

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Properties and phase diagram of (H2S)2H2

Citation for published version:

Pace, E, Liu, X-D, Dalladay-Simpson, P, Binns, J, Pena Alvarez, M, Attfield, JP, Howie, R & Gregoryanz, E 2020, 'Properties and phase diagram of (H2S)2H2', Physical Review B, vol. 101, no. 17, 174511. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.174511

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1103/PhysRevB.101.174511

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Physical Review B

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Properties and phase diagram of (H₂S)₂H₂

Edward J. Pace¹, Xiao-Di Liu²,^{2,*} Philip Dalladay-Simpson,³ Jack Binns²,^{3,†} Miriam Peña-Alvarez,¹ J. Paul Attfield,⁴ Ross T. Howie,³ and Eugene Gregoryanz^{1,2,3,‡}

¹Centre for Science at Extreme Conditions and School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh,

Edinburgh EH9 3FD, United Kingdom

²Key Laboratory of Materials Physics, Institute of Solid State Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, China

³Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research, Shanghai 201203, China

⁴Centre for Science at Extreme Conditions and School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, United Kingdom

2

з

4

5 6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(Received 7 October 2019; revised manuscript received 31 March 2020; accepted 1 April 2020; published xxxxxxxx)

By combining hydrogen and sulfur within diamond-anvil cells we synthesize (H₂S)₂H₂ at 5 GPa and 373 K. Through a series of Raman spectroscopy, infrared spectroscopy, and synchrotron x-ray diffraction experiments we have constrained the phase diagram of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ within a wide P-T range. On compression we observe the phase transition sequence of I-II-II'-III, where II' is a previously unreported phase; at room temperature this sequence spans from 5 to 47 GPa, while the application of low temperatures stabilizes this sequence to 127 GPa (< 80 K). Above these pressures we propose that phase III of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ transforms to a nonmolecular H_3S network. Our Raman and infrared measurements indicate that the transition from $(H_2S)_2H_2$ to H_3S is reversible at room temperature. X-ray diffraction reveals that the symmetry of the underlying S lattice of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ and H₃S is retained along this compression path up to at least 135 GPa.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.00.004500

I. INTRODUCTION

The report of high-temperature superconductivity in dense 24 H₂S has galvanized studies of the high-pressure sulfur-25 hydrogen system [1], yet the composition and nature of 26 27 bonding in the superconducting phase remain disputed [2-11]. Prior to experimental measurements, two separate theoretical 28 studies predicted abnormally high critical temperatures T_c to 29 emerge from the H₂S ($T_c = 80$ K) [12] and (H₂S)₂H₂ ($T_c =$ 30 200 K) [13] systems at very high densities. The latter study 31 predicted a pressure-driven reaction between the molecular 32 H_2 and H_2S components of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ (phase III, *Cccm*) [13]: 33 on compression, the H-H bond softens and then breaks at 34 111 GPa, reacting with H₂S to form a new phase composed 35 solely of individual H_3S units (R3m). At even higher pressure, 36 it was predicted that H₃S would become a nonmolecular, 37 highly symmetrical cubic structure $(Im\bar{3}m)$, with a calculated 38 maximum T_c of 204 K at 200 GPa [13,14]. 39

Given the intense interest in the sulfur-hydrogen system, 40 there are surprisingly few direct experiments on $(H_2S)_2H_2$ 41 [6,15–17]. The first experimental observation of superconduc-42 tivity in this system used pure H₂S as a precursor but claims 43 a maximum T_c of 203 K [1]. However, producing the high- T_c 44 phase required following a convoluted P-T path, leading the 45 authors to suggest a decomposition mechanism: $3H_2S \rightarrow$ 46 $2H_3S + S$. Furthermore, H_2S decomposition models have 47

[‡]e.gregoryanz@ed.ac.uk

found competitive H-S stoichiometries (e.g., H₅S₂) present 48 with H_3S , close to the pressures where the highest T_c is 49 claimed [1,11]. Disproportionated S and other by-products 50 imply that the H₃S product from H₂S is impure, which may 51 account for inconsistent T_c measurements (ranging from 110) 52 to 203 K) reported in experiments using H₂S as a precursor 53 [1,18–21].

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) studies of the high- T_c sample detected the disproportionation product S (β -Po type) alongside a bcc lattice of S atoms, attributed to $Im\bar{3}m$ H₃S [2]. The pattern can also be better described by S with Cccm symmetry [suggested for (H₂S)₂H₂], accounting for unidentified "impurity" peaks, which the $Im\bar{3}m$ structure does not do [2,6,22]. Although the volume per S atom of the fitted bcc phase (and also Cccm) does give an H:S ratio of 3:1, the weak interaction of x rays with hydrogen means there is no information regarding hydrogen atom positions or how S and H are bonded. Indeed, the small distortions between R3m and $Im\bar{3}m$ H₃S structures are indistinguishable under the challenging experimental conditions.

In $(H_2S)_2H_2$ the underlying S-atom configurations are 68 similar across all known experimental and predicted phases, 69 making them difficult to distinguish on the basis of diffrac-70 tion alone [13,15]. Transitions between high-pressure phases 71 in $(H_2S)_2H_2$ at room temperature are primarily driven by 72 hydrogen bonding (H bonding) and are characterized by the 73 orientation of H₂S molecules and the nature of the H₂ sites 74 within the structure, producing unique vibrational spectra 75 [6,15-17]. In particular the number of H₂ vibrational modes 76 (vibrons) and the effect of compression on their respective 77 frequencies can be utilized to distinguish phase changes and 78 shifts in bond orientation of the surrounding H₂S molecules. 79

^{*}xiaodi@issp.ac.cn

[†]Present address: School of Science, RMIT University, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia.

100

Therefore Raman spectroscopy combined with infrared (IR) spectroscopy presents a powerful diagnostic combination for $(H_2S)_2H_2$ at high pressure [15,16], being sensitive to both inter- and intramolecular interactions [23–25].

In this study, we synthesize $(H_2S)_2H_2$ by combining S and 84 H₂ directly in controlled ratios within diamond-anvil cells 85 (DACs). On compression at 300 K, we observe the phase 86 sequence I-II-II'-III, where phase II' is previously unreported. 87 We map out the solid phases of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ from 15 to 300 K, 88 up to 185 GPa. From phase III of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ we observe 89 substantial softening and weakening of the v_{IIIb} H₂ vibron, 90 with a complete loss of intensity above 50 GPa (300 K) 91 and 127 GPa (80 K); this suggests a reaction between H₂S 92 and H₂ forming an extended sulfur-hydrogen network (H₃S), 93 retaining the initial stoichiometry of 1S:3H but with no H₂ 94 molecules, predicted to occur upon compression of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ 95 [13]. At 300 K we report the reversibility of this transition 96 from both Raman and IR spectroscopy studies. From XRD 97 studies we find that the same S lattice is retained on compres-98 sion through the H₃S transition, at least up to 135 GPa. 99

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

DACs with culets of 50–200 μ m, containing crystalline 101 sulfur suspended in fluid H₂, were heated to 373 K for 1 h 102 (below 0.5 GPa), then cooled to 300 K, producing discrete 103 fluid domains of H₂S and H₂. Samples were then compressed 104 above 4.8 GPa to form phase I of (H₂S)₂H₂ [15,16] in the 105 same manner used to produce $(H_2Se)_2H_2$ [26]. The ratio 106 of H₂:S was controlled by varying the amount of sulfur 107 used, relative to the size of the gasket chamber; we find that 108 (H₂S)₂H₂ requires an initial atomic ratio in excess of 3:1 H:S 109 in order to form (equivalent to 3:2 H₂:S). Ratios between 2:1 110 and 3:1 H:S formed samples of H₂S with excess H₂ but did not 111 tend to transform to $(H_2S)_2H_2$ on further compression, instead 112 behaving in the same manner as pure H₂S. Because of the rich 113 vibrational spectra produced in these experiments we name 114 and number the individual Raman and IR vibrational modes 115 arising from different H₂ environments from (H₂S)₂H₂; apart 116 from phase I, all solid phases exhibited two discrete sets of 117 H_2 Raman modes which are herein referred to as a (lower 118 frequency) and b (higher frequency). Therefore each H₂ vi-119 bron ν from $(H_2S)_2H_2$ is denoted by the phase from which it 120 originates (i.e., I, II, II', III), followed by a or b. For example, 121 the single H_2 vibron from phase I $(H_2S)_2H_2$ is referred to 122 as v_{Ia} , and phase II exhibits a high-frequency vibron doublet 123 labeled ν_{IIb} and a lower frequency doublet labeled $\nu_{IIa};~\nu_{1}$ 124 refers to *only* pure bulk H_2 . 125

Raman spectroscopy measurements from 17 different sam-126 ples of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ were collected in a wide temperature 127 range (15-300 K) up to 184 GPa, using 180° backscat-128 tering geometry [27]; room temperature experiments were 129 performed with a 514.5-nm Ar⁺ excitation laser, and low-130 temperature experiments were performed using a 532-nm 131 solid-state excitation laser, controlling temperature with a 132 modified continuous-flow cryostat using liquid-N₂ (80 K) 133 or liquid-He (< 80 K) cryogens, coupled with two thermo-134 stat heaters and proportional-integral-derivative controllers. 135 $(H_2S)_2H_2$ samples were always formed prior to initial cool-136 ing. Pressure was calibrated for Raman and IR experiments 137



FIG. 1. Raman spectra at increasing pressure for $(H_2S)_2H_2$ at 300 K. Key excitations are highlighted for phase II (green diamonds), phase II' (magenta open triangles), and phase III (blue solid triangles). Small gray triangles indicate $H_2-\nu_1$. Excitations corresponding to crystalline sulfur (downward triangles), amorphous sulfur (asterisks), and second-order diamond (carats) are also indicated. Relative scales of each panel are indicated in the top right; scaling of individual spectra is labeled where applicable.

with a combination of ruby fluorescence [28,29] and Raman 138 shift of the diamond edge [30]. The mid-IR spectra were 139 collected in transmission mode with a Bruker Vertex 80v 140 Fourier transform IR spectrometer and a Hyperion 2000 IR 141 microscope equipped with a nitrogen-cooled mercury cad-142 mium telluride detector. Synchrotron XRD data were col-143 lected up to 135 GPa (300 K) from four separate runs. Angle 144 dispersive XRD measurements were recorded at room tem-145 perature at the following beamlines and synchrotrons (wave-146 lengths): P2.02 at PETRA III (Germany; 0.2922 Å), GSE-147 CARS at Advanced Photon Source (United States; 0.3344 Å), 148 and I-15 at the Diamond Light Source (United Kingdom; 149 0.4246 Å). XRD experiments utilized a combination of ruby 150 fluorescence, the Raman diamond edge, and Au pressure 151 markers, calibrated using the Vinet equation of state [31]. 152

III. RESULTS

153

Phase I was identified upon compression of H₂S and H₂ 154 between 4.7 and 5.3 GPa (300 K) from a single additional H₂ 155 Raman vibron at 4140 cm⁻¹ (ν_{Ia}), redshifted relative to H₂ ν_1 156 [Figs. 1, 2(a), and 3]. An IR absorption attributed to the guest 157 H-H stretch was also observed at a frequency very close to the 158 v_{Ia} Raman mode [Figs. 2(a) and 3]. A Rietveld refinement of 159 diffraction data collected at 6.1 GPa confirmed the tetragonal 160 I4/mcm structure [a = 7.183(1), c = 5.902(1)Å], in agree-161 ment with a previous study [15,22]. 162

10

(a) H-H ⊦300 K

4400





FIG. 2. (a) Raman frequencies (relative cm⁻¹; solid triangles) and infrared absorption frequencies (cm⁻¹; darker circles) for H₂ vibrons from $(H_2S)_2H_2$, plotted as a function of pressure (GPa) at 300 K, showing transitions between phases I (purple), II (green), II' (magenta), and III (blue). Free molecular H₂ vibron frequencies from the $(H_2S)_2H_2$ samples (solid gray triangles) are shown alongside data from pure H₂ (open gray triangles). Data replotted from other studies are represented by cross symbols for $(H_2S)_2H_2$ (Raman) [15] and plus symbols for pure H₂ (IR) [32]. (b) Raman frequencies (relative cm⁻¹) for S-H stretching modes from $(H_2S)_2H_2$, plotted as a function of pressure (GPa) at 300 K. Different symbols refer to different experimental runs, while cross symbols are replotted from a previous study [15].

Phase II can be reached on cooling below 173 K (10 GPa) or on compression above 16.7 GPa at room temperature, resulting in a negative slope between the I-II transition pressure at room temperature and lower temperatures (Fig. 4). Phase II is identified by the characteristic splitting of the S-H Raman vibrational stretching mode (~2400 cm⁻¹) and



FIG. 3. IR absorption spectra of H-H stretches from $(H_2S)_2H_2$, plotted as a function of increasing pressure (black) and decreasing pressure (red; GPa). Phases are labeled with the same colors and symbols as in previous figures.

splitting of v_{Ia} into v_{IIa} and v_{IIb} [Figs. 1, 2(a), 5, and 6]. No 169 change was observed in atomic volume by diffraction at room 170 temperature, in agreement with a previous study [15]. Phase II 171 is easily recognized by the emergence of lattice modes (Figs. 1 172 and 5) arising from the orientational ordering of H_2S 173 molecules; the strengthening of H bonding between neigh-174 boring H₂S molecules restricts their free rotation, which also 175 breaks the degeneracy of the symmetric and asymmetric 176 S-H stretches. A comparable effect is observed in Raman 177 studies on compression of pure H₂S (and D₂S) from phase 178 I-I'-IV [33–35]. We also observe a large increase in the IR 179 absorption of the S-H stretching mode ($\sim 2300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) at the 180 phase transition (I-II), reflecting the change in dipole moment 181 of the S-H bonds [22]. For $(H_2S)_2H_2$, at room temperature 182 we observe two H₂ Raman vibrons (v_{IIa} and v_{IIb}) and four 183 broad S-H stretching modes (between 2200 and 2600 cm⁻¹; 184 Fig. 1); [15,16] at low temperatures we find at least seven nar-185 row S-H stretching modes (~2250-2580 cm⁻¹) and observe 186 two doublets of H-H Raman vibrons (ν_{IIa} and ν_{IIb} , Figs. 5 187 and 6). On compression of phase II at room temperatures and 188 below, the S-H stretching modes rapidly broaden and decrease 189 in frequency [Fig. 2(b)], indicating a significant enhancement 190 of the H-bond strength between neighboring H₂S molecules. 191 From IR spectroscopy, we observe a reduction in the FWHM 192 of the H-H IR vibron at the transition pressure from phase I to 193



FIG. 4. Proposed phase diagram for the solid phases of $(H_2S)_2H_2$. Symbols represent different experimental runs, while their colors represent different phases: purple, I; green, II; magenta, II'; blue, III; and black, H_3S . The dotted line outlines a translucent yellow area indicating the stability field of II': The overlapping colors from phases II and III within this stability field indicate the phase overlap. The white region indicates where nonmolecular H_3S is stable.

phase II (Fig. 3), while its frequency retains the same pressure 194 dependence of v_{IIa} . This reduction in IR bandwidth, coupled 195 with the positive slope of the $v_{\text{IIa/b}}$ Raman mode frequencies, 196 reflects a reduced interaction between H₂ and H₂S within 197 phase II of the compound; this is due to an enhanced inter-198 action between the H₂S molecules themselves upon orienta-199 tional ordering, as H bonding strengthens until it overcomes 200 the energy of rotation, which then induces the lattice modes. 201

Above 25 GPa (300 K) and 39 GPa (> 80 K) we observe 202 new lattice modes and previously unidentified H-H Raman vi-203 brons ($v_{II'a}$ and $v_{II'b}$, magenta open triangles in Fig. 1), which 204 we attribute to a previously unreported $(H_2S)_2H_2$ phase, II', 205 which coexists with phases II and III. The H:S stoichiometry 206 of II' is assumed to remain 3:1, as no change was observed 207 in the atomic volume at the transition (Fig. 7). It should be 208 noted that at 300 K only two II' vibrons are visible [Figs. 1 209 and 2(a)], but $v_{II'b}$ exhibits a high-frequency shoulder below 210 80 K (Figs. 5 and 6). IR measurements detect only one 211 vibron with a frequency very close to $v_{II'a}$. Phase II' also 212 exhibits the following distinct Raman characteristics at 300 K: 213 development of a librational mode (460 cm⁻¹ at 25 GPa, 300 K 214 indicated on Fig. 1); an abrupt drop in frequency in one S-H 215 stretching mode (highlighted in the middle panel of Fig. 1), 216 with a change in pressure dependence [Fig. 2(b)]; and a further 217 increase in the bandwidth and marked decrease in overall 218 intensity for all S-H stretching modes (Fig. 1; note scaling of 219 first two spectra in middle panel). Increasing pressure above 220 25 GPa (300 K) sees the continued broadening of the S-H 221 222 stretches.

We observe Raman vibrons v_{IIIa} and v_{IIIb} from 34.0 GPa 223 (300 K), with one IR active vibron with a frequency similar to 224 v_{IIIa} . The corresponding phase III lattice modes appeared from 225 31.4 GPa at 300 K (Fig. 1) and 53 GPa below 80 K (Fig. 5). 226 At 300 K the phase overlap after the II' to III transition 227 was around 10 GPa: this phase overlap increases at lower 228 temperatures such that phase II' is stable up to 42 GPa at 229 300 K, 99 GPa at 80 K, 105 GPa at 45 K, and 112 GPa at 230 25 K (Fig. 4), which we use to map a straight phase boundary 231 with a slope of approximately -4 K GPa^{-1} (rightmost dotted 232 line Fig. 4). 233

Figure 2(b) shows a marked increase in the slopes of three 234 S-H stretching modes (around 1775, 2375, and 2500 cm^{-1} , 235 at 34 GPa), around the phase II-III transition pressure. This 236 indicates that the intermolecular interactions are greater in 237 phase III of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ and that the S-H bonds are longer and 238 weaker than in the previous phases. This could be interpreted 239 as the H bonds approaching symmetrization in phase III, 240 whereby the H atom becomes equidistant between the two S 241 atoms [17]. A similar shift in the pressure dependence of the 242 v_{IIIa} Raman mode and the sole IR mode is shown in Fig. 2(a) 243 (marked with a star). On further compression, the frequency 244 of v_{IIIa} steadily decreases with a gradual reduction in intensity, 245 up to a maximum pressure of 46 GPa (300 K) and 127 GPa 246 (80 K), above which it was no longer observed. The IR mode 247 exhibits the same behavior (Fig. 3), and the lattice modes 248 also disappear around the same pressures (Figs. 1 and 5). 249 We interpret these observations as a transition from $(H_2S)_2H_2$ 250 to an extended sulfur-hydrogen network following the loss 251

141 GPa

80 K

19 GP: 99 GP arb 53 GPa GP: 4200 9 GF 18 GPa 10 GP 4150 400 600 2000 2400 Raman Frequency (rel. cm⁻¹) 3800 4200 200 4000

FIG. 5. Raman spectra at increasing pressures for $(H_2S)_2H_2$ at 80 K. Key excitations are highlighted for phase II (green diamonds), phase II' (magenta open triangles), and phase III (blue solid triangles). Small gray triangles indicate $H_2-\nu_1$. Insets show photomicrographs of the sample at the indicated conditions.

of molecular integrity from the H-H bond breaking and 252 symmetrization from H-bonding between the H₂S molecules 253 [13]. We observe no evidence that the stoichiometric ratio 254 of H:S changes from 3:1: therefore we refer to the sulfur-255 hydrogen network as H₃S. Indeed, the change in the v_{IIIa} and 256 v_{IIIb} stretching mode frequencies aligns well with previous 257 theoretical calculations for the internal H-H bond lengths 258 within the *Cccm* structure (phase III) [13]. In addition, at 259 300 K we find the transition to a nonmolecular network to 260 be reversible, with the Raman and IR signatures of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ 261 returning on decompression (Figs. 3 and 8). 262

In contrast to the rich Raman and IR spectra arising from 263 the reorientation of H₂S and rearrangement of H₂ molecules, 264 diffraction studies show almost no changes to the underlying 265 sulfur lattice throughout the solid phases of $(H_2S)_2H_2$, and 266 H₃S. Figure 7 shows a plot of atomic volume per S atom as a 267 function of pressure; we observe no sudden volume decreases 268 at any of the transition pressures observed in our Raman and 269 infrared experiments. This indicates that the stoichiometry 270 remains 3H:1S. The inset plot of diffraction peaks vs pressure 271 shows no obvious splitting or merging of peaks throughout 272 the solid phases of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ and above the H_3S transition, 273 which suggests that H₃S forming directly from compression 274 of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ (at 300 K) maintains the same underlying S 275 sublattice observed throughout all phases of $(H_2S)_2H_2$; the 276 space group symmetry is congruous with that of a tetragonal 277 *I4/mcm* lattice [15] or an orthorhombic *Cccm* lattice at least 278 up to 50 GPa [6,13]. A sample recovered from 80 to 300 K (at 279 146 GPa) was fitted with *Cccm* symmetry, giving statistically 280 significant splitting of the (131/311) peak positions (Fig. 9) 281 and was visually highly reflective, as shown in the inset in 282 Fig. 5. 283



FIG. 6. Raman frequencies (relative cm⁻¹) for H_2 vibrons from $(H_2S)_2H_2$, plotted as a function of pressure (GPa) at different temperatures. Symbols refer to the temperatures indicated in the inset, and the data points are plotted in Fig. 4. The stable pressure ranges for each solid phase at 80 K are represented by the bordered boxes at the bottom; solid boxes with stable ranges at 300 K are shown above for reference.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

284

Figure 4 shows our proposed phase diagram of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ 285 based on our Raman and IR data points. The significant phase 286 overlap of phases II and III with II' is represented by the 287 stability field of II', surrounded by dotted black lines. The 288 white region indicates the proposed stability field of the H₃S 289 phase, where no vibrational modes from H₂ molecules are 290 observed by either Raman or IR spectroscopy. The phase 291 diagram highlights the significant enhancement of the stable 292 pressure ranges at low temperatures; most notably, the differ-293 ence in the H₃S transition pressure moves from 47 GPa at 294 300 K to 127 GPa at 80 K. The expansion of the respective 295 stability fields of the $(H_2S)_2H_2$ phases at low temperatures 296 also reflects the overall stability of the compound with respect 297 to decomposition at high pressures.

In some room temperature studies we observe partial de-299 composition from laser overexposure in samples of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ 300 and H₃S (and pure H₂S). This is evidenced by a broad Raman 301 excitation at 475 relative cm^{-1} (Fig. 1), which we attribute 302 to the formation of an S-S bond within amorphous sulfur, 303 from degradation of the H₂S molecules. Previous Raman 304 studies have also reported a broad peak at the same frequency, 305 attributed to the formation of S-S bonds on compression of 306 D_2S [36–38]. We find that laser-induced decomposition is 307 more sensitive at higher pressures and was observed only at 308 room temperature. Throughout the phase sequence I-II-II'-III, 309 $(H_2S)_2H_2$ progressively loses molecular integrity of both 310 H_2S and the $H_2 \nu_a$ environments, which could explain the 311 increased sensitivity at higher pressures with respect to de-312 composition (at 300 K). This sensitivity appears restricted to 313



FIG. 7. Vinet equation of state based on the sulfur atomic volume in $(H_2S)_2H_2$ and H_3S up to 150 GPa. $V_0 = 39.26$ Å³, $K_0 = 16.7(5)$ GPa, K' = 5.39(8). V_0 is set at 4.8 GPa, where $(H_2S)_2H_2$ forms. Blue points are calculated volumes from refinements of I4/mcm symmetry, and maroon points are calculated volumes from refinements of the *Cccm* structure. Gray open triangles are plotted from Ref. [15], gray open circles are plotted from Ref. [6], and black open squares are calculated volumes from Ref. [13]. The inset shows a plot of *d* spacings as a function of pressure up to 50 GPa; we observe no splitting of the (131/311) peak in *Cccm* up to 50 GPa. The same volumes could hence be obtained from either I4/mcm or *Cccm*.

wavelengths around the visible spectrum, as S was observed in 314 only one diffraction study where the sample was also probed 315 with a Raman laser. We previously reported sensitivity to 316 laser-induced decomposition in the electronically analogous 317 (H₂Se)₂H₂ [26]. By maintaining low laser powers in our 318 (H₂S)₂H₂ experiments we were able to avoid sample degra-319 dation and demonstrate the reversibility of the H₃S transition 320 with both Raman (Fig. 8) and IR measurements (Fig. 3). In 321 a prior study of $(H_2Se)_2H_2$, decomposition of the compound 322 above the metallization pressure of Se was misinterpreted as 323 metallization of H_3 Se [39,40]. 324

Although we were able to synthesize single crystals of 325 H_2S from S and H_2 , upon formation of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ the sam-326 ple tended to break up into a small number of crystal-327 lites, resulting in poor powder diffraction patterns, an issue 328 that was also raised in a previous study of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ [15]. 329 As such, experimental determination of the structures of 330 $(H_2S)_2H_2$ by XRD is inherently limited. Currently, the pro-331 posed structures for (H₂S)₂H₂ come from theoretical calcula-332 tions: the suggested space group symmetries are I4/mcm [15] 333 (phase I), *I*222 [15] or *P*1 [13] (phase II), and *Cccm* [13] 334 (phase III). It is worth noting here that the I4/mcm (tetrago-335 nal) and Cccm (Orthorhombic) symmetries are indistinguish-336 able if the unit cell dimensions a and b for Cccm are equal 337 within experimental uncertainties. From factor group analysis 338 we can rule out space group P1, proposed as the only stable 339 structure below 40 GPa [13]; P1 allows only three possible 340 IR/Raman lattice modes, which is not congruent with the 341 experimental data (Figs. 1, 3, and 5). Such analysis does not 342 rule out any of the other space groups which allow a sub-343



FIG. 8. Raman spectra for $(H_2S)_2H_2$ and H_3S as a function of increasing pressure (black) and decreasing pressure (red) at 300 K, demonstrating the reversibility of the $(H_2S)_2H_2$ to H_3S transition. Low laser powers prevented amorphization of sulfur and decomposition of sulfur hydrides.

stantially greater number of lattice modes than are observed. ³⁴⁴ It is also unlikely that I222 is responsible for phase II, as the structure predicts only two H₂ environments, while our low-temperature data reveal there are indeed four (two pairs) of H₂ environments in phase II (Fig. 5). ³⁴⁸

From XRD we can detect only the relative positions of the 349 S atoms, and therefore the primary evidence for *Cccm* in phase 350 III or H_3S from diffraction would be if the (131/311) peak 351 split; this would signify that the unit cell dimension a was no 352 longer equal to b, as it is for the tetragonal I4/mcm symmetry. 353 We do not clearly observe any peaks visibly splitting in 354 our diffraction data (or in data from other studies of H₃S 355 [6,17]), yet the differences between our refined values of a 356 and b are statistically significant (Fig. 9). Despite the fact 357 that competitive H₃S structures have been reported in the 358 relevant pressure ranges (e.g., C2/c) [11], Cccm still presents 359 the best stable structural candidate for phase III, which agrees 360 with the experimental Raman data [13,41]; Cccm has two H₂ 361 environments compared to the single environment of I4/mcm 362 [15], and the predicted H-H bond lengths as a function of 363 pressure for *Cccm* also correspond very well to the observed 364 frequency dependences of $\nu_{\text{IIIa/b}}$ [13] [Figs. 1, 2(a), 5, and 6]. 365 Although none of the structures can be claimed to be explicitly 366 true, *Cccm* remains the best approximation of the phase III 367 structure from an assessment of all existing studies. 368

Given that the transition from $(H_2S)_2H_2$ to H_3S appears 369 to result from a gradual lengthening of the internal chemical 370 bonds of H₂S and H₂, the H₃S structure is likely to be very 371 similar to phase III of (H₂S)₂H₂ but with slightly shifted H 372 atomic positions. At the very least, our diffraction data can 373 discern the difference between orthorhombic-based (Cccm 374 or equivalent) and a more symmetrical bcc cubic S lattice 375 (i.e., $Im\bar{3}m$ or R3m). Although the orthorhombic-based and 376 cubic-based symmetries have common peaks, the bcc pattern 377 produces far fewer reflections. Previously, both *Cccm* [6] 378 and $Im\bar{3}m$ [17] structures were reported as stable crystalline 379

FIG. 9. Integrated diffraction data of H₃S at 135 GPa, obtained from the (H₂S)₂H₂ sample compressed at low temperature (giving the data shown in Fig. 5) and recovered to 300 K. The data are fitted with a Le Bail refinement of *Cccm* symmetry [a = 7.645(2) Å, b = 7.697(2) Å, and c = 4.481(1), $\chi^2 = 4.04$]; $\lambda = 0.3344$ Å.

phases after laser heating S in H₂. Similarly, the first structural 380 study of the high- T_c phase (from decomposition of H₂S) 381 claims the pattern is bcc S but has impurity peaks which match 382 the orthorhombic-based structure, alongside the additional 383 reflections from the excess by-product of pure S [2]; at the 384 least, it cannot be said to be purely bcc S. Recently, it was 385 shown that subsequent laser heating of the poorly crystallized 386 mixture produces a much cleaner bcc S phase, without any 387 of the orthorhombic peaks and only minor impurities [42]. 388 Theoretical calculations find a high kinetic barrier between 389 the phase with an orthorhombic-based S lattice and a cubic-390 based S lattice [13]. Given both structures are reported from 391 laser-heating experiments where H₃S is produced from S 392 393 and H_2 [6,17] and laser heating of H_3S (produced from H_2) decomposition) seems to favor the formation of the cubic 394 phase, we can posit that the orthorhombic-based structure 395 is generally more stable than the cubic-based structure. We 396 speculate that the pure orthorhombic-based H₃S, produced 397 from compression of $(H_2S)_2H_2$, would become the high- T_c 398 cubic phase if heated. A wide range of T_c values is reported 399 across multiple studies of the high- T_c phase where H₂S is used 400 as a precursor, and the samples are only "annealed" at room 401 temperature [1,18-21]; it is possible that the pressure-induced 402 decomposition of H₂S produces a mixture of orthorhombic, 403 cubic, and pure S phases. 404

While it remains experimentally unfeasible to determine the atomic positions of hydrogen in $(H_2S)_2H_2$ and H_3S

phases, our spectroscopic data offer substantial insight into 40 their behavior. An important question is raised by the ob-408 servation that the detected IR absorption frequencies of the 409 H-H stretch in $(H_2S)_2H_2$ are nearly identical to the Raman 410 excitation frequencies of H₂ ν_a vibrons as functions of pres-411 sure, from all of the solid phases, I-II-II'-III (Fig. 3); the IR 412 frequencies even match subtle shifts in pressure dependence, 413 for example, in v_{IIIa} [indicated by a star in Fig. 2(a)], as well as 414 mirroring a slight increase in frequency of v_{Ha} around 30 GPa 415 [Fig. 2(a)]. It is reasonable to assume that this is not coinci-416 dental and that the IR absorptions also occur from the same 417 v_a vibrational modes. Because the selection rules for I4/mcm418 and Cccm forbid the same IR and Raman lattice vibrational 419 modes, it could be interpreted that the H₂ v_b molecules are 420 aligned such that their vibration becomes coupled to the 421 lattice phonons of the compound and hence are only observed 422 by Raman spectroscopy and not in the IR spectra. This in 423 turn would imply the internal vibrations of H₂ ν_a molecules 424 remain uncoupled to the lattice vibrations, possibly due to 425 rotation, and hence the symmetry based selection rules do 426 not apply. The lower frequency of v_a indicates these H₂ 427 molecules experience more local interaction with the H₂S 428 molecules themselves. Perhaps this is due to a greater dipole 429 moment on each H₂S, as the H bonding becomes enhanced at 430 higher pressures, which in turn contributes to the weakening 431 of the v_{IIIa} Raman mode at higher pressures, facilitating the 432 subsequent transformation to an extended sulfur-hydrogen 433 H₃S network. These results provide a benchmark for future 434 studies of the sulfur-hydrogen system. The enhanced stability 435 of $(H_2S)_2H_2$ and H_3S at low temperatures and the retention 436 of the S lattice structure contribute to understanding the 437 mechanisms by which other sulfur-hydride phases are adopted 438 at high pressures. 439

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

440

This work was supported by research grants from the 441 National Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 11874361, 442 No. 11404343, No. 51672279, No. 51727806, and No. 443 11774354), the CASHIPS Director's Fund (Grant No. 444 YZJJ201705), and CAS Innovation Fund (Grant No. CXJJ-445 19-B08). M.P.-A. acknowledges the support of the European 446 Research Council Grant Hecate Reference No. 695527 held 447 by G. Ackland. We acknowledge H. P. Liermann at the P02.2 448 beamline, DESY (Germany); D. Daisenberger and A. Kleppe 449 at the I15 beamline, Diamond Light source (United King-450 dom); the GeoSoilEnviroCARS beamline (The University 451 of Chicago) supported by the National Science Foundation 452 (Grant No. EAR 1634415) and the Department of Energy 453 (Grant No. DE-FG02-94ER14466), Advanced Photon Source 454 (APS), Argonne National Laboratory, under Contract No. DE-455 AC02-06CH11357. E.J.P. thanks M. Marques and G. Ackland 456 for fruitful discussions. 457

- A. P. Drozdov, M. I. Eremets, I. A. Troyan, V. Ksenofontov, and S. I. Shylin, Nature (London) 525, 73 (2015).
- [2] M. Einaga, M. Sakata, T. Ishikawa, K. Shimizu, M. I. Eremets, A. P. Drozdov, I. A. Troyan, N. Hirao, and Y. Ohishi, Nat. Phys. 12, 835 (2016).
- [3] R. Akashi, W. Sano, R. Arita, and S. Tsuneyuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 075503 (2016).
- [4] E. E. Gordon, K. Xu, H. Xiang, A. Bussmann-Holder, R. K. Kremer, A. Simon, J. Köhler, and M. H. Whangbo, Angew. Chem. Int. 55, 3682 (2016).



- [5] A. F. Goncharov, S. S. Lobanov, I. Kruglov, X.-M. Zhao, X.-J. Chen, A. R. Oganov, Z. Konôpková, and V. B. Prakapenka, Phys. Rev. B 93, 174105 (2016).
- [6] B. Guigue, A. Marizy, and P. Loubeyre, Phys. Rev. B 95, 020104(R) (2017).
- [7] I. Kruglov, R. Akashi, S. Yoshikawa, A. R. Oganov, and M. M. D. Esfahani, Phys. Rev. B 96, 220101(R) (2017).
- [8] R. Szczęśniak and A. P. Durajski, Sci. Rep. 8, 6037 (2018).
- [9] M. Kostrzewa, R. Szczęśniak, J. K. Kalaga, and I. A. Wrona, Sci. Rep. 8, 11957 (2018).
- [10] A. Majumdar, J. S. Tse, and Y. Yao, Sci. Rep. 9, 5023 (2019).
- [11] T. T. Cui, D. Chen, J. C. Li, W. Gao, and Q. Jiang, New J. Phys. 21, 033023 (2019).
- [12] Y. Li, J. Hao, H. Liu, Y. Li, and Y. Ma, J. Chem. Phys. 140, 174712 (2014).
- [13] D. Duan, Y. Liu, F. Tian, D. Li, X. Huang, Z. Zhao, H. Yu,
 B. Liu, W. Tian, and T. Cui, Sci. Rep. 4, 6968 (2014).
- [14] I. Errea, M. Calandra, C. J. Pickard, J. R. Nelson, R. J. Needs, Y. Li, H. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y. Ma, and F. Mauri, Nature (London) 532, 81 (2016).
- [15] T. A. Strobel, P. Ganesh, M. Somayazulu, P. R. C. Kent, and R. J. Hemley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 255503 (2011).
- [16] S. Duwal and C.-S. Yoo, J. Phys. Chem. C 121, 12863 (2017).
- [17] A. F. Goncharov, S. S. Lobanov, V. B. Prakapenka, and E. Greenberg, Phys. Rev. B 95, 140101(R) (2017).
- [18] A. P. Drozdov, M. I. Eremets, and I. A. Troyan, arXiv:1412.0460.
- [19] I. Troyan, A. Gavriliuk, R. Rüffer, A. Chumakov, A. Mironovich, I. Lyubutin, D. Perekalin, A. P. Drozdov, and M. I. Eremets, Science 351, 1303 (2016).
- [20] F. Capitani, B. Langerome, J. B. Brubach, P. Roy, A. Drozdov, M. I. Eremets, E. J. Nicol, J. P. Carbotte, and T. Timusk, Nat. Phys. 13, 859 (2017).
- [21] S. Mozaffari, D. Sun, V. S. Minkov, A. P. Drozdov, D. Knyazev, J. B. Betts, M. Einaga, K. Shimizu, M. I. Eremets, L. Balicas, and F. F. Balakirev, Nat. Commun. 10, 2522 (2019).
- [22] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.xx.xxxxx for additional Raman spectra, IR spectra, and diffraction data.
- [23] J. Binns, X.-D. Liu, P. Dalladay-Simpson, V. Afonina, E. Gregoryanz, and R. T. Howie, Phys. Rev. B 96, 144105 (2017).

- [24] J. Binns, P. Dalladay-Simpson, M. Wang, G. J. Ackland, E. Gregoryanz, and R. T. Howie, Phys. Rev. B 97, 024111 (2018).
- [25] R. Turnbull, M. E. Donnelly, M. Wang, M. Peña-Alvarez, C. Ji, P. Dalladay-Simpson, H. K. Mao, E. Gregoryanz, and R. T. Howie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 195702 (2018).
- [26] E. J. Pace, J. Binns, M. Peña Alvarez, P. Dalladay-Simpson, E. Gregoryanz, and R. T. Howie, J. Chem. Phys. 147, 184303 (2017).
- [27] A. F. Goncharov, Int. J. Spectrosc. **2012**, 16 (2012).
- [28] H. K. Mao, J. Xu, and P. M. Bell, J. Geophy. Res. **91**, 4673 (1986).
- [29] A. Dewaele, M. Torrent, P. Loubeyre, and M. Mezouar, Phys. Rev. B 78, 104102 (2008).
- [30] Y. Akahama and H. Kawamura, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **215**, 012195 (2010).
- [31] A. Dewaele, P. Loubeyre, and M. Mezouar, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 094112 (2004).
- [32] M. Hanfland, R. J. Hemley, H. K. Mao, and G. P. Williams, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1129 (1992).
- [33] H. Shimizu, H. Yamaguchi, S. Sasaki, A. Honda, S. Endo, and M. Kobayashi, Phys. Rev. B 51, 9391(R) (1995).
- [34] S. Sasaki and H. Shimizu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 3309 (1995).
- [35] J. S. Loveday, R. J. Nelmes, S. Klotz, J. M. Besson, and G. Hamel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1024 (2000).
- [36] M. Sakashita, H. Yamawaki, H. Fujihisa, K. Aoki, S. Sasaki, and H. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1082 (1997).
- [37] M. Sakashita, H. Fujihisa, Yamawaki, and K. Aoki, J. Phys. Chem. A 104, 8838 (2000).
- [38] S. Duwal and C.-S. Yoo, J. Phys. Chem. C 120, 21770 (2016).
- [39] X. Zhang, W. Xu, Y. Wang, S. Jiang, F. A. Gorelli, E. Greenberg, V. B. Prakapenka, and A. F. Goncharov, Phys. Rev. B 97, 064107 (2018).
- [40] E. J. Pace, J. Binns, P. Dalladay-Simpson, R. T. Howie, and M. Peña Alvarez, Phys. Rev. B 98, 106101 (2018).
- [41] J. A. Flores-Livas, A. Sanna, and E. K. U. Gross, Eur. Phys. J. B 89, 63 (2015).
- [42] H. Nakao, M. Einaga, M. Sakata, M. Kitagaki, K. Shimizu, S. Kawaguchi, N. Hirao, and Y. Ohishi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 123701 (2019).