

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Tapia-Paniagua, Silvana T., Maria del C. Balebona, Joana P. Firmino, Carmen Rodríguez, Javier Polo, Miguel A. Moriñigo, and Enric Gisbert. 2020. "The Effect Of Spray-Dried Porcine Plasma On Gilthead Seabream (Sparus Aurata) Intestinal Microbiota". Aquaculture Nutrition. doi:10.1111/anu.13039. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions http://www.wileyauthor.com/self-archiving.

Document downloaded from:



1	The effect of spray-dried porcine plasma on gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata) intestinal
2	microbiota
3	
4	S.T. Tapia-Paniagua <sup>a</sup> , M.C. Balebona <sup>a</sup> , J. Firmino <sup>b</sup> , C. Rodríguez <sup>c</sup> , J. Polo <sup>c</sup> , M.A. Moriñigo <sup>a</sup> , E.
5	Gisbert <sup>b, *</sup>
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	<sup>a</sup> Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Malaga. Campus Teatinos
11	s/n. 20971 Malaga, Spain.
12	<sup>b</sup> Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentaries, Centre de Sant Carles de la Ràpita (IRTA-
13	SCR), Crta. Poble Nou km 5.5, 43540 Sant Carles de la Ràpita, Spain.
14	° APC Europe SL, Avda. Sant Julià 246-258, Pol. Industrial El Congost, 08403 Granollers,
15	Spain.
16	
17	
18	
19	* Corresponding author.
20	E-mail address: enric.gisbert@irta.cat
21	
22	

# 23 Abstract

24	The effect of spray-dried porcine plasma (SDPP) on the intestinal histological organization
25	and autochthonous microbiota composition was evaluated in Sparus aurata. Fish were fed
26	a basal diet (51% protein, 17% fat, 20.6 MJ/kg gross energy) and a diet containing 3% SDPP
27	for 95 days (initial body weight, BW = $9.5 \pm 0.2$ g, mean $\pm$ SD). The inclusion of SDPP
28	promoted growth ( $P$ < 0.05), being fish fed the SDPP diet 6.2% (BW = 88.2 ± 1.6g) heavier
29	than the control (BW = 82.7 $\pm$ 3.2g). SDPP increased the density of intestinal goblet cells (P
30	< 0.05), whereas no differences in villi height were found ( $P > 0.05$ ) between both groups.
31	Intestinal microbiota was dominated by Proteobacteria (>85%) and Firmicutes (5-12%),
32	whereas Bacteroidetes never represented more than 1.5%. $\gamma$ -Proteobacteria, and Bacilli
33	and Clostridia were the predominant classes. The short administration of SDPP (20 days)
34	resulted in changes in microbiota diversity and richness associated to an increase in the
35	sequences of the genus Lactobacillus and to a decrease in the genus Vibrio, whereas these
36	changes were reverted at 95 days. Intestinal goblet cell density was not correlated to
37	microbiota diversity and richness changes rather than to the immunostimulatory effect of
38	the SDPP.

Keywords: goblet cell; functional feed; intestinal microbiota; seabream; spray-dried
plasma.

41

# 42 1. Introduction

The vertebrate intestine harbours a coevolved consortium of microbes that play critical
roles in the development and health of this important organ. Over the past decade,
numerous studies have documented high levels of microbial diversity in vertebrate
intestinal ecosystems (O'Hara & Shanahan, 2006), which is especially critical for host
nutrition, immunity, health, disease prevention, development, among others. (Bäckhed,

2011). In the particular case of fish, the intestinal microbial population has been extensively
studied compared with the skin and gill microbiota (Romero, Ringø & Merrifield, 2014), and
its effects on digestion, metabolism, immunity and various diseases have been confirmed
(Nayak, 2010; Ganguly, Paul & Mukhopadhayay, 2010; Llewellyn, Boutin, Hoseinifar &
Derome, 2014; Montalban-Arques, De Schryver, Bossier, Gorkiewicz, Mulero, Gatlin &
Galindo-Villegas, 2015).

54 The intestinal microbiota of fish, as is the case of mammals, is classified as 55 autochthonous or allochthonous bacteria (see review in Ringø, Zhou, Gonzalez Vecino, 56 Wadsworth, Romero et al., 2016). The autochthonous bacteria are those able to colonize 57 the host's intestinal epithelial surface or are associated with the microvilli, while the 58 allochthonous bacteria are transient, associated with food particles or present in the 59 lumen. As Montalban-Arques et al. (2015) reviewed multiple studies have shown that by 60 virtue of their catalytic activity, the microorganisms in any vertebrate play a critical role in 61 shaping the microbiota of the intestine, its function, immune regulation, and host health. 62 Several studies using different vertebrate models like chickens, swine, mice, humans, and 63 fish have shown the possibility of applying dietary strategies to modulate the commensal 64 gastro-intestinal microbiota, which is of special importance with regard to the development 65 of "functional feeds" (Laparra & Sanz, 2010; Xu & Knight, 2015; Gonçalves & Gallardo-66 Escárate, 2017; Dawood, Koshio & Esteban, 2018). Thus, the term "functional feeds" is 67 used to describe a particular type of food/feed that has added benefits that will improve 68 both health status and growth promoting performance of the animals, which ingest them, 69 mainly by supplying additional compounds above and beyond the basic nutritional 70 requirements for animal growth alone (Tacchi, Bickerdike, Douglas, Secombes & Martin, 71 2011). In addition to the impact of the diet on intestinal microbiota and its potential 72 beneficial effects on the organism, it is also of importance to evaluate how diet can 73 modulate intestinal microbiota as the gastrointestinal tract is one of the major ports of 74 entry for some pathogens (Romero et al., 2014; Montalban-Arques et al., 2015; Ringø et al.

75 2016). Thus, the manipulation of the host microbiota may represent a new possibility in the
76 prevention or management of pathological and physiological disorders (Pérez et al., 2010).

77 Spray-dried blood and plasma proteins are recognized as safe, high-quality feed 78 ingredients for farmed animals, including swine, cattle, poultry (Campbell, Polo, Russell & 79 Crenshaw, 2003; Ferreira, Barbosa, Tokach & Santos, 2009; Frugé, Bidner & Southern, 2009; 80 Henn, Bockor, Vieira, Ribeiro, Kessler, Albino, Rostagno, Crenshaw, Campbell & Rangel, 81 2013) and fish (Johnson & Summerfelt, 2000; Gisbert, Skalli, Campbell, Solovyev, Rodríguez, 82 Dias & Polo, 2015). Spray-dried plasma from porcine (SDPP) has an excellent amino acid 83 profile and close to 99% digestibility (Bureau, Harris & Cho, 1999) and, when included in 84 fish diets, resulted in an improvement of somatic growth and feed efficiency parameters 85 (Campbell et al., 2010; Gisbert et al., 2015). In addition, Gisbert et al. (2015) also reported 86 that SDPP was able to modulate the activity of the antioxidative defenses and increased the 87 density of goblet cells in the intestine, as well as enhanced the non-specific immune 88 response in the serum of gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata) juveniles. These results may be 89 partially attributed to the nutritional profile of SDPP, but also to its content in growth 90 factors, immunoglobulins and bioactive peptides (Campbell et al., 2010; Gao, Jiang, Lin, 91 Zheng, Zhou & Chen, 2011; Perez-Bosque, Polo & Torrellardona, 2016). As Dawood et al. 92 (2017) reviewed, functional feed could activate the innate immune system of aquatic 93 animals in two ways, by directly stimulating the innate immune system, or by enhancing the 94 growth of commensal microbiota as different feed ingredients and additives (e.g. 95 phytobotics, prebiotics, probiotics, immunostimulants) have been reported to modulate 96 intestinal microbiota in fish. Considering the above-mentioned SDPP properties, authors 97 decided to evaluate whether the reported positive effects of this ingredient in gilthead 98 seabream (Gisbert et al., 2015) were due to the modulation of the immune function of fish 99 and/or its microbiota. There is limited knowledge of the impact of SDP on farmed animals. 100 In pigs, the dietary inclusion of SDPP resulted in a decrease of pathogenic bacteria, as well 101 as an increase in cellulose degraders and butyric acid-producers, which were reported to

have positive impacts on nutrient digestion and intestinal health (Tran, Anderson, Bundy,
Fernando, Miller & Burkey, 2018). Thus, the objective of this study was to describe and
evaluate changes in microbiota richness and diversity at the genus level in *S. aurata*juveniles fed 3% SDPP at 20- and 95-days post diet administration in order to better
characterize the effect of SDP on the organism.

107

### 108 2. Material and methods

109 2.1 Diets

110 A control diet (Diet C) was formulated to contain 51% crude protein, 17% crude fat and 20.6 111 MJ/kg gross energy and fulfill the nutritional requirements of juvenile gilthead seabream. 112 Based on this basal formulation, another diet named Diet SDPP was manufactured where 113 fishmeal (FM) was substituted by 3% SDPP (Appetein GS, APC Europe SL, Granollers, Spain) 114 at the expense of fishmeal LT70. Both diets were isoproteic, isolipidic and isoenergetic 115 (Table 1). Diets were manufactured by Sparos Lda (Portugal). Main ingredients were ground 116 (below 250 µm) in a micropulverizer hammer mill (Hosokawa Micron). Powder ingredients 117 and oils were then mixed according to the target formulation in a paddle mixer (RM90; 118 Mainca). All diets were manufactured by temperature-controlled extrusion (pellet sizes: 0.8 119 and 1.5 mm) by means of a low-shear extruder (P55; Italplast). Upon extrusion, all feed 120 batches were dried in a convection oven (OP 750-UF; LTE Scientific) for 4 h at 45 °C. 121 Samples of each diet were analyzed for proximate composition analysis (Table 1). 122 123 2.2 Fish, experimental design and general procedures

124 Gilthead seabream fry (average body size = 9.5 g) were obtained from a commercial

125 hatchery (Piscimar, Andromeda Group, Burriana, Spain) and transported by road to IRTA-

126 Sant Carles de la Ràpita research facilities (Sant Carles de la Ràpita, Spain), where they were

127 acclimated in 2 2,000-L tanks for two weeks. After their acclimation, all fish were anesthetized (tricaine methanesulfonate [MS-222], 150 mg/L) and individually weighed for 128 129 initial body weight (BW) and standard length (SL) to the nearest 0.1 g and 1 mm, 130 respectively, and then distributed into 8 500-L cyclindroconical tanks at a density of 50 fish 131 per tank (4 tanks/replicates per diet). Fish (BW =  $10.6 \pm 0.1$  g, n = 400, mean  $\pm$  standard 132 deviation, SD) were fed for 95 days with both experimental diets by means of automatic 133 feeders (ARVO-TEC T Drum 2000; Arvotec, Huutokosk, Finland) at the rate of 2.5% of the 134 stocked biomass, which approached apparent satiation. Feed ration evenly distributed in 7 135 meals per day from 8 to 18 h. Fish were regularly sampled at a monthly basis in order to 136 evaluate their growth in BW and adjust the feeding ratio.

137 During the trial, water temperature and pH (pH meter 507; Crison Instruments, 138 Barcelona, Spain), salinity (MASTER-20T; ATAGO Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and dissolved 139 oxygen (OXI330; Crison Instruments) were  $22.1 \pm 0.4$  °C,  $7.0 \pm 0.01$ , 36 mg/L, and  $7.2 \pm 0.3$ 140 mg/L (mean ± SD), respectively. Water flow rate in experimental tanks was maintained at approximately 9.0-10.1 L/min via a recirculation system (IRTAmar<sup>™</sup>; IRTA, Barcelona, Spain) 141 142 that maintained adequate water quality (total ammonia and nitrite were ≤0.15 and 0.6 143 mg/L, respectively) through UV, biological, and mechanical filtration. Photoperiod followed 144 natural changes according to the season of the year (November to February; 40°37'41" N).

After 20 and 95 days of diet administration, fish were anaesthetized as previously described and their BW and SL measured as indicated. Before sampling, fish were fasted for 18 h. Six fish per tank were sacrificed with an overdose of the same anesthetic and their whole intestine were aseptically removed with a scalpel. A small piece of the anterior intestine (0.5 cm) was fixed in buffered formaldehyde (pH 7.2) and the rest of the intestine stored separately at -20 °C until further microbiological analysis.

151 All animal experimental procedures were conducted in compliance with the 152 experimental research protocol approved by the Committee of Ethics and Animal

Experimentation of the Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries and in accordance
with the Guidelines of the European Union Council (86/609/EU) for the use of laboratory
animals.

156

157 2.3 Histological analysis

158 Fixed anterior-mid intestine sections were dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol, cleared 159 with xylene, embedded in paraffin, and cut in serial sections (3  $\mu$ m thick). Transverse 160 sections were stained with hematoxylin-eosin, observed with a light microscope (Leica DM 161 LB; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) and photographed (Olympus DP70 Digital 162 Camera; Olympus Imaging Europa GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). Digital images (600 dpi) 163 were processed and analyzed using an image analysis software package (ANALYSIS; Soft 164 Imaging Systems GmbH, Münster, Germany). Measurements of total goblet cell number 165 (full and empty) and villi height were based on the analysis of 8 to 10 randomly chosen 166 fields from the intestinal mucosa of 20 fish per dietary group (Gisbert, Castillo, Skalli, 167 Andree & Badiola, 2013). Goblet cell counts in intestinal villi were expressed over a contour 168 length of 100 µm, whereas villi height was measured as indicated in Figure 1. 169 170 2.4 Microbiota analysis

171 Individual digestive tracts of gilthead seabream were washed several times in sterile PBS

172 (pH 7.2); the mucus was scraped off with a sterilized scalpel and collected in sterile 1.5-mL

tubes. Samples were centrifuged at 1,000 g for 5 min. Four samples from the same

174 experimental group, one from each tank, were pooled and total DNA was extracted from

each pool (Hao, Wu, Li, Yu, Wang & Ling, 2017). The samples were mixed with 300 mL of re-

176 suspension buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl, 0.01 M NaCl, 0.1 M EDTA, pH 8) and 300 mL of lysis

177 buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl, 0.1 M EDTA, 0.01 M NaCl, 1% SDS, pH 8.0), gently inverting the tube

178 to mix thoroughly. Afterwards, the samples were treated with 32 mL NaCl 6 M and 179 proteinase K (150 mg/mL) at 55 °C for 2 h. Next, we followed with RNAase A treatment (10 180 mg/mL) at 37 °C for 1 h. Next, 6 M NaCl was added to reach a final concentration of 1.5 M. 181 The solution was chilled on ice for 10 min followed by a new centrifugation  $(21,000 \times g, 3)$ 182 min). The clear supernatant containing genomic DNA was transferred to another tube 183 containing an equal volume of isopropanol. The tubes were inverted gently several times. 184 The DNA was pelleted by centrifugation  $(21,000 \times q, 3 \text{ min})$ . The DNA pellet was then 185 washed in 70% ethanol, and the dried DNA pellet was resuspended in 100 mL of TE buffer 186 (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) and stored at 4 ºC (Tapia-Paniagua, Chabrillón, Díaz-187 Rosales, de la Banda, Lobo, Balebona & Moriñigo, 2010). 188 DNA samples of fish receiving the same Diet (C and SDPP) and sampled at same time (20 189 and 95 days) were pooled to simplify the microbe analysis using next generation 190 sequencing technique and subsequent microbiota analysis. Each pool represented a 191 particular digestive of each treatment and time sampled and this strategy was chosen in 192 order to reduce sample dispersion (Hao et al., 2017). Libraries were constructed by 193 Chunlab, Inc., (Seoul, South Korea) using the Illumina MiSeq Platform. The primers used 194 were 341F CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG and 805R ACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC (ChunLab), 195 targeting V3-V4 regions of 16 S rRNA gene. . Sequences were analyzed using 196 CLcommunity<sup>™</sup> software (ChunLab). Sequences of a length less than 200 nt were excluded 197 from the analysis. The data were filtered for noisy sequences, checked for the presence of 198 chimeras, and binned into OTUs (Peiffer et al., 2013) at the 97% sequence similarity were 199 compared using the Greengene database. Rarefaction curves were obtained by plotting the 200 number of observed OTUs against the number of sequences. The number of sequences in 201 all samples was normalized at 60,000. A representative sequence of each OTU was 202 taxonomically classified. In addition, Shannon-Wiener and Chao1 indexes were calculated 203 to determine the diversity and richness, respectively. To analyze the distribution of OTUs at 204 phylum, class, family and genus level with relative abundances >1% of the total reads across

all samples, a heatmap was also constructed using ascendant clustering based on Euclidian
distances. The data matrix's rows and columns were then permuted according to
corresponding clusterings, which brought similar columns closer to each other and similar
lines closer to each other. The heatmap reflected the data in the permuted matrix (data
values were replaced by corresponding color intensities) using XLSTAT software version
2019 (Addinsoft, Spain).

211

#### 212 2.5 Statistical analysis

213 The mean values of BW and SL were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The 214 calculation was based on individual BW and SL values of all the fish belonging to the same 215 treatment, and consequently, the SD describes the dispersion of the individual values. The 216 mean values of goblet cell density and villi height were expressed as mean ± standard error 217 of the mean (SEM). These two histological parameters were calculated using the values of 218 the replicates (n = 4 for each treatment), and the SEM quantifies the error in calculating the 219 mean of the population from the tank values. Mean values were compared by means of a t-220 test, and the level of significance set at P < 0.05.

221

## 222 3. Results

- 223 Results in terms of somatic growth of *S. aurata* juveniles fed the experimental diets are
- shown in Table 2. At 20 days after diet administration, both experimental groups were
- similar in terms of BW and SL (P > 0.05), whereas at the end of the study fish fed the SDPP
- diet were 6.2% heavier than those of the control diet (P < 0.05). No differences in SL were

observed at the end of the trial (P > 0.05).

Histological sections revealed that the organization of the intestinal mucosa in seabream fed both diets was normal (Figure 1). The inclusion of the SDPP in feeds for

seabream did not affect the length of intestinal villi at either of both sampling times, but it
significantly increased the density of intestinal goblet cells (P < 0.05). Fish fed the SDPP diet</li>
showed a higher number of goblet cells than the control group at both sampling dates
(Table 3).

234 The inclusion of SDPP in the diet had a higher effect on the autochthonous 235 intestinal microbiota at 20 days (diet SDPP-20d) after feeding when compared to the group 236 C-20d. In particular, SDPP resulted in a reduction of the richness of the microbial 237 community (Chao 1 index = 498.56) that was in accordance with a lower number of families 238 and genera detected found in this group (170 and 344, respectively) (Table 4). On the 239 contrary, the value of Shannon-Wiener index at 20 days was not very different to the value 240 calculated for the control group (3.17 vs. 2.77). However, the above-mentioned effect on 241 microbiota richness and evenness was not observed after 95 days of feed administration 242 (diet SDPP-95d), since samples from this experimental group showed similar values of 243 Chao1 and Shannon-Wiener indexes to those calculated for the C-95d group (547.64 vs. 244 507.51 and 2.71 vs. 2.76, respectively) (Table 4).

245 Regarding microbiota intestinal composition in terms of bacteria phylum, the most 246 abundant phylum detected in all samples was Proteobacteria, representing more than 85% 247 of all sequences analyzed, whereas  $\gamma$ -Proteobacteria was the most abundant class (>73%) of 248 Proteobacteria (Figure 2A). Other predominant phyla in all samples were Firmicutes 249 (abundance values ranging from 4.66% to 8.45%) and Bacteroidetes (abundance values 250 slightly higher than 1%). In agreement with previous results, microbiota analysis at a family 251 taxonomic level showed a very homogeneous composition in all samples analyzed with a 252 clear dominance of the bacteria families included in y-Proteobacteria, the dominant class in 253 all cases (Figure 1B), such as *Pseudomonadaceae* and *Xanthomonadaceae* (abundance 254 values ranging from 29.28 to 34.19%) (Figure 3A), followed by Enterobacteriaceae 255 (abundance values ranging from 10.0 to 13.0%), whereas families included in  $\beta$ -

256 Proteobacteriae, such as Alcaligenaceae and Comamonadaceae showed lower abundance 257 values than 5%. Others, families such as Sphingomonadaceae ( $\alpha$ -Proteobacteriae) and 258 *Ruminococcaceae* (Firmicutes) were also detected in all samples, but in abundance levels 259 slightly higher than 1%. Vibrionaceae and Ruminococcaceae reached lower abundance 260 levels ranging from 1.5% to 2.0%. In addition, *Lactobacillaceae* was a predominant family in 261 the intestines of gilthead seabream samples from C-95d (4.6%), as well as in fish fed the 262 diet SDPP at 20 days after administration (2.1%), whereas in SDPP-95d samples their 263 abundance decreased.

264 At a genus taxonomic level, the dominant genera in all samples were Pseudomonas 265 (Pseudomonadaceae), Stenotrophomonas (Xanthomonadaceae) and Enterobacter 266 (Enterobacteriaceae) including more than 65% of total sequences analyzed (Figure 3B). 267 Other genera such as Achomobacter (Alcaligenaceae), Luteibacter (Xanthomonadaceae), 268 Pelomonas (Comamonadaceae) and Sphingomonas (Sphingomonadaceae) were in all 269 samples but in lower levels. Differences regards to the abundance of genera such as 270 Bradyrhizobium, Vibrio and Lactobacillus were observed between diets control and SDPP at 271 20 days, whereas the abundance of genus *Lactobacillus* showed a presence higher than 2% 272 only in seabream fed the control diet at 95 days (4.57%).

273

# 274 4. Discussion

Present results confirmed that the inclusion of SDPP in seabream diets promoted somatic growth, as it was previously shown in this species by Gisbert et al. (2015) and in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) (Johnson & Summerfelt, 2000). These results are mainly attributed to the presence of diverse functional proteins like growth factors, cytokines and other biologically active compounds that may contribute to its positive effects on animal performance), as well as in an improvement of diet digestibility (Hou, Wu, Dai, Wang & Wu, 2017). However, the main findings of this study were related to the impact of this

ingredient on intestinal microbiota diversity and composition, a sort of information that isabsent in fish.

284	Diets containing SDPP have demonstrated to exert beneficial effects of SDPP on the
285	intestine in relation to the mucosal permeability, epithelial defensing secretion and anti-
286	inflammatory effects (Pérez-Bosque, Polo, Russell, Campbell, Weaver& Moretó, 2010;
287	Gisbert et al., 2015; Pérez-Bosque et al., 2016). However, there is very limited information
288	about the effect of this supplement on the intestinal microbiota (Tran et al., 2018), and
289	whether the above-mentioned positive effects are due to changes in the intestinal
290	microbiota, bioactive compounds in SDPP or both. In our study, SDPP showed limited
291	effects on the intestinal microbiota in gilthead seabream, although alpha-diversity indexes
292	such as Chao1 showed differences in the SDPP group at 20 days. Intestinal microbiota
293	richness in fish fed the SDPP diet was reduced compared to the control diet. However, this
294	decrease did not imply a higher dominance of certain microbial groups, because Shannon-
295	Wiener index indicated that the evenness in both groups corresponded to values of
296	environments with a moderate diversity.
297	Although microbiota composition seems to differ among fish species, it is generally
298	dominated mainly by the phyla Proteobacteria, Firmicutes and Actinobacteria (Sullam,
299	Newman, Silverman, Turner & Lilley, 2012; Ringø et al., 2016). In the current study,
300	Proteobacteria (>85% of the analyzed sequences) and Firmicutes (5-12%) were the most
301	abundant phyla in the autochthonous intestinal microbiota of gilthead seabream. These
302	results were in agreement to those reported by different authors in the same species
303	(Cordero, Guardiola, Tapia-Paniagua, Cuesta, Meseguer, Balebona, Moriñigo & Esteban, M.,
304	2015; Estruch, Collado, Peñaranda, Tomás Vidal, Jover Cerdá, Pérez Martínez, & Martinez-
305	Llorens, 2015; Piazzon, Calduch-Giner, Fouz, Estensoro, Simó-Mirabet, Puyalto, Karalazos,
306	Palenzuela, Sitjà-Bobadilla & Pérez-Sánchez, 2017), as well in other temperate marine fish
307	species (Cardá-Dieguez, Mira & Foutz, 2014; Tapia-Paniagua, Vidal, Lobo, de la Banda,

308	Esteban, Balebona & Moriñigo, 2015) and salmonids (Merrifield, Burnard, Bradley, Davies
309	& Baker, 2009; Dehler, Secombes & Martin, 2017). In our study, this phylum never
310	represented more than 1.5% of the sequences analyzed, whereas other 22 phyla were
311	found, but these were <1% of the total sequences. Actinobacteria have also been reported
312	as a predominant phylum in gilthead seabream (Estruch et al., 2015) ranging 25% to 40%.
313	However, under current experimental conditions and similarly to Piazzon et al. (2017),
314	Actinobacteria never reached similar levels, differences that might be attributed to
315	different physiological, environmental and dietary conditions of examined fish (Ringø et al.,
316	2016). These results may be also due to the nature of the samples (intestinal content vs.
317	mucus) and the protocols used for obtaining and their storage (Piazzon et al., 2017).
318	$\gamma$ -Proteobacteria was the predominant class detected in our study, results that
319	were in concordance with other studies conducted in this species (Tapia-Paniagua et al.,
320	2011; Cordero et al., 2015; Estruch et al., 2015; Piazzon et al., 2017), as well as in
321	Senegalese sole (Tapia-Paniagua et al., 2015). Kormas, Meziti, Mente & Frentzos (2014)
322	reported that $\beta$ -Proteobacteria were the most dominant class of autochthonous intestinal
323	microbiota in gilthead seabream. In our study, $\alpha$ - and $\beta$ -Proteobacteria also were
324	predominant groups, but their frequencies were never higher than $\gamma$ -Proteobacteria. It is
325	well known that different fish rearing conditions (environmental and dietary factors) have a
326	significant influence on the intestinal microbiota (Llewellyn, Boutin, Hoseinifar & Derome,
327	2014; Kormas et al., 2014; Dehler et al., 2017; Kononova, Zinchenko, Muranova, Belova &
328	Miroshniko, 2019), which may explain the above-mentioned differences. Regarding the
329	Firmicutes, the predominant classes were Bacilli and Clostridia, results in agreement with
330	those reported by Estruch et al. (2015), who observed that members of these classes were
331	predominant in gilthead seabream.

At the genus level, *Pseudomonas, Enterobacter* and *Stenotrophomonas* were the most predominant genera, while *Achromobacter* and *Luteibacter* were less abundant.

334 Enterobacter, Achromobacter and Pseudomonas have been described as some of the most 335 dominant genera in marine fish (Tapia-Paniagua et al., 2015; Parlapani & Boziaris, 2016; 336 Wang, Zhang, Li & Lin, 2016). Strains of these genera have shown to have positive effects 337 on fish host due to their ability to degrade cellulose and even some Pseudomonas and 338 Enterobacter strains have been proposed as probiotic bacteria (Nayak, 2010). 339 Achromobacter has also been reported in farmed Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) (Ringø, 340 Spersta, Myklebus, Refstie & Krogdahl, 2006), as well as in wild jack mackerel (Trachurus 341 *japonicus*). This genus has been reported to hydrolysable tannin by the enzyme tannase 342 (Lewis & Starkey, 1969). Harboring intestinal microflora having the ability to degrade 343 tannins to innocuous compounds might be viewed as a strategy for overcoming adverse 344 effects of tannins. Thus, it would be logical to assume that the presence of tannin-345 degrading microbiota in the intestine of gilthead seabream, an omnivorous species, could 346 be the result of the inclusion of feed ingredients derived from vegetal feedstuffs, as it has 347 been described in herbivorous and omnivorous fishes (Mandal & Ghosh, 2013). 348 Stenotrophomonas genus has been found in the microbiota of several farmed fish species 349 like seabream, Atlantic salmon (Navarrete, Espejo & Romero, 2009) ad rainbow trout 350 (Heikkinen et al. 2006), although it is typically described as a predominant soil bacterial 351 group (Soltani, Zaheri-Shoja, Hamze, Hosseyni-Moghaddam & Pakvaz, 2016) and plants 352 (Gandolfi, Canedoli, Imperato, Tagliaferri, Gkorezis, Vangronsveld, Schioppa, Papacchini & 353 Franzetti, 2017). These last results are interesting because this genus was found in rainbow 354 trout fed a soybean meal based diet and it was absent in fish fed a diet based on fishmeal 355 (Heikkinen, Vielma, Kemiläinen, Tiirola, Eskelinen, Kiuru, Navia-Paldanius & von Wright, 356 2006). On the other hand, Luteibacter is a genus has also been isolated mainly from soils, 357 although this genus is rare in fish and crustaceans microbiota (Tao, Du, Wang, Dong, Yu, 358 Ren, Sima & Xu, 2018). In our study, its abundance was about 5% in all samples. Some 359 strains have showed ability to produce lipases (Bresciani, Santi, Macedo, Abraham,

360 Vainstein & Beys-da-Silva, 2014), glycosidases (Fu, Yin, Wu & Yin, 2014) and to degrade and 361 convert different xenobiotic pollutants and organic compounds (Cui, Wu, Zhao & Yin, 2016). 362 The above-mentioned differences in microbiota found at day 20 were associated to an 363 increase in the level of sequences related to the family Lactobacillaceae and the 364 Lactobacillus genus. Lactobacillus is commonly found in different freshwater and marine 365 species, including gilthead seabream (Estruch et al., 2015), and their abundance is 366 commonly modulated by different dietary elements (e.g. vitamins, protein sources, feed 367 additives, etc.) (Ringø et al., 2016). In this sense, several authors have reported the 368 beneficial effects of lactic acid bacteria include the promotion of somatic growth, 369 improvement of feed efficiency parameters and prevention of intestinal disorders and pre-370 digestion of anti-nutritional factors present in the ingredients (Gatesoupe, 2010). Present results found in seabream fed the SDPP diet were in agreement with those studies 371 372 reporting that pig diets containing SDP administered during nursery and weanling periods 373 promoted the growth of lactobacilli in the intestine (Torrallardona. Conde, Badiola, Polo & 374 Brufau, 2003; Tran, Anderson, Bundy, Fernando, Miller & Burkey, 2018). However, in our 375 study the abundance of Lactobacillus was dependent of the time, because at the end of the 376 trial at 95 days, the level of Lactobacillae family and Lactobacillus genus were <1% in 377 seabream fed the SDPP diet, whereas in specimens fed the control diet the level was higher 378 (4.5%). The above-mentioned differences between both groups sampled at two different 379 times could be due to the stage fish development as its well-known that the host's age and 380 weight are factors affecting the composition of the intestinal microbiota (Li, Long, 381 Gatesoupe, Zhang, Li, A., Gong, 2015; Stephens, Burns, Stagaman, Wong, Rawls, Guillemin 382 & Bohannan, 2016). In addition, the morphology of the intestine is also a host factor 383 affecting the intestinal microbiota (Wang, Ran, Ringø & Zhou, 2017). Several studies carried 384 out with chickens and piglets reported that the dietary administration of Lactobacillus 385 strains (Forte, Manuali, Abbate, Papa, Vieceli, Tentellini, Trabalza-Marinucc &, Moscati, 386 2018) increased the level of Lactobacillus in the intestine, which was associated with

387 increases of the villi height. In contrast with these results, in our study fish fed the diet

388 SDPP after 95 days showed the highest villi heigth, but the level of *Lactobacillus* was lower

than 1%, whereas the villi height in fish receiving the control diet was not significant

390 different but they showed a higher level of *Lactobacillus*.

391 The mucus layer produced by intestinal goblet cells that is located at the interface between 392 the intestinal epithelium and the microbiota is considered a key factor in the crosstalk 393 between the intestinal epithelium and the microbiota. -Studies with gnotobiotic model 394 organisms have reported that the number and function of goblet cells were modulated by 395 the microbiota (Kandori, Hirayama, Takeda & Do, 1996). Our results were not in agreement 396 with the former hypothesis since the higher density of intestinal goblet cells in fish fed the 397 SDPP diet were not correlated to changes in microbiota composition; thus, future studies 398 will be necessary to check this aspect and the ability of human Lactobacillus species to 399 adhere to mucus (Chabrillón, Ouwehand, Díaz-Rosales, Arijo, Martínez-Manzanares, 400 Balebona & Moriñigo, 2006). Thus, our results indicated that such differences in goblet cell 401 density between SDPP and control groups may be mostly due to an enhancement of the 402 intestinal innate immune function in fish fed SDPP (Gisbert et al., 2015) rather than changes 403 in microbiota.

In conclusion, present results showed that the inclusion of SDPP in diets for seabream promoted their somatic growth, increased the density of intestinal goblet cells and did not result in major changes in autochthonous microbiota although some differences, such as reduction of richness, were detected after the short administration of SDPP. The increase in intestinal goblet cell density was not correlated to changes in microbiota diversity and richness rather than to the immunostimulatory effect of SDPP. These results are of practical relevance since they proved that SDPP is a safe ingredient for aquafeeds.

411

412 Acknowledgements

- 413 This study was funded the Spanish Government (project references: AGL2014-51839-C5-5-
- 414 R, AGL2014-51839-C5-2-R; RTI2018-095653-R-I00). Authors are in debt with M. Monllaó
- 415 and F. Ferré for animal husbandry, and O. Bellot for her assistance in the preparation of
- 416 histological samples.
- 417

#### 418 Data availability statement

- 419 The data that support the findings presented in this study are available in "Repositorio
- 420 IRTA" at http://repositori.irta.cat/

421

#### 422 References

Bäckhed, F. (2011). Programming of host metabolism by the gut microbiota. *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism*, 58, 44-52.

425 Bresciani, F. R., Santi, L., Macedo, A. J., Abraham, W. R., Vainstein, M. H. & Beys-da-Silva, W.

- 426 O. (2014). Production and activity of extracellular lipase from *Luteibacter* sp. *Annals*427 of *Microbiology*, 64, 251-258.
- 428 Bureau, D.P., Harris, A.M. & Cho, C.Y. (1999). Apparent digestibility of rendered animal

429 protein ingredients for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*, 180, 345–
430 358.

- 550.
- 431 Campbell, J., Hardy, R., Patro, B., Polo, J. & Crenshaw, J. (2014). Performance of rainbow
- 432 trout fed diets supplemented with spray-dried plasma. Aquaculture America, Seattle,

433 WA. Feb. 9-12, 2014. p. 60.

- 434 Campbell, J.M., Quigley III, J.D., Russell, L.E. & Kidd, M.T. (2003). Effect of spray-dried
- 435 bovine serum on intake, health, and growth of broilers housed in different
- 436 environments. *Journal of Animal Science*, 81, 2776-2782.

437	Campbell, J.M., Polo, J., Russell, L.E. & Crenshaw, J.D. (2010). Review of spray-dried
438	plasma's impact on intestinal barrier function. <i>Livestock Science</i> , 133, 239-241.
439	Chabrillón, M., Ouwehand, A.C., Díaz-Rosales, P., Arijo, S., Martínez-Manzanares, E.,
440	Balebona, M.C. & Moriñigo, M.A. (2006). Adhesion of lactic acid bacteria to mucus of
441	farmed gilthead seabream, and interactions with fish pathogenic microorganisms.
442	Bulletin of the European Association of Fish Pathologists, 26, 202-210.
443	Cordero, H., Guardiola, F.A., Tapia-Paniagua, S.T., Cuesta, A., Meseguer, J., Balebona, M.C.,
444	Moriñigo, M.Á. & Esteban, M.Á. (2015). Modulation of immunity and gut microbiota
445	after dietary administration of alginate encapsulated Shewanella putrefaciens Pdp11
446	to gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata L.). Fish and Shellfish Immunology, 45, 608-618.
447	Cui, L., Wu, S. Q., Zhao, C.A. & Yin, C.R. (2016). Microbial conversion of major ginsenosides
448	in ginseng total saponins by Platycodon grandiflorum endophytes. Journal of Ginseng
449	Research, 40, 366-374.
450	Dawood, M.A.O., Koshio, S. & Esteban, M.A. (2018). Beneficial roles of feed additives as
451	immunostimulants in aquaculture: a review. Reviews in Aquaculture, 10, 950-974.
452	Dehler, C.E., Secombes, C.J. & Martin, S.A. (2017). Environmental and physiological factors
453	shape the gut microbiota of Atlantic salmon parr (Salmo salar L.). Aquaculture, 467,
454	149-157.
455	Egamberdieva, D., Jabborova, D. & Berg, G. (2016). Synergistic interactions between
456	Bradyrhizobium japonicum and the endophyte Stenotrophomonas rhizophila and
457	their effects on growth, and nodulation of soybean under salt stress. Plant and Soil,
458	405, 35-45.
459	Estruch, G., Collado, M.C., Peñaranda, D.S., Tomás Vidal, A., Jover Cerdá, M., Pérez
460	Martínez, G. & Martinez-Llorens, S. (2015). Impact of fishmeal replacement in diets
461	for gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) on the gastrointestinal microbiota determined
462	by pyrosequencing the 16S rRNA Gene. <i>Plos ONE</i> , 10, e0136389.

- Ferreira, A.S., Barbosa, F.F., Tokach, M.D. & Santos, M. (2009). Spray dried plasma for pigs
  weaned at different ages. *Recent patents on Food Nutrition and Agriculture*, 1, 231235.
- 466 Forte, C., Manuali, E., Abbate, Y., Papa, P., Vieceli, L., Tentellini, M., Trabalza-Marinucc, M.
- 467 & Moscati, L. (2018). Dietary *Lactobacillus acidophilus* positively influences growth
- 468 performance, gut morphology, and gut microbiology in rurally reared chickens.
- 469 *Poultry Science*, 97, 930-936.
- 470 Frugé, E.D., Bidner, T.D. & Southern, L.L. (2009). Effect of incremental levels of red blood
- 471 cells on growth performance and carcass traits of finishing pigs. *Journal of Animal*472 *Science*, 87, 2853-2859.
- Fu, Y., Yin, Z., Wu, L. & Yin, C. (2014). Diversity of cultivable β-glycosidase-producing microorganisms isolated from the soil of a ginseng field and their ginsenosides-hydrolysing
  activity. *Letters of Applied Microbiology*, 58, 138-144.
- 476 Gandolfi, I., Canedoli, C., Imperato, V., Tagliaferri, I., Gkorezis, P., Vangronsveld, J.,
- 477 Schioppa, E.P., Papacchini, M. & Franzetti, A. (2017). Diversity and hydrocarbon-
- 478 degrading potential of epiphytic microbial communities on *Platanus x acerifolia*
- 479 leaves in an urban area. *Environmental Pollution*, 220, 650-658.
- 480 Ganguly, S., Paul, I. & Mukhopadhayay, S.K. (2010). Application and effectiveness of
- 481 immunostimulants, probiotics, and prebiotics in aquaculture: a review. Israeli Journal
  482 of Aquaculture Bamidgeh, 62, 130-138.
- 483 Gao, Y.Y., Jiang, Z.Y., Lin, Y.C., Zheng, C.T., Zhou, G.L. & Chen. F. (2011). Effects of spray-
- dried animal plasma on serous and intestinal redox status and cytokines of neonatal
  piglets. *Journal of Animal Science*, 89, 150-157.

486	Gatesoupe, F.J. (2010). Probiotics and other microbial manipulations in fish feeds:
487	Prospective health benefits. In: Watson R. Preedy V. R. editors, Bioactive foods in
488	promoting health: Probiotics and prebiotics. Academic, New York. p. 541–552.
489	Gisbert, E., Castillo, M., Skalli, A., Andree, K.B. & Badiola, I. (2013). Bacillus cereus var. toyoi
490	promotes growth, affects the histological organization and microbiota of the
491	intestinal mucosa in rainbow trout fingerlings. Journal of Animal Science, 91, 2766-
492	2774.
493	Gisbert, E., Skalli, A., Campbell, J., Solovyev, M.M., Rodríguez, C., Dias, J. & Polo, J. (2015).
494	Spray-dried plasma promotes growth, modulates the activity of antioxidant defenses,
495	and enhances the immune status of gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) fingerlings
496	Journal of Animal Science, 93, 278–286.
497	Gonçalves, A.T. & Gallardo-Escárate, C. (2017). Microbiome dynamic modulation through
498	functional diets based on pre-and probiotics (mannan-oligosaccharides and
499	Saccharomyces cerevisiae) in juvenile rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Journal
500	of Applied Microbiology, 122, 1333-1347.
501	Hao, K., Wu, Z.Q., Li, D.L., Yu, X.B., Wang, G.X. & Ling, F. (2017). Effects of dietary
502	administration of Shewanella xiamenensis A-1, Aeromonas veronii A-7, and Bacillus
503	subtilis, single or combined, on the grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idella) intestinal
504	microbiota. Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins, 9, 386-396.
505	Heikkinen, J., Vielma, J., Kemiläinen, O., Tiirola, M., Eskelinen, P., Kiuru, T., Navia-Paldanius,
506	D. & von Wright, A. (2006). Effects of soybean meal based diet on growth
507	performance, gut histopathology and intestinal microbiota of juvenile rainbow trout
508	(Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquaculture, 261, 259-268.

509	Henn, J.D., Bockor, L., Vieira, M.S., Ribeiro, A.M.L., Kessler, A.M., Albino, L., Rostagno, H.,
510	Crenshaw, J.D., Campbell, J.M. & Rangel, L.F.S. (2013). Inclusion of porcine spray-
511	dried plasma in broiler diets. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 22, 229-237.
512	Hou, Y., Wu, Z., Dai, Z., Wang, G. & Wu, G. (2017). Protein hydrolysates in animal nutrition:
513	Industrial production, bioactive peptides, and functional significance. Journal of
514	Animal Science and Biotechnology, 8, 24.
515	Johnson, J.A. & Summerfelt. R.C. (2000). Spray-dried blood cells as a partial replacement for
516	fish meal in diets for rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. Journal of the World
517	Aquaculture Society, 31, 96-104.
518	Kandori, H., Hirayama, K., Takeda, M. & Doi, K. (1996). Histochemical, lectin-histochemical
519	and morphometrical characteristics of intestinal goblet cells of germfree and
520	conventional mice. Experimental Animals, 45, 155-160.
521	Kononova, S.V., Zinchenko, D.V., Muranova, T.A., Belova, N.A. &Miroshnikov, A.I. (2019).
522	Intestinal microbiota of salmonids and its changes upon introduction of soy proteins
523	to fish feed. Aquaculture International, 27, 475-496.
524	Kormas, K.A., Meziti, A., Mente, E. & Frentzos, A. (2014). Dietary differences are reflected
525	on the gut prokaryotic community structure of wild and commercially reared sea
526	bream (Sparus aurata). Microbiology Open, 3, 718-728.
527	Laparra, J. M. & Sanz, Y. (2010). Interactions of gut microbiota with functional food
528	components and nutraceuticals. Pharmacological Research, 61, 219-225.

- 529 Lewis, J.A. & Starkey, R.L. (1969). Decomposition of plant tannins by some soil
- 530 microorganisms. *Soil Science*, 107, 235–241.

- Llewellyn, M.S., Boutin, S., Hoseinifar, S.H. & Derome, N. (2014). Teleost microbiomes: the
  state of the art in their characterization, manipulation and importance in aquaculture
  and fisheries. *Fronties in Microbiology*, 5, 207.
- Li, T., Long, M., Gatesoupe, F.J., Zhang, Q., Li, A. & Gong, X. (2015). Comparative analysis of
  the intestinal bacterial communities in different species of carp by pyrosequencing. *Microbial Ecology*, 69, 25–36.
- Li, H.H., Jiang, X.R., Wang, W.J. & Qiao, J.Y. (2018). Effects of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and
  zinc oxide on the growth performance, jejunal morphology and immune function of
  weaned piglet following an *Escherichia coli* K88 challenge. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 17, 114-120.
- 541 Mandal, S. & Ghosh, K. (2013). Isolation of tannase-producing microbiota from the

542 gastrointestinal tracts of some freshwater fish. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 29,
543 145-153.

544 Merrifield, D.L., Burnard, D., Bradley, G., Davies, S.J. & Baker, R.T.M. (2009). Microbial

545 community diversity associated with the intestinal mucosa of farmed rainbow trout

546 (Oncoryhnchus mykiss Walbaum). Aquaculture Research, 40, 1064-1072.

547 Montalban-Arques, A., De Schryver, P., Bossier, P., Gorkiewicz, G., Mulero, V., Gatlin, D.M.

548 & Galindo-Villegas, J. (2015). Selective Manipulation of the Gut Microbiota Improves
549 Immune Status in Vertebrates. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 6, 512.

- Navarrete, P., Espejo, R.T. & Romero, J. (2009). Molecular analysis of microbiota along the
  digestive tract of juvenile Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Microbial Ecology*, 57, 550561.
- Nayak, S.K. (2010). Role of gastrointestinal microbiota in fish. Aquaculture Research, 41,
  1553-1573.

O'Hara, A.M. & Shanahan, F. (2006). The gut flora as a forgotten organ. *EMBO Reports*, 7,
688-693.

# Parlapani, F.F. & Boziaris, I.S. (2016). Monitoring of spoilage and determination of microbial communities based on 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis of whole sea bream stored at various temperatures. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 66, 553-559.

Pérez, T., Balcáazar, J.L., Ruiz-Zarzuela, I., Halaihel, N., Vendrell, D. & de Blas, I. (2010). Host microbiota interactions within the fish intestinal ecosystem. *Mucosal Immunology*, 3,
 355-360.

563 Pérez-Bosque, A., Miró, L., Polo, J., Russell, L., Campbell, J., Weaver, E., Crenshaw, J. &

564 Moretó, M. (2010). Dietary plasma protein supplements prevent the release of

565 mucosal proinflammatory mediators in intestinal inflammation in rats. *Journal of*566 *Nutrition*, 140, 25-30.

Pérez-Bosque, A., Polo, J. & Torrallardona, D. (2016). Spray dried plasma as an alternative to
antibiotic in piglet feeds, mode of action and biosafety. *Porcine Health Management*,
2, 16.

570 Piazzon, M.C., Calduch-Giner, J.A., Fouz, B., Estensoro, I., Simó-Mirabet, P., Puyalto, M.,

571 Karalazos, V., Palenzuela, O., Sitjà-Bobadilla, A. & Pérez-Sánchez, J. (2017). Under

572 control: how a dietary additive can restore the gut microbiome and proteomic

- profile, and improve disease resilience in a marine teleostean fish fed vegetable
  diets. *Microbiome*, 5, 164.
- 575 Ringø E, Sperstad S, Myklebust R, Refstie S & Krogdahl, A. (2006). Characterisation of the
  576 microbiota associated with intestine of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.): the effect of
  577 fish meal, standard soybean meal and a bioprocessed soybean meal. *Aquaculture*,
- 578 261, 829-841.

579	Ringø, E., Zhou, Z., Gonzalez Vecino, J.L., Wadsworth, S., Romero, J., Krogdahl, A. , Olsen,
580	R.E., Dimitroglou, A., Foey, A., Davies, S., Owen, M., Lauzon, H.L., Martinsen, L.L., De
581	Schryver, P., Bossier, P., Sperstad, S. & Merrifield, D.L. (2016). Effects of dietary
582	components on the gut microbiota of aquatic animals: a never-ending story?
583	Aquaculture Nutrition, 22, 219-282.
584	Romero, J., Ringø, E. & Merrifield, D. (2014). The gut microbiota in fish. In: D. Merrifield and
585	E. Ringø (eds). Aquaculture Nutrition: Gut Health, Probiotics and Prebiotics, 75-100 p.
586	John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK,
587	Soltani, J., Zaheri-Shoja, M., Hamzei, J., Hosseyni-Moghaddam, M.S. & Pakvaz, S. (2016).
588	Diversity and bioactivity of bacterial endophyte community of Cupressaceae. Forest
589	Pathology, 46, 353-361.

- Stephens, W.Z., Burns, A.R., Stagaman, K., Wong, S., Rawls, J.F., Guillemin, K. & Bohannan,
  B.J. (2016). The composition of the zebrafish intestinal microbial community varies
  across development. *The ISME Journal*, 10, 644–654.
- 593 Sullam, K.E., Essinger, S.D., Lozupone, C.A., O'connor, M.P., Rosen, G.L., Knight, R., Kilham,

594 S.S. & Russell, J.A. (2012). Environmental and ecological factors that shape the gut

- bacterial communities of fish: a meta-analysis. *Molecular Ecology*, 21, 3363–3378.
- Tacchi, L., Bickerdike, R., Douglas, A., Secombes, C.J. & Martin, S.A. (2011).Transcriptomic
   responses to functional feeds in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 31, 704–715.
- 599 Tao, H., Du, B., Wang, H., Dong, H., Yu, D., Ren, L., Sima, Y. & Xu, S. (2018). Intestinal
- 600 microbiome affects the distinctive flavor of Chinese mitten crabs in commercial 601 farms. *Aquaculture*, 483, 38-45.
- Tapia-Paniagua, S.T., Chabrillón, M., Díaz-Rosales, P., de la Banda, I.G., Lobo, C., Balebona,
- 603 M.C. & Moriñigo, M.A. (2010). Intestinal microbiota diversity of the flat fish Solea

604 senegalensis (Kaup, 1858) following probiotic administration. *Microbial Ecology*, 60,
605 310-319.

606	Tapia-Paniagua, S.T., Reyes-Becerril, M., Ascencio-Valle, F., Esteban, M.A., Clavijo, E.,
607	Balebona, M.C. & Moriñigo, M.A. (2011). modulation of the intestinal microbiota and
608	immune system of farmed Sparus aurata by the administration of the yeast
609	Debaryomyces hansenii l2 in conjunction with inulin. Journal of Aquaculture Research
610	and Development, S1, 1-12.
611	Tapia-Paniagua, S.T., Vidal, S., Lobo, C., de la Banda, I.G., Esteban, M.A., Balebona, M.C. &
612	Moriñigo, M.A. (2015). Dietary administration of the probiotic SpPdp11: Effects on
613	the intestinal microbiota and immune-related gene expression of farmed Solea
614	senegalensis treated with oxytetracycline. Fish and Shellfish Immunology, 46, 449-
615	458.
616	Torrallardona, D., Conde, M.R., Badiola, I., Polo, J. & Brufau, J. (2003). Effect of fishmeal
617	replacement with spray-dried animal plasma and colistin on intestinal structure,
618	intestinal microbiology, and performance of weanling pigs challenged with
619	Escherichia coli K99. Journal of Animal Science, 81, 1220–1226.
620	Tran, H., Anderson, C.L., Bundy, J.W., Fernando, S.C., Miller, P.S., Burkey, T.E. (2018). Effects
621	of spray-dried porcine plasma on fecal microbiota in nursery pigs. Journal of Animal

622 *Science*, 96, 1017-1031.

625

623 Xing, R.E., Yang, H.Y., Wang, X.Q., Yu, H.H., Liu, S., Chen, X.L. & Li, P.C. (2018). Effect of

624 enzymatically hydrolyzed scallop visceral protein powder used as a replacement of

fish meal on the growth performance, immune responses, intestinal microbiota and

626 intestinal morphology of broiler chickens. *Livestock Science*, 207, 15-24.

- 627 Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Li, F. & Lin, Q. (2016). Comparison of intestinal microbiota in intestinal
- 628 tracts of the wild and cultured seahorses, *Hippocampus trimaculatus* (Leach). *Journal*
- 629 *of World Aquaculture Society*, 47, 123-128.
- 630 Wang, A.R., Ran, C., Ringø, E. & Zhou, Z.G. (2017). Progress in fish gastrointestinal
- 631 microbiota research. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 10, 626-640.
- 632 Xu, Z. & Knight, R. (2015). Dietary effects on human gut microbiome diversity. British
- 633 *Journal of Nutrition*, 113, S1-S5.

635 Figure 1. Histological images of the intestine in gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata) fed for 95 636 days with control (C) and 3% of porcine spray-dried plasma (SDPP) diets. A. Transversal 637 section of the mid-anterior intestine of a fish fed the C diet showing how the villi height were 638 measured between the *lamina propia* and the tip of the villi from several villi (with arrows). 639 B. Transversal section of the mid-anterior intestine of a fish fed the SDPP diet. C, D. General 640 view of longitudinal sections of the mid-anterior intestine of fish fed the C and SDPP diets, 641 respectively. Goblet cell counts in intestinal villi were expressed over a contour length of 100 642  $\mu$ m from of 5-8 randomly chosen fields. Note the higher abundance of goblet cells in the 643 section from fish fed the SDPP diet. E, F. Detail of intestinal villi of fish fed the C and SDPP 644 diets, respectively. Abbreviations: GC, goblet cell; L, lymphocyte; LP, lamina propia; MV, 645 microvilli; PC; plasmatic cell; TM, tunica muscularis; V, villi. Staining: hematoxylin-eosin.

646

647

Figure 2. Heat map showing the number of sequences detected of each treatment (expressed as log scale) from the intestinal microbiota of gilthead seabream *(Sparus aurata)* from lower (red) to higher (green) abundance. Rows indicate Filo (A) and Class (B) OTUs and columns indicate the gut juveniles fish fed for 20 and 95 days with the control diet (C-20 days and C-95 days), and a diet containing 3% of porcine spray-dried plasma (SDPP) (SDPP-20 days and SDPP-95 days).

654

Figure 3. Heat map showing the number of sequences detected of each treatment (expressed as log scale) from the intestinal microbiota of gilthead seabream *(Sparus aurata)* from lower (red) to higher (green) abundance. Rows indicate Family (A) and Genera (B) OTUs and columns indicate the gut juveniles fish fed for 20 and 95 days with the control diet (C-20 days and C-95 days), and a diet containing 3% of porcine spray-dried plasma (SDPP) (SDPP-20 days and SDPP-95 days).

661













Table 1. Ingredient list and proximal composition of experimental diets used in the current

676 study.

Ingredients, g/kg	Diet C	Diet SDPP
Fishmeal LT 70	369.0	333.0
Fishmeal 60	125.0	125.0
CPSP 90	40.0	40.0
Squid meal	60.0	60.0
Appetein H520522 (APC)	-	30.0
Wheat Gluten	76.0	76.0
Soybean meal 48 (micronized)	70.0	70.0
Wheat meal	77.0	77.0
Pea starch	45.0	48.0
Fish oil	112.0	114.5
Vit & Min Premix PV01	10.0	10.0
Choline chloride	1.0	1.0
Soy lecithin	5.0	5.0
Binder (guar gum)	10.0	10.0
Proximate composition, %		
Crude protein	51.1 ± 0.06	51.2 ± 0.04
Crude fat	17.2 ± 0.10	17.1 ± 0.08
Ash	11.8 ± 0.2	11.7 ± 0.1
Gross energy (MJ/kg)	20.56	20.69

Table 2. Somatic growth in terms of body weight (BW, g) and standard length (SL, cm) of
gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles fed a control and a diet containing 3% of
porcine spray-dried plasma (SDPP) at two different times after feed administration.
Presented values are mean ± SD. Different letters within the same row indicate the
existence of statistically significant differences between both experimental groups (t-test, P
= 0.019).

	Diet C		Diet SDPP	
	BW (g)	SL (mm)	BW (g)	SL (mm)
Initial	$10.6 \pm 0.1$	7.7 ± 0.2	$10.5 \pm 0.1$	7.6 ± 0.2
20 days	23.1 ± 0.6	9.5 ± 0.1	22.9 ± 0.7	9.4 ± 0.1
95 days	82.7 ± 3.2 b	14.6 ± 0.2	88.2 ± 1.6 a	$14.8 \pm 0.1$

686

687

Table 3. Villi height ( $\mu$ m) and goblet cell density (number of cells in 100  $\mu$ m of epithelium) in the anterior-mid intestine of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles fed a control and a diet containing 3% of porcine spray-dried plasma (SDPP) at two different times after feed administration. Presented values are mean ± SEM. Different letters within the same row indicate the existence of statistically significant differences between both experimental groups (t-test, P < 0.05).

	Diet C		Diet SDPP	
	Villi height	Goblet cell density	Villi height	Goblet cell density
20 days	568.5 ± 111.2	0.79 ± 0.04 b	622.4 ± 98.4	0.91 ± 0.03 a
95 days	1,003 ± 376	0.83 ± 0.06 b	1,251 ± 289	1.09 ± 0.09 a

694

Table 4. Number of reads, diversity indexes and assigned taxa of authochtonus intestinal
microbiota samples from gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles fed a control and a
diet containing 3% of porcine spray-dried plasma (SDPP) at two different times after feed
administration.

	·	Diet / administration time			
		C – 20 days	SDPP – 20 days	C – 95 days	SDPP – 95 days
	Number of reads	70,183	118,403	88,842	91,277
	Chao 1 index	746.80	498.56	507.51	547.64
	Shannon-Wiener index	2.77	3.17	2.76	2.71
	Number of families	218	170	166	189
	Number of genera	451	344	405	378
700					
701					
702					
703					
704					
705					
706					
707					
708					

## 710 Figure captions

711

Figure 1. Histological organization of the intestine in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*)
juveniles fed a diet containing 3% of porcine spray-dried plasma (SDPP). Staining:
hematoxylin-eosin.

715

716	Figure 2. Comparison at level of phylum (a) and class (b) of the autochthonous intestinal
717	microbiota composition of gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata) juveniles fed for 20 and 95
718	days with the control diet (C-20 days and C-95 days) and a diet containing 3% of porcine
719	spray-dried plasma (SDPP) (SDPP-20 days and SDPP-95 days).
720	

Figure 3. Comparison at level of family (a) and genus (b) of the autochthonous intestinal
microbiota composition of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles fed for 20 and 95
days with the control diet (C-20 days and C-95 days) and a diet containing 3% of porcine
spray-dried plasma (SDPP) (SDPP-20 days and SDPP-95 days).

725

726

Supplementary file 1. Rarefaction curves obtained from the analysis of the composition of
the intestinal microbiota of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) fed for 20 and 95 days with
Control diet (control) (C-20 days) and a diet containing 3% of porcine spray-dried plasma
(SDPP) (SDPP-20 days and SDPP-95 days).