

1  
2  
3 **A Mechanistic Study of Halogen Addition and Photoelimination from  $\pi$ -Conjugated**  
4  
5  
6 **Tellurophenes**

7  
8 *Elisa I. Carrera,<sup>†</sup> Anabel E. Lanterna,<sup>‡</sup> Alan J. Lough,<sup>†</sup> Juan C. Scaiano,<sup>\*‡</sup> and Dwight S.*  
9 *Seferos<sup>\*†</sup>*

10  
11  
12 <sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St. George St., Toronto, Ontario,  
13  
14 M5S 3H6, Canada. Email: dseferos@chem.utoronto.ca

15  
16  
17  
18 <sup>‡</sup>Department of Chemistry and Centre for Catalysis Research and Innovation, University of  
19  
20 Ottawa, 10 Marie Curie, Ottawa, Ontario, K1N 6N5, Canada.  
21  
22 Email: scaiano@photo.chem.uottawa.ca

23  
24  
25  
26  
27 **Abstract**

28  
29  
30 The ability to drive reactivity using visible light is of importance for many disciplines of  
31  
32 chemistry and has significant implications for sustainable chemistry. Identifying  
33  
34 photochemically active compounds and understanding photochemical mechanisms is important  
35  
36 for the development of useful materials for synthesis and catalysis. Here we report a series of  
37  
38 photoactive diphenyltellurophene compounds bearing electron-withdrawing and electron-  
39  
40 donating substituents synthesized by alkyne coupling/ring-closing or palladium-catalyzed *ipso*-  
41  
42 arylation chemistry. The redox chemistry of these compounds was studied with respect to  
43  
44 oxidative addition and photoelimination of bromine, which is of importance for energy storage  
45  
46 reactions involving X<sub>2</sub>. The oxidative addition reaction mechanism was studied using density  
47  
48 functional theory (DFT), the results of which support a 3-step mechanism involving the  
49  
50 formation of an initial  $\eta^1$  association complex, a monobrominated intermediate, and finally, the  
51  
52 dibrominated product. All of the tellurophene derivatives undergo photoreduction using 430 nm,  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 447 nm or 617 nm light depending on the absorption properties of the compound. Compounds  
4  
5 bearing electron-withdrawing substituents have the highest photochemical quantum efficiencies  
6  
7 in the presence of an alkene trap, with efficiencies up to 42.4% for a pentafluorophenyl-  
8  
9 functionalized tellurophene. The photoelimination reaction was studied in detail through  
10  
11 bromine trapping experiments and laser flash photolysis and a mechanism is proposed. The  
12  
13 photoreaction, which occurs by release of bromine radicals, is competitive with intersystem  
14  
15 crossing to the triplet of the brominated species as evidenced by the formation of singlet oxygen.  
16  
17 These findings should be useful for the design of new photochemically active compounds  
18  
19 supported by main group elements.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 1. Introduction

Light-driven chemical reactions are important for many disciplines of chemistry including synthesis and catalysis due to the relatively low energy input (especially when visible light is used) and the ability to access reaction pathways that are not accessible by thermal activation.<sup>1</sup> Photochemistry has significant implications for sustainable chemistry and renewable energy. The use of light to drive fuel production reactions such as H<sub>2</sub>O and HX splitting is becoming increasingly important.<sup>2-6</sup> Several dinuclear late transition metal complexes efficiently photoeliminate halogens, which is the turnover-limiting step in the HX-splitting catalytic cycle. Some of these include Pt-Au,<sup>7</sup> Ir-Au,<sup>8</sup> Au-Au,<sup>9</sup> Pt-Rh,<sup>10</sup> Rh-Rh,<sup>11</sup> and Pt-Pt complexes (Chart 1).<sup>12</sup> This has also been extended to dinuclear systems involving main group elements including Te<sup>13</sup> and Sb,<sup>14</sup> as well as mononuclear systems based on Ni<sup>15</sup> and Pt (Chart 1).<sup>16,17</sup> Photoelimination of HOCl and HOOH from mononuclear Pt complexes has also been reported.<sup>18,19</sup>




Chart 1. Transition metal complexes and tellurium-containing compounds that undergo halogen photoelimination. Photoelimination quantum yield and halogen traps are indicated below the structure. DMBD = 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Tellurium-containing  $\pi$ -conjugated molecules and polymers are semi-conducting materials that can be used for organic photovoltaics and organic field effect transistors,<sup>20-31</sup> and as solution and solid-state phosphors.<sup>32-40</sup> The metalloid nature of tellurium necessitates the development of new synthetic pathways to access novel tellurium-containing heterocycles. Some recent examples include Te-B heterocycles,<sup>41-43</sup> 1,4-diphenyl-1-telluro-1,3-butadiene incorporated in a dibenzobarrelene skeleton,<sup>39</sup> a silylated oxatelluronium halide (a five-membered heterocycle containing a Te-O bond),<sup>44</sup> and mercaptobenzotellurazoles,<sup>45</sup> to name a few.

Fundamental studies on the reactivity of tellurium-containing compounds are important for the discovery of new useful transformations utilizing these compounds. Te(II)/Te(IV) redox chemistry with halogens and other oxidants has been known for quite some time. 3-center-4-electron X-Te-X bonds are formed in the presence of dihalogens or dihalogen sources where the Te(IV) atom is hypervalent (Chart 2).<sup>20,46-51</sup> This leads to significant changes in the optoelectronic properties of the compound. Te(II) can also be oxidized to the telluroxide or tellurone using hydrogen peroxide or organic peroxides such as *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (mCPBA; Chart 2).<sup>38,39,52</sup> In some cases, this oxidative chemistry is thermally or electrochemically reversible. Recently, Dutton and coworkers reported the oxidation of 2,5-diphenyltellurophene by other iodine-based oxidants such as PhI(OTf)<sub>2</sub> and PhI(OTf)(OAc), yielding the diacetate and the mixed acetate/triflate ligated tellurophenes, respectively.<sup>53</sup> A rather unexpected C-H to C-N bond metathesis reaction was discovered when an analogous iodobenzene complex bearing two 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) groups was used as the oxidant, resulting in DMAP functionalization at the 3 position of the tellurophene ring (Chart 2).




Chart 2. Tellurium-containing heterocycles prepared with different oxidants.

We recently discovered that visible light will drive photoreduction of Te(IV) within  $\pi$ -conjugated tellurophenes. The conjugated nature of the tellurophene allows for the use of visible light to drive reactivity. Furthermore, the ease of tunability of the substituents at the 2 and 5 positions allows for tailoring of the optical properties and hence irradiation wavelength. We have previously shown that irradiation with green light can induce photoelimination of bromine and chlorine from isoindigo-functionalized tellurophenes (Chart 1), however the photochemical quantum yields of this system were limited due to unfavorable excited state electronics.<sup>50</sup> Earlier this year we reported an eighty-five fold improvement in the quantum efficiency (up to 17%) for 2,5-diphenyltellurophene (Chart 1).<sup>51</sup> However, the mechanism of this reaction, and its optimization are still unresolved. Here, we report a series of substituted diphenyltellurophenes, focusing on the effect of electron-donating groups (EDGs) and electron-withdrawing groups (EWGs) on the structure, properties and photochemistry of these compounds. We provide mechanistic studies of both the oxidative addition and photoelimination reactions through the use of DFT, halogen-trapping experiments, and laser flash photolysis (LFP). The optimized

structures have photochemical quantum yields that exceed 40%, do not contain transition metals, and photoelimination occurs solely from the Te(IV) center.

## 2. Results and Discussion

**2.1. Molecule Design and Synthesis.** 2,5-Diphenyltellurophenes can be modified with a range of functional groups to give diphenyltellurophene (**PT-R**) compounds with varying degrees of electron-donating or electron-withdrawing character (Chart 3). The electron-withdrawing substituents chosen were 4-trifluoromethylphenyl (**PT-CF<sub>3</sub>**), 4-cyanophenyl (**PT-CN**), and pentafluorophenyl (**PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>**) groups. The electron-donating substituents chosen were 4-*tert*-butylphenyl (**PT-*t*Bu**), 4-*tert*-butoxyphenyl (**PT-*Ot*Bu**), and 4-(*N,N*-diethylamino)phenyl (**PT-NEt<sub>2</sub>**) groups. We also chose to study an asymmetric push-pull type tellurophene bearing both an electron-donating 4-(*N,N*-dibutylamino)phenyl group and an electron-withdrawing 4-nitrophenyl group (**PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>**).





Chart 3. Chemical structures of **PT** derivatives.

The compounds were synthesized by one of two routes: a Glaser Hay alkyne coupling/ring closing procedure (Scheme 1a),<sup>54,55</sup> or *ipso*-arylativative cross-coupling (Scheme 1b).<sup>56,57</sup> The alkyne

1  
2  
3 coupling/ring closing procedure involves copper-catalyzed homocoupling of substituted terminal  
4  
5 alkyne to form the disubstituted diacetylene precursor (compounds **1** and **2**). The precursor is  
6  
7 then introduced into a suspension of sodium telluride (generated by reduction of tellurium  
8  
9 powder with sodium borohydride), to give the ring-closed tellurophene product. This procedure  
10  
11 worked well for **PT**, **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** and **PT-*t*Bu**, however it was less successful for some of the other  
12  
13 compounds such as **PT-NEt<sub>2</sub>**, and **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>**, due to poor yield, poor solubility, decomposition, or  
14  
15 because the alkyne starting material was not commercially available. Recently, Grubbs and  
16  
17 coworkers reported that palladium-catalyzed *ipso*-arylation chemistry will couple aryl  
18  
19 substituents onto tellurophenes and benzotellurophenes to give polymers and small  
20  
21 molecules.<sup>25,26</sup> We adopted this chemistry to synthesize the remaining tellurophene compounds.  
22  
23 The previously reported synthesis of the tellurophene *ipso*-arylation coupling partner, 2,5-  
24  
25 bis[(diphenyl)hydroxymethyl]tellurophene (**4**), however, was modified in our study. Specifically,  
26  
27 the diacetylene precursor **3** was synthesized via homocoupling of the commercially available  
28  
29 alkyne, 1,1-diphenyl-2-propyn-1-ol, and then subjected to the ring-closing conditions discussed  
30  
31 earlier to produce **4**. The tellurophene compounds **PT-*O*tBu**, **PT-CN**, and **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>** were then  
32  
33 synthesized via *ipso*-arylation cross-coupling of **4** with the appropriate aryl bromide or iodide.  
34  
35 Unfortunately, the *ipso*-arylation approach was also unsuccessful for the direct synthesis of **PT-**  
36  
37 **NEt<sub>2</sub>** and **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>**. In order to synthesize these compounds, **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>** was prepared via  
38  
39 *ipso*-arylation, and one or both of the nitro groups were reduced followed by *N*-alkyl substitution  
40  
41 using the appropriate alkyl iodide (Scheme 1c).  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



Scheme 1. a-c) Synthetic routes for the preparation of PT-R compounds. d) Preparation of PT-R-Br<sub>2</sub> compounds.

**2.2. Optical Properties of PT-R Compounds and Their Te(IV) Adducts.** Aside from PT-NEt<sub>2</sub> and PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>, all of the tellurophene compounds have similar optical absorption maxima ( $\lambda_{\max}$ ), ranging from 330 nm to 365 nm in chloroform with  $\sim 10^4$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> molar absorptivity (Table 1). The  $\lambda_{\max}$  of PT-NEt<sub>2</sub> and PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub> appear at longer wavelengths (408 nm and 466 nm, respectively, in toluene). The relatively low energy absorption of PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub> is characteristic of push-pull type chromophores. Oxidative addition of bromine to the tellurium center leads to a lower energy  $\lambda_{\max}$  for all of the compounds. Increasing titration with Br<sub>2</sub> in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (or toluene for PT-NEt<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub> and PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>) leads to a decrease in the absorption corresponding to the parent tellurophene accompanied by an increase in absorption at



both higher and lower energy wavelengths (Figure 1; Figure S1, Supporting Information). Clear isosbestic points (IPs) indicate clean conversion to the brominated compound. The red-shift in the lower energy  $\lambda_{\max}$  of the brominated compound compared to the parent compound is much more pronounced for compounds bearing EDGs (103, 111, and 156 nm for **PT-*t*Bu-Br<sub>2</sub>**, **PT-*O*tBu-Br<sub>2</sub>**, and **PT-NEt<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**, respectively) than those bearing EWGs (52, 68, and 78 nm for **PT-CN-Br<sub>2</sub>**, **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**, and **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**, respectively). A red-shift of 100 nm is observed for **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**. NMR spectroscopy experiments were carried out with each tellurophene compound in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (or deuterated toluene for **PT-NEt<sub>2</sub>** and **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>**). In each case, complete conversion to the brominated product was achieved using one equivalent of Br<sub>2</sub>. The brominated compounds (**PT-R-Br<sub>2</sub>**) were also synthesized on a preparative scale and isolated (see Experimental Section, Supporting Information for more detail). Additionally, the structures of **PT-*t*Bu-Br<sub>2</sub>**, **PT-*O*tBu-Br<sub>2</sub>**, **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**, and **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** were confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 2).

**Table 1. Optical Absorption Properties of the Tellurophene Compounds in CHCl<sub>3</sub>.**

	$\lambda_{\max}$ (nm)		$\epsilon$ (M <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> )		$\Delta\lambda_{\max}$ (nm)	$\Delta\epsilon$ (M <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> )
	parent	+ Br <sub>2</sub>	parent	+ Br <sub>2</sub>		
<b>PT-NEt<sub>2</sub></b>	408 <sup>a</sup>	564 <sup>a</sup>	$3.31 \times 10^4$	$1.52 \times 10^4$	156	$-1.79 \times 10^4$
<b>PT-<i>O</i>tBu</b>	354	465	$2.56 \times 10^4$	$9.70 \times 10^3$	111	$-1.59 \times 10^4$
<b>PT-<i>t</i>Bu</b>	349	452	$2.33 \times 10^4$	$8.54 \times 10^3$	103	$-1.48 \times 10^4$
<b>PT</b>	342	433	$2.24 \times 10^4$	$6.34 \times 10^3$	91	$-1.61 \times 10^4$
<b>PT-CN</b>	365	417	$3.12 \times 10^4$	$1.11 \times 10^4$	52	$-2.01 \times 10^4$
<b>PT-CF<sub>3</sub></b>	346	415	$2.13 \times 10^4$	$6.96 \times 10^3$	69	$-1.43 \times 10^4$
<b>PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub></b>	330	408	$2.52 \times 10^4$	$9.29 \times 10^3$	78	$-1.59 \times 10^4$
<b>PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub></b>	477 <sup>a</sup>	566 <sup>a</sup>	$2.61 \times 10^4$	$2.06 \times 10^4$	89	$-5.50 \times 10^3$

<sup>a</sup>Measured in toluene.




Figure 1. Optical absorption spectra of bromine titration experiments for a) **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), b) **PT-O*t*Bu**, (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), and c) **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>** (toluene).




Figure 2. Displacement ellipsoid plots of a) **PT-*O*tBu-Br<sub>2</sub>**, b) **PT-*t*Bu-Br<sub>2</sub>**, c) **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** (the F atoms are disordered over two sets of sites with the minor component shown as small spheres) and d) **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** shown at 50% probability.

#### 2.4. Computational Modeling. 2.4.1. Excited State Properties.

Time dependent (TD) DFT calculations were carried out on the gas-phase optimized structures to determine the calculated absorption spectrum of each compound and the nature of the associated transitions. Overall, the calculations predict the absorption spectra quite well in terms of shape and magnitude of the extinction coefficient, however the calculated spectra are overall red-shifted compared to the experimental spectra (Figure S2, Supporting Information). For all of the compounds (including the brominated compounds), the lowest energy transition with significant oscillator strength is HOMO to LUMO in nature. The calculations predict a decreased HOMO–LUMO energy gap upon bromination (Figure S3, Supporting Information) that is consistent with the experimental spectra. Visualization of the frontier molecular orbitals of the non-brominated tellurophenes show that this transition is a delocalized  $\pi$ – $\pi^*$  transition, while the HOMO to LUMO transition in the brominated compounds has charge-transfer character from a delocalized state onto the dibromotellurophene unit (Figure 3). The LUMO has significant

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

electron density within the antibonding Te–Br orbitals. Excitation into this state should promote photoelimination of bromine.





Figure 3. Molecular orbital diagrams for a) **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>** and b) **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**.

2.4.2. *Oxidative Addition Mechanistic Study.* It is important to note that the mechanism of bromine addition to tellurophene is unknown. Detty and coworkers proposed two possible mechanisms for this reaction involving other organotellurium compounds.<sup>48</sup> Stopped-flow kinetics experiments revealed three distinct processes: an initial “fast” reaction followed by two slower ones. The “fast” reaction was attributed to the formation of an initial association complex between molecular bromine and the tellurium atom, which was speculated to be in either an “end-on”  $\eta^1$  or “edge-on”  $\eta^2$  fashion. From here, the addition of Br<sub>2</sub> to tellurium could either occur via a concerted mechanism (from an  $\eta^2$  association complex; Scheme 2a) or a dissociative mechanism (from an  $\eta^1$  association complex) with the formation of an ionic intermediate (Scheme 2b).



12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

Scheme 2. Possible mechanisms for oxidative addition of molecular bromine to organotellurium compounds: a) a concerted mechanism involving an  $\eta^2$  association complex; or b) a dissociative mechanism involving an  $\eta^1$  association complex and an ionic intermediate. Adapted and simplified from ref. 48.

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

Here, we used DFT geometry optimizations to model molecular bromine in close proximity to diphenyltellurophene. Three different minima were found depending on the starting positions of the molecules in the input file. One structure resembles an “end-on”  $\eta^1$  association complex (**Int1**), one resembles a monobrominated species suggestive of an ionic intermediate (**Int2**), and the last structure resembles that of the brominated product (**PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**). Frequency calculations verify that each of these structures are minima. Attempts to locate an optimized structure involving an “edge-on”  $\eta^2$  association complex were unsuccessful.

41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Synchronous transit-guided quasi-Newton<sup>58,59</sup> (STQN) calculations were performed to locate a transition state connecting **Int1** with **Int2** (**TS1-2**), and **Int2** with **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>** (**TS2-P**). The transition state calculations were successfully optimized to maxima, resulting in structures with an intermediate geometry between the geometries of the two minima that they connect. To verify that **TS1-2** and **TS2-P** are the true transition state structures, frequency calculations and intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC)<sup>60</sup> calculations were performed. The frequency calculations predict one negative frequency, which is expected for a transition state. The vibrations associated with this negative frequency involve motions of the bromine atoms in a manner that

1  
2  
3 supports a transition from **Int1** to **Int2** in the case of **TS1-2**, and from **Int2** to **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>** in the case  
4  
5 of **TS2-P**. IRC calculations follow the reaction pathway from the transition state to the minima  
6  
7 and can confirm whether a calculated transition structure truly connects the two minima of  
8  
9 interest. IRC calculations from **TS1-2** and from **TS2-P** indeed led to structures resembling **Int1**,  
10  
11 **Int2**, and **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>** as expected (Figure S4 and S5, Supporting Information), and geometry  
12  
13 optimizations from the final IRC structures led to the identical minimum energy structures that  
14  
15 were obtained from the geometry optimizations of Br<sub>2</sub> in close proximity to **PT** discussed earlier.  
16  
17 Although attempts to locate a minimum energy  $\eta^2$  association complex were unsuccessful,  
18  
19 attempts were also made to locate a transition state with this structure. A maximum was  
20  
21 successfully located, however the molecular distortion associated with the negative frequency  
22  
23 does not involve the bromine atoms and thus does not support a connection to the product  
24  
25 structure. Furthermore, IRC calculations from this structure were unsuccessful. Thus, no  
26  
27 evidence for a concerted oxidative addition mechanism was found. Finally, an attempt to connect  
28  
29 **Int1** directly to **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>** through a single transition state was also made. The calculation  
30  
31 successfully optimized to a maximum structure, however this structure was identical to **TS1-2**,  
32  
33 and the IRC calculation led to structures resembling **Int1** and **Int2** rather than **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**. This  
34  
35 confirms that **TS1-2** does not connect **Int1** directly to the product (**PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**), but instead to the  
36  
37 monobrominated intermediate **Int2**. Overall, the results of the DFT calculations support a three-  
38  
39 step oxidative addition mechanism involving the sequential formation of an initial  $\eta^1$  association  
40  
41 complex, a monobrominated intermediate, and finally the brominated product (Scheme 2b).  
42  
43 Scaled frequency calculations and high accuracy SPE calculations were performed for all of the  
44  
45 optimized structures in order to determine accurate zero-point-corrected energies. The  
46  
47 calculations were also repeated using a chloroform polarizable continuum model (PCM). The  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

geometries obtained with the chloroform model are only slightly different than the gas phase geometries. The zero-point corrected energies obtained from the calculations with a solvent model were used to produce a reaction pathway energy diagram for the oxidative addition process (Figure 4). Although the geometries calculated with a solvent model are similar to the gas phase optimized geometries, the energies of all structures are stabilized when the chloroform model is applied. A much larger degree of stabilization is predicted for the monobrominated intermediate **Int2** and the transition states connecting **Int2** to its reactant and product (Figure S6, Supporting Information).




Figure 4. DFT-calculated reaction pathway energy diagram for the oxidative addition of bromine to **PT**, calculated with a CHCl<sub>3</sub> PCM.

**2.5. Photochemistry.** *2.5.1. Photolysis Experiments.* Photolysis experiments were carried out on the brominated compounds by irradiating at the lowest energy absorption band. The reactions were monitored using optical absorption spectroscopy. We will first discuss **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>** and the compounds bearing EDGs. Irradiation of **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**, **PT-*t*Bu-Br<sub>2</sub>** and **PT-*Ot*Bu-Br<sub>2</sub>** at 447 nm in the presence of 1 M 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene (DMBD) halogen trap led to spectral changes

1  
2  
3 consistent with conversion back to the parent compounds. A comparison of the photolysis  
4 product spectra with those of each of the parent compounds showed some differences,  
5 specifically a slight blue-shift in both the  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  and isosbestic points (Figure S7, Supporting  
6 Information). This is attributed to the formation of decomposition products during photolysis.  
7  
8 Based on the magnitude of the difference between the photolysis product spectrum and the  
9 parent spectrum, the amount of decomposition is more significant for compounds with stronger  
10 EDGs. Increasing the DMBD concentration to 3 M, however, leads to clean conversion of **PT-**  
11 **Br<sub>2</sub>** to **PT**, and only slight decomposition in the case of **PT-*t*Bu-Br<sub>2</sub>** (Figure S8, Supporting  
12 Information) and **PT-*O*tBu-Br<sub>2</sub>** (Figure 5a). Photolysis was complete within 5, 16, and 40  
13 seconds for **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**, **PT-*t*Bu-Br<sub>2</sub>**, and **PT-*O*tBu-Br<sub>2</sub>**, respectively, showing that the irradiation  
14 time required to achieve complete conversion increases with donating strength. This is  
15 consistent with strong electron-donating character hindering the reductive elimination process.  
16  
17 Due to the low energy absorption of **PT-NEt<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** and **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** red-orange (617 nm)  
18 light was used for irradiation. For the strongly-donating **PT-NEt<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**, photolysis was complete  
19 within 6 minutes and the optical absorption spectrum is indicative of the formation of  
20 decomposition products (Figure S8, Supporting Information), consistent with the other  
21 compounds bearing EDGs. Interestingly, much longer irradiation time was required for **PT-**  
22 **NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** (160 minutes), and the optical absorption spectrum indicates an even greater  
23 amount of decomposition, as evidenced by the blue-shifted  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  and isosbestic points.  
24  
25 We next discuss the compounds bearing EWGs. Irradiation of **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** (Figure 5c), **PT-CN-**  
26 **Br<sub>2</sub>**, and **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** (Figure S8, Supporting Information), at 430 nm in the presence of 3 M  
27 DMBD led to complete conversion back to the respective parent compounds within a few  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



seconds. For these compounds the photolysis product optical absorption spectra are identical to the spectra of the parent compounds and there is no evidence of decomposition.




Figure 5. Optical absorption and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra showing the photolysis of **PT-OtBu-Br<sub>2</sub>** (a-b) and **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**, (c-d).

All photolysis reactions were also characterized by NMR spectroscopy. Consistent with the optical absorption spectra, some decomposition is observed during the photolysis of **PT-tBu-Br<sub>2</sub>** (Figure S9, Supporting Information) and **PT-OtBu-Br<sub>2</sub>** (Figure 5b) indicated by the presence of additional peaks in the aromatic region, as well as some discrepancy in the integration of the tellurophene proton signals. Photolysis of **PT-NEt<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** and **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** leads to decomposition and no evidence of the brominated starting materials (Figure S10 and S11, Supporting Information). On the other hand, photolysis of **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** (Figure 5d), **PT-CN-Br<sub>2</sub>**,

and **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** (Figure S12 and S13, Supporting Information) leads to complete conversion to the parent compounds with no evidence of additional product formation in the NMR spectra, confirming that these reactions are quite efficient and free of side reactions. The tellurophene compounds demonstrate high thermal stability, thus thermal reduction is of no concern in the photolysis experiments (see Supporting Information for thermal control experiments).

**2.5.2. Photochemical Quantum Yield Determination.** The efficiencies of photoelimination were evaluated by determining the photochemical quantum yield,  $\Phi_P$ , using potassium ferrioxalate actinometry (Table 2).<sup>61-63</sup> **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>** has a photochemical quantum yield of 8.5% at 2 M DMBD concentration.<sup>51</sup> The incorporation of EDGs onto the phenyl ring reduces the quantum yield of photoelimination for **PT-*t*Bu-Br<sub>2</sub>** ( $1.61 \pm 0.04\%$ ) and **PT-*O*tBu-Br<sub>2</sub>** ( $0.44 \pm 0.01\%$ ). On the contrary, the incorporation of EWGs increases the quantum yield for **PT-CN-Br<sub>2</sub>** ( $14.7 \pm 0.3\%$ ), **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** ( $19.2 \pm 0.7\%$ ) and **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** ( $23.6 \pm 0.7\%$ ). These observations are consistent with the EWGs facilitating photoelimination by making Te more electropositive.

**Table 2. Photochemical Quantum Yield for Photoelimination of Bromine from PT derivatives.**

	[DMBD] (M)	$\Phi_P$ (%) <sup>a</sup>	Std. Dev. (%)	Relative $\Phi_P$
<b>PT-NEt<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub></b>	2	--	--	0.007 <sup>b</sup>
<b>PT-<i>O</i>tBu-Br<sub>2</sub></b>	2	0.44	0.01	0.05
<b>PT-<i>t</i>Bu-Br<sub>2</sub></b>	2	1.61	0.04	0.2
<b>PT-Br<sub>2</sub></b>	2	8.5	0.3	1
<b>PT-CN-Br<sub>2</sub></b>	2	14.7	0.3	1.7
<b>PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub></b>	2	19.2	0.7	2.3
<b>PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub></b>	1	17.6	0.5	
	2	23.6	0.7	2.8
	3	31.3	0.2	
	4	36.6	0.5	
	5	42.4	0.4	
<b>PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub></b>	2	--	--	0.0002 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Determined by potassium ferrioxalate actinometry.

<sup>b</sup>Estimated from output of light source and change in concentration for a given irradiation period.

1  
2  
3 The quantum yields for **PT-NEt<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** and **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** could not be determined since the  
4 irradiation wavelength (617 nm) is beyond the absorption range of the actinometer. However,  
5 the radiant power of the light sources (from manufacturer specifications) and the change in  
6 concentration for a given irradiation period were considered in order to draw a relative  
7 comparison (see Supporting Information for detailed calculation). From these calculations,  
8 bromine photoelimination from **PT-NEt<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** and **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** is approximately 0.007  
9 and 0.0002 times less efficient than from **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**, respectively (Table 2).

10  
11 The extremely poor efficiency for **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** is somewhat surprising. It might be  
12 expected that **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** would have similar photochemical behavior to the  
13 unsubstituted **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>** due to a cancelling effect of the strong EDG and EWG on either end of the  
14 molecule. Rationalization of the unprecedented low efficiency was attempted based on  
15 electrostatic potential (ESP) maps from the DFT calculations. The ESP maps of **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**, **PT-**  
16 **NEt<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**, and **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** show increasing positive ESP at Te with increasing electron-  
17 withdrawing character (Figure 6a). The incorporation of both a strong EWG and a strong EDG  
18 onto each end of the molecule does indeed have a cancelling effect on the ESP at Te, resulting in  
19 an ESP map for **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** that is quite similar to that of **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**. Thus, the sluggish  
20 photochemical behavior cannot be explained by an ESP argument. Instead, analysis of the MO  
21 diagrams provides more insight into this behavior. The MO diagrams for **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**  
22 display the typical charge transfer character of push-pull chromophores. Thus, rather than being  
23 localized only on the dibromotellurophene ring, as is the case for the other derivatives, the  
24 electron density in the LUMO is delocalized across both the dibromotellurophene ring and the  
25 NO<sub>2</sub>-substituted phenyl ring (Figure 6b). This decreases the Te-Br anti-bonding character in the  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

LUMO compared to the other derivatives, which likely explains the unexpected poor efficiency observed for this compound.




Figure 6. a) ESP maps of indicated compounds. b) MO diagrams for **PT-NO<sub>2</sub>-NBu<sub>2</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**.

Finally, a trap-dependent quantum yield study was carried out for the most efficient compound, **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** (Table 2). A linear dependence of quantum yield on trap concentration is observed (Figure S14, Supporting Information), with an impressive value of  $42.4 \pm 0.4\%$  at 5 M DMBD concentration, the best value for a tellurophene reported to date.

## 2.6. Mechanistic Details of Tellurophene Photochemistry. 2.6.1. Halogen Trapping

*Experiments.* To gain insight into the photoelimination mechanism, the identity of the liberated bromine species was investigated by characterizing the trapped products. For these experiments, **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** was the reactant and 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene (DMB) was used as the trap in order to simplify the number of possible trap products. Molecular bromine would be expected to yield

1  
2  
3 the Br<sub>2</sub> addition product 2,3-dibromo-2,3-dimethylbutane (DMB-Br<sub>2</sub>).<sup>64</sup> Thus, if bromine is  
4 reductively eliminated as Br<sub>2</sub>, (or leads to the formation of Br<sub>2</sub>) this would be the only expected  
5 trap product. On the other hand, if bromine is released as two bromine radicals (Br<sup>•</sup>), then  
6 reaction of one Br<sup>•</sup> with DMB would be expected to yield HBr and an allylic radical (DMB<sup>•</sup>),  
7 which could then react with the second Br<sup>•</sup> to produce 1-bromo-2,3-dimethyl-2-butene (DMB-  
8 Br).<sup>65</sup> Additionally, the HBr produced from this process could also react with DMB to give the  
9 HBr addition product 2-bromo-2,3-dimethylbutane (DMB-HBr).<sup>66</sup> Evidence of both the allylic  
10 bromination product DMB-Br (singlet at  $\delta = 4.08$  ppm) and the Br<sub>2</sub> addition product DMB-Br<sub>2</sub>  
11 (singlet at  $\delta = 2.03$  ppm; Figure S15a, Supporting Information) are present after photolysis. The  
12 ratio of DMB-Br to DMB-Br<sub>2</sub> is approximately 6:1 suggesting that the dominant pathway  
13 involves Br<sup>•</sup>. Compared to the integration of **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>**, the yields are 39% DMB-Br and 7%  
14 DMB-Br<sub>2</sub>, which suggests that 54% of the liberated bromine is consumed in additional  
15 processes. Surprisingly, there is no evidence of DMB-HBr in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum despite the  
16 fact that DMB-Br is formed in 39% yield. However, there are several other significant peaks in  
17 the alkyl region after photolysis with unknown identities (singlets at  $\delta = 1.93, 1.86, 1.85$  and  $1.49$   
18 ppm; Figure S15b, Supporting Information), which could account for the remaining trapped  
19 bromine.


20  
21 In order to further investigate bromine trapping, GC-MS analysis was carried out on the  
22 photolyzed samples. The trap products DMB-Br<sub>2</sub> and DMB-Br were prepared separately for  
23 comparison (Figure S16-S17, Supporting Information). Evidence of both DMB-Br<sub>2</sub> (RT = 6.05  
24 min) and DMB-Br (RT = 4.94 min) is observed in the photolyzed sample (Figure S18,  
25 Supporting Information). However, additional product(s) with a retention time of 4.92 min  
26 coeluted with DMB-Br. An analysis of the chromatogram peak at 4.94 min shows the expected  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 mass for DMB-Br, with two equal intensity peaks at  $m/z$  of 162 and 164. Analysis of the same  
4  
5 chromatogram peak at 4.92 min also shows two peaks of roughly equal intensity in the MS,  
6  
7 however these are shifted to  $m/z$  of 165 and 167. While the retention time and MS pattern of the  
8  
9 unidentified product(s) are suggestive of a monobrominated alkane, extensive efforts to  
10  
11 determine the identity of the compound(s) were unsuccessful (for further discussion, see the  
12  
13 Supporting Information).  
14  
15

16  
17 The expected retention time for **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>** is 12.71 min, and only a slight difference in retention  
18  
19 time is observed for the photolysis product (12.76 min) with an identical fragmentation pattern  
20  
21 (Figure S19, Supporting Information). Comparing the integration of the DMB-Br<sub>2</sub> peak in the  
22  
23 GC with the **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>** peak gives a ratio of 0.063:1, corresponding to a 6.3% yield of DMB-Br<sub>2</sub>  
24  
25 (Figure S20, Supporting Information). This is in excellent agreement with the yield determined  
26  
27 by NMR integration. Comparing the integration of the peak at 4.92 min (which contains both  
28  
29 DMB-Br and the other product(s)) with that of **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>** gives a ratio of 0.77:1, corresponding to  
30  
31 a 77% yield of these products. This is roughly twice the NMR determined yield of DMB-Br  
32  
33 suggesting a 1:1 ratio of DMB-Br to the unidentified trap product(s). The total yield of the  
34  
35 discussed products from the GC analysis is approximately 83%. There are a few other low  
36  
37 intensity peaks in the GC ranging from RT of 6.56 to 8.67 min, which likely account for the  
38  
39 remainder of the trapped bromine.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45  
46 Although the identity of the additional major trap product(s) remains unclear, the results of the  
47  
48 trapping experiments show that the photoelimination reaction occurs predominantly by  
49  
50 elimination of radical bromine. The Br<sub>2</sub> addition product, which is formed in very small  
51  
52 quantities, could be formed by Br<sub>2</sub> produced by combination of two eliminated bromine radicals  
53  
54 (*vide infra*).  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

2.6.2. *Laser Flash Photolysis.* To further investigate the mechanism of the photoelimination reaction, nanosecond laser flash photolysis (LFP) experiments were performed on the compounds with the highest photochemical quantum yields, **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**, **PT-CN-Br<sub>2</sub>**, **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**, and **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** (see Supporting Information for experiment setup and conditions). The brominated compounds were excited within the low-energy absorption band using a 450 nm excitation pulse in the presence of DMBD under an argon atmosphere. The transient absorption (TA) spectrum of **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** in the presence of ~0.2 M DMBD (3000:1 trap to tellurophene ratio) recorded at different times after the laser pulse displays intense absorption bands about 360 and 325 nm (Figure 7a). Similar features were observed in the TA spectra of the other three compounds (Figure S21, Supporting Information).



**Figure 7.** a) Optical absorption spectra of **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** and **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** compared with the TA spectrum of **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** in the presence of DMBD right after the 450 nm laser pulse; and single wavelength kinetic traces of CHCl<sub>3</sub> solutions of **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** excited at 450 nm and monitored at (b) 388 nm, (c) 392 nm, and (d) 430 nm.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 Single wavelength kinetic traces obtained at and about the low energy isosbestic point between  
6  
7 the photoreactant (**PT-R-Br<sub>2</sub>**) and the photoproduct (**PT-R**) were used to determine the nature of  
8  
9 the observed transient species. Because the ground-state absorption of **PT-R** is greater than **PT-**  
10  
11 **R-Br<sub>2</sub>** at wavelengths shorter than the IP, the decay of a single wavelength trace to a final  
12  
13 positive  $\Delta OD$  is expected, confirming conversion of **PT-R-Br<sub>2</sub>** to **PT-R**. On the other hand, at  
14  
15 wavelengths longer than the IP, **PT-R** absorbs less than **PT-R-Br<sub>2</sub>**, and a final negative  $\Delta OD$  is  
16  
17 expected. At the IP, the absorbance of the photoreactant and photoproduct is equal, thus they are  
18  
19 silent in LFP and a final  $\Delta OD$  of zero is expected. As a result, any signal observed in the kinetics  
20  
21 trace could be attributed to the presence of a transient species. Single wavelength kinetic traces  
22  
23 were collected at several wavelengths for **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** (Figure 7b-d), **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**, **PT-CN-Br<sub>2</sub>**, and  
24  
25 **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** (Figure S22, Supporting Information) in the presence of  $\sim 0.2$  M DMBD. Indeed,  
26  
27 the  $\Delta OD$  monitored at the IP decays to zero after excitation, and in all cases a transient species  
28  
29 with positive  $\Delta OD$  is formed within the pulse of the laser (5-8 ns). Since this is the only active  
30  
31 species at the IP, the decay can be used to determine the lifetime of the transient species, which  
32  
33 is 20-70 ns for the different compounds (Table 3). Further discussion and a possible assignment  
34  
35 of this transient will be presented below.

36  
37  
38 The IPs located by LFP are in good agreement with the IPs observed in the steady state optical  
39  
40 absorption photolysis experiments with the exception of **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**. When probing the **PT-Br<sub>2</sub>**  
41  
42 sample at 386 nm (the IP determined during the steady state photolysis experiments), a final  
43  
44 positive  $\Delta OD$  is unexpectedly observed, while a final  $\Delta OD$  of 0 is observed when probed at 398  
45  
46 nm. At the trap concentration used in these experiments, it is likely that this compound  
47  
48 undergoes some amount of decomposition, which may explain the discrepancy observed,  
49  
50 although a blue-shifted IP is usually observed when decomposition is present.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60





**Table 3. Lifetimes of the transient species generated upon 450 nm excitation.**

Sample	Monitored Wavelength (nm)	$\tau$ (ns)
<b>PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub></b> + DMBD	371	42.1 – 39.2
<b>PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub></b> + DMBD	390	45 – 42 – 39
<b>PT-CN-Br<sub>2</sub></b> + DMBD	406	22.4 – 16.4 – 23.3
<b>PT-Br<sub>2</sub></b> + DMBD	398	77.0 – 60.9


In order to assign the observed transient species and to gain insight into the photochemical mechanism, a series of experiments were performed. First, the possibility for triplet state formation due to the presence of the heavy atom tellurium was probed. Upon excitation of the non-brominated tellurophene **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** at 355 nm, an absorption band appears at 450 nm in the TA spectrum. The lifetime of this transient species is 1.3  $\mu$ s and is attributed to absorption by the **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** triplet state. This is in agreement with the calculated absorption spectrum of the ground state triplet by DFT (Figure S23, Supporting Information). Interestingly, the TA spectrum and lifetime observed upon excitation of **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** at 355 nm is different from the TA spectrum and lifetime obtained when **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** is excited at 450 nm, which suggests that different transient species are formed under the different photolysis conditions. Furthermore, the TA spectrum and lifetime (1.3  $\mu$ s) for **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** are similar to those obtained for **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** when a 355 nm excitation is used (Figure 8), indicating that the same transient species is being observed in both samples. Since this transient was attributed to the **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** triplet, it follows that the dehalogenation process and excitation of the photoproduct must be complete within the pulse of the laser (5-8 ns). The **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** excited state singlet may then undergo intersystem crossing (ISC) to give the observed **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** triplet (Scheme S1, Supporting Information). This pathway cannot be accessed when a 450 nm laser pulse is used since the photoproduct does not absorb at this wavelength. Thus, the transient species with ~45 ns lifetime is observed under 450 nm excitation.

To further confirm the presence of triplet states, steady-state photoluminescence measurements of both **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** and **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** were performed at low temperature (~ 77 K) under argon (Figure S24, Supporting Information). A phosphorescence band at 440 nm was observed for **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** (excitation at 355 nm), and two bands around 550 and 600 nm were observed for **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** (excitation at 450 nm). Additionally, under O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, both **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** and **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** generate singlet oxygen (<sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub>) when they are excited at 355 and 450 nm, respectively. The <sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub> quantum yield ( $\Phi_{\Delta}$ ) approaches unity for **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** ( $\Phi_{\Delta} \sim 1.0$ ) and is lower for **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** ( $\Phi_{\Delta} \sim 0.1$ ) in the absence of trap using *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin (TTP) as a standard ( $^{TTP}\Phi_{\Delta} \sim 0.66$  in CCl<sub>4</sub> and benzene).<sup>67</sup> Furthermore, **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** does not generate <sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub> when irradiated at 450 nm, suggesting both **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** and **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** generate <sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub> from their respective triplet states. This evidence of **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** triplet state formation suggests that ISC is competitive with bromine photoelimination.



1  
2  
3  
4 **Figure 8.** a) TA spectra and b) single wavelength kinetic traces (monitored at 410 nm) obtained  
5 for CHCl<sub>3</sub> solutions of **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** and **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** containing DMBD upon irradiation at 355 nm  
6 under Ar atmosphere. Lifetime: ~ 1.3 μs.  
7  
8

9  
10  
11  
12 As discussed above, halogen trapping experiments suggest that the bromine species generated  
13 upon photolysis is most likely Br<sup>•</sup>. However, direct detection of this species would be desired in  
14 order to fully characterize the photoelimination mechanism. Thus, it is important to identify a  
15 molecule that would react efficiently with Br<sup>•</sup> yielding a readily detectable species. The reaction  
16 of bromide (Br<sup>-</sup>) with bromine atoms (Br<sup>•</sup>) provides an ideal probe reaction, since the product  
17 (Br<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup>) absorbs strongly at 360 nm ( $\epsilon_{360} = 9000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ).<sup>68</sup> Kinetic traces obtained upon  
18 excitation of solutions of **PT-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** and **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** in the presence of tetraethylammonium  
19 bromide (TEABr, 3 mM) show a long lived intermediate (~9-12 μs) when monitored at the IPs  
20 (371 nm and 390 nm, respectively). Since these wavelengths coincide with the absorption of  
21 Br<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup>, the transient observed can be attributed to the formation of this intermediate. To further  
22 confirm this, TA spectra were recorded within 100 ns after excitation and compared to the TA  
23 spectra obtained for the solutions containing the DMBD trap. For both **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** and **PT-**  
24 **C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>**, subtraction of the two spectra reveals an absorption band centered at 385 nm,  
25 attributed to absorption by Br<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup> (Figure 9 and Figure S25, respectively). The same profile was  
26 found at various times after excitation. Thus, the absorption profile in the TA spectra and the  
27 long lifetimes support the formation of Br<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup>, which is further confirmation that photoelimination  
28 involves the release of bromine radicals (Scheme 3a).  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




**Figure 9.** TA spectra of **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** in the presence of DMBD and TEABr recorded within 100 ns after the laser pulse (450 nm) and the arithmetic subtraction of the two spectra.

In accordance with all of these results, plausible photochemical and photophysical mechanisms for both the non-brominated and brominated tellurophene systems can be described (Scheme 3b-c). Upon irradiation at 450 nm, **PT-R-Br<sub>2</sub>** is excited to its very short lived singlet excited state ( $S_1$ ;  $\tau < 2$  ns, no fluorescence emission detected), which is subject to either of the following competitive pathways: (a) intersystem crossing to the triplet excited state,  $T_1$  (Path 1) followed by the concomitant relaxation to the singlet ground state ( $S_0$ ) via radiative relaxation (phosphorescence,  $\sim 600$  nm), non-radiative relaxation (Path 2), or by energy transfer to  $^3O_2$  under aerobic conditions; *or* (b) photo-debromination via stepwise  $Br^\bullet$  elimination (Path 3), which occurs within the time resolution of the instrument, and is confirmed by detection of  $Br_2^{\bullet-}$  when LFP is carried out in the presence of TEABr (absorption at 380 nm with  $\sim 10$   $\mu s$  lifetime). The parent compounds (**PT-R**) can be excited using a 355 nm pulse and can undergo paths 1 and 2 explained above (labeled 1' and 2' in Scheme 3c), as well as generate  $^1O_2$  under aerobic conditions.

Reasonable assignments for the  $\sim 50$  ns transient species observed during LFP of the brominated compounds (Table 3) could be a) a photointermediate such as the monobrominated species **PT-**

1  
2  
3 **R-Br<sup>•</sup>** formed after the first Br<sup>•</sup> elimination, b) a singlet excited state of the photoreactant, or c) a  
4  
5  
6 triplet excited state of the photoreactant. The observation of the **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>** triplet state (1.3 μs  
7  
8 lifetime) upon excitation of **PT-CF<sub>3</sub>-Br<sub>2</sub>** at 355 nm, necessitates that dehalogenation, excitation  
9  
10 of the photoproduct, and ISC all occur within the duration of the laser pulse (5-8 ns). Thus, the  
11  
12 transient observed upon excitation at 450 nm cannot be assigned to a monobrominated  
13  
14 intermediate, as this would be inconsistent with the fast dynamics upon excitation at 355 nm.  
15  
16 Additionally, assignment of the transient to the singlet excited state can be discarded due to the  
17  
18 lack of fluorescence emission. Thus, the ~50 ns transient is assigned to the **PT-R-Br<sub>2</sub>** triplet  
19  
20 state, the formation of which is competitive with the photoreaction, and is supported by the  
21  
22 observation of phosphorescence and <sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub> generation.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29



54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Scheme 3. a) Chemical mechanism of bromine photoelimination from **PT-R-Br<sub>2</sub>**. b) Proposed photochemical and photophysical mechanisms for **PT-R-Br<sub>2</sub>** upon excitation at 450 nm. c)

1  
2  
3 Proposed photophysics for **PT-R** upon excitation at 355 nm.  
4  
5

### 6 7 **3. Conclusions**

8  
9 A series of substituted diphenyltellurophene compounds has been synthesized and the reactivity  
10 of these compounds has been evaluated in terms of oxidative addition and photoelimination of  
11 bromine. Density functional theory calculations support a dissociative mechanism for oxidative  
12 addition of Br<sub>2</sub> that involves the formation of an η<sup>1</sup>-association complex, a monobrominated  
13 intermediate and finally the dibrominated product. Photoelimination of bromine is induced  
14 through excitation into the low-energy absorption band using blue or red LEDs. The  
15 photochemical quantum yield increases with increasing electron-withdrawing character of the  
16 substituents, with a value up to 42% achieved for the perfluorophenyl-substituted tellurophene at  
17 5 M alkene trap concentration. The photoelimination reaction occurs from the S<sub>1</sub> excited state via  
18 elimination of two bromine radicals, confirmed by trapping experiments, however the  
19 photoreaction is competitive with intersystem crossing to the triplet state, as evidenced by the  
20 formation of singlet oxygen during photolysis under aerobic conditions. The results of these  
21 studies establish a mechanism for the efficient photoelimination of bromine from conjugated  
22 tellurophenes.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

### 42 **Supporting Information:**

43  
44 Experimental details, X-ray crystallography data, titration and photolysis data for all compounds,  
45 additional figures for DFT mechanistic study, GC-MS data, additional figures for LFP  
46 experiments, NMR spectra for all compounds, further discussion of trap products, and DFT  
47 optimized geometry coordinates and absolute energies.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

### 54 **Author Information:**

### 55 **Corresponding Authors:**

56  
57  
58  
59  
60

\*dseferos@chem.utoronto.ca

\*scaiano@photo.chem.uottawa.ca

### Notes:

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

### Acknowledgments:

D. S. S. is grateful to NSERC, DuPont for a Young Professor Grant and the A. P. Sloan Foundation for a research fellowship in chemistry. E. I. C. is grateful to NSERC for a CGS D. We wish to acknowledge the Canadian Foundation for Innovation, Project Number 19119, and the Ontario Research Fund for funding of the Centre for Spectroscopic Investigation of Complex Organic Molecules and Polymers. We would like to thank Mark Taylor for the use of his GC-MS instrument. A. E. L. and J. C. S. thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Canada Research Chairs Program and the Canadian Foundation for Innovation for generous support.

### References:

- (1) Hoffmann, N. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 1052–1103.
- (2) Heyduk, A. F. *Science* **2001**, *293*, 1639–1641.
- (3) Nocera, D. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 10001–10017.
- (4) Elgrishi, N.; Teets, T. S.; Chambers, M. B.; Nocera, D. G. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 9474–9476.
- (5) Powers, D. C.; Hwang, S. J.; Zheng, S.-L.; Nocera, D. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 9122–9128.
- (6) Esswein, A. J.; Veige, A. S.; Nocera, D. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 16641–16651.
- (7) Cook, T. R.; Esswein, A. J.; Nocera, D. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 10094–10095.
- (8) Teets, T. S.; Lutterman, D. A.; Nocera, D. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 3035–3043.
- (9) Teets, T. S.; Nocera, D. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 7411–7420.
- (10) Cook, T. R.; McCarthy, B. D.; Lutterman, D. A.; Nocera, D. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 5152–5163.
- (11) Powers, D. C.; Chambers, M. B.; Teets, T. S.; Elgrishi, N.; Anderson, B. L.; Nocera, D. G. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 2880–2885.
- (12) Cook, T. R.; Surendranath, Y.; Nocera, D. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 28–29.
- (13) Lin, T.-P.; Gabbai, F. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 12230–12238.
- (14) Yang, H.; Gabbai, F. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 10866–10869.
- (15) Hwang, S. J.; Powers, D. C.; Maher, A. G.; Anderson, B. L.; Hadt, R. G.; Zheng, S.-L.; Chen, Y.-S.; Nocera, D. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 6472–6475.
- (16) Karikachery, A. R.; Lee, H. B.; Masjedi, M.; Ross, A.; Moody, M. A.; Cai, X.; Chui, M.; Hoff, C. D.; Sharp, P. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 4113–4119.
- (17) Perera, T. A.; Masjedi, M.; Sharp, P. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 7608–7621.
- (18) Wickramasinghe, L. A.; Sharp, P. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 1430–1442.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- (19) Wickramasinghe, L. A.; Sharp, P. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 13979–13982.
- (20) Jahnke, A. A.; Howe, G. W.; Seferos, D. S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 10140–10144.
- (21) Jahnke, A. A.; Seferos, D. S. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2011**, *32*, 943–951.
- (22) Jahnke, A. A.; Djukic, B.; McCormick, T. M.; Buchaca Domingo, E.; Hellmann, C.; Lee, Y.; Seferos, D. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 951–954.
- (23) Lee, W.-H.; Kyu Lee, S.; Suk Shin, W.; Moon, S.-J.; Kang, I.-N. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2013**, *51*, 2753–2758.
- (24) Jung, E. H.; Bae, S.; Yoo, T. W.; Jo, W. H. *Polym. Chem.* **2014**, *5*, 6545–6550.
- (25) Park, Y. S.; Kale, T. S.; Nam, C. Y.; Choi, D.; Grubbs, R. B. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 7964–7967.
- (26) Park, Y. S.; Wu, Q.; Nam, C.-Y.; Grubbs, R. B. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 10691–10695.
- (27) Takimiya, K.; Kunugi, Y.; Konda, Y.; Niihara, N.; Otsubo, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 5084–5085.
- (28) Kaur, M.; Seul Yang, D.; Shin, J.; Wan Lee, T.; Choi, K.; Ju Cho, M.; Hoon Choi, D. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 5495–5497.
- (29) Kaur, M.; Lee, D. H.; Da Seul Yang; Um, H. A.; Cho, M. J.; Kang, J. S.; Choi, D. H. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 14394–14396.
- (30) Carrera, E. I.; Seferos, D. S. *Macromolecules* **2015**, *48*, 297–308.
- (31) Rivard, E. *Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *44*, 730–736.
- (32) Lapkowski, M.; Motyka, R.; Suwiński, J.; Data, P. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *213*, 29–35.
- (33) He, G.; Torres Delgado, W.; Schatz, D. J.; Merten, C.; Mohammadpour, A.; Mayr, L.; Ferguson, M. J.; McDonald, R.; Brown, A.; Shankar, K.; Rivard, E. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 4587–4591.
- (34) He, G.; Wiltshire, B. D.; Choi, P.; Savin, A.; Sun, S.; Mohammadpour, A.; Ferguson, M. J.; McDonald, R.; Farsinezhad, S.; Brown, A.; Shankar, K.; Rivard, E. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 5444–5447.
- (35) Kryman, M. W.; Schamerhorn, G. A.; Yung, K.; Sathyamoorthy, B.; Sukumaran, D. K.; Ohulchanskyy, T. Y.; Benedict, J. B.; Detty, M. R. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 4321–4333.
- (36) Koide, Y.; Kawaguchi, M.; Urano, Y.; Hanaoka, K.; Komatsu, T.; Abo, M.; Terai, T.; Nagano, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 3091–3093.
- (37) Kaur, M.; Da Seul Yang; Choi, K.; Cho, M. J.; Choi, D. H. *Dyes Pigm.* **2014**, *100*, 118–126.
- (38) McCormick, T. M.; Carrera, E. I.; Schon, T. B.; Seferos, D. S. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 11182–11184.
- (39) Annaka, T.; Nakata, N.; Ishii, A. *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 1272–1278.
- (40) Mahrok, A. K.; Carrera, E. I.; Tilley, A. J.; Ye, S.; Seferos, D. S. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 5475–5478.
- (41) Tsao, F. A.; Lough, A. J.; Stephan, D. W. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 4287–4289.
- (42) Tsao, F. A.; Stephan, D. W. *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 71–74.
- (43) Tsao, F. A.; Cao, L.; Grimme, S.; Stephan, D. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 13264–13267.
- (44) Misra, S.; Chauhan, A. K. S.; Srivastava, R. C.; Butcher, R. J.; Duthie, A. J. *Organomet.*



- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47
- Chem.* **2015**, *791*, 119–123.
- (45) Ali, A. M. M.; Ramazanova, P. A.; Abakarov, G. M.; Tarakanova, A. V.; Anisimov, A. V. *Russ. J. Gen. Chem.* **2015**, *85*, 770–772.
- (46) Detty, M. R.; Luss, H. R. *Organometallics* **1986**, *5*, 2250–2256.
- (47) Detty, M. R.; Friedman, A. E. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 533–540.
- (48) Detty, M. R.; Friedman, A. E.; McMillan, M. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 3338–3345.
- (49) McCormick, T. M.; Jahnke, A. A.; Lough, A. J.; Seferos, D. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 3542–3548.
- (50) Carrera, E. I.; McCormick, T. M.; Kapp, M. J.; Lough, A. J.; Seferos, D. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 13779–13790.
- (51) Carrera, E. I.; Seferos, D. S. *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 2092–2096.
- (52) Detty, M. R. *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 702–712.
- (53) Aprile, A.; Iversen, K. J.; Wilson, D. J. D.; Dutton, J. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 4934–4939.
- (54) Vilhelmsen, M. H.; Jensen, J.; Tortzen, C. G.; Nielsen, M. B. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *2013*, 701–711.
- (55) Mack, W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1966**, *5*, 896–896.
- (56) Terao, Y.; Wakui, H.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 10407–10408.
- (57) Terao, Y.; Wakui, H.; Nomoto, M.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 5236–5243.
- (58) Peng, C.; Schlegel, H. B. *Isr. J. Chem.* **1993**, *33*, 449–454.
- (59) Peng, C.; Ayala, P. Y.; Schlegel, H. B.; Frisch, M. J. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *17*, 49–56.
- (60) Fukui, K. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1981**, *14*, 363–368.
- (61) Parker, C. A. *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **1953**, *220*, 104–116.
- (62) Hatchard, C. G.; Parker, C. A. *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **1956**, *235*, 518–536.
- (63) Kuhn, H. J.; Braslavsky, S. E.; Schmidt, R. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2004**, 2105–2146.
- (64) Tzerpos, N. I.; Zarkadis, A. K.; Kreher, R. P.; Repas, L.; Lehnig, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1995**, 755–761.
- (65) Clennan, E. L.; Chen, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 5787–5792.
- (66) Anderson, J. E.; Doecke, C. W.; Pearson, H. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 1* **1976**, *1976*, 336–341.
- (67) Wilkinson, F.; Helman, W. P.; Ross, A. B. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1993**, *22*, 113–262.
- (68) Scaiano, J. C.; Barra, M.; Krzywinski, M.; Sinta, R.; Calabrese, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 8340–8344.

48 TOC:  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

