# Heat Diffusion-Induced Gradient Energy Level in Multishell Bisulfides for Highly Efficient Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production

Bilin Wang, Shaohui Guo, Xu Xin, Youzi Zhang, Yijin Wang, Chenghua Li, Yaru Song, Dongshan Deng, Xuanhua Li,\* Ana Jorge Sobrido, and Maria-Magdalena Titirici

Insufficient light absorption and low carrier separation/transfer efficiency constitute two key issues that hinder the development of efficient photocatalytic hydrogen production. Here, multishell ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> bisulfide microspheres with gradient distribution of Zn based on the heat diffusion theory are designed. The Zn distribution can be adjusted by regulating the heating rate and manipulating the diffusion coefficients of the different elements conforming the multishell photocatalyst. Because of the unique structure, a gradient energy level is created from the core to the exterior of the multishell microspheres, which effectively facilitates the exciton separation and electron transfer. In addition, stronger light absorption and larger specific surface area have been achieved in the multishell ZnS/CoS2 photocatalysts. As a result, the multishell ZnS/CoS2 microspheres with gradient distribution of Zn exhibit a remarkable hydrogen production rate of 8001 µmol g-1 h-1, which is 3.5 times higher than that of the normal multishell ZnS/CoS2 particles with well-distributed Zn and 11.3 times higher than that of the mixed nonshell ZnS and CoS2 particles. This work demonstrates for the first time that controlling the diffusion rate of the different elements in the semiconductor is an effective route to simultaneously regulate morphology and structure to design highly efficient photocatalysts.

# 1. Introduction

Hydrogen production via photocatalytic water splitting is known to be one of the most prospective and effective methods to battle the current global energy and environmental crisis.[1–8] However, to date, the best performing photocatalysts still suffer from relatively low solar-to-hydrogen conversion, to levels that lie far below the demand for practical applications.[6,8–11] The low efficiency of photocatalytic water splitting is attributed to three main reasons: i) the low utilization of sunlight, ii) the poor efficiency of

separation and transfer of photogenerated carriers, and iii) sluggish kinetics of the hydrogen evolution reaction.[8,9,12–16] Thereby, the design and construction of a photocatalyst to broaden the visible light harvesting and accelerate separation/transfer efficiency of charges and reaction kinetics for water reduction are highly desirable.

The activity of a photocatalyst is greatly dependent on the design methodology. A rational design can lead to a photocatalyst that exhibits efficient light absorption, facilitates charge separation and transport, and accelerates surface reactions.[17-21] Morphology design and composition control are the two main strategies employed for catalyst design. So far, a favorable library of morphological structures has been devised in semiconductor photocatalysts, containing 0D quantum dots,[22,23] 1D nanowires/nanorods,[8,24,25] 2D nanosheets,[1,12,13] 3D hollow nanostructures,[21,26] and heterostructures.[5,6,17] Among them, precisely designed hollow multishell structures possess attractive characteristics for photocatalysis, including efficient light harvesting, improved charge transfer, and high specific surface area.[17,21,27-32] Single/multishell hollow spheres,[18,33,34] hollow cubes,[35-37] egg yolk shells,[27,36,38] and other shaped hollow spheres have been reported.[19,28,39-41] In general, the preparation and synthesis of multishell structured photocatalysts can be divided into three methods according to the template used: hard templating, soft templating, and self-templating method.[17,21,26,30,34,40,42-44] Hard and soft templating methods generally use rigid materials and micelles as templates. However, these methods usually suffer from complex template removing procedures, nonuniform coatings of particles, and difficulty in selecting templates that are compatible with the targeted material.[32-34,42,45] In self-templating preparation strategies, amorphous coordination polymers can be used as synthetic precursors to produce highly complex metal oxide shells by adjusting the composition, offering a clear advantage compared to other templating methods.[18,21,33,46]

The complex multishell hollow materials with heterogeneous structures are expected to provide unique properties and functions because they retain the advantages of a single component while offering synergetic effects between the different components.[28,29,35,43,47] However, the major synthetic obstacle comes from the difficulty in accurately controlling the formation of multiple shells at the nanoscale.[35,48] The precise synthesis of multishell nanostructures with two different compounds has remained yet an experimental challenge.

Attention should be also paid to the chemical composition of photocatalysts.[21,26,49] By controlling the chemical composition of the catalyst, the energy band structure can be finely tuned to promote charge separation and transfer.[26,30,31,49,50] Numerous efforts have been dedicated to achieving energy level regulation through doping,[51–54] surface treatment,[55,56] constructing defect,[49,51] and designing heterojunctions,[57,58] and homojunctions.[50,56] Among them, building a gradient energy level inside the photocatalyst constitutes a very efficient way to achieve higher exciton separation efficiency.[24,50,54,56,59] However, current methods require the addition of external dopants, which can lead to the creation of recombination centers, hence decreasing the carrier mobility and lifetime.[51,52] Therefore, a new method that can produce a gradient energy level by just adjusting the elemental composition of the photocatalyst without the addition of external components would be highly desirable.

Here, by simultaneously considering morphology control and energy band structure design, we take advantage of their cooperative effects to design a multishell ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> bisulfide photocatalyst with gradient distribution of Zn based on the heat diffusion theory (**Figure 1**). The element distribution from the core to the outside surface can be adjusted by regulating the heating rate and manipulating the diffusion coefficients of the different elements. The gradient distribution of Zn forms also a gradient of energy levels within the multishell layer, which facilitates the separation and transfer of photogenerated carriers. In addition, the new multishell photocatalyst exhibits stronger light absorption and a higher specific surface area. As a result, a high photocatalytic hydrogen evolution rate of 8001 µmol g-1 h-1 has been achieved.

#### 2. Results and Discussion

The multishell ZnS–CoS2 microspheres have been prepared by a two-step method (details see Figure 1 and the Experimental Section). First, the Zn–Co coordination polymer microspheres are produced by a hydrothermal method (Figure S1, Supporting Information), and their amorphous nature is revealed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Figure S2, Supporting Information). Then, the Zn–Co coordination polymer microspheres are calcined in a muffle furnace under air at 500 °C with heating rates 1, 4, and °C min-1, to obtain slow-heating multishell ZnCo2O4 (termed as S-ZnCoO), medium-heating multishell ZnCo2O4 (termed as M-ZnCoO), and fast-heating multishell ZnCo2O4 (termed as F-ZnCoO), respectively. The morphology, element distribution, crystal structure of the multishell ZnCo2O4 have been confirmed as shown in Figures S3–S7 (Supporting Information). In all three multishell materials, a distribution gradient of Zn from the core to the outermost layer is achieved, this being more prominent in the fast-heating sample, F-ZnCoO (Figure S4, Supporting Information). The multishell ZnS/CoS2 microspheres are obtained by vulcanizing the multishell ZnCo2O4 microspheres, S-ZnCoO, M-ZnCoO, and F-ZnCoO, with sulfur powder in a tubular furnace under argon atmosphere. The corresponding multishell ZnS/CoS2 microspheres are named as S-ZnS/CoS2, M-ZnS/ CoS2, and F-ZnS/CoS2. During the vulcanization process, the gradient distribution of Zn remains unaltered in all samples.

**Figure 2**; and Figure S8 (Supporting Information) show the transmission electron microscope (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the obtained multishell ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> microspheres. The size of the microspheres is about 2 μm, similar with that of the multishell ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The TEM images confirm that the ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> microspheres exhibit a multishell structure with 5–7 layers per microsphere. The HRTEM images show lattice fringes with a *d*-spacing of 0.278 nm, attributed to the (200) lattice plane of CoS<sub>2</sub> and a lattice spacing of 0.312 nm assigned to the (001) plane of ZnS in the ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> microspheres. [60,61] The XRD patterns and Raman spectra also confirm the fact that the multishell microspheres are composed of the two sulfides, ZnS and CoS<sub>2</sub> after the sulfidation process of the multishell ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> microspheres (Figures S9 and S10, Supporting Information). Energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping analysis is conducted to estimate the composition distribution of the multishell ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, are consisted of Zn, Co, and S elements, without the presence of any impurities. In the case of the S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> sample, the three elements Zn, Co, and S are uniformly distributed, while multiple shells can be clearly identified. When the heating rate is

increased to 4 °C min-1 (corresponding to the M-ZnS/CoS2 sample), both Co and S maintain a uniform distribution, whereas the content of Zn shows a slight tendency to gradually decrease toward the outer layers of the microspheres. The sample with the fastest heating treatment of 7 °C min-1 (i.e., F-ZnS/CoS2) displays the most obvious gradient distribution of Zn across all the multishell ZnS/CoS2 prepared samples. Furthermore, the EDS line scanning profiles demonstrate that the responses of Co and S fluctuate uniformly within the shell structure, proving the formation of a multishell structure. The Zn content in the F-ZnS/CoS2 sample decreased sharply from the core of the multishell microspheres to the outer layer, consistent with the EDS mapping analysis.

The multishell oxides are formed by the heterogeneous shrinkage during the thermal treatment of Zn– Co coordination polymer spheres.[18,21,26,30,33,40] The large temperature gradient along the radial direction of the Zn–Co coordination polymer sphere results in a transition from an amorphous Zn–Co coordination polymer sphere to the oxide layer on the exterior of the Zn–Co coordination polymer sphere. As the heating continues, the shrinking process progresses, and eventually multishell oxide particles are formed. The thermal diffusion during the solidification process has a significant effect on the chemical composition distribution.[25,62,63] According to the diffusion formula

$$D = D_0 exp(-Q/RT) \tag{1}$$

where *D* is the diffusion coefficient, *D*<sub>0</sub> is the frequency factor, *Q* is the diffusion activation energy, *R* is the gas constant, and *T* is the heat treatment temperature.<sup>[64]</sup> The heat treatment temperature and the diffusion activation energy substantially determine the value of the diffusion coefficient. On one hand, the higher temperature, the larger the diffusion coefficient values. In our current work, for the sample calcined using the fastest heating rate, it means that a higher heat treatment temperature is applied within the same heating time. Thus, a quicker diffusion process takes place. On the other hand, the larger diffusion activation energy, the smaller the diffusion coefficient. The high melting point of the elements in the compound indicates a strong bonding between atoms, meaning that a larger diffusion activation energy is required, and a smaller diffusion coefficient value is obtained. The melting point of Co is 1495 °C higher than that of Zn (420 °C), so the diffusion coefficient of Zn is higher than that of Co at the same temperature. Particularly, a higher heating rate (e.g., 7 °C min-1) accelerates the difference between the diffusion coefficients of Zn and Co elements. As a result, an obvious gradient distribution of Zn is created in the multishell ZnCo2O4 microspheres.

To understand the effect of the gradient distribution of Zn on the internal energy band structure of the multishell ZnS/ CoS<sub>2</sub> samples, we perform the ultraviolet photoelectron spectra (UPS) in depth by Ar<sub>+</sub> etching. **Figure 3**a illustrates that the Ar<sub>+</sub> etching process from the surface (0  $\mu$ m) to the core of the F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> sample (0.8  $\mu$ m). We collect the UPS depth profile spectra of the sample when the etching depth are 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8  $\mu$ m, respectively (Figure S11, Supporting Information). The cut-off regions of UPS spectra at different etch depths are shown in Figure 3b. The *E*cutoff (*E*cutoff is the energy at which the secondary photoemission begins) of the F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> sample before etching is 16.9 V. After

the Ar+ beam etching, the *E*<sub>cutoff</sub> at the etching depths of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8  $\mu$ m are 17.5, 18.3, 18.9, and 19.1 eV, respectively. The position of the Fermi level (*E*) is determined by formula (2)[65]

#### $E_{\rm f}$ = 21.22eV - $E_{\rm cutoff}$

(2)

The calculated *E* is 4.32, 3.95, 3.69, 3.41, and 3.17 eV, relative to (the vacuum level) *E*vac, at different etching depths from surface to core of the F-ZnS/CoS2 sample, respectively. The energy band structure of each shell is the overall performance of the energy level positions of individual ZnS and CoS2 in the shells. The results show that the Fermi level gradually decreases from the inner core to the surface of the multishell F-ZnS/CoS2 sample (Figure 3c). This indicates that the gradient distribution of Zn helps to form a gradient Fermi level inside the multishell. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) has been performed to analyze the surface chemical composition and electronic states of the multishell ZnS/CoS2 samples (Figure S12, Supporting Information). The Zn 2p peak becomes weaker and the binding energy shifts negatively as the heating rate increases, indicating that the Zn content on the surface of the sample decreases with increasing heating rate, [52,65] which is consistent with the EDS and UPS results.

We also perform transient surface photovoltage (SPV) studies to illustrate the carriers dynamic process of the multishell sulfide samples. This technique can directly demonstrate generation, separation, and recombination behavior of the photogenerated carriers.[1,66–69] The photovoltage intensity is proportional to the excitons separation efficiency: a weaker photovoltage signal indicates a lower carrier separation efficiency.[67,70] The transient SPV spectra of the prepared photocatalysts (**Figure 4**a) show a negative response peak. Photogenerated electrons accumulate on the sample surface and induce a photocharge separation process, which is conducive to the photocatalytic hydrogen production, taking place at the surface of the material. Interestingly, the F-ZnS/CoS2 samples. This suggests that the dissociation efficiency for photoinduced carriers is effectively promoted through constructing gradient energy levels inside the F-ZnS/CoS2 sample. The charge separation efficiency is calculated to be 71.5% for the F-ZnS/CoS2 sample (see the detailed discussion in the Supporting Information).

To further understand the electrical effects, we perform photoluminescence (PL) analysis and electrochemical characterization, which is commonly used to analysis electron transfer and recombination process at the surface of the photocatalysts. As shown in Figure 4b, PL intensity of the F-ZnS/ CoS<sub>2</sub> microspheres is the lowest among the three multishell ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> samples, indicating that the gradient energy level in multishell photocatalyst is favorable for charge transfer, thereby reducing carriers recombination. Figure 4c shows the photocurrent density–time (*I–t*) curves of the F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, M-ZnS/ CoS<sub>2</sub>, and S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> samples in an on-and-off cycle mode under dark and visible-light conditions. The photocurrent of the F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> sample is 11.86 mA cm-<sub>2</sub>, which is 1.7 and 3.6 times higher than that of the M-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> and S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> and S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> samples, respectively. This is due to the more efficient photoexcited electron generation and transfer properties of the F-ZnS/ CoS<sub>2</sub> sample. After four switch-on/off cycles, no decrease in the photocurrent density is observed for the three samples, which

indicates that all photocatalysts possess an excellent recycling stability. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy data show that F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> exhibits the smallest arc radius, indicating that the sample possesses the fastest interface electron transfer ability among the three materials (Figure S13, Supporting Information).

We also study the absorption spectra of the samples (Figure S14, Supporting Information). Compared with the M-ZnS/ CoS2 and S-ZnS/CoS2, the F- ZnS/CoS2 shows the widest and strongest absorption region (extended from 200 to 510 nm). In addition, the three multishell ZnS/CoS2 samples show stronger absorption capacity than the single nonshell ZnS, or CoS<sub>2</sub> particles, or the mixed ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> particles (see morphology in Figure S15, Supporting Information). All optical and electrical characterizations indicate that the F-ZnS/CoS2 sample possesses the best photoelectrical properties among the multishell ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> samples. The quantum efficiency of the photohydrogen conversion is a key parameter for evaluating the photocatalytic reaction, therefore we test the external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra of the S-ZnS/CoS2, M-ZnS/CoS2, and F-ZnS/CoS2 samples under monochromatic illumination from a 100 mW cm-2 Xe lamp. As shown in Figure 4d, the F-ZnS/CoS2 photocatalyst shows good EQE in the range of 200-510 nm and the maximum EQE at 290 nm is about 54.6%, while the maximum EQE shown in M-ZnS/CoS2 and S-ZnS/CoS2 are 39.2% and 21.2%, respectively. EQE results indicate that the F-ZnS/CoS2 photocatalyst has the best photoelectric conversion efficiency, in agreement with the light absorption and photocurrent measurements. In addition, the specific surface areas of the three multishell ZnS/ CoS2 samples have been measured and only slightly differences are observed (Figure S16a,b, Supporting Information). Compared with nonshell ZnS and CoS<sub>2</sub> samples (Figure S16c,d, Supporting Information), the multishell ZnS/CoS2 samples possess a larger specific surface area, which proves that the multishell structure is more conducive to photocatalysis.

We evaluate the photocatalytic activity of the sample in aqueous solution using a Na<sub>2</sub>S–Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> as the hole sacrificial agent under a 100 mW cm-2 Xe arc lamp simulating AM 1.5 irradiation. The photocatalytic reaction is performed for four cycles and each cycle lasted 160 min. As shown in Figure 5a, the yield of hydrogen evolution for S-ZnS/CoS2 is ranged from 6047 µmol g-1 in the first circle to 5600 µmol g-1 during the fourth cycle. The yield of hydrogen evolution for M-ZnS/CoS2 is 13252 µmol g-1 at the first circle, which is about 2.2 times than that of the S-ZnS/CoS2. Meanwhile, the yield of hydrogen evolution for the F-ZnS/CoS2 is 21239 µmol g-1 at the first circle which is about 3.5 times higher than that of S-ZnS/CoS2. In addition, no significant deactivation is observed in four consecutive cycles, which indicate high stability of the photocatalyst. The calculated hydrogen production rate is shown in Figure 5b. The F-ZnS/CoS2 shows the highest hydrogen production rate of 8001 µmol g-1 h-1, which is ≈1.6 times and 3.5 times than that of the M-ZnS/CoS₂ and S- ZnS/CoS₂. To corroborate these results, we also investigated the hydrogen production rate of the single ZnS, CoS2 materials and their mixture. The hydrogen production rate of the single ZnS spheres is 522 µmol g-1 h-1. The single CoS2 spheres show a low hydrogen production rate because of its metallic feature.[71] After mixing ZnS and CoS<sub>2</sub>, the hydrogen production rate reaches 710 µmol g-1 h-1 (Figure S17, Supporting Information). The hydrogen production rate of the F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> is 15.3 folds and 11.3 folds higher than that of the ZnS spheres and mixture of ZnS and CoS2, which proves that the multishell F-ZnS/CoS2 with gradient

energy level, stronger light absorption, and larger specific surface area can greatly improve the hydrogen production performance of photocatalysts.

Considering these results, we propose a possible mechanism to explain the enhanced performance of photocatalytic hydrogen production using the F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> system, as shown in Figure 5c. First, the F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst possesses the ability to absorb more light owing to their unique multishell structure and large specific surface area. Under illumination, the electrons are transferred from the semiconductor ZnS to CoS<sub>2</sub> which is in close contact with ZnS (forming a Schottky junction), hence the photogenerated electrons and holes are rapidly separated. Meanwhile, as a gradient Fermi level is created in the F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, electrons are transferred from the higher Fermi level to lower Fermi level. In other words, electrons transfer smoothly from the core to the outside of the multishell F-ZnS/ CoS<sub>2</sub>, reducing the carrier recombination probability. Finally, the transferred electrons on the photocatalyst surface participate in hydrogen production reaction to improve photocatalytic hydrogen production performance and the photogenerated holes could be consumed by the sacrificial agent.

### 3. Conclusion

In summary, multishell ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> microspheres with gradient distribution of Zn have been designed based on the thermal diffusion theory for the first time. Due to their unique multishell structure, a gradient Fermi level is created from the core to the outside layer, which promotes an efficient carrier separation and electron transfer. In addition, these new multishell photocatalysts exhibit a strong light absorption and large specific surface area. As a result, they show a remarkable performance for hydrogen production reaction, with a hydrogen evolution rate of 8001 µmol g-1 h-1, which is 3.5 times higher than that of the multishell ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> particles without a gradient distribution of Zn and 11.3 holds higher than that of the mixture of nonshell ZnS and CoS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Our work demonstrates that controlling the diffusion rate of the elements present in a semiconductor is an effective route to simultaneously regulate morphology and structure to design efficient photocatalysts.

#### 4. Experimental Section

Synthesis of Photocatalysts—Synthesis of the Multishell ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Microspheres: The multishell ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> microspheres were prepared by a hydrothermal method according to the previous report.[18,44] First, 0.033 mmol of hydrated zinc nitrates Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.067 mmol of hydrated cobalt nitrates Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, and 0.100 mmol of isophthalic acid (H<sub>2</sub>IPA) were dissolved in a mixture of acetone (5 mL) and DMF (5 mL), then stirred vigorously for 6 h to form a homogeneous solution. Subsequently the homogeneous solution was transferred into a 50 mL stainless-steel autoclave lined Teflon and reacted at 160 °C for 4 h. After cooling to room temperature, the obtained product was separated by centrifugation, and washed three times with ethanol and distilled water, then dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C keep 12 h to form Zn–Co coordination polymer spheres. Finally, the dried samples were annealed at 500 °C for 10 min in a muffle furnace under air with heating rates of 1, 4, and 7 °C min-1 to obtain S-ZnCoO, M-ZnCoO, and F-ZnCoO, respectively.

Synthesis of Photocatalysts—Synthesis of Multishell ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> Microspheres: The three multishell ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> microspheres were synthesized through vulcanizing the as-prepared multishell ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> microspheres in a dual zone tube furnace. The multishell ZnCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> microspheres were placed in the high temperature zone of the tube furnace; and sulfur powder was placed in the low temperature zone. The residual oxygen in the furnace was removed by applying a pressure of 250 Pa for 30 min. After that, both low and high temperature zones were heated

from room temperature to 260 °C (heating rate 4 °C min-1) and 500 °C (heating rate 8 °C min-1), respectively. The temperature was kept for 1 h under Ar gas flow rate of 100 s.c.c.m, and subsequently cooled down to ambient temperature under Ar atmosphere. The three multishell oxides of S-ZnCoO, M-ZnCoO, and F-ZnCoO were sulfurized following the vulcanization conditions described above to obtain three multishell bisulfide of S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, m-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, respectively.

Synthesis of Photocatalysts—Synthesis of the ZnS Microspheres: The ZnS microspheres were synthesized by a hydrothermal method as previously reported.<sup>[72]</sup> 0.5 g ZnCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.3 g thiourea were dissolved in 20 mL of deionized water and stirred for 30 min, then transferred into a 50 mL autoclave and reacted at 160 °C for 3 h. The white powder product was collected and washed twice with deionized water and ethanol. The resulting product was dried overnight at 60 °C to obtain the monomer ZnS.

Synthesis of Photocatalysts—Synthesis of the CoS<sub>2</sub> Microspheres: The CoS<sub>2</sub> microspheres were synthesized by a hydrothermal method as previously reported.<sup>[73]</sup> 0.549 g CoCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.62 g Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.5H<sub>2</sub>O were dissolved in 60 mL of deionized water, and stirred vigorously for 0.5 h to obtain a homogenous solution. The solution was then transferred into a 100 mL autoclave and maintained at 180 °C for 12 h. The black products were washed with absolute ethanol and deionized water and dried at 60 °C to obtain CoS<sub>2</sub> power.

Characterization: The morphologic characterization and EDS analyses were conducted via a field emission SEM (FEI NOVA 450) and TEM (FEI Tecnai F30G2) using a 200 kV accelerating voltage. X-ray diffraction patterns were collected using LabX XRD-7000, with scan rate of 5° min-1. The XPS spectra were collected using an X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy instrument (XPS) (Axis Supra). The UPS measurements were conducted on the Axis Supra XPS, coupled with in situ argon-ion bombardment equipment (Axis Supra), and etching depth of 5 nm min-1 (silica wafer was used as reference), with the He I (21.22 eV) emission line employed for excitation, and data obtained with a bias of -5 V. Specifically, the first UPS characterization was conducted before the F-ZnS/CoS2 sample was etched. After that, the sample was etched to a depth of 200 nm (etching speed of 5 nm min-1). Then, the second UPS characterization was conducted. The etching and UPS characterization have been repeated for another three times until the etched depth is 800 nm. The optical properties were measured using UV-vis (Shimadzu UV 3150) and Raman (Renishaw inVia, 532 nm excitation laser, spot diameter of 1 mm) spectrometers. EQE measurements were performed with photoelectrochemical quantum efficiency test and analysis system (CEL-QPCE3000). Transient SPV was measured using a self-assembled surface photovoltaic testing equipment based on lock-in amplifier under the 355 nm laser, which included a xenon lamp light source (CHF-XM500 W), a phaselocked amplifier (SR830-DSP), a monochromator (Omni-5007), modulation fan (SR540), sample cell and computer. The PL emission spectra were obtained by a fluorescence spectrometer (FLS 980) with 400 nm Xe arc lamp and 5 nm slit width. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller surface areas were collected at 77 K using Micromeritics ASAP2010 equipment. The photoelectrochemical test was conducted by a Chenhua 760 electrochemical workstation using a three-electrode system, equipped with a 100 mW cm-2 Xe arc lamp simulating AM 1.5 irradiation. A 0.3 m Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> solution was used as electrolyte and the photocatalysts loaded at fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass acted as the working electrode. The specific preparation process of the working electrode is as follows: 10 mg of photocatalyst powder was uniformly ultrasonically dispersed in a mixed solution containing 495 µL of water, 495 µL of ethanol, and 10 µL of naphthol (which can improve the stability of the working electrode). Then, a spin coating method was used to coat 200 µL of the slurry onto the FTO electrode.[8,58] Ag/AgCI electrode and Pt plate were used as reference and counter electrodes, respectively.

*Photocatalytic Activity*: The photocatalytic experiments were carried out by mixing 0.05 g of prepared photocatalysts with 50 mL of deionized water in a sealed quartz reactor containing 0.2 m Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> and 0.2 m Na<sub>2</sub>S as hole sacrificial agent. A 100 mW cm-<sub>2</sub> Xe arc lamp simulating AM 1.5 irradiation and equipped with a fan, which can effectively dissipate the excess heat was employed as light source. During the photocatalytic reaction, a gas

chromatographer (Shimadzu GC-2014c) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector was used to analyze the generated gas.



Figure 1. Fabrication process of the S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, M-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>.



Figure 2. Morphology and microstructure of the a) S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, b) M-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and c) F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>: TEM image, HRTEM image, and EDS element mapping images. Schematic diagram of Zn element distribution and EDS line scan of Zn, Co, and S elements of the d) S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, e) M-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and f) F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>.



Figure 3. a) UPS etching schematic. b) UPS spectra of the cut-off region for the F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> with different etching depth. c) Fermi energy-level diagram of the F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> with different etching depth.



Figure 4. a) Transient surface photovoltage (SPV) spectra of the S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, M-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>. b) PL emission spectra of the S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, M-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, excited under 400 nm Xe light lamp. c) Photocurrent density–time curves of the S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, M-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>. d) EQE spectra of S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, M-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>. d) EQE spectra of S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, M-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure 5.** a) Time-dependent photocatalytic hydrogen gas production profiles for the S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, M-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>. b) Photocatalytic hydrogen production rate for the S-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, M-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>, and F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub>. c) Schematic diagram of charge transfer and photocatalytic hydrogen production in the F-ZnS/CoS<sub>2</sub> under illumination.

# **Supporting Information**

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

# Acknowledgements

B.W. and S.G. contributed equally to this work. This research was supported by the Basic Research Fund for Free Exploration in Shenzhen (Grant No. JCYJ20180306171402878), the project of Shaanxi Young Stars in Science and Technology (No. 2017KJXX-18), the Shaanxi International Cooperation Project (No. 2020KWZ-018), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Nos. 3102019ghxm003, 3102019JC005, and 3102019ghjd001). The authors thank the members from the Analytical & Testing Center of Northwestern Polytechnical University for the help of SEM, TEM, and UPS characterization.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# Keywords

bisulphides, gradient energy level, heat diffusion, multishell microspheres, photocatalysts

Received: May 8, 2020

Revised: June 24, 2020

Published online:

[1] J. Ran, J. Qu, H. Zhang, T. Wen, H. Wang, S. Chen, L. Song, X. Zhang, L. Jing, R. Zheng, S. Z. Qiao, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2019**, *9*, 1803402.

[2] M. Sohail, H. Kim, T. W. Kim, Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 7584.

[3] G. Liao, Y. Gong, L. Zhang, H. Gao, G.-J. Yang, B. Fang, Energy Environ. Sci. 2019, 12, 2080.

[4] Y. Li, Y. K. Peng, L. Hu, J. Zheng, D. Prabhakaran, S. Wu, T. J. Puchtler, M. Li, K. Y. Wong, R. A. Taylor, S. C.E. Tsang, *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10*, 4421.

[5] W. Huang, Q. He, Y. Hu, Y. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 131, 8768.

[6] C. Gao, T. Wei, Y. Zhang, X. Song, Y. Huan, H. Liu, M. Zhao, J. Yu, X. Chen, Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1806596.

[7] M. Wang, Y. Zuo, J. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Shen, B. Qiu, L. Cai, F. Zhou, S. P. Lau, Y. Chai, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2019**, *9*, 1901801.

[8] S. Guo, X. Li, X. Ren, L. Yang, J. Zhu, B. Wei, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2018, 28, 1802567.

[9] S. Guo, Y. Li, S. Tang, Y. Zhang, X. Li, A. Sobrido, M. Titirici, B. Wei, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202003035.

[10] L. Buzzetti, G. E. M. Crisenza, P. Melchiorre, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 3730.

[11] Y. Song, X. Xin, S. Guo, Y. Zhang, L. Yang, B. Wang, X. Li, Chem. Eng. J. 2019, 384, 123337.

[12] S. Wang, Y. Wang, S. L. Zhang, S. Q. Zang, X. W. D. Lou, Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1903404.

[13] Y. Chao, P. Zhou, N. Li, J. Lai, Y. Yang, Y. Zhang, Y. Tang, W. Yang, Y. Du, D. Su, Y. Tan, S. Guo, *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 1807226.

[14] Y. Chen, S. Ji, W. Sun, Y. Lei, Q. Wang, A. Li, W. Chen, G. Zhou, Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, L. Zheng, Q. Zhang, L.

Gu, X. Han, D. Wang, Y. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 59, 1295.

[15] B. Weng, M.-Y. Qi, C. Han, Z.-R. Tang, Y.-J. Xu, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 4642.

[16] M.-Q. Yang, C. Han, Y.-J. Xu, J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 27234.

[17] M. Xiao, Z. Wang, M. Lyu, B. Luo, S. Wang, G. Liu, H. M. Cheng, L. Wang, Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1801369.

[18] B. Y. Guan, L. Yu, X. W. Lou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 2386.

[19] J. Qi, X. Lai, J. Wang, H. Tang, H. Ren, Y. Yang, Q. Jin, L. Zhang, R. Yu, G. Ma, Z. Su, H. Zhao, D. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 6749.

[20] X. Li, S. Guo, W. Li, X. Ren, J. Su, Q. Song, A. J. Sobrido, B. Wei, Nano Energy 2019, 57, 388.

[21] P. Zhang, X. W. Lou, Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1900281.

[22] S. Yu, X. B. Fan, X. Wang, J. Li, Q. Zhang, A. Xia, S. Wei, L. Z. Wu, Y. Zhou, G. R. Patzke, *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 4009.

[23] Y. T. Liu, D. Li, J. Yu, B. Ding, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 16439.

[24] F. Cao, L. Meng, M. Wang, W. Tian, L. Li, Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1806725.

[25] H. Huang, B. Dai, W. Wang, C. Lu, J. Kou, Y. Ni, L. Wang, Z. Xu, Nano Lett. 2017, 17, 3803.

[26] L. Yu, X. Y. Yu, X. W. D. Lou, Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1800939.

[27] M. Y. Son, Y. J. Hong, Y. C. Kang, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 5678.

[28] F. You, J. Wan, J. Qi, D. Mao, N. Yang, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, D. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 721.

[29] Y. Wei, J. Wang, R. Yu, J. Wan, D. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 131, 1436.

[30] J. Wang, Y. Cui, D. Wang, Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1801993.

[31] S. Wang, Y. Wang, S. Q. Zang, X. W. Lou, Small Methods 2020, 4, 1900586.

[32] L. Zhou, Z. Zhuang, H. Zhao, M. Lin, D. Zhao, L. Mai, Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1602914.

[33] B. Y. Guan, A. Kushima, L. Yu, S. Li, J. Li, X. W. D. Lou, Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1605902.

[34] H. Chen, K. Shen, Y. Tan, Y. Li, ACS Nano 2019, 13, 7800.

[35] Y. Fang, D. Luan, Y. Chen, S. Gao, X. W. Lou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 7178.

[36] Y. Lin, Z. Qiu, D. Li, S. Ullah, Y. Hai, H. Xin, W. Liao, B. Yang, H. Fan, J. Xu, C. Zhu, *Energy Storage Mater.* **2018**, *11*, 67.

[37] X. Wang, Y. Chen, Y. Fang, J. Zhang, S. Gao, X. W. D. Lou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 2675.

[38] Y. Lu, J. Nai, X. W. D. Lou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 2899.

[39] J. Wang, N. Yang, H. Tang, Z. Dong, Q. Jin, M. Yang, D. Kisailus, H. Zhao, Z. Tang, D. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 6417.

[40] D. Mao, J. Wan, J. Wang, D. Wang, Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1802874.

[41] Z. Dong, X. Lai, J. E. Halpert, N. Yang, L. Yi, J. Zhai, D. Wang, Z. Tang, L. Jiang, Adv. Mater. 2012, 24, 1046.

[42] G. Zhang, X. W. Lou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9041.

[43] M. Waqas, Y. Wei, D. Mao, J. Qi, Y. Yang, B. Wang, D. Wang, Nano Res. 2017, 10, 3920.

[44] F. Qu, W. Shang, D. Wang, S. Du, T. Thomas, S. Ruan, M. Yang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 15314.

[45] H. Sun, X. Xu, Z. Yan, C. Xiang, J. Chen, *Chem. Mater* 2017, 29, 8539.

[46] J. Zhang, J. Wan, J. Wang, H. Ren, R. Yu, L. Gu, Y. Liu, S. Feng, D. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 5266.

[47] P. Zhang, X. F. Lu, D. Luan, X. W. D. Lou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 8128.

[48] J. Li, Y. Long, Y. Liu, L. Zhang, Q. Wang, X. Wang, S. Song, H. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 1103.

[49] L. Meng, S. Wang, F. Cao, W. Tian, R. Long, L. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 6761.

[50] F. Wang, W. Septina, A. Chemseddine, F. F. Abdi, D. Friedrich, P. D. Bogdanoff, R. van de Krol, S. D. Tilley, S. P. Berglund, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 139, 15094.

[51] L. Meng, D. Rao, W. Tian, F. Cao, X. Yan, L. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 130, 17124

[52] Y. Yu, Y. Huang, Y. Yu, Y. Shi, B. Zhang, Nano Energy 2018, 43, 236.

[53] S. Wang, Z. Li, Y. Zhang, X. Liu, J. Han, X. Li, Z. Liu, S. Liu, W. C. H. Choy, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *29*, 1900417.

[54] Z. Luo, C. Li, S. Liu, T. Wang, J. Gong, Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 91.

[55] Y. Yu, W. Yan, X. Wang, P. Li, W. Gao, H. Zou, S. Wu, K. Ding, Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1705060.

56] H. Sun, C. Neumann, T. Zhang, M. Loffler, A. Wolf, Y. Hou, A. Turchanin, J. Zhang, X. Feng, *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 1900961.

[57] Y. Zhang, S. Guo, X. Xin, Y. Song, L. Yang, B. Wang, L. Tan, X. Li, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2020, 504, 144291.

[58] X. Xin, Y. Song, S. Guo, Y. Zhang, B. Wang, J. Yu, X. Li, Appl. Catal., B 2020, 269, 118773.

[59] W. S. Subhani, K. Wang, M. Du, X. Wang, S. Liu, Adv. Energy Mater. 2019, 9, 1803785.

[60] H. Liu, R. Hu, J. Qi, Y. Sui, Y. He, Q. Meng, F. Wei, Y. Ren, Y. Zhao, W. Wei, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *7*, 1901659.

[61] Y. P. Xie, Z. B. Yu, G. Liu, X. L. Ma, H.-M. Cheng, Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 1895.

[62] C. Jiao, Z. Wang, X. Zhao, H. Wang, J. Wang, R. Yu, D. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 996.

[63] P. Hou, F. Li, Y. Sun, H. Li, X. Xu, T. Zhai, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 24508.

[64] P. Liu, X. Guo, H. Huang, Q. Yang, Y. Tong, G. A. Hope, Adv. Mater. 2006, 18, 1873.

[65] L. Meng, D. Rao, W. Tian, F. Cao, X. Yan, L. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 130, 17124

[66] C. Han, R. Zhang, Y. Ye, L. Wang, Z. Ma, F. Su, H. Xie, Y. Zhou, P. K. Wong, L. Ye, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2019**, 7, 9726.

[67] Y. Sang, X. Cao, G. Dai, L. Wang, Y. Peng, B. Geng, J. Hazard. Mater. 2020, 381, 120942.

[68] L. Wang, Y. Hu, F. Qi, L. Ding, J. Wang, X. Zhang, Q. Liu, L. Liu, H. Sun, P. Qu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2020**, *12*, 8157.

[69] X. Yue, S. Yi, R. Wang, Z. Zhang, S. Qiu, *Nano Energy* **2018**, *47*, 463.

[70] L. Bi, X. Gao, L. Zhang, D. Wang, X. Zou, T. Xie, ChemSusChem 2018, 11, 276.

[71] Y. Guo, L. Gan, C. Shang, E. Wang, J. Wang, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2017, 27, 1602699.

[72] Y. Sun, D. Li, Y. Yang, L. Fan, S. Wu, P. Wang, Y. Song, RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 3907.

[73] J. Zhang, Y. Liu, B. Xia, C. Sun, Y. Liu, P. Liu, D. Gao, *Electrochim. Acta* 2018, 259, 955.