



You have downloaded a document from
RE-BUŚ
repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

Title: Significance of protection of the meadow and grassland communities for maintenance the floristic diversity in the area of the south-eastern Silesian Upland (Poland)

Author: Beata Babczyńska-Sendek

Citation style: Babczyńska-Sendek Beata. (2009). Significance of protection of the meadow and grassland communities for maintenance the floristic diversity in the area of the south-eastern Silesian Upland (Poland). "Biodiversity Research and Conservation" (Vol. 13, (2009), s. 49-60), doi 10.2478/v10119-009-0009-z



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).



UNIwersYTET ŚLĄSKI
W KATOWICACH



Biblioteka
Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki
i Szkolnictwa Wyższego

Significance of protection of the meadow and grassland communities for maintenance the floristic diversity in the area of the south-eastern Silesian Upland (Poland)

Beata Babczyńska-Sendek

Department of Geobotany and Nature Protection, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, University of Silesia, Jagiellońska 28, 40-032 Katowice, Poland, e-mail: beata.babczynska-sendek@us.edu.pl

Abstract. Variety of relief and geology of the south-eastern Silesian Upland results in richness and diversity of its vegetation. Wet meadows and xerothermic grasslands are one of its most valuable components. In their phytocoenoses many protected (27 in meadows and 22 in grasslands) and rare (25 and 34) species have been noted. Among them there are threatened species put on the „Red list of the vascular plants in Poland”. A few species represent the mountain element. Some meadow and grassland phytocoenoses from the south-eastern part of the Silesian Upland are characterised by exceptionally high floristic richness. The *Cirsietum rivularis* patches have proved to be the richest among them. Large-scale cessation of usage caused that meadows and grasslands actually need urgent active protection here. This is the only chance of survival for many rare protected and threatened plants.

Key words: floristic richness, meadows, xerothermic grasslands, threatened plants and communities, protected and rare species

1. Introduction

The south-eastern part of the Silesian Upland is the region of diversified relief and geology. In the geomorphology of the area there are noticeable structural edges, which are separated by valleys and basins, as well as hills and rises between which depressions are situated (Fig. 1). Triassic limestones and dolomites are of great importance in its geological structure and build majority of convex landforms. Sometimes hills are formed by Rhaetian variegated clays and red clays which contain clay-limy breccia (so-called Lisów breccia). By contrast, sand soils cover the great areas in topographic depressions (Kaziuk & Lewandowski 1980).

The strong transformations caused by intensive human management overlap the primeval diversification of the natural environment. In a great part it is the area of old settlements, as well as old mining and metallurgy. In our times, urban and industrial areas occupy great acreages here. Agriculturally used lands and forest complexes of different size are situated on their edges. Floristically rich phytocoenoses of meadows and grasslands are also met there. They are valuable compo-

nents of the regional vegetation, especially when we take under consideration its biodiversity. Many protected, rare and endangered plant species are growing in their patches. Among them there are taxa which are rare in the whole country (Zarzycki & Szeląg 2006); some are rare only in the region (Parusel *et al.* 1996; Bernacki *et al.* 2000).

Flora and vegetation of the Silesian Upland have been the subject of botanists interest for a long time. Particularly intensive studies have been conducted since 1969, when the Institute of Biology (than transformed into the Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection) of the Silesian University was established. The direct effects of broad studies were numerous publications. Many of them concern flora and vegetation of different parts of the south-east Silesian Upland. Some others present results of research conducted on larger area but data about meadow and grassland flora, as well as about this type of vegetation of the considered region, are possible to find in them.

First of all, should be mentioned floristic monographs (Sendek 1984; Nowak 1999a; Tokarska-Guzik 1999) or monographs which include results of floristic




Fig. 1. Geomorphological regions of the south-eastern Silesian Upland (according to GILEWSKA 1972)

Explanations: B-KP – Bytom-Katowice Plateau, ChT – Chrzanów Trough, IHH – Imielin Horst Hills, JB – Józefka Basin, LHH – Łędziny Horst Hills, LiHH – Libiąż Horst Hills, MB – Mysłowice Basin, MiB – Mitręga Basin, MPV – Mała Panew Valley, PB – Przemsza Basin, TP – Tarnowice Plateau, TwP – Twardowice Plateau, WP – Woźniki Prominence, WS – Wilkoszyn Syncline, ZP – Ząbkowice Prominence; 1 – border of the Silesian Upland, 2 – border between the Northern and Southern Silesian Upland, 3 – borders of the regions, 4 – borders of the subregions, 5 – Middle-Jurassic Ridge, 6 – Upper-Triassic Ridge, 7 – Middle-Triassic Ridge

and phytosociological studies (Babczyńska-Sendek 2005). Numerous data are present at floristic papers (Celiński *et al.* 1974/1975, 1976, 1978/1979, 1982; Bernacki & Nowak 1994; Nowak & Bernacki 1997; Nowak 1997a, 1998; Tokarska-Guzik 1997; Babczyńska-Sendek *et al.* 2003) and in studies dedicated to single, rare taxa (Rostański & Jędrzejko 1976; Rostański *et al.* 1994; Babczyńska-Sendek *et al.* 1997; Nowak 1997b; Bernacki & Gumieniak 2000; Bula & Nowak 2000; Nowak *et al.* 2000, 2003; Baryła & Nowak 2001). Information regarding flora of meadows and grasslands

is also given in studies including detailed floristic and phytosociological characteristics of areas of great natural values (Sendek & Wika 1992; Jędrzejko & Stebel 1998) or in general descriptions of those areas (Ciepał & Jędrzejko 1977; Wika & Szczypek 1990, 1991; Nowak & Bernacki 1992; Uziębło & Wika 1993; Babczyńska-Sendek *et al.* 1994; Tokarska-Guzik & Rostański 1996; Dubiel & Gawroński 1998). Strictly phytosociological elaborations from the south-eastern Silesian Upland are not numerous (Malewski 2006). Valuable data are also available in papers and reviews made for general public purposes (Celiński *et al.* 1996; Tokarska-Guzik *et al.* 1998; Nowak 1999b; Rok & Sochacka 2002).

The aim of the paper is an attempt of recapitulation of the current knowledge about resources of protected and rare vascular plant species which are connected with meadows and xerothermic grasslands in the area of the south-eastern part of the Silesian Upland. Moreover, pointing the role of the floristically rich communities for these species protection.

2. Materials and methods

The basis of this elaboration are data from many papers (all of cited above) which concern the south-eastern part of the Silesian Upland. Papers from the period 1974-2006 have been taken into consideration, as well as the unpublished author's materials. Apart from meadow and grassland species, bog plants which have been noted in the fen fragments situated within meadow complexes were also considered. Species from fen communities situated beyond meadow complexes, were omitted. In case of data published as cartogrammes, the presence of species within a square plot of 1 x 1 km or 2 x 2 km was considered as one locality.

The lists of protected species and rare species (in the whole Poland or on a regional scale) which have been noted in the area of the meadow complexes and xerothermic grasslands are presented in the Appendix. Frequency of each species in the mentioned communities of the studied area has been estimated in four-point scale. For the majority of plants the authors of localities were abandoned. They were quoted only in the case of especially rare species and species which have not been found by the author of this paper. The names of plant species follow Mirek *et al.* (2002).

Floristic richness of chosen meadow and grassland phytocoenoses is presented on the base of the author's own phytosociological materials – 63 selected phytosociological relevés made according to Braun-Blanquet's method in the years 1987-2000. These relevés, which have been compiled in the synthetic tables, represent the floristically richest patches of the analysed communities.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Floristic value of meadow and grassland communities

In the area of the south-eastern Silesian Upland the communities of wet meadows (*Cirsietum rivularis*, *Molinietum caeruleae*) together with the fragments of accompanying fens, especially these representing the *Caricetalia davallianae* order, are an important element of vegetation. Sometimes, floristically rich patches of the *Arrhenatheretum elatioris* meadows can be found there (Babczyńska-Sendek *et al.* 1994; Bula & Nowak 2000). From the geobotanical point of view, xerothermic grasslands are also significantly valuable component of the region's plant cover. Majority of them represent the *Adonido-Brachypodietum* association which is differentiated into 4 subassociations. Initial grasslands (*Teucrium botrys-Sedum acre* community) connected with the excavations of limestone are also worthy of attention (Babczyńska-Sendek 2005).

Many protected and rare vascular plant species are connected with phytocoenoses of the mentioned communities. Four main groups of them (1-4) have been distinguished in the Appendix:

1. The protected taxa connected mainly with moist meadows or fens. The group comprises 27 vascular plant species, including 2 partially protected. 6 species represent the mountain element.

Only one species of this group has been noted quite frequently (*Dactylorhiza majalis*), whereas the majority of the taxa very rarely (e.g. *Dactylorhiza incarnata*, *Ophioglossum vulgatum*, *Platanthera bifolia* and *Tofieldia calyculata*) and some rarely (*Colchicum autumnale*, *Gentiana pneumonanthe*, *Gladiolus imbricatus*, *Iris sibirica* and *Trollius europaeus*) or sporadically (*Carex pulicaris*, *Gratiola officinalis*, *Orchis morio*, *Phyteuma orbiculare* and *Pinguicula vulgaris*). Among protected plants there are 9 species put on the "Red list of the vascular plants in Poland" (Zarzycki & Szelağ 2006). Especially noteworthy is *Carex pulicaris*, the species representing the critically endangered category. It has been noted in 2 localities and it was quite abundant in one of them. Other plants are recognized as vulnerable in the whole Poland (*Carex davalliana*, *Dactylorhiza maculata*, *Gentiana pneumonanthe*, *Iris sibirica*, *Malaxis monophyllos*, *Ophioglossum vulgatum* and *Orchis morio*) or as vulnerable in isolated localities situated beyond their main range of distribution (*Tofieldia calyculata*).

It needs to be emphasized that many of the protected species which have been noted in the meadow complexes of the south-eastern part of the Silesian Upland are classified as vulnerable, endangered or even extinct (*Carex pulicaris*, *Colchicum autumnale*, *Gratiola officinalis*, *Malaxis monophyllos* and *Pinguicula vulgaris* subsp.

bicolor) in the area of the former province of Cracow (Zajać & Zajać 1998a, 1998b), adjacent to the examined one.

2. The taxa of meadow complexes with many rare and threatened but not protected plant species (in total 25 spp.). Among them 4 are mountain plants. Similarly as in the former group, plants of fens and moist meadows are the most numerous. One of them – *Carex dioica* has been put on the "Red list ..." (Zarzycki & Szelağ 2006) and some have been counted as endangered (*Crepis mollis*, *Scorzonera humilis* and *Silaum silaus*) and vulnerable (*Carex hartmanii*, *C. hostiana*, *Senecio rivularis*, *Thalictrum flavum* and *T. lucidum*) in the area of the former province of Cracow (Zajać & Zajać 1998a, 1998b). Many plants of the discussed group are sporadic and very rare in meadows of the examined area, among others such as: *Alchemilla crinita*, *Eriophorum latifolium*, *Scorzonera humilis*, *Senecio rivularis*, *Silaum silaus* and some sedges: *Carex dioica*, *C. distans*, *C. hartmanii*, *C. hostiana*, *C. lepidocarpa* and *C. tomentosa*. Two of the species considered as characteristic for meadows of the *Molinion* alliance – *Inula salicina* and *Carex tomentosa* – were noted also in xerothermic grasslands, but rarely.

3. A numerous group of protected species connected with the xerothermic grasslands of the south-eastern Silesian Upland. There are 22 taxa in this group and 2 of them are partially protected. Among these plants, 7 have been put on the "Red list ..." (Zarzycki & Szelağ 2006): 2 in the critically endangered category (*Gentianella amarella* and *Pulsatilla patens*), 1 in the vulnerable category (*Botrychium lunaria*) and 4 in the rare category (*Euphorbia epithymoides*, *Orobancha barthlingii*, *O. elatior* and *O. purpurea*). Two species (*Gentianella germanica* and *G. lutescens*) represent mountain element.

Majority of the protected species which were found in the grassland communities are sporadic and very rare not only in the south-eastern Silesian Upland but all over the region (Babczyńska-Sendek 2005). Among the rarest are: *Campanula sibirica*, *Euphorbia epithymoides*, *Gentiana cruciata*, *Orchis militaris*, *Orobancha barthlingii*, *O. purpurea* and *Pulsatilla patens*, whereas *Carlina acaulis*, *Ononis spinosa* and *Primula veris* are quite frequent.

4. The group of rare (in the whole Poland or in the region) but not protected species, which have been noted in xerothermic grasslands or grassland-like synanthropic habitats (e.g. on limestones and so called warpie – mounds surrounding post-mining excavation sites). It is also a numerous group with 34 species and the prevalence of typical xerophytes. However, 4 mountain plants were also found there. Three taxa have been put on the "Red list ..." (Zarzycki & Szelağ 2006): *Thesium alpinum* – as critically endangered beyond its main

range, *Biscutella laevigata* – as vulnerable beyond its main range and *Elymus hispidus* – as rare. *Allium montanum*, *Astragalus danicus*, *Hypochoeris maculata*, *Saxifraga*

tridactylites, *Thalictrum simplex*, *Thesium alpinum* and *Thymus glabrescens* are the rarest representatives of the group in the examined area of the Silesian Upland.

Table 1. *Cirsietum rivularis* Nowiński 1927

| | | | |
|---|----------|---|---------|
| Number of relevés in the table | 20 | Area of relevé (m ²) | 30-50 |
| Number of vascular plant species in one relevé | 36-55 | Number of vascular plant species in the table | 133 |
| Medium number of vascular plant species in one relevé | 46 | Number of vascular plant genera in the table | 81 |
| Cover of c layer (%) | 100 | Number of vascular plant families in the table | 28 |
| Cover of d layer (%) | + - 80 | | |
| I. Ch.+D. Calthion: | | | |
| <i>Cirsium rivulare</i> | V 2850 | <i>Ranunculus repens</i> | IV 232 |
| <i>Geum rivale</i> (D) | IV 167 | <i>Rhinanthus minor</i> | IV 122 |
| <i>Trollius europaeus</i> | III 1500 | <i>Bromus hordeaceus</i> | IV 102 |
| <i>Myosotis palustris</i> | III 158 | <i>Plantago lanceolata</i> | IV 55 |
| <i>Juncus effusus</i> | II 35 | <i>Crepis mollis</i> | III 228 |
| | | <i>Leucanthemum vulgare</i> | III 181 |
| | | <i>Lysimachia nummularia</i> | III 155 |
| | | <i>Leontodon hispidus</i> | III 117 |
| II. Ch. Molinion: | | <i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i> | III 92 |
| <i>Selinum carvifolia</i> | IV 228 | <i>Cynosurus cristatus</i> | III 68 |
| <i>Silaum silaus</i> | III 705 | <i>Achillea millefolium</i> | III 45 |
| <i>Betonica officinalis</i> | III 205 | <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> | II 355 |
| <i>Galium boreale</i> | II 256 | <i>Trifolium dubium</i> | II 185 |
| <i>Serratula tinctoria</i> | II 143 | <i>Crepis biennis</i> | II 121 |
| <i>Iris sibirica</i> | I 88 | <i>Phleum pratense</i> | II 100 |
| <i>Gladiolus imbricatus</i> | I 30 | <i>Rhinanthus serotinus</i> | II 62 |
| <i>Carex tomentosa</i> | I 2 | <i>Equisetum arvense</i> | II 56 |
| <i>Gentiana pneumonanthe</i> | I 2 | <i>Taraxacum officinale</i> agg. | II 35 |
| | | <i>Carex hirta</i> | II 15 |
| | | <i>Dactylis glomerata</i> | II 15 |
| | | <i>Cardamine pratensis</i> | II 10 |
| III. Ch. Molinietalia: | | <i>Alchemilla xanthochlora</i> | I 90 |
| <i>Lychnis flos-cuculi</i> | V 480 | <i>Alchemilla crinita</i> | I 5 |
| <i>Deschampsia caespitosa</i> | V 468 | | |
| <i>Alopecurus pratensis</i> | IV 552 | Accompanying species: | |
| <i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i> | III 555 | <i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i> | V 500 |
| <i>Filipendula ulmaria</i> | III 525 | <i>Cruciata glabra</i> | IV 211 |
| <i>Lotus uliginosus</i> | III 348 | <i>Galium verum</i> | IV 172 |
| <i>Galium uliginosum</i> | III 152 | <i>Briza media</i> | IV 150 |
| <i>Colchicum autumnale</i> | II 565 | <i>Ranunculus auricomus</i> | IV 128 |
| <i>Lysimachia vulgaris</i> | II 96 | <i>Luzula campestris</i> | III 23 |
| <i>Dactylorhiza majalis</i> | II 42 | <i>Stellaria graminea</i> | III 22 |
| <i>Angelica sylvestris</i> | II 40 | <i>Alchemilla glabra</i> | II 105 |
| <i>Carex hartmanii</i> | II 38 | <i>Carex palleescens</i> | II 85 |
| | | <i>Carex nigra</i> | II 42 |
| IV. Ch. Molinio-Arrhenatheretea: | | <i>Filipendula vulgaris</i> | II 38 |
| <i>Ranunculus acris</i> | V 1590 | <i>Scorzonera humilis</i> | II 36 |
| <i>Lathyrus pratensis</i> | V 1580 | <i>Ononis arvensis</i> | II 35 |
| <i>Holcus lanatus</i> | V 1236 | <i>Carex panicea</i> | II 35 |
| <i>Festuca pratensis</i> | V 885 | <i>Carex leporina</i> | II 12 |
| <i>Poa pratensis</i> | V 775 | <i>Carex vulpina</i> | II 12 |
| <i>Rumex acetosa</i> | V 770 | <i>Ranunculus serpens</i> subsp. <i>nemorosus</i> | I 25 |
| <i>Poa trivialis</i> | V 452 | <i>Valeriana simplicifolia</i> | I 2 |
| <i>Cerastium holosteoides</i> | V 158 | <i>Carex distans</i> | I 1 |
| <i>Alchemilla suberenata</i> | IV 1023 | <i>Listera ovata</i> | I 1 |
| <i>Trifolium pratense</i> | IV 948 | | |
| <i>Avenula pubescens</i> | IV 525 | | |
| <i>Festuca rubra</i> | IV 505 | | |
| <i>Centaurea jacea</i> | IV 348 | | |

Species with I presence degree: I. *Caltha palustris*, *Crepis paludosa*, *Juncus conglomeratus*, *Trifolium hybridum*; II. *Molinia caerulea*, *Succisa pratensis*; III. *Cirsium palustre*, *Equisetum palustre*, *Geranium palustre*; IV. *Alchemilla acutiloba*, *A. gracilis*, *A. monticola*, *Agrostis gigantea*, *Bellis perennis*, *Campanula patula*, *Carum carvi*, *Galium mollugo*, *Heracleum sphondylium*, *Lolium perenne*, *Pimpinella major*, *Prunella vulgaris*, *Trifolium repens*, *Trisetum flavescens*, *Vicia cracca*; V. *Agrostis canina*, *A. capillaris*, *Ajuga reptans*, *Carex demissa*, *C. flacca*, *Centaurea scabiosa*, *Chaerophyllum hirsutum*, *Eleocharis uniglumis*, *Equisetum sylvaticum*, *Ficaria verna*, *Galium palustre*, *Juncus articulatus*, *Juncus compressus*, *Lathyrus vernus*, *Linum catharticum*, *Luzula multiflora*, *Medicago lupulina*, *Mentha aquatica*, *Poa palustris*, *Potentilla erecta*, *Plantago media*, *Rumex crispus*, *Salix cinerea*, *Salix rosmarinifolia*, *Trifolium medium*, *Vicia sepium*

Explanations: protected and rare species have a shadowed background, additionally, the names of protected species are written in bold

3.2. Examples of valuable meadow and grassland communities

Chosen phytosociological relevés have been used in order to illustrate the floristic richness and diversity of certain meadow phytocoenoses from the south-eastern part of the Silesian Upland. Relevés were made in the floristically rich community patches from the area of extensive meadow complexes situated in the northern districts of Dąbrowa Górnicza (Łęka, Tucznowa) and in the areas adjacent to the villages of Trzebyczka and Niegowonice.

In majority, these communities represent the *Cirsietum rivularis* association (Table 1), which is characterized by the exceptional floristic richness (maximum 55 and on average 46 species per 1 phytosociological relevé). It is conditioned by rich in calcium carbonate bedrock and extensive traditional usage. Actually, these are the richest phytocoenoses of non-forest communities recognized in the south-eastern part of the Silesian Upland.

Phytocoenoses of *Cirsietum rivularis* from the discussed area are characterized by frequent and sometimes abundant occurrence of *Trollius europaeus* and the presence of many species considered as characteristic to the *Molinion* alliance. Some of them are protected species and some are threatened in the area of Poland or in the region. On the whole, these plants do not achieve a considerable cover, and only some of them were noted with higher constancy. It was observed that directly after the cessation of mowing their participation became greater. In phytocoenoses of these meadows 8 protected species (1 of them partially) and 11 rare, including 3 mountain plants, were noted.

Moreover, phytocoenoses of extensively managed wet pasture – the *Carex panicea-Nardus stricta* community (Table 2) in the vicinity of Niegowonice appeared as exceptionally floristically rich. Their character is in-between the wet mat-grass community, fen and purple moor grass meadow. In patches of this community 43-52 (on average 47) species of vascular

Table 2. *Carex panicea-Nardus stricta* community

| | | | |
|--|-------|--|----|
| Number of relevés in the table | 3 | Area of relevé (m ²) | 50 |
| Number of vascular plant species in one relevé | 43-52 | Number of vascular plant species in the table | 71 |
| Medium number of vascular plant species in one relevé | 47 | Number of vascular plant genera in the table | 47 |
| Cover of c layer (%) | 100 | Number of vascular plant families in the table | 23 |
| Cover of d layer (%) | 30-40 | | |
| I. Ch. <i>Nardo-Callunetea</i>: | | | |
| <i>Nardus stricta</i> | 3 | 2667 | |
| <i>Potentilla erecta</i> | 3 | 1183 | |
| <i>Danthonia decumbens</i> | 3 | 200 | |
| <i>Luzula multiflora</i> | 2 | 33 | |
| II. Ch. <i>Scheuchzerio-Caricetea</i>: | | | |
| <i>Carex panicea</i> | 3 | 2417 | |
| <i>Carex pulicaris</i> | 3 | 617 | |
| <i>Juncus articulatus</i> | 3 | 500 | |
| <i>Ranunculus flammula</i> | 2 | 333 | |
| <i>Carex lepidocarpa</i> | 2 | 33 | |
| <i>Epipactis palustris</i> | 2 | 33 | |
| <i>Carex flava</i> | 1 | 167 | |
| III. Ch. <i>Molinio-Arrhenatheretea</i> (°<i>Molinietalia</i>): | | | |
| <i>Centaurea jacea</i> | 3 | 1333 | |
| ° <i>Cirsium palustre</i> | 3 | 1183 | |
| <i>Holcus lanatus</i> | 3 | 917 | |
| ° <i>Deschampsia caespitosa</i> | 3 | 767 | |
| <i>Prunella vulgaris</i> | 3 | 350 | |
| <i>Ranunculus acris</i> | 3 | 350 | |
| ° <i>Galium uliginosum</i> | 3 | 200 | |
| ° <i>Juncus conglomeratus</i> | 3 | 200 | |
| <i>Trifolium pratense</i> | 3 | 200 | |
| <i>Achillea millefolium</i> | 3 | 50 | |
| ° <i>Carex hartmanii</i> | 3 | 50 | |
| IV. Accompanying species: | | | |
| <i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i> | 3 | 1333 | |
| <i>Carex flacca</i> | 3 | 1333 | |
| <i>Carex pallescens</i> | 3 | 917 | |
| <i>Briza media</i> | 3 | 500 | |
| <i>Agrostis capillaris</i> | 3 | 350 | |
| <i>Carex demissa</i> | 2 | 183 | |
| <i>Linum catharticum</i> | 2 | 183 | |
| <i>Stellaria graminea</i> | 2 | 33 | |
| <i>Cirsium vulgare</i> | 2 | 20 | |
| <i>Platanthera bifolia</i> | 1 | 17 | |

Species appearing only in 1 relevé: I. *Calluna vulgaris*, *Polygala vulgaris*, *Viola canina*; II. *Carex echinata*, *C. nigra*, *Viola canina*; III. *Cardamine pratensis*, *Festuca pratensis*, *F. rubra*, °*Juncus effusus*, *Leontodon autumnalis*, *Lychnis flos-cuculi*, *Lysimachia nummularia*, °*Molinia caerulea*, *Plantago major*, *Poa pratensis*, *Ranunculus repens*, *Rhinanthus minor*, *Rumex acetosa*, °*Succisa pratensis*, *Trifolium repens*; IV. *Carex spicata*, *Cruciata glabra*, *Galium palustre*, *Hypochoeris radicata*, *Mentha arvensis*

Explanations: protected and rare species have a shadowed background, additionally, the names of protected species are written in bold

Table 3. *Adonido-Brachypodietum* (Libb. 1933) Krausch 1961

| No. of the table | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Subassociation | A-B p | A-B t | A-B a |
| Number of relevés in the table | 10 | 20 | 10 |
| Number of vascular plant species in one relevé | 36-43 | 36-49 | 22-44 |
| Medium number of vascular plant species in one relevé | 40 | 41 | 37 |
| Number of vascular plant species in the table | 88 | 136 | 104 |
| Number of vascular plant genera in the table | 75 | 87 | 80 |
| Number of vascular plant families in the table | 27 | 38 | 33 |
| Area of relevé (m ²) | 50 | 50-100 | 50 |
| Cover of c layer (%) | 90-100 | 95-100 | 95-100 |
| Cover of d layer (%) | +30 | +20 | +10 |
| Total number of vascular plant species in all tables | | 166 | |
| Total number of vascular plant genera in all tables | | 109 | |
| Total number of vascular plant families in all tables | | 42 | |
| I. Ch. <i>Cirsio-Brachypodion</i>: | | | |
| <i>Brachypodium pinnatum</i> | V 2000 | V 5075 | IV 1305 |
| <i>Seseli annuum</i> | V 180 | IV 125 | IV 40 |
| <i>Fragaria viridis</i> | IV 345 | IV 905 | II 230 |
| <i>Potentilla heptaphylla</i> | IV 175 | IV 170 | II 110 |
| <i>Prunella grandiflora</i> | I 5 | II 877 | V 1080 |
| <i>Anthericum ramosum</i> | I 5 | I 30 | V 6500 |
| <i>Trifolium montanum</i> | II 15 | III 555 | III 115 |
| <i>Polygala comosa</i> | I 5 | III 28 | II 15 |
| <i>Campanula glomerata</i> | I 5 | I 52 | III 200 |
| <i>Melampyrum arvense</i> | II 560 | I 218 | I 5 |
| <i>Plantago media</i> | . | III 205 | I 10 |
| <i>Filipendula vulgaris</i> | . | II 65 | I 10 |
| <i>Peucedanum cervaria</i> | . | I 275 | II 925 |
| <i>Thesium linophyllum</i> | . | I 28 | I 225 |
| <i>Viola hirta</i> | . | I 10 | I 5 |
| <i>Cirsium acaule</i> | . | I 175 | . |
| <i>Hypochoeris maculata</i> | . | . | I 5 |
| II. Ch. <i>Festuco-Brometea</i>: | | | |
| <i>Helianthemum nummularium</i> subsp. <i>obscurum</i> | V 2130 | IV 1465 | V 1205 |
| <i>Euphorbia cyparissias</i> | V 1530 | V 502 | V 180 |
| <i>Carex caryophylla</i> | V 610 | V 262 | V 225 |
| <i>Carlina acaulis</i> | V 305 | V 805 | IV 300 |
| <i>Scabiosa ochroleuca</i> | V 270 | V 195 | IV 175 |
| <i>Achillea collina</i> | IV 85 | V 502 | IV 80 |
| <i>Anthyllis vulneraria</i> | IV 130 | V 402 | IV 510 |
| <i>Medicago falcata</i> | V 985 | V 500 | III 70 |
| <i>Centaurea scabiosa</i> | V 815 | IV 575 | III 240 |
| <i>Sanguisorba minor</i> | V 600 | IV 145 | III 75 |
| <i>Galium album</i> p.p. | IV 175 | V 303 | II 110 |
| <i>Phleum phleoides</i> | V 2660 | II 102 | III 565 |
| <i>Ononis spinosa</i> | II 730 | IV 1412 | III 655 |
| <i>Arabis hirsuta</i> | IV 35 | III 48 | II 20 |
| <i>Asperula cynanchica</i> | I 350 | IV 335 | V 355 |
| <i>Peucedanum oreoselinum</i> | II 555 | IV 1215 | IV 810 |
| <i>Dianthus carthusianorum</i> | IV 1410 | I 78 | IV 250 |
| <i>Poa angustifolia</i> | III 120 | IV 602 | I 225 |
| <i>Centaurea stoebe</i> | IV 255 | II 12 | I 5 |
| <i>Pimpinella saxifraga</i> | III 30 | II 20 | III 25 |
| <i>Coronilla varia</i> | I 10 | III 195 | II 15 |
| <i>Agrimonia eupatoria</i> | I 5 | III 115 | I 55 |
| <i>Chamaecytisus ratisbonensis</i> | I 5 | I 8 | III 505 |
| <i>Veronica spicata</i> | III 440 | I 30 | I 5 |
| <i>Artemisia campestris</i> | II 60 | I 5 | I 5 |
| <i>Verbascum lychnitis</i> | II 400 | I 5 | I 5 |
| <i>Salvia pratensis</i> | IV 790 | III 675 | . |
| <i>Thalictrum minus</i> | III 21 | II 35 | . |
| <i>Bromus inermis</i> | II 60 | I 240 | . |
| <i>Silene otites</i> | II 360 | I 25 | . |
| <i>Euphrasia stricta</i> | I 10 | . | II 60 |
| <i>Potentilla arenaria</i> | . | I 25 | II 15 |
| <i>Carlina vulgaris</i> | . | I 5 | II 7 |
| <i>Libanotis pyrenaica</i> | I 55 | I 28 | . |
| <i>Chamaecytisus supinus</i> | I 5 | . | . |

| | | | | | |
|--|-----|------|-----|-----|--------|
| Orobanche lutea | I | 5 | . | . | . |
| <i>Viola rupestris</i> | . | . | . | . | I 10 |
| Anemone sylvestris | . | . | . | . | I 5 |
| <i>Crepis praemorsa</i> | . | . | . | . | I 5 |
| III. Ch. Molinio-Arrhenatheretea: | | | | | |
| <i>Knautia arvensis</i> | V | 185 | V | 460 | III 25 |
| <i>Leontodon hispidus</i> | III | 200 | V | 292 | IV 85 |
| <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> | III | 70 | IV | 430 | II 65 |
| <i>Plantago lanceolata</i> | IV | 250 | III | 50 | II 20 |
| <i>Avenula pubescens</i> | I | 10 | II | 230 | III 25 |
| <i>Centaurea jacea</i> | I | 55 | II | 145 | I 5 |
| <i>Galium boreale</i> | . | . | I | 280 | II 190 |
| <i>Trifolium pratense</i> | . | . | II | 85 | I 5 |
| Inula salicina | . | . | . | . | I 225 |
| IV. Accompanying species: | | | | | |
| <i>Thymus pulegioides</i> | V | 1560 | V | 392 | V 565 |
| <i>Briza media</i> | IV | 130 | V | 962 | IV 390 |
| <i>Festuca rubra</i> | V | 135 | IV | 405 | II 235 |
| <i>Linum catharticum</i> | II | 15 | IV | 140 | IV 175 |
| <i>Cerastium arvense</i> | V | 225 | III | 25 | II 15 |
| <i>Arenaria serpyllifolia</i> | V | 520 | II | 38 | I 5 |
| <i>Festuca ovina</i> | II | 60 | II | 15 | V 520 |
| <i>Silene vulgaris</i> | IV | 40 | III | 110 | III 30 |
| <i>Agrostis capillaris</i> | I | 10 | III | 262 | I 10 |
| <i>Campanula rapunculoides</i> | II | 20 | II | 38 | I 5 |
| <i>Galium verum</i> | II | 20 | II | 35 | I 5 |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | III | 30 | I | 5 | I 5 |
| <i>Hieracium pilosella</i> | III | 70 | I | 8 | I 5 |
| <i>Fragaria vesca</i> | I | 5 | II | 110 | I 5 |
| <i>Danthonia decumbens</i> | I | 175 | II | 38 | I 10 |
| <i>Cardaminopsis arenosa</i> | V | 475 | II | 60 | . |
| <i>Carex flacca</i> | . | . | III | 228 | II 15 |
| Primula veris | . | . | II | 58 | III 21 |
| <i>Cruciata glabra</i> | . | . | I | 5 | III 75 |
| <i>Geranium sanguineum</i> | . | . | I | 25 | II 605 |
| <i>Pteridium aquilinum</i> | . | . | I | 2 | II 181 |
| <i>Agropyron repens</i> | II | 60 | I | 1 | . |
| <i>Carex ericetorum</i> | II | 15 | I | 2 | . |
| <i>Rumex acetosella</i> | II | 15 | I | 25 | . |
| Jovibarba sobolifera | III | 165 | . | . | . |
| Ononis arvensis | I | 5 | . | . | . |
| <i>Carex montana</i> | . | . | II | 328 | . |
| <i>Alchemilla glaucescens</i> | . | . | I | . | . |
| Gentianella germanica | . | . | I | 2 | . |
| Epipactis atrorubens | . | . | . | . | I 5 |
| Thesium alpinum | . | . | . | . | I 5 |

Species appearing with I presence degree in 2 or 3 tables: II. *Falcaria vulgaris* 1,2; *Poa compressa* 2,3; *Ranunculus bulbosus* 1,2; *Salvia verticillata* 2,3; III. *Betonica officinalis* 2,3; *Dactylis glomerata* 1,2; *Leucanthemum vulgare* 2,3; *Tragopogon orientalis* 1,2; *Vicia cracca* 2,3; IV. *Betula pendula* 1,2,3; *Crataegus monogyna* 2,3; *Hypericum perforatum* 1,2,3; *Potentilla erecta* 2,3; *Prunus spinosa* 1,2; *Rhamnus cathartica* 2,3; *Rubus caesius* 2,3; *Sedum acre* 1,2; *Sedum maximum* 1,2,3; *Solidago virgaurea* 2,3; *Veronica chamaedrys* 2,3

Species appearing in 1 table: II. *Ajuga genevensis* 1, *Allium oleraceum* 3, *Festuca trachyphylla* 2, *Origanum vulgare* 2, *Potentilla neumanniana* 1; III. *Centaurea oxylepis* 2; *Cerastium holosteoides* 2, *Cynosurus cristatus* 2, *Lolium perenne* 2, *Poa pratensis* 2, *Ranunculus acris* 2, *Rumex acetosa* 2, *Taraxacum officinale* 2 (II), *Trisetum flavescens* 2, *Rhinanthus minor* 2; IV. *Agrostis stolonifera* 3, *Anthoxanthum odoratum* 2, *Astragalus glycyphyllos* 2, *Calluna vulgaris* 2, *Camelina microcarpa* 1, *Campanula persicifolia* 3, *C. rotundifolia* 3, *Centaurea cyanus* 1, *Cerasus vulgaris* 3, *Clinopodium vulgare* 3, *Consolida regalis* 1, *Conyza canadensis* 2, *Cornus sanguinea* 2, *Dianthus deltooides* 3, *Equisetum arvense* 2, *Euphorbia esula* 2, *Luzula campestris* 2 (II), *Medicago sativa* 2, *Medicago x varia* 2, *Onobrychis vicifolia* 1, *Papaver rhoeas* 2, *Picris hieracioides* 2, *Pinus sylvestris* 2(II), *Polygala vulgaris* 2, *Populus tremula* 3, *Prunella vulgaris* 2, *Reseda lutea* 1, *Rhinanthus serotinus* 2, *Rosa agrestis* 1, *Rosa canina* 2(II), *Rumex thyrsiflorus* 2, *Silene nutans* 3, *Trifolium medium* 2, *Vicia tenuifolia* 2

Explanations: protected and rare species have a shadowed background, additionally, the names of protected species are written in bold; A-B p – *Adonido-Brachypodietum phleotsum*, A-Bt – *Adonido-Brachypodietum typicum*, A-B a – *Adonido-Brachypodietum anthericetosum*

plants were noted, among which 4 are protected and 4 are rare. The occurrence of *Carex pulicaris* – the species from the “Red list ...” (Zarzycki & Szela 2006) is especially worth noticing here.

The phytosociological relevés made in the richest patches of xerothermic grasslands in different points of

the south-eastern part of the Silesian Upland were chosen in order to show their floristic diversity. They represent the *Adonido-Brachypodietum* association, i.e., saying precisely, 3 subassociations out of the 4 distinguished in the Silesian Upland (Babczyńska-Sendek 2005). In comparison to the formerly discussed meadow associations,

the latter ones are slightly floristically poorer; in 1 relevé there were noted maximum 49 species, on average 40, 41 and 37 in the case of particular subassociations (Table 3). In the table there are 9 protected species and 14 rare in Poland or in the region; 3 of them are mountain plants.

4. Final remarks

The list of protected and threatened plant species which are connected with meadow communities and xerothermic grasslands and the floristic richness of certain meadows and grasslands presented in the synthetic tables show how great is the importance of these communities for floristic diversity of the south-eastern Silesian Upland. The species in question are very valuable and significant components of the regional species pool in the sense of Zobel (1997). The areas of floristically rich meadows and grasslands are not only the habitats for many valuable plant species, but also the local "hot spots" – very important for biodiversity maintenance.

Numerous meadow and grassland plant species which are threatened in the area of the south-eastern Silesian Upland have the same status in many other regions of Poland including the adjacent ones (Zajac & Zajac 1998a, 1998b; Nowak *et al.* 2003; Jackowiak *et al.* 2007). It should be emphasized that the plants of wet meadows and xerothermic grasslands are the most numerous groups among other species put on the red list of the former Province of Cracow (Zajac & Zajac 1998a).

Meadow and grassland communities are especially important components of vegetation and condition the

retaining of high biodiversity level. Therefore, problems connected with their preservation have been focusing the attention of researchers for a long time, both in Poland (e.g. Michalik 1990a, 1990b; Kornaś 1990; Barabasz 1994; Kucharski 1999) and other European countries (e.g. Grootjans *et al.* 1985, 1996; Willems 1990; Garcia 1992; Norderhaug *et al.* 2000; Wallis De Vries *et al.* 2002).

The role of retaining of regional and local species pools for the protection of different community types as well as for planning and monitoring of community restoration is also often emphasized (Zobel *et al.* 1998; Losvik 2007; Sádlo *et al.* 2007).

In the south-eastern part of the Silesian Upland moist meadows and xerothermic grasslands are extremely endangered because of changes in the way of using rural lands. A great number of meadow patches is being abandoned and their mowing is given up. Sometimes they are afforested. Also, xerothermic grassland communities undergo the disadvantageous changes which are connected with giving up pasturing, rarely with afforestation. The above mentioned tendencies have been noticed in many regions of Poland, but they are exceptionally intensive in the area of the Silesian Upland; especially with reference to meadows. The concentration of urban centres and development of industry cause that people quite easily find other ways of living than farming. Therefore, the most valuable parts of meadows and grasslands of the discussed area require active and urgent protection. Otherwise, we will witness their complete disappearance followed by the extinction of many rare plants.

References

- BABCZYŃSKA-SENDEK B. 2005. Problemy fitogeograficzne i syntaksonomiczne kserotermów Wyżyny Śląskiej. Prace naukowe Uniw. Śląskiego w Katowicach 2296: 1-237.
- BABCZYŃSKA-SENDEK B., CABAŁA S. & WILCZEK Z. 1994. Ochrona łąk w okolicach Trzebyczki na Wyżynie Śląskiej. Chrońmy Przyr. Ojcz. 50(2): 74-79.
- BABCZYŃSKA-SENDEK B., KOMPALA A. & MAĆKOWIAK G. 1997. Nowe stanowisko *Euphorbia epithymoides* L. na Wyżynie Śląskiej. Fragm. Flor. Geobot. Ser. Polonica 4: 371-374.
- BABCZYŃSKA-SENDEK B., ROK A. & SOCHACKA M. 2003. Rzadkie i interesujące gatunki roślin naczyniowych okolic Poręby koło Zawiercia (Wyżyna Śląska). Fragm. Flor. Geobot. Polonica 10: 19-25.
- BARABASZ B. 1994. Wpływ modyfikacji tradycyjnych metod gospodarowania na przemiany roślinności łąk z klasy *Molinio-Arrhenatheretea*. Wiad. Bot. 38(1-2): 85-94.
- BARYŁA J. & NOWAK T. 2001. *Euphorbia epithymoides* L. – Wilczomlec pstry. In: R. Kaźmierczakowa & K. Zarzycki (eds.). Polska Czerwona Księga Roślin, pp. 237-239. Instytut Botaniki im. W. Szafera PAN, Instytut Ochrony Przyrody PAN, Kraków.
- BERNACKI L. & GUMIENIAK A. 2000. Obfite występowanie gółki długoostrogowej *Gymnadenia conopsea* (L.) R. Br. (*Orchidaceae*) w rejonie Chrzanowa na Wyżynie Śląsko-Krakowskiej. Natura Silesiae Superioris 4: 15-22.
- BERNACKI L. & NOWAK T. 1994. Materiały do rozmieszczenia i poznania zasobów chronionych gatunków roślin naczyniowych centralnej części Wyżyny Śląsko-Krakowskiej. Acta Biol. Siles. 25(42): 24-42.
- BERNACKI L., NOWAK T., URBISZ A., URBISZ A. & TOKARSKA-GUZIUK B. 2000. Rośliny chronione, zagrożone i rzadkie we florze województwa śląskiego. Acta Biol. Siles. 35(52): 78-107.

- BULA R. & NOWAK T. 2000. Kosaciec syberyjski (*Iris sibirica* L.) w zbiorowiskach łąkowych na Wyżynie Śląskiej. *Natura Silesiae Superioris* 4: 45-56.
- CELIŃSKI F., CABAŁA S., WIKA S. & BABCZYŃSKA-SENDEK B. 1982. Nowe stanowiska rzadkich roślin naczyniowych na Górnym Śląsku i terenach przyległych. Cz. V. Opolskie Tow. Przyj. Nauk, Zesz. Przyr. 21: 3-11.
- CELIŃSKI F., CZYŁOK A. & KUBAJAK A. 1996. Przewodnik przyrodniczy po Dąbrowie Górnicej. 72 pp. Planta, Krzeszowice.
- CELIŃSKI F., LUDERA F., ROSTAŃSKI K., SENDEK A. & WIKA S. 1974/1975. Nowe stanowiska rzadkich roślin naczyniowych na Górnym Śląsku i terenach przyległych. Cz. I, II. Opolskie Tow. Przyj. Nauk, Zesz. Przyr. 14-15: 11-31.
- CELIŃSKI F., ROSTAŃSKI K., SENDEK A., WIKA S. & CABAŁA S. 1976. Nowe stanowiska rzadkich roślin naczyniowych na Górnym Śląsku i terenach przyległych. Cz. III. Opolskie Tow. Przyj. Nauk, Zesz. Przyr. 16: 15-31.
- CELIŃSKI F., ROSTAŃSKI K., SENDEK A., WIKA S. & CABAŁA S. 1978/1979. Nowe stanowiska rzadkich roślin naczyniowych na Górnym Śląsku i terenach przyległych. Cz. IV. Opolskie Tow. Przyj. Nauk, Zesz. Przyr. 18: 3-18.
- CIEPAŁ R. & JĘDRZEJKO K. 1977. Nowe stanowiska pełnika europejskiego *Trollius europaeus* i kosaćca syberyjskiego *Iris sibirica* na Wyżynie Śląskiej. *Chrońmy Przyr. Ojcz.* 33(2): 50-53.
- DUBIEL E. & GAWROŃSKI S. 1998. Osobliwości szaty roślinnej Miasta i Gminy Chrzanów. *Chrońmy Przyr. Ojcz.* 54(1): 5-15.
- GARCIA A. 1992. Conserving the species-rich meadows of Europe. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 40: 219-232.
- GILEWSKA S. 1972. Wyżyny Śląsko-Małopolskie. In: M. Klimaszewski (ed.). *Geomorfologia Polski* 1, pp. 232-339. PWN, Warszawa.
- GROOTJANS A. P., SCHIPPER P. C. & VAN DER WINDT H. J. 1985. Influence of drainage on N-mineralization and vegetation response in wet meadows. I. *Calthion palustris* stands. In: A. P. GROOTJANS (ed.). *Changes of groundwater regime in wet meadows*, pp. 75-91. Univ. Groningen, Groningen.
- GROOTJANS A. P., FRESKO L. F. M., DE LEEUW C. C. & SCHIPPER P. C. 1996. Degeneration of species-rich *Calthion palustris* hay meadows; some considerations on the community concept. *Jour. Veg. Sci.* 7: 185-194.
- JACKOWIAK B., CELKA Z., CHMIEL J., LATOWSKI K. & ŻUKOWSKI W. 2007. Red list of vascular flora of Wielkopolska (Poland). *Biodiv. Res. Conserv.* 5-8: 95-127.
- JĘDRZEJKO K. & STEBEL A. 1998. Flora naczyniowa i zbiorowiska roślinne projektowanego rezerwatu przyrody "Podwarpie" koło Siewierza (Wyżyna Śląska). *Arch. Ochr. Środ.* 24(1): 121-140.
- KAZIUK H. & LEWANDOWSKI J. 1980. Objasnienia do mapy geologicznej Polski 1:200 000. Arkusz Kraków. Wydawnictwa Geologiczne, Warszawa.
- KORNAŚ J. 1990. Jak i dlaczego giną nasze zespoły roślinne. *Wiad. Bot.* 34(2): 7-16.
- KUCHARSKI L. 1999. Szata roślinna łąk Polski Środkowej i jej zmiany w XX stuleciu. 168 pp. Wyd. Uniw. Łódzkiego, Łódź.
- LOSVIK M. H. 2007. Regional species pools of hay meadows: A case study. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 10: 239-248.
- MALEWSKI K. 2006. Zbiorowiska łąkowe dolin rzecznych zlewni Białej Przemszy. *Natura Silesiae Superioris* 9: 41-61.
- MICHALIK S. 1990a. Rola nieklimaksowych biocenoz w parkach narodowych i rezerwach. *Prądnik. Prace Muz. Szafera* 2: 9-16.
- MICHALIK S. 1990b. Sukcesja wtórna i problemy aktywnej ochrony biocenoz półnaturalnych w parkach narodowych i rezerwach przyrody. *Prądnik. Prace Muz. Szafera* 2: 175-198.
- MIREK Z., PIĘKOŚ-MIRKOWA H., ZAJĄC A. & ZAJĄC M. 2002. Flowering plants and pteridophytes of Poland. A Checklist. In: Z. MIREK (ed.). *Biodiversity of Poland* 1, 442 pp. W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków.
- NORDERHAUNG A., IHSE M. & PEDERSEN O. 2000. Biotope patterns and abundance of meadow plant species in a Norwegian rural landscape. *Landscape Ecol.* 15: 201-218.
- NOWAK A., NOWAK S. & SPAŁEK K. 2003. Red list of vascular plants of Opole Province. *Opole Scientific Society, Nature Journal* 36: 5-19.
- NOWAK T. 1997a. Flora synantropijna linii kolejowej Dąbrowa Górnicza Strzemieszyce-Olkusz. *Acta Biol. Siles.* 30(47): 86-105.
- NOWAK T. 1997b. Nowe stanowisko sasanki otwartej *Pulsatilla patens* (L.) Mill. w okolicach Bolesławia we wschodniej części Garbu Tarnogórskiego. *Acta Biol. Siles.* 30(47): 161-164.
- NOWAK T. 1998. Chronione i zagrożone regionalnie gatunki roślin naczyniowych we wschodniej części Garbu Tarnogórskiego (Wyżyna Śląska). *Natura Silesiae Superioris* 2: 5-15.
- NOWAK T. 1999a. Atlas rozmieszczenia roślin naczyniowych na terenie wschodniej części Garbu Tarnogórskiego (Wyżyna Śląska). *Materiały i Opracowania* 2: 1-103. Centrum Dziedzictwa Przyrody Górnego Śląska, Katowice.
- NOWAK T. 1999b. Storzycy samicy – rzadki gatunek na Wyżynie Śląskiej. *Przyroda Górnego Śląska* 15: 16.
- NOWAK T., BABCZYŃSKA-SENDEK B. & ZAUFAL T. 2003. Materiały do atlasu rozmieszczenia oraz stanu zasobów roślin chronionych i zagrożonych rejonu górnośląskiego – PRESS. Część 8. *Euphorbia epithymoides* L. (*Euphorbiaceae*) w województwie śląskim i na terenach sąsiednich. *Acta Biol. Siles.* 37(54): 39-49.
- NOWAK T. & BERNACKI L. 1992. Projekt użytku ekologicznego "Przemiarki" w Dąbrowie Górnicej-Tucznaście (Wyżyna Śląska) dla ochrony stanowiska pełnika europejskiego *Trollius europaeus* L. i kosaćca syberyjskiego *Iris sibirica* L. *Kształt. środ. geogr. i ochr. przyr. na obsz. uprzem. i zurban.* 7: 26-32. WBiOŚ, WNoZ UŚ, Katowice-Sosnowiec.
- NOWAK T. & BERNACKI L. 1997. Materiały do poznania flory oraz zasobów roślin chronionych wybranych płatów łąk wschodnich obrzeży aglomeracji górnośląskiej. *Acta Biol. Siles.* 30(47): 139-152.
- NOWAK T., TOKARSKA-GUZIUK B. & CHMURA D. 2000. Materiały do atlasu rozmieszczenia oraz stanu zasobów roślin chronionych i zagrożonych rejonu górnośląskiego –

- PRRESS. Część 7. *Pulsatilla patens* (L.) Mill. (*Ranunculaceae*). Acta Biol. Siles. 35(52): 191-199.
- PARUSEL J., WIKA S. & BULA R. (eds.). 1996. Czerwona lista roślin naczyniowych Górnego Śląska. Raporty i Opinie 1: 8-42.
- ROK A. & SOCHACKA M. 2002. Godne ochrony polany śródleśne w Porębie koło Zawiercia. Przyroda Górnego Śląska 28: 3
- ROSTAŃSKI K., BERNACKI L. & GUCWA E. 1994. Nowe stanowiska goryczek *Gentiana* L. i goryczuszek *Gentianella* Moench ze wschodniej części województwa katowickiego. Acta Biol. Siles. 25(42): 20-23.
- ROSTAŃSKI K. & JĘDRZEJKO K. 1976. O występowaniu *Euphorbia epithymoides* L. (= *E. polychroma* A. Kerner) w okolicach Będzina w województwie katowickim. Fragm. Flor. Geobot. 22: 295-299.
- SÁDLO J., CHYTRÝ M. & PYŠEK P. 2007. Regional species pools of vascular plants in habitats of the Czech Republic. Preslia 79: 303-321.
- SENDEK A. 1984. Rośliny naczyniowe Górnośląskiego Okręgu Przemysłowego. 138 pp. Opolskie Tow. Przyj. Nauk, PWN, Warszawa-Wrocław.
- SENDEK A. & WIKA S. 1992. W sprawie ochrony Góry Św. Doroty – projekt zespołu przyrodniczo-krajobrazowego. Kształt. środ. geogr. i ochr. przyr. na obsz. uprzem. i zurban. 7: 33-41. WBiOŚ, WNoZ UŚ Katowice-Sosnowiec.
- TOKARSKA-GUZIŁ B. 1997. Rozmieszczenie i zasoby roślin chronionych na terenie miasta Jaworzno. Acta Biol. Siles. 30(47): 106-124.
- TOKARSKA-GUZIŁ B. 1999. Atlas rozmieszczenia roślin naczyniowych w Jaworznie (Wyżyna Śląska). Prace Bot. 34: 1-292. Instytut Botaniki UJ.
- TOKARSKA-GUZIŁ B. & ROSTAŃSKI A. 1996. Obszar Chronionego Krajobrazu "Dobra-Wilkoszyn" w Jaworznie. Chronimy Przyr. Ojcz. 52(1): 89-95.
- TOKARSKA-GUZIŁ B., ROSTAŃSKI A., HERCZEK A., GORCZYCA J. & DULIAS R. 1998. Przyroda miasta Jaworzno. 117 pp. Intergraph, Jaworzno.
- UZIĘBŁO A. & WIKA S. 1993. W sprawie zachowania stanowiska mieczyka dachówkowatego *Gladiolus imbricatus* L. i innych gatunków chronionych w Dąbrowie Górniczej na Wyżynie Śląskiej. Kształt. środ. geogr. i ochr. przyr. na obsz. uprzem. i zurban. 11: 24-31. WBiOŚ, WNoZ UŚ, Katowice-Sosnowiec.
- WALLIS DE VRIES M. F., POSCHLOD P. & WILLEMS J. H. 2002. Challenges for the conservation of calcareous grasslands in northwestern Europe: integrating the requirements of flora and fauna. Biological Conserv. 104: 265-273.
- WIKAS. & SZCZYPEK T. 1990. W sprawie projektowanego rezerwatu Diabla Góra koło Bukowna. Chronimy Przyr. Ojcz. 45(6): 78-85.
- WIKAS. & SZCZYPEK T. 1991. Projektowany pomnik przyrody "Sasanka – Stary Olkusz": potrzeba ochrony ekosystemu zdegradowanego przez człowieka. Kształt. środ. geogr. i ochr. przyr. na obsz. uprzem. i zurban. 1: 40-46. WBiOŚ, WNoZ UŚ, Katowice-Sosnowiec.
- WILLEMS J. H. 1990. Calcareous grasslands in Continental Europe. In: S. H. HILLIER, D. H. W. WALTON & D. A. WELLS (eds.). Calcareous grasslands. Ecology and management, pp. 3-10. Bluntisham Books, Bluntisham, UK.
- ZAJĄC M. 1996. Mountain vascular plants in the Polish lowlands. Polish Bot. Stud. 11: 1-92.
- ZAJĄC M. & ZAJĄC A. 1998a. Czerwona lista roślin naczyniowych byłego województwa krakowskiego. Ochr. Przyr. 55: 25-35.
- ZAJĄC M. & ZAJĄC A. 1998b. Distribution atlas of vascular plants in Cracow province. Legally protected, endangered, vulnerable and rare species. 134 pp. Edited by Laboratory of Computer Chorology, Institute of Botany, Jagiellonian University, Cracow.
- ZARZYCKI K. & SZELĄG Z. 2006. Red list of the vascular plants in Poland. In: Z. MIREK, K. ZARZYCKI, W. WOJEWODA & Z. SZELĄG (eds.). Red list of plants and fungi in Poland, pp. 9-20. W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków.
- ZOBEL M. 1997. The relative role of species pools in determining plant species richness: an alternative explanation of species coexistence. Trends Ecol. Evol. 12: 266-269.
- ZOBEL M., VAN DER MAAREL E. & DUPRÉ C. 1998. Species pool: the concept, its determination and significance for community restoration. Appl. Veg. Sci. 1: 55-66.

Appendix. Protected and rare vascular plant species recorded in the meadow complexes and xerothermic grasslands or grassland-like habitats of the south-eastern Silesian Upland

| Species | Frequency | Authors of data ¹ |
|--|-----------|---|
| Protected vascular plant species recorded in meadow complexes | | |
| <i>Carex davalliana</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Carex pulicaris</i> | 1 | Uziębło & Wika 1993; author's materials 1988 |
| <i>Centaurium erythraea</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Centaurium pulchellum</i> | (1) | Celiński i in. 1978/79 |
| <i>Colchicum autumnale</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Dactylorhiza incarnata</i> | (2) | Nowak & Bernacki 1997; Tokarska-Guzik 1997; Nowak 1998, 1999a |
| <i>Dactylorhiza maculata</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Dactylorhiza majalis</i> | 4 | |
| <i>Epipactis palustris</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Equisetum variegatum</i> | (2) | Celiński <i>et al.</i> 1978-1979; Nowak & Bernacki 1997 |
| <i>Gentiana pneumonanthe</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Gladiolus imbricatus</i> | 3 | |

| | | |
|--|----------|---|
| <i>Gratiola officinalis</i> | 1 | Babczyńska-Sendek <i>et al.</i> 2003 |
| ° <i>Gymnadenia conopsea</i> (<i>G. conopsea</i> subsp. <i>conopsea</i> and <i>G. conopsea</i> subsp. <i>densiflora</i>) | 2 | Nowak & Bernacki 1997; Bernacki & Gumieniak 2000 |
| <i>Iris sibirica</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Listera ovata</i> | (2) | |
| ° <i>Malaxis monophyllos</i> | (1) | Nowak & Bernacki 1997 |
| <i>Menyanthes trifoliata</i> (p.p.) | (2) | |
| <i>Ononis arvensis</i> (p.p.) | (3) | |
| <i>Ophioglossum vulgatum</i> | 2 | Nowak 1999b |
| <i>Orchis morio</i> | 1 | Nowak & Bernacki 1997; Tokarska-Guzik 1997; Nowak 1999a, 1999b; author's materials 1995 |
| ° <i>Phyteuma orbiculare</i> | 1 | Tokarska-Guzik 1997; Nowak 1998 |
| <i>Pinguicula vulgaris</i> (also <i>P. vulgaris</i> subsp. <i>bicolor</i>) | (1) | Celiński <i>et al.</i> 19781-979; Nowak & Bernacki 1997; Babczyńska-Sendek <i>et al.</i> 2003 |
| <i>Platanthera bifolia</i> | 2 | Nowak 1999a; Celiński <i>et al.</i> 1978/79 |
| ° <i>Tofieldia calyculata</i> | (2) | Uziębło & Wika 1993; Nowak & Bernacki 1997; Tokarska-Guzik 1997 |
| <i>Trollius europaeus</i> | 3 | |
| ° <i>Veratrum lobelianum</i> (f.m.) | (1) | Tokarska-Guzik 1997; Babczyńska-Sendek <i>et al.</i> 2003 |

Rare and threatened vascular plant species noted in meadow complexes

| | | |
|---|----------|--|
| ° <i>Alchemilla crinita</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Alchemilla subcrenata</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Alchemilla xanthochlora</i> | 1 | Nowak 1999 |
| <i>Carex dioica</i> | (1) | Celiński <i>et al.</i> 1978-1979 |
| <i>Carex distans</i> | 1 | Babczyńska-Sendek <i>et al.</i> 2003 |
| <i>Carex flava</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Carex hartmanii</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Carex hostiana</i> | 1 | Babczyńska-Sendek <i>et al.</i> 2003 |
| <i>Carex lepidocarpa</i> | (1) | Nowak 1999 |
| <i>Carex tomentosa</i> | 2 | Babczyńska-Sendek <i>et al.</i> 1994, 2003; Nowak 1999 |
| ° <i>Crepis mollis</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Eriophorum latifolium</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Inula salicina</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Parnassia palustris</i> | (2) | |
| ° <i>Ranunculus serpens</i> subsp. <i>nemosus</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Scorzonera humilis</i> | (2) | |
| ° <i>Senecio rivularis</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Serratula tinctoria</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Silaum silaus</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Tetragonolobus maritimus</i> | 1 | Nowak & Bernacki 1997 |
| <i>Thalictrum aquilegifolium</i> (f.m.) | (1) | Babczyńska-Sendek <i>et al.</i> 2003 |
| <i>Thalictrum flavum</i> | 1 | Uziębło & Wika 1993; Tokarska-Guzik 1999 |
| <i>Thalictrum lucidum</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Valeriana simplicifolia</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Veronica scutellata</i> | (2) | |

Protected vascular plant species recorded in xerothermic grasslands and grassland-like habitats

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|--|
| <i>Anemone sylvestris</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Botrychium lunaria</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Campanula sibirica</i> | 1 | Babczyńska-Sendek 2005 |
| <i>Carlina acaulis</i> | 4 | |
| <i>Epipactis atrorubens</i> | (2) | |
| (*) <i>Euphorbia epithymoides</i> | [2] | Celiński <i>et al.</i> 1976; Rostański & Jędrzejko 1976; Babczyńska-Sendek <i>et al.</i> 1997; Baryła & Nowak 2001; Nowak <i>et al.</i> 2003 |
| <i>Gentiana cruciata</i> | 1 | Nowak 1998, 1999a; Tokarska-Guzik 1999; Babczyńska-Sendek 2005 |
| <i>Gentianella ciliata</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Gentianella amarella</i> | 1 | Celiński <i>et al.</i> 1974-1975, 1976; Nowak 1998 |
| ° <i>Gentianella germanica</i> | 2 | |

| | | |
|--|--------------|--|
| ^o <i>Gentianella lutescens</i> | 1 | Tokarska-Guzik 1997 |
| <i>Jovibarba sobolifera</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Ononis spinosa</i> (p.p.) | 4 | |
| <i>Orchis militaris</i> | [1] | Celiński <i>et al.</i> 1982; Tokarska-Guzik 1997 |
| (*) <i>Ornithogalum collinum</i> | 1 | Celiński <i>et al.</i> 1996; Nowak 1999a |
| <i>Orobanche barthlingii</i> | 1 | published as <i>O. alsatica</i> – Babczyńska-Sendek 2005 |
| <i>Orobanche caryophyllacea</i> | 1 | Tokarska-Guzik 1999 |
| <i>Orobanche elatior</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Orobanche lutea</i> | 4 | |
| <i>Orobanche purpurea</i> | 1 | Dubiel & Gawroński 1998; Babczyńska-Sendek 2005 |
| <i>Primula veris</i> (p.p.) | 4 | |
| <i>Pulsatilla patens</i> | (1) | Wika & Szczypek 1991; Nowak 1997b; Tokarska-Guzik 1999; Nowak <i>et al.</i> 2000 |
| Rare and threatened vascular plant species found in xerothermic grasslands and grassland-like habitats | | |
| <i>Achillea pannonica</i> | 2 | |
| ^o <i>Alchemilla glaucescens</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Allium montanum</i> | 1 | Wika & Szczypek 1990; Tokarska-Guzik 1999; Babczyńska-Sendek 2005 |
| <i>Alyssum montanum</i> | (1) | Wika & Szczypek 1991; Nowak 1997b |
| <i>Anthericum ramosum</i> | 4 | |
| <i>Astragalus cicer</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Astragalus danicus</i> | 1 | Nowak 1997b, 1999a |
| ^o <i>Biscutella laevigata</i> | [2] | Wika & Szczypek 1991; Nowak 1997b, 1999a |
| <i>Carex montana</i> | (2) | Babczyńska-Sendek 2005 |
| (*) <i>Cerintho minor</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Chamaecytisus supinus</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Cirsium acaule</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Crepis praemorsa</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Elymus hispidus</i> (<i>E. hispidus</i> subsp. <i>hispidus</i> and <i>E. hispidus</i> subsp. <i>barbulatus</i>) | 2 | Babczyńska-Sendek 2005 |
| <i>Erysimum odoratum</i> | [2] | Nowak 1997a |
| <i>Festuca rupicola</i> | 1 | Sendek 1984 |
| <i>Hypochoeris maculata</i> | 1 | Tokarska-Guzik 1999; Babczyńska-Sendek 2005 |
| <i>Koeleria macrantha</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Libanotis pyrenaica</i> | (4) | |
| (*) <i>Nonnea pulla</i> | (2) | |
| <i>Petrorhagia prolifera</i> | 3 | |
| ^o <i>Polygala amara</i> subsp. <i>brachyptera</i> | [2] | |
| <i>Prunella grandiflora</i> | 4 | |
| <i>Saxifraga tridactylites</i> | 1 | Nowak 1999; Babczyńska-Sendek 2005 |
| <i>Silene otites</i> | (3) | |
| <i>Stachys recta</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Teucrium botrys</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Thalictrum simplex</i> | 1 | author's materials 2007 |
| ^o <i>Thesium alpinum</i> | (1) | Wika & Szczypek 1991; Nowak 1999a; Babczyńska-Sendek 2005 (Table 13) |
| <i>Thesium linophyllum</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Thymus glabrescens</i> | 1 | Babczyńska-Sendek 2005 |
| <i>Veronica teucrium</i> | 3 | |
| <i>Vincetoxicum hirundinaria</i> | 2 | |
| <i>Viola rupestris</i> | (2) | |

Explanations: ¹ – the authors of localities are quoted only in the case of especially rare species and species which have not been found by the author of this paper; ^omountain species (according to ZAJĄC 1996), (*)species of probably synanthropic origin in the Silesian Upland area, (p.p.) – partially protected species, (f.m.) – species noted only in the mid-forest meadows; frequency scale: 1 – sporadic (1-3 localities), 2 – very rare (4-8 localities), 3 – rare (9-20 localities), 4 – quite frequent (more than 20 localities), other symbols used with frequency scale: () species growing also in other types of communities; the number in the table refers only to meadow or grassland habitats, [] species noted only in grassland-like communities in synanthropic habitats, bold – species usually forming numerous populations