

Research



Cite this article: Kelley LA, Clayton NS. 2017 California scrub-jays reduce visual cues available to potential pilferers by matching food colour to caching substrate. *Biol. Lett.* **13**: 20170242.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2017.0242>

Received: 19 April 2017

Accepted: 9 June 2017

Subject Areas:

behaviour, cognition

Keywords:

cache protection, visual contrast, pilfering

Author for correspondence:

Laura A. Kelley

e-mail: l.a.kelley@exeter.ac.uk

[†]Present address: Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Cornwall TR10 9FE, UK.

Electronic supplementary material is available online at <https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.3817831>.

Animal behaviour

California scrub-jays reduce visual cues available to potential pilferers by matching food colour to caching substrate

Laura A. Kelley[†] and Nicola S. Clayton

Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EB, UK

 LAK, 0000-0003-0700-1471; NSC, 0000-0003-1835-423X

Some animals hide food to consume later; however, these caches are susceptible to theft by conspecifics and heterospecifics. Caching animals can use protective strategies to minimize sensory cues available to potential pilferers, such as caching in shaded areas and in quiet substrate. Background matching (where object patterning matches the visual background) is commonly seen in prey animals to reduce conspicuousness, and caching animals may also use this tactic to hide caches, for example, by hiding coloured food in a similar coloured substrate. We tested whether California scrub-jays (*Aphelocoma californica*) camouflage their food in this way by offering them caching substrates that either matched or did not match the colour of food available for caching. We also determined whether this caching behaviour was sensitive to social context by allowing the birds to cache when a conspecific potential pilferer could be both heard and seen (acoustic and visual cues present), or unseen (acoustic cues only). When caching events could be both heard and seen by a potential pilferer, birds cached randomly in matching and non-matching substrates. However, they preferentially hid food in the substrate that matched the food colour when only acoustic cues were present. This is a novel cache protection strategy that also appears to be sensitive to social context. We conclude that studies of cache protection strategies should consider the perceptual capabilities of the cacher and potential pilferers.

1. Introduction

Many animals hide food to retrieve and eat later [1]. These food caches are susceptible to theft by other animals, but the cacher can reduce the likelihood of theft by using protective strategies such as reducing caching in the presence of others and covering up caching sites to reduce conspicuousness (reviewed in [2]). Some species of corvids such as jays are prolific cachers that employ a variety of additional cache protection behaviours, including caching in shaded areas, caching in quiet substrates and caching food that an observing conspecific has low motivation to steal [3–6]. Most strategies attempt to reduce the number of sensory cues that potential pilferers can use to locate caches.

Birds and other caching animals could attempt to minimize other visual cues available for potential pilferers. Many animals conceal themselves from the attention of predators by bearing patterns with coloration that allows them to blend into the visual background, a type of camouflage called background matching [7]. Effective background matching minimizes the visual contrast between an object and the background it is viewed against. Visual contrast can arise due to differences in chromatic (hue and saturation) and achromatic (brightness) aspects of the object and viewing background. By selecting a caching substrate that is visually similar to the food being hidden, animals may reduce the likelihood of a pilferer detecting partially hidden




Figure 1. (a) The substrates (left) and cheeses (right) used in the experiment; (b) tetrahedral plot showing the position of cheese (ch) and substrate (subs) colour in avian colour space. Labels at vertices represent the relative stimulation of U (ultraviolet), S (short), M (medium) and L (long) wavelength photoreceptor channels. The ultraviolet channel is represented by the top of the tetrahedron pointing out towards the viewer but is not shown here due to small variance in the amount of UV present in the cheeses and substrates.

aches or locating caches when rooting through substrate. Social environment may also affect the value of this strategy, for example, if a potential pilferer directly observes caching then there may be limited use in concealing visual contrast when there is already plenty of information about cache location available.

We tested whether California scrub-jays (*Aphelocoma californica*) attempted to minimize the visual contrast of their cached food by selecting an appropriate caching substrate. Birds were given a coloured food and a choice of two substrates to cache in: one that was of a similar colour to the food (i.e. lower visual contrast) and one of a dissimilar colour (i.e. higher visual contrast) (figure 1a). We also tested whether social context affected caching behaviour, by allowing birds to cache when a conspecific potential thief could be both heard and seen (seen) and when the conspecific could be heard but not seen (unseen). We predicted that birds would only minimize colour contrast when a potential pilferer could not see the caching event, and that they would cache randomly in either substrate when they could be seen.

2. Material and methods

We tested three female and two male California scrub-jays that were all 9 years old. Birds were housed in indoor cages 4 m long by 1 m high by 1 m deep and on a 12 h L:12 h D cycle. They were fed a maintenance diet supplemented with seeds, fruit and wax worms. All food was removed from the cages 1 h before testing to ensure that it was not available for caching. Trials took place in the focal bird's home cage, where birds could be separated using transparent or opaque cage dividers. In the 'seen' condition, transparent dividers were used so that the focal bird could see and hear a conspecific in a neighbouring cage. In the 'unseen' condition, opaque dividers were used so that the focal bird could hear but not see the conspecific.

The focal bird was presented with two caching trays 25 × 6 cm that contained a 2 × 8 array of ice cube moulds. Coloured aquarium gravel in five colours (Pettex Roman gravel: Sonic Blue, Lemon Zest, Barbie Pink, Rosso Red and Ivy Green) was used as a caching substrate. We used food dye (PME: pink, blue, yellow, red and green) to approximately colour match batons of cheese (Tesco Value mild cheddar cut into 15 ×

5 × 5 mm batons that weighed approximately 1 g each) to each substrate. They had prior experience of yellow cheese as an occasional component of their maintenance diet, but they had no experience with the other coloured cheeses. We measured the spectral reflectance of each substrate and cheese to confirm that each cheese was closest in avian colourspace to the putative matching substrate (figure 1b; see the electronic supplementary material for full details of colour analysis). Green cheese was more similar to yellow substrate than green substrate so the data from these trials were analysed separately, but all other cheese and substrate matches were appropriately colour matched.

In each trial, the focal bird was presented with two trays that each contained a different colour substrate. A food bowl that contained 30 g of cheese that matched the colour of one of the caching substrates was placed 10 cm in front of the two trays. The order of trials (cheese and substrate colours used) and location of each tray relative to the food bowl (left or right) was randomized. The trays and food were left in the cage for 30 min before being removed. The substrates were then sifted to locate any cached items that were weighed to determine the amount of food cached in each substrate. All birds cached in at least one seen and one unseen trial. Owing to husbandry issues the full number of trials testing every combination of substrates could not take place, but every bird was presented with every colour of cheese in each social condition. The proportional weight each bird cached across all trials in each social condition (seen and unseen) was averaged and Wilcoxon's matched pairs signed-rank tests were used to test for differences in the proportion of cheese cached in each condition. To test whether social status affected the amount of cheese cached in the matching substrate, the data were analysed using a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) with a binomial (logit) distribution using the lme4 package in R v. 3.3.0 [8,9]. The response variable was the proportion of cheese cached in the matching substrate and we included social status (seen/unseen) as a predictor and individual bird as a random effect. All data are available from [10].

3. Results

Caching rates were low in the seen condition and only took place in nine of 25 trials (36%) compared with 57 of 85 unseen trials (67%). There was no difference between the average weight of food each bird cached in each condition (mean ± s.e.: seen = 5.34 ± 2.0 g, unseen = 5.20 ± 2.6 g;




Figure 2. Median, 25th and 75th centile of proportion of food cached in matching (grey) and non-matching (white) substrates in seen and unseen conditions. Dotted grey line represents chance (proportion = 0.5), asterisks indicate significant differences between groups.

66 trials, $n = 5$, Wilcoxon's $T = 8$, $p > 0.5$; electronic supplementary material, table S1). In seen trials, birds did not prefer to cache in one substrate colour over another (pseudomedian = 0.016, 95% CI [-0.49, 0.51], $Z = 0.27$, $p = 0.44$, $r = 0.09$; figure 2). In the unseen trials, birds preferentially cached in the matching substrate (pseudomedian = 0.47, 95% CI [0.23, 0.84], $Z = 2.02$, $p = 0.031$; figure 2). Approximately, 30% more food was cached in the matching substrate compared with the non-matching substrate in the unseen condition, a medium to large effect ($r = 0.64$). Overall, birds also cached a higher proportion of cheese in the matching substrate when they were unseen compared to when they were seen, although the effect size was small (GLMM $z = 2.12$, $p = 0.034$; $r = 0.07$; figure 2). In the three trials (all unseen social condition) where green cheese was offered with green and yellow substrates there did not appear to be a large difference in the proportion of cheese cached in matching or non-matching substrates, perhaps due to the perceptual similarity of these colours (mean matching = 0.66 ± 0.17 , non-matching = 0.34 ± 0.17 ; $n = 3$).

4. Discussion

Scrub-jays preferentially cached food in substrate that matched the colour of their food when a potential pilferer could not see them caching, but they cached randomly in either substrate when they could be seen. Birds cached proportionally more in the matching substrate when unseen owing to (i) caching much less in the non-matching substrate within trials and (ii) caching slightly more compared with the amount cached in the matching substrate when seen. As there is a much higher likelihood of theft when a caching event is observed, concealing visual contrast may be of limited efficacy in these cases. Instead, the cacher can stop or reduce caching [11], increase caching to offset predicted pilfering [12], move caches [13] or re-cache once the observer has left [14]. We did not observe reduced or increased levels of caching and birds did not appear to move caches around often, perhaps because there was limited space for hiding food. However, the focal bird may have cached in either substrate

when observed to allow for the possibility of later re-caching into the matching substrate when the conspecific was no longer present [13].

When given the opportunity to cache without being seen, scrub-jays preferentially cached in the substrate that had lower visual contrast. In the wild, scrub-jays cache colourful fruits and berries as well as less colourful nuts and seeds, so colour matching between food and substrate may offer a valuable cache protection strategy. Reducing contrast is likely beneficial because brightly coloured food items can be detected from large distances and birds attend to chromatic contrast when foraging [15,16]. Birds did not choose which caching substrate to use based on familiarity, as their usual caching substrate was beige. The ability to match food to caching substrate without prior experience or training suggests that this is a naturally occurring behaviour that is relatively plastic. Furthermore, the ability to use colour cues during caching is unlikely to be limited to scrub-jays given that magpies (*Pica pica*) can rapidly learn to discriminate between red and blue food types of differing nutritional value when retrieving caches [17], and many animals use colour cues (including contrast) during foraging [18,19].

The colours used in this study were easily discriminable to the birds, and future work could use substrates with smaller differences between colours to determine how carefully scrub-jays match their caches to substrate colour. Birds appear to prioritize chromatic cues when foraging, as chromatic contrast is used in object discrimination [20] and camouflaged prey generally minimize chromatic contrast [21]. Varying the chromatic and achromatic contrast of food against substrates would confirm that birds preferentially minimize chromatic contrast over achromatic contrast, as we would expect in this context when potential pilferers were other birds. It would be interesting to give scrub-jays experience of mammalian pilferers to determine whether they adjust their caching behaviour in response to the visual system of the potential pilferer. Mammals have dichromatic vision and are more likely to use achromatic contrast when foraging [22], so minimizing this would reduce conspicuousness. There are fewer studies on cache protection strategies in non-avian species, but we might expect that if caching mammals exhibit similar strategies to birds, they would reduce achromatic contrast if conspecifics were the primary pilferers. Our findings demonstrate that visual perception, alongside cognitive abilities such as social intelligence, is important to consider when investigating the evolution of caching strategies.

Ethics. Ethical approval was given by the UK Home Office (PPL no. 80/2519) and the University of Cambridge ethics committee.

Data accessibility. Data can be found at <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.4690015> [10].

Authors' contributions. L.A.K. designed and carried out the study, analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. N.S.C. aided in experimental design, provided aviary facilities and commented on the manuscript. All authors gave final approval of the version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Competing interests. We have no competing interests.

Funding. L.A.K. received funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under REA grant agreement PIF-GA-2012-327423.

Acknowledgements. We are extremely grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their comments that greatly improved the manuscript, and to Ben Longdon for assistance with analysis.

References

- Vander Wall SB. 1990 *Food hoarding in animals*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Dally JM, Clayton NS, Emery NJ. 2006 The behaviour and evolution of cache protection and pilferage. *Anim. Behav.* **72**, 13–23. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2005.08.020)
- Dally JM, Emery NJ, Clayton NS. 2004 Cache protection strategies by western scrub-jays (*Aphelocoma californica*): hiding food in the shade. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **271**, S387–S390. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2004.0190)
- Dally JM, Emery NJ, Clayton NS. 2005 Cache protection strategies by western scrub-jays, *Aphelocoma californica*: implications for social cognition. *Anim. Behav.* **70**, 1251–1263. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2005.02.009)
- Stulp G, Emery NJ, Verhulst S, Clayton NS. 2009 Western scrub-jays conceal auditory information when competitors can hear but cannot see. *Biol. Lett.* **5**, 583–585. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2009.0330)
- Ostojčić L, Legg EW, Brecht KF, Lange FL, Deininger C, Mendl M, Clayton NS. 2017 Current desires of conspecific observers affect cache-protection strategies in California scrub-jays and Eurasian jays. *Curr. Biol.* **27**, R43–R56. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.11.020)
- Stevens M, Merilaita S. 2009 Animal camouflage: current issues and new perspectives. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **364**, 423–427. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0217)
- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2015 Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* **67**, 1–48. (doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01)
- R Core Team. 2016 *A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <http://www.R-project.org>.
- Kelley LA, Clayton NS. 2017 Data from: California scrub-jays reduce visual cues available to potential pilferers by matching food colour to caching substrate. Dryad Digital Repository. (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.4690015>)
- Lahti K, Rytönen S. 1996 Presence of conspecifics, time of day and age affect willow tit food hoarding. *Anim. Behav.* **52**, 631–636. (doi:10.1006/anbe.1996.0204)
- Bossemma I. 1979 Jays and oaks: an eco-ethological study of a symbiosis. *Behaviour* **70**, 1–116. (doi:10.1163/156853979X00016)
- Emery NJ, Clayton NS. 2001 Effects of experience and social context on prospective caching strategies by scrub jays. *Nature* **414**, 443–446. (doi:10.1038/35106560)
- Dally JM, Emery NJ, Clayton NS. 2005 The social suppression of caching in Western scrub-jays (*Aphelocoma californica*). *Behaviour* **142**, 961–977. (doi:10.1163/1568539055010084)
- Schaefer HM, Levey DJ, Schaefer V, Avery ML. 2006 The role of chromatic and achromatic signals for fruit detection by birds. *Behav. Ecol.* **17**, 784–789. (doi:10.1093/beheco/arl011)
- Cazetta E, Schaefer HM, Galetti M. 2009 Why are fruits colorful? The relative importance of achromatic and chromatic contrasts for detection by birds. *Evol. Ecol.* **23**, 233–244. (doi:10.1007/s10682-007-9217-1)
- Zinkivskay A, Nazir F, Smulders TV. 2009 *What–where–when* memory in magpies (*Pica pica*). *Anim. Cogn.* **12**, 119–125. (doi:10.1007/s10071-008-0176-x)
- Schmidt V, Schaefer HM, Winkler H. 2004 Conspicuousness, not colour as foraging cue in plant–animal signalling. *Oikos* **106**, 551–557. (doi:10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.12769.x)
- Spaethe J, Tautz J, Chittka L. 2001 Visual constraints in foraging bumblebees: flower size and color affect search time and flight behavior. *Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. USA* **98**, 3898–3903. (doi:10.1073/pnas.071053098)
- Osorio D, Miklósi A, Gonda Z. 1999 Visual ecology and perception of coloration patterns by domestic chicks. *Evol. Ecol.* **13**, 673–689. (doi:10.1023/A:1011059715610)
- Théry M, Debut M, Gomez D, Casas J. 2005 Specific color sensitivities of prey and predator explain camouflage in different visual systems. *Behav. Ecol.* **16**, 25–29. (doi:10.1093/beheco/arl130)
- Hiramatsu C, Melin AD, Aureli F, Schaffner CM, Vorobyev M, Matsumoto Y, Kawamura S. 2008 Importance of achromatic contrast in short-range fruit foraging of primates. *PLoS ONE* **3**, e3356. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003356)