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#### Abstract

We develop a new coding and decoding method using the generalized Pell $(p, i)$-numbers for $p=1$. The relations among the code matrix elements, error detection, and correction have been established for coding theory when $p=i=1$. We give two new blocking algorithms using Pell numbers and generalized Pell $(p, i)$-numbers for $p=1$.


## 1. Introduction

Recently, in [3], the generalized Pell $(p, i)$-numbers have been defined by the following recurrence relations
$P_{p}^{(i)}(n)=2 P_{p}^{(i)}(n-1)+P_{p}^{(i)}(n-p-1) ; n>p+1,0 \leq i \leq p, p=1,2,3, \ldots$
with the initial terms

$$
P_{p}^{(i)}(1)=\cdots=P_{p}^{(i)}(i)=0, P_{p}^{(i)}(i+1)=\cdots=P_{p}^{(i)}(p+1)=1
$$

For $i=p=1$, the generalized Pell $(1,1)$-number corresponds to the $(n+1)$ th classical Pell number defined as

$$
P_{n+1}=2 P_{n}+P_{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}
$$

with the initial terms

$$
P_{0}=0, P_{1}=1
$$

For the basic properties of these numbers, one can see [3] and [4].
It is known that $\gamma=1+\sqrt{2}$ and $\delta=1-\sqrt{2}$ are the roots of the characteristic equation of the Pell recurrence relation $t^{2}-2 t-1=0$. Using these roots, the Binet formula for the Pell number is

$$
P_{n}=\frac{\gamma^{n}-\delta^{n}}{\gamma-\delta} \text { for all } n \geq 0
$$

In addition, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n+1} / P_{n}=\gamma$.

[^0]The Pell $P$-matrix of order 2 has the following form:

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The $n$th power of the $P$-matrix and its determinant are given by

$$
P^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P_{n+1} & P_{n}  \tag{1.2}\\
P_{n} & P_{n-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(P^{n}\right)=P_{n+1} P_{n-1}-P_{n}^{2}=(-1)^{n} .
$$

In [3], the following matrix $A$ was introduced:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1  \tag{1.3}\\
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \cdots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]_{(p+1) \times(p+1)}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
A^{n}=G_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
P_{p}^{(p)}(n+p+1) & P_{p}^{(p)}(n+1) & P_{p}^{(p)}(n+2) & \cdots & P_{p}^{(p)}(n+p)  \tag{1.4}\\
P_{p}^{(p)}(n+p) & P_{p}^{(p)}(n) & P_{p}^{(p)}(n+1) & \cdots & P_{p}^{(p)}(n+p-1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
P_{p}^{(p)}(n+2) & P_{p}^{(p)}(n-p+2) & P_{p}^{(p)}(n-p+3) & \cdots & P_{p}^{(p)}(n+1) \\
P_{p}^{(p)}(n+1) & P_{p}^{(p)}(n-p+1) & P_{p}^{(p)}(n-p+2) & \cdots & P_{p}^{(p)}(n)
\end{array}\right]_{(p+1) \times(p+1)} .
$$

Using the matrices given in the equations (1.3) and (1.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(G_{n}\right)=(-1)^{n(p+2)}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n>0$.
Recently, Fibonacci coding theory has been introduced and studied in many aspects (see [1], [2], [5], [7], [8], [9], and [13] for more details). For example, in [8], A. P. Stakhov presented a new coding theory using the generalization of the Cassini formula for Fibonacci $p$-numbers and $Q_{p}$-matrices. Later, B. Prasad developed a new coding and decoding method obtained by Lucas $p$ matrix [6]. More recently, a new algorithm called the "Fibonacci Blocking Algorithm" using Fibonacci $Q$-matrices was presented in [10]. In [11], two new algorithms were presented called the Lucas blocking algorithm, which uses $R$-matrices and Lucas numbers, and the minesweeper model, which uses Fibonacci $Q^{n}$-matrices and $R$-matrices.

Motivated by the above studies, the main purpose of this paper is to develop a new coding and decoding method using the generalized Pell $(p, i)$ numbers for $p=1$. The relations among the code matrix elements, error detection, and correction have been established for this coding theory with $p=i=1$. As an application, we give two new blocking algorithms using Pell
and generalized Pell $(p, i)$-numbers for $p=1$. For any $p \geq 2$, it is possible to use blocks of size $(p+1) \times(p+1)$.

## 2. Pell Coding and Decoding Method

In this section, we present a new coding and decoding method using the generalized Pell $(p, i)$-numbers. In our method, the nonsingular square matrix $M$ with order $(p+1)$, where $p=1,2,3, \ldots$ corresponds to our message matrix. We consider the matrix $G_{n}$ of order $(p+1)$ as the coding matrix and its inverse matrix $\left(G_{n}\right)^{-1}$ as the decoding matrix. We introduce Pell coding and Pell decoding with transformations

$$
M \times G_{n}=E
$$

and

$$
E \times\left(G_{n}\right)^{-1}=M,
$$

respectively, where $E$ is a code matrix.
Taking $p=i=1$, we give an example of the Pell coding and decoding method. Let $M$ be a message matrix of the following form:

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
m_{1} & m_{2} \\
m_{3} & m_{4}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}$ are positive integers.
Let $n=3$. We construct the coding matrix $G_{n}$ :

$$
G_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P_{1}^{(1)}(n+2) & P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1) \\
P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1) & P_{1}^{(1)}(n)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P_{1}^{(1)}(5) & P_{1}^{(1)}(4) \\
P_{1}^{(1)}(4) & P_{1}^{(1)}(3)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
12 & 5 \\
5 & 2
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then we find inverse of $G_{3}$ :

$$
\left(G_{3}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{12 \cdot 2-5 \cdot 5}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -5 \\
-5 & 12
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-2 & 5 \\
5 & -12
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Now, we calculate the code matrix $E$.

$$
E=M \times G_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
m_{1} & m_{2} \\
m_{3} & m_{4}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
12 & 5 \\
5 & 2
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
12 m_{1}+5 m_{2} & 5 m_{1}+2 m_{2} \\
12 m_{3}+5 m_{4} & 5 m_{3}+2 m_{4}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $e_{1}=12 m_{1}+5 m_{2}, e_{2}=5 m_{1}+2 m_{2}, e_{3}=12 m_{3}+5 m_{4}$ and $e_{4}=$ $5 m_{3}+2 m_{4}$.

Finally, the code matrix $E$ is sent to a channel. The message matrix $M$ can be obtained by decoding as the following way

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =E \times\left(G_{3}\right)^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1} & e_{2} \\
e_{3} & e_{4}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-2 & 5 \\
5 & -12
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-2 e_{1}+5 e_{2} & 5 e_{1}-12 e_{2} \\
-2 e_{3}+5 e_{4} & 5 e_{3}-12 e_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
m_{1} & m_{2} \\
m_{3} & m_{4}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the relation between the code matrix $E$ and the message matrix $M$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(E)=\operatorname{det}\left(M \times G_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}(M) \times \operatorname{det}\left(G_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}(M) \times(-1)^{n(p+2)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be used in the checking procedure and so, we have the following relation for the above example:

$$
\operatorname{det}(E)=\operatorname{det}\left(M \times G_{3}\right)=\operatorname{det}(M) \times \operatorname{det}\left(G_{3}\right)=\operatorname{det}(M) \times(-1)
$$

## 3. The Relationships between the Code Matrix Elements for <br> $$
p=i=1
$$

We write $E$ and $M$ as follows:

$$
E=M \times G_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
m_{1} & m_{2} \\
m_{3} & m_{4}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P_{1}^{(1)}(n+2) & P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1) \\
P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1) & P_{1}^{(1)}(n)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1} & e_{2} \\
e_{3} & e_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =E \times\left(G_{n}\right)^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1} & e_{2} \\
e_{3} & e_{4}
\end{array}\right] \frac{1}{(-1)^{n}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P_{1}^{(1)}(n) & -P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1) \\
-P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1) & P_{1}^{(1)}(n+2)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{(-1)^{n}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1} P_{1}^{(1)}(n)-e_{2} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1) & -e_{1} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1)+e_{2} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+2) \\
e_{3} P_{1}^{(1)}(n)-e_{4} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1) & -e_{3} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1)+e_{4} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+2)
\end{array}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=2 k+1$. Because $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}$ are positive integers, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1}=-e_{1} P_{1}^{(1)}(n)+e_{2} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1)>0  \tag{3.1}\\
& m_{2}=e_{1} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1)-e_{2} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+2)>0  \tag{3.2}\\
& m_{3}=-e_{3} P_{1}^{(1)}(n)+e_{4} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1)>0  \tag{3.3}\\
& m_{4}=e_{3} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1)-e_{4} P_{1}^{(1)}(n+2)>0 \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.1) and (3.2), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{1}^{(1)}(n+2)}{P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1)}<\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}<\frac{P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1)}{P_{1}^{(1)}(n)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{1}^{(1)}(n+2)}{P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1)}<\frac{e_{3}}{e_{4}}<\frac{P_{1}^{(1)}(n+1)}{P_{1}^{(1)}(n)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the inequalities (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}} \approx \gamma, \frac{e_{3}}{e_{4}} \approx \gamma \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=2 k$, we obtain similar relations given in (3.7).

## 4. Error detection/correction for the Pell Coding and DECODING METHOD

The message may not reach the recipient clearly while transmitting the message text. Therefore some errors may occur in the code matrix $E$. We try to determine and correct these errors using the properties of the determinant in this process. Let $p=i=1$ and the message matrix $M$ be given by

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
m_{1} & m_{2} \\
m_{3} & m_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We know that $\operatorname{det}(M)=m_{1} m_{4}-m_{2} m_{3}$, the code matrix $E=M \times G_{n}$, and $\operatorname{det}(E)=\operatorname{det}(M) \times(-1)^{n}$. From the relationship between determinants of $E$ and $M$, if $n$ is an odd number, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}(E)=-\operatorname{det}(M)
$$

and if $n$ is an even number, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}(E)=\operatorname{det}(M)
$$

The new method of error detection is an application of the matrix $G_{n}$. The basic idea of this method depends on calculating the determinants of $M$ and $E$. After comparing the determinants obtained from the channel, the receiver can decide whether the code message $E$ is damaged or not.

Actually, we cannot determine which element of the code message is damaged. In order to find the damaged element, we suppose different cases such as the case of a single error, two errors, etc.
Case 1: A single error in the code matrix $E$.
We can easily obtain that there are four places where single error appear in $E$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
t_{1} & e_{2} \\
e_{3} & e_{4}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1} & t_{2} \\
e_{3} & e_{4}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1} & e_{2} \\
t_{3} & e_{4}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1} & e_{2} \\
e_{3} & t_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $t_{i}(i \in\{1,2,3,4\})$ is the damaged element. To check the above four different cases, we can use the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{1} e_{4}-e_{2} e_{3} & =(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(M)  \tag{4.1}\\
e_{1} e_{4}-t_{2} e_{3} & =(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(M)  \tag{4.2}\\
e_{1} e_{4}-t_{3} e_{2} & =(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(M)  \tag{4.3}\\
e_{1} t_{4}-e_{2} e_{3} & =(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(M), \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the single possible error is the element $t_{1}$ (resp. $t_{2}, t_{3}$, and $t_{4}$ ) given in relation (4.1) (resp. (4.2),(4.3), and (4.4)).

Using the above relations, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=\frac{(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(M)+e_{2} e_{3}}{e_{4}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{2}=\frac{(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(M)+e_{1} e_{4}}{e_{3}},  \tag{4.6}\\
& t_{3}=\frac{(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(M)+e_{1} e_{4}}{e_{2}},  \tag{4.7}\\
& t_{4}=\frac{(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(M)+e_{2} e_{3}}{e_{1}} . \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the elements of message matrix $M$ are positive integers, we should find integer solutions of equations (4.5)-(4.8). If there are no integer solutions of these equations, we find that our cases related to a single error are incorrect or an error has occurred in the checking element, $\operatorname{det}(M)$. If $\operatorname{det}(M)$ is incorrect, we use the relations given in (3.7) to check the correctness of the code matrix $E$.
Case 2: Two errors in the code matrix $E$.
Let us consider the following case with a double error in $E$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
t_{1} & t_{2}  \tag{4.9}\\
e_{3} & e_{4}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $t_{1}, t_{2}$ are the damaged elements of $E$. Using the relation

$$
\operatorname{det}(E)=(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(M)
$$

we can write following equation for the matrix given in (4.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} e_{4}-t_{2} e_{3}=(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(M) . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we know the following relation between $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} \approx \gamma t_{2} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that (4.10) is a Diophantine equation. Because there are many solutions to Diophantine equations, we should choose solutions $t_{1}, t_{2}$ satisfying relation (4.11).
Case 3: Three errors in the code matrix $E$.
We correct the triple errors in the code matrix $E$ of the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
t_{1} & t_{2} \\
t_{3} & e_{4}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $t_{1}, t_{2}$ and $t_{3}$ are damaged elements of $E$. From (4.11), $t_{2}$ can be obtained. The remaining errors are reduced to the Case 2 solution.
Consequently, our method depends on checking possible damaged elements of $E$ using the checking element $\operatorname{det}(M)$ and the checking relation $t_{1} \approx \gamma t_{2}$. Our correctness method allows us to correct for 14 out of 15 possible cases because the method is inadequate in the case of four errors. We can say that the correction ability of our method is $14 / 15=0.9333$, or $93.33 \%$.

Example 4.1. Let us take $p=i=1$ and consider the message matrix $M$ as follows:

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
13 & 55 \\
2 & 100
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $\operatorname{det}(M)=1190$. If we choose $n=4$, we get

$$
G_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P_{1}^{(1)}(6) & -P_{1}^{(1)}(5) \\
-P_{1}^{(1)}(5) & P_{1}^{(1)}(4)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
29 & 12 \\
12 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
E=M \times G_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
13 & 55 \\
2 & 100
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
29 & 12 \\
12 & 5
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1037 & 431 \\
1258 & 524
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Assume that the received elements are 1030, 431,1258, 524, and 1190. Thus,

$$
E^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1030 & 431 \\
1258 & 524
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Using (2.1), we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(E^{*}\right)=-2,478 \neq(-1)^{12} \operatorname{det}(M)=1190
$$

and so we deduce that there are some errors in the received elements. Using equations (4.5)-(4.8), we obtain the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1}=\frac{1190+542198}{524}=1037 \\
& t_{2}=\frac{1190+539720}{1258}=429.9761526232 \\
& t_{3}=\frac{1190+539720}{431}=1255.0116009281 \\
& t_{4}=\frac{1190+542198}{1030}=527.5611650485
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that only $t_{1}$ satisfies the necessary conditions, using this value we obtain the corrected code matrix:

$$
E=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1037 & 431 \\
1258 & 524
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## 5. Blocking Methods As an Application of Pell Numbers and Generalized Pell ( $p, i$ )-Numbers

In this section we introduce new coding/decoding algorithms using Pell and generalized Pell $(p, i)$-numbers. We put our message in a matrix of even size adding a zero between two words and at the end of the message until the size of the message matrix is even. Dividing the $2 m \times 2 m$ message matrix $\mathcal{M}$ into $2 \times 2$ block matrices, $B_{i}$ for $\left(1 \leq i \leq m^{2}\right)$, we can construct a new coding method.

Now we describe the notation used in our coding method. Assume that matrices $B_{i}$ and $E_{i}$ are of the following form:

$$
B_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1}^{i} & b_{2}^{i} \\
b_{3}^{i} & b_{4}^{i}
\end{array}\right] \text { and } E_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1}^{i} & e_{2}^{i} \\
e_{3}^{i} & e_{4}^{i}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We use the matrix $P^{n}$ given in (1.2) and rewrite the elements of this matrix to

$$
P^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1} & p_{2} \\
p_{3} & p_{4}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The number of the block matrices $B_{i}$ is denoted by $b$. Using $b$, we choose a number $n$ as follows:

$$
n=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
3, & b \leq 3 \\
\left\lfloor\frac{b}{2}\right\rfloor, & b>3
\end{array} .\right.
$$

After choosing $n$, we write the following character table modulo 29 since we use 29 characters (this table can be extended according to the number of characters used in the message matrix). We represent each characters of the table with a number between $n$ and $n+28$ beginning with $n$ for the last character and continuing in reverse order.

| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n+28$ | $n+27$ | $n+26$ | $n+25$ | $n+24$ | $n+23$ | $n+22$ | $n+21$ |
| I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P |
| $n+20$ | $n+19$ | $n+18$ | $n+17$ | $n+16$ | $n+15$ | $n+14$ | $n+13$ |
| Q | R | S | T | U | V | W | X |
| $n+12$ | $n+11$ | $n+10$ | $n+9$ | $n+8$ | $n+7$ | $n+6$ | $n+5$ |
| Y | Z | 0 | $:$ | O |  |  |  |
| $n+4$ | $n+3$ | $n+2$ | $n+1$ | $n$ |  |  |  |

Now we will describe the following new coding and decoding algorithms.

```
Algorithm 5.1 Pell Blocking Algorithm - Coding algorithm
Step 1. Divide the matrix \(\mathcal{M}\) into blocks \(B_{i}\left(1 \leq i \leq m^{2}\right)\).
Step 2. Choose \(n\).
Step 3. Determine \(b_{j}^{i}(1 \leq j \leq 4)\).
Step 4. Compute \(\operatorname{det}\left(B_{i}\right) \rightarrow d_{i}\).
Step 5. Construct \(K=\left[d_{i}, b_{k}^{i}\right]_{k \in\{1,3,4\}}\).
```

```
Algorithm 5.2 Pell Blocking Algorithm — Decoding algorithm
```

Step 1. Compute $P^{n}$.
Step 2. Determine $p_{j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$.
Step 3. Compute $p_{1} b_{3}^{i}+p_{3} b_{4}^{i} \rightarrow e_{3}^{i}\left(1 \leq i \leq m^{2}\right)$.
Step 4. Compute $p_{2} b_{3}^{i}+p_{4} b_{4}^{i} \rightarrow e_{4}^{i}$.
Step 5. Solve $(-1)^{n} \times d_{i}=e_{4}^{i}\left(p_{1} b_{1}^{i}+p_{3} x_{i}\right)-e_{3}^{i}\left(p_{2} b_{1}^{i}+p_{4} x_{i}\right)$.
Step 6. Substitute for $x_{i}=b_{2}^{i}$.

Step 7. Construct $B_{i}$.
Step 8. Construct $\mathcal{M}$.
In the following example we give an application of the above algorithm for $b>3$.

Example 5.1. Let us consider the message matrix for the following text:
"MATH IS SWEET:)"

Using the text, we get the following message matrix:

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
M & A & T & H \\
0 & I & S & 0 \\
S & W & E & E \\
T & : & ) & 0
\end{array}\right]_{4 \times 4} .
$$

We begin by applying the coding algorithm to $\mathcal{M}$ :
Step 1. We divide the message matrix $\mathcal{M}$ into $2 \times 2$ matrices, $B_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ from left to right:

$$
B_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M & A \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right], B_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T & H \\
S & 0
\end{array}\right], B_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
S & W \\
T & :
\end{array}\right] \text {, and } B_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
E & E \\
) & 0
\end{array}\right] \text {. }
$$

Step 2. Since $b=4>3$, we calculate $n=\lfloor b / 2\rfloor=2$. For $n=2$, we use the following "letter table" for the message matrix $\mathcal{M}$ :

| $M$ | $A$ | $T$ | $H$ | 0 | $I$ | $S$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | 1 | 11 | 23 | 4 | 22 | 12 | 4 |
| $S$ | $W$ | $E$ | $E$ | $T$ | $:$ | $)$ | 0 |
| 12 | 8 | 26 | 26 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 4 |.

Step 3. We have the elements of the blocks $B_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ as follows:

| $b_{1}^{1}=18$ | $b_{2}^{1}=1$ | $b_{3}^{1}=4$ | $b_{4}^{1}=22$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $b_{1}^{2}=11$ | $b_{2}^{2}=23$ | $b_{3}^{2}=12$ | $b_{4}^{2}=4$ |
| $b_{1}^{3}=12$ | $b_{2}^{3}=8$ | $b_{3}^{3}=11$ | $b_{4}^{3}=3$ |
| $b_{1}^{4}=26$ | $b_{2}^{4}=26$ | $b_{3}^{4}=2$ | $b_{4}^{4}=4$ |

Step 4. Now we calculate the determinants $d_{i}$ of the blocks $B_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{1}\right)=392 \\
& d_{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{2}\right)=-232 \\
& d_{3}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{3}\right)=-52 \\
& d_{4}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{4}\right)=52
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5. Using Step 3 and Step 4 we obtain the following matrix K:

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
392 & 18 & 4 & 22 \\
-232 & 11 & 12 & 4 \\
-48 & 12 & 11 & 3 \\
52 & 26 & 2 & 4
\end{array}\right]
$$

Next, we apply the decoding algorithm to $K$ :
Step 1. By (1.2) we know that

$$
P^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{3} & P_{2} \\
P_{2} & P_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 2 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Step 2. The elements of $P^{2}$ are denoted by

$$
p_{1}=5, p_{2}=2, p_{3}=2, \text { and } p_{4}=1 .
$$

Step 3. We compute the elements $e_{3}^{i}$ to construct the matrix $E_{i}$ :

$$
e_{3}^{1}=64, e_{3}^{2}=68, e_{3}^{3}=61, \text { and } e_{3}^{4}=18
$$

Step 4. We compute the elements $e_{4}^{i}$ to construct the matrix $E_{i}$ :

$$
e_{4}^{1}=30, e_{4}^{2}=28, e_{4}^{3}=25, \text { and } e_{4}^{4}=8
$$

Step 5. We calculate the elements $x_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{2}(392) & =30\left(90+2 x_{1}\right)-64\left(36+x_{1}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{1}=1, \\
(-1)^{2}(-232) & =28\left(55+2 x_{2}\right)-68\left(22+x_{2}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{2}=23, \\
(-1)^{2}(-52) & =25\left(60+2 x_{3}\right)-61\left(24+x_{3}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{3}=8, \\
(-1)^{2}(52) & =8\left(130+2 x_{4}\right)-18\left(52+x_{4}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{4}=26 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 6. We rename the $x_{i}$ as follows:

$$
x_{1}=b_{2}^{1}=1, x_{2}=b_{2}^{2}=23, x_{3}=b_{2}^{3}=8, \text { and } x_{4}=b_{2}^{4}=26 .
$$

Step 7. We construct the block matrices $B_{i}$ :

$$
B_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
18 & 1 \\
4 & 22
\end{array}\right], B_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
11 & 13 \\
12 & 4
\end{array}\right], B_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
12 & 8 \\
11 & 3
\end{array}\right] \text {, and } B_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
26 & 26 \\
2 & 4
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Step 8. We recover the message matrix $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
18 & 1 & 11 & 23 \\
4 & 22 & 12 & 4 \\
12 & 8 & 26 & 26 \\
11 & 3 & 2 & 4
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
M & A & T & H \\
0 & I & S & 0 \\
S & W & E & E \\
T & : & ) & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now we give an application of generalized Pell $(p, i)$-numbers for $p=1$ using the blocking method. Assume that matrices $B_{k}$ and $E_{k}$ have the following forms:

$$
B_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1}^{k} & b_{2}^{k} \\
b_{3}^{k} & b_{4}^{k}
\end{array}\right] \text { and } E_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1}^{k} & e_{2}^{k} \\
e_{3}^{k} & e_{4}^{k}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We use the matrix $G_{n}$ defined in (1.4) and relabel the elements of this matrix by

$$
G_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
g_{1} & g_{2} \\
g_{3} & g_{4}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The number of the block matrices $B_{k}$ are denoted by $b$. Fix the number $n$ to

$$
n=p+2=3 .
$$

The generalized coding algorithm follows the same steps as the Pell Blocking coding algorithm. For the decoding algorithm, we have:

Algorithm 5.3 Generalized Pell Blocking Algorithm — Decoding algorithm
Step 1. Compute $G_{n}$.
Step 2. Determine $g_{j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$.
Step 3. Compute $g_{1} b_{3}^{k}+g_{3} b_{4}^{k} \rightarrow e_{3}^{k}\left(1 \leq k \leq m^{2}\right)$.
Step 4. Compute $g_{2} b_{3}^{k}+g_{4} b_{4}^{k} \rightarrow e_{4}^{k}$.
Step 5. Solve $(-1)^{n} \times d_{k}=e_{4}^{k}\left(g_{1} b_{1}^{k}+g_{3} x_{k}\right)-e_{3}^{k}\left(g_{2} b_{1}^{k}+g_{4} x_{k}\right)$.
Step 6. Substitute for $x_{k}=b_{2}^{k}$.
Step 7. Construct $B_{k}$.
Step 8. Construct $\mathcal{M}$.
We choose $p=i=1$ in the following example.
Example 5.2. Let us consider the message matrix for the following message text:
"HAPPY BIRTHDAY TO YOU:)".

Using the text, we get the following message matrix

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
H & A & P & P & Y & 0 \\
B & I & R & T & H & D \\
A & Y & 0 & T & O & 0 \\
Y & O & U & : & ) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]_{6 \times 6} .
$$

We begin by applying the coding algorithm to $\mathcal{M}$.
Step 1. We can divide the message text $\mathcal{M}$ of size $6 \times 6$ into the matrices, named $B_{k}(1 \leq k \leq 9)$, from left to right, each of size is $2 \times 2$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
H & A \\
B & I
\end{array}\right], B_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P & P \\
R & T
\end{array}\right], B_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Y & 0 \\
H & D
\end{array}\right], \\
& B_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & Y \\
Y & O
\end{array}\right], B_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & T \\
U & :
\end{array}\right], B_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
O & 0 \\
) & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
& B_{7}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{8}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{9}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. Since $p=1$, we calculate $n=3$. For $n=3$, we use the following "letter table" for the message matrix $\mathcal{M}$ :

| $H$ | $A$ | $P$ | $P$ | $Y$ | 0 | $B$ | $I$ | $R$ | $T$ | $H$ | $D$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | 2 | 16 | 16 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 23 | 14 | 12 | 24 | 28 |
| $A$ | $Y$ | 0 | $T$ | $O$ | 0 | $Y$ | $O$ | $U$ | $:$ | $)$ | 0 |
| 2 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

Step 3. We have the elements of the blocks $B_{k}(1 \leq k \leq 9)$ as follows:

| $b_{1}^{1}=24$ | $b_{2}^{1}=2$ | $b_{3}^{1}=1$ | $b_{4}^{1}=23$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $b_{1}^{2}=16$ | $b_{2}^{2}=16$ | $b_{3}^{2}=14$ | $b_{4}^{2}=12$ |
| $b_{1}^{3}=7$ | $b_{2}^{3}=5$ | $b_{3}^{3}=24$ | $b_{4}^{3}=28$ |
| $b_{1}^{4}=2$ | $b_{2}^{4}=7$ | $b_{3}^{4}=7$ | $b_{4}^{4}=17$ |
| $b_{1}^{5}=5$ | $b_{2}^{5}=12$ | $b_{3}^{5}=11$ | $b_{4}^{5}=4$ |
| $b_{1}^{6}=17$ | $b_{2}^{6}=5$ | $b_{3}^{6}=3$ | $b_{4}^{6}=5$ |
| $b_{1}^{7}=5$ | $b_{2}^{7}=5$ | $b_{3}^{7}=5$ | $b_{4}^{7}=5$ |
| $b_{1}^{8}=5$ | $b_{2}^{8}=5$ | $b_{3}^{8}=5$ | $b_{4}^{8}=5$ |
| $b_{1}^{9}=5$ | $b_{2}^{9}=5$ | $b_{3}^{9}=5$ | $b_{4}^{9}=5$ |

Step 4. Now we calculate the determinants $d_{k}$ of the blocks $B_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{1}\right)=550, d_{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{2}\right)=-32, \quad d_{3}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{3}\right)=76, \\
& d_{4}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{4}\right)=-15, d_{5}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{5}\right)=-112, d_{6}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{6}\right)=70 \text {, } \\
& d_{7}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{7}\right)=0, \quad d_{8}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{8}\right)=0, \quad d_{9}=\operatorname{det}\left(B_{9}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5. Using Step 3 and Step 4 we obtain the following matrix $K$ :

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
550 & 24 & 1 & 23 \\
-32 & 16 & 14 & 12 \\
76 & 7 & 24 & 28 \\
-15 & 2 & 7 & 17 \\
-112 & 5 & 11 & 4 \\
70 & 17 & 3 & 5 \\
0 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
0 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
0 & 5 & 5 & 5
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Next, we apply the decoding algorithm to $K$ :
Step 1. Using the equation (1.4) we have

$$
G_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P_{p}^{(p)}(n+p+1) & P_{p}^{(p)}(n+1) \\
P_{p}^{(p)}(n+p) & P_{p}^{(p)}(n)
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
G_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
P_{1}^{(1)}(5) \\
P_{1}^{(1)}(4)
\end{array} P_{1}^{(1)}(4), ~[3) ~=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
12 & 5 \\
5 & 2
\end{array}\right] .\right.
$$

Step 2. The elements of $G_{3}$ are denoted by

$$
g_{1}=12, g_{2}=5, g_{3}=5, \text { and } g_{4}=2
$$

Step 3. We compute the elements $e_{3}^{k}$ to construct the matrix $E_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{3}^{1}=127, e_{3}^{2}=228, e_{3}^{3}=428, \\
& e_{3}^{4}=169, e_{3}^{5}=152, e_{3}^{6}=61, \\
& e_{3}^{7}=85, e_{3}^{8}=85, e_{3}^{9}=85 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4 . We compute the elements $e_{4}^{k}$ to construct the matrix $E_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{4}^{1}=51, e_{4}^{2}=94, e_{4}^{3}=176, \\
& e_{4}^{4}=69, e_{4}^{5}=63, e_{4}^{6}=25, \\
& e_{4}^{7}=35, e_{4}^{8}=35, e_{4}^{9}=35 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5. We calculate the elements $x_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)(550) & =51\left(288+5 x_{1}\right)-127\left(120+2 x_{1}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{1}=2, \\
(-1)(-32) & =94\left(192+5 x_{2}\right)-228\left(80+2 x_{2}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{2}=16, \\
(-1)(76) & =176\left(84+5 x_{3}\right)-428\left(35+2 x_{3}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{3}=5, \\
(-1)(-15) & =69\left(24+5 x_{4}\right)-169\left(10+2 x_{4}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{4}=7, \\
(-1)(-112) & =63\left(60+5 x_{5}\right)-152\left(25+2 x_{5}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{5}=12, \\
(-1)(70) & =25\left(204+5 x_{6}\right)-61\left(85+2 x_{6}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{6}=5, \\
(-1) 0 & =35\left(60+5 x_{7}\right)-85\left(25+2 x_{7}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{7}=5, \\
(-1) 0 & =35\left(60+5 x_{8}\right)-85\left(25+2 x_{8}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{8}=5, \\
(-1) 0 & =35\left(60+5 x_{9}\right)-85\left(25+2 x_{9}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow x_{9}=5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 6. We rename $x_{k}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=b_{2}^{1}=2, x_{2}=b_{2}^{2}=16, x_{3}=b_{2}^{3}=5, \\
& x_{4}=b_{2}^{4}=7, x_{5}=b_{2}^{5}=12, x_{6}=b_{2}^{6}=5, \\
& x_{7}=b_{2}^{7}=5, x_{8}=b_{2}^{8}=5, \quad x_{9}=b_{2}^{9}=5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 7. We construct the block matrices $B_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
24 & 2 \\
1 & 23
\end{array}\right], B_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
16 & 16 \\
14 & 12
\end{array}\right], B_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
7 & 5 \\
24 & 28
\end{array}\right], \\
B_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 7 \\
7 & 17
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 12 \\
11 & 4
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
17 & 5 \\
3 & 5
\end{array}\right], \\
B_{7}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 5 \\
5 & 5
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{8}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 5 \\
5 & 5
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{9}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 5 \\
5 & 5
\end{array}\right],
\end{array}
$$

Step 8. We recover the following message matrix $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
24 & 2 & 16 & 16 & 7 & 5 \\
1 & 23 & 14 & 12 & 24 & 28 \\
2 & 7 & 5 & 12 & 17 & 5 \\
7 & 17 & 11 & 4 & 3 & 5 \\
5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
H & A & P & P & Y & 0 \\
B & I & R & T & H & D \\
A & Y & 0 & T & O & 0 \\
Y & O & U & : & ) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## 6. Conclusion

We have presented two new coding and decoding algorithms using the generalized Pell $(p, i)$-numbers for $p=1$. Combining these algorithms together, we can generate a new mixed algorithm such as the Minesweeper model introduced in [11]. Furthermore it is possible to develop new mixed models using Fibonacci and Lucas blocking algorithms given in [10] and [11]. Taking $p \geq 2$, it possible to increase the size of blocks. For example, a new coding algorithm using $3 \times 3$ block matrices is given in [12].
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