device ownership
	0	1000	No Helpdesk in organization. Helpdesk support does not exist
sk	1	1100	Helpdesk present but no BYOD support. Neither BoD nor Upper Mgmt. are involved with respect to Helpdesk budget/resources approval for BYOD support
Ď	2	1110	Helpdesk approval but Level 3 controls missing.
1.5 Help Desk	3	1111	Studies show that having the availability of a support team increases employees' efficacy. A Helpdesk must:  Be approved at the Upper Mgmt. level Be signed-off by the BoD for BYOD support Have resources allocated
	0	1000	BoD and Upper Mgmt. are not involved in BYOD policy approval
	1	1100	BoD and Upper Mgmt. approve the BYOD policies but there is no further involvement in policy scope and coverage
S <sub>2</sub>	2	1110	Mgmt. approval and awareness/involvement in policy scope & coverage but some Level 3 controls missing. Not all optimal responsibilities are present.
1.6 Policies	3	1111	BYOD policies need to clearly state all the objectives and constraints related to the usage of the mobile device. The policies should be straightforward and easy to follow. The policies must include the following:  Policy Approval:  All policies need to be approved at both C-level and BoD.  BYOD policies need to be part of the organization's Information Security Program  A mobile device acceptable user policy (MAUP) needs to be defined and approved.  Policy Scope. The policy needs to cover issues related to:

Security		Binary	Description of Actions/Safeguards
Control	Level	Value	
			Securing Mobile Devices     Factivation and Passwords
			<ul> <li>Encryption and Passwords</li> <li>Data sensitivity/categorization</li> </ul>
			Antivirus protection
			Wireless access
			Security breach incident & its response
			Remote working
			Privacy issues
			Policy Signatures. The MAUP policies need to be signed by:
			The organization's BYOD employees
			Third Party Vendors
			Contractors and consultants
			Policy Exemption Procedures need to:
			Be defined
			Be individually approved
			Have a time limit
			Be periodically reviewed
			Policy for Third Parties and Contractors/Consultants need to:
			Be individually approved
			State compliance requirements
			Include procedures
			Include limitations
			Policy disciplinary actions need to:
			Be defined
			Violations need to be included in the Code of Conduct
			Sanctions and penalties be clearly identified
			The Mobile Acceptable Use Policy (MAUP) is the employee's agreement with the terms and
			use of their BYODs in accordance to the organization's policy. The employee must adhere to
			the organization's MAUP.
	0	1000	The Human Resources department of the organization is not involved in BYOD compliance
	1	1100	HR is aware of BYOD but has not establish its role in compliance
	2	1110	HR is involved but Level 3 controls are missing
			HR is fully involved. The involvement of the organization's HR is necessary in order to hold
ıce			the organization and the employees accountable and ensure compliance. HR must:
liar			Be responsible for signatures:
ldu			Initial employee signature
.7 Compliance			Initial third-party or consultant signatures
.7.	3	1111	Annual employee's signatures
-			Third party/consultant signature for renewal commitment
			Maintain and update:
			List of participating employees and the exemptions
			Termination/exit procedures      Distriction and the formation of the
			Disciplinary policy/procedures as per Code of Conduct  The Human Resources department of the organization is not involved in situations related to
۾ ا	0	1000	employee's behavior and attitude
oye or	1	1100	HR is aware of BYOD but has not established its role with respect to employee's behavior
1.8 Employee Behavior	2	1110	N/A
En		1110	HR is fully involved. There are procedures in place to handle employee's behavior and
1.8 B	3	1111	attitude The involvement of the organization's HR is necessary in order to hold the
			employees accountable for their behavior and attitude towards BYOD.
Q u	0	1000	A BYOD program does not exist
YO ran	1	1100	BYOD program is being designed
1.9 BYOD Program	2	1110	N/A
1.9 Pr	3	1111	A BYOD program is in place

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards
	0		Management is not involved (i.e. decision making and support) in tasks related to prevention and detection of security problems associated with BYOD.
urity	1		Management is aware, but has not explicitly authorized and allocated support for tasks related to security management associated with BYOD.
Sec	2	1110	N/A
1.10 Security Management	3	1111	Management is fully aware and engaged in security management associated with BYOD. This involves clear understanding and support of the processes required to protect computer and network systems. This includes prevention, detection, investigation and resolution of security problems directly associated with the adoption of BYOD.
ation	0		Management is not aware of trends and modalities of emerging technologies that are readily embraced by employees with respect to BYOD.
IT	1	1100	N/A
1.11 IT Consumerization	2	1110	N/A
	3		Management is fully aware of trends and modalities of new technologies that are easily and readily accepted by BYOD users and (the possibility of) can negatively affect the organization.

# **5.3 BYOD-Insure-IT Controls**

The IT module defines the security controls associated with the IT domain of an organization's BYOD environment. As of the time of this research and based on the literature review discussed in Chapter 2, we identified twenty-one security controls associated with this domain. These controls are listed in Table 5.4. Each security control describes a control objective.

Table 5.4 IT Domain Security Controls

2. IT	
Control Objective	Security Control
To identify if IT is involved in the implementation of a BYOD program.	2.1 BYOD Program
To identify if IT is involved in the process of minimizing, recognizing, and assessing the impact and the likelihood of risks associated with BYOD.	2.2 Risk Management
To identify the level of which IT is involved with the process of prevention of security problems associated with BYOD.	2.3 Security Management
To identify if IT is involved and provide support to the HelpDesk when situations related to BYODs emerge.	2.4 HelpDesk
To identify the degree to which IT is aware of the trends and emerging technologies embraced by consumer which spreads to BYOD.	2.5 IT Consumerization
To identify the degree to which IT is involved in security awareness and training programs to BYOD users.	2.6 Education
To identify the involvement of IT in the design of policies that regulate, rule, and prescribe the use of BYOD for the organization.	2.7 Policies
To identify the degree to which IT applies activities or processes that have been successfully used by multiple organizations with respect to BYOD.	2.8 Best Practices
To identify the degree to which IT monitors vulnerabilities, threats, and networks that allow BYOD.	2.9 Monitoring & Reporting
To identify the type of connectivity and access of the organization's network with respect to BYOD.	2.10 Network
To identify the type of virtualization used (if any) in regard to BYOD	2.11 Virtualization
To identify the type of access that is granted to third-party vendors and other external entities that use BYOD to connect to the organization's network.	2.12 Third Party

2. IT	
Control Objective	Security Control
To identify the type of access to the information of an organization to prevent unauthorized	
access to network services, operating systems, and information stored in application systems	2.13 Access Control
for the purpose of ensuring information security when using BYODs.  To identify the type of software control used in the systems. This refers also to the control of	
, , , ,	2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt.
as well as backups.	TI WE S
To identify the manner in which IT handles and protects against malicious software in	2.15 Malware/Anti-Malware
BYODs	
To identify the degree to which the confidentiality, integrity, availability, reliability and	
safety of the organization's information is implemented. This includes avoiding data leakage	2.16 Corporate Data Protection
and the protection of organization's data at rest and in transit in BYOD environments.	
To identify the method through which the organization manages the BYODs and controls	2.17 Mobile Device Security
the access to organization's information through the device.	Mgmt.
To identify the method the organization uses to separate the personal space from the	2.18 Separation of Data
corporate space on a BYOD.	2.10 Separation of Bata
	2.19 Mobile Device Content
enterprise's data itself through the use of a content management system.	Mgmt.
To identify the method through which the organization controls BYOD access to storage	2.20 Cloud Access
resources outside of the control of the organization.	2.20 Cloud Access
To identify the way the BYOD resources are affected when implementing monitoring or	2.21 Resource Consumption
configuration options.	

Table 5.5 defines the security control levels for the IT domain and describes the actions/safeguards for each one of them. The Security Level column defines the levels (0-3) as explained in Chapter 4, section 4.2. The binary representation for each level is also depicted under the Binary Value column. In addition to defining the levels, these binary values are used to determine the % security as explained in Chapter 4, Section 4.2.3.

Table 5.5 IT Domain Security Controls with Security Level Definitions and Binary Value Representation

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards				
m m	0	1000	The organization does not have a BYOD program in place				
2.1 BYOD Program	1	1100	IT is involved in a BYOD program under construction				
B	2	1110	N/A				
2.1 P	3	1111	IT is involved in a BYOD program already in place				
+=	0	1000	IT is not involved and does not participate in the risk assessment process				
2.2 Risk Management	1	1100	IT has minimum involvement/input in the Risk Assessment process				
gen .	2	1110	IT is fully involved in the Risk Assessment process, but Level 3 controls are missing.				
lag	3		IT is fully involved in the Risk Assessment process. Based on the risk assessment				
Νa		3		authorized and performed by management, IT needs to:			
k l			2	2	2	1111	Be an integral part of the initial risk analysis process
Ris			1111	Analyze the technical aspects of the accepted risks levels			
<b>.</b> 2			Implement safeguards in order to mitigate accepted risks				
			Follow-up with subsequent risk assessments.				
2.3 Securit y Manage	0	go 1 0 0 1 10 10 IT is	IT is not involved in tasks related to prevention and detection of security problems				
2.3 Securit y Manage	U	1000	associated with BYOD.				
S. K.	1	1100	N/A				

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	
	2		IT is involved in the process of preventing security problems associated with BYOD, but controls associated with the optimal security level 3 are missing	
	3		IT is involved in BYOD-related computer & network security by:  Preventing security problems  Detection of intrusion	
			Investigation of intrusion and resolution     Access to network and resources	
*	0	1000	IT is not involved in Help Desk support for BYOD. There is no Helpdesk support or existing Helpdesk is not prepared to handle BYOD-related problems, or IT is not involved in support	
2.4 Help Desk	1		HelpDesk Support has been discussed but not implemented. The integration of IT in Helpdesk support regarding BYOD has not been implemented	
iel]	2		BYOD Helpdesk support is in place; however, Level 3 controls are missing.	
2.41	3	1111	Necessary IT help desk support for BYOD is in place. The help desk needs to:  Have IT support Have escalation procedures in place Have reporting procedures in place	
tion	0	1000	IT is not aware nor prepared with respect to emerging technologies, trends and modalities associated with BYOD	
Tiza	1		N/A	
2.5 IT Consumerization	2	1110	IT is aware and prepared with respect to emerging technologies, trends and modalities associated with BYOD, but does not share this information with Management.	
Cons	3		IT is aware and prepared with respect to emerging technologies, trends and modalities associated with BYOD, and maintains Management aware of this information.	
	0	1000	IT department has not considered (or not involved) in training and awareness programs	
	1		IT dept has discussed training & awareness considerations but no actions have taken place	
	2			
2.6 Education	3		Training and Awareness controls are in place but Level 3 controls are missing.  Training and Awareness controls are in place. The IT department must ensure the following:  IT's personnel is aware of BYOD-related security issues  IT personnel is trained with respect to BYOD security  IT is involved in the organization's BYOD users training and awareness program  Training and awareness program should include the following topics:  Protect data on device using encryption  Review and understand application permissions  Passcode or password protect the device  Do not jailbreak or root the device  Avoid unknown wireless networks  Use VPN over Wi-Fi  When using configurable Wi-Fi, use 20+ characters passphrases with WPA  Perform timely software updates  Do not install illegal or unauthorized software  Do not install software from untrustworthy markets  Backup data  Avoid clicking unknown links  Setup remote data wipe if the device is lost or stolen  Avoid storing usernames and passwords on the device or in the	
2.7 Policies	0	1000	browser IT dept is not involved in the BYOD policy definition. The IT department is not consulted when BYOD policies are defined	
[] .	1	1100	IT has minimum involvement/input in BYOD policy definition	

Security	Security	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	
Control	Level		IT is fully involved/participate in the writing of BYOD policies, but Level 3 controls are	
	2		missing	
			IT is fully involved in BYOD policy definition. IT must:	
	3		Revise BYOD-related policies to ensure technical aspects are correct. Before	
				connecting the mobile device
		1111	<ul> <li>Confirm the employee has signed policies/agreements.</li> <li>If third-party connectivity is required, confirm that third-party has signed</li> </ul>	
			policies.	
			If there are policy exemptions, IT needs to be aware of exemptions.	
			Ensure the MAUP lines up with the Network Security Policy.	
-7 &	0		IT is not aware of BYOD-related activities that have been shown successful by multiple	
2.8 Best Practices	_		enterprises.	
.8 I	2		N/A IT is aware of BYOD best practices, but does not put them in practice	
7 A	3		IT is aware and follows BYOD-related activities that have been shown successful.	
			IT does not currently have monitoring and reporting procedures in place with respect to	
	0	1000	BYOD	
	1		IT monitors BYOD but does not have reporting process in place	
	2		Monitoring and Reporting in place, but level 3 controls are missing	
			IT has monitoring and reporting processes in place with respect to BYOD. This includes	
			monitoring of the networks that allow BYOD and sharing the reports with Management.  The following reporting, monitoring and alert functions are implemented:	
			Secure logs and audit trails of all sensitive BYOD activities	
ing			IT support staff is able to query the MDM database for events of a security and	
ort	3			compliance nature
Rep			Automatic reports & monitoring & Alerts are generated for the following:	
[ pu				Devices jailbroken or rooted
g E				
rin			<ul> <li>Devices with non-supported OS or Hardware</li> <li>Devices with blacklisted apps</li> </ul>	
nito	3	1111	Devices with blackhisted apps     Devices with excessive data usage that may predict high charges or	
Vo Vo			indicate possible malfeasance	
6.			Unauthorized access attempts	
7			Upon alerts, there are problem escalation procedures	
			MDM provides suitable real-time dashboards and regular management reports for IT to	
			maintain tight control over the MDM population:	
			<ul> <li>MDM provides automatic alerts to system administrators of noncompliant events by email or text message</li> </ul>	
			Rule engine exists for IT to define policies and non-compliant events	
			Suitable management metrics about BYOD deployment, security and	
			compliance are generated	
			No BYOD-related network planning has been performed:	
	0	1000	IT has not considered the effect/impact of BYOD into the existing network.	
			Connectivity issues have not been discussed prior to allowing BYOD  Preliminary network impact has been discussed. No actions have been taken:	
	1		Although BYOD-related network impact has been addressed by IT and discussed with	
2.10 Network	•		upper mgmt., no changes to the network have taken place.	
etw	2		BYODs are allowed with partial network changes.	
Ž		1110	Network changes have taken place; however, level 3 controls are missing	
2.10			All necessary network changes are implemented. BYODs are an extension to the	
,	3 1 1 1 1 1 following network connectivity-related controls need to be Wireless: IT needs to be aware and trained in the different communication (Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, Cellular and VNP), and	organization's network; therefore, they need to be secured in order to protect it. The		
		3 111		
				communication (Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, Cellular and VNP), and decide the method to allow or
			restrict network connectivity to organization's information.	

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards
			VPN: IT setup of Virtual Private Networks to protect the data by creating an encrypted tunnel for data in transmission over unprotected networks.
			Cellular: network connectivity should be allowed only for BYODs with LTE (or above) capabilities
			Wi-Fi: IT needs to ensure that the latest IEEE 802.11i standards are implemented when providing Wi-Fi connectivity in their organizations
			Bluetooth: This is a technology that uses short-range communications, and their current standards are subject to attacks This type of connectivity should not be allowed when accessing the organization's network
			Network Monitoring Tools: IT needs to ensure that network protection includes the always-on network monitoring tools such as Intrusion Detection & Prevention, Next-
			Generation Firewalls, separation of VLANs  Bandwidth/Network Up-time/Storage: Ensure adequate wireless bandwidth is available in order to provide adequate response time to employees' tasks
			VLANs: Mobile access must be isolated via the implementation of separate VLANs outside the corporate network
			Firewalls, IDS and IPS systems present The Servers that control mobile devices need to be behind the organization's firewalls and IDS/IPS systems
Ę	0		IT has not considered forms of virtualization to support BYOD
atio	1		IT is considering virtualization options
liza	2	1110	N/A
2.11 Virtualization	3		IT has implemented virtualization (i.e. in the form of sandbox or other methods) in order to achieve space isolation
	0	1000	IT does not perform third-party verification. Third parties are allowed to connect via BYOD; however, IT does not perform third-party related verification.
ţ	1		Minimal IT third-party checking/verification
Par	2		IT verifies third-party compliance, but some Level 3 controls are missing
2.12 Third Party	3		IT verifies third-party related controls. If third parties are allowed to connect using BYOD to the corporate network, IT needs to:
	0	1000	IT has not developed access control measures with respect to BYOD
	1		IT is in the process of developing access control procedures with respect to BYOD
rol	2		IT has in place access control procedures, but controls as per Level 3 are missing
2.13 Access Control	3		IT has access control procedure with respect to BYOD in order to:
٠			Ensure information security when using teleworking facilities  IT has not considered procedures for controlling the distribution, installation,
2.14 Mobile Application Mgmt.	0	1000	blacklisting/whitelisting and reporting on the use of the software by the BYOD.
2.14 Mobile plication Mg	1	1100	IT is in the process of developing procedures with respect to software control in the BYODs.
2.14 pplica	2	1110	IT has BYOD application mgmt. procedures in place but controls as per Level 3 are missing.
₹	3	1111	IT has in place procedures for BYOD with respect to the following:

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards
			Anti-malware
			Blacklisting /Whitelisting
			Distribution of applications
			Reporting of applications
			Update and backup
2.15 Anti- Malware	0		IT has not considered the possibility of malware infection via BYOD.
	1		IT is working on procedures to ensure anti-malware protection
	2		N/A
	3		IT has in-place procedures for BYOD with respect to anti-malware installation in BYOD.
2.16 Corporate Data Protection	0	1000	The organization has not considered the information security attributes with respect to Confidentiality, Integrity and Availability (CIA)
	1	1100	Although CIA of information has been discussed, the transmission of data through secure channels has not been considered.
	2	1110	CIA of information is considered, and secure channels have been established, but encryption of data at rest and in transit is not implemented.
	3	1111	The organization 1) considers the CIA of the information, 2) ensures secure channels, and
			3) has implemented encryption of organization's information in transit and at rest.
2.17 Mobile Device Security Mgmt.	0	1000	The organization has not considered a mobile device security management process for their BYODs
	1		The organization is in the process of implementing a mobile device security management process, but it has not taken effect.
	2		The organization has implemented a mobile device security mgmt. process but controls as per level 3 are missing.
	3	1111	The organization has a mobile device security management process in place, and the following is being implemented:
			Profile management
			Device detection
			Monitoring and tracking
			Remote wipe
			Detect malware
			Data encryption
<u> </u>			Remote device lock
2.18 Separation of Data	0	1000	The organization does not enforce nor has considered methods to enforce separation of personal data from corporate data.
	1	1100	The organization is working on solutions to enforce separation of data, but no implementation has taken place.
	2		N/A
	3		The organization has a process in place to ensure separation of personal from corporate data.
2.19 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.	0		The organization does not have a process in place to protect the data itself through access control to various forms of corporate data (documents, files, database, etc.)
	1	1100	The organization is in the process of implementing a content management system to control access to corporate data.
	2		The organization has implemented a content management system but controls as per level 3 are missing.
	3	1111	The organization has a content management system in place, and it controls access to corporate documents, secure content storage, synchronize content, encrypts content container, and provides reporting/analysis.
ile			Access to corporate documents
2.19 Mob			Secure content storage
			Synchronize content
			Encrypts content container
			Provides reporting/analysis
2.20 Clou d Acce	0		The organization has not considered security issues in terms of BYODs accessing storage resources outside of the control of the organization.

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards
	1	1100	The organization is in the process of implementing security measures with respect to BYODs accessing storage resources outside of the control of the organization, however, such measures have not been implemented.
	2	1110	N/A
	3		The organization has implemented security measures with respect to BYODs accessing storage resources outside of the control of the organization.
n n	0	1000	The organization has not considered the amount of mobile device resources required when implementing monitoring or configuration options that may diminish the BYOD's availability.
2.21 Resource Consumption	1	1100	The organization is considering the amount of mobile device resources required when implementing monitoring or configuration options that may diminish the BYOD's availability, but no actions have taken place.
.21 20n	2	1110	N/A
2. C	3	1111	The organization has considered the amount of mobile device resources required when implementing monitoring or configuration options that may diminish the BYOD's availability, and proper measures are in place.

#### **5.4 BYOD-Insure-User Controls**

The User module defines the security controls associated with the User domain of an organization's BYOD environment. As of the time of this research, based on the literature review discussed in Chapter 2, we identified twenty-one security controls associated with this domain. These controls are listed in Table 5.6. Each security control describes a control objective.

Table 5.6 User Domain Security Controls

3. User	
Control Objective	Control
To identify the method through which the user adheres to the organization's BYOD directives.	3.1 Compliance
To identify the user attendance to security awareness and training with respect to BYOD	3.2 Education
To identify the type of policies and regulations the BYOD user is responsible for following.	3.3 Policies
To identify the type of directives the BYOD user is committed to follow with respect to cloud access.	3.4 Cloud Access
To identify the type of awareness the BYOD user is given with respect to device resources consumed by the organization's monitoring and configuration.	3.5 Resource Consumption
	3.6 User Data Privacy & Data
modify the user information or the BYOD.	Protection

Table 5.7 defines the security controls levels for the User domain and describes the actions/safeguards for each one of them. The Security Level column defines the levels (0-3) as explained in Chapter 4, section 4.2. The binary representation for each level is also depicted under the Binary Value column. In addition to defining the levels, these binary values are used to determine the % security as explained in Chapter 4, Section 4.2.3.

Table 5.7 User Domain Security Controls with Security Level Definitions and Binary Value Representation.

3.1 Compliance	0 1 2 3	1000	Users are not required to sign a BYOD policy/document adhering to BYOD compliance
3.1 Complian	2		
3.1 Compli		1110	N/A
Com	3	1110	N/A
_		1111	Users sign a BYOD policy where they adhere to the organization's directives with respect to BYOD
и	0	1000	The organization does not have any training or awareness program for BYOD users
3.2 Education	1	1100	The user receives initial BYOD awareness instruction but subsequent education is optional
l gdu	2	1110	N/A
3.2 F	3	1111	The user is required to attend initial and subsequent BYOD awareness orientation/education where mutual responsibilities are discussed
	0	1000	The user is not required to sign a MAUP (Mobile Acceptance User Policy)
	1	1100	A MAUP exists but user is not required to sign prior to BYOD usage.
, re	2	1110	MAUP are in-place and require signature but some Level 3 controls are missing.
3.3 Policies		1110	MAUP is in-place and the following is required:
l ë			User signs MAUP prior to connection
3 P			User signs MAUP on annual basis
8	3	1111	User adheres to penalties
			User adheres to disciplinary actions
			User adheres to exit procedures
	0	1000	Users access storage resources outside of the control of the organization.
pn s	1	1100	N/A
Jor J	2	1110	N/A
3.4 Cloud Access	3	1111	Users follow organizational procedures when accessing resources outside the control of the organization
	0	1000	BYOD users are not aware of possible device resource consumption.
_	1	1100	Users are made aware of the possible device resource consumption depending on the
ion i			mobile device solution adopted by the organization, but this is not stated in the MAUP.
om the	2	1110	N/A
3.5 Resource Consumption	3	1111	Users are made aware of the possible device resource consumption depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, and this is clearly state in the MAUP. The following needs to be clearly stated:  Battery consumption on the user's device may be affected  Memory and storage utilization may be affected
tion	0	1000	BYOD users are not instructed/aware of privacy-related position with respect to the user's data and the organization
ata Protec	1	1100	Users are made aware of the organization's privacy-related position, but this is not stated in the MAUP nor enforced by the mobile device solution adopted by the organization
& Da	2	1110	The MAUP states the organization's position with respect to privacy, but some Level 3 controls are missing.
3.6 User Privacy & Data Protection	3	1111	The organization's position with respect to the privacy of the data in the device is clearly stated in the MAUP and explained to the in the awareness program. Depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, the following may be present:  • Personal data may be visible to the corporation • Personal and corporate data may comingle

#### **5.5 BYOD-Insure-Mobile-Devices Controls**

The Mobile Device module defines the security controls associated with the Mobile Device domain of an organization's BYOD environment. As of the time of this research, based on the literature review discussed in Chapter 2, we identified twenty-one security controls associated with this domain. These controls are listed in Table 5.8. Each security control describes a control objective.

Table 5.8 Mobile Device Domain Security Controls

4. Mobile Device					
Control Objective	Control				
To determine the type of device requirements to ensure an authorized/unauthorized user access	4.1 Access Control				
To determine the method through which the software installation is controlled on the BYOD.	4.2 Mobile Application Mgmt.				
To determine the method through which the BYOD is protected against malicious software	4.3 Anti-Malware				
To determine the way through which the confidentiality, integrity and availability of the organization's data is controlled in the BYOD.	4.4 Corporate Data Protection				
To determine the method through which the BYOD security is implemented in the device.	4.5 Mobile Device Security/Mgmt.				
To determine the method through which the personal and corporate data are isolated from each other.	4.6 Separation of Data				
To determine the type of reporting and monitoring of BYODs	4.7 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.				
To determine the method through which the access to storage resources outside of the control of the organization is implemented in the BYOD	4.8 Cloud Access				
To determine the way through which the device resources are diminished due to BYOD configuration and monitoring.	4.9 Resource Consumption				

Table 5.9 defines the security controls levels for the Mobile Device domain and describes the actions/safeguards for each one of them. The Security Level column defines the levels (0-3) as explained in Chapter 4, section 4.2. The binary representation for each level is also depicted under the Binary Value column. In addition to defining the levels, these binary values are used to determine the % security as explained in Chapter 4, Section 4.2.3.

Table 5.9 Mobile Device Domain Security Controls with Security Level Definitions and Binary Value

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards
I	0	1000	Mobile Device access control has not been considered
tro	1	1100	Mobile Device access control is considered but there is no implementation
s Control	2	Mobile Device access control is considered and implemented; however, son level 3 controls are missing	
4.1 Access	3	1111	The following access control security controls are implemented:  • Permission-based access controls for access to the organization's networks and data based on need-to-know  • Role-based policy for user access

	Security	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards
Control	Level		Separate accounts for administrators (one for administrator)
			work, and one for other purposes)
			Administrator privileges granted to administrators only
			Limits put on each user that have access to the application
			Users privileges based on need-to-know
			Permissions periodically reviewed to include super users
			Process for checking inactive and terminated users
			Revocation period process
			Strong password policy. Suggested criteria:
			Minimum of 9 characters
			Include one upper case alphabetic character
			Include one lower case alphabetic character
			Include one special character
			Include one numeric character
			• Expires after 60 days
			Different than the previous 10 passwords
			Changeable by the administrator at any time     Changeable by user only once in a 24-hour period.
			Changeable by user only once in a 24-hour period     No shared accounts are permitted
	0	1000	Application security is not implemented in the BYOD
	1	1100	Application security is not implemented in the BTOD  Application security is considered but there is no implementation
			Application security is considered and implemented; however, some level 3
	2	1110	controls are missing
ıt.			The following application security controls are implemented:
<u> </u>			Inventory of organization's and third-party apps and revision levels
M			Distribution whitelist and blacklists
tion			Over-the-air (OTA) distribution of software (apps, patches, updates)
ica			and policy changes
ldd			Activate or deactivate specific apps
<b>A</b>	_		Private 'app store' for security distribution of organization's apps
pile	3	1 1 1 1	Access to the enterprise's app store is restricted to BYOD devices
4.2 Mobile Application Mgmt.			owned by employees.
.2			All apps in the store are digitally signed by the enterprise.      The supported BYOD platforms all check the validity of the apps'
4			The supported BYOD platforms all check the validity of the apps' digital signatures before the apps are permitted to execute on the
			device
			Reporting of applications procedures exist
			Backup process in place
-i-	0	1000	The mobile device does not have anti-malware protection software installed.
4.3 Anti- Malware	1	1100	N/A
.3 A [alv	2	1110	N/A
4 ∑	3	1111	Anti-malware is installed and active in mobile device
ion	0	1000	Corporate data protection has not been considered
4.4 Corporate Data Protection	1	1100	Corporate data protection is considered but there is no implementation
rot	2	1110	Corporate data protection is considered and implemented; however, some level
аР			3 controls are missing
)at			The following corporate data controls are implemented:  • Data encryption on device and during transmission
te]	3		Remotely lock and wipe data and installed apps
ora		1111	Selective wipe and privacy policies for organization apps and data,
rp	,	1111	i.e., sandboxing
<u> చ</u>			Distribution and management of digital certificates (to encrypt and)
4. 4.			digitally sign emails and sensitive documents)
4.5 Devi ce Secu		1.0.0.0	Device security has not been considered. There is no mobile device mgmt. (e.g.
4 9 2 8	0	1000	MDM) process in place.

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards
	1	1100	Device security (e.g., MDM) is being considered but there is not implementation
	2	1110	Device security is being implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing
	3	1111	There is mobile device mgmt. (MDM) process in place The following device security issues are implemented:  • Secure portal for BYOD users to enroll & provision devices  • Inventory devices, operating systems, patch levels  • Postpone automatic updates from Internet service providers (ISPs), e.g., in cases where an automatic OS update may cause critical apps to fail  • Capability to locate and map lost phones for recovery  • Backup and restore BYOD device data  • Send text messages to one or a group of selected devices with troubleshooting instructions  • Perform remote device diagnostics for a wide range of BYOD devices  • Remotely view a device's screen and take screen shots to assist with troubleshooting  • Take remote control of a device for troubleshooting  • Upon connection to organization's network, the following is automatically checked:  • Patch level for OS and apps  • Required security software is active and current for:  • Antivirus  • Firewall  • Full-disk encryption  • Device is not jailbroken (Apple) or rooted (Android)  • Presence of unapproved devices  • Presence of blacklisted apps  If any of the above checks fail, the MDM can automatically update the device or disallow access  MDM servers are behind organization's firewalls and intrusion detection systems/intrusion prevention systems (IDS/IPS)
Data	0	1000	The mobile device does not have separation of personal data from corporate data  Separation of corporate and personal data has been considered but there is no
Jo uo	1	1100	implementation
arati	2	1 1 1 0	Space isolation is considered and implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing
4.6 Separation of Data	3	1 1 1 1	Space isolation is considered and one of the following is being implemented:
ıtent	0	1000	The mobile device does not have a process in place to protect the data itself through access control to various forms of corporate data (documents, files, database, etc.)
[] []	1	1100	N/A
vice ( mt.	2	1110	The mobile device has a content management process but controls as per level 3 are missing.
4.7 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.	3	1111	The mobile device has a process to manage content and it controls the following:

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards
			Provides reporting/analysis
pı ,	0	1000	The mobile device is allowed to access resources outside of the control of the organization
lor sess	1	1100	N/A
4.8 Cloud Access	2	1110	N/A
4,	3	1111	The mobile device has security measures with respect to access of storage resources outside of the control of the organization.
4.9 Resource Consumption	0	1000	The mobile device is impacted by the amount of resources needed for configuration, agent and monitoring purposes.
eso nm]	1	1100	N/A
9 R	2	1110	N/A
4. S	3	1111	The amount of mobile device resource required is negligible

# **5.6 Chapter Summary**

This chapter explained the development of the security controls as related to BYOD security. The controls are discussed as found in the literature review. The overlap of the controls across domains is also discussed. Then, the objectives for each control were identified and associated to each domain. Finally, the security level and binary representation for each control were defined. The next chapter focuses on the demonstration of the artifact for each module.

# **CHAPTER 6: Artifact - Demonstration**

#### 6.1 Overview

In order to demonstrate the functionality of each BYOD-Insure module, an example for each domain is presented independently. For each module, the design process as explained in Chapter 4 sections 4.2.2, 4.2.3, and 4.2.4 are demonstrated. These steps include 1) the assessment process, 2) the security posture calculation, and 3) the artifact's results. The following sections demonstrate the aforementioned steps for the Management, IT, User and Mobile Device domains.

# 6.2 Assessing the Security Posture of the Management Domain – BYOD-Insure-Mgmt. Module

This section demonstrates the assessment of the security posture for the Management domain. It shows how to 1) determine the security level of each control, 2) present a graphical representation of security level, 3) calculate the security % for the domain, and 4) provide recommendations based on findings. The aforementioned objectives are demonstrated as follows:

# **6.2.1 Determining the Security Level of Management Controls**

For the purpose of demonstration, assume the Management security posture for a BYOD environment is represented in Table 6.2.1. The example shows the Management module with 11 security controls. The column on the right shows the example security posture for organization X (e.g. assume that, based on a structured interview answers, it was determined that the management posture for organization X is as shown in Table 6.2.1). In this example, the security control for Governance is at level 1 indicating *low security* which means that few safeguards have been implemented (refer to Chapter 4 section 4.2.2). In this case, the actions/safeguards for the Governance control are described in the column corresponding to 'Description of Actions/Safeguards' corresponding to Security Level 1. The column corresponding to 'EXAMPLE Mgmt Posture for Organization X' shows the binary representation corresponding to the organization's security level for the specific control. Likewise, the control corresponding to Risk Management is at Security Level 2 or Moderate Security, indicating that the organization has

implemented most safeguards but has failed to implement all the safeguards corresponding to this control.

Table 6.2.1 Example Security Posture for a Management Domain

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE Mgmt. Posture for Organization X
	0	1000	Neither Board of Directors (BoD) nor Upper Mgmt. are involved in BYOD decision making	
			BoD and Upper Mgmt. are aware of BYOD implementation.	
	1	1 1 0 0	Initial approval of Program and Policies are discussed.	1100 = level 1
			There is no further involvement.	1100 10,011
nce			Occasional updates to BoD and Upper Mgmt.	
na	2	1110	BYOD programs are subject to regular and periodic oversight.	
1.1 Governance			Regular monitoring by management	
j.			Key controls as per Level 3 are missing	
1.			Executive Mgmt. must:	
_			Approve BYOD policies	
	2	1111	Receive regular/scheduled status reports	
	3	1 1 1 1	Reports include:      REPORT USAGE	
			BYOD allowers to relieve      BYOD allowers to relieve	
			BYOD adherence to policy     BYOD Incident Reports	
			No Risk Analysis performed prior to BYOD implementation. BoD and Upper	
	0	1000	Mgmt. have not considered risk management analysis	
1.2 Risk Management	1	1100	Risk Analysis performed prior to BYOD implementation with no follow-up.	
em	2	1110	Risk analysis performed prior to BYOD implementation and follow-up, but	1110 = level 2
ıag			controls as per Level 3 are missing.	
			BoD and Upper Mgmt. involved in Risk Mgmt.	
k N			Risk analysis performed prior to BYOD implementation:  • With the involvement and approval of C-level and Board of	
Ris	3	1111	Directors	
7	3	1111	Acceptable risks levels are approved	
	Subsequent risks assessments are performed			
			Acceptable risks levels are approved	
			No training and awareness program. BoD and Upper Mgmt. are not involved	
	0	1000	in the approval/authorization of training and awareness program.	
=	1	1100	BoD and Upper Mgmt. authorized awareness program only	
1.3 Education	2	1110	BoD and Upper Mgmt. authorized <i>training and awareness</i> programs but controls as per level 3 are missing.	
np;			BoD and Upper Mgmt. approve initial and follow-up training and awareness	
3 E			programs as follows:	1111 = level 3
÷.	3	1111	Approve and endorse training and awareness programs	
		1111	Approve initial orientation awareness	
			Approve regular follow up sessions	
	0	1000	Legal counsel is not involved. Advice of legal counsel is not considered	
ies	1		Initial legal counsel consultation. Legal counsel provides informal advice.	1100 = level 1
1.4 Legal Issues	2	1110	Legal counsel involved but Level 3 controls are missing	
al J			There are legal aspects organizations need to consider when adopting	
eg			BYODs, and these must require the advice of legal counsel in order to ensure	
4 1	3	1 1 1 1	policy and terms will hold in a court of law. Legal counsel must:	
1.			Review BYOD policies	
			Approve BYOD policies	

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE Mgmt. Posture for Organization X
			Provide documented approval of BYOD policies and procedures	
			with respect to legal issues	
			Ensure that aspects in BYOD policy include expectations of:	
			Privacy of the individual	
			Comingled data	
			Device monitoring     Device ownership	_
	0	1000	No Helpdesk in organization. Helpdesk support does not exist	
	0		Helpdesk present but no BYOD support. Neither BoD nor Upper Mgmt. are	
1.5 Help Desk	1		involved with respect to Helpdesk budget/resources approval for BYOD support	
D D	2	1110	Helpdesk approval but Level 3 controls missing.	
[e]			Studies show that having the availability of a support team increases	
<b>5</b> E			employees' efficacy. A Helpdesk must:	
+	3	1111	Be approved at the Upper Mgmt. level	1111 = level 3
			Be signed-off by the BoD for BYOD support	
			Have resources allocated	
	0	1000	BoD and Upper Mgmt. are not involved in BYOD policy approval	
	1	1100	BoD and Upper Mgmt. approve the BYOD policies but there is no further involvement in policy scope and coverage	1100 = level 1
	2	1110	Mgmt. approval and awareness/involvement in policy scope & coverage but	
		1110	some Level 3 controls missing. Not all optimal responsibilities are present.	
1.6 Policies	3	1111	BYOD policies need to clearly state all the objectives and constraints related to the usage of the mobile device. The policies should be straightforward and easy to follow. The policies must include the following:  Policy Approval:  All policies need to be approved at both C-level and BoD.  BYOD policies need to be part of the organization's Information Security Program  A mobile device acceptable user policy (MAUP) needs to be defined and approved.  Policy Scope. The policy needs to cover issues related to:  Securing Mobile Devices  Encryption and Passwords  Data sensitivity/categorization  Antivirus protection  Wireless access  Security breach incident & its response  Remote working  Privacy issues  Policy Signatures. The MAUP policies need to be signed by:  The organization's BYOD employees  Third Party Vendors  Contractors and consultants  Policy Exemption Procedures need to:  Be defined  Be individually approved  Have a time limit  Be periodically reviewed  Policy for Third Parties and Contractors/Consultants need to:  Be individually approved  State compliance requirements	
			State compliance requirements	_
			Include procedures	
			Include limitations	

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE Mgmt. Posture for Organization X
			Policy disciplinary actions need to:	
			Be defined	
			Violations need to be included in the Code of Conduct	
			Sanctions and penalties be clearly identified	
			The MAUP. The Mobile Acceptable Use Policy is the employees' agreement	
			with the terms and use of their BYODs in accordance to the organization's	
			policy. The employee must adhere to the organization's MAUP.	
	0	1000	The Human Resources department of the organization is not involved in BYOD compliance	
	1		HR is aware of BYOD but has not establish its role in compliance	
	2	1110	HR is involved but Level 3 controls are missing	
.7 Compliance			HR is fully involved. The involvement of the organization's HR is necessary in order to hold the organization and the employees accountable and ensure compliance. HR must:	
Įdu			Be responsible for signatures:	
<b>70</b> 1			Initial employee signature	
7 (	3	1111	Initial third-party or consultant signatures	1111 = level 3
<del>-</del> i	3		Annual employee's signatures	1111 – 10 (013
			Third party/consultant signature for renewal commitment	
			Maintain and update:	
			List of participating employees and the exemptions	
			Termination/exit procedures	1
			Disciplinary policy/procedures as per Code of Conduct	
avior	0	1000	The Human Resources department of the organization is not involved in situations related to employee's behavior and attitude	
3eh	1 1100	1100	HR is aware of BYOD but has not established its role with respect to	1100 = level 1
e I			employee's behavior	
1.8 Employee Behavior	3	1111	N/A HR is fully involved. There are procedures in place to handle employee's behavior and attitude The involvement of the organization's HR is necessary in order to hold the employees accountable for their behavior and attitude	
			towards BYOD.	
OC m	0		A BYOD program does not exist	
1.9 BYOD Program	1		BYOD program is being designed	1100 = level 1
9 B rog	2		N/A	
1. P	3	1111	A BYOD program is in place	
gement	0	1000	Management is not involved (i.e. decision making and support) in tasks related to prevention and detection of security problems associated with BYOD.	
fanag	1	1100	Management is aware, but has not explicitly authorized and allocated support for tasks related to security management associated with BYOD.	
y N	2	1110	N/A	
curit			Management is fully aware and engaged in security management associated with BYOD. This involves clear understanding and support of the processes	
1.10 Security Management	3	1111	required to protect computer and network systems. This includes prevention, detection, investigation and resolution of security problems directly associated with the adoption of BYOD.	1111 = level 3
tion	0	1000	Management is not aware of trends and modalities of emerging technologies that are readily embraced by employees with respect to BYOD.	
[T iza	1	1100	N/A	
1.11 IT umeriza	2	1110	N/A	
1.11 IT Consumerization	3	1111	Management is fully aware of trends and modalities of new technologies that are easily and readily accepted by BYOD users and (the possibility of) can negatively affect the organization.	1111 = level 3

#### 6.2.2 Present Graphical Representation of Security Level for the Management Domain

Figure 6.2.1 shows a graphical representation of the security level for each control of the Management domain for this example. Using the binary values in Table 6.2.1 above, corresponding to the far-right column, a graphical representation of the management security posture can be plotted as shown on the Figure 6.2.1 diagram. The red lines show the ideal BYOD Management level of security, whereas the green lines show the organization's security level with respect to Management. In this case, the management domain shows that the security controls for Education, HelpDesk, Compliance, IT Consumerization and Security Management are at ideal level (refer to Table 6.2.1 for safeguards corresponding to security level 3 for the Governance control). However, several controls need strengthening/attention: the safeguards for Legal have not been implemented, and the safeguards corresponding to Governance, Risk Management, Policies, Employee Behavior and BYOD Program need to be revisited to ensure the maximum number of safeguards are considered.




Figure 6.2.1 Example Graphical Representation of Security Level for each Management Control

#### 6.2.3 Calculate the Security % for the Management Domain

Next, we want to calculate the security % corresponding to Management domain. This information is desirable not only to gauge the security posture of the given domain with respect to an optimal posture but is also necessary in order to calculate the global security posture of the organization with respect to its BYOD environment. This type of information helps the decision makers and stakeholders of an organization to allocate the adequate resources to improve the security posture with respect to BYOD. The following calculations explain how to obtain the % security for the Management domain using the example presented for organization X. Table 6.2.2 summarizes the security posture of the management domain for an organization X.

Table 6.2.2 Example Summary Security Posture for Management Domain

Domain	Security Controls	Organization X Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
	1.1 Governance	1100	1
	1.2 Risk Management	1110	2
7	1.3 Education	1111	3
Ε	1.4 Legal	1000	0
N N	1.5 Held Desk	1111	3
MANAGEMENT	1.6 Policies	1100	1
Ĭ	1.7 Compliance	1111	3
E	1.8 Employee Behavior	1100	1
T	1.9 BYOD Program	1100	1
	1.10 Security Management	1111	3
	1.11 IT Consumerization	1111	3

Figure 6.2.2 shows the various matrix representations required to calculate the % security for the management domain. Let matrix C represent organization X's security controls which indicate the organization's security posture with respect to management. The 4x11 matrix C is built using the binary representation depicted in Table 6.2.2. Let matrix R represent the optimal security posture for the management domain. The 4x11 matrix R is built using the binary representation for optimal set of values as shown in Table 6.2.1 corresponding to binary values for security level 3. Then, the calculation of the *distance* between C and R will give us a value that can be used to calculate the % security for a given domain. The distance d between matrix R and matrix C is calculated using the Euclidian's algorithm:  $d(C, R) = \sqrt{Tr((C - R)(C - R)^T)}$ , where the distance d between matrix C and R is equal to the square root of the trace of the product (i.e. absolute values) between (C - R)

and its transpose  $(C - R)^T$ . This result is then used to calculate the security level as discussed in next paragraph.

As shown in Figure 6.2.2, the distance between C and R is  $d(C, R) = \sqrt{Tr((C-R)(C-R)^T)} = \sqrt{11} = 3.31$ . The value of 3.31 will be used to calculate the security level for the Management domain of organization X. Now, we want to compare this value against a value where no safeguards have been implemented (i.e. 100% insecure posture). For this, as shown in Figure 6.2.3, we calculate the distance between a matrix M (i.e. a matrix that represents a BYOD security posture where no safeguards have been implemented) and matrix R (i.e. optimal security controls). Note that matrix M has all rows as '1000' indicating the level of security is 0 with no security controls implemented. This result is  $d(M, R) = \sqrt{Tr((M-R)(M-R)^T)} = \sqrt{33} = 5.7$ .

Thus, if 5.7 represents 100% insecure, 3.31 represents 3.31/5.7= 58% insecure or 41.9% secure. For this example, the value of 3.31 indicates the management domain is 58% insecure. In other words, its security level for this management domain is at 41.9%.




Figure 6.2.2 Example Calculation of Security Posture for Management Domain




Figure 6.2.3 Calculation: NO Safeguards have been implemented for Management Domain

# 6.2.4 Provide Management Recommendations Based on Findings

Table 6.2.4 shows a list of specific recommendations based on the findings (i.e. weakness/vulnerabilities found in the security controls for the Management domain). The domain also shows that its overall security is at 41.9% which, according to the security posture % scale shown in Table 6.2.3, it indicates that the Management domain is at the low end of 'Moderately Secured' posture. This means that the organization's Management controls with respect to BYOD need to be carefully reviewed and additional safeguards be considered and implemented.

Table 6.2.3 Management Security Posture Based on %Secure

Security Posture Based on 41.9 % Secure					
0% - 10% No Security					
11% - 40%	Low Security				
<mark>41% - 70%</mark>	Moderately Secured				
71% - 100%	Highly Secured				

Table 6.2.4 Example of Management Recommendations Based on Findings

		Management Findings and Recommen	dations for Organization X
Security	Security	Findings	Recommendations
Control	Level		
		BoD and Upper Mgmt. are aware of BYOD implementation.	Executive Mgmt. must:
1.1 Governance		Initial approval of Program and Policies are discussed.	Approve BYOD policies
ver	1	There is no further involvement.	Receive regular/scheduled status reports
છુ			Reports include:
			BYOD usage
			BYOD adherence to policy
			BYOD Incident Reports
en (			BoD and Upper Mgmt. involved in Risk Mgmt.
em			Risk analysis performed prior to BYOD implementation:
1.2 Risk Management	2	Risk analysis performed prior to BYOD implementation and follow-up but controls as per Level 3 are missing.	With the involvement and approval of C-level and Board of Directors
ik 1		per Lever 5 are missing.	Acceptable risks levels are approved
<b>R</b> is			Subsequent risks assessments are performed
1.2			Acceptable risks levels are approved
		BoD and Upper Mgmt. approve initial and	Treespitate tisks fevers are approved
ation		follow-up training and awareness programs as follows:	
1.3 Education	3	Approve and endorse training and	
		awareness programs	This control was found to be at the optimal security level
		Approve initial orientation	
		awareness     Approve regular follow up sessions	
		Approve regular follow up sessions	There are legal aspects organizations need to consider
		Legal counsel is not involved. Advice of legal counsel is not considered	when adopting BYODs, and these must require the advice of legal counsel in order to ensure policy and terms will hold in a court of law. Legal counsel must:
			Review BYOD policies
nes			Approve BYOD policies
1.4 Legal Issues	0		Provide documented approval of BYOD policies and procedures with respect to legal issues
1.4 I			Ensure that aspects in BYOD policy include expectations of:
			Privacy of the individual
			Comingled data
			Device monitoring
		Gradien described at 1919 C	Device ownership
Desk		Studies show that having the availability of a support team increases employees' efficacy.  A Helpdesk must:	
1.5 Help Desk	3	Be approved at the Upper Mgmt.     level     Be signed-off by the BoD for	This control was found to be at the optimal security level
1;5		BYOD support	
		Have resources allocated	
1.6 Policies	1	BoD and Upper Mgmt. approve the BYOD	BYOD policies need to clearly state all the objectives and constraints related to the usage of the mobile device. The policies should be straightforward and easy to follow. The policies must include the following:

Q	g	Management Findings and Recommen	ndations for Organization X
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
			Policy Approval:
			<ul> <li>All policies need to be approved at both C-</li> </ul>
			level and BoD.
			BYOD policies need to be part of the
			organization's Information Security Program
			A mobile device acceptable user policy (MAUP) needs to be defined and approved.
			Policy Scope. The policy needs to cover issues related
			to:
			Securing Mobile Devices
			Encryption and Passwords
			Data sensitivity/categorization
			Antivirus protection
			Wireless access
			Security breach incident & its response
			Remote working
			Privacy issues
			Policy Signatures. The MAUP policies need to be signed
			The organization's BYOD employees
			Third Party Vendors
			Contractors and consultants
			Policy Exemption Procedures need to:
			Be defined
			Be individually approved
			Have a time limit
			Be periodically reviewed
			Policy for Third Parties and Contractors/Consultants
			need to:
			Be individually approved
			State compliance requirements
			Include procedures     Include limitations
			Policy disciplinary actions need to:
			Be defined
			Violations need to be included in the Code of
			Conduct
	1		Sanctions and penalties be clearly identified
	1		The Mobile Acceptable Use Policy (MAUP) is the
			employee's agreement with the terms and use of their
	1		BYODs in accordance to the organization's policy. The
		HD' CH ' - 1 - 1 TH ' - 1 - CH	employee must adhere to the organization's MAUP.
	1	HR is fully involved. The involvement of the	
	1	organization's HR is necessary in order to hold the organization and the employees	
بو	1	accountable and ensure compliance. HR	This control was found to be at the optimal security leve
1.7 Compliance		must:	
pli		Be responsible for signatures:	1
om	3	Initial employee signature	
7 C	1	Initial third-party or consultant	
<del>-</del>		signatures	
	1	Annual employee's signatures	
	1	Third party/consultant signature for	
		renewal commitment	

	Management Findings and Recommendations for Organization X							
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations					
		Maintain and update:      List of participating employees and the exemptions     Termination/exit procedures     Disciplinary policy/procedures as per Code of Conduct						
1.8 Employee Behavior	1	HR is aware of BYO but has not established its role with respect to employee's behavior	HR is fully involved. There are procedures in place to handle employee's behavior and attitude The involvement of the organization's HR is necessary in order to hold the employees accountable for their behavior and attitude towards BYOD.					
1.9 BYOD Program	1	BYOD program is being designed	A BYOD program is in place					
1.10 Security Management	3	Management is fully aware and engaged in security management associated with BYOD. This involves clear understanding and support of the processes required to protect computer and network systems. This includes prevention, detection, investigation and resolution of security problems directly associated with the adoption of BYOD.	This control was found to be at the optimal security level					
1.11 IT Consumerization	3	Management is fully aware of trends and modalities of new technologies that are easily and readily accepted by BYOD users and [the						

# 6.3 Assessing the Security Posture of the IT Domain – BYOD-Insure-IT Module

This section demonstrates the assessment of the security posture for the IT domain. It shows how to 1) determine the security level of each control, 2) present a graphical representation of security level, 3) calculate the security % for the domain, and 4) provide recommendations based on findings. The aforementioned objectives are demonstrated as follows:

# **6.3.1 Determining the Security Level of IT Controls**

For the purpose of demonstration, assume the IT security posture for a BYOD environment is represented in Table 6.3.1. The example shows the IT module with 21 security controls. The farright column represents the example security posture for organization X (e.g. assume that, based on a structured interview answers, it was determined that the IT posture for organization X is as shown

in Table 6.3.1). In this example, the security control for BYOD Program is at level 1 indicating *low security* which means that few safeguards have been implemented (refer to Chapter 4 section 4.2.2 for security levels classification). In this case, the actions/safeguards for the 'BYOD Program' control are described in the column corresponding to 'Description of Actions/Safeguards' corresponding to Security Level 1. The column corresponding to 'EXAMPLE IT Posture for Organization X' shows the binary representation corresponding to the organization's security level for the specific control. Likewise, the rest of the controls for the IT security posture have been identified.

Table 6.3.1 Example Security Posture for an IT Domain

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE of IT Posture for Organization X
m m	0	1000	The organization does not have a BYOD program in place	1100 = level 1
YC	1	1100	IT is involved in a BYOD program under construction	
2.1 BYOD Program	2	1110	N/A	
2.1 P1	3	1111	IT is involved in a BYOD program already in place	
	0	1000	IT is not involved and does not participate in the risk assessment process	
<del>+</del>	1	1100	IT has minimum involvement/input in the Risk Assessment process	
;emen	2	1110	IT is fully involved in the Risk Assessment process, but Level 3 controls are missing.	1110 = level 2
2.2 Risk Management	3	1111	IT is fully involved in the Risk Assessment process. Based on the risk assessment authorized and performed by management, IT needs to:  • Be an integral part of the initial risk analysis process • Analyze the technical aspects of the accepted risks levels • Implement safeguards in order to mitigate accepted risks • Follow-up with subsequent risk assessments.	
ent	0	1000	IT is not involved in tasks related to prevention and detection of security problems associated with BYOD.	
l ma	1	1100	N/A	
Manage	2	1110	IT is involved in the process of preventing security problems associated with BYOD, but controls associated with the optimal security level 3 are missing	1110 = level 2
2.3 Security Management	3	1111	IT is involved in BYOD-related computer & network security by:  • Preventing security problems  • Detection of intrusion  • Investigation of intrusion and resolution  • Access to network and resources	
2.4 Help Desk	0	1000	IT is not involved in Help Desk support for BYOD. There is no Helpdesk support or existing Helpdesk is not prepared to handle BYOD-related problems, or IT is not involved in support	

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE of IT Posture for Organization X
	1	1100	HelpDesk Support has been discussed but not implemented. The integration of IT in Helpdesk support regarding BYOD has not been implemented	
	2	1110	BYOD Helpdesk support is in place; however, Level 3 controls are missing.	1110 = level 2
	3	1111	Necessary IT help desk support for BYOD is in place. The help desk needs to:  Have IT support Have escalation procedures in place Have reporting procedures in place	
ation	0	1000	IT is not aware nor prepared with respect to emerging technologies, trends and modalities associated with BYOD	
riz	1	1100	N/A	
2.5 IT Consumerization	2	1110	IT is aware and prepared with respect to emerging technologies, trends and modalities associated with BYOD, but does not share this information with Management.	1110 = level 2
2.5 IT C	3	1111	IT is aware and prepared with respect to emerging technologies, trends and modalities associated with BYOD, and maintains Management aware of this information.	
	0	1000	IT department has not considered (or not involved) in training and awareness programs	
	1	1100	IT dept has discussed training & awareness considerations but no actions have taken place	1100 = level 1
	2	1110	Training and Awareness controls are in place but Level 3 controls are missing.	
2.6 Education	3	1111	Training and Awareness controls are in place. The IT department must ensure the following:  IT's personnel is aware of BYOD-related security issues  IT personnel is trained with respect to BYOD security  IT is involved in the organization's BYOD users training and awareness program  Training and awareness program should include the following topics:  Protect data on device using encryption  Review and understand application permissions  Passcode or password protect the device  Do not jailbreak or root the device  Avoid unknown wireless networks  Use VPN over Wi-Fi  When using configurable Wi-Fi, use 20+characters passphrases with WPA  Perform timely software updates  Do not install illegal or unauthorized software  Do not install software from untrustworthy markets  Backup data  Avoid clicking unknown links  Setup remote data wipe if the device is lost or stolen  Avoid storing usernames and passwords on	
2.7 Polic	0	1000	the device or in the browser  IT dept is not involved in the BYOD policy definition. The IT department is not consulted when BYOD policies are defined	

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE of IT Posture for Organization X
	1	1100	IT has minimum involvement/input in BYOD policy definition	
	2	1110	IT is fully involved/participate in the writing of BYOD policies, but Level 3 controls are missing	1110 = level 2
	3	1111	<ul> <li>IT is fully involved in BYOD policy definition. IT must:         <ul> <li>Revise BYOD-related policies to ensure technical aspects are correct. Before connecting the mobile device</li> <li>Confirm the employee has signed policies/agreements.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If third-party connectivity is required, confirm that third-party has signed policies.</li> <li>If there are policy exemptions, IT needs to be aware of exemptions.</li> <li>Ensure the MAUP lines up with the Network Security Policy.</li> </ul>	
ctices	0	1000	IT is not aware of BYOD-related activities that have been shown successful by multiple enterprises.	
rac	1	1100	N/A	
2.8 Best Practices	2	1110	IT is aware of BYOD best practices, but does not put them in practice	1110 = level 2
2.8 1	3	1111	IT is aware and follows BYOD-related activities that have been shown successful.	
	0	1000	IT does not currently have monitoring and reporting procedures in place with respect to BYOD	
	1	1100	IT monitors BYOD but does not have reporting process in place	1100 = level 1
	2	1110	Monitoring and Reporting in place, but level 3 controls are missing	
2.9 Monitoring and Reporting	3	1111	IT has monitoring and reporting processes in place with respect to BYOD. This includes monitoring of the networks that allow BYOD and sharing the reports with Management.  The following reporting, monitoring and alert functions are implemented:  • Secure logs and audit trails of all sensitive BYOD activities  • IT support staff is able to query the MDM database for events of a security and compliance nature  • Automatic reports & monitoring & Alerts are generated for the following:  • Devices jailbroken or rooted  • Devices that have not checked in for a certain time  • Devices with non-supported OS or Hardware  • Devices with blacklisted apps  • Devices with excessive data usage that may predict high charges or indicate possible malfeasance  • Unauthorized access attempts  • Upon alerts, there are problem escalation procedures  MDM provides suitable real-time dashboards and regular management reports for IT to maintain tight control over the MDM population:  • MDM provides automatic alerts to system administrators of noncompliant events by email or text message	

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE of IT Posture for Organization X
			Rule engine exists for IT to define policies and non-	-
			compliant events	
			<ul> <li>Suitable management metrics about BYOD deployment, security and compliance are generated</li> </ul>	
			No BYOD-related network planning has been performed:	
	0	1000	IT has not considered the effect/impact of BYOD into the existing network.	
			Connectivity issues have not been discussed prior to allowing BYOD	
			Preliminary network impact has been discussed. No actions have been taken:	
	1	1100	Although BYOD-related network impact has been addressed by IT and discussed with upper mgmt., no changes to the network	
			have taken place.	1110 112
	2	1110	BYODs are allowed with partial network changes.  Network changes have taken place; however, level 3 controls are missing	1110 = level 2
2.10 Network	3	1111	All necessary network changes are implemented. BYODs are an extension to the organization's network; therefore, they need to be secured in order to protect it. The following network connectivity-related controls need to be considered:  Wireless: IT needs to be aware and trained in the different forms of wireless communication (Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, Cellular and VNP), and decide the method to allow or restrict network connectivity to organization's information.  VPN: IT setup of Virtual Private Networks to protect the data by creating an encrypted tunnel for data in transmission over unprotected networks.  Cellular: network connectivity should be allowed only for BYODs with LTE (or above) capabilities  Wi-Fi: IT needs to ensure that the latest IEEE 802.11i standards are implemented when providing Wi-Fi connectivity in their organizations  Bluetooth: This is a technology that uses short-range communications, and their current standards are subject to attacks This type of connectivity should not be allowed when accessing the organization's network  Network Monitoring Tools: IT needs to ensure that network protection includes the always-on network monitoring tools such as Intrusion Detection & Prevention, Next-Generation Firewalls, separation of VLANs  Bandwidth/Network Up-time/Storage: Ensure adequate wireless bandwidth is available in order to provide adequate response time to employees' tasks  VLANs: Mobile access must be isolated via the implementation of separate VLANs outside the corporate network  Firewalls, IDS and IPS systems present  The Servers that control mobile devices need to be behind the	
•=	0	1.000	organization's firewalls and IDS/IPS systems	
	0	1000	IT has not considered forms of virtualization to support BYOD	
2.11 Virtuali zation	1	1100	IT is considering virtualization options	1100 = level 1

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE of IT Posture for Organization X
	3	1111	IT has implemented virtualization (i.e. in the form of sandbox or other methods) in order to achieve space isolation	
	0	1000	IT does not perform third-party verification. Third parties are allowed to connect via BYOD; however, IT does not perform third-party related verification.	
<b>&gt;</b> >	1	1100	Minimal IT third-party checking/verification	
d Part	2	1110	IT verifies third-party compliance, but some Level 3 controls are missing	
2.12 Third Party	3	1111	IT verifies third-party related controls. If third parties are allowed to connect using BYOD to the corporate network, IT needs to:	1111 = level 3
	0	1000	IT has not developed access control measures with respect to BYOD	
	1	1100	IT is in the process of developing access control procedures with respect to BYOD	
rol	2	1110	IT has in place access control procedures, but controls as per Level 3 are missing	1110 = level 2
2.13 Access Control	3	1111	IT has access control procedure with respect to BYOD in order to:	
gmt.	0	1000	IT has not considered procedures for controlling the distribution, installation, blacklisting/whitelisting and reporting on the use of the software by the BYOD.	
n Mş	1	1100	IT is in the process of developing procedures with respect to software control in the BYODs.	1100 = level 1
plicatic	2	1110	IT has BYOD application mgmt. procedures in place but controls as per Level 3 are missing.	
2.14 Mobile Application Mgmt.	3	1111	IT has in place procedures for BYOD with respect to the following:  • Anti-malware  • Blacklisting /Whitelisting  • Distribution of applications  • Reporting of applications  • Update and backup	
:i a	0	1000	IT has not considered the possibility of malware infection via BYOD.	
2.15 Anti- Malware	1	1100	IT is working on procedures to ensure anti-malware protection	1100 = level 1
15 <i>i</i> Ialv	2	1110	N/A	
% ≥	3	1111	IT has in-place procedures for BYOD with respect to antimalware installation in BYOD.	

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE of IT Posture for Organization X
2.16 Corporate Data Protection	0	1000	The organization has not considered the information security attributes with respect to Confidentiality, Integrity and Availability (CIA)	
Data Pr	1	1100	Although CIA of information has been discussed, the transmission of data through secure channels has not been considered.	
rporate	2	1110	CIA of information is considered, and secure channels have been established, but encryption of data at rest and in transit is not implemented.	1110 = level 2
2.16 Co	3	1111	The organization 1) considers the CIA of the information, 2) ensures secure channels, and 3) has implemented encryption of organization's information in transit and at rest.	
按	0	1000	The organization has not considered a mobile device security management process for their BYODs	
Mgn	1	1100	The organization is in the process of implementing a mobile device security management process, but it has not taken effect.	
urity	2	1110	The organization has implemented a mobile device security mgmt. process but controls as per level 3 are missing.	1110 = level 2
2.17 Mobile Device Security Mgmt.	3	1111	The organization has a mobile device security management process in place, and the following is being implemented:	
De			Profile management     Device detection	
ile			Device detection     Monitoring and tracking	
[op			Remote wipe	
Z Z			Detect malware	
1.7			Data encryption	
			Remote device lock	
2.18 Separation of Data	0	1000	The organization does not enforce nor has considered methods to enforce separation of personal data from corporate data.	1000 = level 0
eparati Data	1	1100	The organization is working on solutions to enforce separation of data, but no implementation has taken place.	
Ser	2	1110	N/A	
2.18	3	1111	The organization has a process in place to ensure separation of personal from corporate data.	
mt	0	1000	The organization does not have a process in place to protect the data itself through access control to various forms of corporate data (documents, files, database, etc.)	
nt Mg	1	1100	The organization is in the process of implementing a content management system to control access to corporate data.	1100 = level 1
onte	2	1110	The organization has implemented a content management system but controls as per level 3 are missing.	
2.19 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.	3	1111	The organization has a content management system in place, and it controls access to corporate documents, secure content storage, synchronize content, encrypts content container, and provides reporting/analysis.	
obi			Access to corporate documents	
M			Secure content storage	
.19			Synchronize content	
7			Encrypts content container	
			Provides reporting/analysis	
p			The organization has not considered security issues in terms of	
2.20 Cloud Access	0	1000	BYODs accessing storage resources outside of the control of the organization.	
2.20 A	1	1100	The organization is in the process of implementing security measures with respect to BYODs accessing storage resources	1100 = level 1

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE of IT Posture for Organization X
			outside of the control of the organization, however, such measures have not been implemented.	
	2	1110	N/A	
	3	1111	The organization has implemented security measures with respect to BYODs accessing storage resources outside of the control of the organization.	
ption	0	1000	The organization has not considered the amount of mobile device resources required when implementing monitoring or configuration options that may diminish the BYOD's availability.	
2.21 Resource Consumption	1	1100	The organization is considering the amount of mobile device resources required when implementing monitoring or configuration options that may diminish the BYOD's availability, but no actions have taken place.	1100 = level 1
	2	1110	N/A	
2.21 Res	3	1111	The organization has considered the amount of mobile device resources required when implementing monitoring or configuration options that may diminish the BYOD's availability, and proper measures are in place.	

# 6.3.2 Present Graphical Representation of Security Level for the IT Domain

Figure 6.3.1 shows a graphical representation of the security level for each control of the IT domain for this example. Using the binary values in Table 6.3.1 above, corresponding to the far-right column, a graphical representation of the IT security posture can be plotted as shown on the Figure 6.3.1 radar diagram. The red lines show the ideal BYOD IT level of security, whereas the green lines show the organization's security level with respect to IT. In this case, it can be noted that the controls for 'separation of data' have not been considered (i.e. level 0), whereas other controls are at level 1 and 2. In this example, note that the controls corresponding to Third-Party are at level 3 indicating that the organization does not allow third-party organizations access to its corporate data via BYODs.

# Example of Graphical Representation of an Organization's BYOD IT Security Posture




Figure 6.3.1 Example Graphical Representation of Security Level for each IT Control

# 6.3.3 Calculate the Security % for the IT Domain

Next, we want to calculate the security % corresponding to IT domain. This information is desirable not only to gauge the security posture of the given domain with respect to an optimal posture but is also necessary in order to calculate the global security posture of the organization with respect to its BYOD environment. This type of information helps the decision makers and stakeholders of an organization to allocate the adequate resources to improve the security posture with respect to BYOD. The following calculations explain how to obtain the % security for the IT domain using the example presented for organization X. Table 6.3.2 summarizes the security posture of the IT domain for an organization X.

Table 6.3.2 Example Summary Security Posture for IT Domain of Organization X

Security Controls	Organization X Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
2.1 BYOD Program	1100	1
2.2 Risk Mgmt.	1110	2
2.3 Security Management	1110	2
2.4 HelpDesk	1110	2
2.5 IT Consumerization	1110	2
2.6 Education	1100	1
2.7 Policies	1110	2
2.8 Best Practices	1110	2
2.9 Monitoring & Reporting	1100	1
2.10 Network	1110	2
2.11 Virtualization	1100	1
2.12 Third Party	1111	3
2.13 Access Control	1110	2
2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt.	1100	1
2.15 Anti-Malware	1100	1
2.16 Corporate Data Protection	1110	2
2.17 Mobile Device Security Mgmt.	1110	2
2.18 Separation of Data	1000	0
2.19 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.	1100	1
2.20 Cloud Access	1100	1
2.21 Resource Consumption	1100	1

Figure 6.3.2 shows the various matrix representations required to calculate the % security for the IT domain. Let matrix C represent organization X's security controls which indicate the organization's security posture with respect to IT. The 4x21 matrix C is built using the binary representation depicted in Table 6.3.2. Let matrix R represent the optimal security posture for the IT domain. The 4x21 matrix R is built using the binary representation for optimal set of values as shown in Table 6.3.1 corresponding to binary values for security level 3. Then, the calculation of the *distance* between C and R will give us a value that can be used to calculate the % security for a given domain. The distance d between matrix R and matrix C is calculated using the Euclidian's algorithm:  $d(C,R) = \sqrt{Tr((C-R)(C-R)^T)}$ , where the distance d between matrix C and R is equal to the square root of the trace of the product (i.e. absolute values) between (C - R) and its transpose  $(C-R)^T$ . This result is then used to calculate the security level as discussed in next paragraph.

As shown in Figure 6.3.2, the distance between C and R is  $d(C,R) = \sqrt{Tr((C-R)(C-R)^T)} = \sqrt{30} = 5.47$ . The value of 5.47 will be used to calculate the security level for the IT domain of organization X. Now, we want to compare this value against a value where no safeguards have been

implemented (i.e. 100% insecure posture). For this, as shown in Figure 6.3.3, we calculate the distance between a matrix M (i.e. a matrix that represents a BYOD security posture where no safeguards have been implemented) and matrix R (i.e. optimal security controls). Note that matrix M has all rows as '1000' indicating the level of security is 0 with no security controls implemented. This result is  $d(M, R) = \sqrt{Tr((M-R)(M-R)^T)} = \sqrt{63} = 7.937$ 

Thus, if 7.937 represents 100% insecure, 5.47 represents 5.47/7.937= 0.689\*100= 68.9% insecure or 31.08% secure. For this example, the value of 5.47 indicates the IT domain is 68.9% insecure. In other words, its security level for this IT domain is at 31.08%.




Figure 6.3.2 Example Calculation of Security Posture for IT Domain




Figure 6.3.3 Calculation: NO Safeguards have been implemented for IT Domain

# **6.3.4 Provide IT Recommendations Based on Findings**

Table 6.3.4 shows a list of specific recommendations based on findings (i.e. weakness/vulnerabilities found in the security controls for the IT domain). The domain also shows that its overall security is at 31.08% which, based on the security posture % scale shown in Table 6.3.3, indicates that the IT domain is at the high end of 'Low Security' posture. This means that the organization's IT controls with respect to BYOD need to be carefully reviewed and further safeguards be considered and implemented.

Table 6.3.3 IT Security Posture Based on %Secure

Security Posture Based on 31.08 % Secure			
0% - 10%	No Security		
11% - 40%	Low Security		
41% - 70%	Moderately Secured		
71% - 100%	Highly Secured		

Table 6.3.4 Example of IT Recommendations Based on Findings

IT Findings and Recommendations for Organization X						
Security Control			Recommendations			
2.1 BYOD Program	1		IT is to be involved in a BYOD program, and the program needs to be in place			
2.2 Risk Management		IT is fully involved in the Risk Assessment process, but Level 3 controls are missing.	IT is fully involved in the Risk Assessment process.  Based on the risk assessment authorized and performed by management, IT needs to:  Be an integral part of the initial risk analysis process  Analyze the technical aspects of the accepted risks levels  Implement safeguards in order to mitigate accepted risks  Follow-up with subsequent risk assessments.			
2.3 Security Management	2	IT is involved in the process of preventing security problems associated with BYOD, but controls associated with the optimal security level 3 are missing	IT is involved in BYOD-related computer & network security by:  • preventing security problems  • detection of intrusion  • investigation of intrusion and resolution  • access to network and resources			
2.4 Help Desk		BYOD Helpdesk support is in place, however, Level 3 controls are missing.	Necessary IT help desk support for BYOD is in place. The help desk needs to:  Have IT support  Have escalation procedures in place  Have reporting procedures in place			
2.6 Educ 2.5 IT Consumerization 2.4 Help Desk ation	2		IT is aware and prepared with respect to emerging technologies, trends and modalities associated with BYOD, and maintains Management aware of this information.			
2.6 Educ	1	IT dept has discussed training & awareness considerations but no actions have taken place	Training and Awareness controls are in place. The IT department must ensure the following:			

	IT Findings and Recommendations for Organization X					
	y Security Findings		Recommendations			
Control	Level	rinuings	IT's personnel is aware of BYOD-related security issues     IT personnel is trained with respect to BYOD security     IT is involved in the organization's BYOD users training and awareness program  Training and awareness program should include the following topics:     Protect data on device using encryption     Review and understand application permissions     Passcode or password protect the device     Do not jailbreak or root the device     Avoid unknown wireless networks     Use VPN over Wi-Fi     When using configurable Wi-Fi, use 20+characters passphrases with WPA     Perform timely software updates     Do not install illegal or unauthorized software     Do not install software from untrustworthy markets     Backup data     Avoid clicking unknown links     Setup remote data wipe if the device is lost or stolen     Avoid storing usernames and passwords on the device or in the browser			
2.7 Policies		IT is fully involved/participate in the writing of BYOD policies, but Level 3 controls are missing	IT is fully involved in BYOD policy definition. IT must:  Revise BYOD-related policies to ensure technical aspects are correct. Before connecting the mobile device  Confirm the employee has signed policies/agreements.  If third-party connectivity is required, confirm that third-party has signed policies.  If there are policy exemptions, IT needs to be aware of exemptions.  Ensure the MAUP lines up with the Network Security Policy.			
2.8 Best Practices		IT is aware of some BYOD best practices, but need to follow them.	IT is aware and follows BYOD-related activities that have been shown successful.			
2.9 Monitoring and Reporting	1	IT monitors BYOD but does not have reporting process in place	IT has monitoring and reporting processes in place with respect to BYOD. This includes monitoring of the networks that allow BYOD and sharing the reports with Management.  The following reporting, monitoring and alerts functions are implemented:  • Secure logs and audit trails of all sensitive BYOD activities			

Security	Security	IT Findings and Recommendation	
Control		Findings	Recommendations
Control	Bever		IT support staff is able to query the MDM database for events of a security and
			compliance nature
			Automatic reports & monitoring & Alerts are
			generated for the following:
			Devices jailbroken or rooted
			Devices that have not checked in for a certain time
			Devices with non-supported OS or Hardware
			Devices with blacklisted apps
			Devices with excessive data usage that may predict high charges or indicate possible malfeasance
			Unauthorized access attempts
			Upon alerts, there are problem escalation procedures
			MDM provides suitable real-time dashboards and regular management reports for IT to maintain tight control over the MDM
			population:
			MDM provides automatic alerts to system
			administrators of noncompliant events by
			email or text message  • Rule engine exists for IT to define policies
			and non-compliant events
			Suitable management metrics about BYOD
			deployment, security and compliance are generated
			All necessary network changes are implemented. BYODs are an extension to the organization's network; therefore,
			they need to be secured in order to protect it. The following network connectivity-related controls need to
			be considered:
		Network changes have taken place; however, level 3 controls are missing	Wireless:
			IT needs to be aware and trained in the different forms of
			wireless communication (Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, Cellular and
			VNP), and decide the method to allow or restrict network
ork			connectivity to organization's information.
Ě			VPN:
ž	2		IT setup of Virtual Private Networks to protect the data
2.10 Network			by creating an encrypted tunnel for data in transmission over unprotected networks.
			Cellular:
			Network connectivity should be allowed only for BYODs with LTE (or above) capabilities
			Wi-Fi:
			IT needs to ensure that the latest IEEE 802.11i standards
			are implemented when providing Wi-Fi connectivity in
			their organizations
			Bluetooth:
			This is a technology that uses short-range
			communications, and their current standards are subject

	IT Findings and Recommendations for Organization X					
Security Control	Security Findings		Recommendations			
			to attacks This type of connectivity should not be allowed when accessing the organization's network			
			Network Monitoring Tools:			
			IT needs to ensure that network protection includes the			
			always-on network monitoring tools such as Intrusion			
			Detection & Prevention, Next-Generation Firewalls,			
			separation of VLANs			
			Bandwidth/Network Up-time/Storage: Upgrade network to handle three times more than current			
			capacity as well as ensure that the network uptime			
			considers access from users working at all times of the			
			day			
			Ensure adequate wireless bandwidth is available in order			
			to provide adequate response time to employees' tasks			
			VLANs:			
			Mobile access must be isolated via the implementation of			
			separate VLANs outside the corporate network			
			Firewalls, IDS and IPS systems present			
			The Servers that control mobile devices need to be behind			
			the organization's firewalls and IDS/IPS systems VLANs:			
			Mobile access must be isolated via the implementation of			
			separate VLANs outside the corporate network			
			Firewalls, IDS and IPS systems present			
			The Servers that control mobile devices need to be behind			
			the organization's firewalls and IDS/IPS systems			
2.11 Virtualization	1	IT is considering virtualization options	IT has implemented virtualization (i.e. in the form of sandbox or other methods) in order to achieve space isolation			
2.12 Third Party	3	Organization does not allow Third-Party's BYOD	Organization does not allow Third-Party's BYOD			
		2 IT has in place access control procedures, but controls as per Level 3 are missing	IT has access control procedure with respect to BYOD in order to:			
_			Control access to organization's information			
tro			ensure BYOD user authorization			
2.13 Access Control			prevent unauthorized user access			
			prevent unauthorized access to networked services			
			prevent unauthorized user access to operating			
			systems			
2.13			prevent unauthorized access to information held in application systems			
			ensure information security when using			
			teleworking facilities			
le m			IT has in place procedures for BYOD with respect to the			
obi atio		IT is in the process of developing procedures with	following:			
14 Mob oplicatio Mgmt.		IT is in the process of developing procedures with respect to software control in the BYODs.	Anti-malware			
2.14 Mobile Application Mgmt.		respect to software control in the D 1 ODs.	Blacklisting / Whitelisting			
4 A			distribution of applications			

IT Findings and Recommendations for Organization X						
Security Control		Findings	Recommendations			
Control	LCVCI		reporting of applications			
			update and backup			
2.15 Anti- Malware			IT has in-place procedures for BYOD with respect to anti-malware installation in BYOD.			
2.16 Corporate Data Protection			The organization 1) considers the CIA of the information, 2) ensures secure channels, and 3) has implemented encryption of organization's information in transit and at rest.			
2.18 Separation 2.17 Mobile Device Security Of Data Mgmt.  Of Data  Data Protection	2		The organization has a mobile device security management process in place, and the following is being implemented:			
2.18 Separation of Data	0		The organization has a process in place to ensure separation of personal from corporate data.			
2.19 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.	1		The organization has a content management system in place and it controls access to corporate documents, secure content storage, synchronize content, encrypts content container, and provides reporting/analysis.  • Access to corporate documents  • Secure content storage  • Synchronize content  • Encrypts content container  • Provides reporting/analysis			
2.20 Cloud Access	1	accessing storage resources outside of the control	The organization has implemented security measures with respect to BYODs accessing storage resources outside of the control of the organization.			
2.21 Resource Consumptio	1	mobile device resources required when implementing monitoring or configuration options	The organization has considered the amount of mobile device resources required when implementing monitoring or configuration options that may diminish the BYOD's availability, and proper measures are in place.			

#### 6.4 Assessing the Security Posture of the User Domain – BYOD-Insure-User Module

This section demonstrates the assessment of the security posture for the User domain. It shows how to 1) determine the security level of each control, 2) present a graphical representation of security level, 3) calculate the security % for the domain, and 4) provide recommendations based on findings. The aforementioned objectives are demonstrated as follows:

# **6.4.1 Determining the Security Level of User Controls**

For the purpose of demonstration, assume the User security posture for a BYOD environment is represented in Table 6.4.1. The example shows the User module with 6 security controls. The farright column represents the example security posture for organization X (e.g. assume that, based on a structured interview answers, it was determined that the User security posture for organization X is as shown in Table 6.4.1). In this example, the security control for Compliance is at level 3 indicating *high security* which means that, for this control, the organization is at the optimal level with respect to compliance from the user's perspective (refer to Chapter 4 section 4.2.2 for security levels classification). In this case, the actions/safeguards for the 'Compliance' control are described in the column corresponding to 'Description of Actions/Safeguards' corresponding to Security Level 3. The column corresponding to 'EXAMPLE User Posture for Organization X' shows the binary representation corresponding to the organization's security level for the specific control. Likewise, the rest of the controls for the User domain security posture have been identified.

Table 6.4.1 Example Security Posture for a User Domain

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE User Posture for Organization X
Compliance	0	1000	Users are not required to sign a BYOD policy/document adhering to BYOD compliance	
l dr	1	1100	N/A	
l o	2	1110	N/A	
3.1 C	3	1111	Users sign a BYOD policy where they adhere to the organization's directives with respect to BYOD	1111 = level 3
tion	0	1000	The organization does not have any training or awareness program for BYOD users	
3.2 Education	1	1100	The user receives initial BYOD awareness instruction but subsequent education is optional	1100 = level 1
<u> </u>	2	1110	N/A	

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE User Posture for Organization X
	3	1111	The user is required to attend initial and subsequent BYOD awareness orientation/education where mutual responsibilities are discussed	
	0	1000	The user is not required to sign a MAUP (Mobile Acceptance User Policy)	
	1	1100	A MAUP exists but user is not required to sign prior to BYOD usage.	
olicies	2	1110	MAUP are in-place and require signature but some Level 3 controls are missing.	1110 = level 2
3.3 Policies	3	1111	MAUP is in-place and the following is required:	
pı ,	0	1000	Users access storage resources outside of the control of the organization.	1000 = level 0
3.4 Cloud Access	1	1100	N/A	
4 C	2	1110	N/A	
eri i	3	1111	Users follow organizational procedures when accessing resources outside the control of the organization	
	0	1000	BYOD users are not aware of possible device resource consumption.	
nsumption	1	1100	Users are made aware of the possible device resource consumption depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, but this is not stated in the MAUP.	1100 = level 1
ಲಿ	2	1110	N/A	
3.5 Resource Consumption	3	1111	Users are made aware of the possible device resource consumption depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, and this is clearly state in the MAUP. The following needs to be clearly stated:  Battery consumption on the user's device may be affected  Memory and storage utilization may be affected	
ion	0	1000	BYOD users are not instructed/aware of privacy-related position with respect to the user's data and the organization	
3.6 User Privacy & Data Protection	1	1100	Users are made aware of the organization's privacy-related position, but this is not stated in the MAUP nor enforced by the mobile device solution adopted by the organization	
	2	1110	The MAUP states the organization's position with respect to privacy, but some Level 3 controls are missing.	1110 = level 2
	3	1111	The organization's position with respect to the privacy of the data in the device is clearly stated in the MAUP and explained to the in the awareness program. Depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, the following may be present:  • Personal data may be visible to the corporation • Personal and corporate data may comingle	

#### 6.4.2 Present Graphical Representation of Security Level for the User Domain

Figure 6.4.1 shows a graphical representation of the security level for each control of the User domain for this example. Using the binary values in Table 6.4.1, a graphical representation of the User security posture can be plotted as shown in the Figure 6.4.1 radar diagram. The red lines show the ideal BYOD User level of security, whereas the green lines show the organization's security level with respect to the User domain. In this case, it can be noted that the controls for 'cloud access' have not been considered (i.e. level 0), whereas other controls are at levels 2, and 3. In this example, note that the controls corresponding to user compliance are at level 3 indicating that users are required to sign a BYOD policy where they adhere to the organization's directives with respect to BYOD. In the same manner, the organization should address the other controls in order to strengthen its security posture with respect to the User's domain.




Figure 6.4.1 Example Graphical Representation of Security Level for each User Control

#### 6.4.3 Calculate the Security % for the User domain

Next, we want to calculate the security % corresponding to User domain. This information is desirable not only to gauge the security posture of the given domain with respect to an optimal posture, but is also necessary in order to calculate the global security posture of the organization with respect to its BYOD environment. This type of information helps the decision makers and stakeholders of an organization to allocate the adequate resources to improve security with respect to BYOD. The following calculations explain how to obtain the % security for the User domain using the example presented for organization X. Table 6.4.2 summarizes the security posture of the User domain for an organization X.

Table 6.4.2 Example Summary Security Posture for User Domain of Organization X

Domain		Organization X Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
	3.1 Compliance	1111	3
	3.2 Education	1100	1
	3.3 Policies	1110	2
USER	3.4 Cloud Access	1000	0
	3.5 Resource Consumption	1100	1
	3.6 User Data Privacy & Data Protection	1110	2

Figure 6.4.2 shows the various matrix representations required to calculate the % security for the User domain. Let matrix C represent organization X's security controls which indicate the organization's security posture with respect to IT. The 4x6 matrix C is built using the binary representation depicted in Table 6.4.2. Let matrix R represent the optimal security posture for the User domain. The 4x6 matrix R is built using the binary representation for optimal set of values as shown in Table 6.4.1 corresponding to binary values for security level 3. Then, the calculation of the distance between C and R will give us a value that can be used to calculate the % security for a given domain. The distance d between matrix R and matrix C is calculated using the Euclidian's algorithm:  $d(C,R) = \sqrt{Tr((C-R)(C-R)^T)}$ , where the distance d between matrix C and R is equal to the square root of the trace of the product (i.e. absolute values) between (C - R) and its transpose  $(C-R)^T$ . This result is then used to calculate the security level as discussed in next paragraph.

As shown in Figure 6.4.2, the distance between C and R is  $d(C,R) = \sqrt{Tr((C-R)(C-R)^T)} = \sqrt{9} = 3.0$ . The value of 3.0 will be used to calculate the security level for the User domain of organization X. Now, we want to compare this value against a value where no safeguards have been implemented (i.e. 100% insecure posture). For this, as shown in Figure 6.4.3, we calculate the distance between a matrix M (i.e. a matrix that represents a BYOD security posture where no safeguards have been implemented) and matrix R (i.e. optimal security controls). Note that matrix M has all rows as '1000' indicating the level of security is 0 with no security controls implemented. This result is  $d(M,R) = \sqrt{Tr((M-R)(M-R)^T)} = \sqrt{24} = 4.898$ 

Thus, if 4.898 represents 100% insecure, 3.0 represents 3.0/4.898= 0.6134\*100= 61.34% insecure or 38.65% secure. For this example, the value of 3.0 indicates the User domain is 61.34% insecure. In other words, its security level for this User domain is at 38.65%.




Figure 6.4.2 Example Calculation of Security Posture for User Domain




Figure 6.4.3 Calculation: NO Safeguards have been implemented for User Domain

#### **6.4.4 Provide User Recommendations Based on Findings**

Table 6.4.4 shows a list of specific recommendations based on findings (i.e. weakness/vulnerabilities found in the security controls for the User domain). The domain also shows that its overall security is at 38.65% which, based on the security posture % scale shown in Table 6.4.3, indicates that the User domain is at the high end of 'Low Security' posture. This means that the organization's User controls with respect to BYOD need to be carefully reviewed and further safeguards be considered and implemented.

Table 6.4.3 USER Security Posture Based on %Secure

USER Security Posture Based on 38.65% Secure				
0% - 10%	No Security			
11% - 40%	Low Security			
41% - 70%	Moderately Secured			
71% - 100%	Highly Secured			

Table 6.4.4 Example of User Recommendations Based on Findings.

Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
3.1 Compliance	3	Users sign a BYOD policy where they adhere to the organization's directives with respect to BYOD.	Users sign a BYOD policy where they adhere to the organization's directives with respect to BYOD.
3.2 Education	1		The user is required to attend initial and subsequent BYOD awareness orientation/education where mutual responsibilities are discussed
3.3 Policies	2	MAUP are in-place and require signature but some Level 3 controls are missing.	MAUP is in-place and the following is required:      User signs MAUP prior to connection     User signs MAUP on annual basis     User adheres to penalties     User adheres to disciplinary actions     User adheres to exit procedures
3.4 Cloud Access	0	Users access storage resources outside of the control of the organization.	Users follow organizational procedures when accessing resources outside the control of the organization
3.5 Resource Consumption	1		Users are made aware of the possible device resource consumption depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, and this is clearly state in the MAUP. The following needs to be clearly stated:  Battery consumption on the user's device may be affected  Memory and storage utilization may be affected
3.6 User Privacy & Data Protection	2	The MAUP states the organization's position with respect to privacy, but some Level 3 controls are missing.	The organization's position with respect to the privacy of the data in the device is clearly stated in the MAUP and explained to the in the awareness program. Depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, the following may be present:  • Personal data may be visible to the corporation  • Personal and corporate data may comingle

# **6.5** Assessing the Security Posture of the Mobile Device Domain BYOD-Insure Mobile Device Module

This section demonstrates the assessment of the security posture for the User domain. It shows how to 1) determine the security level of each control, 2) present a graphical representation of security level, 3) calculate the security % for the domain, and 4) provide recommendations based on findings. The aforementioned objectives are demonstrated as follows:

#### **6.5.1 Determining the Security Level of Mobile Device Controls**

For the purpose of demonstration, assume the Mobile Device security posture for a BYOD environment is represented in Table 6.5.1. The example shows the Mobile Device module with 9 security controls. The far-right column shows the example security posture for organization X (e.g. assume that, based on a structured interview answers, it was determined that the Mobile Device security posture for organization X is as shown in Table 6.5.1). In this example, the security control for Access Control is at level 2 indicating *moderate security* which means that, for this control, the organization still needs to strengthen its security posture with respect to this control (refer to Chapter 4 section 4.2.2 for security levels classification). In this case, the actions/safeguards for the 'Access Control' control are described in the column corresponding to 'Description of Actions/Safeguards' corresponding to Security Level 3. The column corresponding to 'EXAMPLE User Posture for Organization X' shows the binary representation corresponding to the organization's security level for the specific control. Likewise, the rest of the controls for the Mobile Device domain security posture have been identified.

Table 6.5.1 Example Security Posture for a Mobile Device Domain

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE Mobile Device Posture for Organization X
	0	1000	Mobile Device access control has not been considered	
	1	1100	Mobile Device access control is considered but there is no implementation	
	2	1110	Mobile Device access control is considered and implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing	1110=level 2
4.1 Access Control	3	1111	The following access control security controls are implemented:  • Permission-based access controls for access to the organization's networks and data based on need-to-know  • Role-based policy for user access  • Separate accounts for administrators (one for administrator work, and one for other purposes)  • Administrator privileges granted to administrators only  • Limits put on each user that have access to the application  • Users privileges based on need-to-know  • Permissions periodically reviewed to include super users  • Process for checking inactive and terminated users  • Revocation period process  • Strong password policy. Suggested criteria:  • Minimum of 9 characters	

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE Mobile Device Posture for Organization X		
			Include one upper case alphabetic character	- 8		
			Include one lower case alphabetic character			
			Include one special character			
			Include one numeric character			
			Expires after 60 days			
			Different than the previous 10 passwords			
			Changeable by the administrator at any time			
			Changeable by user only once in a 24-hour period			
			No shared accounts are permitted			
	0	1000	Application security is not implemented in the BYOD			
	1	1100	Application security is considered but there is no implementation	1100 = level 1		
	2	1110	Application security is considered and implemented; however some level 3 controls are missing			
			The following application security controls are implemented:			
#			Inventory of organization's and third-party apps and			
ஹீ			revision levels			
N Z			Distribution whitelist and blacklists			
4.2 Mobile Application Mgmt.			Over-the-air (OTA) distribution of software (apps,			
icat			patches, updates) and policy changes			
ilde			Activate or deactivate specific apps			
ΨI			<ul> <li>Private 'app store' for security distribution of</li> </ul>			
oile	3	1111	organization's apps			
Iol			Access to the enterprise's app store is restricted to BYOD			
2 N				devices owned by employees.		
4.					All apps in the store are digitally signed by the enterprise.	
					The supported BYOD platforms all check the validity of	
			the apps' digital signatures before the apps are permitted			
		to execute on the device				
			Troporting of approximations proceedings crimst			
			Backup process in place  The mobile device does not have anti-malware protection software	1000 = level 0		
4.3 Anti- Malware	0	1000	installed.	1000 – level 0		
3 A alv	1	1100	N/A			
.4 M	2	1110	N/A			
	3	1111	Anti-malware is installed and active in mobile device			
g g	0	1000	Corporate data protection has not been considered	1100 = level 1		
ectio	1	1100	Corporate data protection is considered but there is no implementation	1100 = level 1		
Prot	2	1110	Corporate data protection is considered and implemented; however some level 3 controls are missing			
ıta			The following corporate data controls are implemented:			
4.4 Corporate Data Protection			Data encryption on device and during transmission			
			Remotely lock and wipe data and installed apps			
	3	1111	Selective wipe and privacy policies for organization apps			
			and data, i.e., sandboxing  • Distribution and management of digital certificates (to			
7 + (			Distribution and management of digital certificates (to encrypt and digitally sign emails and sensitive			
4			documents)			
8 <sub>~</sub>			Device security has not been considered. There is no mobile device			
evic rits nt.	0	1000	mgmt. (e.g. MDM) process in place.			
4.5 Device Security Mgmt.	4	1.100	Device security (e.g., MDM) is being considered but there is not	1100 = level 1		
4.4 S.	1	1100	implementation			

Security	Security			EXAMPLE Mobile
Control	Level		Description of Actions/Safeguards	Device Posture for Organization X
	2	1110	Device security is being implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing	OI guilleurion II
			There is mobile device mgmt. (MDM) process in place	
			The following device security issues are implemented:	
			Secure portal for BYOD users to enroll & provision	
			devices	
			<ul> <li>Inventory devices, operating systems, patch levels</li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>Postpone automatic updates from Internet service</li> </ul>	
			providers (ISPs), e.g., in cases where an automatic OS	
			update may cause critical apps to fail  Capability to locate and man lost phones for recovery	
			Capability to locate and map lost phones for recovery     Backup and restore BYOD device data	
			Send text messages to one or a group of selected devices	
			with troubleshooting instructions	
			Perform remote device diagnostics for a wide range of	
			BYOD devices	
	3	1111	Remotely view a device's screen and take screen shots to assist with troubleshooting	
			Take remote control of a device for troubleshooting	
			Upon connection to organization's network, the following	
			is automatically checked:	
			Patch level for OS and apps	
			Required security software is active and current for:	
			• Antivirus	
			Firewall     Full-disk encryption	
			Device is not jailbroken (Apple) or rooted (Android)	
			Presence of unapproved devices	
			Presence of blacklisted apps	
			If any of the above checks fail, the MDM can automatically update	
			the device or disallow access	
			MDM servers are behind organization's firewalls and intrusion	
			detection systems/intrusion prevention systems (IDS/IPS)	1000 11.0
The mobile device does not have separation of persons corporate data		corporate data	1000 = level  0	
on of Data	1	1100	Separation of corporate and personal data has been considered but	
of	_		there is no implementation	
ion	2	1110	Space isolation is considered and implemented; however some level	
ırat			3 controls are missing Space isolation is considered and one of the following is being	
eba			implemented:	
4.6 Separati	3	1111	Separation of corporate and personal data on device	
4.			True space isolation: corporate data does not reside in	
			device	
ent	6	1.000	The mobile device does not have a process in place to protect the	
ont	0	1000	data itself through access control to various forms of corporate data	
ر د د	1	1100	(documents, files, database, etc.) N/A	
vice nt.			The mobile device has a content management process but controls	1110 = level 2
4.7 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.	2	1 1 1 0	as per level 3 are missing.	1110 – 10 (01 2
— vile N			The mobile device has a process to manage content and it controls	
Tot	3	1111	the following:	
7.7	3	1111	Access to corporate documents	
4.			Secure content storage	

Security Control	Security Level	Binary Value	Description of Actions/Safeguards	EXAMPLE Mobile Device Posture for Organization X
			Synchronize content	
			Encrypts content container	
			<ul> <li>Provides reporting/analysis</li> </ul>	
p	0	1000	The mobile device is allowed to access resources outside of the control of the organization	1000 = level 0
Cloud	1	1100	N/A	
0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	2	1110	N/A	
		1111	The mobile device has security measures with respect to access of storage resources outside of the control of the organization.	
4.9 Resource Consumption	0 1000		The mobile device is impacted by the amount of resources needed for configuration, agent and monitoring purposes.	
Sesc	1	1100	N/A	
9 R	2	1110	N/A	
4.9 Cor	3	1111	The amount of mobile device resource required is negligible	1111 = level 3

#### 6.5.2 Present Graphical Representation of Security Level for the Mobile Device Domain

Figure 6.5.1 shows a graphical representation of the security level for each control of the Mobile Device domain for this example. Using the binary values in Table 6.5.1 corresponding to the farright column, a graphical representation of the Mobile Device security posture can be plotted as shown on the Figure 6.5.1 radar diagram. The red lines show the ideal BYOD Mobile Device level of security, whereas the green lines show the organization's security level with respect to the Mobile Device domain. In this case, it can be noted that the controls for 'anti-malware' have not been considered (i.e. level 0), meaning that the BYODs are not required to have anti-malware protection. Also, in this example, note that the controls corresponding to mobile device resource consumption are at level 3 indicating that the mobile device resources are not impacted by the current organization's BYOD security posture. In the same manner, the organization should address the other controls in order to strengthen its security posture with respect to the Mobile Device's domain.




Figure 6.5.1 Example Graphical Representation of Security Level for each Mobile Device Control

# 6.5.3 Calculate the Security % for the Mobile Device Domain

Next, we want to calculate the security % corresponding to Mobile Device domain. This information is desirable not only to gauge the security posture of the given domain with respect to an optimal posture, but is also necessary in order to calculate the global security posture of the organization with respect to its BYOD environment. This type of information helps the decision makers and stakeholders of an organization to allocate the adequate resources to improve security with respect to BYOD. The following calculations explain how to obtain the % security for the Mobile Device domain using the example presented for organization X. Table 6.5.2 summarizes the security posture of the User domain for an organization X.

Table 6.5.2 Example Summary Security Posture for Mobile Device Domain of Organization X

Domain	Security Controls	·	Security Level
BI O M	4.1 Access Control	1110	2

Domain	Security Controls	Organization X Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
	4.2 Mobile Application Mgmt.	1100	1
	4.3 Anti-Malware	1000	0
	4.4 Corporate Data Protection	1100	1
	4.5 Mobile Device Security/Mgmt.	1100	1
	4.6 Separation of Data	1000	0
	4.7 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.	1110	2
	4.8 Cloud Access	1000	0
	4.9 Resource Consumption	1111	3

Figure 6.5.2 shows the various matrix representations required to calculate the % security for the Mobile Device domain. Let matrix C represent organization X's security controls which indicate the organization's security posture with respect to Mobile Device. The 4x9 matrix C is built using the binary representation depicted in Table 6.5.2. Let matrix R represent the optimal security posture for the Mobile Device domain. The 4x9 matrix R is built using the binary representation for optimal set of values as shown in Table 6.5.2 corresponding to binary values for security level 3. Then, the calculation of the *distance* between C and R will give us a value that can be used to calculate the % security for a given domain. The distance d between matrix R and matrix C is calculated using the Euclidian's algorithm:  $d(C,R) = \sqrt{Tr((C-R)(C-R)^T)}$ , where the distance d between matrix C and R is equal to the square root of the trace of the product (i.e. absolute values) between (C – R) and its transpose  $(C-R)^T$ . This result is then used to calculate the security level as discussed in next paragraph.

As shown in Figure 6.5.2, the distance between C and R is  $d(C, R) = \sqrt{Tr((C-R)(C-R)^T)} = \sqrt{17} = 4.123$ . The value of 4.123 will be used to calculate the security level for the Mobile Device domain of organization X. Now, we want to compare this value against a value where no safeguards have been implemented (i.e. 100% insecure posture). For this, as shown in Figure 6.5.3, we calculate the distance between a matrix M (i.e. a matrix that represents a BYOD security posture where no safeguards have been implemented) and matrix R (i.e. optimal security controls). Note that matrix M has all rows as '1000' indicating the level of security is 0 with no security controls implemented. This result is  $d(M, R) = \sqrt{Tr((M-R)(M-R)^T)} = \sqrt{27} = 5.196$ 

Thus, if 5.196 represents 100% insecure, 4.123 represents 4.123/5.196= 0.7934\*100= 79.34% insecure or 20.65% secure. For this example, the value of 4.123indicates the Mobile Device domain is 79.34% insecure. In other words, its security level for this Mobile Device domain is at 20.65%.




Figure 6.5.2 Example Calculation of Security Posture for Mobile Device Domain




Figure 6.5.3 Calculation: NO Safeguards have been Implemented for Mobile Device Domain

#### 6.5.4 Provide Mobile Device recommendations based on findings

Table 6.5.4 shows a list of specific recommendations based on findings (i.e. weakness/vulnerabilities found in the security controls for the Mobile Device domain). The domain also shows that its overall security is at 20.6% which, based on the security posture % scale shown in Table 6.5.3, indicates that the Mobile Device domain is at the middle range of 'Low Security' posture. This means that the organization's Mobile Device controls with respect to BYOD need to be carefully reviewed and further safeguards be considered and implemented.

Table 6.5.3 MOBILE DEVICE Security Posture Based on %Secure

MOBILE DEVICE Security Posture Based on 20.6% Secure			
0% - 10%	No Security		
11% - 40%	Low Security		
41% - 70%	Moderately Secured		
71% - 100%	Highly Secured		

Table 6.5.4 Example of Mobile Device Recommendations Based on Findings

Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
4.1 Access Control	2	Mobile Device access control is considered and implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing	The following access control security controls are implemented:  Permission-based access controls for access to the organization's networks and data based on need-to-know  Role-based policy for user access  Separate accounts for administrators (one for administrator work, and one for other purposes)  Administrator privileges granted to administrators only  Limits put on each user that have access to the application  Users privileges based on need-to-know  Permissions periodically reviewed to include super users  Process for checking inactive and terminated users  Revocation period process  Strong password policy. Suggested criteria:  Minimum of 9 characters  Include one upper case alphabetic character  Include one lower case alphabetic character  Include one special character  Include one numeric character  Expires after 60 days  Different than the previous 10 passwords  Changeable by the administrator at any time  Changeable by user only once in a 24-hour period  No shared accounts are permitted
i- 4.2 Mobile Application Mgmt.	1	Application security is considered but there is no implementation	<ul> <li>The following application security controls are implemented:         <ul> <li>Inventory of organization's and third-party apps and revision levels</li> <li>Distribution whitelist and blacklists</li> <li>Over-the-air (OTA) distribution of software (apps, patches, updates) and policy changes</li> <li>Activate or deactivate specific apps</li> <li>Private 'app store' for security distribution of organization's apps</li> <li>Access to the enterprise's app store is restricted to BYOD devices owned by employees.</li> <li>All apps in the store are digitally signed by the enterprise.</li> <li>The supported BYOD platforms all check the validity of the apps' digital signatures before the apps are permitted to execute on the device</li> <li>Reporting of applications procedures exist</li> <li>Backup process in place</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
4.3 Anti- Malware	0	The mobile device does not have anti-malware protection software installed.	Anti-malware is installed and active in mobile device
4.4 Corporate Data Protection	1	Corporate data protection is considered but there is no implementation	Data encryption on device and during transmission     Remotely lock and wipe data and installed apps     Selective wipe and privacy policies for organization apps and data, i.e., sandboxing

Security	Security	Findings	Recommendations
4.5 Device Security Mgmt.	Security Level	Device security (e.g. MDM) is being considered but there is not implementation	Recommendations  • Distribution and management of digital certificates (to encrypt and digitally sign emails and sensitive documents)  There is mobile device mgmt. (MDM) process in place  The following device security issues are implemented:  • Secure portal for BYOD users to enroll & provision devices  • Inventory devices, operating systems, patch levels  • Postpone automatic updates from Internet service providers (ISPs), e.g., in cases where an automatic OS update may cause critical apps to fail  • Capability to locate and map lost phones for recovery  • Backup and restore BYOD device data  • Send text messages to one or a group of selected devices with troubleshooting instructions  • Perform remote device diagnostics for a wide range of BYOD devices  • Remotely view a device's screen and take screen shots to assist with troubleshooting  • Take remote control of a device for troubleshooting  • Upon connection to organization's network, the following is automatically checked:  • Patch level for OS and apps  • Required security software is active and current for:  • Antivirus  • Firewall  • Firewall  • Full-disk encryption  • Device is not jailbroken (Apple) or rooted (Android)  • Presence of unapproved devices  • Presence of unapproved devices  • Presence of blacklisted apps  • If any of the above checks fail, the MDM can automatically update the device or disallow access
ation ta		The mobile device does not have separation of personal data from	intrusion detection systems/intrusion prevention systems (IDS/IPS)  Space isolation is considered and one of the following is being
4.6 Separa of Dat	0	corporate data	implemented:
4.7 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.	2	The mobile device has a content management process but controls as per level 3 are missing.	The mobile device has a process to manage content and it controls the following:  • Access to corporate documents  • Secure content storage  • Synchronize content  • Encrypts content container  • Provides reporting/analysis
4.8 Cloud Access	0	The mobile device is allowed to access resources outside of the control of the organization	The mobile device has security measures with respect to access of storage resources outside of the control of the organization.

Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
4.9 Resource Consumption	- 3	The amount of mobile device resource required is negligible	The amount of mobile device resource required is negligible

### 6.6 Assessing the Organization's Global Security Posture

The BYOD-Insure-Global model works slight differently than the other models in that, instead of using the Euclidean algorithm, it uses the results obtained (i.e. security percentage) in the assessment of each of the domains previously calculated as shown in figure 6.6.1. For this example, the Management domain posture was identified at 41.9 % level of security, IT at 31.08%, User at 38.65% and Mobile Device at 20.6%. The overall or global security posture can be calculated at (41.9% + 31.08% + 38.65% + 20.6%) / 4 = 33.05%




Figure 6.6.1 Summary BYOD Domains % Security Posture from earlier calculations

Figure 6.6.2 shows an example of a graphical representation of an organization's global BYOD security posture. The green lines denote the organization's posture and the red lines denote the optimal posture. The concentric circles depict the levels defined as follows: level 0 = 0-25%, level

1=26-50%, level 2=51-75%, and level 3=76-100%. As shown in Figure 6.6.2, although none of the organization's domains are at the ideal security level, the Mobile Device domain is the one that needs more attention.




Figure 6.6.2 Global BYOD Security Posture for an Organization

Using the classification shown in Table 6.6.1, the BYOD organization's security posture ranks towards the high end of the Low Security classification range.

Table 6.6.1 Global Security Posture Based on %Secure

Security Posture Based on 33.05 % Secure				
0% - 10%	No Security			
11% - 40% Low Security				
41% - 70%	Moderately Secured			
71% - 100%	Highly Secured			

# **6.7 Chapter Summary**

This chapter demonstrated how to perform the steps of the design process for each module, as explained in Chapter 4. The steps showed how to do the assessment process, the security posture calculation, and the artifact results for each of the modules corresponding to the Management, IT, User and Mobile Device domains respectively. A demonstration on how to calculate the global security has also been performed. The next chapter evaluates BYOD-Insure based on the model's validity, its characteristics, comparative analysis, and the use of descriptive scenarios for different security postures.

#### **CHAPTER 7: Artifact - Evaluation**

#### 7.1 Overview

The following sections discuss the evaluation of BYOD-Insure based on: 1) the formative and summative validity of the model's components as discussed in section 7.2; 2) model's characteristics and meeting the requirements for a solution as discussed in section 7.3; 3) descriptive scenarios for low, moderate and high security postures as discussed in section 7.4; and 4) a comparative analysis with existing solutions as discussed in section 7.5.

#### 7.2 Evaluation - Formative & Summative Validity

Formative validity can be defined as 'an attribute of the process by which a theory is formed or built' from the data (Lee & Hubona, 2009). The formative validity guides the process to build the artifact. The security controls presented in this research have emerged from the extant literature review and a systematic literature review (SLR) with respect to BYOD security issues, as discussed in Chapter 2. The SLR identified the BYOD security measures which served as the foundation for the security controls. Further literature review provided guidance as to how to define the safeguards associated with such security measures, hence strengthening the formative validity of the security controls. In the same manner, the assessment process (i.e., comparison of two postures) of an organization's BYOD posture, follows set theory's (mathematical) concepts (e.g., difference and intersection) to identify the relationship between two subsets as proposed by Casola et al. (2007). That is the implementation of Casola et al (2007) algorithms, which incorporates mathematical analyses such as the Euclidian's algorithm to calculate the distance between two matrices (i.e. in order to find the differences between two postures using matrix representation). When assessing the rigor of the formative validity of this research, we have demonstrated that the review of the knowledge base has provided the information to develop the artifact presented in this research, and that the proposed artifact solves the problem presented.

Summative validity is defined as 'the sum result or product of the process or theory' (Lee & Hubona, 2009). Lee and Hubona (2009) provide a good example of the relationship between formative and

summative validities when associating it to the education field. In their example, they associate formative validity with the teaching-learning process of students, and summative validity is associated with the 'extent to which the students have learned' as demonstrated by the results of tests or other demonstration/application of the knowledge acquired by the students (Lee & Hubona, 2009). For BYOD-Insure, summative validity rigor is demonstrated during the evaluation phase, where the security controls, when applied to an assessment process such as the one presented in this research, demonstrate the extent to which risks are mitigated in BYOD environments (i.e. final goal/objective), thus presenting the utility and effectiveness of this artifact as shown in the scenarios that depict low, moderate and high security for BYOD environments. Refer to section 7.4.

#### 7.3 Evaluation - Model's Characteristics & Meeting Requirements for a Solution

#### 7.3.1 Model Characteristics

In addition to its functionality, the novelty of BYOD-Insure can be evaluated by discussing its features: the model is *extendible* since new security controls can be easily added as they are identified or required; the model is *adaptable/scalable* since security controls can be adapted as time changes and new domains/controls are identified; the model is also *flexible* since it can be used by organizations of any size and can accommodate and implement controls based on their particular situation/priorities and budget constraints; the model provides *fine-grained* security assessment in both macro and micro levels since the assessment can be done at the domain level or an organizational level; the results are easily *visualized* since its graphs depict clear indication of strengths and weaknesses; the model is also *practical* since the results provide individualized and actionable organization-specific recommendations based on the organization's security posture. BYOD-Insure is also *programmable/automatable* since the process is mechanical and repetitive. Once this artifact is automated, the usage of the model can be *economical* since the results are self-explanatory and may reduce the need to hire outside consultants.

Reliability ensures consistency and repeatability. This means that, if a researcher later on follows the same procedures proposed by the original researcher, the same findings and conclusions are achieved (Yin, 1994). BOD-Insure has *consistency and repeatability* since the same assessment approach can

be applied to multiple modules and levels where the model performs in the same way independently of what type of organization is being assessed. Based on the security controls defined, the organization's BYOD security level can be consistently determined as demonstrated in Chapter 6. The security scenarios presented in section 7.4 also demonstrate the reliability of the model when evaluating organization scenarios with low, moderate and high levels of security.

#### 7.3.2 Meeting Initial Requirements for a Solution

Besides evaluating the module based on the value of the process itself to identify vulnerabilities and provision of mitigation options, the evaluation also addresses 'how well' the initial artifact requirements have been met. For this, the requirements are re-stated as shown in Table 7.3.2 and discussed as follows:

- 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> requirements (BYOD risk/vulnerabilities & security controls). Through formative validity, these two requirements have been met. Through extant literature research, to include a systematic review of BYOD security issues, the risks and vulnerabilities associated with BYOD have been identified. This research has also provided understanding and identification of the security controls required to mitigate such risks.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> requirement (non-ambiguous assessment process). This requirement has been met by using mathematical concepts from set theory, (i.e., difference and intersection between subsets) through the modification of algorithms provided by Casola et al. (2007) suitable for comparison of two security postures. This process provides mathematical results using the Euclidian's algorithm to calculate the differences between a given security posture and an optimal security posture.
- 4<sup>th</sup> requirement (risk mitigation recommendations). The result of the comparison process generates specific recommendations to an organization's BYOD security posture. This information is provided in the form of graphics and tables. The demonstration and evaluation of the artifact illustrate the artifact's functionality, utility and usefulness.

Table 7.3.2. Requirements for a Problem Solution

	Requirements
R1	Understand the risks and vulnerabilities associated with BYODs.
R2	Define a comprehensive set of security controls including management, IT, users, and mobile device solutions for organizations adopting BYODs.
R3	Design a non-ambiguous assessment process that identifies security vulnerabilities in BYOD environments.
R4	Provide actionable recommendations to mitigate BYOD related security risks.

#### 7.4 Evaluation – Descriptive Scenarios for Low, Moderate and High BYOD Security Posture

In design science research, the evaluation of the artifact represents a crucial part of this research method, where the 'the utility, quality, and efficacy of a design artifact must be rigorously demonstrated via a well-executed evaluation method' (Hevner et al., 2004). Hevner et al. (2004) propose several types of evaluation methods for design science artifacts as shown in Figure 7.1. This includes Observational, Analytical, Experimental, Testing, and Descriptive. When considering which method to use to best evaluate BYOD-Insure, we debated between the Observational-Case Study method which 'studies an artifact in depth in business environment' (Hevner et al., 2004), the Descriptive-Scenarios method via the 'construction of detailed scenarios around the artifact to demonstrate its utility' (Hevner et al., 2004), and the Experimental-Simulation method where the purpose is to 'execute artifact with artificial data' (Hevner et al., 2004). After careful analysis of our research objectives, we opted for the Descriptive and Experimental methods for the evaluation of BYOD-Insure, since it is our intention to show the utility and usefulness of the model when assessing different security postures that present scenarios for low moderate and high security with respect to BYOD. We want to demonstrate the artifact under circumstances where organizations exhibit a) low security posture (few security controls implemented), or b) moderate security posture (moderate number of controls implemented), or c) high security posture (most or all controls implemented). A detailed presentation of a scenario for each security posture demonstrates the utility, quality and efficacy of the BYOD-Insure model under those circumstances. Sections 7.4.1, 7.4.2, and 7.4.3 present the evaluation for the three security scenarios aforementioned.

With respect to the Observational method using a case study, we consider this would limit the demonstration of the scenarios to one or two cases, which does not guarantee that the three scenarios would be demonstrated.

1. Observational	Case Study: Study artifact in depth in business environment		
	Field Study: Monitor use of artifact in multiple projects		
2. Analytical	Static Analysis: Examine structure of artifact for static qualities (e.g., complexity)		
Architecture Analysis: Study fit of artifact into technical IS architecture			
	Optimization: Demonstrate inherent optimal properties of artifact or provide optimality bounds on artifact behavior		
	Dynamic Analysis: Study artifact in use for dynamic qualities (e.g., performance)		
3. Experimental	Controlled Experiment: Study artifact in controlled environment for qualities (e.g., usability)		
	Simulation – Execute artifact with artificial data		
4. Testing	Functional (Black Box) Testing: Execute artifact interfaces to discover failures and identify defects		
	Structural (White Box) Testing: Perform coverage testing of some metric (e.g., execution paths) in the artifact implementation		
5. Descriptive	Informed Argument: Use information from the knowledge base (e.g., relevant research) to build a convincing argument for the artifact's utility		
	Scenarios: Construct detailed scenarios around the artifact to demonstrate its utility		

Figure 7.1 Hevner's Design Evaluation Methods (Hevner et al., 2004)

#### 7.4.1 Scenario – Low Security Posture with Respect to BYOD

Figures 7.4.1.a and 7.4.1.b present the security posture of an organization with *low* security levels for most of the controls for the four domains: Management, IT, User, and Mobile Device. For this scenario, it can be noted that the controls corresponding to the Management domain are weak with respect to governance, legal, policies, employee behavior, BYOD program and security management. The classification for these controls corresponds to level 1 (low security) as per security level classification discussed in Chapter 4, section 4.2.2. Although the controls corresponding to risk management, education, helpdesk, and compliance are classified as level 2 (moderate security), the security assessment for the management domain indicates that the security level is low, as determined by the following findings. Similarly, for the IT domain, it can be noted that the controls corresponding to BYOD Program, Education, Monitoring & Reporting,

Virtualization, Mobile Applications, Anti-Malware, Mobile Device Content Mgmt. and Cloud Access were found to be at level 1, whereas Risk Mgmt., Security Mgmt., Helpdesk, IT consumerization, Policies, Best Practices, Network, Access Control, Data Protection, Mobile Device Security Management, are at level 2. The control corresponding to Separation of Data is at level 0 and the control corresponding to Third Party is at level 3. The same type of analysis can be observed with respect to the User and Mobile Device domains depicted in Figure 7.4.1.b.

# LOW Security Posture - Mgmt. & IT

Domain	Security Controls	Organization A Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
М	1.1 Governance	1100	1
A	1.2 Risk Management	1110	2
N	1.3 Education	1110	2
Α	1.4 Legal	1100	1
G	1.5 Held Desk	1110	2
E	1.6 Policies	1100	1
М	1.7 Compliance	1110	2
E	1.8 Employee Behavior	1100	1
N	1.9 BYOD Program	1100	1
Т	1.10 Security Management	1100	1
	1.11 IT Consumerization	1111	3

Domain	Security Controls	Organization A Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
	2.1 BYOD Program	1100	1
	2.2 Risk Mgmt	1110	2
	2.3 Security Management	1110	2
	2.4 HelpDesk	1110	2
	2.5 IT Consumerization	1110	2
	2.6 Education	1100	1
	2.7 Policies	1110	2
	2.8 Best Practices	1110	2
	2.9 Monitoring & Reporting	1100	1
	2.10 Network	1110	2
	2.11 Virtualization	1100	1
ı	2.12 Third Party	1111	3
Т	2.13 Access Control	1110	2
	2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt.	1100	1
	2.15 Anti-Malware	1100	1
	2.16 Corporate Data Protection	1110	2
	2.17 Mobile Device Security Mgmt	1110	2
	2.18 Separation of Data	1000	0
	2.19 Mobile Device Content Mgmt	1100	1
	2.20 Cloud Access	1100	1
	2.21 Resource Consumption	1100	1

Figure 7.4.1.a Scenario: Mgmt. & IT Security Posture – LOW

# LOW Security Posture – User & Mobile Device

Domain	Security Controls	Organization A Security Posture Binary	Security Level	Domain	Security Controls	Organization A Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
		Representation		М	4.1 Access Control	1110	2
				O B	4.2 Mobile Application Mgmt	1100	1
	3.1 Compliance	1111	3	I	4.3 Anti-Malware	1000	0
	3.2 Education	1100	1	E	4.4 Corporate Data Protection	1100	1
U	3.3 Policies	1110	2				
S	3.4 Cloud Access	1000	0	D	4.5 Mobile Device Security/Mgmt	1100	1
E	3.5 Resource Consumption 1100 1  3.6 User Data Privacy & Data Protection 1110 2				4.6 Separation of Data	1000	0
R		1100	1	V	4.7 Mobile Device Content Mgmt	1110	2
					4.8 Cloud Access	1000	0
		E	4.9 Resource Consumption	1111	3		

Figure 7.4.1.b Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture – LOW

The security posture determined above, can be graphically represented using radar diagrams as shown in figures 7.4.1.c and 7.4.1.d. For the Management domain, the weak controls can be observed at first glance. In this case, the controls corresponding to BYOD Program, Governance, Legal, Policies, and Employee Behaviors need to be addressed, whereas the other controls need revision in order to strengthen the security corresponding to this domain. The same type of analysis can be observed for the controls corresponding to IT, User and Mobile Device domains.




Figure 7.4.1.c Scenario: Mgmt. and IT Security Posture Graphical Representation – LOW




Figure 7.4.1.d Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture Graphical Representation – LOW

Figure 7.4.1.e shows a security % analysis of each domain. In this scenario it can be observed that the Management domain is at 30% security, IT domain is at 31.08%, User domain is at 38.65% and Mobile Device is at 20.6% as per security assessment calculations. The graphical representation of

this information is shown in Figure 7.4.1.f. The average of these findings gives us a global % security of 30.25% for the BYOD posture for this scenario. Using the range depicted in Table 7.4.1, the overall security posture falls within the values corresponding to Low Security.




Figure 7.4.1.e Scenario: Security % - Each Domain - LOW




Figure 7.4.1.f Scenario: Security % - Global - LOW

Table 7.4.1 Global Security Posture Based on 30 % Secure

Security Posture Based on 30% Secure				
0% - 10%	No Security			
11% - 40%	Low Security			
41% - 70%	Moderately Secured			
71% - 100%	Highly Secured			

The findings and recommendations for the LOW security scenario point out the organization's current security posture and provide a list of recommendations that can be followed. Refer to Appendix A for this scenario's recommendations.

#### 7.4.2 Scenario – Moderate Security Posture with Respect to BYOD

Figures 7.4.2.a and 7.4.2.b present the security posture of an organization with *moderate* security levels for most of the controls for the four domains: Management, IT, User, and Mobile Device. The analysis for this scenario follows the same systematic process utilized for the low security scenario presented in section 7.4.1.

MODERATE Security Posture - Mgmt. & IT

Domain	Security Controls	Organization B Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
	1.1 Governance	1110	2
M	1.2 Risk Management	1100	1
Α	1.3 Education	1111	3
N	1.4 Legal	1110	2
A G	1.5 Held Desk	1110	2
E	1.6 Policies	1110	2
M	1.7 Compliance	1110	2
E	1.8 Employee Behavior	1111	3
N	1.9 BYOD Program	1100	1
Т	1.10 Security Management	1111	3
	1.11 IT Consumerization	1111	3

Domain   Security Controls   Posture Binary Representation     Level				
2.2 Risk Mgmt 1110 2 2.3 Security Management 1110 2 2.4 HelpDesk 1110 2 2.5 IT Consumerization 1110 2 2.6 Education 1110 2 2.7 Policies 1100 1 2.8 Best Practices 1111 3 2.9 Monitoring & Reporting 1110 2 2.10 Network 1110 2 2.11 Virtualization 1100 1 I 2.12 Third Party 1110 2 I 2.13 Access Control 1110 2 2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2	Domain	Security Controls	Security Posture Binary	Security Level
2.3 Security Management 1110 2 2.4 HelpDesk 1110 2 2.5 IT Consumerization 1110 2 2.6 Education 1110 2 2.7 Policies 1100 1 2.8 Best Practices 1111 3 2.9 Monitoring & Reporting 1110 2 2.10 Network 1110 2 2.11 Virtualization 1100 1 I 2.12 Third Party 1110 2 I 2.13 Access Control 1110 2 2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2		2.1 BYOD Program	1100	1
2.4 HelpDesk 1110 2 2.5 IT Consumerization 1110 2 2.6 Education 1110 2 2.7 Policies 1100 1 2.8 Best Practices 1111 3 2.9 Monitoring & Reporting 1110 2 2.10 Network 1110 2 2.11 Virtualization 1100 1 I 2.12 Third Party 1110 2 I 2.13 Access Control 1110 2 2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2		2.2 Risk Mgmt	1110	2
2.5 IT Consumerization 1110 2 2.6 Education 1110 2 2.7 Policies 1100 1 2.8 Best Practices 1111 3 2.9 Monitoring & Reporting 1110 2 2.10 Network 1110 2 2.11 Virtualization 1100 1 I 2.12 Third Party 1110 2 I 2.13 Access Control 1110 2 2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2		2.3 Security Management	1110	2
2.6 Education 1110 2 2.7 Policies 1100 1 2.8 Best Practices 1111 3 2.9 Monitoring & Reporting 1110 2 2.10 Network 1110 2 2.11 Virtualization 1100 1 I 2.12 Third Party 1110 2 T 2.13 Access Control 1110 2 2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2		2.4 HelpDesk	1110	2
2.7 Policies 1100 1 2.8 Best Practices 1111 3 2.9 Monitoring & Reporting 1110 2 2.10 Network 1110 2 2.11 Virtualization 1100 1 I 2.12 Third Party 1110 2 T 2.13 Access Control 1110 2 2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2		2.5 IT Consumerization	1110	2
2.8 Best Practices 1111 3 2.9 Monitoring & Reporting 1110 2 2.10 Network 1110 2 2.11 Virtualization 1100 1 1 2.12 Third Party 1110 2 T 2.13 Access Control 1110 2 2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2			1110	2
2.9 Monitoring & Reporting 1110 2 2.10 Network 1110 2 2.11 Virtualization 1100 1 1 2.12 Third Party 1110 2 T 2.13 Access Control 1110 2 2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2		2.7 Policies	1100	1
2.10 Network 1110 2 2.11 Virtualization 1100 1 1 2.12 Third Party 1110 2 T 2.13 Access Control 1110 2 2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2		2.8 Best Practices	1111	3
2.11 Virtualization 1100 1 1 2.12 Third Party 1110 2 T 2.13 Access Control 1110 2 2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2		2.9 Monitoring & Reporting	1110	2
1		2.10 Network	1110	2
T 2.13 Access Control 1110 2 2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2		2.11 Virtualization	1100	1
2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt. 1110 2 2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2	I	2.12 Third Party	1110	2
2.15 Anti-Malware 1111 3 2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2	T	2.13 Access Control	1110	2
2.16 Corporate Data Protection 1110 2 2.17 Mobile Device Security 1110 2		2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt.	1110	2
2.17 Mobile Device Security		2.15 Anti-Malware	1111	3
, 1110 2		2.16 Corporate Data Protection	1110	2
ivigmt		2.17 Mobile Device Security Mgmt	1110	2
2.18 Separation of Data 1100 1		2.18 Separation of Data	1100	1
2.19 Mobile Device Content 1110 2			1110	2
2.20 Cloud Access 1111 3		2.20 Cloud Access	1111	3
2.21 Resource Consumption 1111 3		2.21 Resource Consumption	1111	3

Figure 7.4.2.a Scenario: Mgmt. & IT Security Posture – MODERATE

# MODERATE Security Posture – User & Mobile Device

Domain	Security Controls	Organization B Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
	3.1 Compliance	1111	3
	3.2 Education	1100	3
U	3.3 Policies	1110	2
_	3.4 Cloud Access	1000	3
E R	3.5 Resource Consumption	1111	3
	3.6 User Data Privacy & Data Protection	1110	2

Domain	Security Controls	Organization B Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
	4.1 Access Control	1110	2
M 0	4.2 Mobile Application Mgmt	1110	2
В	4.3 Anti-Malware	1111	3
L E	4.4 Corporate Data Protection	1110	2
D	4.5 Mobile Device Security/Mgmt	1110	2
E	4.6 Separation of Data	1100	1
V I C	4.7 Mobile Device Content Mgmt	1000	0
E	4.8 Cloud Access	1000	0
	4.9 Resource Consumption	1111	3

Figure 7.4.2.b Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture – MODERATE

The security posture depicted in figures 7.4.2.a and 7.4.2.b, can be graphically represented using radar/kiviat diagrams as shown in figures 7.4.2.c and 7.4.2.d. For the Management domain, the weak controls can be observed at first glance. In this case, the controls associated with Risk Management and implementation of a BYOD Program are weak, whereas the controls associated with Governance, Legal, Policies, HelpDesk and Compliance need revision in order to strengthen the security corresponding to this domain. The same type of analysis can be observed for the controls corresponding to IT, User and Mobile Device domains-




Figure 7.4.2.c Scenario: Mgmt. and IT Security Posture Graphical Representation – MODERATE




Figure 7.4.2.d Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture Graphical Representation – MODERATE

Figure 7.4.2.e shows a security % analysis of each domain. In this scenario it can be observed (rounding) that the Management domain is at 42% security, IT domain is at 42%, User domain is at 46% and Mobile Device is at 33% as per security assessment calculations. The graphical representation of this information is shown in Figure 7.4.2.f. The average of these findings gives us a global % security of 40.75% for the BYOD posture for this scenario. Using the range depicted in Figure 7.4.2.g, the overall security posture falls within the values corresponding to Moderate Security, however, on the low side of this range.

#### SCENARIO - MODERATE BYOD SECURITY

# MANAGEMENT DOMAIN d(C,R)= $V[Tr(C-R)(C-R)^T]=V11=\frac{3.31}{15.7}$ If 5.7 => represents 100% insecure\*, then 3.31 represents (100 x 3.31)/5.7=58.0% insecure or 41.9% secure

# USER DOMAIN

d(C,R)=  $\sqrt{\left[\text{Tr(C-R)(C-R)^T}\right]} = \sqrt{7} = \frac{2.645}{164.898} = 7 = 2.645 = 100\% \text{ insecure}, then 2.645 represents <math>(100 \times 2.645)/4.898 = 54.01\% \text{ insecure}$ 

As shown in Chapter 6, section 6.4.3

\* As shown in Chapter 6, section 6.2.3

#### IT DOMAIN

d(C,R)= $\sqrt{[Tr(C-R)(C-R)^T]}=\sqrt{21}=\frac{4.58}{157.93}=$  represents 100% insecure\*, then 4.58 represents (100 x 4.58)/5.7=57.5% insecure or 42.29% secure

\* As shown in Chapter 6, section 6.3.3

#### MOBILE DEVICE DOMAIN

d(C,R)=  $\sqrt{[Tr(C-R)(C-R)^T]}$ = $\sqrt{12}$  =  $\frac{3.464}{15.196}$  => represents 100% insecure\*, then 3.464 represents (100 x 3.464)/5.196=66.58% insecure or 33.41% secure

\* As shown in Chapter 6, section 6.5.3

Figure 7.4.2.e Scenario: Security % - Each Domain - MODERATE




Figure 7.4.2.f Scenario: Security % - Global - MODERATE

Table 7.4.2 Global Security Posture Based on 41% Secure

Security Posture Based on 41% Secure	
0% - 10% No Security	
11% - 40%	Low Security
<mark>41% - 70%</mark>	Moderately Secured
71% - 100%	Highly Secured

The findings and recommendations for the MODERATE security scenario are located in Appendix B.

# 7.4.3 Scenario – High Security Posture with Respect to BYOD

Figures 7.4.3.a and 7.4.3.b present the security posture of an organization with *high* security levels for most of the controls for the four domains: Management, IT, User, and Mobile Device. The analysis for this scenario follows the same systematic process utilized for the low and moderate security scenarios previously discussed.

HIGH Security Posture - Mgmt. & IT

Domain	Security Controls	Organization C Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
М	1.1 Governance	1111	3
A	1.2 Risk Management	1111	3
N	1.3 Education	1111	3
A	1.4 Legal	1110	2
G	1.5 Held Desk	1111	3
E	1.6 Policies	1110	2
M	1.7 Compliance	1111	3
E	1.8 Employee Behavior	1111	3
N	1.9 BYOD Program	1111	3
Т	1.10 Security Management	1111	3
	1.11 IT Consumerization	1111	3

Domain	Security Controls	Organization C Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
	2.1 BYOD Program	1111	3
	2.2 Risk Mgmt	1111	3
	2.3 Security Management	1111	3
	2.4 HelpDesk	1111	3
	2.5 IT Consumerization	1111	3
	2.6 Education	1110	2
	2.7 Policies	1110	2
	2.8 Best Practices	1111	3
	2.9 Monitoring & Reporting	1111	3
	2.10 Network	1110	2
	2.11 Virtualization	1110	2
1	2.12 Third Party	1111	3
т	2.13 Access Control	1111	3
·	2.14 Mobile Applications Mgmt.	1111	3
	2.15 Anti-Malware	1111	3
	2.16 Corporate Data Protection	1111	3
	2.17 Mobile Device Security Mgmt	1110	2
	2.18 Separation of Data	1111	3
	2.19 Mobile Device Content Mgmt	1110	2
	2.20 Cloud Access	1111	3
	2.21 Resource Consumption	1111	3

Figure 7.4.3.a Scenario: Mgmt. & IT Security Posture – HIGH

# HIGH Security Posture – User & Mobile Device

Domain	Security Controls	Organization C Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
	3.1 Compliance	1111	3
	3.2 Education	1111	3
U	3.3 Policies	1111	3
S	3.4 Cloud Access	1111	3
E R	3.5 Resource Consumption	1100	1
	3.6 User Data Privacy & Data Protection	1111	3

Domain	Security Controls	Organization C Security Posture Binary Representation	Security Level
	4.1 Access Control	1110	2
M	4.2 Mobile Application Mgmt	1111	3
В	4.3 Anti-Malware	1111	3
l L E	4.4 Corporate Data Protection	1111	3
D	4.5 Mobile Device Security/Mgmt	1110	2
E V	4.6 Separation of Data	1111	3
I C E	4.7 Mobile Device Content Mgmt	1111	3
	4.8 Cloud Access	1111	3
	4.9 Resource Consumption	1111	3

Figure 7.4.3.b Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture – HIGH

The security posture determined above, can be graphically represented using radar/kiviat diagrams as shown in figures 7.4.3.c and 7.4.3.d.




Figure 7.4.3.c Scenario: Mgmt. and IT Security Posture Graphical Representation – HIGH




Figure 7.4.3.d Scenario: User and Mobile Device Security Posture Graphical Representation – HIGH

Figure 7.4.3.e shows a security % analysis of each domain. In this scenario it can be observed (rounding) that the Management domain is at 75% security, IT domain is at 69%, User domain is at 71% and Mobile Device is at 73% as per security assessment calculations. The graphical

representation of this information is shown in Figure 7.4.3.f. The average of these findings gives us a global % security of 72% for the BYOD posture for this scenario. Using the range depicted in Figure 7.4.3.g, the overall security posture falls within the values corresponding to High Security, however, on the low side of this range.

#### SCENARIO - HIGH BYOD SECURITY




Figure 7.4.3.e Scenario: Security % - Each Domain - HIGH




Figure 7.4.2.f Scenario: Security % - Global - HIGH

Table 7.4.3.g Global Security Posture Based on 72% Secure

Security Posture Based on 72% Secure	
0% - 10% No Security	
11% - 40%	Low Security
41% - 70%	Moderately Secured
<mark>71% - 100%</mark>	Highly Secured

The findings and recommendations for the HIGH security scenario are located in Appendix C.

#### 7.5 Evaluation - Comparative Analysis

As found in the literature review, there are several modalities that aim to protect BYOD environments. These can be classified as best practices, generic frameworks, and checklists as discussed in the following paragraphs:

*Best Practices*. Best practices are discussed in many publications in industry as well as in academia. Romer (2014) discusses the need to select solutions that protect all confidential data and devices, have centralized control and monitoring, implement role-based access control to allow employees

quick access to file-sharing, implement private cloud solutions, block risky services, and select proven solutions (Romer, 2014). Other organizations such as Citrix (2012) describe best practices that include issues related to eligibility, allowed devices, service availability, rollout, cost sharing, security and compliance, and device support and maintenance (Citrix Systems, 2012).

Other best practices concentrate on the creation of BYOD policies that include topics such as on-boarding, identification & access control, communication, application control, risk control, compliance and maintenance (Alotaibi & Almagwashi, 2018); and holistic best practices approach to BYOD security (Bello Garba et al., 2015). In addition, many publications focus on the understanding of BYOD risks, and threats and challenges posed when adopting BYOD (Abubakar Garba et al., 2017; M. Ratchford et al., 2018; Y. Wang et al., 2012; Yong Wang et al., 2014).

Generic Frameworks. Various types of frameworks are described in scholarly works. As mentioned in Chapter 2's literature review, one such framework is a comprehensive approach proposed by Zahadat (2015) who presents a BYOD security framework that addresses issues related to technology, policy management, and people, where one of the framework's objectives is to present a solution to BYOD security concerns (Zahadat et al., 2015). Zahadat (2015) proposes a security framework which is a roadmap that includes a series of steps (i.e. plan -> identify -> protect -> detect -> respond -> recover -> assess and monitor) where each step is associated with specific set of controls that target BYOD security. As an example, for the 'protect' step, the controls refer to actions to take in order to achieve: device authentication, wireless protection, network architecture, awareness and training, application store, application whitelisting and blacklisting, IPSec/VPN, mobile device management, location awareness, device fingerprinting, device encryption, sandboxing, virtualization, mobile OS patching, and application patching.

Another framework is presented by Bello-Garba et al. (2015) where a policy-base framework solution for organizations aims to protect information privacy and security. In this framework, the authors propose six components: information security standards and procedures, information privacy principles, information security privacy technical controls, liabilities, awareness & training program, BYOD user perception and behavior (Garba, Armarego, & Murray, 2015).

Checklists. Comprehensive and specific checklists that aim to protect BYOD environments can be found in several formats. For example, Sumate & Ketel (2014) present a list of items (in the form of questions) that need to be considered when designing a BYOD policy. The authors also present a list of controls (e.g. to protect against insecure connections, lost or stolen devices, malware, work product created in mobile device, application streaming) that need to be considered in BYOD environments (Shumate & Ketel, 2014).

Another comprehensive checklist has been designed by ISACA (2016) in the form of an audit/assurance IS program. Such presentation consists of a list of items/controls that need to be considered when implementing BYOD environments. The controls are grouped by topics such as security, risk management, governance, policies, and user & device management (ISACA, 2016).

Comparison. When considering the requirements (R1, R2, R3 and R4) for BYOD security discussed in section 7.3.2, Table 7.5.1 presents a comparative analysis of the solutions mentioned above, as they compare with the model, BYOD-Insure, proposed in this dissertation. With respect to best practices, it can be noted that literature that discuss best practices provide ample understanding of the risks, vulnerabilities and challenges associated with BYOD, as described above. They represent a good source to enlighten organizations with respect to the inherent risks of BYOD, but do not provide a comprehensive set of controls, or an assessment process nor an individualized approach for organizations. Generic frameworks, on the other hand, do provide a roadmap for organizations to follow that includes a series of steps. Based on this information, the organization needs to devise its own method of assessment and extract the set of controls applicable to their BYOD environment. Checklists provide a detailed and specific set of controls that can be easily 'checked-off'. However, the specific recommendations may not be present nor include clearly visualized diagrams indicating the degree of individualized organization exposure to BYOD risks. BYOD-Insure, on the other hand, is a model that encompasses all the above options, and can produce graphical and individualized analysis of an organization's vulnerabilities, controls, and recommendations with respect to BYOD. In other words, it provides BYOD knowledge and understanding; its approach is based on a holistic and comprehensive set of controls for any organization with BYOD environments; it follows a nonambiguous assessment process, and it provides results that are easily visualized, and recommendations that are individualized.

Table 7.5.1 Comparison between different types of BYOD security solutions

		Desired Goals/Requirement	s for BYOD Security Assessn	nent	
	R1	R2	R3	R4	
	and vulnerabilities	security controls including management, IT, users, and mobile device solutions for	assessment process that	Provide actionable recommendations to mitigate BYOD related security risks for individual organizations.	
Best Practices	<b>√</b>	organizations adopting B 1 ODs.	B 1 OB CHVHOIMICH.		
Generic Frameworks	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>			
Checklists	✓	✓		✓	
BYOD- Insure	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	

## **7.6 Chapter Summary**

This chapter has focused on the evaluation of the artifact based on its validity, the model's characteristics and requirements, and the behavior of the model based on descriptive scenarios for low, moderate, and high security postures. The model's validity has been discussed based on its formative and summative validity. In addition, a comparison analysis based on existing modalities for securing BYOD environments has been presented. The next and final chapter focuses on conclusion topics of the research to include its limitations, communication, contribution and future work.

# **CHAPTER 8: Summary and Conclusions**

#### 8.1 BYOD-Insure

This research has delved into BYOD security considerations and concerns that impact organizations. It also introduces a novel design science artifact, BYOD-Insure, which aims to provide organizations with the means to assess and enhance their BYOD security posture. Its design is grounded in existing mathematical algorithms in order to compare two security postures: an organization's BYOD security posture vs an optimal set of security controls identified through the literature review. The model identifies security vulnerabilities in BYOD environments and suggests safeguards to mitigate the inherent risks posed by BYOD. The model adopts a holistic approach to security where security controls associated with organizational domains corresponding to Management, IT, BYOD Users, and personal Mobile Devices are considered when securing a BYOD environment. Although IT carries the brunt of the security responsibilities, the security of BYOD environments also depends on management's directives, users' responsibilities and behavior, and the mobile device restrictions.

In summary, this artifact aims (but is not limited) to: 1) propose a fine-grained (macro or micro) assessment model for the evaluation of BYOD security posture, 2) provide a comprehensive (Management, IT, User, Mobile Device) set of the security controls for BYOD security, 3) provide a non-ambiguous method to compare two security postures suitable for BYOD or other types of security assessments, 4) demonstrate a model that is extendible, adaptable, flexible, and practical, where the results are individualized and easily visualized through diagrams.

The main objectives for the research have been met as follows: BYOD-Insure helps organizations secure their BYOD environments by providing a process/model that a) identifies security weaknesses in their own BYOD environments, b) recommends safeguards to mitigate BYOD security risks and c) create awareness with respect to BYOD. In addition, the model has been demonstrated and evaluated to show the utility and usefulness of the model when organizations exhibit low, moderate or high security postures with respect to BYOD.

#### **8.2 Research Contributions**

In the era where BYOD is becoming the norm, this research emphasizes the need for BYOD security implementation in all organizations regardless size or type. The contribution of this research can be discussed in terms of its theoretical and practical implications as described in the following sections.

#### **8.2.1 Theoretical Contribution**

From the theoretical point of view, this research contributes to the body of knowledge with respect to BYOD as it analyzes, in detail, the security aspects/implications of BYOD adoption. It also examines the extent to which a holistic approach to security protects BYOD environments by defining a set of optimal security controls (as of the date of this research) with respect to an organization's main domains to include people, policies and technology in the context of management, IT, users and mobile devices. The inclusion of these domains provides a comprehensive/all-inclusive security consideration when securing organizational information in BYOD environments.

In theory, the non-ambiguous method for security assessment presented in this study can be applied to other types of assessments, since the process described in this research (i.e. its basic components/concepts) can be re-applied. For example, if cloud-based security needs to be assessed, the optimal (cloud-related) controls need to be identified, the organizational domains (i.e. units responsible for cloud-based security) be defined, and the same type of comparison, analysis, process, logic and results be generated.

As a result of this research, an artifact, BYOD-Insure, has been developed. This novel model assesses a security posture with respect to BYOD. Frameworks, checklists, and best practices documentation provide general information, whereas BYOD-Insure provides individualized information. In addition to its functionality, the novelty of BYOD-Insure resides in its features which contribute to the ease-of-use of the model: the model is *extendible* since new security controls can be easily added as they are identified or required; the model is *adaptable/scalable* since security controls can be adapted as time changes and new domains/controls are identified; it has *consistency* since the same assessment approach can be applied to multiple modules and security levels; it also provides *fine*-

grained security assessment at both macro and micro levels, since the weaknesses can be identified from the organizational domain level all the way down to the specific vulnerability. BYOD-Insure is also *programmable/automatable* since the process is mechanical and repetitive.

#### **8.2.2 Practical Contribution**

From the practical point of view, this model is suitable for any size organization, since the inherent risks of BYOD applies to any environment that allows the use of personally owned mobile devices to access corporate data. This includes organizations evaluating their environments *before* adopting BYODs as it creates awareness regarding the inherent risks posed by BYODs. Also, organizations with *existing BYOD* programs can identify vulnerabilities and safeguards to mitigate specific risks. BYOD-Insure can also be used to perform periodic checks on the state of security posture as part of the organization's overall information security program (ISP).

This model's unique characteristics has practical applications to organizations. It has the potential of replacing or aiding current security assessment modalities. Current solutions include manual auditing programs such as those proposed by ISACA (ISACA, 2016), and/or the use of existing generic documentation/recommendations scattered in the literature. This type of tool (when automated) may provide a replacement for check-lists or by-hand assessments by providing a method to identify security vulnerabilities with respect to BYOD, where security auditors (or consultants) can incorporate the use of it as part of an overall security audit process. Due to its flexibility, organizations of any size can accommodate and implement controls based on particular situation/priorities and budget constraints. The results are easily visualized since its graphs depict clear indication of strengths and weaknesses in an organization; the model is also practical since the results provide individualized and actionable organization-specific recommendations based on the organization's security posture. Once this artifact is automated, the usage of the model can be economical since the results are self-explanatory and may reduce the need to hire outside consultants.

Given the rate at which organizations are allowing personal devices access corporate data, and the proliferation of devices (i.e. Internet of Things) capable of connecting to organization's networks, this type of model can help organizations mitigate the risks they are exposed to when adopting

BYODs. This type of analysis can help an enterprise to identify the vulnerabilities in its own BYOD programs. With this type of information, the organization can devise a plan of action and milestones based on its own budget constraints and timelines and implement the model's recommendations in order to strengthen its corporate data security and the organization as a whole.

#### **8.3 Limitations**

The model, as described in this research, is limited to performing only manual assessments/analyses. Minimal automation has been performed. This includes the use of MS Excel to draw the radar/kiviat diagrams based on input data, and the use of a public domain matrix calculator (matrixcalc.org) to perform matrix subtraction, multiplication and transpose to facilitate the computation of the Euclidian's algorithm. The current design includes only four domains limited to the analysis of Management, IT, Users and Mobile Devices as it relates to BYOD security. Other domains can be added as needed. The optimal controls are also limited to the security controls identified at the time of this research. These controls should be also subject to periodical revision based on new emerging technologies.

#### **8.4 Communications**

We have published and collaborated in order to create BYOD security awareness and discuss the basic concepts of this model. In the article 'BYOD Security Risks and Mitigations', legal considerations on issues regarding privacy laws and privacy-associated concerns are discussed by M. Ratchford, P. Wang and R. Sbeit (2018). These include issues such as comingled data, device ownership, spoliation of evidence, among others, where policies and management practices at Verizon Wireless are discussed as a case study (M. Ratchford et al., 2018).

The article 'BYOD: A Security Policy Evaluation Model' (M. M. Ratchford, 2018) discuss the evaluation/assessment of BYOD security policies using the basic comparison concepts using Casola's and Euclidian's algorithms (Casola et al., 2007). With respect to BYOD-Insure, the proposal of the model's concepts and design are discussed in the article 'BYOD-Insure: A Security Assessment Model for Enterprise BYOD' (M. M. Ratchford & Wang, 2019).

Currently, under review, are other articles such as 'BYOD-Insure vs Existing Modalities for BYOD Security Assessment: A Comparison Study' by M. Ratchford, Y. Wang, C. Noteboom and O. El-Gayar (2020) which has been submitted to the AMCIS 2020 conference. This paper reviews existing BYOD security assessments trends such as frameworks, checklists, best practices and qualitative models. Also, under construction is a paper that presents a systematic literature review of BYOD security issues 'BYOD Security: A Systematic Review and Classification Scheme', by M. Ratchford and O. El-Gayar, which discusses BYOD security concerns and proposes a classification approach to such type of issues.

#### 8.5 Future Work

Beyond this dissertation, this project is suitable for further research in the following areas: 1) further tuning of the optimal controls and the use of same to generate/design the structure interview questions to extract the organization's security posture; 2) implement mechanism (and determination of priorities) to add weights to the security controls using the suggestions provided in this manuscript (refer to section 4.2.3) or a similar process; 3) the automation of the model using appropriate programming languages which includes the creation of repository/database (e.g. a relational database) to store and maintain the optimal controls as well as the tables/relations that compose the rest of the components of the model; 4) further revision of the optimal set of security controls which should be an ongoing process as new technology emerges; 5) once BYOD-Insure is automated, a multiple case study using several organizations can be developed in order to study the value-added to an organization(s) security when using BYOD-Insure. The latter may include a case study to evaluate an organization's BYOD security and test a theory of a holistic approach to protect BYOD environments (i.e., using BYOD-Insure as a tool to aid in the analysis of the collected data). Finally, 6) the model described in this research can be used to develop other type of security assessments (i.e., outside of BYOD) where an optimal set of controls is developed in order to compare against a current organization's posture (e.g., network security, cloud security, etc.).

#### References

- 27001Academy. (2017a). Clause-by-clause explanation of ISO 27001.
- 27001Academy. (2017b). Diagram of ISO 27001 Risk Assessment and Treatment Process. Retrieved from <a href="https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/1983423/27001Academy/27001Academy\_FreeDownloads/Diagram\_of\_ISO\_27001\_risk\_assessment\_and\_treatment\_process\_EN.pdf?utm\_campaign=free-resources-27001&utm\_source=hs\_automation&utm\_medium=email&utm\_content=50020281&\_hsenc=p2AN\_qtz-9usCc12nPeBCl58pNYfIh5gx18Bg9LW8KEbJ1DA14CtobtaTzfDCg1LZTt8iwF2p89dad7iJSIqK4\_J7gNi4JzA\_SWxvYVFUwAM1wolyYaoaIRt-gE&\_hsmi=50020281
- Absalom, R. (2012). International Data Privacy Legislation Review: A Guide for BYOD Policies. *Ovum Consulting*, *IT006*, 234, 3-5.
- Abubakar Garba, B., Murray, D., & Armarego, J. (2017). A systematic approach to investigating how information security and privacy can be achieved in BYOD environments. *Information and Computer Security*, 25(4), 475-492. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/ICS-03-2016-0025
- Ali, S., Qureshi, M. N., & Abbasi, A. G. (2015, 18-18 Dec. 2015). *Analysis of BYOD security frameworks*. Paper presented at the 2015 Conference on Information Assurance and Cyber Security (CIACS).
- Alotaibi, B., & Almagwashi, H. (2018, 4-6 April 2018). *A Review of BYOD Security Challenges, Solutions and Policy Best Practices*. Paper presented at the 2018 1st International Conference on Computer Applications & Information Security (ICCAIS).
- Armando, A., Costa, G., Verderame, L., & Merlo, A. (2014). Securing the Bring Your Own Device Paradigm. *Computer*, 47(6), 48-56.
- Avizienis, A., Laprie, J.-C., Randell, B., & Landwehr, C. (2004a). Basic Concepts and Taxonomy of Dependable and Secure Computing. *IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine*, vol 1(1).
- Avizienis, A., Laprie, J.-C., Randell, B., & Landwehr, C. (2004b). Basic concepts and taxonomy of dependable and secure computing. *IEEE transactions on dependable and secure computing*, 1(1), 11-33.
- Bann, L. L., Singh, M. M., & Samsudin, A. (2015). Trusted Security Policies for Tackling Advanced Persistent Threat via Spear Phishing in BYOD Environment. *Procedia Computer Science*, 72, 129-136. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.12.113
- Bello Garba, A., Armarego, J., & Murray, D. (2015). Bring your own device organizational information security and privacy. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 10(3), 1279-1287.
- Casola, V., Mazzeo, A., Maxxocca, N., & Vittorini, V. (2007). A policy-based methodology for security evaluation: A Security Metric for Public Key Infrastructures. *Journal Of Computer Security*, 15(2), 197-229.
- CCMB-2012-09-001. (2012). Common Criteria for Information Technology.

- Cho, V., & Ip, W. H. (2018). A Study of BYOD adoption from the lens of threat and coping appraisal of its security policy. *Enterprise Information Systems*, 12(6), 659-673. doi:10.1080/17517575.2017.1404132
- Chung, S., Chung, S., Escrig, T., Bai, Y., & Endicott-Popovsky, B. (2012, 14-16 Dec. 2012). 2TAC: Distributed Access Control Architecture for "Bring Your Own Device" Security. Paper presented at the 2012 ASE/IEEE International Conference on BioMedical Computing (BioMedCom).
- Cisco-Systems. (2017). Cisco Midyear Cybersecurity Report 2017. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.statista.com/statistics/803179/perceived-risk-networks-security-threat-organization-size/">https://www.statista.com/statistics/803179/perceived-risk-networks-security-threat-organization-size/</a>
- Cisco. (2012). Cisco Study: IT Saying Yes to BYOD. Retrieved from <a href="https://newsroom.cisco.com/press-release-content?articleId=854754">https://newsroom.cisco.com/press-release-content?articleId=854754</a>.
- Cisco. (2013). BYOD Insights: A Cisco Partner Network Study Report *Retrieved from*<u>http://www.ciscomcon.com/sw/swchannel/registration/internet/registration.cfm?SWAPPID=91&RegPageID=350200&SWTHEMEID=12949</u>.
- Citrix Systems, I. (2012). Best Practices for Making BYOD Simple and Securre. White Paper.
- Crossler, R. E., Long, J. H., Loraas, T. M., & Trinkle, B. S. (2014). Understanding Compliance with Bring Your Own Device Policies Utilizing Protection Motivation Theory: Bridging the Intention-Behavior Gap. *Journal of Information Systems*, 28(1), 209-226. doi:10.2308/isys-50704
- Disterer, G. (2013a). ISO/IEC 27000, 27001 and 27002 for Information Security Management. *Journal of Information Security*, Vol.04No.02, 9. doi:10.4236/jis.2013.42011
- Disterer, G. (2013b). Iso/iec 27000, 27001 and 27002 for information security management. Retrieved from <a href="http://file.scirp.org/Html/4-7800154\_30059.htm">http://file.scirp.org/Html/4-7800154\_30059.htm</a>
- Disterer, G., & Kleiner, C. (2013). Using Mobile Devices with BYOD. *International Journal of Web Portals (IJWP)*, 5(4), 33-45.
- Downer, K., & Bhattacharya, M. (2015, 19-21 Dec. 2015). *BYOD Security: A New Business Challenge*. Paper presented at the 2015 IEEE International Conference on Smart City/SocialCom/SustainCom (SmartCity).
- Fenz, S., & Ekelhart, A. (2009). *Formalizing information security knowledge*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Information, Computer, and Communications Security, Sydney, Australia.
- Garba, A. B., Armarego, J., & Murray, D. (2015). A policy-based framework for managing information security and privacy risks in BYOD environments. *International Journal of Emerging Trends & Technology in Computer Science*, 4(2), 189-198.
- Garba, A. B., Armarego, J., Murray, D., & Kenworthy, W. (2015). Review of the Information Security and

- Privacy Challenges in Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) Environments. *Journal of Information Privacy & Security, 11*(1), 38-54. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.ezproxy.dsu.edu:2048/login?url=https://search.proquest.com/docview/1691289631?acc">http://www.ezproxy.dsu.edu:2048/login?url=https://search.proquest.com/docview/1691289631?acc</a> ountid=27073
- Gartner. (2018). 100 Data and Analytics Predictions Through 2022. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.gartner.com/en/search?keywords=BYOD%20predictions">https://www.gartner.com/en/search?keywords=BYOD%20predictions</a>
- Gerber, N., Gerber, P., & Volkamer, M. (2018). Explaining the privacy paradox: A systematic review of literature investigating privacy attitude and behavior. *Computers & Security*, 77, 226-261.
- Ghosh, A., Gajar, P. K., & Rai, S. (2013). Bring your own device (BYOD): Security risks and mitigating strategies. *Journal of Global Research in Computer Science*, 4(4), 62-70.
- Gimenez, S., Ramamurthy, B., & Wang, Y. (2015). A Survey on Extending the Organization's Network Using the Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) Environment. *Technical Report, University of Nebraska-Lincoln*.
- Gregor, S., & Hevner, A. R. (2013). Positioning and presenting design science research for maximum impact. *MIS quarterly*, *37*(2), 337-356.
- Grundshutz. (2004). Grundshutz IT Manual Elementary Threats.
- Grundshutz, G. I. German IT Grundshutz Suplement Overview Excerpts
- Guttman, B., & Roback, E. A. (1995). Sp 800-12. an introduction to computer security: the NIST handbook.
- Harris, M. A., Patten, K., & Regan, E. (2013). The need for BYOD mobile device security awareness and training.
- Hasib, M. (2014). *Cybersecurity Leadership: Powering the Modern Organization*: Tomorrow's Strategy Today, LLC.
- Hernandez, A., & Choi, Y. (2014). Securing BYOD Networks: Inherent Vulnerabilities and Emerging Feasible Technologies. *International Journal of Computer and Information Technology*, 03(05).
- Hevner, A. R., March, S. T., Park, J., & Ram, S. (2004). Design science in information systems research. *MIS quarterly*, 28(1), 75-105.
- Hovav, A., & Putri, F. F. (2016). This is my device! Why should I follow your rules? Employees' compliance with BYOD security policy. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, *32*, 35-49. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmcj.2016.06.007
- Insights, G. M. (2016). Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) Market size worth USD 366.95 Billion by 2022. Retrieved from <a href="https://globenewswire.com/news-release/2016/03/22/822021/0/en/Bring-Your-Own-Device-BYOD-Market-size-worth-USD-366-95-Billion-by-2022-Global-Market-Insights-Inc.html">https://globenewswire.com/news-release/2016/03/22/822021/0/en/Bring-Your-Own-Device-BYOD-Market-size-worth-USD-366-95-Billion-by-2022-Global-Market-Insights-Inc.html</a>

- ISACA. (2016). IS Audit/Assurance Program for BYOD. *Retrieved from www.isaca.org*. Retrieved from www.isaca.org
- ISACA. (2019a). ISACA Cybersecurity Fundamentals Glossary. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.isaca.org/Knowledge-Center/Documents/Glossary/Cybersecurity\_Fundamentals\_glossary.pdf">https://www.isaca.org/Knowledge-Center/Documents/Glossary/Cybersecurity\_Fundamentals\_glossary.pdf</a>
- ISACA. (2019b). ISACA Glossary. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.isaca.org/Knowledge-Center/Documents/Glossary/glossary.pdf">https://www.isaca.org/Knowledge-Center/Documents/Glossary/glossary.pdf</a>
- Johnson, K., & DeLaGrange, T. (2012). SANS Survey on Mobility/BYOD Security Policies and Practices. *SANS Reading Room*. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.sans.org/reading-room/whitepapers/analyst/survey-mobility-byod-security-policies-practices-35175">https://www.sans.org/reading-room/whitepapers/analyst/survey-mobility-byod-security-policies-practices-35175</a>
- Ketel, M., & Shumate, T. (2015, 9-12 April 2015). *Bring Your Own Device: Security technologies*. Paper presented at the SoutheastCon 2015.
- Kiely, L., & Benzel, T. V. (2006). Systemic security management. *IEEE security & privacy*, 4(6), 74-77.
- Lee, A. S., & Hubona, G. S. (2009). A scientific basis for rigor in information systems research. *MIS quarterly*, 237-262.
- Lennon, R. G. (2012, 25-27 Oct. 2012). Changing user attitudes to security in bring your own device (BYOD) & amp; amp; the cloud. Paper presented at the 2012 5th Romania Tier 2 Federation Grid, Cloud & High Performance Computing Science (RQLCG).
- Li, F., Huang, C., Huang, J., & Peng, W. (2014, 4-7 Aug. 2014). *Feedback-based smartphone strategic sampling for BYOD security*. Paper presented at the 2014 23rd International Conference on Computer Communication and Networks (ICCCN).
- Maconachy, W. V., Schou, C. D., Ragsdale, D., & Welch, D. (2001). *A model for information assurance: An integrated approach*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE workshop on information assurance and security.
- McCumber, J. (2004). Assessing and managing security risk in IT systems: A structured methodology: CRC Press.
- Moreira, F., Cota, M. P., & Gonçalves, R. (2016, 27-30 Sept. 2016). *Strategies for minimizing the influence of the use of BYOD and Cloud in organizations: 4CM model.* Paper presented at the 2016 IEEE 11th Colombian Computing Conference (CCC).
- Morrow, B. (2012). BYOD security challenges: control and protect your most sensitive data. *Network Security*, 2012(12), 5-8. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1353485812701113">http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1353485812701113</a>
- Musarurwa, A., Flowerday, S., & Cilliers, L. (2018). An information security behavioural model for the bring-your-own-device trend. *South African Journal of Information Management*, 20(1). doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.4102/sajim.v20i1.980

- Ngai, E. W., Hu, Y., Wong, Y., Chen, Y., & Sun, X. (2011). The application of data mining techniques in financial fraud detection: A classification framework and an academic review of literature. *Decision support systems*, 50(3), 559-569.
- Ocano, S. G., Ramamurthy, B., & Wang, Y. (2015, 16-19 Feb. 2015). *Remote mobile screen (RMS): An approach for secure BYOD environments*. Paper presented at the 2015 International Conference on Computing, Networking and Communications (ICNC).
- Ogie, R. (2016). Bring Your Own Device: An overview of risk assessment. *IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine*, 5(1), 114-119. doi:10.1109/MCE.2015.2484858
- Oktavia, T., Tjong, Y., Prabowo, H., & Meyliana. (2016, 16-18 Nov. 2016). *Security and privacy challenge in Bring Your Own Device environment: A Systematic Literature Review.* Paper presented at the 2016 International Conference on Information Management and Technology (ICIMTech).
- Peffers, K., Tuunanen, T., Rothenberger, M. A., & Chatterjee, S. (2007). A design science research methodology for information systems research. *Journal of management information systems*, 24(3), 45-77.
- Petrov, D., & Znati, T. (2018, 18-20 Oct. 2018). *Context-Aware Deep Learning-Driven Framework for Mitigation of Security Risks in BYOD-Enabled Environments*. Paper presented at the 2018 IEEE 4th International Conference on Collaboration and Internet Computing (CIC).
- Ponemon-Institute. (2016). 2016 State of the Endpoint Report. Retrieved from <a href="https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/150964/2016">https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/150964/2016</a> State of Endpoint Report.pdf. from Ponemon Institute; CounterTack <a href="https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/150964/2016">https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/150964/2016</a> State of Endpoint Report.pdf
- Ratchford, M., Wang, P., & Sbeit, R. O. (2018). BYOD Security Risks and Mitigations. In *Information Technology-New Generations* (pp. 193-197): Springer.
- Ratchford, M. M. (2018). BYOD: A Security Policy Evaluation Model. In *Information Technology-New Generations* (pp. 215-220): Springer.
- Ratchford, M. M., & Wang, Y. (2019). *BYOD-Insure: A Security Assessment Model for Enterprise BYOD*. Paper presented at the 2019 Fifth Conference on Mobile and Secure Services (MobiSecServ).
- Romer, H. (2014). Best practices for BYOD security. *Computer Fraud & Security*, 2014(1), 13-15. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1361-3723(14)70007-7
- RSA. (2016). 2016: Current State of CYbercrime. Retrieved from https://www.rsa.com/content/dam/rsa/PDF/2016/05/2016-current-state-of-cybercrime.pdf
- Saa, P., Moscoso-Zea, O., & Lujan-Mora, S. (2017, 10-12 July 2017). *Bring your own device (BYOD):*Students perception Privacy issues: A new trend in education? Paper presented at the 2017 16th International Conference on Information Technology Based Higher Education and Training (ITHET).
- Samaras, V., Daskapan, S., Ahmad, R., & Ray, S. K. (2014, 26-28 Nov. 2014). *An enterprise security architecture for accessing SaaS cloud services with BYOD.* Paper presented at the 2014

- Australasian Telecommunication Networks and Applications Conference (ATNAC).
- Sarnikar, S. (2015). INFS 805 Design Science Research Course Notes.
- Scarfo, A. (2012). *New security perspectives around BYOD*. Paper presented at the Broadband, Wireless Computing, Communication and Applications (BWCCA), 2012 Seventh International Conference on.
- Scarfo, A. (2012, 12-14 Nov. 2012). *New Security Perspectives around BYOD*. Paper presented at the 2012 Seventh International Conference on Broadband, Wireless Computing, Communication and Applications.
- Scarfone, K. A., Souppaya, M. P., & Hoffman, P. (2011). Sp 800-125. guide to security for full virtualization technologies.
- Selviandro, N., Wisudiawan, G., Puspitasari, S., & Adrian, M. (2015, 27-29 May 2015). *Preliminary study for determining bring your own device implementation framework based on organizational culture analysis enhanced by cloud management control*. Paper presented at the 2015 3rd International Conference on Information and Communication Technology (ICoICT).
- Shirey, R. W. (2000). Internet security glossary.
- Shumate, T., & Ketel, M. (2014, 13-16 March 2014). *Bring Your Own Device: Benefits, risks and control techniques.* Paper presented at the IEEE SOUTHEASTCON 2014.
- Siboni, S., Shabtai, A., & Elovici, Y. (2018). An attack scenario and mitigation mechanism for enterprise BYOD environments. *ACM SIGAPP Applied Computing Review*, 18(2), 5-21.
- Soomro, Z. A., Shah, M. H., & Ahmed, J. (2016). Information security management needs more holistic approach: A literature review. *International Journal of Information Management*, 36(2), 215-225.
- Souppaya, M., & Scarfone, K. (2013). Guidelines for managing the security of mobile devices in the enterprise. *NIST Special Publication*, 800, 124.
- Souppaya, M., & Scarfone, K. (2013). NIST Special Publication 800-124 Guidelines for Managing the Security of Mobile Devices in the Enterprise. *Gaithersburg, USA: National Institute of Standards and Technology*, 1-29.
- Souppaya, M., & Scarfone, K. (2016a). NIST 800-46 Rev 2 Guide to Enterprise Telework, Remote Access, and Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) Security. Retrieved from <a href="http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-46r2/sp800\_46r2\_draft.pdf">http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-46r2/sp800\_46r2\_draft.pdf</a>
- Souppaya, M., & scarfone, K. (2016b). NIST 800-114 Rev 1 User's Guide to Telework and Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) Security. Retrieved from <a href="http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-114r1/sp800\_114r1\_draft.pdf">http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-114r1/sp800\_114r1\_draft.pdf</a>
- Stewart, J., Chapple, M., & Gibson, D. (2015). CISSP Official Study Guide 7th Edition.
- Stoecklin, M. P., Singh, K., Koved, L., Hu, X., Chari, S. N., Rao, J. R., . . . Schales, D. L. (2016). Passive

- security intelligence to analyze the security risks of mobile/BYOD activities. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 60(4), 9:1-9:13. doi:10.1147/JRD.2016.2569858
- Syntonic-ISG. (2016, 11/07/
- 2016 Nov 07). Syntonic-ISG Research Reveals Employee Apprehension to Use Personal Devices for Work Due to Lack of Reimbursement, Jeopardizing BYOD Productivity Gains. *PR Newswire*. Retrieved from
  - http://www.ezproxy.dsu.edu:2048/login?url=https://search.proquest.com/docview/1836608629?accountid=27073
- Syntonic. (2016). BYOD Usage in the Enterprise. Retrieved from <a href="https://syntonic.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Syntonic-2016-BYOD-Usage-in-the-Enterprise.pdf">https://syntonic.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Syntonic-2016-BYOD-Usage-in-the-Enterprise.pdf</a>
- Thielens, J. (2013). Why APIs are central to a BYOD security strategy. *Network Security*, 2013(8), 5-6. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1353-4858(13)70091-6
- Thompson, G. (2012). BYOD: enabling the chaos. *Network Security*, 2012(2). Retrieved from <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1353-4858(12)70013-2">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1353-4858(12)70013-2</a>
- Tse, D., Wang, L., & Li, Y. (2016, 23-26 Aug. 2016). *Mobility Management for Enterprises in BYOD Deployment*. Paper presented at the 2016 IEEE Trustcom/BigDataSE/ISPA.
- U.S.-Government. (1791). U.S. Constitution Amendment 4. *Retrieved from*<a href="https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/fourth\_amendment">https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/fourth\_amendment</a>. Retrieved from
  <a href="https://www.usconstitution.net/xconst\_Am4.html">https://www.usconstitution.net/xconst\_Am4.html</a>
- Utter, C., & Rea, A. (2015). The 'Bring Your Own Device' Conundrum form Organizations and Investigators: An Examination of the Policy and Legan Concerns in Light of Investigatory Challenges. *Journal of Digital Forensics, Security & Law, V10*(2), 55.
- Von Solms, B. (2006). Information security—the fourth wave. *Computers & Security*, 25(3), 165-168.
- Wang, A. J. A. (2005). *Information security models and metrics*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 43rd annual Southeast regional conference-Volume 2.
- Wang, Y., Streff, K., & Raman, S. (2012). Smartphone security challenges. Computer, 45(12), 52-58.
- Wang, Y., Wei, J., & Vangury, K. (2014, 10-13 Jan. 2014). *Bring your own device security issues and challenges*. Paper presented at the 2014 IEEE 11th Consumer Communications and Networking Conference (CCNC).
- Wang, Y., Wei, J., & Vangury, K. (2014). *Bring your own device security issues and challenges*. Paper presented at the Consumer Communications and Networking Conference (CCNC), 2014 IEEE 11th.

- Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review. *MIS quarterly*, xiii-xxiii.
- Weeger, A., Wang, X., Gewald, H., Raisinghani, M., Sanchez, O., & Grant, G. (2020). Determinants of Intention to Paricipate in Corporate BYOD-Programs: The Case of Digital Natives. *Information Systems Frontiers*(22), 203-219.
- Wei, P., Feng, L., Han, K. J., Xukai, Z., & Jie, W. (2013, 14-16 Oct. 2013). *T-dominance: Prioritized defense deployment for BYOD security*. Paper presented at the 2013 IEEE Conference on Communications and Network Security (CNS).
- Whitmore, A., Agarwal, A., & Da Xu, L. (2015). The Internet of Things—A survey of topics and trends. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 17(2), 261-274.
- Woodring, I., & El-Said, M. (2014, 7-9 April 2014). *An Economical Cluster Based System for Detecting Data Leakage from BYOD.* Paper presented at the 2014 11th International Conference on Information Technology: New Generations.
- Yang, T. A., Vlas, R., Yang, A., & Vlas, C. (2013, 8-14 Sept. 2013). Risk Management in the Era of BYOD: The Quintet of Technology Adoption, Controls, Liabilities, User Perception, and User Behavior. Paper presented at the 2013 International Conference on Social Computing.
- Yin, R. K. (1994). Case Study Research: Design and Methods (Applied Social Research Methods, Vol. 5). Sage Publications, Beverly Hills, CA. Rick Rantz Leading urban institutions of higher education in the new millennium Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 23(8), 2002.
- Zahadat, N., Blessner, P., Blackburn, T., & Olson, B. A. (2015). BYOD security engineering: A framework and its analysis. *Computers & Security*, 55, 81-99.
- Zhang, J., & Wei, L. (2017, 14-16 June 2017). Which DRM grade could BYOD users employ? A differentiated DRM service between the cloud and mobile devices. Paper presented at the 2017 IEEE/ACM 25th International Symposium on Quality of Service (IWQoS).
- Zheng, Y., Cao, Y., & Chang, C. (2018, 12-14 Jan. 2018). Facial biohashing based user-device physical unclonable function for bring your own device security. Paper presented at the 2018 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics (ICCE).

# APPENDIX A

# Findings and Recommendations - LOW Security Scenario - All Domains

Table A.1 Findings and Recommendations for Management Domain-LOW Security Scenario

		Management Findings and Recommendati	ions - LOW Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
3e		BoD and Upper Mgmt. are aware of BYOD implementation.  Initial approval of Program and Policies are	
1.1 Governance		discussed.	Approve BYOD policies
ver	1	There is no further involvement.	Receive regular/scheduled status reports
$\mathcal{E}$			Reports include:
1.1			BYOD usage
			BYOD adherence to policy
			BYOD Incident Reports
			BoD and upper mgmt. involved in Risk Mgmt.
ınt			Risk analysis performed prior to BYOD implementation:
1.2 Risk anageme		Risk analysis performed prior to BYOD	with the involvement and approval of C-level
2 R age		implementation and follow-up, but controls as	
1.2 Risk Management		per Level 3 are missing.	acceptable risks levels are approved
Z			subsequent risks assessments are performed
			acceptable risks levels are approved
u u			BoD and Upper Mgmt. approve initial and follow-up
ntio		BoD and Upper Mgmt. authorized <i>training</i>	training and awareness programs as follows:
nc	2	and awareness programs but controls as per level 3 are missing.	approve and endorse training and awareness
Ed			programs
1.3 Education			approve initial orientation awareness
			approve regular follow up sessions
			There are legal aspects organizations need to consider when adopting BYODs, and these must require the
			advice of legal counsel in order to ensure policy and
			terms will hold in a court of law. Legal counsel must:
			Review BYOD policies
S			Approve BYOD policies
nss	1		Provide documented approval of BYOD
ıl I		Initial legal counsel consultation. Legal	policies and procedures with respect to legal
eg	1	counsel provides informal advice.	issues
1.4 Legal Issues			Ensure that aspects in BYOD policy include
1,			expectations of:
			Privacy of the individual
			Comingled data
			Device monitoring
			Device ownership
sk			Studies show that having the availability of a support
De			team increases employees' efficacy. A Helpdesk must:
dle		Helpdesk approval but Level 3 controls	Be approved at the Upper Mgmt level
1.5 Help Desk		missing.	Be signed-off by the BoD for BYOD support
1.5			Have resources allocated
		l .	1

		Management Findings and Recommendat	ions - LOW Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
1.6 Policies	1	BoD and Upper Mgmt approve the BYOD policies but there is no further involvement in policy scope and coverage	Third Party Vendors  Contractors and consultants  Policy Exemption Procedures need to: Be defined Be individually approved Have a time limit Be periodically reviewed  Policy for Third Parties and Contractors/Consultants need to: Be individually approved State compliance requirements Include procedures Include limitations  Policy disciplinary actions need to: Be defined Violations need to be included in the Code of Conduct Sanctions and penalties be clearly identified The Mobile Acceptable Use Policy (MAUP) is the employee's agreement with the terms and use of their BYODs in accordance to the organization's policy. The
1.7 Compliance	7	HR is involved but Level 3 controls are missing	employee must adhere to the organization's MAUP.  HR is fully involved. The involvement of the organization's HR is necessary in order to hold the organization and the employees accountable and ensure compliance. HR must:  Be responsible for signatures:  Initial employee signature

		Management Findings and Recommendati	ions - LOW Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
			Initial third-party or consultant signatures
			Annual employee's signatures
			Third party/consultant signature for renewal commitment
			Maintain and update:
			List of employee's participant and the exemptions
			Termination/exit procedures
			Disciplinary policy/procedures as per Code of Conduct
1.8 Employee Behavior		HR is aware of BYOD but has not established its role with respect to employee's behavior	HR is fully involved. There are procedures in place to handle employee's behavior and attitude The involvement of the organization's HR is necessary in order to hold the employees accountable for their behavior and attitude towards BYOD.
1.9 BYOD Program	1	BYOD program is being designed	A BYOD program is in place
1.10 Security Management	1	Management is aware, but has not explicitly authorized and allocated support for tasks related to security management associated with BYOD.	Management is fully aware and engaged in security management associated with BYOD. This involves clear understanding and support of the processes required to protect computer and network systems. This includes prevention, detection, investigation and resolution of security problems directly associated with the adoption of BYOD.
1.11 IT Consumeriza tion	3	Management is fully aware of trends and modalities of new technologies that are easily and readily accepted by BYOD users and [the possibility of] can negatively affect the organization.	This control was found to be at the optimal security level

Table A.2 Findings and Recommendations for IT Domain - LOW Security Scenario.

	IT Findings and Recommendations - LOW Security Scenario				
Security Control	-	Findings	Recommendations		
2.1 BYOD Program		1 6	IT is to be involved in a BYOD program, and the program needs to be in place		
2.2 Risk Management	.,	III is fully involved in the Rick Assessment	IT is fully involved in the Risk Assessment process.  Based on the risk assessment authorized and performed by management, IT needs to:  Be an integral part of the initial risk analysis process		

		IT Findings and Recommendations	- LOW Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
			Analyze the technical aspects of the accepted risks levels     Implement safeguards in order to mitigate accepted risks     Follow-up with subsequent risk assessments.
2.3 Security Management	2	IT is involved in the process of preventing security problems associated with BYOD, but controls associated with the optimal security level 3 are missing	IT is involved in BYOD-related computer & network
2.4 Help Desk		BYOD Helpdesk support is in place, however, Level 3 controls are missing.	Necessary IT help desk support for BYOD is in place. The help desk needs to:  Have IT support  Have escalation procedures in place  Have reporting procedures in place
2.5 IT Consumerization 2.4 Help Desk	2		IT is aware and prepared with respect to emerging technologies, trends and modalities associated with BYOD, and maintains Management aware of this information.
2.6 Education		IT dept has discussed training & awareness considerations but no actions have taken place	Training and Awareness controls are in place. The IT department must ensure the following:  IT's personnel is aware of BYOD-related security issues  IT personnel is trained with respect to BYOD security  IT is involved in the organization's BYOD users training and awareness program  Training and awareness program should include the following topics:  Protect data on device using encryption  Review and understand application permissions  Passcode or password protect the device  Do not jailbreak or root the device  Avoid unknown wireless networks  Use VPN over Wi-Fi  When using configurable Wi-Fi, use 20+characters passphrases with WPA  Perform timely software updates  Do not install illegal or unauthorized software  Do not install software from untrustworthy markets  Backup data  Avoid clicking unknown links  Setup remote data wipe if the device is lost or stolen  Avoid storing usernames and passwords on the device or in the browser

	,	IT Findings and Recommendations	- LOW Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
		IT is fully involved/participate in the writing of BYOD policies, but Level 3 controls are missing	Revise BYOD-related policies to ensure technical aspects are correct. Before connecting the mobile device     Confirm the employee has signed policies/agreements.     If third-party connectivity is required, confirm that third-party has signed policies.     If there are policy exemptions, IT needs to be aware of exemptions.     Ensure the MAUP lines up with the Network Security Policy.
2.8 Best Practices	2	IT is aware of some BYOD best practices, but need to follow them.	IT is aware and follows BYOD-related activities that have been shown successful.
2.9 Monitoring and Reporting	1	IT monitors BYOD but does not have reporting process in place	IT has monitoring and reporting processes in place with respect to BYOD. This includes monitoring of the networks that allow BYOD and sharing the reports with Management.  The following reporting, monitoring and alerts functions are implemented:  • Secure logs and audit trails of all sensitive BYOD activities  • IT support staff is able to query the MDM database for events of a security and compliance nature  • Automatic reports & monitoring & Alerts are generated for the following:  • Devices jailbroken or rooted  • Devices that have not checked in for a certain time  • Devices with non-supported OS or Hardware  • Devices with excessive data usage that may predict high charges or indicate possible malfeasance  • Unauthorized access attempts  • Upon alerts, there are problem escalation procedures  • MDM provides suitable real-time dashboards and regular management reports for IT to maintain tight control over the MDM population:  • MDM provides automatic alerts to system administrators of noncompliant events by email or text message  • Rule engine exists for IT to define policies and non-compliant events  • Suitable management metrics about BYOD deployment, security and compliance are generated

		IT Findings and Recommendations	- LOW Security Scenario
Security Control		Findings	Recommendations
		BYODs are allowed with partial network changes.	All necessary network changes are implemented. BYODs are an extension to the organization's network, therefore, they need to be secured in order to protect it. The following network connectivity-related controls need to be considered:
		Network changes have taken place; however, level	Wireless:
2.10 Network	2	Network changes have taken place; however, level 3 controls are missing	Wireless:  IT needs to be aware and trained in the different forms of wireless communication (Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, Cellular and VNP), and decide the method to allow or restrict network connectivity to organization's information.  VPN:  IT setup of Virtual Private Networks to protect the data by creating an encrypted tunnel for data in transmission over unprotected networks.  Cellular:  Network connectivity should be allowed only for BYODs with LTE (or above) capabilities  Wi-Fi:  IT needs to ensure that the latest IEEE 802.11i standards are implemented when providing Wi-Fi connectivity in their organizations  Bluetooth:  This is a technology that uses short-range communications, and their current standards are subject to attacks This type of connectivity should not be allowed when accessing the organization's network  Network Monitoring Tools:  IT needs to ensure that network protection includes the always-on network monitoring tools such as Intrusion Detection & Prevention, Next-Generation Firewalls, separation of VLANs  Bandwidth/Network Up-time/Storage:  Upgrade network to handle three times more than current capacity as well as ensure that the network uptime considers access from users working at all times of the day  Ensure adequate wireless bandwidth is available in order to provide adequate response time to employees' tasks VLANs:  Mobile access must be isolated via the implementation of separate VLANs outside the corporate network  Firewalls, IDS and IPS systems present  The Servers that control mobile devices need to be behind the organization's firewalls and IDS/IPS systems
			VLANs: Mobile access must be isolated via the implementation of separate VLANs outside the corporate network Firewalls, IDS and IPS systems present The Servers that control mobile devices need to be behind
			the organization's firewalls and IDS/IPS systems

	IT Findings and Recommendations - LOW Security Scenario			
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations	
2.11 Virtualization		IT is considering virtualization options	IT has implemented virtualization (i.e. in the form of sandbox or other methods) in order to achieve space isolation	
2.12 Third Party	3	Organization does not allow Third-Party's BYOD	Organization does not allow Third-Party's BYOD	
2.13 Access Control	2	IT has in place access control procedures, but controls as per Level 3 are missing	IT has access control procedure with respect to BYOD in order to:	
2.14 Mobile Application Mgmt		IT is in the process of developing procedures with respect to software control in the BYODs.	IT has in place procedures for BYOD with respect to the following:  • Anti-malware  • Blacklisting /Whitelisting  • distribution of applications  • reporting of applications  • update and backup	
2.15 Anti- Malware		IT is working on procedures to ensure antimalware protection.	IT has in-place procedures for BYOD with respect to anti-malware installation in BYOD.	
2.16 Corporate Data Protection	2		The organization 1) considers the CIA of the information, 2) ensures secure channels, and 3) has implemented encryption of organization's information in transit and at rest.	
2.17 Mobile Device Security 2.16 Corp. Mgmt. Data Prote		The organization has implemented a mobile device security mgmt. process, but controls as per level 3 are missing.	The organization has a mobile device security management process in place, and the following is being implemented:  • Profile management • Device detection • Monitoring and tracking • Remote wipe • Detect malware • Data encryption • Remote device lock	

	IT Findings and Recommendations - LOW Security Scenario			
Security Control		Findings	Recommendations	
2.18 Separation of Data	0	The organization does not enforce nor has considered methods to enforce separation of personal data from corporate data.	The organization has a process in place to ensure separation of personal from corporate data.	
2.19 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.		The organization is in the process of implementing a content management system to control access to corporate data.	The organization has a content management system in place and it controls access to corporate documents, secure content storage, synchronize content, encrypts content container, and provides reporting/analysis.  • Access to corporate documents  • Secure content storage  • Synchronize content  • Encrypts content container  • Provides reporting/analysis	
2.20 Cloud Access	1	The organization is in the process of implementing security measures with respect to BYODs accessing storage resources outside of the control of the organization, however, such measures have not been implemented.		
2.21 Resource Consumptio	1		The organization has considered the amount of mobile device resources required when implementing monitoring or configuration options that may diminish the BYOD's availability, and proper measures are in place.	

Table A.3 Findings and Recommendations for User Domain – LOW Security Scenario

Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
3.1 Compliance		Users sign a BYOD policy where they adhere to the organization's directives with respect to BYOD.	Users sign a BYOD policy where they adhere to the organization's directives with respect to BYOD.
3.2 Education		The user receives initial BYOD awareness instruction but subsequent education is optional.	The user is required to attend initial and subsequent BYOD awareness orientation/education where mutual responsibilities are discussed
3.3 Policies		MAUP are in-place and require signature but some Level 3 controls are missing.	MAUP is in-place and the following is required:      User signs MAUP prior to connection     User signs MAUP on annual basis     User adheres to penalties     User adheres to disciplinary actions     User adheres to exit procedures
3.4 Cloud Access	0	Users access storage resources outside of the control of the organization.	Users follow organizational procedures when accessing resources outside the control of the organization.

Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
3.5 Resource Consumption	1	Users are made aware of the possible device resource	Users are made aware of the possible device resource consumption depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, and this is clearly state in the MAUP. The following needs to be clearly stated:  Battery consumption on the user's device may be affected  Memory and storage utilization may be affected
3.6 User Privacy & Data Protection	2	The MAUP states the organization's position with respect to privacy, but some Level 3 controls are	The organization's position with respect to the privacy of the data in the device is clearly stated in the MAUP and explained to the in the awareness program. Depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, the following may be present:  Personal data may be visible to the corporation Personal and corporate data may comingle

Table A.4 Findings and Recommendations for Mobile Device Domain - LOW Security Scenario.

Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
4.1 Access Control	2	Mobile Device access control is considered and implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing	The following access control security controls are implemented:  Permission-based access controls for access to the organization's networks and data based on need-to-know  Role-based policy for user access  Separate accounts for administrators (one for administrator work, and one for other purposes)  Administrator privileges granted to administrators only  Limits put on each user that have access to the application  Users privileges based on need-to-know  Permissions periodically reviewed to include super users  Process for checking inactive and terminated users  Revocation period process  Strong password policy. Suggested criteria:  Minimum of 9 characters  Include one upper case alphabetic character  Include one lower case alphabetic character  Include one special character  Include one numeric character  Expires after 60 days  Different than the previous 10 passwords  Changeable by the administrator at any time  Changeable by user only once in a 24-hour period  No shared accounts are permitted
4.2 Mobile Applicatio n Mgmt.		Application security is considered but there is no implementation	The following application security controls are implemented:  • Inventory of organization's and third-party apps and revision levels  • Distribution whitelist and blacklists

Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
			Over-the-air (OTA) distribution of software (apps, patches, updates) and policy changes
			<ul> <li>Activate or deactivate specific apps</li> <li>Private 'app store' for security distribution of organization's apps</li> </ul>
			Access to the enterprise's app store is restricted to BYOD devices owned by employees.      All apps in the store are digitally signed by the
			<ul> <li>All apps in the store are digitally signed by the enterprise.</li> <li>The supported BYOD platforms all check the validity of</li> </ul>
			the apps' digital signatures before the apps are permitted to execute on the device
			Reporting of applications procedures exist
4.3 Anti- Malware	0	The mobile device does not have anti-malware protection software installed.	Backup process in place  Anti-malware is installed and active in mobile device
Ē			The following corporate data controls are implemented:
Da			Data encryption on device and during transmission
ate tion		Corporate data protection is	Remotely lock and wipe data and installed apps     Selective wipe and privacy policies for organization app
por tec	1	considered but there is no	<ul> <li>Selective wipe and privacy policies for organization app and data, i.e., sandboxing</li> </ul>
4.4 Corporate Data Protection		implementation	Distribution and management of digital certificates (to encrypt and digitally sign emails and sensitive documents)
			There is mobile device mgmt. (MDM) process in place
			The following device security issues are implemented:
			Secure portal for BYOD users to enroll & provision devices
			Inventory devices, operating systems, patch levels
			Postpone automatic updates from Internet service      providers (ISPs) as a in pages where an outomatic OS
			providers (ISPs), e.g., in cases where an automatic OS update may cause critical apps to fail
			Capability to locate and map lost phones for recovery
			Backup and restore BYOD device data
4.5 Device Security Mgmt			Send text messages to one or a group of selected devices with troubleshooting instructions
curity		Device security (e.g MDM) is	Perform remote device diagnostics for a wide range of BYOD devices
Sec	1	being considered but there is not	<ul> <li>Remotely view a device's screen and take screen shots to assist with troubleshooting</li> </ul>
ice		implementation	Take remote control of a device for troubleshooting
Dev			Upon connection to organization's network, the
Ŀ.			following is automatically checked:
4			Patch level for OS and apps
			Required security software is active and
			current for:
			• Antivirus
			Firewall
			Full-disk encryption     Device is not jailbroken (Apple) or rooted
			• Device is not jailbroken (Apple) or rooted (Android)
			Presence of unapproved devices
			Presence of blacklisted apps

Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
			If any of the above checks fail, the MDM can automatically update the device or disallow access
			<ul> <li>MDM servers are behind organization's firewalls and intrusion detection systems/intrusion prevention systems (IDS/IPS)</li> </ul>
4.6 Separation of Data	0	The mobile device does not have separation of personal data from corporate data	Space isolation is considered and one of the following is being implemented:
4.6 Sep of L	U		Separation of corporate and personal data on device     True space isolation: corporate data does not reside in device
4.7 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.		The mobile device has a content	The mobile device has a process to manage content and it controls the following:  • Access to corporate documents
oile nt N			Secure content storage
Aok nte			Synchronize content
4.7 N Coi			<ul> <li>Encrypts content container</li> <li>Provides reporting/analysis</li> </ul>
4.8 Cloud Access	0	The mobile device is allowed to access resources outside of the control of the organization	The mobile device has security measures with respect to access of storage resources outside of the control of the organization.
4.9 Resource Consumption	3	The amount of mobile device resource required is negligible	The amount of mobile device resource required is negligible

## **APPENDIX B**

# Findings and Recommendations - MODERATE Security Scenario - All Domains

Table B.1 Findings and Recommendations for Management Domain – MODERATE Security Scenario

	Management Findings and Recommendations MODERATE Security Scenario				
Security	Security	Findings	Recommendations		
Control	Level				
		Occasional updates to BoD and Upper Mgmt.	Executive Mgmt. must:		
1.1 Governance		BYOD programs are subject to regular and periodic oversight.	Approve BYOD policies		
ern	2	Regular monitoring by management	Receive regular/scheduled status reports		
Ŏ.	2		Reports include:		
91		Key controls as per Level 3 are missing	<ul> <li>BYOD usage</li> </ul>		
1		Key controls as per Level 3 are missing	<ul> <li>BYOD adherence to policy</li> </ul>		
			BYOD Incident Reports		
1.2 Risk Management			BoD and Upper Mgmt. involved in Risk Mgmt.		
l ğ			Risk analysis performed prior to BYOD		
age			implementation:		
an	1	Risk Analysis performed prior to BYOD	With the involvement and approval of C-		
$\mathbf{z}$	1	implementation with no follow-up.	level and Board of Directors		
isk			Acceptable risks levels are approved		
2 R			Subsequent risks assessments are performed		
1.			<ul> <li>Acceptable risks levels are approved</li> </ul>		
1.3 Education	3	BoD and Upper Mgmt. approve initial and follow-up training and awareness programs as follows:			
luc			This control was found to be at the optimal security		
<u> </u>		awareness programs	level		
1.3		Approve initial orientation			
		awareness			
		Approve regular follow up sessions	There are legal compate arganizations need to consider		
			There are legal aspects organizations need to consider when adopting BYODs, and these must require the		
			advice of legal counsel in order to ensure policy and		
		are missing	terms will hold in a court of law. Legal counsel must:		
		are missing	Review BYOD policies		
nes			Approve BYOD policies		
lss:			Provide documented approval of BYOD		
ਫ਼ਿ	2		policies and procedures with respect to legal		
- 68 - 78	_		issues		
1.4 Legal Issues			Ensure that aspects in BYOD policy include		
<del>-</del>			expectations of:		
			Privacy of the individual		
			Comingled data		
			Device monitoring		
			Device ownership		

	M	anagement Findings and Recommendations	s MODERATE Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
1.5 Help Desk	2	Helpdesk approval but Level 3 controls missing.	Studies show that having the availability of a support team increases employees' efficacy. A Helpdesk must:  Be approved at the Upper Mgmt. level Be signed-off by the BoD for BYOD support Have resources allocated
1.6 Policies	2	Mgmt. approval and awareness/involvement in policy scope & coverage but some Level 3 controls missing. Not all optimal responsibilities are present.	BYOD policies need to clearly state all the objectives and constraints related to the usage of the mobile device. The policies should be straightforward and easy to follow. The policies must include the following:  Policy Approval:  All policies need to be approved at both Clevel and BoD.  BYOD policies need to be part of the organization's Information Security Program  A mobile device acceptable user policy (MAUP) needs to be defined and approved.  Policy Scope. The policy needs to cover issues related to:  Securing Mobile Devices  Encryption and Passwords  Data sensitivity/categorization  Antivirus protection  Wireless access Security breach incident & its response  Remote working Privacy issues  Policy Signatures. The MAUP policies need to be signed by:  The organization's BYOD employees  Third Party Vendors  Contractors and consultants  Policy Exemption Procedures need to:  Be defined  Be individually approved  Have a time limit  Be periodically reviewed  Policy for Third Parties and Contractors/Consultants need to:  Be individually approved  State compliance requirements  Include procedures  Include procedures  Include limitations  Policy disciplinary actions need to:  Be defined  Violations need to be included in the Code of Conduct  Sanctions and penalties be clearly identified  The Mobile Acceptable Use Policy (MAUP) is the employee's agreement with the terms and use of their BYODs in accordance to the organization's policy. The employee must adhere to the organization's policy. The employee must adhere to the organization's policy. The employee must adhere to the organization's policy.

		anagement Findings and Recommendations	MODERATE Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
		HR is involved but Level 3 controls are missing	HR is fully involved. The involvement of the organization's HR is necessary in order to hold the organization and the employees accountable and ensure compliance. HR must:
ınce			Be responsible for signatures:     Initial employee signature     Initial third-party or consultant
1.7 Compliance	2		signatures     Annual employee's signatures     Third party/consultant signature     for renewal commitment
1.7			Maintain and update:     List of participating employees and the exemptions     Termination/exit procedures     Disciplinary policy/procedures as per Code of Conduct
1.8 Employee Behavior	3	HR is fully involved. There are procedures in place to handle employee's behavior and attitude The involvement of the organization's HR is necessary in order to hold the employees accountable for their behavior and attitude towards BYOD.	This control was found to be at the optimal security level
1.9 BYOD Program	1	BYOD program is being designed	A BYOD program is in place
1.10 Security Management	3	Management is fully aware and engaged in security management associated with BYOD. This involves clear understanding and support of the processes required to protect computer and network systems. This includes prevention, detection, investigation and resolution of security problems directly associated with the adoption of BYOD.	This control was found to be at the optimal security level
1.11 IT Consumerization	3	Management is fully aware of trends and modalities of new technologies that are easily and readily accepted by BYOD users and [the possibility of] can negatively affect the organization.	

Table B.2 Findings and Recommendations for IT Domain – MODERATE Security Scenario

		IT Findings and Recommendations - M	IODERATE Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
2.1 BYOD Program			IT is to be involved in a BYOD program, and the program needs to be in place
2.2 Risk Management		IT is fully involved in the Risk Assessment process, but Level 3 controls are missing.	IT is fully involved in the Risk Assessment process. Based on the risk assessment authorized and performed by management, IT needs to:  • Be an integral part of the initial risk analysis process  • Analyze the technical aspects of the accepted risks levels  • Implement safeguards in order to mitigate accepted risks  • Follow-up with subsequent risk assessments.
2.3 Security Management	2		IT is involved in BYOD-related computer & network security by:  Preventing security problems Detection of intrusion Investigation of intrusion and resolution Access to network and resources
2.5 IT Consumer 2.4 Help Desk ization		BYOD Helpdesk support is in place; however, Level 3 controls are missing.	Necessary IT help desk support for BYOD is in place. The help desk needs to:
2.5 IT Consumer ization	2	IT is aware and prepared with respect to emerging technologies, trends and modalities associated with BYOD, but does not share this information with Management.	IT is aware and prepared with respect to emerging technologies, trends and modalities associated with BYOD, and maintains Management aware of this information.
2.6 Education	2	Training and Awareness controls are in place but Level 3 controls are missing.	Fraining and Awareness controls are in place. The IT department must ensure the following:  • IT's personnel is aware of BYOD-related security issues  • IT personnel is trained with respect to BYOD security  • IT is involved in the organization's BYOD users training and awareness program  Fraining and awareness program should include the following topics:  • Protect data on device using encryption  • Review and understand application permissions  • Passcode or password protect the device  • Do not jailbreak or root the device  • Avoid unknown wireless networks  • Use VPN over Wi-Fi  • When using configurable Wi-Fi, use 20+ characters passphrases with WPA  • Perform timely software updates  • Do not install illegal or unauthorized software  • Do not install software from untrustworthy markets

		IT Findings and Recommendations - M	IODERATE Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
Control	Zever		Backup data     Avoid clicking unknown links
			Setup remote data wipe if the device is lost or stolen
			Avoid storing usernames and passwords on the
			device or in the browser
			IT is fully involved in BYOD policy definition. IT must:  • Revise BYOD-related policies to ensure technical
			aspects are correct. Before connecting the mobile
			device
es			Confirm the employee has signed
2.7 Policies		IT has minimum involvement/input in BYOD	
$^{\prime}$ $^{\prime}$	1	policy definition	If third-party connectivity is required, confirm that
2.7			third-party has signed policies.
			If there are policy exemptions, IT needs to be aware
			of exemptions.
			• Ensure the MAUP lines up with the Network
😘			Security Policy.
2.8 Best Practices	2	IT is aware and follows BYOD-related	Control at antimal level
2.8 ] rac	3	activities that have been shown successful.	Control at optimal level
Д,	-		IT has monitoring and concerting access in all as will access
			IT has monitoring and reporting processes in place with respect to BYOD. This includes monitoring of the networks that allow
			BYOD and sharing the reports with Management.
			The following reporting, monitoring and alerts functions are
			implemented:
			Secure logs and audit trails of all sensitive BYOD
			activities
			IT support staff is able to query the MDM database for events of a security and compliance nature
			Automatic reports & monitoring & Alerts are
ng			generated for the following:
g and Reporting			Devices jailbroken or rooted
ф			Devices that have not checked in for a
I PI			certain time
g an		Monitoring and Reporting in place, but level 3	Devices with non-supported OS or
	_	controls are missing	Hardware
2.9 Monitorin			<ul> <li>Devices with blacklisted apps</li> </ul>
Ion			Devices with excessive data usage that
N 6.			may predict high charges or indicate
4			possible malfeasance
			Unauthorized access attempts
			Upon alerts, there are problem escalation
			procedures  MDM provides suitable real time deabhoards and
			<ul> <li>MDM provides suitable real-time dashboards and regular management reports for IT to maintain tight</li> </ul>
			control over the MDM population:
			MDM provides automatic alerts to system
			administrators of noncompliant events by
			email or text message

		IT Findings and Recommendations - I	MODERATE Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
			Rule engine exists for IT to define policies and non-compliant events     Suitable management metrics about BYOD deployment, security and compliance are generated
		BYODs are allowed with partial network changes.	Wireless:
		Network changes have taken place; however, level 3 controls are missing	IT needs to be aware and trained in the different forms of wireless communication (Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, Cellular and VNP), and decide the method to allow or restrict network connectivity to organization's information.  VPN:  IT setup of Virtual Private Networks to protect the data by creating an encrypted tunnel for data in transmission over unprotected networks.  Cellular:  Network connectivity should be allowed only for BYODs with LTE (or above) capabilities  Wi-Fi:
2.10 Network	2		W1-F1: IT needs to ensure that the latest IEEE 802.11i standards are implemented when providing Wi-Fi connectivity in their organizations Bluetooth: This is a technology that uses short-range communications, and their current standards are subject to attacks This type of connectivity should not be allowed when accessing the organization's network Network Monitoring Tools:
			IT needs to ensure that network protection includes the always- on network monitoring tools such as Intrusion Detection & Prevention, Next-Generation Firewalls, separation of VLANs Bandwidth/Network Up-time/Storage:
			Upgrade network to handle three times more than current capacity as well as ensure that the network uptime considers access from users working at all times of the day Ensure adequate wireless bandwidth is available in order to provide adequate response time to employees' tasks VLANs:
			Mobile access must be isolated via the implementation of separate VLANs outside the corporate network  Firewalls, IDS and IPS systems present  The Servers that control mobile devices need to be behind the organization's firewalls and IDS/IPS systems
2.11 Virtualization	1	IT is considering virtualization options	IT has implemented virtualization (i.e. in the form of sandbox or other methods) in order to achieve space isolation
2.12 Third Party		IT verifies third-party compliance but some Level 3 controls are missing	Organization does not allow Third-Party's BYOD

		IT Findings and Recommendations - M	IODERATE Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
2.13 Access Control	2	IT has in place access control procedures, but	IT has access control procedure with respect to BYOD in order to:
	2	controls as per Level 3 are missing	Prevent unauthorized user access to operating systems     Prevent unauthorized access to information held in application systems     Ensure information security when using teleworking facilities
2.14 Mobile Application Mgmt.	2		IT has in place procedures for BYOD with respect to the following:
2.15 Anti- Malware		IT has in-place procedures for BYOD with respect to anti-malware installation in BYOD.	The controls at the optimal level are met.
2.16 Corporate Data Protection	2	CIA of information is considered, and secure channels have been established, but encryption of data at rest and in transit is not implemented.	The organization 1) considers the CIA of the information, 2) ensures secure channels, and 3) has implemented encryption of organization's information in transit and at rest.
2.17 Mobile Device Security Mgmt.	2	The organization has implemented a mobile device security mgmt. process, but controls as per level 3 are missing.	The organization has a mobile device security management process in place, and the following is being implemented:  • Profile management  • Device detection  • Monitoring and tracking  • Remote wipe  • Detect malware  • Data encryption  • Remote device lock
2.18 Separation of Data			The organization has a process in place to ensure separation of personal from corporate data.
2.19 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.	2	The organization has implemented a content management system but controls as per level 3 are missing.	The organization has a content management system in place and it controls access to corporate documents, secure content storage, synchronize content, encrypts content container, and provides reporting/analysis.  • Access to corporate documents  • Secure content storage  • Synchronize content  • Encrypts content container  • Provides reporting/analysis

	IT Findings and Recommendations - MODERATE Security Scenario				
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations		
2.20 Cloud Access	3	The organization has implemented security measures with respect to BYODs accessing storage resources outside of the control of the organization.	The controls at the optimal level are met.		
2.21 Resource Consumption	3	The organization has considered the amount of mobile device resources required when implementing monitoring or configuration options that may diminish the BYOD's availability, and proper measures are in place.	The controls at the optimal level are met.		

 $Table\ B.3\ Findings\ and\ Recommendations\ for\ User\ Domain-MODERATE\ Security\ Scenario$ 

		User's Findings and Recommendations - MOI	DERATE Security Scenario
Security	Security	Findings	Recommendations
Control	Level	rindings	Recommendations
3.1 Compliance		Users sign a BYOD policy where they adhere to the organization's directives with respect to BYOD.	The controls at the optimal level are met.
3.2 Education	3	The user is required to attend initial and subsequent BYOD awareness orientation/education where mutual responsibilities are discussed	The controls at the optimal level are met.
3.3 Policies		MAUP are in-place and require signature but some Level 3 controls are missing.	MAUP is in-place and the following is required:      User signs MAUP prior to connection     User signs MAUP on annual basis     User adheres to penalties     User adheres to disciplinary actions     User adheres to exit procedures
3.4 Cloud Access		Users follow organizational procedures when accessing resources outside the control of the organization	The controls at the ontimal level are met
3.5 Resource Consumption		Users are made aware of the possible device resource consumption depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, and this is clearly state in the MAUP. The following needs to be clearly stated:  Battery consumption on the user's device may be affected  Memory and storage utilization may be affected	The controls at the optimal level are met.
3.6 User Privacy & Data Protection	2	The MAUP states the organization's position with respect to privacy, but some Level 3 controls are	The organization's position with respect to the privacy of the data in the device is clearly stated in the MAUP and explained to the in the awareness program. Depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, the following may be present:  Personal data may be visible to the corporation

User's Findings and Recommendations - MODERATE Security Scenario				
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations	
			Personal and corporate data may comingle	

Table B.4 Findings and Recommendations for Mobile Device Domain – MODERATE Security Scenario

Mobile Device Findings and Recommendations - MODERATE Security Scenario				
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations	
4.1 Access Control	2	Mobile Device access control is considered and implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing	The following access control security controls are implemented:  Permission-based access controls for access to the organization's networks and data based on need-to-know  Role-based policy for user access  Separate accounts for administrators (one for administrator work, and one for other purposes)  Administrator privileges granted to administrators only  Limits put on each user that have access to the application  Users privileges based on need-to-know  Permissions periodically reviewed to include super users  Process for checking inactive and terminated users  Revocation period process  Strong password policy. Suggested criteria:  Minimum of 9 characters  Include one upper case alphabetic character  Include one lower case alphabetic character  Include one special character  Include one numeric character  Expires after 60 days  Different than the previous 10 passwords  Changeable by the administrator at any time  Changeable by user only once in a 24-hour period  No shared accounts are permitted	
4.2 Mobile Application Mgmt.	2	Application security is considered and implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing	The following application security controls are implemented:  • Inventory of organization's and third-party apps and revision levels  • Distribution whitelist and blacklists  • Over-the-air (OTA) distribution of software (apps, patches, updates) and policy changes  • Activate or deactivate specific apps	

Mobile Device Findings and Recommendations - MODERATE Security Scenario			
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
			<ul> <li>Private 'app store' for security distribution of organization's apps</li> <li>Access to the enterprise's app store is restricted to BYOD devices owned by employees.</li> <li>All apps in the store are digitally signed by the enterprise.</li> <li>The supported BYOD platforms all check the validity of the apps' digital signatures before the apps are permitted to execute on the device</li> <li>Reporting of applications procedures exist</li> <li>Backup process in place</li> </ul>
4.3 Anti- Malware	3	Anti-malware is installed and active in mobile device	The controls at the optimal level are met.
4.4 Corporate Data Protection	2	Corporate data protection is considered and implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing	The following corporate data controls are implemented:
4.5 Device Security Mgmt	2	Device security is being implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing	There is mobile device mgmt. (MDM) process in place The following device security issues are implemented:

	Mobile Device Findings and Recommendations - MODERATE Security Scenario			
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations	
Control	Deva		Required security software is active and current for:	
4.6 Separation of Data	1	Separation of corporate and personal data has been considered but there is no implementation	(IDS/IPS)  Space isolation is considered and one of the following is being implemented:  • Separation of corporate and personal data on device  • True space isolation: corporate data does not reside in device	
4.7 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.	0	The mobile device does not have a process in place to protect the data itself through access control to various forms of corporate data (documents, files, database, etc.)	<ul> <li>Secure content storage</li> <li>Synchronize content</li> <li>Encrypts content container</li> <li>Provides reporting/analysis</li> </ul>	
4.8 Cloud Access	0	The mobile device is allowed to access resources outside of the control of the organization	The mobile device has security measures with respect to access of storage resources outside of the control of the organization.	
4.9 Resource Consumption	3	The amount of mobile device resource required is negligible	The controls at the optimal level are met.	

## **APPENDIX C**

## Findings and Recommendations - HIGH Security Scenario - All Domains

Table C.1 Findings and Recommendations for Management Domain – HIGH Security Scenario

		Management Findings and Recommendations - HIG	GH Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
nt 1.1 Governance	3	Executive mgmt. must:	The controls at the optimal level are met.
1.2 Risk Management		implementation:      With the involvement and approval of C-level and Board of Directors      Acceptable risks levels are approved      Subsequent risks assessments are performed      Acceptable risks levels are approved	The controls at the optimal level are met.
1.3 Education	3	BoD and Upper Mgmt. approve initial and follow-up training and awareness programs as follows:	The controls at the optimal level are met.
1.4 Legal Issues	2	Legal counsel involved but Level 3 controls are missing	There are legal aspects organizations need to consider when adopting BYODs, and these must require the advice of legal counsel in order to ensure policy and terms will hold in a court of law. Legal counsel must:
1.5 Help Desk	3	Studies show that having the availability of a support team increases employees' efficacy. A Helpdesk must:  • Be approved at the Upper Mgmt. level	The controls at the optimal level are met.

	T	Management Findings and Recommendations - HI	GH Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
		Be signed-off by the BoD for BYOD	
		support	
		Have resources allocated	DVOD 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1.6 Policies	2		BYOD policies need to clearly state all the objectives and constraints related to the usage of the mobile device. The policies should be straightforward and easy to follow. The policies must include the following:  Policy Approval:  All policies need to be approved at both C-level and BoD.  BYOD policies need to be part of the organization's Information Security Program  A mobile device acceptable user policy (MAUP) needs to be defined and approved.  Policy Scope. The policy needs to cover issues related to:  Securing Mobile Devices  Encryption and Passwords  Data sensitivity/categorization  Antivirus protection  Wireless access  Security breach incident & its response  Remote working  Privacy issues  Policy Signatures. The MAUP policies need to be signed by:
			The organization's BYOD employees  Third Party Vendors Contractors and consultants  Policy Exemption Procedures need to: Be defined Be individually approved Have a time limit Be periodically reviewed  Policy for Third Parties and Contractors/Consultants need to: Be individually approved State compliance requirements Include procedures Include limitations  Policy disciplinary actions need to: Be defined Violations need to be included in the Code of Conduct Sanctions and penalties be clearly identified

	Management Findings and Recommendations - HIGH Security Scenario			
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations	
			The Mobile Acceptable Use Policy is the employee's agreement with the terms and use of their BYODs in accordance to the organization's policy. The employee must adhere to the organization's MAUP.	
1.7 Compliance	3	HR is fully involved. The involvement of the organization's HR is necessary in order to hold the organization and the employees accountable and ensure compliance. HR must:  • Be responsible for signatures:  • Initial employee signature  • Initial third-party or consultant signatures	The controls at the optimal level are met.	
1.8 Employee Behavior	3	HR is fully involved. There are procedures in place to handle employee's behavior and attitude The involvement of the organization's HR is necessary in order to hold the employees accountable for their behavior and attitude towards BYOD.	The controls at the optimal level are met.	
1.9 BYOD Program	3	A BYOD program is in place	The controls at the optimal level are met.	
1.10 Security Management	3	Management is fully aware and engaged in security management associated with BYOD. This involves clear understanding and support of the processes required to protect computer and network systems. This includes prevention, detection, investigation and resolution of security problems directly associated with the adoption of BYOD.		
1.11 IT Consumerization	3	Management is fully aware of trends and modalities of new technologies that are easily and readily accepted	The controls at the optimal level are met.	

Table C.2 Findings and Recommendations for IT Domain – HIGH Security Scenario

IT Findings and Recommendations - HIGH Security Scenario			
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
2.1 BYOD Program	3	IT is to be involved in a BYOD program, and the program needs to be in place	The controls at the optimal level are met.
2.2 Risk Management	3	IT is fully involved in the Risk Assessment process.  Based on the risk assessment authorized and performed by management, IT needs to:  Be an integral part of the initial risk analysis process  Analyze the technical aspects of the accepted risks levels  Implement safeguards in order to mitigate accepted risks  Follow-up with subsequent risk assessments.	The controls at the optimal level are met.
2.3 Security Management	3	IT is involved in BYOD-related computer & network security by:	The controls at the optimal level are met.
2.5 IT Consumer 2.4 Help Desk ization	3	Necessary IT help desk support for BYOD is in place. The help desk needs to:  Have IT support  Have escalation procedures in place  Have reporting procedures in place	The controls at the optimal level are met.
2.5 IT Consumer ization	3	IT is aware and prepared with respect to emerging technologies, trends and modalities associated with BYOD, and maintains Management aware of this information.	The controls at the optimal level are met.
2.6 Education	2	Training and Awareness controls are in place but Level 3 controls are missing.	Training and Awareness controls are in place. The IT department must ensure the following:  • IT's personnel is aware of BYOD-related security issues  • IT personnel is trained with respect to BYOD security  • IT is involved in the organization's BYOD users training and awareness program  • Training and awareness program should include the following topics:  • Protect data on device using encryption  • Review and understand application permissions  • Passcode or password protect the device

		IT Findings and Recommendations - HIG	H Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
Control	Level	Findings	Do not jailbreak or root the device     Avoid unknown wireless networks     Use VPN over Wi-Fi     When using configurable Wi-Fi, use 20+ characters passphrases with WPA     Perform timely software updates     Do not install illegal or unauthorized software     Use VPN over Wi-Fi      When using configurable Wi-Fi, use 20+ characters passphrases with WPA      Perform timely software     updates     Do not install illegal or unauthorized software      Do not install software from untrustworthy markets     Backup data     Avoid clicking unknown links     Setup remote data wipe if the device is lost or stolen     Avoid storing usernames and passwords on the device or in the browser  IT is fully involved in BYOD policy definition. IT
2.7 Policies	2	Policies controls are in place but Level 3 controls are missing.	Revise BYOD-related policies to ensure technical aspects are correct.     Before connecting the mobile device     Confirm the employee has signed policies/agreements.     If third-party connectivity is required, confirm that third-party has signed policies.      If there are policy exemptions, IT needs to be aware of exemptions.     Ensure the MAUP lines up with the Network Security Policy.
2.8 Best Practices	3	IT is aware and follows BYOD-related activities that have been shown successful.	The controls at the optimal level are met.
2.9 Monitoring and Reporting	3	IT has monitoring and reporting processes in place with respect to BYOD. This includes monitoring of the networks that allow BYOD and sharing the reports with Management.  The following reporting, monitoring and alerts functions are implemented:  • Secure logs and audit trails of all sensitive BYOD activities  • IT support staff is able to query the MDM database for events of a security and compliance nature  • Automatic reports & monitoring & Alerts are generated for the following:	The controls at the optimal level are met.

		IT Findings and Recommendations - HIG	H Security Scenario
<b>Security Control</b>	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
		Devices jailbroken or rooted	
		Devices that have not	
		checked in for a certain time	
		Devices with non-supported	
		OS or Hardware	
		<ul> <li>Devices with blacklisted</li> </ul>	
		apps	
		Devices with excessive data	
		usage that may predict high	
		charges or indicate possible	
		malfeasance	
		Unauthorized access attempts	5
		Upon alerts, there are	
		problem escalation	
		procedures	
		MDM provides suitable real-time dashboards and	
		regular management reports for IT to maintain tight	
		control over the MDM population:	-
		MDM provides automatic alerts to	
		system administrators of noncompliant	
		events by email or text message	-
		Rule engine exists for IT to define  policies and non-compliant events.	
		policies and non-compliant events	-
		Suitable management metrics about BYOD deployment, security and compliance are generated	
		BYODs are allowed with partial network changes.	All necessary network changes are implemented. BYODs are an extension to the organization's network; therefore, they need to be secured in order to protect it. The following network connectivity-related controls need to be considered:
		Network changes have taken place; however, level 3 controls are missing	Wireless:
2.10 Network			IT needs to be aware and trained in the different forms of wireless communication (Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, Cellular and VNP), and decide the method to allow or restrict network connectivity to organization's information.
			VPN: IT setup of Virtual Private Networks to protect the data by creating an encrypted tunnel for data in transmission over unprotected networks.
~			Cellular:
			Network connectivity should be allowed only for BYODs with LTE (or above) capabilities
			Wi-Fi: IT needs to ensure that the latest IEEE 802.11i standards are implemented when providing Wi-Fi connectivity in their organizations
			Bluetooth:
			This is a technology that uses short-range communications, and their current standards are subject to attacks This type of connectivity should

		IT Findings and Recommendations - HIG	H Security Scenario
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations
			not be allowed when accessing the organization's network
			Network Monitoring Tools:  IT needs to ensure that network protection includes the always-on network monitoring tools such as Intrusion Detection & Prevention, Next-Generation Firewalls, separation of VLANs  Bandwidth/Network Up-time/Storage: Upgrade network to handle three times more than current capacity as well as ensure that the network uptime considers access from users working at all times of the day  Ensure adequate wireless bandwidth is available in order to provide adequate response time to employees' tasks  VLANs:  Mobile access must be isolated via the implementation of separate VLANs outside the corporate network  Firewalls, IDS and IPS systems present  The Servers that control mobile devices need to be behind the organization's firewalls and IDS/IPS
2.11 Virtualization	2	IT is considering virtualization options	systems  IT has implemented virtualization (i.e. in the form of sandbox or other methods) in order to achieve space isolation
2.12 Third Party	3	Organization does not allow Third-Party's BYOD	The controls at the optimal level are met.
2.13 Access Control		IT has access control procedure with respect to BYOD in order to:	The controls at the optimal level are met.
2.14 Mobile Application Mgmt.		IT has in place procedures for BYOD with respect to the following:  • Anti-malware  • Blacklisting /Whitelisting  • Distribution of applications  • Reporting of applications	The controls at the optimal level are met.

	IT Findings and Recommendations - HIGH Security Scenario			
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations	
		Update and backup		
2.15 Anti- Malware		IT has in-place procedures for BYOD with respect to anti-malware installation in BYOD.	The controls at the optimal level are met.	
2.16 Corporate 2.15 Anti- Data Protection Malware	3	The organization 1) considers the CIA of the information, 2) ensures secure channels, and 3) has implemented encryption of organization's information in transit and at rest.	The controls at the optimal level are met.	
2.17 Mobile Device Security 2.16 Corporate 2.15 Anti-Data Protection Malware		The organization has implemented a mobile device security mgmt. process, but controls as per level 3 are missing.	The organization has a mobile device security management process in place, and the following is being implemented:  • Profile management  • Device detection  • Monitoring and tracking  • Remote wipe  • Detect malware  • Data encryption  • Remote device lock	
2.18 Separation of Data	3	The organization has a process in place to ensure separation of personal from corporate data.	The controls at the optimal level are met.	
2.19 Mobile Device Content Mgmt.	2	The organization has implemented a content management system but controls as per level 3 are missing.	The organization has a content management system in place and it controls access to corporate documents, secure content storage, synchronize content, encrypts content container, and provides reporting/analysis.  • Access to corporate documents  • Secure content storage  • Synchronize content  • Encrypts content container  • Provides reporting/analysis	
2.20 Cloud Access		The organization has implemented security measures with respect to BYODs accessing storage resources outside of the control of the organization.	The controls at the optimal level are met.	
2.21 Resource Consumption	3	The organization has considered the amount of mobile device resources required when implementing monitoring or configuration options that may diminish the BYOD's availability, and proper measures are in place.		

Table C.3 Findings and Recommendations for User Domain – HIGH Security Scenario

	USER Findings and Recommendations - HIGH Security Scenario			
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations	
3.1 Compliance	3	Users sign a BYOD policy where they adhere to the organization's directives with respect to BYOD.	The controls at the optimal level are met.	
3.2 Education		The user is required to attend initial and subsequent BYOD awareness orientation/education where mutual responsibilities are discussed	The controls at the optimal level are met.	
3.3 Policies	3	MAUP is in-place and the following are required:      User signs MAUP prior to connection     User signs MAUP on annual basis     User adheres to penalties     User adheres to disciplinary actions     User adheres to exit procedures	The controls at the optimal level are met.	
3.4 Cloud Access	3	Users follow organizational procedures when accessing resources outside the control of the organization	The controls at the optimal level are met.	
3.5 Resource Consumption	1	Users are made aware of the possible device resource	Users are made aware of the possible device resource consumption depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, and this is clearly state in the MAUP. The following needs to be clearly stated:  Battery consumption on the user's device may be affected  Memory and storage utilization may be affected	
3.6 User Privacy & Data Protection	3	The organization's position with respect to the privacy of the data in the device is clearly stated in the MAUP and explained to the in the awareness program. Depending on the mobile device solution adopted by the organization, the following may be present:  • Personal data may be visible to the corporation  • Personal data may be visible to the corporation	The controls at the optimal level are met.	

Table C.4 Findings and Recommendations for Mobile Device Domain – HIGH Security Scenario

Mobile Device Findings and Recommendations - HIGH Security Scenario			
SecuritySecurity Control Level Findings		Findings	Recommendations
4.1 Acce SS	2		The following access control security controls are implemented:

g •, 1	n •	Mobile Device Findings and Recommenda	tions - HIGH Security Scenario
Security Control		Findings	Recommendations
Control		Mobile Device access control is considered and implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing	Recommendations  Permission-based access controls for access to the organization's networks and data based on need-to-know  Role-based policy for user access Separate accounts for administrators (one for administrator work, and one for other purposes) Administrator privileges granted to administrators only Limits put on each user that have access to the application Users privileges based on need-to-know Permissions periodically reviewed to include super users Process for checking inactive and terminated users Revocation period process Strong password policy. Suggested criteria: Minimum of 9 characters Include one upper case alphabetic character Include one lower case alphabetic character Include one special character Include one numeric character Include one numeric character Expires after 60 days Different than the previous 10 passwords Changeable by the administrator at any time
			Changeable by user only once in a 24- hour period
			No shared accounts are permitted
4.2 Mobile Application Mgmt.	3	The following application security controls are implemented:  Inventory of organization's and third-party apps and revision levels  Distribution whitelist and blacklists  Over-the-air (OTA) distribution of software (apps, patches, updates) and policy changes  Activate or deactivate specific apps  Private 'app store' for security distribution of organization's apps  Access to the enterprise's app store is restricted to BYOD devices owned by employees.  All apps in the store are digitally signed by the enterprise.  The supported BYOD platforms all check	The controls at the optimal level are met.

	Mobile Device Findings and Recommendations - HIGH Security Scenario							
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations					
4.3 Anti- Malware	3	before the apps are permitted to execute on the device  Reporting of applications procedures exist Backup process in place  Anti-malware is installed and active in mobile device	The controls at the optimal level are met.					
4.4 Corporate Data Protection	3	The following corporate data controls are implemented:	The controls at the optimal level are met.					
4.5 Device Security Mgmt.		Device security is being implemented; however, some level 3 controls are missing	There is mobile device mgmt. (MDM) process in place The following device security issues are implemented:  • Secure portal for BYOD users to enroll & provision devices  • Inventory devices, operating systems, patch levels  • Postpone automatic updates from Internet service providers (ISPs), e.g., in cases where an automatic OS update may cause critical apps to fail  • Capability to locate and map lost phones for recovery  • Backup and restore BYOD device data  • Send text messages to one or a group of selected devices with troubleshooting instructions  • Perform remote device diagnostics for a wide range of BYOD devices  • Remotely view a device's screen and take screen shots to assist with troubleshooting  • Take remote control of a device for troubleshooting  • Upon connection to organization's network, the following is automatically checked:  • Patch level for OS and apps  • Required security software is active and current for:  • Antivirus  • Firewall					

Mobile Device Findings and Recommendations - HIGH Security Scenario							
Security Control	Security Level	Findings	Recommendations				
			Full-disk encryption     Device is not jailbroken (Apple) or				
			rooted (Android)				
			Presence of unapproved devices				
			Presence of blacklisted apps				
			If any of the above checks fail, the MDM can				
			automatically update the device or disallow access				
			MDM servers are behind organization's firewalls and intrusion detection systems/intrusion				
J			prevention systems (IDS/IPS)				
ion o		Space isolation is considered and one of the following is being implemented:	The controls at the optimal level are met.				
4.7 Mobile Device 4.6 Separation of Content Mgmt.		<ul> <li>Separation of corporate and personal data on device</li> </ul>					
		True space isolation: corporate data does not reside in device					
vice 4 nt.	3	The mobile device has a process to manage content and it controls the following:					
. De Mg		Access to corporate documents					
bile int ]		Secure content storage	The controls at the optimal level are met.				
.7 Mobile Devic Content Mgmt.		Synchronize content					
C <sub>2</sub>		• Encrypts content container	-				
		Provides reporting/analysis  The mobile device has security measures with					
4.8 Cloud Access	3	respect to access of storage resources outside of the control of the organization.	The controls at the optimal level are met.				
4.9 Resource Consumption	3	The amount of mobile device resource required is negligible	The controls at the optimal level are met.				