



Barker, E. N., & Tasker, S. (2020). Update on Feline Infectious Peritonitis. *In Practice*, *42*(7), 372-383. https://doi.org/10.1136/inp.m3187

Peer reviewed version

License (if available): CC BY-NC Link to published version (if available): 10.1136/inp.m3187

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via BMJ at https://inpractice.bmj.com/content/42/7/372. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/

1 AN UPDATE ON FIP

- 2
- 3 Emi N. Barker ^{1 & 2}
- 4 Séverine Tasker^{2&3}
- 5
- 6 ¹ Feline Centre, Langford Vets, Langford BS40 5DU
- 7 ² Bristol Veterinary School, University of Bristol, Langford, BS40 5DU
- 8 ³ The Linnaeus Group, Shirley B90 1BN
- 9 * Corresponding author: <u>emi.barker@bristol.ac.uk</u>

11 KEY LEARNINGS/OUTCOMES

12 After reading this article, you should:

13	•	Understand the relationship between feline coronavirus and feline infectious
14		peritonitis (FIP), and how this impacts transmission and diagnosis
15	•	Be able to list commonly identified findings on history, physical examination and
16		routine clinicopathological tests that raise concern for FIP in a sick cat
17	•	Know how to diagnose probable FIP in a suspected case, and how to definitively
18		diagnose FIP when this is necessary
19	•	Be aware of the current treatment options for a cat diagnosed with FIP, including
20		recent advancements in this field
21	•	Be able to discuss possible methods to reduce risk of FIP within an multicat
22		household

24 MANUSCRIPT

25 Background	ound	kgr	Bad	25
---------------	------	-----	-----	----

- 26 Feline coronavirus (FCoV) infection in cats is common, usually only causing mild intestinal
- 27 signs such as diarrhoea. It is highly infectious and found worldwide. A sequela of FCoV
- 28 infection, feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) is a common cause of death in young cats,
- 29 occurring in up to 10% of cats infected with FCoV. Although suspicion of FIP is frequent in
- 30 sick, particularly young, cats, obtaining a definitive diagnosis using non- or minimally-
- 31 invasive approaches is difficult.
- 32

33 Epidemiology

Coronaviruses are relatively large, enveloped, positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses (see **Figure 1** and **Figure 2**). They exhibit a high rate of mutation during replication and therefore exist as clusters of genetically diverse populations. Cats worldwide have been found to be infected with FCoV, with the exception of cats on a small number of isolated islands.

39

Two serotypes of FCoV are recognised: Type 1, which represents the vast majority of field strains, and Type 2. The latter arises following recombination events between Type 1 FCoV and canine coronavirus. The two serotypes are distinguished primarily by differences in their transmembrane spike (S) glycoprotein. The S glycoprotein (see Figure 2) mediates binding to and entry of host cells.

45

46 Infection with FCoV is very common, with 35% of the owned domestic cat population having
47 detectable antibodies to FCoV indicating exposure (combined data from the eight

48	serological studies listed in (Drechsler et al., 2011)). In single cat households (combined
49	data), seroprevalence reduces to 21%, but correspondingly in multi-cat households it can be
50	over 90% (Addie et al., 2000). Most infections are transient (although reinfection is
51	common) with only a small percentage becoming persistent 'carriers' or 'chronically
52	shedding' cats (Kipar and Meli, 2014).
53	
54	Transmission and Pathogenesis
55	Transmission is primarily faeco-oral, with litter boxes representing the principal source of
56	infection amongst cats within a household. In breeding catteries, kittens commonly become
57	infected at a young age, mostly at 5-6 weeks (Addie and Jarrett, 1992), as maternally
58	derived antibodies have started to wane. Nose to nose contact is considered an uncommon
59	route, and transplacental is considered rare. Experimentally, infection has been transmitted
60	by parenteral injection of virus derived from cats with FIP.
61	
62	Small intestinal villi enterocytes are the primary point of host cell entry and replication. In
63	most cases, FCoV infection is subclinical or results in only mild gastrointestinal signs (e.g.
64	diarrhoea, vomiting). However, occasionally more severe gastrointestinal disease is seen.
65	Subclinical FCoV infection was previously believed to be confined to the intestinal tract, but
66	we now know that healthy FCoV-infected cats develop a detectable low-level viraemia
67	during acute infection (Kipar et al., 2010). In a small percentage of cases FCoV infection
68	results in feline infectious peritonitis (FIP), which typically occurs sporadically. Occasional
69	outbreaks of FIP in multi-cat households or shelters affect a larger percentage of cats
70	(Barker et al., 2013).
71	

72 In FIP, virus-laden monocytes attach to the walls of small veins and release inflammatory 73 cytokines that damage the endothelial basal lamina (Kipar and Meli, 2014). This results in 74 extravasation of monocytes (which mature into tissue macrophages) and proteinaceous 75 fluid. In effusive (a.k.a. 'wet') FIP, this extravasation of proteinaceous fluid is evident as fluid 76 accumulations within body cavities. In non-effusive (a.k.a. 'dry') FIP, the extravasated 77 macrophages recruit other inflammatory cells and result in perivascular granulomata, which 78 may appear grossly as a mass lesion (Figure 3 and Figure 4 A). The role of other cytokines, 79 including interferon gamma and tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), in the pathogenesis 80 of FIP is incompletely understood, but is thought to be significant (Kipar and Meli, 2014). 81 The mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs) may represent an important site in which the host 82 immune response to FCoV plays a role in the outcome of infection, as MLNs are presumed 83 to be the first site of FCoV replication outside the intestinal tract and before 84 monocyte/macrophage infection occurs (Malbon et al., 2019).

85

86 Viral factors are important in the pathogenesis of FIP. As mentioned earlier, the S 87 glycoprotein of FCoV mediates host cell entry, with mutations in the S gene influencing cell tropism (Kipar and Meli, 2014). Mutations at different sites within the S gene have been 88 89 detected with increased frequency in FIP tissue-derived FCoVs, as compared to faecally-90 shed FCoV from clinically 'healthy' cats (Chang et al., 2012, Licitra et al., 2013). This has led 91 to suggestions that some of these mutations could be a useful target in differentiating cats 92 with FIP from cats without. Unfortunately, a recent large-scale study suggested that one of 93 these sets of mutations, involving the fusion peptide, was more indicative of systemic FCoV 94 infection, occurring in FCoV viraemic cats with and without FIP with equal frequency, rather 95 than FIP per se (Barker et al., 2017). Other viral factors mediating effective and sustained

96	replication in monocytes, and activation of infected monocytes, are also likely to be
97	important for the development of FIP following systemic FCoV infection. Very recently there
98	has also been suggestion that specific viral mutations could be associated with tissue
99	tropism (Andre et al., 2019).
100	
101	Host factors contributing to the immune response such as genetic background (e.g. breed-,
102	line- or individual-specific) and maturity (e.g. age; history of prior exposure to infectious
103	agents) likely play an important role in FIP development. Host factors are inextricably linked
104	with environmental factors such as stress (e.g. cat-cat interactions; novel experiences such
105	as rehoming, vaccination, surgery; resource accessibility) and overcrowding, which
106	themselves may lead to increased environmental viral burden, increased viral replication
107	within cats and support FIP development.
107 108	within cats and support FIP development.
	within cats and support FIP development. Clinical signs associated with FIP
108	
108 109	Clinical signs associated with FIP
108 109 110	Clinical signs associated with FIP The variability in the extent and distribution of both vasculitis and perivascular granulomata
108 109 110 111	Clinical signs associated with FIP The variability in the extent and distribution of both vasculitis and perivascular granulomata underlies one of the difficulties in diagnosing FIP. Clinical signs of FIP can change over time,
108 109 110 111 112	Clinical signs associated with FIP The variability in the extent and distribution of both vasculitis and perivascular granulomata underlies one of the difficulties in diagnosing FIP. Clinical signs of FIP can change over time, necessitating repeated clinical examinations to detect newly apparent pathology. Non-
108 109 110 111 112 113	Clinical signs associated with FIP The variability in the extent and distribution of both vasculitis and perivascular granulomata underlies one of the difficulties in diagnosing FIP. Clinical signs of FIP can change over time, necessitating repeated clinical examinations to detect newly apparent pathology. Non- specific, often waxing and waning, clinical signs (see Table 1) attributable to the systemic
108 109 110 111 112 113 114	Clinical signs associated with FIP The variability in the extent and distribution of both vasculitis and perivascular granulomata underlies one of the difficulties in diagnosing FIP. Clinical signs of FIP can change over time, necessitating repeated clinical examinations to detect newly apparent pathology. Non- specific, often waxing and waning, clinical signs (see Table 1) attributable to the systemic inflammatory response frequently occur in cats both with and without detectable effusions,

- 118 **Table 1** Commonly encountered features of signalment, history, and physical examination
- seen in cats with feline infectious peritonitis.

Signalment	Young (often <2 years); male; breed*
History	Background: Recent stress (vaccination; rehoming; new cat;
	surgery); multicat household (current / historical)
	Health: weight loss / failure to thrive; inappetence /
	anorexia; lethargy; pyrexia of unknown origin (non-
	responsive to antibiotics; +/- fluctuating); behavioural
	change, ataxia, seizures
Physical examination	Abdominal distention / fluid thrill [<i>ascites</i>]; palpable mass;
	uveitis; jaundice; pyrexia; restrictive dyspnoea with dull lung
	sounds [pleural effusion]; neurological deficits;
	lymphadenopathy

120 * Non-pedigree cats make up the majority of cats presenting with FIP (80% in a recent study

121 (Richards, 1995)). However, various prevalence studies have identified increased incidence

122 in certain pedigree breeds. The breeds identified of having increased risk vary from country

123 to country, suggestive of either country-specific blood lines being more of a factor or

124 reporting bias within the local pedigree cat communities.

126	Although effusive FIP is regarded as being 3-4 times more common than non-effusive FIP
127	(Kipar and Meli, 2014, Riemer et al., 2016), and the distinction between the two forms is
128	important for diagnostic purposes, there is considerable overlap between them. Cases with
129	effusive FIP often have pyogranulomatous lesions visible at post-mortem examination,
130	whilst many cats with non-effusive FIP go on to develop effusions. Effusive FIP is often acute
131	in nature, progressing within a few days or weeks; whereas non-effusive FIP tends to be
132	more chronic, progressing over a few weeks to months. In effusive FIP, effusions may form
133	in one or more body cavity, with abdominal effusion leading to a clinical presentation of
134	ascites and abdominal distension being the most common manifestation. Cats with pleural

135 effusion often develop dyspnoea, whereas cats with pericardial effusion rarely show signs of 136 cardiac tamponade. Occurring only rarely, scrotal effusion leads to scrotal enlargement in 137 entire males. Non-effusive FIP is often more difficult to diagnose, particularly in the earlier 138 stages of disease, as vague non-specific signs may be all that can be seen. More specific 139 signs depend on the organs affected by the granulomatous lesions, often the central 140 nervous system (CNS), eyes, or abdominal organs (e.g. liver, MLNs, kidney, gastrointestinal tract); however, any tissue can be affected and primary involvement of the lungs or skin 141 142 have been described.

143

In sick cats, careful neurological and ocular examination may reveal changes that support a 144 145 diagnosis of FIP, as well as indicating a potential source of samples for testing. Neurological 146 signs associated with focal, multifocal or diffuse changes in the CNS may be seen in up to 147 30% of cats with FIP, and for some these are the only signs noted (Figure 5); this makes FIP a 148 common differential for neurological disease, particularly in the young cat. Commonly reported signs in FIP with neurological involvement include ataxia (with varying degrees of 149 150 tetra- or paraparesis), hyperaesthesia, head tilt, nystagmus, seizures, behavioural change, 151 mental state change, cranial nerve deficits and postural reaction deficits; however, 152 differentiating subtle neurological signs from those exhibited by systemically unwell cats 153 may not be possible. Similarly, FIP is a major differential for uveitis (Figure 6) with anterior and posterior uveitis commonly identified in cats with both effusive and non-effusive FIP, 154 155 particularly when examined by an experienced clinician.

156

Where FIP manifests in the intestinal tract and/or regional lymph nodes (sometimes called
"focal FIP", although the disease is still systemic) it can present as a palpable abdominal

mass (see Figure 4) that must be differentiated from neoplasia, toxoplasmosis or other
granulomatous disease (e.g. mycobacterial infection) (Kipar et al., 1999, Pedersen, 2009).
Where the lesion involves the intestinal wall clinical signs may include vomiting, diarrhoea
or constipation, or signs referable to an obstructive or protein-losing enteropathy may be
seen.

164

165 **Diagnosis** (see Figure 7)

A high index of suspicion can be obtained from a combination of signalment, history, and
 physical examination (Table 1). However, none are pathognomonic for FIP and other

168 common differential diagnoses (**Table 2**) should be considered when performing further

169 investigation.

170

Routine clinicopathological tests (**Table 3**) may indicate the presence of a chronic systemic inflammatory response, further supporting a clinical suspicion of FIP; however, no clinicopathological changes are diagnostic for FIP and, in some cats, routine blood analysis can be unremarkable. This is compounded by both vets (and owners) suspecting FIP earlier in the course of the disease process, so reducing the negative predictive power of some findings (e.g. absence of hyperglobulinaemia) (Stranieri et al., 2017).

Table 2 Common differential diagnoses for feline infectious peritonitis

1	7	o
т	1	Õ

	Non- specific signs	Jaundice	Effusion	Ocular	CNS	Mass lesion	Notes
Toxoplasmosis	~	 ✓ 	V	√	~	~	 History – Fed raw diet or hunter (also vertical transmission in kittens) Differences – Hyperglobulinaemia uncommon Diagnosis – Cytological identification of organisms on aspirates; PCR of aspirates or CSF; paired Toxoplasma serology (IgM & IgG)
Lymphocytic cholangitis	•	•	✓ (ascites)				 History – Persians may be over-represented Differences – Usually (not always) associated with increased hepatic enzyme activities (primarily cholestatic). Cats often relatively well and normothermic Diagnosis – Liver biopsy
Neoplasia (e.g. lymphoma; carcinoma)	v	V	 ✓ 	 ✓ 	✓	~	Can affect cats of any age, particularly lymphoma. Jaundice may be present particularly with hepatic involvement <i>Diagnosis</i> – Cytology of fluid or aspirates; biopsy
Mycobacterial disease	~		•	✓	~	✓ (often LNs)	 History –Hunter or outdoor access (geographical variation), fed raw diet Differences – Usually minimal to no effusions. Usually (not always) relatively well and normothermic. Pulmonary signs (tachypnoea; cough) not uncommon Diagnosis – Ziehl-Neelsen stain of aspirates or biopsy; interferon-γ release assay; mycobacterial PCR or culture of aspirate or biopsy

Pancreatitis	✓	✓	✓			\checkmark	Differences – Usually (not always) normothermic. Ascites, where
			(ascites)			(pancreas)	present, usually small volume with high cellularity (non-degenerate
							neutrophils)
							Diagnosis – Feline pancreatic lipase immunoreactivity; abdominal
							imaging
Feline	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	History – Outdoor or 'stray'; entire adult with unknown mating
immunodeficiency						(LNs)	activity (especially FIV)
virus (FIV) / feline							Differences – Common differential for lymphadenopathy and/or
leukaemia virus							uveitis. FeLV may be associated with neoplasia (especially
(FeLV)							lymphoma)
							Diagnosis – FIV antibody / FeLV antigen serology (positive results
							should be confirmed)
Sepsis	\checkmark	✓	Infection c	an involve	differ	ent organ	Cats are often very sick, e.g. pyrexia may have progressed to
			systems (e.g. kidney; liver; uterus;				hypothermia with onset of shock
			heart) or b	ody caviti	es (e.g	. pyothorax;	Diagnosis – haematology suggestive (leukocytosis or neutropenia;
			septic peri	tonitis)			left shift and toxic change); hypoglycaemia may be present; imaging;
							cytology (degenerate neutrophils; intracellular bacteria) and culture
							of fluid or aspirates*
Septic peritonitis	✓		✓				Pyrexia common. Most frequently associated with gastrointestinal
			(ascites)				or urinary tract perforation
							Differences – Ascites with high cellularity (degenerate neutrophils;
							intracellular bacteria)
							Diagnosis – cytology and culture of fluid or aspirates*
Pyothorax	✓	✓	✓				Usually pyrexic
			(pleural)				Differences – Pleural effusion with high cellularity (degenerate
							neutrophils; intracellular bacteria)

			Diagnosis – cytology and culture of fluid or aspirates*
Congestive heart	\checkmark	✓	History – Some breeds are predisposed to cardiomyopathy (e.g.
failure (CHF)		(pleural	Ragdoll; Maine Coon) with increased risk of CHF at a young age.
		+/-	Heart murmur (non-haemic), gallop sounds, arrhythmia, jugular vein
		ascites)	distention and pulse may be present.
			Differences – Low protein / low cellularity effusion. Hypothermia
			and/or hypotension are common. Pyrexia, hyperglobulinaemia and
			jaundice are not features
l			Diagnosis – Echocardiography

179 🗸 = feature shared with FIP (NB: absence does not rule it out as a differential); * NB: risk of false-negative if collected after antibiotics

180 administered; LNs = lymph nodes

- **Table 3** Commonly encountered changes on routine clinicopathological analysis seen in cats
- 182 with feline infectious peritonitis.

Haematology	Anaemia (often mild & non-regenerative)
	Microcytosis
	Lymphopenia
	Neutrophilia (+/- left shift)
Serum biochemistry	Hyperglobulinaemia* (often polyclonal gammopathy)
	Hypoalbuminaemia (secondary to acute phase protein
	response; compensatory for hyperglobulinaemia
	Hyperbilirubinaemia
	Low albumin to globulin ratio
	Increased liver enzyme activities (primarily hepatocellular,
	esp. AST)

184	Further support for FIP may be gained from the measurement of inflammatory markers.
185	Serum protein electrophoresis is a crude way of determining the presence and nature of an
186	inflammatory response, particularly where there is a hyperglobulinaemia. The most
187	frequently encountered change in cats with FIP is a polyclonal gammopathy, indicating a
188	non-clonal increase in antibodies; however, a small number of cats present with a
189	monoclonal gammopathy (Taylor et al., 2010), whilst others show increases in the alpha2-
190	globulin fraction (reflecting an increase in acute-phase proteins (APPs))(Stranieri et al.,
191	2017). APPs are made in the liver in response to cytokines released from activated
192	macrophages and monocytes. Marked increases (>1.5 mg/mL) in serum $lpha$ 1-acid
193	glycoprotein (AGP) can support a diagnosis of FIP (Paltrinieri et al., 2007, Duthie et al., 1997,
194	Hazuchova et al., 2017). Other APPs, serum amyloid A and haptoglobin, have been assessed
195	in the diagnosis of FIP but were both less sensitive and specific than AGP (Duthie et al.,
196	1997, Hazuchova et al., 2017). Overall, increased AGP (or other APPs) in serum, despite

197 supporting a diagnosis of FIP, is not confirmatory and may be limited by cost, availability and198 turnaround time.

200	Clinicians vary as to whether they perform FCoV serology or not in suspected cases.
201	Although a positive result indicates exposure to FCoV, many clinically healthy cats have
202	positive, often high, antibody titres, whilst a small proportion of cats with both effusive and
203	non-effusive FIP are seronegative. Diagnosis of FIP should never be made based upon
204	positive serology alone. Faecal RT-qPCR has replaced serology in monitoring the effect of
205	control measures in the management of FCoV infection within a breeding cattery.
206	
207	Imaging (see Figure 4 and Figure 5) can be useful, in that it can often identify areas of
208	pathology (e.g. mass lesion; effusion) that may prove useful to sample as well as guiding
209	sample acquisition (e.g. ultrasound-guided needle biopsy). However, imaging alone cannot
210	be used to make a diagnosis of FIP.
211	To provide a definitive diagnosis of FIP, cytological or histopathological changes consistent
212	with FIP (i.e. pyogranulomatous inflammation) should be identified and subsequently co-
213	localised with FCoV antigen, using immunostaining for viral antigen. More recently RT-PCRs
214	have also been used to support a diagnosis of FIP (see Box 2).
215	
216	In effusive FIP, sampling the effusion is the single most useful diagnostic step in confirming a
217	diagnosis. For this reason, where effusions are not evident on initial evaluation, repeated
218	ultrasonography to identify any small volume effusion is recommended (Figure 4) and may
219	facilitate sampling of small pockets of fluid. FIP effusions (Figure 8) are usually clear, poorly
220	cellular (total nucleated cell count <5x10 9 /L), yellow, viscous, protein-rich (with a total

221 protein concentration of >35g/L), have a low albumin to globulin ratio, and have a positive 222 Rivalta test (**Box 1**). However, in some cats the effusions might be cloudy, of slightly lower 223 protein levels (e.g. in cats that were not originally markedly hyperproteinaemic, or following 224 repeated abdominocentesis), or contain much higher cell counts (up to 20x10⁹/L). 225 Cytological examination usually reveals pyogranulomatous inflammation with macrophages, 226 non-degenerate neutrophils and few lymphocytes. Effusion AGP concentrations may also be 227 useful in supporting a diagnosis of FIP, potentially affording greater sensitivity and 228 specificity than serum measurements (Duthie et al., 1997, Hazuchova et al., 2017), but are 229 not confirmatory. Positive FCoV antigen immunostaining is strongly supportive of a 230 diagnosis of FIP. However, false-negatives occur in 5-43% of cats with FIP (Hartmann et al., 231 2003, Paltrinieri et al., 1999), particularly in low cellularity samples, and false-positives have 232 been reported in up to 30% of cases (Hartmann et al., 2003, Felten et al., 2017b, Litster et 233 al., 2013), including cats with neoplasia or cardiac disease. False-positive results may be 234 dependent on technique, methodology or laboratory used, therefore checking the 235 specificity and use of internal controls with the laboratory used is recommended when 236 interpreting results.

237

Box 1: *The Rivalta test*This test is a simple inexpensive, point-of-care test to differentiate a transudate from an
inflammatory effusion. It does not replace more advanced analysis in-house or at external
laboratories, but it can facilitate decision-making particularly in financially constrained
settings, particularly where tests to quantify effusion protein concentrations are not
available.

244	Rivalta test is more useful at ruling out FIP, than ruling it in: over 90% of FIP effusions are
245	Rivalta test positive (Hartmann et al., 2003, Fischer et al., 2012); positive results are also
246	seen with bacterial peritonitis and neoplastic exudates; and low protein, non-inflammatory
247	exudates (such as those see with cardiac failure or hypoproteinaemia) are typically negative.
248	Method: 10 ml distilled water mixed with 2-3 drops of white vinegar in a test tube or
249	universal container; one drop of effusion is added carefully to the top
250	* Negative = dispersion of the drop of effusion
251	* Positive = the drop of effusion retains its shape and floats slowly to the bottom of the tube
252	or sits on the surface of the water

254	For cats with suspected non-effusive FIP and accessible mass lesions (e.g. mesenteric
255	lymphadenomegaly) fine needle cytology may be considered. Whilst in cats where CNS (see
256	Figure 5) or ocular signs (see Figure 6) predominate, more specialist techniques to obtain
257	samples of cerebrospinal fluid or aqueous humour for analysis are discussed in the literature
258	but rarely performed in first-opinion practice. Cytology typically reveals non-septic
259	pyogranulomatous to granulomatous inflammation; however, this is only documented in 42
260	to 82% of cats with FIP, and up to 30% samples from cats without FIP (Gruendl et al., 2017,
261	Felten et al., 2018, Giordano et al., 2005). Positive immunostaining for FCoV antigen can
262	provide further support for FIP. However, as with cytological analysis alone, false-negatives
263	occur >15% of CSF samples (Gruendl et al., 2017), >35% of aqueous humour samples (Felten
264	et al., 2018), and 11-53% of tissue aspirates (Felten et al., 2019, Giordano et al., 2005) from
265	cats with FIP, with false-positives reported in ~20% of samples from cats without FIP,
266	including those with neoplasia or vascular disease.

268	Although, until recently the reference standard for the diagnosis FIP, histopathology alone
269	can be non-diagnostic, equivocal or misleading in some cases (Pedersen, 2009, Giuliano et
270	al., 2018, Giordano et al., 2005), particularly where needle-core samples are collected blind.
271	Many now consider the demonstration of FCoV antigen within granuloma-associated
272	macrophages by immunostaining as the reference standard, but it is subject to the similar
273	limitations to histology albeit with 100% specificity. In a recent large study, only 62% of
274	tissue samples from cats with FIP revealed FCoV-positive lesions (Barker et al., 2017).
275	However, it should be noted that all the samples in this study were collected post-mortem,
276	visibly normal tissues were frequently sampled in addition to grossly abnormal tissues, and
277	at least one tissue sample per cat was diagnostic for FIP. Wherever possible grossly
278	abnormal tissue should be sampled to maximise the likelihood of achieving a diagnosis.
279	

280	Box 2 : The use and abuse of reverse-transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) in the diagnosis of FIP
281	FCoV RT-PCR, when designed appropriately, is a very sensitive and specific assay for the
282	detection of FCoV within samples, and is generally more sensitive than immunostaining for
283	FCoV antigen in tissues (Barker et al., 2017). However, it cannot co-localise virus to
284	cytological / histological lesions, merely to the samples in which those changes are present.
285	Following intestinal infection with FCoV, most cats develop a viraemia, disseminating virus
286	throughout the body. Cat without FIP can therefore have detectable virus within blood,
287	effusions and tissues – albeit at a lower frequency and viral copy number. Due to low
288	circulating levels of viraemia, use of RT-PCR of whole blood in cats with suspected FIP is not
289	recommended (Emmler et al., 2019).
290	

291	In a recent large study, all but one cat of 57 (98%) with FIP had at least one tissue positive
292	for FCoV by quantitative RT-PCR, as compared to 12 of 45 cats without FIP (Barker et al.,
293	2017); viral copy numbers were also significantly higher in the positive samples from cats
294	with FIP than those without FIP. Further investigation of the single cat with FIP and a
295	negative RT-PCR result revealed the FCoV present to have multiple mutations in the
296	sequence normally detected by the RT-PCR assay, resulting in its failure. Whilst, none of the
297	cats without FIP (including those with a positive RT-PCR result) had histopathological
298	evidence of granulomatous disease or positive immunostaining.
299	
300	RT-PCR has been applied to cytological samples. Most (72-100%) effusions from cats with
301	FIP are RT-PCR positive, cf. only two false-positives out of 76 samples from cats without FIP
302	across three studies (Barker et al., 2017, Felten et al., 2017c, Stranieri et al., 2018). Most (18
303	of 20; 90%) mesenteric lymph node aspirates from cats with non-effusive FIP are RT-PCR
304	positive (Dunbar et al., 2018); however, one false-positive result (out of 20 cats) did occur in
305	a cat seropositive for FCoV. Detection of FCoV in CSF by RT-PCR from cats with FIP is
306	variable, ranging from 21% to 86% (Barker et al., 2017, Doenges et al., 2016, Foley et al.,
307	1998, Emmler et al., 2019), whereas, RT-PCR was negative in all control cats. Detection of
308	FCoV in aqueous humour from cats with FIP was poor (25%), and no control cats were
309	tested (Emmler et al., 2019).
310	
311	Additional analysis has been applied to RT-PCR-positive samples to determine whether the
312	FCoV present carries genetic mutations that have been said to be associated with FIP. The
313	use of Spike gene mutation analysis has been most frequently studied for this purpose,
314	albeit using different techniques, different sample types and with different conclusions.

315	Where a highly sensitive method (pyrosequencing) was employed to evaluate the Spike
316	gene, mutations were detected in FCoV-positive tissue from 15 of 17 (88%) samples from
317	cats without FIP as compared to 202 of 206 (99%) samples from cats with FIP (Barker et al.,
318	2017). Other techniques (e.g. allelic discrimination) that require a relatively high viral copy
319	number in the sample to generate a result (often not present in cats without FIP) and
320	consider a result where sequencing has failed to be negative, will increase the test
321	specificity by a modest amount by reducing, but not eliminating, the number of false-
322	positives; however, the detection of true-positives results in cats with FIP (i.e. the test
323	sensitivity) is more markedly reduced (Emmler et al., 2019, Felten et al., 2017a).
324	
325	In conclusion, although a positive RT-PCR result on fluid, effusions, aspirates and tissue can
326	provide strong support for a diagnosis of FIP (particularly for CSF), both false positives and
327	false negatives occur such that RT-PCR should not be solely relied upon to make a diagnosis.
328	Further, Spike gene analysis is either of little benefit over RT-PCR at removing false-positives
329	(i.e. when pyrosequencing is used), or markedly increases the number of false-negatives (i.e.
330	when allelic discrimination is used) and may inadvertently cast doubt on a diagnosis of FIP in
331	a with FIP potentially delaying treatment.
332	
333	NB: RT-PCR of faeces is not a test for FIP, it is a test for FCoV shedding (which can be
334	intermittent). Most cats that have a positive faecal FCoV result will not go on to develop FIP,
335	and only two in every three cats with FIP are shedding FCoV at time of euthanasia (Barker et
336	al., 2017). It is only of use in special circumstances (e.g. attempting to identify shedders
337	within a multi-cat household)
220	

339 Often, and especially in non-effusive FIP, collection of biopsies from tissues with gross 340 lesions is necessary to achieve a definitive diagnosis. In the absence of a definitive diagnosis, 341 or pending confirmatory tests, available results form the basis of discussion as to whether 342 further, invasive, investigation is likely to change treatment options and whether to start 343 treatment. This can been frustrated by the geographical restriction (outside the UK) of some 344 tests (e.g. AGP, immunocytochemistry, immunohistochemistry, and RT-PCR) that would 345 otherwise be strongly supportive of a diagnosis of FIP. If euthanasia is performed without a 346 definitive diagnosis, post-mortem examination is strongly recommended to assess whether 347 gross findings (with histopathology if funds allow) are consistent with a diagnosis of FIP.

348

349 Treatment & Prognosis

350 Potential alternative diagnoses, such as toxoplasmosis and mycobacterial infection, should 351 be ruled out and a definitive diagnosis of FIP made prior to considering treatment; however, 352 the reality is that treatment is often started when as close to a definitive diagnosis of FIP as 353 possible has been achieved, taking into account the overall clinical picture alongside owner 354 preferences and finances. A lack of definitive diagnosis makes it impossible to know 355 whether a treatment response indicates efficacy against FIP, or a missed alternative 356 diagnosis. Treatments administered may also interfere with the sensitivity and specificity of 357 future diagnostic test results. A paucity of placebo- or 'current best-treatment'-controlled clinical trials of cats with definitively confirmed FIP limits treatment recommendations. 358 359 Currently, no licensed drug is available that has proved effective in curing FIP. 360

Prognosis for cats with effusive disease is grave, with death or euthanasia within days to
occasionally weeks in most cases. The prognosis for cats with non-effusive disease is also

poor, with death or euthanasia within weeks to months in most cases. However, it is not
necessary to euthanase immediately if the cat still has a reasonable quality of life. It is
possible to maintain palliative treatment for as long as weight and activity are maintained.
Rarely some individuals have survived for months to sometimes years, often with supportive
treatment, but it is unclear as to whether the treatment administered influenced survival.

Treatment is currently limited to supportive care. Cats, once anorexic, can quickly become dehydrated; therefore, simple fluid therapy, correction of electrolyte disturbances, and encouraging them to eat can be extremely useful at improving their quality of life. The value of removing fluid effusions in cats with FIP has been debated. Thoracocentesis is indicated where effusion has resulted in dyspnoea. Abdominocentesis is controversial and may be detrimental due to exacerbation of dehydration, although some authors have described fluid drainage followed by intracavitary corticosteroid administration.

376

Given that FIP has a significant immune-mediated component, treatment to either suppress or modify the immune response can be considered. Corticosteroids are the most frequently used medication – and some cats receive benefit from them, particularly in terms of quality of life. However, there are no controlled studies to prove beneficial effect, only anecdotal reports, and they appear to do very little for the viral infection itself. Doses are empirical (prednisolone 2-4mg/kg/day orally) and can be tapered slowly to response.

383

384 Many different drugs have been considered for the treatment of FIP. Cyclophosphamide,

ciclosporin A and anti-TNF- α antibodies have been anecdotally used to prolong survival, but

no controlled studies have been performed. Pentoxifylline has also been anecdotally used to

387 manage the vasculitis; however, in a placebo-controlled trial of the related drug, 388 propentofylline, no benefit was found (Fischer et al., 2011). Interferons are also commonly 389 used, on the basis of positive anecdotal reports; however, a placebo-controlled clinical trial 390 failed to demonstrate a clinically relevant benefit (Ritz et al., 2007). Polyprenyl 391 immunostimulant has limited data to support its use with significant limitations (including 392 lack of control treatment group and limited diagnostic criteria for FIP) (Legendre et al., 393 2017); however, it is possible that it may improve survival times in the milder forms of non-394 effusive FIP without detrimental impact on the patient. Herbal medication has also been 395 suggested for cats with FIP, often with no scientific data to support its use.

396

397 Recently described promising new, but as yet unlicensed, drugs comprise viral protease 398 inhibitors and nucleoside analogs. FCoVs produce large viral proteins (e.g. the gene 399 encoding polyprotein 1 forms a large component of the FCoV genome, see Figure 1) that are 400 cleaved into smaller functioning units by proteases. Inhibitors of these proteases therefore 401 affect viral production. The protease inhibitor GC376 has produced remarkable responses in 402 both experimentally-induced and naturally-occurring FIP, with six of eight cats with 403 experimental-induced FIP alive at 8 months and 19/20 cats with naturally-occurring FIP 404 showing a positive response, which was sustained in seven cats (Kim et al., 2015, Pedersen 405 et al., 2018). The nucleoside analog GS-441524 acts as an alternative substrate and RNA-406 chain terminator of the viral RNA polymerase, thus interfering with FCoV replication. It too 407 has produced remarkable responses in both experimentally-induced and naturally-occurring 408 FIP, with all 10 cats with experimental-induced FIP alive at 8 months and 26/31 cats with 409 naturally-occurring FIP having a positive response, which was sustained in 25 cats (Murphy 410 et al., 2018, Pedersen et al., 2019). Unfortunately, both the protease inhibitor GC376 and

the nucleoside analog GS-441524 appear to poorly penetrate the blood-brain and blood-eye
barriers, likely accounting for increased likelihood of relapses involving the nervous system
or lack of initial response to treatment in study cats presenting with neurological or ocular
signs of FIP. The use of higher than previously reported doses of these agents, along with
extended courses, have been suggested for cases of neurological or ocular FIP; however,
more studies are warranted.

417

418 The authors are aware that, in the absence of commercially available licensed products,

some UK cat owners have obtained black-market forms of both GS-441524 and GC376 via

420 the internet for the treatment of FIP in their pet. By their nature, these black-market

421 products are of unknown quality, efficacy, toxicity and longevity, and therefore cannot be

422 prescribed by veterinary surgeons for their patients.

423

424 A 'nutritional supplement' (Mutian) containing a novel adenosine nucleoside analogue 425 (Mutian[®] X; reported to be different to GS-441524) has been marketed worldwide, primarily at cat owners, for the treatment of FIP (Addie et al., 2020). However, there is only limited 426 427 published research describing its use to stop faecal shedding of virus (Addie et al., 2020). 428 There is currently no peer-reviewed evidence base upon which to recommend its use in cats 429 with FIP. Further, according to both the Veterinary Medicines Directorate (UK) and the Food 430 and Drug Administration (USA), nutritional supplements may not be presented with 431 medicinal claims (e.g. the ability to cure cats of FIP), otherwise they would be considered as 432 a veterinary medication requiring authorisation.

433

434 **Prevention and in-contact cats**

435 One of the most frequent questions from owners following the diagnosis of FIP in one of 436 their cats is what do with the other cats in the household. For the major considerations see 437 Box 3. As spread of FCoV is most of a concern amongst large groups, reduction in environmental viral load through improved hygiene is key. Appropriate care in cleaning and 438 439 use of disinfectants (see (Addie et al., 2015) for more information) to reduce environmental, 440 including fomite, contamination is necessary. This includes maintenance of toileting facilities 441 including: sufficient litter-trays per cat; siting litter-trays away from food and water; and use 442 of cat litter that may have enhanced neutralisation of FCoV to limit fomite spread (e.g. dustfree clumping Fuller's earth litter)(Addie et al., 2019). Although achievement of a FCoV-free 443 444 household or kittens (e.g. via early weaning) is technically possible, it is not without 445 significant cost and potentially welfare concerns – more information can be found in the 446 latest ABCD guidelines on Feline Infectious Peritonitis (www.abcdcatsvets.org/feline-447 infectious-peritonitis/). 448 449 Minimising host risk factors, particularly relating to stress of conflict or overcrowding, is also 450 recommended. Further, in breeding situations where particular sire and queen 451 combinations have resulted in cases of FIP across multiple litters, retiring of one or both cats 452 from the breeding programme should be considered in case they are conferring increased

453 genetic risk of FIP.

454

The FIP vaccine (Felocell[®] FIP, Zoetis), where available, is not recommended for use in cats under 16 weeks age or considered to be of benefit to cats that are already FCoV antibodypositive cats. It is therefore of limited usefulness as initial exposure to FCoV is considered to be much earlier than 16 weeks in most cases. Further, as current serological tests are unable

459	to differentiate vaccinated from naturally-exposed cats, it is of no benefit if trying to
460	maintain a FCoV-free household. The FIP vaccine is either 'not recommended' or considered
461	'non-core', under current AAFP, ABCD, and WSAVA vaccination guidelines (and not even
462	discussed in the BSAVA vaccination guidelines).
463	
464	Box 3 : When one cat gets FIP, what to do with the other cat(s) in the household?
464 465	Box 3: When one cat gets FIP, what to do with the other cat(s) in the household? * Where more than one cat is being considered (i.e. the one with FIP, an its in-contact), an
465	* Where more than one cat is being considered (i.e. the one with FIP, an its in-contact), an

469	genetic factors – but none of these can be changed at time of diagnosis!

470 * Whilst cats can pass FCoV that causes intestinal infection between each other, they are

they are direct siblings (estimated 2x risk), due to shared viral, environmental and possibly

471 not thought to be able to horizontally pass the mutated FCoV that directly causes FIP

472 between themselves (i.e. the mutation is a spontaneous event that happens within

473 individuals). Given that the cat with FIP would have had historical intestinal infection with

474 FCoV, it is likely that the other cat(s) in the household would have been infected historically

475 too

468

476 * Removal or isolation of a cat with (suspected / proven) FIP from a household is not

477 indicated, and would likely negatively impact on the sick cat

478 * As the household environment (inc. fomites) is likely contaminated with FCoV (particularly
479 if there are 5+ cats in the household, to maintain continuous infection) – improved hygiene
480 (particularly litter tray-associated) is strongly recommended

481	* As stress is associated with the development of FIP – reducing household stress (e.g. due
482	to conflict, overcrowding, or continued breeding) is recommended, as is deferral of non-
483	essential, elective procedures (e.g. microchipping; neutering)
484	* As FCoV can survive under appropriate conditions for up to 7 weeks in the environment
485	and the loss of a house-mate will be stressful for the remaining cats (and therefore may
486	temporarily induce FCoV shedding in carriers) – immediate 'replacement' of the deceased
487	cat is strongly discouraged (for at least 3 months). These replacements may be naïve to the
488	FCoV isolate circulating in the household, are typically young (i.e. in the highest risk category
489	for going on to develop FIP following exposure to the virus), may share some genetic risk
490	factors (i.e. if from the same source as the deceased cat), and may well cause stress and
491	conflict within the household (i.e. owners often have the misconception that the remaining
492	cat(s) need the company of another cat).
493	* Faecal shedding by remaining cats (e.g. by weekly faecal PCRs on 3-4 occasions) could be
494	considered prior to the introduction of a new cats, but this would not completely eliminate
495	risk (as shedding is intermittent), and a significant number of cats are infected with FCoV
496	from their original household such that the incoming cat may have already been exposed to
497	FCoV)
498	

499 SUMMARY

FIP is a common differential for disease in, often younger, cats. Obtaining a definitive
diagnosis by minimally-invasive means can be difficult, and a balance of probability might
need to be used to guide further testing. Although currently treatment is limited, novel antiviral agents show real promise for the future.

505 ADDITIONAL READING:

- 506 Tasker, S. (2018) Diagnosis of feline infectious peritonitis: Update on evidence supporting
- 507 available tests. Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery 20 228-243
- 508 The most up to date version of the ABCD guidelines on Feline Infectious Peritonitis (Addie et
- al. 2019) are available online <u>www.abcdcatsvets.org/feline-infectious-peritonitis/</u>

510

511 **REFERENCES**:

- 512 ADDIE, D., HOUE, L., MAITLAND, K., PASSANTINO, G. & DECARO, N. 2019. Effect of cat litters
- 513 on feline coronavirus infection of cell culture and cats. *Journal of Feline Medicine and*

514 *Surgery*, 1098612X19848167.

- 515 ADDIE, D. D., BOUCRAUT-BARALON, C., EGBERINK, H., FRYMUS, T., GRUFFYDD-JONES, T.,
- 516 HARTMANN, K., HORZINEK, M. C., HOSIE, M. J., LLORET, A., LUTZ, H., MARSILIO, F.,
- 517 PENNISI, M. G., RADFORD, A. D., THIRY, E., TRUYEN, U., MOSTL, K. & EUROPEAN
- 518 ADVISORY BOARD ON CAT, D. 2015. Disinfectant choices in veterinary practices,
- 519 shelters and households: ABCD guidelines on safe and effective disinfection for feline

520 environments. *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery*, 17, 594-605.

- 521 ADDIE, D. D., CURRAN, S., BELLINI, F., CROWE, B., SHEEHAN, E., UKRAINCHUK, L. & DECARO,
- 522 N. 2020. Oral Mutian[®]X stopped faecal feline coronavirus shedding by naturally
 523 infected cats. *Research in Veterinary Science*, 130, 222-229.
- ADDIE, D. D., DENNIS, J. M., TOTH, S., CALLANAN, J. J., REID, S. & JARRETT, O. 2000. Long-
- 525 term impact on a closed household of pet cats of natural infection with feline
- 526 coronavirus, feline leukaemia virus and feline immunodeficiency virus. *Veterinary*

527 *Record,* 146, 419-424.

- ADDIE, D. D. & JARRETT, O. 1992. A study of naturally occurring feline coronavirus infections
 in kittens. *Veterinary Record*, 130, 133-137.
- 530 ANDRE, N. M., COSSIC, B., DAVIES, E., MILLER, A. D. & WHITTAKER, G. R. 2019. Distinct
- 531 mutation in the feline coronavirus spike protein cleavage activation site in a cat with
- feline infectious peritonitis-associated meningoencephalomyelitis. *Journal of Feline*
- 533 *Medicine and Surgery Open Reports,* 5, 2055116919856103.
- 534 BARKER, E. N., STRANIERI, A., HELPS, C. R., PORTER, E. L., DAVIDSON, A. D., DAY, M. J.,
- 535 KNOWLES, T. G., KIPAR, A. & TASKER, S. 2017. Limitations of using feline coronavirus
- 536 spike protein gene mutations to diagnose feline infectious peritonitis. *Veterinary*
- 537 *Research,* 48, 60.
- 538 BARKER, E. N., TASKER, S., GRUFFYDD-JONES, T. J., TUPLIN, C. K., BURTON, K., PORTER, E.,
- 539 DAY, M. J., HARLEY, R., FEWS, D., HELPS, C. R. & SIDDELL, S. G. 2013. Phylogenetic
- 540analysis of feline coronavirus strains in an epizootic outbreak of feline infectious

541 peritonitis. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*, 27, 445-50.

- 542 CHANG, H. W., EGBERINK, H. F., HALPIN, R., SPIRO, D. J. & ROTTIER, P. J. 2012. Spike protein
- 543 fusion peptide and feline coronavirus virulence. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 18,
 544 1089-1095.
- 545 DOENGES, S. J., WEBER, K., DORSCH, R., FUX, R., FISCHER, A., MATIASEK, L. A., MATIASEK, K.
- 546 & HARTMANN, K. 2016. Detection of feline coronavirus in cerebrospinal fluid for
- 547 diagnosis of feline infectious peritonitis in cats with and without neurological signs.
- 548 Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery, 18, 104-109.
- 549 DRECHSLER, Y., ALCARAZ, A., BOSSONG, F. J., COLLISSON, E. W. & DINIZ, P. P. 2011. Feline
- 550 coronavirus in multicat environments. *Veterinary Clinics of North America Small*

551 *Animal Practice,* 41, 1133-1169.

- 552 DUNBAR, D., KWOK, W., GRAHAM, E., ARMITAGE, A., IRVINE, R., JOHNSTON, P.,
- 553 MCDONALD, M., MONTGOMERY, D., NICOLSON, L., ROBERTSON, E., WEIR, W. &
- 554 ADDIE, D. D. 2018. Diagnosis of non-effusive feline infectious peritonitis by reverse
- 555 transcriptase quantitative PCR from mesenteric lymph node fine-needle aspirates.
- 556 *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery,* 21, 910-921.
- 557 DUTHIE, S., ECKERSALL, P. D., ADDIE, D. D., LAWRENCE, C. E. & JARRETT, O. 1997. Value of 558 alpha 1-acid glycoprotein in the diagnosis of feline infectious peritonitis. *Veterinary*
- *Record,* 141, 299-303.
- 560 EMMLER, L., FELTEN, S., MATIASEK, K., BALZER, H.-J., PANTCHEV, N., LEUTENEGGER, C. &
- 561 HARTMANN, K. 2019. Feline coronavirus with and without spike gene mutations
- detected by real-time RT-PCRs in cats with feline infectious peritonitis. *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery*, 1098612X19886671.
- 564 FELTEN, S., HARTMANN, K., GRUENDL, S., SANGL, L., HIRSCHBERGER, J. & MATIASEK, K.
- 565 2019. Immunocytochemistry of mesenteric lymph node fine-needle aspiration in the
- 566 diagnosis of feline infectious peritonitis. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic*
- 567 *Investigation,* 31, 210-216.
- 568 FELTEN, S., LEUTENEGGER, C. M., BALZER, H.-J., PANTCHEV, N., DOENGES, S., GRUENDL, S.,
- 569 SANGL, L., MATIASEK, K., HERMANNS, W., WESS, G., EGBERINK, H. & HARTMANN, K.
- 570 2017a. Sensitivity and specificity of a real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase
- 571 chain reaction detecting feline coronavirus mutations in effusion and serum/plasma
- 572 of cats to diagnose feline infectious peritonitis. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 13, 228.
- 573 FELTEN, S., MATIASEK, K., GRUENDL, S., SANGL, L. & HARTMANN, K. 2018. Utility of an
- 574 immunocytochemical assay using aqueous humor in the diagnosis of feline infectious
- 575 peritonitis. *Veterinary Ophthalmology*, 21, 27-34.

576	FELTEN, S., MATIASEK, K., GRUENDL, S., SANGL, L., WESS, G. & HARTMANN, K. 2017b.
577	Investigation into the utility of an immunocytochemical assay in body cavity
578	effusions for diagnosis of feline infectious peritonitis. Journal of Feline Medicine and
579	Surgery, 19, 410-418.
580	FELTEN, S., WEIDER, K., DOENGES, S., GRUENDL, S., MATIASEK, K., HERMANNS, W.,
581	MUELLER, E., MATIASEK, L., FISCHER, A., WEBER, K., HIRSCHBERGER, J., WESS, G. &
582	HARTMANN, K. 2017c. Detection of feline coronavirus spike gene mutations as a tool
583	to diagnose feline infectious peritonitis. Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery, 19,
584	321-335.
585	FISCHER, Y., RITZ, S., WEBER, K., SAUTER-LOUIS, C. & HARTMANN, K. 2011. Randomized,
586	placebo controlled study of the effect of propentofylline on survival time and quality
587	of life of cats with feline infectious peritonitis. Journal of Veterinary Internal
588	Medicine, 25, 1270-1276.
589	FISCHER, Y., SAUTER-LOUIS, C. & HARTMANN, K. 2012. Diagnostic accuracy of the Rivalta
590	test for feline infectious peritonitis. Veterinary Clinical Pathology, 41, 558-567.
591	FOLEY, J. E., LAPOINTE, J. M., KOBLIK, P., POLAND, A. & PEDERSEN, N. C. 1998. Diagnostic
592	features of clinical neurologic feline infectious peritonitis. Journal of Veterinary
593	Internal Medicine, 12, 415-423.
594	GIORDANO, A., PALTRINIERI, S., BERTAZZOLO, W., MILESI, E. & PARODI, M. 2005. Sensitivity
595	of Tru-cut and fine needle aspiration biopsies of liver and kidney for diagnosis of
596	feline infectious peritonitis. Veterinary Clinical Pathology, 34, 368-374.
597	GIULIANO, A., WATSON, P., OWEN, L., SKELLY, B., DAVISON, L., DOBSON, J. & COSTANTINO-
598	CASAS, F. 2018. Idiopathic sterile pyogranuloma in three domestic cats. J Small Anim

Pract.

600	GRUENDL, S., MATIASEK, K., MATIASEK, L., FISCHER, A., FELTEN, S., JURINA, K. &
601	HARTMANN, K. 2017. Diagnostic utility of cerebrospinal fluid immunocytochemistry
602	for diagnosis of feline infectious peritonitis manifesting in the central nervous
603	system. Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery, 19, 576-585.
604	HARTMANN, K., BINDER, C., HIRSCHBERGER, J., COLE, D., REINACHER, M., SCHROO, S.,
605	FROST, J., EGBERINK, H., LUTZ, H. & HERMANNS, W. 2003. Comparison of different
606	tests to diagnose feline infectious peritonitis. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine,
607	17, 781-790.
608	HAZUCHOVA, K., HELD, S. & NEIGER, R. 2017. Usefulness of acute phase proteins in
609	differentiating between feline infectious peritonitis and other diseases in cats with
610	body cavity effusions. Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery, 19, 809-816.
611	KIM, Y., SHIVANNA, V., NARAYANAN, S., PRIOR, A. M., WEERASEKARA, S., HUA, D. H.,
612	KANKANAMALAGE, A. C., GROUTAS, W. C. & CHANG, K. O. 2015. Broad-spectrum
613	inhibitors against 3C-like proteases of feline coronaviruses and feline caliciviruses.
614	<i>Journal of Virology,</i> 89, 4942-4950.
615	KIPAR, A., KOEHLER, K., BELLMANN, S. & REINACHER, M. 1999. Feline infectious peritonitis
616	presenting as a tumour in the abdominal cavity. Veterinary Record, 144, 118-122.
617	KIPAR, A. & MELI, M. L. 2014. Feline infectious peritonitis: still an enigma? Veterinary
618	Pathology, 51, 505-526.
619	KIPAR, A., MELI, M. L., BAPTISTE, K. E., BOWKER, L. J. & LUTZ, H. 2010. Sites of feline
620	coronavirus persistence in healthy cats. Journal of General Virology, 91, 1698-1705.

- 621 LEGENDRE, A. M., KURITZ, T., GALYON, G., BAYLOR, V. M. & HEIDEL, R. E. 2017. Polyprenyl
- 622 Immunostimulant Treatment of Cats with Presumptive Non-Effusive Feline Infectious
- 623 Peritonitis In a Field Study. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 4, 7.

624	LICITRA, B. N., MILLET, J. K., REGAN, A. D., HAMILTON, B. S., RINALDI, V. D., DUHAMEL, G. E.
625	& WHITTAKER, G. R. 2013. Mutation in spike protein cleavage site and pathogenesis
626	of feline coronavirus. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 19, 1066-1073.
627	LITSTER, A. L., POGRANICHNIY, R. & LIN, T. L. 2013. Diagnostic utility of a direct
628	immunofluorescence test to detect feline coronavirus antigen in macrophages in
629	effusive feline infectious peritonitis. The Veterinary Journal, 198, 362-366.
630	MALBON, A., MELI, M. L., BARKER, E. N., DAVIDSON, A. D., TASKER, S. & KIPAR, A. 2019.
631	Inflammatory mediators in the mesenteric lymph nodes, site of a possible
632	intermediate phase in the immune response to feline coronavirus and the
633	pathogenesis of feline infectious peritonitis? Journal of Comparative Pathology, 166,
634	69-86.
635	MURPHY, B. G., PERRON, M., MURAKAMI, E., BAUER, K., PARK, Y., ECKSTRAND, C.,
636	LIEPNIEKS, M. & PEDERSEN, N. C. 2018. The nucleoside analog GS-441524 strongly
637	inhibits feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) virus in tissue culture and experimental cat
638	infection studies. Veterinary Microbiology, 219, 226-233.
639	PALTRINIERI, S., GIORDANO, A., TRANQUILLO, V. & GUAZZETTI, S. 2007. Critical assessment
640	of the diagnostic value of feline a1-acid glycoprotein for feline infectious peritonitis
641	using the likelihood ratios approach. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation,
642	19, 266-272.
643	PALTRINIERI, S., PARODI, M. C. & CAMMARATA, G. 1999. In vivo diagnosis of feline
644	infectious peritonitis by comparison of protein content, cytology, and direct
645	immunofluorescence test on peritoneal and pleural effusions. Journal of Veterinary
646	Diagnostic Investigation, 11, 358-361.

- 647 PEDERSEN, N. C. 2009. A review of feline infectious peritonitis virus infection: 1963-2008.
- 648 Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery, 11, 225-258.
- 649 PEDERSEN, N. C., KIM, Y., LIU, H., GALASITI KANKANAMALAGE, A. C., ECKSTRAND, C.,
- 650 GROUTAS, W. C., BANNASCH, M., MEADOWS, J. M. & CHANG, K. O. 2018. Efficacy of
- a 3C-like protease inhibitor in treating various forms of acquired feline infectious
- 652 peritonitis. *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery*, 20, 378-392.
- 653 PEDERSEN, N. C., PERRON, M., BANNASCH, M., MONTGOMERY, E., MURAKAMI, E.,
- 654 LIEPNIEKS, M. & LIU, H. 2019. Efficacy and safety of the nucleoside analog GS-441524
- 655 for treatment of cats with naturally occurring feline infectious peritonitis. *Journal of*
- 656 *Feline Medicine and Surgery,* 21, 271-281.
- 657 RICHARDS, J. R. 1995. Problems in the interpretation of feline coronavirus serology
- 658 (specificity vs. sensitivity of test procedures). *Feline Practice*, 23, 52-55.
- 659 RIEMER, F., KUEHNER, K. A., RITZ, S., SAUTER-LOUIS, C. & HARTMANN, K. 2016. Clinical and
- 660 laboratory features of cats with feline infectious peritonitis a retrospective study of
- 661 231 confirmed cases (2000-2010). *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery*, 18, 348662 356.
- 663 RITZ, S., EGBERINK, H. & HARTMANN, K. 2007. Effect of feline interferon-omega on the
- survival time and quality of life of cats with feline infectious peritonitis. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*, 21, 1193-1197.
- 666 SPENCER, S. E., KNOWLES, T., RAMSEY, I. K. & TASKER, S. 2017. Pyrexia in cats: Retrospective
- 667 analysis of signalment, clinical investigations, diagnosis and influence of prior
- treatment in 106 referred cases. Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery, 19, 1123-
- 669 1130.

670	STRANIERI, A., GIORDANO, A., BO, S., BRAGHIROLI, C. & PALTRINIERI, S. 2017. Frequency of
671	electrophoretic changes consistent with feline infectious peritonitis in two different
672	time periods (2004-2009 vs 2013-2014). Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery, 19,
673	880-887.
674	STRANIERI, A., GIORDANO, A., PALTRINIERI, S., GIUDICE, C., CANNITO, V. & LAUZI, S. 2018.
675	Comparison of the performance of laboratory tests in the diagnosis of feline
676	infectious peritonitis. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation, 30, 459-463.
677	TAYLOR, S. S., TAPPIN, S. W., DODKIN, S. J., PAPASOULIOTIS, K., CASAMIAN-SORROSAL, D. &
678	TASKER, S. 2010. Serum protein electrophoresis in 155 cats. Journal of Feline
679	Medicine and Surgery, 12, 643-653.

682 FIGURES

Figure 1 Schematic diagram of the feline coronavirus genome (approximately 29,250
nucleotides in length excluding the polyA tail) with component genes and nucleotide scale.
Note that over two thirds of the genome comprises the gene that encodes non-structural
polyprotein 1.

687

Figure 2 Schematic drawing of a feline coronavirus virion with relative position of structural proteins and genomic single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) indicated. The spike glycoprotein trimers project from the surface of the virus, resulting in the 'crown'-like appearance when viewed

691 under transmission electron microscopy from which they are named.

692

693 Figure 3 Numerous granulomas (black arrows) within a sectioned kidney from a two-year-694 old male neutered cat with feline infectious peritonitis. The cat was presented with an acute 695 history of lethargy and inappetence. Physical examination revealed a thin body condition, 696 pale and icteric mucous membranes, subtle unilateral anterior uveitis and bilateral 697 renomegaly. Blood analysis documented severe anaemia (haematocrit 7.8%; reference 698 interval [RI] 27-47%), hyperglobulinaemia (83g/L; RI 21-51g/L) and jaundice (31µmol/L; RI 699 <10µmol/L). On post-mortem examination a moderate volume of ascites was present, 700 alongside small volume pleural and pericardial effusions. Both kidneys were enlarged with 701 margins distorted by vascularised mass lesions. Pyogranulomatous lesions were found on 702 histopathology of samples collected throughout the body (including the iris), with presence 703 of feline coronavirus confirmed with reverse-transcriptase PCR and immunohistopathology. 704

Figure 4 Ultrasonographic images of two cats with feline infectious peritonitis. The first cat
(A) had a large mid-abdominal mass on examination, which was identified as being
mesenteric lymph node using ultrasound (yellow calliper). The second cat (B) also had
enlarged abdominal lymph nodes (yellow calliper); however, a small volume of ascites was
also visible (white arrow). Ultrasound enabled guided sampling of both the enlarged lymph
nodes and the ascites.

711

712 Figure 5 Photo (A) of a 6-month-old male entire Ragdoll, presented with a 48-hour history of 713 altered behaviour and a 24-hour history of hind-limb paresis, reduced appetite, nystagmus 714 and mild head tilt. Neurolocalisation was most consistent with central vestibular syndrome, 715 mostly likely a result of cerebellar disease. Routine haematology and serum biochemistry 716 were unremarkable. MRI (B; T2-weighted midline sagittal view) revealed severe 717 hydrocephalus with dilation of the entire ventricular system of the brain and secondary 718 herniation of the cerebellum, the latter likely accounting for the majority of clinical signs. 719 Ultimately, the presence of feline coronavirus was confirmed with reverse-transcriptase 720 polymerase chain reaction of cerebrospinal fluid, alongside histopathology and 721 immunostaining of meningeal tissue samples confirming a diagnosis of feline infectious 722 peritonitis.

723

Figure 6. Feline infectious peritonitis is a major differential for uveitis, manifestations of
which include: changes in iris colour, thickness and texture; dyscoria (abnormal shape of the
pupil); anisocoria (unequal pupil sizes); sudden loss of vision; hyphaema; keratic precipitates
('mutton fat' deposits on the ventral corneal endothelium); chorioretinitis; retinal
detachment; and aqueous / vitreous flare. These changes may be subtle and unilateral (A;

729	mild iridial changes, aqueous flare and keratic precipitates [white arrow] of the right eye in a
730	8-month-old Chinchilla Persian with pyrexia of unknown origin; images courtesy of Caroline
731	Smith), or bilateral and severe (B; bilateral severe fibrinous, flocculent, aqueous flare
732	limiting examination of the interior of the eye; images courtesy of Vim Kumaratunga),
733	where assessment of the retina is possible changes may be present there too (C; severe
734	bilateral chorioretinitis including haemorrhage and granulomata [pink and red arrow];
735	aqueous flare and retinal oedema results in the image appearing to be out of focus; images
736	courtesy of Vim Kumaratunga)
737	
738	Figure 7 Diagnostic approach to cats with suspected FIP
739	
740	Figure 8 Although effusions from cats with feline infectious peritonitis are typically clear,
741	viscous with a tendency to froth when agitated, and with a slightly yellow tinge, reflecting a
742	low cellularity (<5 x10 ⁹ /L total nucleated cell count), high concentration of predominantly
743	inflammatory proteins (>35g/L), and patient jaundice respectively, their gross appearance
744	can be variable.