

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Dietary restriction and insulinlike signalling pathways as adaptive plasticity

Citation for published version:

Regan, J, Froy, H, Walling, C, Moatt, J & Nussey, D 2019, 'Dietary restriction and insulinlike signalling pathways as adaptive plasticity: A synthesis and reevaluation', *Functional Ecology*, vol. 34, no. 1. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13418

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1111/1365-2435.13418

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Functional Ecology

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Regan, J., Froy, H., Walling, C., Moatt, J. and Nussey, D. (2019), Dietary restriction and insulinlike signalling pathways as adaptive plasticity: A synthesis and reevaluation. Funct Ecol. Accepted Author Manuscript. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13418, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13418. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Dietary restriction and insulin-like signalling pathways as adaptive plasticity: A synthesis and re-evaluation

Authors: Regan, J.C.¹, Froy, H.³, Walling, C.A.², Moatt, J.P.² & Nussey, D.H.^{1,2}

¹Institute for Immunology and Infection and ²Institute for Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Science, University of Edinburgh.

³Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim Norway.

Corresponding authors:

Jenny Regan and Dan Nussey, Ashworth Laboratories, The Kings Buildings, University of Edinburgh, Charlotte Auerbach Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FL. Emails: jenny.regan@ed.ac.uk; dan.nussey@ed.ac.uk

1 Abstract:

Dietary restriction (DR) under laboratory conditions generally extends lifespan and delays ageing across
 species as diverse as yeast, nematode worms, flies, and mice, and is underpinned by taxonomically
 conserved physiological pathways, notably the insulin-like signalling pathway (IIS). Despite growing
 excitement about the links between DR / IIS and ageing within biogerontology, our understanding of why
 the DR response and associated pathways evolved under natural selection remains controversial and
 limited.

8 2. Here, we provide a brief overview of current understanding of the relationship between DR and IIS and
9 ageing from modern biogerontology, and go on to summarise the evidence that the IIS pathway
10 integrates a range of important environmental cues including photoperiod, temperature and humidity,
11 as well as nutrition.

12 3. We go on to discuss the main existing evolutionary explanations for DR and argue that they are not 13 mutually exclusive and are too nutrition-focussed to fully explain the evolutionary origin of the IIS 14 pathway. In the wild, environmental cues and pressures are dynamic and multivariate, and physiological 15 pathways capable of integrating multiple predictive cues could be strongly favoured by natural selection. 16 4. We hypothesise that the IIS and related pathways evolved to detect and integrate a wide range of environmental cues (not just diet) that are predictive of important selective pressures in the wild. 17 18 Available evidence suggests the pathway is capable of triggering a range of phenotypic responses, 19 depending on the cues provided, ranging from profound physiological remodelling (e.g. diapause, 20 aestivation, hibernation) associated with promoting survival through challenging environments, to more 21 subtle responses to acute, fine-scale variation in the environment which may allow individuals to better 22 match their level of reproductive investment to their conditions.

5. We argue that the IIS pathway underpins important adaptive phenotypic plastic responses to a wide range of environmental inputs, of which diet is just one. A multi-disciplinary approach combining perspectives and methods from bio-gerontology, cell biology, ecology and evolutionary biology will be essential to develop our understanding of the evolutionary origins of this pathway and the way natural

27 selection and the environment have shaped variation in pathway's response to different environmental

28 cues.

29

- 30 Key words: cues; natural selection; nutrient sensing; phenotypic plasticity; photoperiod; mechanistic target
- 31 of rapamycin (mTOR); wild animals.

32

34 1. Introduction

35 Ageing, the deterioration of physiological function during adulthood, is a hugely complex and variable 36 process with devastating consequences for organismal health. It has historically been viewed as both an 37 intractable medical challenge in humans and as largely irrelevant to fitness in natural populations of animals 38 (Alic & Partridge, 2011; Nussey, Froy, Lemaitre, Gaillard, & Austad, 2013). In the last two decades or so, both 39 of these conceptions of the process have been spectacularly over-turned. Within biogerontology, a range of 40 cellular processes have been identified as key players in organismal ageing (Lopez-Otin, Blasco, Partridge, 41 Serrano, & Kroemer, 2013). Furthermore, a number of important and evolutionarily conserved genetic 42 pathways have been found to directly influence lifespan and ageing phenotypes in laboratory animals, raising 43 the possibility of developing clinical interventions that genuinely slow or delay senescence in humans (Partridge 2010). At the same time, a large and growing number of long-term, individual-based field studies 44 45 demonstrate that ageing is widely observed in wild animals and can play an important part in evolutionary 46 and ecological dynamics (Nussey et al., 2013; Robert, Chantepie, Pavard, Sarrazin, & Teplitsky, 2015; Colchero 47 et al., 2019). One of the most robust and widely studied interventions impacting lifespan and ageing in 48 laboratory studies is dietary restriction (DR; (Fontana, Partridge, & Longo, 2010; Speakman & Mitchell, 2011; 49 Simpson et al., 2017)). Across species as diverse as yeast, nematode worms, flies, and mice, the consistent 50 reduction of food intake in adulthood generally extends lifespan and reduces or delays the onset of ageing 51 phenotypes. Many of the key genetic and physiological pathways that impact lifespan and ageing in the lab 52 are so-called 'nutrient-sensing' (NS) pathways and are implicated in triggering the DR response (Fontana et 53 al., 2010). To date, the prevailing evolutionary explanation is that the response reflects a form of adaptive 54 phenotypic plasticity which promotes fitness by allowing the organism to survive challenging, food limited 55 environmental conditions (Flatt & Partridge, 2018; Shanley & Kirkwood; Holliday 1989). Despite the 56 considerable ongoing research efforts to understand the mechanisms involved under laboratory conditions, 57 surprisingly little consideration has been given to the coevolution of plasticity, life history and ageing or the 58 evolutionary forces which might have shaped and conserved both the DR response and NS pathways under 59 natural conditions. Here, we briefly introduce some key concepts relating to the evolution of phenotypic plasticity, before we move on to discuss a novel, synthetic perspective on what the DR response and NS
pathways actually represent in ecologically and evolutionarily realistic contexts.

62 Phenotypic plasticity is usually defined as the ability of a single genotype or individual to express different 63 phenotypes under different environmental conditions (Pigliucci, 2001). This definition encompasses two 64 conceptually distinct responses to the environment, which are not mutually exclusive. The first reflects the 65 environment acting on an organism's physiology to alter phenotype, and can encompass effects as 66 apparently trivial as rising temperature increasing the rate of enzymatic reactions and organism-wide 67 metabolism. Such effects are often referred to as environmental 'constraints' in the ecological literature. 68 Unsurprisingly, this form of plasticity is extremely widespread in nature and largely reflects eco-physiological 69 responses to environmental variation. The second type of plasticity arises through the evolution of sensory 70 and endocrine apparatus to detect information and cues in the environment and trigger selectively beneficial 71 physiological and phenotypic responses. We refer to this form of plasticity as 'predictive' plasticity, as it 72 involves the organisms reacting to information in the environment. Both types of plasticity can potentially 73 be adaptive, if past natural selection has acted to shape the genetic variation underpinning the response to 74 the environment (Pigliucci, 2001). There are many classical examples of adaptive plasticity; for example, 75 profound developmental switches in response to cues of predator presence that result in the development 76 of armour or spikes in water fleas (Tollrian, 1995), and passerine birds altering their timing of egg laying in 77 response to spring temperature in order to maximise food availability for their offspring (Phillimore, Leech, 78 Pearce-Higgins, & Hadfield, 2016). Predictive plasticity can be considered as irreversible and fixed once a 79 response has been triggered (as in the case of the morphological defences in water fleas) or reversible, with 80 individuals capable of switching phenotypes repeatedly in response to environmental variation (as in the case 81 of passerine egg laying).

Importantly from an evolutionary perspective, 'predictive' plasticity implies separation between the environmental cue an organism uses to trigger a phenotypic response and the environmental selective agent which affects fitness. Indeed, the cue and selective agent could be quite different aspects of the environment, for example when temperate organisms use photoperiod to trigger phenotypic changes to better cope with the challenges of winter. Or the cue may reflect the selective agent but be temporally separated; for example,

87 subtle changes in temperature acting as a cue for oncoming cold stress. On the other hand, 'constraint-based' 88 plasticity implies that the environmental selective agent is the immediate, direct physiological cause of the 89 plastic response. Evolutionary theory highlights a number of important considerations when thinking about 90 how and why an apparently adaptive predictive form of plasticity evolved. First, predictive plasticity is 91 generally expected to have fitness costs as well as benefits, and these may include time / energy costs of 92 getting information from the environment, resource costs of using the sensing / endocrine machinery and 93 physiological costs of actually mounting the phenotypic response (Auld, Agrawal, & Relyea, 2010; Dewitt, Sih, 94 & Wilson, 1998). The evolution of plasticity also depends crucially on the availability of genetic variation in 95 the phenotypic response to the environment (genotype-by-environment interactions, or G x E). If all 96 genotypes in a population respond to the environment in an identical way (regardless of whether this is 97 adaptive or not), then there is no G x E and no genetic variation in plasticity upon which natural selection can 98 operate. Another important consideration in evolutionary models of plasticity is the reliability of the cues 99 used to predict environmental selection and the fitness costs of mismatching phenotype and environment 100 (Chevin & Lande, 2015; Ratikainen & Kokko, 2019). Predictive plasticity can presage physiologically 101 unavoidable environmental challenges and allow the organism to maximise fitness under variable conditions 102 (Chevin & Lande, 2015). This idea is important in the context of DR, because in laboratory studies we usually 103 only consider responses to a focal environmental cue and rarely expose the organism to the environmental 104 conditions which the response has evolved to predict. Furthermore, whilst most theoretical and empirical 105 work in this area focuses on a single environmental cue and the response to it, there is growing awareness 106 that selection may act to favour predictive plasticity to multiple environment cues (Chevin & Lande, 2015). 107 Recent theory demonstrates that when predictive plasticity has evolved in response to multiple cues, results 108 of studies focussing on a single environmental cue can be counter-intuitive and misleading (Chevin & Lande, 109 2015).

Despite there being a long-standing and well-established theoretical literature on the evolution of ageing (Hamilton, 1966; Rose, 1994), it is notable that very little theoretical attention has been paid to the evolutionary interplay between lifespan, ageing and phenotypic plasticity (Fischer, van Doorn, Dieckmann, & Taborsky, 2014; Flatt, Amdam, Kirkwood, & Omholt, 2013; Ratikainen & Kokko, 2019). Furthermore, a 114 coherent synthesis of evolutionary hypotheses put forward to explain DR and NS pathways in the lab is 115 lacking. Here, we propose that the evolutionary conservation of both the DR response and associated 116 genetic/endocrine pathways that have been found to regulate lifespan and ageing in the lab can be explained 117 in terms of a very general form of adaptive predictive plasticity. We argue that this predictive response 118 integrates diverse forms of environmental information and allows animals to alter their physiology to varying 119 degrees. These alterations can both promote survival through periods of serious environmental challenge, 120 and ensure appropriate investment in growth and reproduction, to maximise fitness under variable 121 environmental conditions. Our hypothesis builds from syntheses presented by others (Flatt et al., 2013; Tatar 122 & Yin, 2001), which provide compelling evidence that in a range of invertebrates, including widely studied 123 laboratory nematodes and flies, multivariate changes in the environment predictive of sustained 124 environmental challenges (e.g. onset of winter or dry season) trigger various kinds of diapause. They argue 125 both that these responses represent an important form of adaptive predictive plasticity, which promote 126 survival at the expense of growth and reproduction, and that the endocrine pathways involved in regulating 127 this response include key NS pathways implicated in the response to DR.

128 Below, we first present our current state of knowledge on the DR response and NS pathways which may 129 underpin it, before discussing evidence that a particularly important NS network – the insulin / insulin-like 130 growth factor signalling and mechanistic Target Of Rapamycin pathways (IIS/mTOR) – actually acts to 131 integrate a remarkably broad suite of environmental inputs, in addition to diet. We go on to review and 132 attempt to synthesize existing evolutionary hypotheses to explain the DR response in lab animals, before 133 presenting a more general hypothesis. This views the IIS/TOR pathway as an integrator of multiple 134 environment inputs which ultimately triggers shifts in anabolic versus catabolic cellular activity along a 135 continuum from acute responses to fine-scale variation in environmental quality through to profound 136 physiological remodelling in response to cues for sustained environmental hardship. We end by discussing 137 how this hypothesis might be tested in both lab and field, along with the need for more theoretical and 138 empirical effort to understand the potential for coevolution among plasticity, life history and ageing.

140 **2.** Dietary restriction and IIS/TOR: Conserved pathways shaping lifespan in the lab

141 2.1. Dietary restriction: Laboratory-based research into the impact of DR on lifespan has a long history. In 142 1935, it was reported that restriction of calories without malnutrition extended the median and maximum 143 lifespan of rats, when compared with ad libitum feeding (McCay, Crowell, & Maynard, 1935). This was closely 144 followed by reports that DR could ameliorate other pathological features of ageing, including the 145 development of spontaneous tumours (McCay, Maynard, Sperling, & Barnes, 1939; Tannenbaum, 1942), and 146 the value of this manipulation for biogerontological research was first recognised (McDonald & Ramsey, 147 2010). DR remains the only known non-genetic manipulation that can extend lifespan in all species tested so far, including; yeast, the roundworm C. elegans, diptera including Drosophila, killifish, guppies, rodents, dogs 148 149 and rhesus monkeys (Fontana et al., 2010). Empirical demonstration of the promotion of longevity by DR 150 across a broad range of taxa has strengthened the argument for the strong evolutionary conservation of 151 mechanisms involved in the DR response (Flatt & Partridge, 2018).

152 The term 'dietary restriction' encompasses a diverse range of dietary manipulations in the laboratory involving a range of study species. The most widely studied manipulation is classical caloric restriction, where 153 154 calorie intake is restricted through either feeding a restricted food portion, dilution of a specific diet, or 155 temporal restriction of food availability (reviewed in Speakman & Mitchell (2011)). However, DR is also used 156 to describe macronutrient manipulations, ad lib feeding of a diet with a specific macronutrient content, and 157 comparing the effects of a range of different macronutrient compositions (Lee, 2015; Moatt et al., 2017). 158 These methodological differences and lack of consistency is a major challenge in the field of DR and makes 159 cross-study comparisons difficult, even within the same species. However, significant steps to improve the 160 consistency between studies has been made with the advent of nutritional geometry (Simpson et al., 2017) and elemental diets (Piper et al., 2014; 2017). It is also worth noting that laboratory studies within 161 162 biogerontology have tended to focus on the effect of DR on lifespan, using this as a proxy for ageing. Recent 163 studies do suggest that DR delays or ameliorates diverse phenotypes associated with ageing, including tissue pathology (Regan et al., 2016; Resnik-Docampo et al., 2017), body condition (Moatt et al., 2019), decline in 164 165 metabolic (Solon-Biet et al., 2014) and immune (Miller et al., 2005) systems, and susceptibility to infection 166 (Ponton et al., 2011). Inconsistencies in dietary manipulation and outcome measures notwithstanding, there

is now overwhelming evidence from laboratory studies that DR robustly extends lifespan and appears todelay ageing across distantly related animal species.

2.2 IIS and mTOR pathways: A resurgence of studies on DR and ageing in the latter part of the 20th century 169 170 (McDonald & Ramsey, 2010) came in hand with the first reports of genetic deletions that could spectacularly 171 extend lifespan in nematode worms (Klass, 1983). Importantly, several of the mutations that extended 172 lifespan in worms were found to be in genes encoding for elements of pathways that respond to nutrient 173 intake (so-called 'nutrient sensing' pathways). These include the insulin-like signalling (IIS) pathway and the 174 mechanistic Target of Rapamycin (mTOR) pathway (Kapahi, Kaeberlein, & Hansen, 2017). The ability of lowered signalling through these pathways to also extend lifespan in yeast (mTOR only), flies, and rodents, 175 176 suggested conservation of mechanisms across evolutionarily distant taxa (Fontana & Partridge, 2015).

177 What are the IIS and mTOR pathways, and what is their connection with diet? Broadly speaking, they are 178 signalling pathways that respond to inputs including nutrient levels in cells and tissues, and regulate the 179 switch between the energetically expensive building up of molecules and tissues (anabolism) and energy 180 releasing / conserving molecular breakdown (catabolism; Figure 1). These pathways are strongly conserved: 181 the mTOR pathway regulates energetics in single-celled eukaryotes through to mammals; while IIS ligands 182 are present as insulin-like peptides (ILPs) in arthropods, and insulin / IGF-1 in vertebrates. In vertebrates, 183 insulin is a major anabolic hormone: its release is induced by high blood glucose, it stimulates glucose 184 absorption into cells, and promotes glycogenesis and lipogenesis. It induces many other anabolic processes, 185 such as DNA replication and protein synthesis, and inhibits proteolysis and cellular recycling processes such 186 as autophagy (Figure 1). ILPs, signalling through the insulin receptor (InR/daf-2), perform comparable 187 functions in flies and worms (Nassel & Vanden Broeck, 2016). Insulin-like Growth Factor-1 (IGF-1), a hormone 188 closely related to insulin, is a major promotor of organismal growth in vertebrates. While arthropods do not 189 possess IGFs, they have growth-regulating steroid hormones (ecdysone and juvenile hormone) that are 190 regulated by IIS. mTOR is a protein kinase that forms two distinct multi-protein complexes named mTOR 191 complex 1 (mTORC1) and 2 (mTORC2). The mTORC1 pathway integrates inputs from intracellular and 192 extracellular cues, including growth factors, stress signals, energy status, oxygen, and amino acids, to control 193 anabolic processes including protein and lipid synthesis, cell growth, and cell cycle progression (Figure 1).

Growth factors that regulate mTOR include insulin and IGF (in mammals; ILPs in arthropods), acting through cognate effector kinases. Indeed, IIS and mTOR cross-regulate each other at several levels, and are more accurately described as a network than as distinct pathways (Laplante & Sabatini, 2012).

197 IIS/mTOR respond to DR and mediate many of its downstream effects. In a plethora of studies subjecting 198 rodents to DR, circulating levels of insulin and IGF-1 were shown to be lowered, remaining so throughout the 199 diet restriction period (Speakman & Mitchell, 2011). Calorie-restricted rhesus monkeys (Mattison et al., 200 2017), and humans taking part in randomized, controlled DR trials (Das, Balasubramanian, & Weerasekara, 201 2017), showed lowered insulin and IGF-1, improved glucose homeostasis, and increased insulin sensitivity. 202 Concordantly in flies, systemic dILP release by insulin-producing cells (IPCs) in the brain is virtually abolished 203 under DR, regulated via mTOR signalling in fat body cells (Geminard, Rulifson, & Leopold, 2009). FOXO/daf-204 16, a major downstream transcription factor of the IIS pathway (Figure 1), conserved from worms to 205 mammals, is inhibited by high insulin/ILPs under full feeding conditions, and is activated by DR, mediating 206 many of downstream effects of DR, such as autophagy and cellular protective mechanisms (Webb & Brunet, 207 2014; Webb, Kundaje, & Brunet, 2016). Similarly, lowered signalling through mTORC1, which regulates a 208 broad suite of responses to changes in nutrient levels, is required for the full lifespan extension observed 209 under DR in yeast, worms, and flies (Johnson, Rabinovitch, & Kaeberlein, 2013). Notably, other endocrine 210 systems are affected by DR, including leptin, adiponectin, and ghrelin in rodents, for example (Speakman & 211 Mitchell, 2011), and these are highly likely to be required for some of its downstream physiological effects. 212 The involvement of other signalling pathways is one probable reason why phenotypes under DR are not fully 213 recapitulated by genetic manipulations or drug treatments specifically targeting the IIS/mTOR network 214 (Garratt, Nakagawa, & Simons, 2016).

Despite the common use of the term, IIS/mTOR are not truly 'nutrient sensing', but are higher-order pathways that communicate nutrient status to the body to dictate physiological responses (Mirth & Piper, 2017). Changes in nutrient availability are directly monitored within cells by molecular sensors that bind metabolic by-products of macronutrients, such as glucose metabolites from ingested carbohydrates, amino acids from proteins, and fatty acids from lipids (Efeyan, Comb, & Sabatini, 2015). The status of nutrient

220 concentration is then communicated to the rest of the body via systemic signals, such as the IIS/mTOR221 network.

222 Importantly, these pathways respond to environmental variation in a manner consistent with a predictive 223 plastic response. Not only are they induced in response to immediate nutrient status as communicated by 224 true molecular sensors, but can also be activated *predictively*, such that their upregulation can be induced by 225 cues that anticipate a change in nutrient status. An example of this in mammals is the ability of taste 226 receptors to activate mTORC1 in response to a rise in levels of extracellular (or gut luminal) amino acids 227 without change in intracellular amino acid levels - an anticipatory mechanism for increasing anabolism in response to sensing ingested protein (Wauson et al., 2012). Similarly, in C. elegans, several olfactory and 228 229 chemosensory neurons regulate the secretion of insulin-like peptides, where stimulation of these neurons in 230 the absence of nutrients is able to induce IIS activation (Fontana & Partridge, 2015; Kenyon, 2005). As such, 231 IIS/TOR are predictive pathways that respond to both immediate nutrient status within tissues, and predicted 232 changes in nutrient status as communicated by other sensory systems. In response to high nutrient levels, or 233 their predicted increase, signalling through the IIS/TOR network induces anabolic processes at both the 234 molecular level (glycogenesis, lipogenesis) and tissue level (cell growth and division; Figure 1). Conversely, 235 low nutrient abundance induces a rapid switch by attenuation of these pathways towards catabolic processes 236 such as nutrient recycling and limited growth (Figure 1). It has been hypothesised that this predictive plastic 237 response, underpinned by IIS/mTOR pathways, meets a fundamental need for organisms to match 238 energetically expensive actions such as growth and reproduction with environmental nutrient availability 239 and, as such, has been strongly favoured by natural selection and broadly conserved across taxa (Flatt et al., 240 2013; Laplante & Sabatini, 2012).

Our understanding of the function and fitness costs and benefits of the DR response and IIS/mTOR pathways under natural conditions is currently limited. Understandably, within biogerontology, the focus is generally on translation to humans in a clinical setting, and the goal is interventionist delay of ageing through the identification of druggable targets (Vaiserman, Lushchak, & Koliada, 2016). There is a strong argument that laboratory conditions are effective at modelling human health and ageing in developed countries, given our temperature-controlled living environments, relative lack of pathogen challenge, and 'ad libitum' eating

247 habits. However, studies in model organisms in controlled laboratory conditions fall short when it comes to 248 testing ideas about the evolution of the DR response and IIS pathways in the wild for several reasons. First, 249 laboratory animals are very rarely raised on diets that resemble those available in the wild (although see 250 Moatt et al. (2019)) and, in addition, often have unlimited access to food. This has been used to support the 251 argument that lifespan extension by DR in lab animals is simply the result of curbing the damaging effects of 252 obesity, or an otherwise toxic diet (Adler & Bonduriansky, 2014; Speakman & Mitchell, 2011). Second, inbred, 253 lab-adapted animals may have been selected for rapid growth, and early or high fecundity, and therefore 254 their physiological responses to DR may not reflect those of wild populations (Austad & Kristan, 2003). Third, 255 DR regimes are usually chronically maintained over the life course and consider the manipulation of only one 256 component of the environment (food). This is unrepresentative of natural populations in which nutrient 257 availability typically varies dramatically in space and time and is accompanied by many other environmental 258 cues and challenges (e.g. cold, parasites, competition, and water availability). Finally, in the laboratory the 259 manipulation of dietary cues is not accompanied by any form of selective pressure, unlike in the wild where 260 a change in food availability may be accompanied by, or presage, a series of environmental challenges 261 exerting natural selection on any phenotypically plastic response. This makes assessing the fitness costs and 262 benefits of a particular plastic response associated with IIS/mTOR signalling from standard laboratory studies 263 very challenging.

Given the essential role for communication of nutrient status by IIS/mTOR, and the comparable effect on lifespan to DR by their genetic attenuation, the nutrient-sensing role for these pathways have generally been the point of focus in biogerontology (Alic & Partridge, 2011; Johnson et al., 2013). However, there is mounting evidence that these pathways integrate many more cues than just nutritional status, and increasing appreciation of their likely evolutionary significance as regulators of predictive plasticity. To better understand the evolutionary significance of DR and IIS/mTOR signalling, we focus on three broad but largely unanswered questions:

271

1. What are the environmental cues that IIS/mTOR pathways have evolved to respond to?

- What are the fitness benefits of the plastic response regulated by IIS/mTOR *in the wild*, and what
 are the selective pressures that the plastic response has evolved to match phenotype/life history
 to?
- 275 3. Are there likely to be costs of this plasticity in the wild that could also impact how selection acts?

277 3. Environmental cues: IIS/TOR pathways are responding to more than just diet

It is clear that IIS/TOR pathways are exquisitely sensitive to changes in nutrient levels, and play a pivotal role in regulating physiological responses to these changes. However, there is also abundant evidence they are integrating and responding to a very broad suite of environmental cues, in addition to nutrient status, all of which could predict very significant aspects of environmental pressures on wild animals. These 'other' cues have been largely side-lined in debates over the adaptive nature of these pathways. We suggest that in order to properly understand the phenotypic plasticity regulated by these pathways, the integration of other cues must be considered. Presented as a table below, are environmental cues, apart from nutrition, that have been demonstrated to impact IIS/mTOR signalling to modify physiology in lab studies or in agricultural / aquacutural settings.

Table 1 – Environmental cues integrated by IIS/mTOR. FOXO (Forkhead box O): transcription factor;Hif-1:
 Hypoxia-inducible factor 1; IGF-1/2: insulin-like growth factor 1/2; ILP: insulin-like peptide; JNK: c-Jun N terminal kinases; Ppk28 (Pickpocket28): a water-sensing protein; REDD1/2: regulated in development and
 DNA damage response 1/2. Note that all examples are in response to artificial not natural cues.

Cue	Species	IIS/mTOR	Up/downstream	Physiological	References
		component	molecular	response	
			regulation		
Photoperiod	The mosquito, <i>Culex</i>	IIS / FOXO	Juvenile	Adult	(Sim & Denlinger, 2008;
(day length)	pipiens		hormone (JH)	(reproductive)	Sim, Kang, Kim, Bai, &
				diapause	Denlinger, 2015)
	Teleost fish e.g. rainbow	IGF-1		Progression from	(Taylor, Migaud, Porter,
	trout, salmon			adolescence to	& Bromage, 2005;
				spawning	Taylor, Porter, Bromage,
					& Migaud, 2008)
	Dairy cattle	IGF-1		Lactation	(Dahl, Buchanan, &
					Tucker, 2000; Peters,
					Chapin, Leining, &
					Tucker, 1978)
Photoperiod +	Drosophila	IIS / dILP1 /	H	Adult diapause	(Kucerova et al., 2016;
temperature		dILPs 2-5			Liu, Liao, Veenstra, &
					Nassel, 2016; Ojima,
					Hara, Ito, & Yamamoto,
					2018; Schiesari,
					Andreatta, Kyriacou,
					O'Connor, & Costa,
					2016; Schiesari,
					Kyriacou, & Costa, 2011)
Circadian	Drosophila	IIS / mTOR		Night sleep	(Metaxakis et al., 2014)
rhythm				(FOXO)	
(day/night)				Daytime activity	
				(mTOR)	
	Human cell lines	mTOR	Mg ²⁺	Cellular energetics	(Feeney et al., 2016)
				(ATP)	

Temperature	Drosophila	IIS		Adult diapause	(Anduaga, Nagy, Costa,
					& Kyriacou, 2018; but
					see (Nagy et al., 2018))
	Drosophila	IIS		Body size	Li, Q. & Z. Gong, 2015)
	Rainbow trout,	IGF-1	Growth	Progression from	(Gabillard et al., 2003)
	Oncorhynchus mykiss		Hormone (GH)	adolescence to	
				spawning	
	Garter snake	IGF-1 / IGF-2		Growth	(Reding, D. M., et al
	(Thamnophis elegans)				2016)
Water	Drosophila	FOXO	Ppk28	Metabolic	(Waterson et al., 2014)
				regulation,	
				ageing, longevity	
Salinity	Golden spiny mouse	(insulin)	vasopressin	Reproductive	(Shanas & Haim, 2004)
				repression	
	Gilthead sea bream	IGF-1		Osmotic	(Mohammed-Geba, K.,
	(Sparus aurata)			acclimation	Mancera, J.M. &
					Martínez-Rodríguez,
					2015)
Oxygen	Mouse	mTOR	Hif-1;	Growth regulation	(Brugarolas et al., 2004)
			REDD1 and		
			REDD2		
	Drosophila	mTOR	Hif-1	Growth regulation	(Reiling & Hafen, 2004)
Infection /	Drosophila	IIS	Toll, JNK	Increased immune	(DiAngelo, Bland,
immune				response,	Bambina, Cherry, &
challenge				decreased energy	Birnbaum, 2009; Wang,
				stores & growth	Bohmann, & Jasper,
					2005)

306 3.1. Non-dietary cues and the IIS/mTOR pathway: Sensing changes in photoperiod is essential to organisms 307 in non-equatorial regions that need to time physiological events to predictable, annual changes. This is of 308 fundamental importance to overwintering mammals and insects, who change their physiology dramatically 309 through hibernation, torpor, or diapause to survive challenging conditions, and who must also reverse 310 metabolic depression in readiness to reproduce as conditions improve (Hut, Dardente, & Riede, 2014). There 311 is growing evidence in insects that the IIS pathway is sensitive to photoperiod changes, and is involved in 312 regulating downstream physiological responses (reviewed in (Flatt et al., 2013; Sim & Denlinger, 2013; Wu & 313 Storey, 2016)). In Drosophila, IIS controls the entry into adult diapause (essentially a reversible state of 314 reproductive arrest, also referred to as reproductive dormancy) in concert with the steroid Juvenile Hormone 315 (JH). The IIS/JH axis responds to short photoperiod in combination with lowered temperatures in fruit flies 316 (Kucerova et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016; Ojima et al., 2018; Schiesari et al., 2016; Schiesari et al., 2011), and 317 similarly, controls overwintering adult diapause in the mosquito Culex pipiens (Sim & Denlinger, 2008; Sim et 318 al., 2015). Entry into diapause in C. pipiens requires activity of FOXO (Sim & Denlinger, 2008; Sim et al., 2015), 319 a transcription factor activated upon low IIS (Figure 1). Neural light-sensing mechanisms in insects induce 320 hormonal cascades in response to changing photoperiodicity (Schiesari et al., 2011), which regulate IIS (Stenvers, Scheer, Schrauwen, la Fleur, & Kalsbeek, 2019). Although steps in the pathway leading from 321 322 perception of daylength to generation of the adult diapause phenotype are just beginning to be unravelled 323 (Andreatta, Kyriacou, Flatt, & Costa, 2018; Ojima et al., 2018), IIS regulation of steroid hormones including 324 JH, which control developmental transitions in holometabolous insects, is key. In addition to these complex 325 endocrinological networks, simpler photo-sensitive molecular oscillators exist. For example, light-driven, circadian Mg²⁺ oscillations directly regulate mTOR in mammalian cells through to algae (Feeney et al., 2016), 326 327 linking metabolism and growth to circadian, and potentially photoperiodic, cycles (van Ooijen & O'Neill, 328 2016). Given the extent of IIS/mTOR cross-regulation, this presents a potential mechanism for direct 329 transmission of photoperiodic information by mTOR to IIS-regulated processes (e.g. Metaxakis et al., 2014).

Ruminant mammals in temperate zones time reproductive events by photoperiod to ensure young are born in spring/summer and not during challenging seasons (Zerbe et al., 2012). Lactation in cows has been demonstrated to be sensitive to photoperiod (Peters et al., 1978), and artificial long day lengths are employed

333 as a reliable method to boost milk production in dairy cattle (Dahl et al., 2000). Studies have shown that IGF-334 1 is sensitive to photoperiod in cows, is galactopoietic, and mediates the lactation response to long 335 photoperiod (Dahl, 2000). In teleost fish such as rainbow trout and salmon, IGF-1 levels have also been shown 336 to be regulated by photoperiod (Taylor et al., 2005; Taylor et al., 2008), and determine progression from 337 adolescence to spawning. Empirical data exists for these two species due to the economic importance of 338 maximising output in fisheries/farming industries; nonetheless, the fact that very different species (e.g. 339 teleost fish and cows) are demonstrated to have photoperiod-sensitive IGF signalling regulating features of 340 reproduction, suggests that this could be a general feature across vertebrates. Animals in habitats that do 341 not have large photoperiodic shifts, or in environments where photoperiodicity does not predict seasonal 342 change such as wet/dry, need to time life history events using other cues. In arid environments where water 343 is limiting, animals modify their metabolism and transition to reproductive arrest during dry periods (Geiser, 344 2010), for example, the desert-dwelling golden spiny mouse, responds to dietary salinity to repress 345 reproduction, via vasopressin (Shanas & Haim, 2004), which is known to respond to insulin and blood glucose 346 levels (Nakamura, Velho, & Bouby, 2017).

347 Ectothermic reptiles, such as snakes and lizards, which demonstrate high metabolic flexibility, regulate IGF-348 1, and consequently growth, in response to temperature shifts (Sparkman, Byars, Ford & Bronikowski, 2010). 349 For example, there is evidence for the regulation of both growth and reproduction by IGF-1 in response to 350 temperature in the brown house snake, Lamprophis fuliginosus, suggesting a key role for IGF-1 in determining 351 ecotypes with different life history strategies (Sparkman, Byars, Ford & Bronikowski, 2010). Furthermore, 352 the act of mating itself can induce physiological changes regulated by IIS. In L. fuliginosus, IGF-1 peaks rapidly 353 after first mating, and in addition, positively correlates with increased feeding rates (Sparkman, Byars, Ford 354 & Bronikowski, 2010), potentially predictively linking nutrient intake with anticipated reproductive effort. In 355 Drosophila, the absorptive capacity of the intestine is predictively changed by mating, by increasing cell size 356 and intestinal stem cell division, and increasing lipid metabolism in enterocytes. This occurs not in response 357 to changes in nutrients and/or the demands of egg production, but preceding them (Reiff et al., 2015), and this organ size plasticity increases fecundity. Mating-induced gut growth is induced by JH, and both JH release 358 359 and intestinal stem cell division are regulated by IIS (O'Brien, Soliman, Li, & Bilder, 2011; Rauschenbach et

al., 2017; Tu, Yin, & Tatar, 2005). This is particularly interesting, as mating should be a good cue that an
organism needs to mobilise resources for reproductive investment. Plastic gut growth to support lactation
(or in response to intermittent feeding) is a common feature in small mammals, particularly those with large
litter sizes (Carey, 1990; Dunel-Erb et al., 2001). The endocrine axis triggering this is not known, but the role
for IGF-1 in adult intestinal growth in mammals (Howarth, Cool, Bourne, Ballard, & Read, 1998; Van
Landeghem et al., 2015) demonstrates conservation of IIS-signalling in remodelling gut physiology.

366 Arresting reproduction and growth to avoid periods of pathogenic challenge is also regulated through 367 IIS/mTOR in insects (Schwenke, Lazzaro & Wolfner, 2016). Activation of both Toll (broadly induced by gram 368 positive bacterial and fungal infection) and JNK (induced by stress and immune challenge) pathways reduces 369 IIS in flies (Wang et al., 2005; DiAngelo et al., 2009). Indeed, immune pathways and IIS/TOR are reciprocally 370 antagonistic, where FOXO activity upon low IIS increases transcription of immune genes (Becker et al 2010), 371 as does low mTOR via the activity of a related TF, Forkhead (Varma, Bülow, Pesch, Loch, & Hoch 2014). In 372 fact, IIS mutant flies resist infection better than their non-mutant controls (Libert, Chao, Zwiener, & Pletcher 373 2008). Reciprocal regulation of IIS and pathogen-sensing systems may predictively conserve energy in 374 anticipation of a sustained immune challenge; and conversely, during periods of low nutrient intake, 375 upregulate immune defence genes prophylactically (Schwenke et al., 2016). Indeed, upregulation of immune 376 response genes, including certain antimicrobial peptides, has been demonstrated during adult diapause in 377 Drosophila (Kubrak, Kucerova, Theopold, & Nassel, 2014).

378

379 *3.2. Multiple cue integration by IIS/TOR:* In the wild, environmental challenges will rarely occur in isolation. 380 Food and water scarcity, extremes of temperature, and parasite challenges often come in complex, but 381 potentially predictable multivariate packages. In temperate regions, seasonal changes are predictable, if 382 somewhat variable in onset, force, and duration. The ability to predictively sense and respond to seasonal 383 and within-season fluctuations with appropriate metabolic and reproductive strategies should increase 384 fitness. Considering this, it makes sense that selection would favour pathways that respond in an integrative 385 way, and respond in a similar way to multiple different cues. Winter is an obvious example of a combined

386 package of environmental stresses: cold temperatures, high humidity and food scarcity. Animals approaching 387 winter need to predict its arrival well in advance to implement preparatory strategies such as increased 388 appetite and coat growth. It should also be advantageous to respond to more subtle fluctuations such as a 389 warm autumn, or an early-onset winter. These fluctuations may not be sufficiently communicated by a single, 390 fixed cue, such as photoperiod (Kumar et al., 2010), and may be why initiation into reproductive arrest in 391 overwintering insects requires the combination of thermal and light cues, for example (Schiesari et al., 2011). 392 Emergence from challenging seasons must also be appropriately timed; both with respect to reversal of 393 metabolic depression strategies, and resuming reproduction, both of which will have disastrous 394 consequences for fitness if initiated too soon. Combinatorial cue sensing will be crucial in this context, where 395 the onset of favourable conditions may be highly variable year on year.

The importance of particular cues will change depending on the environment; e.g. using temperature and rainfall, but not photoperiod, will be important for equatorial species, as is the case for the regulation of reproduction in the arid zone passerine bird, the sociable weaver (Mares, Doutrelant, Paquet, Spottiswoode, & Covas, 2017). Some animals take an opportunistic breeding strategy when favourable conditions are highly unpredictable, such as the Darwin's finch, *Geospiza fuliginosa*, which responds to changes in barometric pressure, humidity and water availability to increase gonad size and breed opportunistically after rain (Hau, Wikelski, Gwinner, & Gwinner, 2004).

Although environmental cue integration has been empirically demonstrated in diverse species (e.g. (Hau et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2016; Phillimore et al., 2016; Schiesari et al., 2011)) our understanding of how this integration occurs is very limited. For example, data from overwintering species strongly suggests that IIS/TOR signalling is key to induction of metabolic repression (Flatt et al., 2013; Sim & Denlinger, 2013; Wu & Storey, 2016), and that integration of photoperiod and thermal cues are important for its onset (e.g. Sim & Denlinger, 2008; Sim et al., 2015). However, studies to elucidate the molecular basis for this integration are so far lacking.

410 It is important to make the point here that the environmental cue(s) being sensed may or may not be the
411 environmental force that is being anticipated. For example, changes to photoperiod are likely not detrimental

in themselves to fitness, but act as a cue for oncoming temperature and weather changes. Similarly, changes in available macronutrients, as might be modelled by a DR regime in the lab, may be acting as a cue for severe food shortages at a later date. There is a clear need to understand how cues, that have so far been studied in isolation in the lab, are being integrated by IIS/TOR, and what the associated fitness costs and benefits of mounting the physiological responses to these cues are, under challenging or variable conditions.

417

418 **4.** A synthesis of existing evolutionary explanations for the DR response

419 In the previous sections, we outlined the DR paradigm and the conserved role of the IIS pathway in this 420 response and other aspects of organismal life history and then reviewed extensive evidence that IIS/TOR 421 integrate a very wide range of environmental cues, are far more than just 'nutrient sensing' pathways, and 422 are more correctly viewed as an 'environment-sensing' network. We now move on to review the main 423 hypotheses that have been put forward to explain the evolution and conservation of the DR response in the 424 laboratory, and ask how well supported these ideas are by currently available data. We argue that, although 425 sometimes set up as alternatives, these current hypotheses are in many respects complementary and can be 426 synthesised under a broader conceptualisation of the DR responses as a powerful form of predictive 427 plasticity.

428 The so-called resource reallocation hypothesis (RRH; Shanley & Kirkwood, 2000) explains the DR response 429 using ideas derived from the disposable soma theory of ageing (Kirkwood, 1977). This theory explains the 430 evolution of ageing as the result of resource allocation trade-offs between reproduction and somatic 431 maintenance. It argues that, since the force of natural selection weakens with age (Hamilton, 1966), 432 investment of limited resources in reproduction in early life should generally be favoured by selection over 433 investment in long-term organismal maintenance and homeostasis (Kirkwood, 1977). Importantly, the 434 degree to which early life reproduction is favoured over maintenance depends on the specifics of the 435 organism's life history and the environmental pressures it faces (Flatt et al., 2013; Shanley & Kirkwood, 2000). Shanley & Kirkwood (2000) argued and illustrated with a dynamic resource allocation model that, during 436 437 periods of 'famine' (i.e. reduced resource availability), natural selection could favour a plastic switch in life

438 history allocation from reproduction to maintenance. This would allow organisms to survive through 439 challenging periods, when the fitness pay-offs of reproduction could be low due to reduced offspring survival 440 and the costs of reproduction could be raised due to poor environmental conditions, and then switch their 441 resource allocation strategy back towards reproduction when environmental conditions improved (Shanley 442 & Kirkwood, 2000). This hypothesis invokes a form of predictive plasticity in which the environmental cue is 443 diet-related (resource availability) and the response is a switch in resource allocation from reproduction to 444 maintenance or vice-versa. The selective benefit of the plastic response in the wild would lie in the ability to 445 better survive periods of famine (when chances of successful reproduction are slim) and maximise 446 reproductive output when conditions are favourable. Under standard DR laboratory conditions, this plastic response would mean that keeping animals on a restricted diet results in increased investment in 447 448 maintenance and hence longer lifespan and a reduction in ageing phenotypes.

449 The fact that the lifespan increase under DR observed in the laboratory is commonly associated with reduced 450 fecundity or even infertility has been interpreted as support for the RRH hypothesis (Ball, Barnes, & Visscher, 451 1947; Chapman & Partridge, 1996). Further support comes from evidence that manipulation of IIS/mTOR 452 pathways dramatically affects growth and reproduction. Attenuated signalling through IIS/mTOR, achieved 453 through either genetic manipulation, drug treatment or dietary restriction, is costly for reproduction (Alic & 454 Partridge, 2011). For example, Drosophila insulin receptor (InR) hypomorphic mutants or InR-substrate chico 455 mutants are sterile, presenting arrested egg development (Clancy et al., 2001; Tatar et al., 2001). 456 Furthermore, Drosophila InR null mutants are embryonic lethal (Fernandez, Tabarini, Azpiazu, Frasch, & Schlessinger, 1995), illustrating the essential role for the IIS pathway during embryogenesis. The requirement 457 458 for IIS/mTOR during development is conserved: mTOR mutant mice are early embryonic lethal (Murakami et 459 al., 2004), and Igf1r-/- mice are severely growth restricted and die shortly after birth (Liu, Baker, Perkins, 460 Robertson, & Efstratiadis, 1993). Furthermore, the extension of these disposable soma-related ideas to 461 explain the wide range of diapause responses to more diverse environmental challenges in invertebrates 462 (Flatt et al., 2013; Tatar & Yin, 2001) suggests broadly conserved pathways such as IIS may be involved in 463 crucial predictive plastic responses which allow organisms to survive periods of challenging environmental 464 conditions. A broad range of developmental and reproductive diapause and dormancy phenotypes across

taxa - including nematode worms, fruit flies, butterflies, grasshoppers and blow flies - appear consistent with adaptive predictive plasticity to down-regulate growth and reproduction to preserve survival prospects under severe environmental challenge (Tatar & Yin, 2001). Interestingly, the IIS pathways has been implicated in regulating these responses in many of these examples (Flatt et al., 2013; Tatar & Yin, 2001). Although not directly related to diet and the DR response, these examples lend strong support to the idea that predictive plastic responses which allow organisms to switch to physiological states that maximise survival prospects under environmental challenge are prevalent in nature.

472 However, recent evidence suggests the RRH does not offer a complete explanation for the observed DR 473 response in the laboratory. For example, several studies have now shown that a DR response can be triggered 474 without manipulating resource availability, by manipulating genetically or pharmacologically the signalling 475 pathways underlying the response (e.g. IIS and mTOR: Fontana & Partridge, 2015). It has been argued that 476 this undermines the RRH (Adler & Bonduriansky, 2014), although if the RRH response reflects an adaptive 477 form of predictive plasticity that uses dietary inputs as cues to trigger resource allocation shifts then we 478 would still expect to see a plastic response if the signalling pathways were manipulated without changing the 479 dietary input. Perhaps more troubling is mounting evidence that the trade-off between reproduction and 480 survival, which underpins the RRH, is not straightforward and can be circumvented under laboratory 481 conditions such that DR responses can occur independent of any costs of reproduction (Dick, Ross, & 482 Yampolsky, 2011; Grandison, Piper, & Partridge, 2009; O'Brien, Min, Larsen & Tatar, 2008; Mirth & Piper, 483 2017). Indeed, recent studies which allowed fruit flies populations to evolve for 50 generations under 484 different constant dietary conditions showed that sex-dependent lifespan differences emerged between 485 lines but these were not accompanied by expected antagonistic changes in fecundity, arguing against a role 486 for resource reallocation trade-offs (Zajitschek et al., 2014; 2018). In our opinion, the evidence available does 487 not necessarily preclude the fundamental idea encompassed by the RRH that the DR response and IIS/mTOR 488 pathways evolved to allow organisms to maximise survival versus reproductive function under variable 489 environments. However, evidence strongly suggests that the notion that this conserved plastic response is 490 mechanistically driven by resource allocation trade-offs between these two aspects of an organism's life

491 history is overly-simplistic and does not fit with our current understanding of the physiological and cellular
 492 responses to DR and IIS/mTOR manipulation.

493 More recently, Adler & Bonduriansky (2014) pointed out that DR and reduction of IIS/TOR pathway signalling 494 appears generally to dis-inhibit (up-regulate) autophagy / apoptosis and cellular recycling mechanisms, whilst 495 up-regulation of these pathways and ad lib feeding inhibit those mechanisms and increase catabolic 496 processes involved in cellular growth and proliferation. They argue that it is this switch between anabolic, 497 cellular recycling function and catabolic cellular growth and proliferation function that underpins the DR 498 response and the way IIS modulates longevity in the laboratory. While this is much more explicit about and 499 in keeping with what we know about the physiology of the DR response and associated pathways than the 500 RRH hypothesis, it remains at least potentially consistent in the sense that the response could still have 501 evolved to switch physiological state towards greater maintenance (cellular recycling, autophagy) versus 502 growth and reproduction (cellular growth and replication). When conditions are good and resources are 503 plentiful (i.e. ad lib feeding conditions) it would make evolutionary sense to upregulate catabolic processes 504 that promote cell replication and growth and, in turn, organismal growth and reproduction. However, Adler 505 & Bonduriansky (2014) argue that, contrary to the RRH, the IIS and related pathways have not evolved to 506 promote organismal survival under resource limitation / harsh environmental conditions. Despite 507 considerable evidence that DR / IIS-inhibited animals are in many respects stress resistant in the laboratory 508 (e.g. Broughton et al., 2010; Gronke, Clarke, Broughton, Andrews, & Partridge, 2010), they argue that that 509 reduced catabolism under DR limits the ability to mount immune and wound-healing responses, tolerate cold 510 temperatures, compete for resources and avoid predation, all of which would negatively impact chances of 511 survival in the wild (Adler & Bonduriansky, 2014). They contend that the benefits of investing in somatic 512 maintenance and cell/nutrient recycling processes are likely to be very limited under natural conditions due 513 to generally high mortality, and that the DR response instead represents a mechanism to maintain the short-514 term ability to reproduce under challenging environmental conditions (Adler & Bonduriansky, 2014).

In our opinion, there are serious problems with this as a general explanation for the evolution and conservation the DR response and IIS pathway (see also Le Bourg, 2014). First and most strikingly, the assumption that the ability to survive challenging environmental conditions, even at cost to short-term

518 reproduction, offers little general selective benefit in the wild is clearly fallacious. It ignores the diverse forms 519 of facultative diapause in short-lived vertebrates, which promote survival during challenging conditions 520 through a temporary cessation of growth or reproduction, which are clearly adaptive and ubiquitous 521 (discussed above). Furthermore, it ignores the many forms of torpor and seasonal hypo-metabolism 522 observed in wild vertebrates which are widely accepted as adaptive mechanisms to promote survival by 523 reducing metabolism and diverse physiological functions going into times of extreme environmental hardship 524 (e.g. Signer, 2011; Turbill, Ruf, Mang, & Arnold, 2011). Animals do not grow or reproduce in these quiescent 525 states, but there has evidently been strong selection favouring the evolution of predictive plastic machinery 526 to trigger switches into these non-reproductive states. Secondly, while there is no doubt that mortality risks 527 are very different and generally higher in the wild than in the laboratory, the notion that short-term reproduction is generally going to be favoured by natural selection over both short- and long-term survival 528 529 prospects seems very unlikely. There is very clear evidence from across a broad range of animal taxa that 530 senescence is both widely observed in the wild and can strongly impact the dynamics of natural populations 531 (Bonduriansky & Brassil, 2002; Nussey et al., 2013). There is strong ecological evidence that adult mortality 532 risk is under very strong selection and that adult survival is a key factor in the population dynamics of many 533 vertebrate systems (Colchero et al., 2019; Robert et al., 2015). Adler & Bonduriansky (2014) present their 534 hypothesis as a general explanation for the evolution of DR responses / IIS pathways that is in contrast to the 535 RRH. We see merit in its more explicit consideration of the cellular and physiological processes involved and 536 certainly can envisage circumstances under which a maintaining reproductive function under environmental 537 challenge might confer a fitness advantage in the wild. But we think current evidence argues that this cannot provide a general explanation for the DR response / IIS pathway across organisms of differing life 538 539 expectancies experiencing wildly varying environmental conditions.

The RRH and Adler & Bonduriansky's hypothesis are both compatible with the DR response and IIS pathway's involvement in lifespan extension being part of an evolutionarily conserved predictive plastic response to environmental cues. However, many have argued that effects of DR under laboratory conditions reflect a form of reactive plasticity or 'constraint'. Some have argued that *ad libitum* fed control groups may over-feed and that their reduced lifespan reflects pathological health consequences of this over-eating relative to more

545 naturalistic feeding levels observed in DR groups (Speakman & Mitchell, 2011). More recently, research 546 exploring the role of different macro- and micro-nutrients in the DR response, have observed that fecundity 547 is maximised but survival is reduced under high relative protein intake (Grandison et al., 2009; Lee, 2015). 548 The emergent 'toxic protein' hypothesis states that while protein is required for reproduction, consumption 549 of too much protein has pathological consequences manifesting in reduced late-life health and lifespan (e.g. 550 (Fanson, Fanson, & Taylor, 2012). However, the suggestion of a physiological cost of protein ingestion is 551 overly simplistic. Whilst there is evidence consistent with high protein consumption being associated with 552 reduced lifespan, this only fits when specifically looking at protein intake relative to intake of other 553 macronutrients (Grandison et al., 2009; Lee, 2015; Maklakov et al., 2008; Solon-Biet et al., 2014) with 554 increasing evidence that the non-protein component of the diet can also have direct effects on lifespan (e.g. 555 (Jensen, Schal, & Silverman, 2015; Maklakov et al., 2008; Moatt et al., 2019). Furthermore, such a direct 556 physiological effect of protein on either reproduction or longevity does not offer any explanation for why a 557 cue and signal based system of predictive plasticity, such as the IIS pathway, would evolve. Lifespan extension 558 can be achieved purely through manipulation of the signalling pathways, which is not consistent with protein 559 having a direct, toxic effect on lifespan. That said, such passive plastic responses of organismal physiology to 560 variation in dietary input could play a major role in explaining observed effects of DR experiments in the 561 laboratory or responses to food intake in the wild, and could occur alongside predictive plastic responses 562 triggered by IIS signalling. It is also possible that relative levels of protein intake could be used by predictive 563 pathways (such as mTOR) as an environmental cue to trigger physiological switches that would allow 564 organisms to optimally time pulses of growth or reproduction with regard to protein availability in their 565 environment.

566

567 **5.** A more general hypothesis for the evolution of DR pathways

568 None of the existing theories, discussed in the previous section, provide a complete and satisfactory answer 569 to the question of why lifespan extending pathways such as IIS have evolved under natural selection, and 570 why they appear so conserved across distantly related animal taxa. In the laboratory, for obvious reasons, 571 we tend to manipulate single environmental variables and hold all else as constant as possible. The DR effect

572 on lifespan and ageing has emerged entirely from this approach with relatively little consideration of 573 ecological and evolutionary pressures that might shape such a response under natural conditions. We have argued that the evolutionary conservation of IIS/mTOR pathways and experimental demonstration that their 574 effects on phenotype can be independent of dietary input strongly imply that these pathways underpin an 575 576 adaptive predictive plastic response. We have also synthesised the mounting evidence from laboratory 577 studies demonstrating that such pathways detect and respond to a great deal more than just nutrient intake, 578 and are most likely responding to integrated information from a broad range of environmental cues (see 579 Table 1).

580 In the wild, environmental variation is complex and multivariate with synchronous changes often occurring 581 in factors such as photoperiod, temperature, humidity, and food availability. The most obvious example is 582 seasonal changes in temperate regions, however comparable predictable and complex shifts in tropical (e.g. 583 dry / wet) regions. Importantly, the same kinds of environmental variables could provide important signals 584 to animals about spatial, daily, and annual variation in conditions. Our hypothesis is that the IIS/mTOR 585 pathway has evolved to detect and integrate a wide range of environmental cues (via networking with 586 downstream cellular sensing pathways and sensory organs), rather than solely as a 'nutrient sensing' pathway 587 as frequently implied in the biogerontology literature.

588 A critical question, and the real sticking point for existing explanations for the evolution of the DR response, 589 is the nature of the fitness pay-off under natural conditions of the predictive plastic response. The RRH posits 590 that selection favours the ability to survive at a cost to reproduction under challenging conditions (Shanley 591 & Kirkwood, 2000), whilst it has also been suggested that the ability to maintain current reproductive 592 function at a potential cost to future reproduction and survival could be strongly selected for (Adler & 593 Bonduriansky, 2014) when food supplies are limited. These ideas are rooted in a rather singular 594 conceptualisation of reproduction-survival trade-offs, rather than taking an evolutionary perspective on 595 phenotypic plasticity. At a cellular level, we understand that DR / suppression of the IIS pathway triggers a 596 switch from catabolic to anabolic states, with upregulation of cell recycling, autophagy and apoptosis (Adler 597 & Bonduriansky, 2014). Associated reductions in cellular growth and proliferation could well limit organismal 598 growth, reproduction, immune responses and would healing at considerable fitness cost to the organism

599 (Adler & Bonduriansky, 2014). But at the same time, under environmental stress and resource limitation, 600 these same processes and their diverse metabolic costs could undermine an organism's ability to maintain 601 homeostatic function and survive. To this point, we are simply reiterating the framework of the hypothesis 602 of Adler & Bonduriansky, but as discussed above, we reject their contention that survival in the face of 603 environmental pressure is of little general fitness value in the wild. Instead, we contend that the cellular 604 response described is actually one end of a physiological continuum of responses, all entrained on the same 605 kinds of environmental cues, which are capable of triggering diverse physiological and life history responses 606 which would increase fitness under variable environmental conditions compared to an organism that was 607 unable to respond plastically in the same way.

608 This hypothesized continuum of plastic response to environmental cues indicating general deterioration or 609 improvement in the environment includes, at one extreme, the deep physiological remodelling associated 610 with developmental and reproductive diapause, torpor and seasonal hypo-metabolism, which are widely 611 observed in terrestrial animals (Flatt et al., 2013; Tatar & Yin, 2001; Wu & Storey, 2016). For example, larval 612 diapause, or 'dauer' formation and pupal arrest in invertebrates are strategies to survive stressful conditions 613 such as starvation, crowding, and temperature change (Flatt et al., 2013). In C. elegans, dauer larvae cease 614 feeding and moving, harden their cuticle and change their metabolic profile. Developmental arrest 615 phenotypes have long been known to be regulated by IIS: the unified C. elegans IGF/insulin receptor daf-2, 616 and its effector transcription factor daf-16 (FOXO) were originally identified as regulators of dauer formation 617 before their role in lifespan determination was uncovered. Similarly, reproductive dormancy in Drosophila, a 618 response hypothesised to facilitate over-winter survival in temperate regions, is regulated by IIS (Flatt et al., 619 2013; Wu & Storey, 2016). There are also clear examples of mammals switching towards a hypo-metabolic 620 state to survive winter or periods of drought, for instance by hibernating through winter, or summer 621 aestivation. Evidence also suggests that non-hibernating species, such as ruminants, show a >50% drop in 622 metabolic rates and decrease in core body temperature going into winter, which is uncoupled from diet 623 suggesting it may reflect a predictive plastic response (Turbill et al., 2011; Signer 2011). Although there is 624 some evidence of links between IIS/mTOR signalling and hibernation in mammals (Schmidt & Kelley, 2001; 625 Wu & Storey, 2016), we hypothesise that further work is likely to uncover an important role for the pathway.

As well as playing a pivotal role in signalling the onset of diapause, we anticipate that IIS/mTOR and associated pathways are also involved in bringing the organism out of diapause or triggering the onset of physiological remodelling in preparation for growth/reproduction under favourable conditions (Flatt et al., 2013; Hut et al., 2014). On both sides of this response, timing the physiological switch to accurately coincide with either the onset of challenging environmental conditions (e.g. winter or dry season) or of favourable conditions for reproduction (e.g. spring or wet season) is likely to have major impacts on fitness and be under strong selection (e.g. Salis, 2018).

633 Importantly, this broad perspective does not restrict the adaptive significance of predictive plasticity produced by the IIS pathway to deep physiological switches associated with seasonal or annual changes in 634 635 the environment. It is well established in the laboratory that repeatedly switching the dietary treatment of 636 flies causes very rapid, reversible changes in their mortality rates, consistent with acute and readily reversible 637 responses of the underlying pathways to changes in dietary cues (Catterson et al., 2018; Mair, Goymer, 638 Pletcher, & Partridge, 2003). To us, this suggests that this response and the pathways involved have evolved 639 not just to indicate broad seasonal or annual shifts in the environment, but also much more immediate, fine-640 scale variation in conditions. We expect natural selection to favour genotypes capable of matching growth 641 and reproductive investment to prevailing local conditions, so plasticity in the anabolic/catabolic axis could 642 be adaptive even over very fine spatial and temporal scales. Here, Adler & Bonduriansky's (2014) idea that a 643 DR-like response could maintain reproductive function in the face of sub-optimal conditions seems relevant. 644 Imagine a temperate herbivore population in early spring which experiences considerable spatial variation in 645 habitat quality and food availability. Here, the ability to fine-tune the degree to which physiology switched 646 towards anabolic processes in spring to match local conditions might be crucial in allowing individuals to 647 reproduce with limited resources. If poor conditions are predictive of increased mortality risk, the fitness 648 pay-off of managing to reproduce under duress at potential cost to future survival will be even greater.

This example is simply an attempt to illustrate how the IIS pathway could be selected to both promote survival at a cost to reproduction (RRH), or current reproduction at the expense of subsequent survival and reproduction (Adler & Bonduriansky, 2014), depending on the precise environmental cues and the relative fitness costs and benefits of reproducing now versus reproducing later in a given environment. The point is

653 that, under our much broader conceptualisation of the cues and selective pressures shaping IIS as a pathway 654 underpinning adaptive predictive plasticity, apparently competing explanations for the evolution of the 655 pathway become complementary. A major question, if our hypothesis is correct, is how the physiological 656 response induced by the IIS pathway varies depending on the strength and nature of the multivariate 657 environmental cue. We would predict that sustained, multiple cues (including photoperiod cues) would be 658 required to trigger deeper physiological changes such as development/reproductive diapause and 659 hibernation, whilst acute cues (including singular cues like just diet) could trigger much more subtle 660 responses which might act to preserve reproductive function or optimise timing of the onset of reproduction and growth. 661

662 So how does all this explain or relate to the observation that feeding lab organisms reduced calories (or 663 protein specifically) extends lifespan? The ad libitum or high protein diets of 'control' animals in DR 664 experiments are highly artificial and may poorly reflect the diets these animals have evolved to consume 665 under natural conditions. The handful of available studies comparing effects of DR and IIS/mTOR on lifespan 666 under standard versus more naturalistic laboratory conditions suggest results do not necessarily generalise 667 (Briga & Verhulst 2015). As has been widely discussed, DR conditions may be much closer to a state that is 668 actually experienced by wild animals, although we expect most wild animals to live under highly variable 669 environments and experience conditions ranging from sufficient or excess nutrient availability through to 670 starvation conditions. We argue that what we observe in laboratory models in DR experiments is a response 671 of these pathways to a single, weak environmental cue which is not accompanied by the wider environmental 672 pressures it might be predictive of under natural conditions. Under entirely benign conditions in the lab, the 673 switch towards anabolism, autophagy and cellular recycling under DR is unsurprisingly associated with 674 increased lifespan and a reduction in forms of accumulated damage involved in ageing. Ad lib fed animals in 675 the lab will show greater cell growth and proliferation rates, accumulate more damage as a result, but may 676 also experience various forms of pathology associated with unnaturally high nutrient/protein intake which 677 may also reduce lifespan. In the wild, responses to diet in these pathways occur ahead of or alongside a suite of environmental pressures. We hypothesise that the IIS/mTOR pathways have evolved and been conserved 678 679 because they provide an adaptive mechanism to deal with those pressures. Lifespan extension in the lab

under DR does nothing to address this hypothesis, because the pathways are triggered without the accompanying environmental challenges they have evolved to predict. This highlights our limited understanding of the real evolutionary function of IIS/mTOR pathways under natural conditions, and the need for evolutionary ecologists to consider how predictive plasticity, life history, and ageing coevolve under variable environments.

685

686 6. Testing the Hypothesis

687 We have proposed that the IIS/mTOR pathways have evolved to integrate multiple, important environmental 688 cues and trigger changes in physiology that promote organismal fitness in complex, variable natural 689 environments. In this section, we consider ways in which the perspective and ideas presented above could 690 be taken forwards. First, we consider their implications for studies of DR and IIS/mTOR effects under 691 laboratory conditions and how current experimental paradigms might be adapted to address whether and 692 how diet related cues interact with other kinds of environmental cues to impact fitness in the laboratory. 693 Next, we consider the need for more theory to help us understand how natural selection might shape the 694 co-evolution of plasticity, life history and ageing under variable environments. The nature of the costs of 695 plasticity are central to any such theoretical treatment and we consider evidence that there might be costs 696 to DR response and activation of the IIS/mTOR pathway. Finally, we consider the prospects of studying and 697 testing these ideas in wild animal populations, which will ultimately be crucial to establish the real fitness 698 costs and benefits of variation in IIS/TOR pathway expression and plasticity.

699 *6.1.* An evolutionary ecology approach to DR and IIS/mTOR in the lab: One valuable change of approach that 700 could be taken in biogerontology studies is a reconsideration of the way we frame questions relating to DR, 701 to better understand the role for IIS/mTOR in the responses to a variety of environmental challenges, and 702 how this informs evolutionary theories about plasticity. Table 2, below, outlines the general testable 703 hypotheses emerging from our framing of the IIS/mTOR pathways as a general form of predictive plasticity, 704 alongside testable predictions emerging from these hypotheses. Below we discuss work already conducted

705	which sheds light on these predictions, although we note that studies directly testing these predictions are
706	very rare in the literature.
707	
708	
709	
710	
711	
712	
713	
714	
715	

- 716 Table 2. Hypotheses and predictions emerging from our synthesis of DR and IIS/mTOR pathways as a general
 - 717 form of predictive plasticity that could be tested in the laboratory.

Hypothesis						Prediction
IIS/mTOR	respond	to	and	integrate	multiple	Combinations of environmental cues (e.g. photoperiod
environmental cues.					as well as diet) should have different or additive effects	
						on IIS/mTOR signalling and organismal life history.
IIS/mTOR	signalling	unc	lerpins	predictive	plastic	Disabling the pathways will reduce the ability to respond
responses.						to the environment and individuals will become less fit
						under variable environmental conditions.
Plasticity conferred by IIS/mTOR signalling is adaptive.			nalling is ad	If we provide environmental insults/stressors without		
						preceding predictive environmental cues (e.g. cold stress
						with or without prior entrainment to shortened

	photoperiod), signalling will be reduced and the insult
	will have a greater negative impact on fitness.
Plasticity conferred by IIS/mTOR signalling is costly.	Repeatedly triggering IIS/mTOR pathways (e.g. diet
	switching, or photoperiod manipulation) without the
	accompanying environmental pressures these anticipate
	will result in reduced fitness relative to individuals that
	have not had pathways triggered.

Drosophila would be well-suited to this type of experimental approach, given its well-defined adult reproductive dormancy phenotype, short lifespan, and amenability to genetic manipulation. Cues that can be readily manipulated in the lab include photoperiod, temperature, and diet. Along similar lines, environmental pressures that can be modelled in the lab include severe cold stress, starvation, and infection. One prediction is that IIS mutants should be better able to resist environmental challenges as, in general, IIS signalling is lowered in these contexts. This is indeed the case for in dILP-compromised (MNS cell ablated or dilp mutant) flies that demonstrate enhanced starvation resistance (Broughton et al., 2005; Gronke et al., 2010) and for flies where artificial inactivation of insulin producing cells (IPCs) promotes entry to reproductive diapause upon cold stress (Ojima et al., 2018). It is important to note this is not true for resistance to all environmental insults (e.g. heat stress, Broughton et al., 2005) which may be dependent on intact IIS signalling. Importantly, we predict that individuals with attenuated IIS/mTOR would be less able to respond to withdrawal of stress by resuming reproduction. Whether the ability to plastically switch in and out of reproductive diapause through IIS/mTOR signalling when subjected to environmental insults, ultimately increases reproductive fitness, remains to be tested. These types of studies, in combination with those that manipulate combined cues with or without accompanying stresses, are needed to address whether IIS/mTOR signalling is a form of predictive plasticity responding to multiple cues.

741 6.2. Co-evolution of plasticity, life history and ageing: The fact that DR and IIS/mTOR network suppression 742 increases lifespan across a range of distantly related laboratory model systems (yeast, nematodes, fruit flies, 743 mice) suggests that these pathways' functions are strongly evolutionarily conserved (Fontana & Partridge, 744 2015). However, recent studies have also found functional divergence among species and populations in 745 IIS/mTOR genes and signatures of directional selection shaping this divergence. For instance, McGaugh et al. 746 (2015) compared genes and protein structure from the IIS/mTOR network and showed that hormones and 747 receptors in the network were likely targets of clade-specific selection between reptiles and mammals with 748 a potentially important role in the distinct adaptations of physiological and life history among those 749 vertebrate groups. Studies comparing genetic diversity among human populations also suggest the IIS/mTOR 750 network has been under strong directional selection (Luisi et al., 2012), whilst a study of wild-derived 751 nematodes found a signature of a recent selective sweep at the age-1 gene (Jovelin, Comstock, Cutter & 752 Phillips, 2014). Studies examining allelic variation in the InR gene in Drosophila melanogaster have similarly 753 identified strong signals of selection, and have identified specific alleles which are more prevalent at high 754 latitudes and during winter which are associated with reduced IIS signalling and greater cold and starvation 755 resistance in the laboratory (Paaby, Blacket, Hoffmann & Schmidt, 2010; Paaby, Berglund, Behrman & Schmidt, 2014). The mounting evidence from among-species and -population variation in genes in the 756 757 IIS/mTOR pathways is mirrored by evidence that the DR response varies among genotypes in laboratory 758 model organisms (Dick et al., 2011; Liao, Rikke, Johnson, Diaz, & Nelson, 2010; Schleit et al., 2013; Stastna, 759 Snoek, Kammenga, & Harvey, 2015), and that the effect of DR on lifespan and reproduction varies among 760 species (Moatt, Nakagawa, Lagisz, & Walling, 2016; Nakagawa, Lagisz, Hector, & Spencer, 2012). Generally, 761 this highlights the importance moving beyond questions relating to the conserved functions of the IIS/mTOR 762 pathway and towards a broader understanding how and why natural selection has and continues to act to 763 shape variation in this network of genes and in the response to DR. Furthermore, we need to more carefully

consider how genetic variation in the IIS/mTOR pathways influence phenotypic plasticity, rather than solely
 local adaptation. The key to this lies in developing a coherent theoretical framework for how adaptive,
 reversible forms of plasticity – which we argue here is what DR and IIS/mTOR pathways effects on lifespan in
 the laboratory reflect – coevolve with variation in life history and ageing rates.

768 Evolutionary theory has tended to consider adaptive plasticity as evolving against a backdrop of a particular 769 kind of life history, rather than examining how plasticity and life history might co-evolve (Ratikainen & Kokko, 770 2019). As discussed above, evolutionary explanations for the DR response have tended to focus on life history 771 trade-offs, ignoring the evolutionary factors known to shape plasticity. That said, several interesting recent 772 theoretical studies have started to explore the interface between plasticity, life history and ageing (Fischer 773 et al., 2014; Ratikainen & Kokko, 2019). Ratikainen & Kokko (2019) show that in relatively predictable 774 environments, with large environmental fluctuations, in which costs of phenotype-environment mismatch 775 are high, highly reversibly plastic phenotypes are expected to coevolve with longer lifespans, whilst shorter 776 lived and less plastic life histories evolve under more unpredictable conditions. Importantly, the expression 777 of plasticity can be age-dependent and plasticity itself may play an important role in ageing. Fischer et al. 778 (2014) showed that age-dependent plasticity can evolve as an adaptation to the acquisition of more reliable 779 environmental information over time and age-dependent changes in the fitness pay-offs of switching 780 phenotypes to match environmental conditions. Their models predict a decline in plasticity with age, which 781 a recent laboratory study of fish found support for (Meuthen, Baldauf, Bakker, & Thunken, 2018). Cotto & 782 Ronce (2014) showed that the weakening of selection with age can lead to a maladaptation to local 783 environment in older individuals (Cotto & Ronce, 2014). Although framed in the context of local adaptation 784 and not plasticity, their models imply that a breakdown in adaptive plasticity in later life could play a role in 785 senescence in natural populations. We are still a long way from a complete or coherent theoretical 786 framework for understanding how plasticity, life history and ageing interact, but these emerging studies 787 highlight key, neglected evolutionary variables which we must consider and quantify when thinking about 788 IIS/mTOR pathways regulate reversible adaptive plastic responses. Critically, these include the predictability 789 of the environment, the reliability of information organisms can gather about the environment, the age-790 dependent fitness pay-offs associated with a plastic response, and the fitness costs of plasticity itself.

791 6.3. Costs of plasticity: As mentioned above, evolutionary theory usually assumes that predictive plastic 792 responses have fitness costs, but these potential costs have rarely been considered in the context of the 793 plasticity conferred by the IIS/mTOR network. While it has been demonstrated that inhibition of the network 794 will have costs in terms of reduced reproduction and growth (e.g. Clancy et al., 2001; Tatar et al., 2001) and 795 reduced immune responses such as wound repair (Dirks & Leeuwenburgh, 2006; Hunt et al., 2012), the 796 question of whether the reversible activation of the signalling pathways and the plasticity they induce could 797 themselves have fitness costs has not been directly considered. Interestingly, a recent paper proposed that 798 repetitive seasonal physiological remodelling in long-lived organisms – akin to reproductive diapause, torpor 799 and winter hypometabolism discussed above - could be physiologically costly and a far more important driver 800 and predictor of biological ageing than chronological age (Landes et al., 2017). They empirically supported 801 this hypothesis with an elegant experiment on mouse lemurs, in which physiological responses to seasonal 802 change were induced a variable number of times in the lab using photoperiodic cues. Lemurs which 803 experienced more seasonal changes over the same temporal period had increased age-related mortality risk 804 (Landes et al., 2017). This suggests that the kind of physiological response we are proposing is triggered by 805 IIS/mTOR pathways could have a profound physiological cost and impact fitness and ageing. The idea that 806 the physiological costs of this kind of deep remodelling could actually drive senescence is intriguing, and 807 offers yet another potentially important type of link between plasticity, life history and ageing. Interestingly, 808 work investigating developmental and reproductive diapause in worms and flies suggests these responses 809 do not come at a cost to subsequent lifespan and ageing in the laboratory (Tatar & Yin, 2001), whilst 810 comparative studies suggest hibernating mammals have higher survival and slower life histories than similar 811 sized non-hibernating species (Turbill, Bieber, & Ruf, 2011). While challenging to undertake, further work to 812 understand the fitness costs of seasonal remodelling, hibernation and diapause is important to understand 813 the evolutionary forces shaping this widespread form of plasticity.

Several studies in fruit flies provide some evidence for costs of diet or diapause-related plasticity. Experimental evolution studies of fruit fly populations which vary in the propensity to undergo reproductive dormancy in response to photoperiod and temperature cues do reveal a cost (Schmidt & Conde, 2006). Here, the propensity to show a dormancy response increased across generations under stressful conditions, but

818 declined under control conditions. The evolutionary loss of diapause under constant, benign conditions 819 strongly implies a fitness cost of this response, which presumably was outweighed by its fitness benefits 820 under challenging conditions (Schmidt & Conde, 2006). Studies of wild flies in North America and Australia 821 have identified alleles of the InR gene which vary with latitude and season (Paaby et al, 2010; 2014). Flies 822 with alleles more commonly found at high latitudes and in winter show increased cold/starvation resistance 823 but reduced fecundity and delayed development time compared to lower latitude alleles, suggesting 824 potential fitness costs of reduced IIS signalling (Paaby et al, 2014). Some diet switching studies in fruit flies 825 also provide evidence consistent with a cost of plasticity: groups that experienced repeated switching from 826 high food to DR or vice-versa every 4 days were shorter lived than groups maintained on one treatment or 827 the other (McCracken, Adams, Hartshorne & Simons, 2019). Interestingly, the same study found no effect 828 on lifespan if the switch was performed every 2 days (McCracken et al, 2019) and it has previously been 829 shown that 3 days are required for changes in diet to be reflected in Drosophila egg production (Mirth & 830 Piper, 2017). Another fly study found that intermittent fasting (on a 2 days ad libitum / 5 days fasting regime) during adulthood increased mortality risk, although a shorter period of fasting in early adulthood followed 831 832 by ad libitum feeding actually increased lifespan (Catterson et al., 2018). This suggests some sort of time 833 threshold between the onset of environmental change and physiological remodelling, which has also been 834 proposed in other plastic responses to environmental change (e.g. Fricke, Bretman, & Chapman, 2010). 835 Overall, the literature does provide some indication for costs of plastic responses to diet change, season 836 remodelling and diapause but much more research needs to be conducted across species and environments 837 to better understand this crucial factor in the evolution of predictive plasticity.

838

6.4. Studying in the wild: Ultimately, studies in the wild are the only way to assess the true fitness costs and
benefits associated with variation in responses to food availability and expression of IIS/mTOR pathways.
Laboratory studies can provide important support for key predictions from evolutionary theory, but are
unlikely to realistically capture the way natural selection really operates in complex, variable environments.
However, studying diet choice in the wild is a major challenge. Resource abundance and diet have been
successfully linked to fitness and behavioural traits in the wild previously. However, these often involve proxy

845 measures (e.g Regan, Pilkington, Pemberton, & Crawley, 2016;Regan et al., 2017) or large scale monitoring 846 of individual feeding (e.g. Felton et al., 2009; Irwin, Raharison, Raubenheimer, Chapman, & Rothman, 2015). 847 Proxy measures, such as abundance of a key resource, do not give any information on actual choice or 848 composition of individual diets. Although monitoring individual intake overcomes this, it is far from simple. 849 There is a high degree of variation between individuals in the wild, with body size, sex and age difference all 850 influencing intake rate. Consequently, any study of intake requires a large number of focal individuals. 851 Furthermore, this monitoring must be done for extended periods of time with estimates for quantity and 852 quality of food ingested (e.g. Irwin et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2018), which can never be as precise as lab studies. 853 Perhaps the biggest challenge of individual monitoring is the difficulty in distinguishing between diet choice 854 and diet constraint – i.e. what an animal would choose to eat and what it can eat. That said, a recent study 855 successfully released diet constraint through supplementary feeding in a wild primate, and showed that diet 856 choice changed in response to seasonal demands on thermoregulation (Guo et al., 2018). In field settings, a 857 further challenge is that any measurement of diet choice will only be representative for the specific 858 environmental conditions at that time and monitoring of diet choice would therefore need to be done across 859 a large number of individuals at multiple time points and seasons. Despite these challenges, a number of 860 studies have successfully applied nutritional geometry in the wild and across different environmental 861 conditions (e.g. Irwin et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2018). We also envisage potential for the application of cutting-862 edge telemetry to closely monitor space use, behaviour and metabolism (Signer et al., 2011; Fischer et al., 863 2018) and the application of meta-barcoding of faecal samples to monitor diet choice (Pompanon et al., 2012) 864 to greatly enhance our ability to understand diet choice in wild animals in coming years.

A central tenet of the hypothesis proposed here is that conserved endocrine pathways, specifically those associated with IIS/mTOR, are critical to the response of wild animals to variation in their environment. This opens the possibility of directly assess variation in key hormones (e.g. IGF-1) or IIS-associated gene expression patterns in wild animals and relating this to environmental conditions and fitness. Both can be measured through non-lethal blood sampling, and a growing number of recent studies demonstrate the potential for studying selection on hormone variation, including IGF-1, in wild animals using such an approach. Comparative studies have documented interesting relationships between circulating IGF-1 levels and life

872 history variation across species of birds and mammals (Swanson & Dantzer, 2013; Lodjak, Mand & Magi, 873 2018). Measurement of IGF-1 in samples collected as part of individual-based studies of wild vertebrates 874 further document associations between the hormone and body mass, growth rates, reproduction and 875 survival (Addis, Gangloff, Palacios, Carr & Bronikowski, 2017; Sparkman, Byars, Ford & Bronikowski, 2010; 876 Lewin, Swanson, Williams & Holekamp, 2017; Lodjak, Tilgar & Magi, 2016; Lodjak, Magi, Sild & Mand, 2017). 877 Intriguingly, a recent correlational study of spotted hyenas found that high levels of IGF-1 as a juvenile 878 predicted higher juvenile body mass and, indirectly via body, increased survival to maturity but also reduced 879 adult longevity (Lewin et al., 2017). However, most studies to date in wild systems have focussed on the 880 relationships between IGF-1 levels and life history traits with far less attention paid to the response of IGF-1 881 and associated components of the IIS/mTOR pathway to environmental variation.

882 Under the hypothesis laid out above, changes in the environmental cues should be reflected in the activity 883 of the pathways themselves. Furthermore, repeated measures could be taken across ecologically relevant 884 timescales, to assess the plasticity of responses and how organisms are remodelling in response to 885 environmental cues. These could also be done across a wide range of individuals of different ages and in both 886 sexes, as well as tracking the same individual for the entirety of their lifespan, potentially shedding light on 887 how these processes change with age in a natural setting. Long-term individual-based studies in the wild 888 linking IGF-1, environment, age and fitness could allow us to address how these pathways vary with 889 environment and host genotype under natural conditions, as well as how natural selection actually shapes 890 variation in plasticity associated with the IIS/mTOR pathway.

891

892 7. Conclusions

We have proposed that the IIS/mTOR pathways respond to a variety of cues indicative of environmental quality, which result in physiological changes to promote fitness in variable environments. Whilst many previous studies have hypothesised that IIS/mTOR underpin an important form of adaptive plasticity, we have sought to synthesise and generalise this idea based on current empirical data and develop a framework for testing the pathways' evolutionary origin and function from the perspective of predictive plasticity. We

898 would emphasise the importance of multi-disciplinary perspectives on DR and IIS/mTOR pathway effects on 899 health, fitness and ageing going forward. Mechanistic insights from fields like biogerontology can help 900 ecologists and evolutionary biologists identify and understand important physiological pathways 901 underpinning life history and fitness variation in the wild. Equally, biogerontologists can benefit from taking 902 an evolutionary perspective and considering how and why the IIS/mTOR pathways and DR response evolved. 903 An evolutionary and ecological perspective can crucially shed light on the significant within and among 904 species variation in both the DR response and IIS/mTOR pathways, which is often overlooked by 905 biogerontologists and may have important implications for how intervention may influence health and 906 lifespan outside of the laboratory.

907

909 References

- Addis, E. A., Gangloff, E. J., Palacios, M. G., Carr, K. E., & Bronikowski, A. M. (2017). Merging the "Morphology–
 Performance–Fitness" Paradigm and Life-History Theory in the Eagle Lake Garter Snake Research
 Project. Integrative and Comparative Biology, 57, 423-435.
- Adler, M. I., & Bonduriansky, R. (2014). Why do the well-fed appear to die young? A new evolutionary
 hypothesis for the effect of dietary restriction on lifespan. *Bioessays*, 36(5), 439-450.
 doi:10.1002/bies.201300165
- Alic, N., & Partridge, L. (2011). Death and dessert: nutrient signalling pathways and ageing. *Curr Opin Cell Biol,* 23(6), 738-743. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2011.07.006
- Andreatta, G., Kyriacou, C. P., Flatt, T., & Costa, R. (2018). Aminergic Signaling Controls Ovarian Dormancy in
 Drosophila. *Sci Rep*, 8(1), 2030. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-20407-z
- Anduaga, A. M., Nagy, D., Costa, R., & Kyriacou, C. P. (2018). Diapause in Drosophila melanogaster Photoperiodicity, cold tolerance and metabolites. J Insect Physiol, 105, 46-53.
 doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2018.01.003
- Auld, J. R., Agrawal, A. A., & Relyea, R. A. (2010). Re-evaluating the costs and limits of adaptive phenotypic
 plasticity. *Proc Biol Sci*, *277*(1681), 503-511. doi:10.1098/rspb.2009.1355
- Austad, S. N., & Kristan, D. M. (2003). Are mice calorically restricted in nature? *Aging Cell, 2*(4), 201-207.
 doi:10.1046/j.1474-9728.2003.00053.x
- Ball, Z. B., Barnes, R. H., & Visscher, M. B. (1947). The effects of dietary caloric restriction on maturity and
 senescence, with particular reference to fertility and longevity. *Am J Physiol*, *150*(3), 511-519.
 doi:10.1152/ajplegacy.1947.150.3.511
- Becker, T., Loch, G., Beyer, M., Zinke, I., Aschenbrenner, A. C., Carrera, P., ... & Hoch, M. (2010). FOXOdependent regulation of innate immune homeostasis. *Nature*, 463(7279), 369.
- Bonduriansky, R., & Brassil, C. E. (2002). Senescence: rapid and costly ageing in wild male flies. *Nature*, 420,
 377.
- Briga, M., & Verhulst, S. (2015). What can long-lived mutants tell us about mechanisms causing aging and
 lifespan variation in natural environments?. *Experimental gerontology*, *71*, 21-26.
- Broughton, S. J., Piper, M. D., Ikeya, T., Bass, T. M., Jacobson, J., Driege, Y., . . . Partridge, L. (2005). Longer
 lifespan, altered metabolism, and stress resistance in Drosophila from ablation of cells making
 insulin-like ligands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *102*(8), 3105-3110. doi:10.1073/pnas.0405775102
- Broughton, S. J., Slack, C., Alic, N., Metaxakis, A., Bass, T. M., Driege, Y., & Partridge, L. (2010). DILP-producing
 median neurosecretory cells in the Drosophila brain mediate the response of lifespan to nutrition.
 Aging Cell, 9(3), 336-346. doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2010.00558.x
- Brugarolas, J., Lei, K., Hurley, R. L., Manning, B. D., Reiling, J. H., Hafen, E., . . . Kaelin, W. G., Jr. (2004).
 Regulation of mTOR function in response to hypoxia by REDD1 and the TSC1/TSC2 tumor suppressor
 complex. *Genes Dev*, 18(23), 2893-2904. doi:10.1101/gad.1256804
- Carey, H. V. (1990). Seasonal changes in mucosal structure and function in ground squirrel intestine. *Am J Physiol, 259*(2 Pt 2), R385-392. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1990.259.2.R385
- Catterson, J. H., Khericha, M., Dyson, M. C., Vincent, A. J., Callard, R., Haveron, S. M., . . . Partridge, L. (2018).
 Short-Term, Intermittent Fasting Induces Long-Lasting Gut Health and TOR-Independent Lifespan
 Extension. *Curr Biol, 28*(11), 1714-1724 e1714. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.04.015
- Chapman, T., & Partridge, L. (1996). Female fitness in Drosophila melanogaster: an interaction between the
 effect of nutrition and of encounter rate with males. *Proc Biol Sci, 263*(1371), 755-759.
 doi:10.1098/rspb.1996.0113
- Chevin, L. M., & Lande, R. (2015). Evolution of environmental cues for phenotypic plasticity. *Evolution, 69*(10),
 2767-2775. doi:10.1111/evo.12755
- Clancy, D. J., Gems, D., Harshman, L. G., Oldham, S., Stocker, H., Hafen, E., ... Partridge, L. (2001). Extension
 of life-span by loss of CHICO, a Drosophila insulin receptor substrate protein. *Science*, 292(5514),
 104-106. doi:10.1126/science.1057991
- Colchero, F., Jones, O. R., Conde, D. A., Hodgson, D., Zajitschek, F., Schmidt, B. R., . . . Gaillard, J. M. (2019).
 The diversity of population responses to environmental change. *Ecol Lett, 22*(2), 342-353.
 doi:10.1111/ele.13195

- 961 Cotto, O., & Ronce, O. (2014). Maladaptation as a source of senescence in habitats variable in space and time.
 962 *Evolution, 68*(9), 2481-2493. doi:10.1111/evo.12462
- Dahl, G. E., Buchanan, B. A., & Tucker, H. A. (2000). Photoperiodic effects on dairy cattle: a review. *J Dairy Sci,* 83(4), 885-893. doi:10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(00)74952-6
- Dantzer, B., Westrick, S. E., & van Kesteren, F. (2016). Relationships between Endocrine Traits and Life
 Histories in Wild Animals: Insights, Problems, and Potential Pitfalls. *Integr Comp Biol, 56*(2), 185-197.
 doi:10.1093/icb/icw051
- 968Das, S. K., Balasubramanian, P., & Weerasekara, Y. K. (2017). Nutrition modulation of human aging: The
calorie restriction paradigm. *Mol Cell Endocrinol, 455*, 148-157. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2017.04.011
- Dawson, A., King, V. M., Bentley, G. E., & Ball, G. F. (2001). Photoperiodic control of seasonality in birds. *J Biol Rhythms*, *16*(4), 365-380. doi:10.1177/074873001129002079
- Dewitt, T. J., Sih, A., & Wilson, D. S. (1998). Costs and limits of phenotypic plasticity. *Trends Ecol Evol, 13*(2),
 77-81.
- DiAngelo, J. R., Bland, M. L., Bambina, S., Cherry, S., & Birnbaum, M. J. (2009). The immune response
 attenuates growth and nutrient storage in Drosophila by reducing insulin signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *106*(49), 20853-20858. doi:10.1073/pnas.0906749106
- Dick, K. B., Ross, C. R., & Yampolsky, L. Y. (2011). Genetic variation of dietary restriction and the effects of nutrient-free water and amino acid supplements on lifespan and fecundity of Drosophila. *Genet Res* (*Camb*), 93(4), 265-273. doi:10.1017/S001667231100019X
- Dirks, A. J., & Leeuwenburgh, C. (2006). Caloric restriction in humans: potential pitfalls and health concerns.
 Mech Ageing Dev, 127(1), 1-7. doi:10.1016/j.mad.2005.09.001
- Dunel-Erb, S., Chevalier, C., Laurent, P., Bach, A., Decrock, F., & Le Maho, Y. (2001). Restoration of the jejunal
 mucosa in rats refed after prolonged fasting. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol, 129*(4), 933 984 947.
- Efeyan, A., Comb, W. C., & Sabatini, D. M. (2015). Nutrient-sensing mechanisms and pathways. *Nature*, 517(7534), 302-310. doi:10.1038/nature14190
- Fanson, B. G., Fanson, K. V., & Taylor, P. W. (2012). Cost of reproduction in the Queensland fruit fly: Y-model
 versus lethal protein hypothesis. *Proc Biol Sci, 279*(1749), 4893-4900. doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.2033
- Feeney, K. A., Hansen, L. L., Putker, M., Olivares-Yanez, C., Day, J., Eades, L. J., . . . van Ooijen, G. (2016). Daily
 magnesium fluxes regulate cellular timekeeping and energy balance. *Nature, 532*(7599), 375-379.
 doi:10.1038/nature17407Felton, A. M., Felton, A., Raubenheimer, D., Simpson, S. J., Foley, W. J.,
 Wood, J. T., ... & Lindenmayer, D. B. (2009). Protein content of diets dictates the daily energy intake
 of a free-ranging primate. *Behavioral Ecology, 20*(4), 685-690.
- Fernandez, R., Tabarini, D., Azpiazu, N., Frasch, M., & Schlessinger, J. (1995). The Drosophila insulin receptor
 homolog: a gene essential for embryonic development encodes two receptor isoforms with different
 signaling potential. *EMBO J*, 14(14), 3373-3384.
- Fischer, B., van Doorn, G. S., Dieckmann, U., & Taborsky, B. (2014). The evolution of age-dependent plasticity.
 Am Nat, 183(1), 108-125. doi:10.1086/674008
- Fischer, M., Parkins, K., Maizels, K., Sutherland, D. R., Allan, B. M., Coulson, G., & Di Stefano, J. (2018).
 Biotelemetry marches on: A cost-effective GPS device for monitoring terrestrial wildlife. *PLoS One*, 13(7), e0199617. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0199617
- Flatt, T., Amdam, G. V., Kirkwood, T. B., & Omholt, S. W. (2013). Life-history evolution and the polyphenic
 regulation of somatic maintenance and survival. *Q Rev Biol*, *88*(3), 185-218.
- Flatt, T., & Partridge, L. (2018). Horizons in the evolution of aging. *BMC Biol, 16*(1), 93. doi:10.1186/s12915 018-0562-z
- 1006Fontana, L., & Partridge, L. (2015). Promoting health and longevity through diet: from model organisms to1007humans. Cell, 161(1), 106-118. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.020
- Fontana, L., Partridge, L., & Longo, V. D. (2010). Extending healthy life span--from yeast to humans. *Science*, 328(5976), 321-326. doi:10.1126/science.1172539
- Fricke, C., Bretman, A., & Chapman, T. (2010). Female nutritional status determines the magnitude and sign
 of responses to a male ejaculate signal in Drosophila melanogaster. *J Evol Biol, 23*(1), 157-165.
 doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01882.x

- Gabillard, J. C., Weil, C., Rescan, P. Y., Navarro, I., Gutierrez, J., & Le Bail, P. Y. (2003). Environmental
 temperature increases plasma GH levels independently of nutritional status in rainbow trout
 (Oncorhynchus mykiss). *Gen Comp Endocrinol, 133*(1), 17-26.
- Garratt, M., Nakagawa, S., & Simons, M. J. (2016). Comparative idiosyncrasies in life extension by reduced
 mTOR signalling and its distinctiveness from dietary restriction. *Aging Cell*, 15(4), 737-743.
 doi:10.1111/acel.12489
- 1019Geiser, F. (2010). Aestivation in mammals and birds. Prog Mol Subcell Biol, 49, 95-111. doi:10.1007/978-3-1020642-02421-4_5
- 1021Geminard, C., Rulifson, E. J., & Leopold, P. (2009). Remote control of insulin secretion by fat cells in1022Drosophila. Cell Metab, 10(3), 199-207. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2009.08.002
- Grandison, R. C., Piper, M. D., & Partridge, L. (2009). Amino-acid imbalance explains extension of lifespan by
 dietary restriction in Drosophila. *Nature*, *462*(7276), 1061-1064. doi:10.1038/nature08619
- Gronke, S., Clarke, D. F., Broughton, S., Andrews, T. D., & Partridge, L. (2010). Molecular evolution and
 functional characterization of Drosophila insulin-like peptides. *PLoS Genet, 6*(2), e1000857.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000857Guo, S. T., Hou, R., Garber, P. A., Raubenheimer, D., Righini, N., Ji,
 W. H., ... & Li, B. G. (2018). Nutrient-specific compensation for seasonal cold stress in a free-ranging
 temperate colobine monkey. *Functional ecology*.
- Hamilton, W. D. (1966). The moulding of senescence by natural selection. *J Theor Biol, 12*(1), 12-45.
- Hau, M., Wikelski, M., Gwinner, H., & Gwinner, E. (2004). Timing of reproduction in a Darwin's finch: temporal
 opportunism under spatial constraints. *Oikos*, *106*(3), 489-500. doi:DOI 10.1111/j.00301299.2004.13206.x
- 1034Hayward, A. D., Pemberton, J. M., Berenos, C., Wilson, A. J., Pilkington, J. G., & Kruuk, L. E. B. (2018). Evidence1035for Selection-by-Environment but Not Genotype-by-Environment Interactions for Fitness-Related1036Traits in a Wild Mammal Population. *Genetics, 208*(1), 349-364. doi:10.1534/genetics.117.300498
- Holliday, R. (1989). Food, reproduction and Longevity: Is the extended lifespan of calorie-restricted animalsan evolutionary adaptation? *Bioessays*, *10*, 125-127.
- Howarth, G. S., Cool, J. C., Bourne, A. J., Ballard, F. J., & Read, L. C. (1998). Insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I)
 stimulates regrowth of the damaged intestine in rats, when administered following, but not
 concurrent with, methotrexate. *Growth Factors*, *15*(4), 279-292.
- Hunt, N. D., Li, G. D., Zhu, M., Miller, M., Levette, A., Chachich, M. E., . . . de Cabo, R. (2012). Effect of calorie
 restriction and refeeding on skin wound healing in the rat. *Age (Dordr), 34*(6), 1453-1458.
 doi:10.1007/s11357-011-9321-6
- 1045 Hut, R. A., Dardente, H., & Riede, S. J. (2014). Seasonal timing: how does a hibernator know when to stop 1046 hibernating? *Curr Biol*, *24*(13), R602-605. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.05.061
- Irwin, M. T., Raharison, J. L., Raubenheimer, D. R., Chapman, C. A., & Rothman, J. M. (2015). The Nutritional
 Geometry of Resource Scarcity: Effects of Lean Seasons and Habitat Disturbance on Nutrient Intakes
 and Balancing in Wild Sifakas. *PLoS One, 10*(6), e0128046. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128046
- Jensen, K., Schal, C., & Silverman, J. (2015). Adaptive contraction of diet breadth affects sexual maturation
 and specific nutrient consumption in an extreme generalist omnivore. *J Evol Biol, 28*(4), 906-916.
 doi:10.1111/jeb.12617
- 1053Johnson, S. C., Rabinovitch, P. S., & Kaeberlein, M. (2013). mTOR is a key modulator of ageing and age-related1054disease. Nature, 493(7432), 338-345. doi:10.1038/nature11861
- Jovelin, R., Comstock, J.S, Cutter, A.D. & Phillips, P.C. (2014). A recent global selective sweep on the age-1
 phosphatidylinositol 3-OH kinase regulator of the insulin-like signaling pathway within *Caenorhabditis remanei. G3,* 4: 1123-1133.Kapahi, P., Kaeberlein, M., & Hansen, M. (2017). Dietary restriction and lifespan:
 Lessons from invertebrate models. *Ageing Res Rev, 39,* 3-14. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2016.12.005
- 1059
 Kenyon, C. (2005). The plasticity of aging: insights from long-lived mutants. Cell, 120(4), 449-460.

 1060
 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2005.02.002
- 1061 Kirkwood, T. B. (1977). Evolution of ageing. *Nature*, *270*(5635), 301-304.
- 1062 Klass, M. R. (1983). A method for the isolation of longevity mutants in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans
 and initial results. *Mech Ageing Dev, 22*(3-4), 279-286.
- 1064Kubrak, O. I., Kucerova, L., Theopold, U., & Nassel, D. R. (2014). The sleeping beauty: how reproductive1065diapause affects hormone signaling, metabolism, immune response and somatic maintenance in1066Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS One, 9*(11), e113051. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113051

- Kucerova, L., Kubrak, O. I., Bengtsson, J. M., Strnad, H., Nylin, S., Theopold, U., & Nassel, D. R. (2016). Slowed
 aging during reproductive dormancy is reflected in genome-wide transcriptome changes in
 Drosophila melanogaster. *BMC Genomics*, *17*, 50. doi:10.1186/s12864-016-2383-1
- Kumar, V., Wingfield, J. C., Dawson, A., Ramenofsky, M., Rani, S., & Bartell, P. (2010). Biological clocks and
 regulation of seasonal reproduction and migration in birds. *Physiol Biochem Zool, 83*(5), 827-835.
 doi:10.1086/652243
- Landes, J., Perret, M., Hardy, I., Camarda, C. G., Henry, P. Y., & Pavard, S. (2017). State transitions: a major
 mortality risk for seasonal species. *Ecol Lett, 20*(7), 883-891. doi:10.1111/ele.12785
- Laplante, M., & Sabatini, D. M. (2012). mTOR signaling in growth control and disease. *Cell, 149*(2), 274-293.
 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.017Le Bourg E. 2014. Time of famine: time to reproduce? Bioessays.
 36:436-436. doi:10.1002/bies.201400027
- Lee, K. P. (2015). Dietary protein:carbohydrate balance is a critical modulator of lifespan and reproduction in Drosophila melanogaster: a test using a chemically defined diet. *J Insect Physiol, 75*, 12-19.
 doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2015.02.007Lewin, N., Swanson, E. M., Williams, B. L., & Holekamp, K. E.
 (2017). Juvenile concentrations of IGF-1 predict life-history trade-offs in a wild mammal. *Functional Ecology, 31*, 894-902.
- Li, Q., & Z. Gong, 2015 Cold-sensing regulates Drosophila growth through insulin-producing cells. *Nature Communications* 6: 10083.
- Liao, C. Y., Rikke, B. A., Johnson, T. E., Diaz, V., & Nelson, J. F. (2010). Genetic variation in the murine lifespan
 response to dietary restriction: from life extension to life shortening. *Aging Cell, 9*(1), 92-95.
 doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2009.00533.x
- Libert, S., Chao, Y., Zwiener, J., & Pletcher, S. D. (2008). Realized immune response is enhanced in long-lived
 puc and chico mutants but is unaffected by dietary restriction. *Molecular immunology*, 45(3), 810 817.
- Liu, J. P., Baker, J., Perkins, A. S., Robertson, E. J., & Efstratiadis, A. (1993). Mice carrying null mutations of the genes encoding insulin-like growth factor I (Igf-1) and type 1 IGF receptor (Igf1r). *Cell*, 75(1), 59-72.
- Liu, Y., Liao, S., Veenstra, J. A., & Nassel, D. R. (2016). Drosophila insulin-like peptide 1 (DILP1) is transiently
 expressed during non-feeding stages and reproductive dormancy. *Sci Rep, 6*, 26620.
 doi:10.1038/srep26620Lodjak, J., Tilgar, V., & Mägi, M. (2016). Does the interaction between
 glucocorticoids and insulin-like growth factor 1 predict nestling fitness in a wild passerine?. *General and comparative endocrinology*, *225*, 149-154.
- Lodjak, J., Mägi, M., Sild, E., & Mänd, R. (2017). Causal link between insulin-like growth factor 1 and growth in nestlings of a wild passerine bird. *Functional Ecology*, *31*, 184-191.
- Lodjak, J., Mänd, R., & Mägi, M. (2018). Insulin-like growth factor 1 and life-history evolution of passerine
 birds. *Functional Ecology*, *32*, 313-323.
- Lopez-Otin, C., Blasco, M. A., Partridge, L., Serrano, M., & Kroemer, G. (2013). The hallmarks of aging. *Cell*, 1103 153(6), 1194-1217. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.039
- Luisi, P., Alvarez-Ponce, D., Dall'Olio, G. M., Sikora, M., Bertranpetit, J., & Laayouni, H. (2011). Network-level
- and population genetics analysis of the insulin/TOR signal transduction pathway across human populations.
 Molecular Biology and Evolution, *29*, 1379-1392.
- Mair, W., Goymer, P., Pletcher, S. D., & Partridge, L. (2003). Demography of dietary restriction and death in
 Drosophila. *Science*, *301*(5640), 1731-1733. doi:10.1126/science.1086016
- Maklakov, A. A., Simpson, S. J., Zajitschek, F., Hall, M. D., Dessmann, J., Clissold, F., . . . Brooks, R. C. (2008).
 Sex-specific fitness effects of nutrient intake on reproduction and lifespan. *Curr Biol, 18*(14), 1062 1066. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2008.06.059
- Mares, R., Doutrelant, C., Paquet, M., Spottiswoode, C. N., & Covas, R. (2017). Breeding decisions and output
 are correlated with both temperature and rainfall in an arid-region passerine, the sociable weaver. *R Soc Open Sci, 4*(9), 170835. doi:10.1098/rsos.170835
- Mattison, J. A., Colman, R. J., Beasley, T. M., Allison, D. B., Kemnitz, J. W., Roth, G. S., . . . Anderson, R. M.
 (2017). Caloric restriction improves health and survival of rhesus monkeys. *Nat Commun, 8*, 14063.
 doi:10.1038/ncomms14063
- 1118 McCay, C. M., Crowell, M. F., & Maynard, L. A. (1935). The effect of retarded growth upon the length of life 1119 span and upon the ultimate body size. *Journal of Nutrition, 10*(1), 63-79.

- McCay, C. M., Maynard, L. A., Sperling, G., & Barnes, L. L. (1939). Retarded growth, life span, ultitimate body
 size and age changes in the albino rat after feeding diets restricted in calories. *Journal of Nutrition, 18*(1), 1-13. McCracken, A. W., Adams, G., Hartshorne, L., & Simons, M. J. (2019). The hidden costs
 of dietary restriction: implications for its evolutionary and mechanistic origins. *bioRxiv*, 533711.
- 1124 McDonald, R. B., & Ramsey, J. J. (2010). Honoring Clive McCay and 75 years of calorie restriction research. 1125 *Journal of Nutrition, 140*(7), 1205-1210. doi:10.3945/jn.110.122804
- McGaugh, Suzanne E., Anne M. Bronikowski, Chih-Horng Kuo, Dawn M. Reding, Elizabeth A. Addis, Lex E.
 Flagel, Fredric J. Janzen, and Tonia S. Schwartz. (2014) Rapid molecular evolution across amniotes of
 the IIS/TOR network. *PNAS*, 112, 7055-7060.
- 1129 McLean, N., Lawson, C. R., Leech, D. I., & van de Pol, M. (2016). Predicting when climate-driven phenotypic 1130 change affects population dynamics. *Ecol Lett*, *19*(6), 595-608. doi:10.1111/ele.12599
- Metaxakis, A., Tain, L. S., Gronke, S., Hendrich, O., Hinze, Y., Birras, U., & Partridge, L. (2014). Lowered insulin
 signalling ameliorates age-related sleep fragmentation in Drosophila. *PLoS Biol, 12*(4), e1001824.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001824
- Meuthen, D., Baldauf, S. A., Bakker, T. C. M., & Thunken, T. (2018). Neglected Patterns of Variation in
 Phenotypic Plasticity: Age- and Sex-Specific Antipredator Plasticity in a Cichlid Fish. *Am Nat, 191*(4),
 475-490. doi:10.1086/696264
- Miller, R. A., Buehner, G., Chang, Y., Harper, J. M., Sigler, R., & Smith-Wheelock, M. (2005). Methioninedeficient diet extends mouse lifespan, slows immune and lens aging, alters glucose, T4, IGF-I and
 insulin levels, and increases hepatocyte MIF levels and stress resistance. *Aging Cell*, 4(3), 119-125.
 doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2005.00152.x
- 1141 Mirth, C. K., & Piper, M. D. (2017). Matching complex dietary landscapes with the signalling pathways that 1142 regulate life history traits. *Curr Opin Genet Dev, 47*, 9-16. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2017.08.001
- Moatt, J. P., Fyfe, M. A., Heap, E., Mitchell, L. J. M., Moon, F., & Walling, C. A. (2019). Reconciling nutritional
 geometry with classical dietary restriction: Effects of nutrient intake, not calories, on survival and
 reproduction. *Aging Cell*, *18*(1), e12868. doi:10.1111/acel.12868
- Moatt, J. P., Hambly, C., Heap, E., Kramer, A., Moon, F., Speakman, J. R., & Walling, C. A. (2017). Body
 macronutrient composition is predicted by lipid and not protein content of the diet. *Ecol Evol*, 7(23),
 10056-10065. doi:10.1002/ece3.3529
- Moatt, J. P., Nakagawa, S., Lagisz, M., & Walling, C. A. (2016). The effect of dietary restriction on reproduction:
 a meta-analytic perspective. *BMC Evol Biol*, *16*(1), 199. doi:10.1186/s12862-016-0768-z
- 1151
 Mohammed-Geba, K., Mancera, J.M. & Martínez-Rodríguez, G. J Comp Physiol B (2015) 185: 87.

 1152
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-014-0871-7
- Murakami, M., Ichisaka, T., Maeda, M., Oshiro, N., Hara, K., Edenhofer, F., . . . Yamanaka, S. (2004). mTOR is
 essential for growth and proliferation in early mouse embryos and embryonic stem cells. *Mol Cell Biol, 24*(15), 6710-6718. doi:10.1128/MCB.24.15.6710-6718.2004
- Nagy, D., Andreatta, G., Bastianello, S., Martin Anduaga, A., Mazzotta, G., Kyriacou, C. P., & Costa, R. (2018).
 A Semi-natural Approach for Studying Seasonal Diapause in Drosophila melanogaster Reveals Robust
 Photoperiodicity. *J Biol Rhythms*, *33*(2), 117-125. doi:10.1177/0748730417754116
- Nakagawa, S., Lagisz, M., Hector, K. L., & Spencer, H. G. (2012). Comparative and meta-analytic insights into
 life extension via dietary restriction. *Aging Cell*, 11(3), 401-409. doi:10.1111/j.1474 9726.2012.00798.x
- 1162Nakamura, K., Velho, G., & Bouby, N. (2017). Vasopressin and metabolic disorders: translation from1163experimental models to clinical use. J Intern Med, 282(4), 298-309. doi:10.1111/joim.12649
- 1164Nassel, D. R., & Vanden Broeck, J. (2016). Insulin/IGF signaling in Drosophila and other insects: factors that1165regulate production, release and post-release action of the insulin-like peptides. Cell Mol Life Sci,116673(2), 271-290. doi:10.1007/s00018-015-2063-3
- Nussey, D. H., Froy, H., Lemaitre, J. F., Gaillard, J. M., & Austad, S. N. (2013). Senescence in natural populations
 of animals: widespread evidence and its implications for bio-gerontology. *Ageing Res Rev, 12*(1), 214225. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2012.07.004O'Brien, D. M., Min, K. J., Larsen, T., & Tatar, M. (2008). Use of
 stable isotopes to examine how dietary restriction extends Drosophila lifespan. *Current Biology, 18*,
 R155-R156.
- 1172 O'Brien, L. E., Soliman, S. S., Li, X., & Bilder, D. (2011). Altered modes of stem cell division drive adaptive 1173 intestinal growth. *Cell*, *147*(3), 603-614. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.08.048

- Ojima, N., Hara, Y., Ito, H., & Yamamoto, D. (2018). Genetic dissection of stress-induced reproductive arrest
 in Drosophila melanogaster females. *PLoS Genet*, 14(6), e1007434.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007434
- Paaby, A. B., Blacket, M. J., Hoffmann, A. A., & Schmidt, P. S. (2010). Identification of a candidate adaptive
 polymorphism for Drosophila life history by parallel independent clines on two continents. *Molecular ecology*, *19*, 760-774.
- Paaby, A. B., Bergland, A. O., Behrman, E. L., & Schmidt, P. S. (2014). A highly pleiotropic amino acid
 polymorphism in the Drosophila insulin receptor contributes to life-history adaptation. *Evolution*, *68*,
 3395-3409.
- Peters, R. R., Chapin, L. T., Leining, K. B., & Tucker, H. A. (1978). Supplemental lighting stimulates growth and
 lactation in cattle. *Science*, *199*(4331), 911-912.
- Phillimore, A. B., Leech, D. I., Pearce-Higgins, J. W., & Hadfield, J. D. (2016). Passerines may be sufficiently
 plastic to track temperature-mediated shifts in optimum lay date. *Global Change Biology, 22*(10),
 3259-3272. doi:10.1111/gcb.13302
- Pigliucci, M. (2001). *Phenotypic plasticity : beyond nature and nurture*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University
 Press.
- Piper, M. D., Blanc, E., Leitao-Goncalves, R., Yang, M., He, X., Linford, N. J., . . . Partridge, L. (2014). A holidic
 medium for Drosophila melanogaster. *Nat Methods*, *11*(1), 100-105. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2731
- Piper, M. D. W., Soultoukis, G. A., Blanc, E., Mesaros, A., Herbert, S. L., Juricic, P., . . . Partridge, L. (2017).
 Matching Dietary Amino Acid Balance to the In Silico-Translated Exome Optimizes Growth and
 Reproduction without Cost to Lifespan. *Cell Metab*, *25*(3), 610-621. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2017.02.005
- Pompanon, F., Deagle, B. E., Symondson, W. O., Brown, D. S., Jarman, S. N., & Taberlet, P. (2012). Who is
 eating what: diet assessment using next generation sequencing. *Mol Ecol, 21*(8), 1931-1950.
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05403.x
- Ponton, F., Lalubin, F., Fromont, C., Wilson, K., Behm, C., & Simpson, S. J. (2011). Hosts use altered macronutrient intake to circumvent parasite-induced reduction in fecundity. *Int J Parasitol, 41*(1), 43-50. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2010.06.007
- 1201Ratikainen, II, & Kokko, H. (2019). The coevolution of lifespan and reversible plasticity. Nat Commun, 10(1),1202538. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08502-9
- Rauschenbach, I. Y., Karpova, E. K., Burdina, E. V., Adonyeva, N. V., Bykov, R. A., Ilinsky, Y. Y., . . . Gruntenko,
 N. E. (2017). Insulin-like peptide DILP6 regulates juvenile hormone and dopamine metabolism in
 Drosophila females. *Gen Comp Endocrinol, 243*, 1-9. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2016.11.004
- Regan, C. E., Pilkington, J. G., Pemberton, J. M., & Crawley, M. J. (2016). Sex differences in relationships
 between habitat use and reproductive performance in Soay sheep (Ovis aries). *Ecol Lett*, *19*(2), 171 179. doi:10.1111/ele.12550
- Regan, Pilkington and Smiseth 2017. Female Soay sheep do not adjust their maternal care behaviour to the
 quality of their home range. *Behavioural Ecology* 28:962-973Regan, J. C., Khericha, M., Dobson, A. J.,
 Bolukbasi, E., Rattanavirotkul, N., & Partridge, L. (2016). Sex difference in pathology of the ageing gut
 mediates the greater response of female lifespan to dietary restriction. *Elife, 5*, e10956.
 doi:10.7554/eLife.10956
- Reiff, T., Jacobson, J., Cognigni, P., Antonello, Z., Ballesta, E., Tan, K. J., . . . Miguel-Aliaga, I. (2015). Endocrine
 remodelling of the adult intestine sustains reproduction in Drosophila. *Elife, 4*, e06930.
 doi:10.7554/eLife.06930
- 1217Reiling, J. H., & Hafen, E. (2004). The hypoxia-induced paralogs Scylla and Charybdis inhibit growth by down-1218regulating S6K activity upstream of TSC in Drosophila. Genes Dev, 18(23), 2879-2892.1219doi:10.1101/gad.322704
- Resnik-Docampo, M., Koehler, C. L., Clark, R. I., Schinaman, J. M., Sauer, V., Wong, D. M., . . . Jones, D. L.
 (2017). Tricellular junctions regulate intestinal stem cell behaviour to maintain homeostasis. *Nat Cell Biol, 19*(1), 52-59. doi:10.1038/ncb3454
- Robert, A., Chantepie, S., Pavard, S., Sarrazin, F., & Teplitsky, C. (2015). Actuarial senescence can increase the
 risk of extinction of mammal populations. *Ecol Appl, 25*(1), 116-124. Salis, L., van den Hoorn, E.,
 Beersma, D. G., Hut, R. A., & Visser, M. E. (2018). Photoperiodic cues regulate phenological carryover effects in an herbivorous insect. *Functional ecology, 32*(1), 171-180.

- Schiesari, L., Andreatta, G., Kyriacou, C. P., O'Connor, M. B., & Costa, R. (2016). The Insulin-Like Proteins dILPs 2/5 Determine Diapause Inducibility in Drosophila. *PLoS One, 11*(9), e0163680.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163680
- 1230 Schiesari, L., Kyriacou, C. P., & Costa, R. (2011). The hormonal and circadian basis for insect photoperiodic 1231 timing. *FEBS Lett, 585*(10), 1450-1460. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2011.02.026
- Schleit, J., Johnson, S. C., Bennett, C. F., Simko, M., Trongtham, N., Castanza, A., . . . Kaeberlein, M. (2013).
 Molecular mechanisms underlying genotype-dependent responses to dietary restriction. *Aging Cell*, 1234 12(6), 1050-1061. doi:10.1111/acel.12130
- Schmidt, K. E., & Kelley, K. M. (2001). Down-regulation in the insulin-like growth factor (IGF) axis during
 hibernation in the golden-mantled ground squirrel, Spermophilus lateralis: IGF-I and the IGF-binding
 proteins (IGFBPs). J Exp Zool, 289(1), 66-73.
- Schmidt, P. S., & Conde, D. R. (2006). Environmental heterogeneity and the maintenance of genetic variation
 for reproductive diapause in Drosophila melanogaster. *Evolution, 60*(8), 1602-1611.
- Schwenke, R. A., Lazzaro, B. P., & Wolfner, M. F. (2016). Reproduction-Immunity Trade-Offs in Insects. *Annu Rev Entomol, 61*, 239-256. doi:10.1146/annurev-ento-010715-023924
- 1242Shanas, U., & Haim, A. (2004). Diet salinity and vasopressin as reproduction modulators in the desert-dwelling1243golden spiny mouse (Acomys russatus). Physiol Behav, 81(4), 645-650.1244doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2004.03.002
- Shanley, D. P., & Kirkwood, T. B. (2000). Calorie restriction and aging: a life-history analysis. *Evolution*, 54(3),
 740-750.
- Signer, C., Ruf, T., & Arnold, W. (2011). Hypometabolism and basking: the strategies of Alpine ibex to endure harsh over-wintering conditions. *Functional Ecology*, 25, 537-547.Sim, C., & Denlinger, D. L. (2008).
 Insulin signaling and FOXO regulate the overwintering diapause of the mosquito Culex pipiens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 105(18), 6777-6781. doi:10.1073/pnas.0802067105
- Sim, C., & Denlinger, D. L. (2013). Insulin signaling and the regulation of insect diapause. *Front Physiol, 4*, 189.
 doi:10.3389/fphys.2013.00189
- Sim, C., Kang, D. S., Kim, S., Bai, X., & Denlinger, D. L. (2015). Identification of FOXO targets that generate
 diverse features of the diapause phenotype in the mosquito Culex pipiens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*,
 112(12), 3811-3816. doi:10.1073/pnas.1502751112
- Simpson, S. J., Le Couteur, D. G., Raubenheimer, D., Solon-Biet, S. M., Cooney, G. J., Cogger, V. C., & Fontana,
 L. (2017). Dietary protein, aging and nutritional geometry. *Ageing Res Rev, 39*, 78-86.
 doi:10.1016/j.arr.2017.03.001
- Solon-Biet, S. M., McMahon, A. C., Ballard, J. W., Ruohonen, K., Wu, L. E., Cogger, V. C., . . . Simpson, S. J.
 (2014). The ratio of macronutrients, not caloric intake, dictates cardiometabolic health, aging, and
 longevity in ad libitum-fed mice. *Cell Metab*, *19*(3), 418-430. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2014.02.009
- Sparkman, A. M., Byars, D., Ford, N. B., & Bronikowski, A. M. (2010). The role of insulin-like growth factor-1
 (IGF-1) in growth and reproduction in female brown house snakes (Lamprophis fuliginosus). *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, *168*, 408-414.
- 1265 Speakman, J. R., & Mitchell, S. E. (2011). Caloric restriction. *Mol Aspects Med, 32*(3), 159-221. 1266 doi:10.1016/j.mam.2011.07.001
- Stastna, J. J., Snoek, L. B., Kammenga, J. E., & Harvey, S. C. (2015). Genotype-dependent lifespan effects in
 peptone deprived Caenorhabditis elegans. *Sci Rep, 5*, 16259. doi:10.1038/srep16259
- Stenvers, D. J., Scheer, F., Schrauwen, P., la Fleur, S. E., & Kalsbeek, A. (2019). Circadian clocks and insulin
 resistance. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*, *15*(2), 75-89. doi:10.1038/s41574-018-0122-1
- Swanson, E. M., & Dantzer, B. (2014). Insulin-like growth factor-1 is associated with life-history variation
 across Mammalia. *Proc. R. Soc. B, 281*, 20132458. Tannenbaum, A. (1942). The genesis and growth of
 tumors II Effects of caloric restriction per se. *Cancer Research, 12*(7), 460-467.
- Tatar, M., Kopelman, A., Epstein, D., Tu, M. P., Yin, C. M., & Garofalo, R. S. (2001). A mutant Drosophila insulin
 receptor homolog that extends life-span and impairs neuroendocrine function. *Science*, *292*(5514),
 107-110. doi:10.1126/science.1057987
- 1277 Tatar, M., & Yin, C. (2001). Slow aging during insect reproductive diapause: why butterflies, grasshoppers and 1278 flies are like worms. *Exp Gerontol*, *36*(4-6), 723-738.

- Taylor, J. F., Migaud, H., Porter, M. J., & Bromage, N. R. (2005). Photoperiod influences growth rate and
 plasma insulin-like growth factor-I levels in juvenile rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. *Gen Comp Endocrinol, 142*(1-2), 169-185. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2005.02.006
- 1282Taylor, J. F., Porter, M. J., Bromage, N. R., & Migaud, H. (2008). Relationships between environmental1283changes, maturity, growth rate and plasma insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) in female rainbow1284trout. Gen Comp Endocrinol, 155(2), 257-270. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2007.05.014
- 1285 Tollrian, R. (1995). Predator-Induced Morphological Defenses Costs, Life-History Shifts, and Maternal Effects 1286 in Daphnia-Pulex. *Ecology*, *76*(6), 1691-1705. doi:Doi 10.2307/1940703
- 1287Tu, M. P., Yin, C. M., & Tatar, M. (2005). Mutations in insulin signaling pathway alter juvenile hormone1288synthesis in Drosophila melanogaster. Gen Comp Endocrinol, 142(3), 347-356.1289doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2005.02.009
- 1290Turbill, C., Bieber, C., & Ruf, T. (2011). Hibernation is associated with increased survival and the evolution of1291slowlifehistoriesamongmammals.ProcBiolSci,278(1723),3355-3363.1292doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.0190
- 1293 Turbill, C., Ruf, T., Mang, T., & Arnold, W. (2011). Regulation of heart rate and rumen temperature in red 1294 deer: effects of season and food intake. *J Exp Biol, 214*(Pt 6), 963-970. doi:10.1242/jeb.052282
- Vaiserman, A. M., Lushchak, O. V., & Koliada, A. K. (2016). Anti-aging pharmacology: Promises and pitfalls.
 Ageing Res Rev, 31, 9-35. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2016.08.004
- Van Landeghem, L., Santoro, M. A., Mah, A. T., Krebs, A. E., Dehmer, J. J., McNaughton, K. K., . . . Lund, P. K.
 (2015). IGF1 stimulates crypt expansion via differential activation of 2 intestinal stem cell
 populations. *FASEB J*, 29(7), 2828-2842. doi:10.1096/fj.14-264010
- van Ooijen, G., & O'Neill, J. S. (2016). Intracellular magnesium and the rhythms of life. *Cell Cycle*, 15(22), 2997 2998. doi:10.1080/15384101.2016.1214030
- Varma, D., Bülow, M. H., Pesch, Y. Y., Loch, G., & Hoch, M. (2014). Forkhead, a new cross regulator of
 metabolism and innate immunity downstream of TOR in Drosophila. *Journal of insect physiology*, 69,
 80-88.
- Wang, M. C., Bohmann, D., & Jasper, H. (2005). JNK extends life span and limits growth by antagonizing
 cellular and organism-wide responses to insulin signaling. *Cell*, 121(1), 115-125.
 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2005.02.030
- Waterson, M. J., Chung, B. Y., Harvanek, Z. M., Ostojic, I., Alcedo, J., & Pletcher, S. D. (2014). Water sensor
 ppk28 modulates Drosophila lifespan and physiology through AKH signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S* A, 111(22), 8137-8142. doi:10.1073/pnas.1315461111
- Wauson, E. M., Zaganjor, E., Lee, A. Y., Guerra, M. L., Ghosh, A. B., Bookout, A. L., . . . Cobb, M. H. (2012). The
 G protein-coupled taste receptor T1R1/T1R3 regulates mTORC1 and autophagy. *Mol Cell*, 47(6), 851 862. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2012.08.001
- Webb, A. E., & Brunet, A. (2014). FOXO transcription factors: key regulators of cellular quality control. *Trends Biochem Sci, 39*(4), 159-169. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2014.02.003
- 1316 Webb, A. E., Kundaje, A., & Brunet, A. (2016). Characterization of the direct targets of FOXO transcription
 1317 factors throughout evolution. *Aging Cell*, *15*(4), 673-685. doi:10.1111/acel.12479
- Wu, C. W., & Storey, K. B. (2016). Life in the cold: links between mammalian hibernation and longevity. *Biomol Concepts, 7*(1), 41-52. doi:10.1515/bmc-2015-0032
- Zajitschek, F., Zajitschek, S. R., Canton, C., Georgolopoulos, G., Friberg, U., & Maklakov, A. A. (2016). Evolution
 under dietary restriction increases male reproductive performance without survival cost.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 283, 20152726.
- 1323 Zajitschek, F., Georgolopoulos, G., Vourlou, A., Ericsson, M., Zajitschek, S. R., Friberg, U., & Maklakov, A. A. 1324 (2018). Evolution under dietary restriction decouples survival from fecundity in Drosophila 1325 melanogaster females. Gerontology: The Journals of Series Α, 1326 https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/gly070Zerbe, P., Clauss, M., Codron, D., Bingaman Lackey, L., Rensch, E., Streich, J. W., ... Muller, D. W. (2012). Reproductive seasonality in captive wild ruminants: 1327 1328 implications for biogeographical adaptation, photoperiodic control, and life history. Biol Rev Camb 1329 Philos Soc, 87(4), 965-990. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2012.00238.x
- 1330

1331	Author contributions. All authors contributed to the inception and planning of the ideas in this manuscript;
1332	JCR and DHN led the writing of the first draft; JCR designed the figures; all authors contributed to editing the
1333	final manuscript.
1334	
1335	Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Jean-Michel Gaillard, Anne Bronikowski and two anonymous
1336	reviewers for their constructive comments on a previous draft.
1337	
1338	Data Availability Statement. There is no data associated with this paper.
1339	
1340	Figures legends
1341	Figure 1. Conserved signalling through IIS/mTOR regulates anabolic and catabolic processes. Akt (or protein
1342	kinase B): a serine/threonine-specific protein kinase; FOXO (Forkhead box O): transcription factor; IGF/R:

1343 insulin-like growth factor / receptor; ILP: insulin-like peptide; PI3K: Phosphoinositide 3-kinase; Rheb: a Ras-

1344 family GTP-binding protein; TSC1/2: Tuberous sclerosis proteins 1 and 2; TORC1: target of rapamycin complex

1345 1. Yellow text: *C.elegans* protein homolog; green text: *D.melanogaster* protein homolog; blue text:
1346 *M.musculus* protein homolog.

1348	Figure 2. Multiple environmental inputs signal through IIS/mTOR to regulate multiple physiological
1349	processes.