1

Socioeconomic deprivation and surgical outcomes: ISOS and VISION-UK sub-study Statistical analysis plan

Investigators

Wan, McGuckin, Fowler, Prowle, Pearse, Moonesinghe

Working title

Prospective observational cohort study of socioeconomic deprivation and post-operative outcomes following elective surgery within ISOS and VISION-UK.

Background

Surgery is one of the most common treatments offered by the NHS in secondary care within the UK. One in ten adults has a surgical procedure each year, and the annual number of procedures is increasing steadily, particularly in elderly patients.¹ There are 4.6 million hospital admissions that lead to surgical care every year in England alone (Hospital Episode Data, Department of Health). One in five people in England aged 75 years and over underwent surgery in 2015.² Perioperative complications present a substantial burden to healthcare cost due to associated mortality and morbidity.³⁻⁵

The link between poverty, socioeconomic inequalities and increased mortality is well established.⁶ Differences in socioeconomic status have been shown to be associated with increased mortality in a range of diseases as well as incidence of multimorbidity.⁷⁻¹¹ Inequalities in healthcare continue to exist globally.¹² Improvements in healthcare provision in the UK over time such as in cancer care have not been demonstrated across socioeconomic groups with persistent limitations in the most deprived areas.¹³ The reasons for this are multifactorial including barriers in accessing healthcare secondary to both financial limitations and geographical distance, variations in availability and quality of services in areas of greater deprivation, differences in lifestyle factors such as smoking, alcohol and dietary, as well as health seeking behaviour.¹⁴⁻¹⁸

Studies including systematic reviews have demonstrated an association between socioeconomic deprivation on mortality and morbidity after specific types of surgery including colorectal ¹⁹, endometrial cancer²⁰, major elective joint replacement²¹, head and neck cancer²², lung cancer²³, amputation in peripheral artery disease²⁴. These observation studies have tended to be carried out in single centres, smaller cohorts or for specific disease indications.

The office for national statistics have published data measuring relative deprivation in small areas in England.⁹ The English Indices of Deprivation 2015 is a composite score based on 37 separate indicators. These are grouped into seven distinct domains: income; employment; health and disability; education, skills and training; barriers to housing and other services; crime; living environment.⁹ Similarly, Scotland, Northern Ireland and Wales have calculated comparative statistical measures.²⁵⁻²⁷ In this paper, we aim to determine if socioeconomic deprivation in England is associated with outcomes after surgery: mortality, in-hospital complications at 30 days, and hospital length of stay. We will also identify clinical factors associated with social deprivation and assess whether adjustment for these factors modify the effect of socioeconomic deprivation on outcomes for a range of surgical categories.

Aim

To describe the distribution of socioeconomic status amongst the ISOS and VISION-UK cohorts undergoing elective surgery and its association with post-operative complications within 30 days (specific endpoints defined below) and death within 3 years.

Objectives

- To describe socioeconomic status of the study population as measured by index of multiple deprivation quintiles
- 2. To determine if socioeconomic status is associated with the following outcomes:
 - mortality at 30 days, at 1 year, and at 3 years
 - in-hospital complications at 30 days
 - hospital length of stay

19/02/2020

- 3. To determine if different surgical categories vary for the following outcomes when deprivation is taken into account:
 - mortality at 30 days, at 1 year, and at 3 years
 - 30 day in-hospital mortality
 - hospital length of stay

Data collection

Study cohorts

The International Surgical Outcome Study (ISOS) is an international multi-centre cohort study of perioperative morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing elective surgery (ISRCTN51817007).⁹ Data collection occurred during a seven-day period between April and August 2014 in 474 hospitals in 27 countries. All patients admitted to participating centres for elective surgery with a planned overnight stay were eligible. Patients undergoing day-case surgery or radiological procedures were excluded because they followed a dedicated pathway of care. Only patients from England will be included in this secondary analysis, xx hospitals participated in the UK, leading to a sample size of xxx patients. Patients were followed up for a maximum of 30 days after surgery for complications. Mortality data is collected up to 3 years post-operatively.

The Vascular Events in non-cardiac surgery (VISION) study is a prospective, international cohort study designed to evaluate major complications following non-cardiac surgery. Patients are eligible if they are 45 years or older and receiving either general or regional anaesthesia, requiring at least an overnight stay in hospital. The research ethics committee/institutional review board at each site approved the protocol prior to patient recruitment. For this analysis, only patients from England were included, from 4 sites. Detailed and standardised data are collected before surgery, during the patient's hospital stay until discharge, at 30 days, and at one year after surgery.

Sample

The dataset for this secondary analysis includes only patients from England from both the ISOS and VISION-UK cohorts with mortality outcome data.

Definition of key variables

The paper case report forms (CRF) are shown in appendix 1 and 2. Baseline demographics and clinical data for patients will be summarised and presented for each socioeconomic quintile but not subject to statistical testing. The following baseline characteristics will be compared. Numbers (%) or means (SD) and medians (IQR) will be given for each group as appropriate. Patient characteristics: Age in years, Sex (M/F), Current smoker (Y/N), ASA (I-IV), Comorbidities – Coronary artery disease (Y/N), Diabetes mellitus (Y/N), Metastatic cancer (Y/N), COPD/asthma (Y/N), Heart failure (Y/N), Stroke/TIA (Y/N), pre-operative Haemoglobin level in g/L, pre-operative Creatinine in µmol/L.

Surgical factors: Surgical procedure (Orthopaedic/Breast/Obstetrics and gynaecology/Urology and kidney/Upper gastro-intestinal/Lower gastro-intestinal/Hepatobiliary/Vascular/Head and neck/Plastics and cutaneous/Cardiac/Thoracic/Other), Severity of surgery (Minor/Intermediate/Major), Laparoscopic surgery (Y/N), Cancer surgery (Y/N)

Statistics on relative measures of socioeconomic deprivations are publicly available according to the postcode of the patient's home address. The English Indices of Deprivation 2015 (IMD 2015) based on statistics from 2012 to 2013 will be used. The contribution of each of the seven distinct domains to the overall score is weighted differently, with income and employment deprivation weighted the most, to calculate the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD). Lower-Layer Super Output Areas (LSOAs) are small areas designed to be of a similar population size, with an average of approximately 1,500 residents or 650 households. There are 32,844 Lower-layer Super Output Areas (LSOAs) in England which have been divided according to their deprivation rank into 10 equal groups (deciles). Analysis will be carried out by using quintiles of deprivation for LSOAs ranked by IMD in the combined cohort to account for potential disproportionate grouping in different deciles of IMD in our dataset.

Statistical analysis

The analysis will be conducted in two stages. First, we will examine whether deprivation, classified by IMD 2015, is associated with mortality at 30 days, mortality at 1 year, mortality at 3 years, in-hospital complications at 30 days, and hospital length of stay. Mortality data to

3 years is only available for ISOS and so analysis for this outcome is limited to the ISOS cohort. Secondly, we will identify factors which are strongly associated with deprivation (we anticipate these might be smoking and diabetes) and assess whether adjustment for these factors modify the effect of socioeconomic deprivation on outcomes.

Primary analyses

The primary outcome is mortality. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses will be used to test association between socioeconomic deprivation categorised by IMD quintiles and mortality at 30 days, 1 year, and 3 year. The following additional variables will be entered into the multivariable model: Age, Sex, Current smoker, ASA, any type of Comorbid disease, pre-operative Haemoglobin, pre-operative Creatinine. Results will be presented as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals. Mixed effects logistic regression models using a random intercept for hospital site will be repeated for the primary outcome and included as a sensitivity analysis.

The secondary outcomes include in-hospital complications at 30 days and hospital length of stay. Specific complications include: Surgical site infection, Body cavity infection, Pneumonia, Urinary tract infection, Blood stream infection, Myocardial infarction, Arrhythmia, Pulmonary oedema, Pulmonary embolism, Stroke, Cardiac arrest, Gastrointestinal bleed, Acute kidney injury, Postoperative bleed, Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome, Anastomotic leak. A multivariable logistic regression model will be developed using presence of complication as the endpoint and with the same covariates as the primary model. Adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals will be presented in a table with the incidence of each type of complication expressed as a percentage. Only patients who experience each complication alone will be included to prevent duplication. Sensitivity analyses will be carried out for patients who experience more than one complication and for patients with complications classified as severe. To test association with hospital length of stay and socioeconomic deprivation, a linear regression model will be constructed using the same method as above. The endpoint will be hospital length of stay in days. The independent variables will be same as the primary model. All models based on mixed effects regression modelling with a random intercept for hospital site will be included as a sensitivity analysis as per the primary outcome model.

Secondary analyses

The secondary objective of this paper is to identify how mortality and hospital length of stay vary for different surgical categories when deprivation is taken into account. For this analysis, a Cox proportional hazards model will be constructed with the following covariates: Surgical category, Socioeconomic quintile, hospital length of stay with 30 day mortality as a competing outcome and any other variables which were found to be significantly associated with deprivation in the model above.

Age adjustment

Differences in age was expected between socioeconomic groups and seen when cohort baseline characteristics were examined. As such, all univariable analyses were conducted adjusted for age.

References

1 Abbott TEF, Fowler AJ, Dobbs TD, Harrison EM, Gillies MA, Pearse RM. Frequency of surgical treatment and related hospital procedures in the UK: a national ecological study using hospital episode statistics. *Br J Anaesth* 2017; **119**: 249-57

2 Fowler AJ, Abbott TEF, Prowle J, Pearse RM. Age of patients undergoing surgery. *Br J Surg* 2019; **106**: 1012-8

3 Pearse RM, Moreno RP, Bauer P, et al. Mortality after surgery in Europe: a 7 day cohort study. *Lancet* 2012; **380**: 1059-65

4 Ahmad T, Bouwman RA, Grigoras I, et al. Use of failure-to-rescue to identify international variation in postoperative care in low-, middle- and high-income countries: a 7-day cohort study of elective surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2017; **119**: 258-66

5 International Surgical Outcomes Study g. Global patient outcomes after elective surgery: prospective cohort study in 27 low-, middle- and high-income countries. *Br J Anaesth* 2017; **119**: 553

6 Hart JT. The inverse care law. Lancet 1971; 1: 405-12

7 Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, Watt G, Wyke S, Guthrie B. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet* 2012; **380**: 37-43

8 Romeri E, Baker A, Griffiths C. Mortality by deprivation and cause of death in England and Wales, 1999-2003. *Health Stat Q* 2006: 19-34

9 Statistics OfN. Health State Life Expectancies by Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD): England, 2013 to 2015. London, 2017

10 Newton JN, Briggs AD, Murray CJ, et al. Changes in health in England, with analysis by English regions and areas of deprivation, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2015; **386**: 2257-74

11 Pujades-Rodriguez M, Timmis A, Stogiannis D, et al. Socioeconomic deprivation and the incidence of 12 cardiovascular diseases in 1.9 million women and men: implications for risk prediction and prevention. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e104671

12 Mackenbach JP, Stirbu I, Roskam AJ, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in health in 22 European countries. *N Engl J Med* 2008; **358**: 2468-81

13 Rachet B, Ellis L, Maringe C, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in cancer survival in England after the NHS cancer plan. *Br J Cancer* 2010; **103**: 446-53

14 Lejeune C, Sassi F, Ellis L, et al. Socio-economic disparities in access to treatment and their impact on colorectal cancer survival. *Int J Epidemiol* 2010; **39**: 710-7

15 Forrest LF, Adams J, Rubin G, White M. The role of receipt and timeliness of treatment in socioeconomic inequalities in lung cancer survival: population-based, data-linkage study. *Thorax* 2015; **70**: 138-45

16 Hiscock R, Bauld L, Amos A, Fidler JA, Munafo M. Socioeconomic status and smoking: a review. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2012; **1248**: 107-23

17 Major JM, Doubeni CA, Freedman ND, et al. Neighborhood socioeconomic deprivation and mortality: NIH-AARP diet and health study. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**: e15538

18 Fone DL, Farewell DM, White J, Lyons RA, Dunstan FD. Socioeconomic patterning of excess alcohol consumption and binge drinking: a cross-sectional study of multilevel associations with neighbourhood deprivation. *BMJ Open* 2013; **3**

19 Poulton TE, Salih T, Martin P, Rojas-Garcia A, Raine R, Moonesinghe SR. Systematic review of the influence of socioeconomic deprivation on mortality after colorectal surgery. *BJS* 2018; **105**: 959-70

20 Donkers H, Bekkers R, Massuger L, Galaal K. Systematic review on socioeconomic deprivation and survival in endometrial cancer. *Cancer Causes Control* 2019; **30**: 1013-22 21 Hollowell J, Grocott MP, Hardy R, Haddad FS, Mythen MG, Raine R. Major elective joint replacement surgery: socioeconomic variations in surgical risk, postoperative morbidity and length of stay. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2010; **16**: 529-38

22 Mirza AH, Aylin P, Middleton S, King EV, Nouraei RAR, Repanos C. Impact of social deprivation on the outcome of major head and neck cancer surgery in England: A national analysis. *Head Neck* 2019; **41**: 692-700

23 Belot A, Fowler H, Njagi EN, et al. Association between age, deprivation and specific comorbid conditions and the receipt of major surgery in patients with non-small cell lung cancer in England: A population-based study. *Thorax* 2019; **74**: 51-9

24 Arya S, Binney Z, Khakharia A, et al. Race and Socioeconomic Status Independently Affect Risk of Major Amputation in Peripheral Artery Disease. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2018; **7**

25 Government S. Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD) 2016. Scotland, 2016 26 Agency NISaR. Northern Ireland Multiple Deprivation Measure (NIMDM) 2017. Belfast, 2017

27 Government W. Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation (WIMD) 2014. Wales, 2014

Figures and tables



Figure 1: STROBE flow diagram of study population



Figure 2: Socioeconomic deprivation and surgical outcomes by geography.

	Quintile 1	Quintile 2	Quintile 3	Quintile 4	Quintile 5
Age			•		
Mean (SD)					
Median (IQR)					
Male					
Current smoker					
ASA	1			1	
1					
2					
3					
4					
Co-morbid disease	1			1	
Coronary artery disease					
Diabetes mellitus					
Metastatic cancer					
COPD/asthma					
Heart failure					
Cirrhosis					
Stroke					
Other					
Pre-operative Haemoglobin					
Pre-operative Creatinine					
Surgical procedure					
Orthopaedic					
Breast					
Obstetrics and gynaecology					
Urology and kidney					
Upper gastro-intestinal					
Lower gastro-intestinal					
Hepato-biliary					

Table 1: Baseline characteristics. All numbers shown in n (%) unless stated otherwise.

Vascular									
Head and neck									
Plastics and cutaneous									
Cardiac									
Thoracic									
Other									
Severity of surgery									
Minor									
Intermediate									
Major									

Socioeconomic	Mortality	Univariable		Multivariable	
quintile	(n <i>,</i> %)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value
30 day mortality	/				
Quintile 1					
Quintile 2					
Quintile 3					
Quintile 4					
Quintile 5					
1 year mortality					
Quintile 1					
Quintile 2					
Quintile 3					
Quintile 4					
Quintile 5					
3 year mortality		·	•		
Quintile 1					
Quintile 2					
Quintile 3					
Quintile 4					
Quintile 5					

Table 2: Association between deprivation and mortality



Figure 3: Kaplan-Meier graph of mortality categorised by socioeconomic deprivation

Socioeconomic	In-hospital	Univariat	Univariable			Multivariable			
quintile	complication	Odds	ratio	P value	Odds	ratio	P value		
	(n,%)	(95% CI)			(95% CI)				
Quintile 1									
Quintile 2									
Quintile 3									
Quintile 4									
Quintile 5									

Table 3: Association between deprivation and in-hospital complications

Figure 4: Kaplan-Meier graph of in-hospital complications categorised by socioeconomic deprivation



Socioeconomic	Univariable		Multivariable					
quintile	Length of hospital stay	P value	Length of hospital stay	P value				
	(95% CI)		(95% CI)					
Quintile 1								
Quintile 2								
Quintile 3								
Quintile 4								
Quintile 5								

Table 4: Association between deprivation and length of hospital stay

Surgical procedure	Incidence of	In-hospital mortality		In-hospital complication	
	complication	Unadjusted odds ratio	Adjusted* odds ratio	Unadjusted odds ratio	Adjusted* odds ratio
	(n <i>,</i> %)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
Orthopaedic					
Breast					
Obstetrics and gynaecology					
Urology and kidney					
Upper gastro-intestinal					
Lower gastro-intestinal					
Hepato-biliary					
Vascular					
Head and neck					
Plastics and cutaneous					
Cardiac					
Thoracic					
Other					

 Table 5: Association between surgical outcomes in different surgical categories (adjusted for deprivation)

*adjusted for socioeconomic quintiles

Appendix 1 CRF for ISOS

Patient name:	Date of birth: dd/mm/yyyy
International Surgio	cal Outcomes Study Case Record Form v2.3 use with Outcomes definitions guide
	Gender M F Current smoker Y N
Chronic Disease (tick all that o	apply):
Coronary Artery Disease	Heart Failure
Diabetes Mellitus	Cirrhosis
Metastatic cancer	Stroke
COPD / Asthma	Other
Most recent blood results (no	more than 28 days before surgery):
Haamadabin	
Sodium mm	ol/L Creatinine µmol/L
Anaesthesia induction time &	date: H H M M D D 0 M 2 0 1 4
Anaesthetic technique (tick g	
General Spin	al Epidural Sedation / Local
Surgical procedure category (single best answer):
Orthopaedic	Breast
Obstetrics & Gynaecology	🔲 Urology & Kidney
Upper gastro-intestinal	Lower gastro-intestinal
Hepato-biliary	Vascular
Head and neck	Plastics / Cutaneous
Cardiac	Thoracic (lung & other)
Thoracic (gut)	Other
Severity of surgery	Minor Intermediate Major
Laparoscopic surgery	□ Y □ N
Cancer surgery	□ Y □ N
Surgical checklist used (eg WH	IO checklist) 🗌 Y 🗌 N
Critical care immediately afte	r surgery 🔲 Y 🗌 N
Data entry staff use only	
ISOS patient Identifier:	Terrative local Datem Tak

19

Patient name:

Date of birth: dd/mm/yyyy

Outcome after surgery								
Infection								
Superficial surgical site	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None				
Deep surgical site	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None				
Body cavity	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Pneumonia	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Urinary tract	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Bloodstream	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Cardiovascular								
Myocardial infarction	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Arrhythmia	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None				
Pulmonary oedema	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Pulmonary embolism	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None				
Stroke	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Cardiac arrest			Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Other								
Gastro-intestinal bleed	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Acute kidney injury	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Post-operative bleed		Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
ARDS	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Anastomotic leak	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Other	Mild 🗌	Moderate 🗌	Severe 🗌	None 🗌				
Treatment for post-operat	ive complicat	ions:						
Drug therapy, blood trans	sfusion or par	enteral nutrition	🗌 Y	N				
Surgical or radiological pr	ocedure		🗌 Y	N				
Critical care admission			Π Υ	□ N				
Hours in Post-Anaesthetic	Care Unit afte	er surgerv	b b					
Days in critical care after s	urgery							
Days in hospital after surge	ery .		d d					
Status at 30 days after sure	gery	☐ Alive		Dead				
Data entry staff use only	<u></u>							
Data entry stan use only			ISC	DS 🌑				
ISOS patient Identifier:			Remaining forced o	ACCESS THAT				

Appendix 2 CRF for VISION

VISION ELIGIBILITY AND PRE-OPERATIVE ASSESSMENT FORM	1.1
VISION #019 Plate #001 Visit #000	
PATIENT Date form Date form ID: Centre No. Patient No. INITIALS: F M L Date form Q O month date	y
 Patient is ≥ 45 years of age, has had noncardiac surgery requiring overnight hospital	
2. Patient consents prior to or within the first 24hrs after surgery to participate in the VISION study including the 30 day and 1 year followup.	
3. Patient previously enrolled in VISION.	
Answers must be "yes" to question 1 & 2 and "no" to question 3 to be eligible for enrollment.	
PREOPERATIVE ASSESSMENT (see back for definitions)	
1. Date of Birth 2. Male 3. Weight kg 5. Ethnicity: 5. Ethnicity: Image: gray wear month day 4. Height in in	
6. Is the patient living in a nursing home? INO Yes 7. Patient requires assistance with ADL NO Yes	
8. Prior to hospitalization how many hours per day on average was patient bedridden?	
9. History of tobacco use? Type of tobacco use (check all that apply) No Yes No Yes No Yes 10. Currently in atrial fibrillation 2 No Yes	pe Yes
12. History of congestive beat failure? \Box No \Box Vec. 13. History of coronary attendicesso? \Box No \Box Vec.	Ш
12. History of congestive mean failure $r \equiv 100 \equiv 16s = 13$. History of coronary aftery disease $r \equiv 100 \equiv 14s$	
15. History of cardiac catheterization/revascularization? $a. \le 12$ months prior to surgery? $b. \ge $	
D. > 12 months prior to surgery? No Yes date of most recent procedure □ Cardiac Cath only □ No □ □ → □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	
16. History of cardiac arrest? No Yes	
18. History of DVT/PE ? year 100 11 19. History of cerebral vascular event? No Yes date of most recent event date of most recent event of the period of th	,troke ΓΙΑ
20. History of obstructive sleep apnea? No Yes 21. History of peripheral vascular disease? No Ye	s
22. History of hypertension? INO Yes 23. History of peptic ulcer disease in the previous 6 months? No	Yes
24. History of COPD ? No Yes 25. History of diabetes? No Yes	
26. Surgery performed:	s
27. For FEMALEs, number of pregnancies Pregnancies with Pre-Eclampsia	
Person completing	2009

VISION	PREOPERATIVE / HAEMODYNAMICS	FORM 1.2							
VISI	ON #019 Plate #002 Visit #000								
PATIENT	Centre No. Patient No. PATIENT F M L Date form 20 year	month day							
PREOPERATI	VE ASSESSMENT (continued)								
1. Does the pa	titient have "active" cancer? No Yes 4. Is the patient's surgery for an acute f	fracture?							
 Does patier History of cl 	t have metastatic disease? I No I Yes date of fracture	type of Code #							
6 Is patient or	udialysis? □ No □ Yes □ No □ Yes								
7. Preoperativ	re laboratory tests: data	y (see back)							
a. NT-proBN	Pb. Hemoglobin level ↓ ↓ ↓ g/L	t measured							
c. Most rece		lab							
		finger-stick							
	IV dextrose/TPN running or active enteral L feeds at time of glucose measurement?								
1. Record pre-c	p vital signs measurements that are closest and prior to anesthesia induction	# hrs since p.o. or							
a. Blood pre	ssure systolic / diastolic mmHg b. Heart rate bpm								
2. Systolic Bloo	d Pressure < 100 mmHg 3. Systolic Blood Pressure > 160 r	nmHg							
Time	Lowest Duration (min) Duration (min) Rx code Highest Duration (min) value 161 - 199 mmHa	Duration (min)							
Intraop									
PACU []									
post PACU									
POD 1									
POD 2									
POD4to	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L								
discharge 🛄 🛄	Hypotensive Event								
4. Heart Rate	< 55 bpm 5. Heart Rate > 100 bpm 5. Heart Rate > 100 bpm	montn day							
Time Period No Yes	Value <45 bpm 45 - 54 bpm see back No Yes value 101 - 140 bpm	> 140 bpm							
Intraop									
PACU									
OR day post PACU									
POD1									
POD 2									
POD 3 to discharge	Start date of Longest Bradycardia Event								
6. Respiratory Rate < 10/min	Bradycardia Event Bradycardia Event								
Person comple form (please p	Person completing								

VISION		OPEF	RATIVE	ASSESSMENT			FOR	M 2.1
VISION #019		Plate #0	05	Visit	 #001			
	Detient N			Date form	20		month	davi
PREOPERATIVE CARDIA		TIONS Indic	ate any us	se during the following	periods prior	" r to surge	ry:	uay
	< 24 hrs	> 24 hrs	to 7 days	<u>j</u>	 No	24 hrs D Yes	>24 hrs No	to 7 days Yes
	No Ye	es No	Yes	11. COX-2 Inhibitor]		
1. Aspirin				12. NSAID/ non-COX-2 Inhibit	tor 🗌] 🗆		
2. Insulin 3. Oral diabatic drug				13. Alpha 2 agonist				
4 Long-acting nitrate				14. Rate Controlling (ссв 🗆			
5 Oral Anticoagulant				15. Dihydropyridine C	СВ Г	1 🗆	П	
6. ACEI/ARB				16 Prophylactic subc	· _		Ц	
7. Beta-blocker				antithrombotic age	, ent 🗌			
8. Non-statin choleste-				17. Therapeutic subc antithrombotic age	orIV ent [1 []	П	
9. Statin				18. Nicotine Replace	ment	- — I П		
10. Ticlopidine or Plavix				19. Non-nicotine smo	king			
		ATIVE ASSI	ESSMEN	T T t anniv) (see back fo		=) =)	_	_
Vascular Surgery			Thoracic	Surgery	Maior	orthoped	lic Surgery	
thoracic aorta recons	struction	Ĺ	_ pneum	nonectomy	⊡ maj	or hip or	pelvic surge	ry
aorto-iliac reconstruc	tion	Γ	lobect	omy	inte	rnal fixati	on of femur	
peripheral vascular re without aortic cross-c	econstructio	on [other t	horacic surgery	🗌 kne	e arthrop	lasty	
extracranial cerebrov	ascular sur	gery I	Major Uro	logy or Gynecology	abo	ove knee a	amputation	
EVAR			viscera	l resection		er leg am	iputation	
General Surgery			cytored	luctive surgery	Major	Neurosui niotomy	rgery	
Complex visceral reserved partial or total collection	ection omy or	L	hystere	ectomy	⊡ cia ∏ ma	ior snine (surgery	
stomach surgery			radical	nysterectomy	Other	Surgerie	s	
other intra-abdominal major head and neck	I surgery	or E		ethral prostatectomy	Iow	risk surg	eries	
non-thyroid tumor	T =			e surgery reported as r	minimally in	asiva?		
(check all that apply)	LENDOSCOP year	month	day	is surgery reported as r	year	103110	month	day
4. Date of hospital admission				5. Date of surgery				
6. Time of surgery			7.	Did patient receive any	of the follo	owing pos	st surgery?	
Start :	End	:				No Yes	if yes	ays
24 hr clock 8. Type of anaesthetic (ch	neck all tha	24 hr clock It apply)		a. Patient Controlled	Analgesia			
general				b. Continuous Nerve	Block		→	
spinal				c. Epidural Opioid Ar	nalgesia		→	
	umbar	Local anaesth	etic 🔲 No	d. Epidural Local An	algesia			
nitrous oxide	noracic -	in epidural	L Yes	e. Topical/IM/IV/SC o	opioids	пп	- >	
form (please print)	ast Name	First I	nitial	Investigator's Name	VERSION 7	Investiga 7- June 10, 20	tor's Signati	ure

	VISION			C	DISCHA	RGE	ASSESSMENT			FOR	<u>M 3.</u> 1
	VISION #	#019		Pla	te #010)	Visit #00	 2			
	PATIENT Dentro	e No.	Patient	No.	PATIEN INITIAI	NT LS:	F M L Completed	2 0 year		nth	day
Н	IOSPITAL DISCHA	ARGE (Se	ee back f	or explan	nation)		Was nationt No Ves	3. Num	ber of nights	<u> </u>	<u>+ 1</u>
1	. Date of discharge	20 ye	ear	month	n da	ly	transferred to	in IC Comple	U/CCU te Patient Tra	nsfer Fo	rm 8.1
PC	STOPERATIVE T	ROPON	IIN T <i>or</i>	TROPO	NIN T h	s RES	ULTS (see back 🚺) specify	$< \Box$	ng/L TnT hs	s (5th gei	neration)
		<u> </u>	Year	Monti	h Da	ay	24 hour clock check if		ug/L TnT	(4th ge	neration)
1.6	- 12 hours post-op)							-		
2. C	On Day 1 post-op								-		
3. C	On Day 2 post-op								-		
4. C	On Day 3 post-op								-		
N	IEDICATIONS IN H	IOSPIT/	۱L	-				Any us	e during	At dias	hargo
		A fi	ny use du rst 3 davs	ring post op	At discha	arge		No	uays post op Yes	No No	Yes
			No Y	/es	No	res	11. COX-2 Inhibitor				
,							non-COX-2 Inhibitor				
2	 . Insum B. Oral diabetic drug 	a					13. Alpha 2 agonist				
	or other injectable	es to					- 14. Rate Controlling CCB				
	5 Oral Anticoacular	nt					15 Dihvdropyridine CCB		П		
:											
-	7 Beta-blocker						antithrombotic agent				
8	3. Non-statin choles	ste-				<u> </u>	17. Therapeutic subc or IV		Г		
	rol lowering drug						antitriombotic agent				
)	9. Statin						19 Non-nicotine smoking				
1(J. TICIOPIDINE OF Pla	avix					cessation drugs			\Box	
C	LINICAL EVENTS	DURIN	G HOSPI	TALIZAT			20. Naloxone (see back)			ata Dorro i	Number
ir	iclude events already	/ reported	on 30 Da	y Follow u	ıp Form 4	.1)	N	lo Yes	IT Yes, compl	ete Report	R Number (s)
1.	Death		1 If Yes, co	mplete Rep	oort Numbe	er (s)	8. Sepsis/Infection],[0]	0
2	Myocardial		0 2		0 2		9. Pneumonia			」" ╹].「₄⊺	
2.	Infarction			ייייייע. דרד.ר			atrial fibrillation			」' └╹	U
з.	cardiac arrest		03	ا ^ن لیا نا	03		11. Congestive heart failure		11	; 1	1
4.	Stroke		04	; ;	04		12. Cardiac catheterization		12	; 1	2
5.	Leg or arm DVT/PE		0 5	;	0 5		13. PCI		13	; 1	3
6.	Bleeding		06	;	06		14. CABG		14	; 1	4
7	. New acute renal failure (requiring dialysis)		0 7	;	0 7		15. Amputation (see back)		15	; 1	5
F f	Person completing _ orm (please print)	L	ast Name	I	First Initi	al	Investigator's Name	ERSION 7 - /	nvestigator's	Signatu	ire

VISION	30 DAY FOLLOW-UP	FORM 4.1	
VISION #019	ate #020		
PATIENT Centre No.	PATIENT Date form 20 INITIALS: F M L completed year	month day	
1. 30 day post-op date 20			
2. Was the 30 day follow up completed? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No 🔶 Reason:			
3. Is patient living in a nursing home?	No Yes A Highest serum creatinine le) days postop, complete:	
5. Has the patient been rehospitalized since	e discharge?	umol/L not measured	
No Yes Was admission for vasc (see definition on back)	month day b. Lowest Hemoglobin level	C. RBCs transfused	
MEDICATIONS AT 30 DAY FOLLOW UP		No Yes	
No Yes 7.1	Beta-blocker	trolling CCB	
	Non-statin cholesterol I I5. Dihydrop owering drug	/ridine CCB	
3. Oral diabetic drugs \square \square \square 10^{-10}	Statin I 16. Prophylae Geleniding or Blowing I antithrom	rtic subc botic agent □ □	
4. Long-acting nitrate	COX-2 Inhibitor Include Includ	itic subc or IV	
5. Oral Anticoagulant 📋 📋 12. I	NSAID/ 18. Nicotine I	Replacement	
6. ACEI/ARB	non-COX-2 Inhibitor 19. Non-nico Naba 2 agoniet 19. Son-nico		
□ No □ Yes - Avg # per day tobacco produ	o use Cligarettes Beedles Paan apply) Cigars Pipes Snuff of all Date started after surgery cts year month day	Chewing tobacco Sheesha/water pipe	
CLINICAL EVENTS UP TO 30 DAYS AFTER SURGERY			
No Yes If Yes, complete F 1. Death Image: Death complete F	eport Number (s) No Yes 8. Sepsis/Infection	If Yes, complete Report Number (s)	
		09;09	
2. Infarction	10. New clinically important		
cardiac arrest	0 3 atrial fibrillation		
4. Stroke	0 4 11. Congestive heart failure		
5. Leg or arm \Box \Box 0 5;	0 5 12. Cardiac catheterization		
6. Bleeding	0 6 13. PCI		
7. New acute 07 ;	0 7 14. CABG	14;14	
(requiring dialysis)	15. Amputation	15;15	
Person completing form (please print) Last Name	First Initial Investigator's Name II VERSION 5 -F	1vestigator's Signature eb 24, 2009	

VISION	ONE YEAR FOLLOW-UP	FORM 5.1	
VISION #019	I I	I	
PATIENT ID: Centre No.	Patient No. PATIENT F M L Date form year mon	ith day	
1. 1 year post-operative c			
2. Was the 1 year follow-	year month day up completed? ☐ Yes ☐ No — ► Reason:		
3. Is patient living in a nursing home? Yes No			
4. Has the patient been rehospitalized between the 30 day and 1 year follow-up?			
□ No □ Yes	→ Date year month day → # days in hospital		
► If Yes, was admission for vascular reasons? □ No □ Yes			
MEDICATIONS AT ONE Y	EAR FOLLOW UP No Yes Ves 7 Data blacker No Yes 14. Rate Controlling CCB	No Yes	
1. Aspirin	Seta-blocker S	пп	
2. Insulin	Inversion of the second sec		
or other injectable	I II. Ticlopidine or Plavix II II. Therapeutic subc or N	, ∐ ∐	
4. Long-acting nitrate	11. COX-2 Inhibitor I antithrombotic agent		
5. Oral Anticoagulant	12. NSAID/ non-COX-2 Inhibitor I 18. Nicotine Replacement		
0. ACEI/ARD	19. Non-nicotine smoking 13. Alpha 2 agonist		
	Type of tobacco use (check all that apply) Cigarettes Beedies Paan Chewing Cigars Pipes Snuff Sheesh Avg # per day of all tobacco products Image: Cigars Date started after surgery	g tobacco a/water pipe	
CLINICAL EVENTS BETV	VEEN 30 DAYS AND ONE YEAR FOLLOW-UP		
1. Death	If Yes, complete Report Number (s) 6. Is patient on dialysis? No Yes 0 1 0];[1]1]	
2. Myocardial]:]:] 1 2]	
3. Non-fatal	8. Cardiac catheterization		
		_, 3	
4. Stroke		; 1 4	
5. Leg or arm DVT/PE	Vo Ver ver month day	; 1 5	
12. New diagnosis of diabe	tes since surgery		
13. New diagnosis of cance	er since surgery		
14. Diagnosis of recurrent			
15. New diagnosis of deme	antia since surgery		
16. Incisional site pain	Comple	te Chronic Pain 2	
Person completing form (please print) Las Version 9: 2012 Jun 01	st Name First Initial VERSION 6 -October 9, 2009 Name Signature		