1

Inhibition Assay of Theophylline by Capillary Electrophoresis/Dynamic Frontal Analysis on the Hydrolysis of *p*-Nitrophenyl Phosphate with Alkaline Phosphatase

Masanori Mine,¹ Hitoshi Mizuguchi,² and Toshio Takayanagi*²

¹ Graduate School of Advanced Technology and Science, Tokushima University, 2-1 Minamijyousanjimacho, Tokushima 770-8506, Japan

² Graduate School of Technology, Industrial and Social Sciences, Tokushima University, 2-1 Minamijyousanjimacho, Tokushima

770-8506, Japan

E-mail: toshio.takayanagi@tokushima-u.ac.jp

71

A novel inhibition assay is proposed by capillary $\overline{2}$ electrophoresis/dynamic frontal analysis (CE/DFA). When a 3 substrate of *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate and an inhibitor of theophylline were tandemly introduced into the capillary containing alkaline phosphatase as an enzyme, two plateau 4 5 6 signals were detected in the electropherogram. A higher plateau is based on the CE/DFA without inhibition, and a 8 suppressed plateau is formed under the inhibition while the g substrate zone passing through the inhibitor zone. Inhibition constant was successfully determined through the two plateau 10 ts.

11	haiaha
11	neigni

12	Keywords:	Capillary	electrophoresis,	Alkaline
13	phosphatase	, Inhibition a	ssay	
14				

15 Enzyme assays including reaction kinetics have been analyzed in a homogeneous batch solution for a long time. 16 17 Michaelis-Menten kinetic analysis is one of the major targets, 18 and Michaelis-Menten constant (K_M) is an essential 19 parameter for enzymes. Recently, capillary electrophoretic 20 methods have been proposed for the enzyme assays by incapillary reactions.¹⁴ The analysis methods by capillary 21 22 electrophoresis (CE) are classified in electrophoretically (EMMA),⁵⁻¹¹ 23 mediated microanalysis in-capillary immobilized enzyme reactor (IMER),¹¹⁻¹⁵ and transverse 24 diffusion of laminar flow profiles (TDLFP).^{8,10} In most of the 25 26 analyses, the substrate and the product are electrophoretically 27 resolved and the peak signals have been used for the 28 quantifications and for the analysis of the enzyme assays. 29 When a zone of an enzyme solution was introduced into the 30 separation buffer containing a substrate, a plateau signal was 31 detected as the result of the footprint of the enzyme passing through the substrate solution.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ The plateau heights were 32 33 used for the enzyme assays.

34 The present authors have proposed a different format of 35 EMMA.¹⁹ A substrate solution is injected into a separation 36 capillary, where the separation buffer contains an enzyme. 37 Along with the electrophoretic migration of the substrate 38 zone in the separation buffer, the substrate continuously 39 reacts with the enzyme and the formed product is 40 continuously resolved from the substrate zone. The product 41 is kinetically formed at a constant reaction rate, and thus, the 42 product is detected as a plateau signal from the start of the 43 reaction to the detection time of the substrate. The height of 44 the plateau signal is directly related with the reaction rate, and 45 it is used for the enzyme assay. The plateau signal is based on 46 the continuous resolution of the product from the substrate 47 zone under the zero-order kinetic reaction of an enzyme, and method 48 the analysis is named as capillary 49 electrophoresis/dynamic frontal analysis (CE/DFA).¹⁹ A

main advantage of CE/DFA is the continuous resolution of 50 51 the product from the substrate-enzyme zone, which 52 eliminates the interference from the product on the enzyme 53 reaction.

54 In this study, the present authors propose a novel 55 inhibition assay using CE/DFA. The schematic diagram of the inhibition by CE/DFA is shown in Figure 1. A substrate 56 solution (S) and an inhibitor solution (I) are tandemly 57 58 introduced into the capillary filled with a separation buffer 59 containing an enzyme (Figure 1a). Both zones of the substrate 60 and the inhibitor electrophoretically migrate in the separation 61 buffer at different velocity, and the inhibition occurs when the two zones overlap (Figure 1c). While the reaction product 62 (P) continuously generated is detected as a plateau signal, the 63 height of the plateau signal is suppressed by the inhibition 64 65 with the reduced amount of the product. Consequently, an 66 electropherogram of two-steps plateau signal can be detected, 67 as shown in Figure 1e. The characteristic in the CE/DFA format is that both the inhibition and no inhibition can 68 69 simultaneously be detected in one electropherogram. 70



72 Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the inhibition in CE/DFA by the tandem 73 74 injections of a substrate and an inhibitor solutions (a) - (d), and a typical electropherogram (e). The inhibition occurs when the zones of a substrate 75 (S) and an inhibitor (I) overlap by the electrophoretic migration. E: an 76 enzyme contained in the separation buffer.

77 Alkaline phosphatase (ALP, EC 3.1.3.1) catalyzes the 78 hydrolysis of phosphoric monoester to give phosphoric acid

and an alcohol. ALP plays an important role in human 1 skeletal mineralization,²⁰ and ALP assays have intensely been 2 studied.^{21,22} Theophylline is a well-known inhibitor of ALP, 3 and it is often used as a model inhibitor for ALP assays.^{16,23,24} 4 5 Since the enzymatic reaction of ALP and the inhibition of 6 theophylline are well established, they are adopted in this 7 study. p-Nitrophenyl phosphate (NPP) was used as a 8 substrate, and the hydrolysis product of *p*-nitrophenolate 9 (NP) was photometrically detected.

10 Alkaline phosphatase from bovine intestinal mucosa 11 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. A substrate of NPP as disodium salt hexahydrate, and a hydrolysis product of NP 12 were from Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical. An inhibitor of 13 14 theophylline was from Tokyo Chemical Industry. Other 15 reagents used were of analytical grade. All solutions were 16 prepared with deionized water purified by Milli-O Gradient 17 A10.

18 A ^{3D}CE system (Agilent Technologies) equipped with a 19 photodiode array detector was used as a CE system. A fused 20 silica capillary held in a capillary cartridge was used as a separation capillary with its dimensions of 75 um i.d., 375 21 22 um o.d., 64.5 cm in total length, and 56 cm in effective length 23 from the injection end to the detection point. A ChemStation 24 program (Agilent Technologies, Ver. B04.02) was used for the control of the CE system and the data analysis. 25

A separation buffer was prepared with 0.01 mol L⁻¹ 26 27 borax with its pH controlled at 9.8 with NaOH. An enzyme 28 of ALP was contained in the separation buffer at 0.55 unit 29 mL^{-1} . After the capillary filled with the separation buffer, solutions of a substrate NPP, the separation buffer, and an 30 31 inhibitor of theophylline were tandemly injected into the 32 capillary from the anodic end by applying pressure, as shown 33 in Figure 1a. Both ends of the capillary were dipped in the 34 buffer vials, and a DC voltage of 25 kV was applied to the capillary for the electrophoresis. The hydrolysis product of 35 36 NP was photometrically detected at 400 nm. During the 37 experiments, the capillary, as well as the buffer vials were 38 thermostat at 37 °C.

39 Michaelis-Menten kinetic analysis was made with the 40 plateau heights of the generated NP in the electropherograms. 41 Lineweaver-Burk plots were made to determine the 42 Michaelis-Menten constant ($K_{\rm M}$) and the inhibition constant 43 ($K_{\rm I}$).

44 Both the injection sequence and the migration order of 45 the substrate and the inhibitor are the key factors on 46 examining the inhibition assay by CE/DFA. The enzymatic 47 hydrolysis continuously proceeds during the migration of the 48 substrate zone in the separation buffer, providing plateau 49 signal of the product, as shown in Figure 1b.¹⁹ The 50 electrophoretic mobility of a substrate NPP and a product of 51 NP are different with each other, and the injected zones 52 migrate in the capillary at the different velocity. Theophylline 53 as an inhibitor and the substrate of NPP possess the charge of 54 -1 and -2 respectively under the enzymatic reaction 55 conditions of pH 9.8. The backward velocity of NPP is faster 56 than theophylline, and the order of the forward velocity is in 57 the order of theophylline > NPP under a fast electroosmotic 58 flow (EOF). Thus, the injection sequence is in the order of a 59 substrate NPP, the separation buffer to divide the two zones,

60 and an inhibitor theophylline, as shown in Figure 1a. The 61 inhibition with the inhibitor occurs in the CE/DFA, when the 62 inhibitor zone is overlapping on the substrate zone, as is 63 shown in Figure 1c; the passing of the inhibitor zone over the 64 substrate zone is essential for the inhibition assay by CE/DFA. 65 An electropherogram was obtained with such an injection sequence, as shown in Figure 2. In this electropherogram, the 66 67 detection wavelength was set at 200 nm to detect all of the 68 substrate NPP, the product NP, and the inhibitor theophylline. 69 It can be seen from the electropherogram that the 70 theophylline as an injected zone is firstly detected as a peak signal, then the product NP detected as a plateau signal, and 71 72 finally the injected NPP detected as a peak signal. A plateau 73 signal of NP suggests that NPP is continuously hydrolyzed 74 with ALP during the electrophoresis. A dipped plateau is 75 detected in the electropherogram with an arrow. The 76 enzymatic hydrolysis would be inhibited with theophylline 77 during the electrophoretic migration of the injected zones and 78 their overlapping. A dipped signal of the product has been 79 detected by an enzymatic reaction of ALP by injecting an 80 enzyme zone and an inhibitor zone, where a fluorescent substrate has been contained in the running buffer.¹⁷ The 81 82 inhibition occured when the enzyme zone and the inhibitor 83 zone overlap. However, the dipped signal was not plateau and 84 simultaneous determinations of $K_{\rm M}$ and $K_{\rm I}$ were not achieved 85 by such sequence.¹⁷ Although $K_{\rm M}$ and $K_{\rm I}$ were simultaneously 86 determined by Crawford, et al., it was necessary to stop the 87 electrophoresis once for the enzymatic reaction.²⁵ 88



90 **Figure 2.** Typical electropherogram in CE/DFA accompanying 91 inhibition. A substrate of NPP and an inhibitor of theophylline were 92 tandemly injected into the capillary. A dipped plateau by the inhibition 93 is detected in the plateau range of the formed NP. Concentration of NPP 94 in the injected zone: 1.0 mmol L^{-1} . Concentration of theophylline in the 95 injected zone: 1.0 mmol L^{-1} .

89

96 It is essential for the inhibition assay to detect the dipped 97 region as a clear plateau. The injection period of the 98 theophylline zone was examined in the range between 10 s 99 and 40 s to control the overlapping time on the NPP zone. 100 The results are shown in Figure 3. The detection wavelength 101 was set at 400 nm, and only the product of NP was detected. 102 The difference in the detection time of the plateau signal is

1 due to the injection period. It is noticed from Figure 3 that the 2 dipped plateau came to be wide by extending the injection 3 period of the theophylline solution. However, the dipped 4 plateau with theophylline inhibition is not suitable over the 5 injection period of 30 s. It would be because the precedent 6 injected zone of the substrate dispersed seriously by the long-7 time pressure injection. Therefore, the injection time of 8 theophylline was set at 20 s.

9

3





11 Figure 3. CE/DFA electropherograms of NPP with ALP under tandem 12 injections of NPP and theophylline. The injection order of the solutions 13 was: 2.0 mmol L^{-1} NPP as a substrate for 5 s, the separation buffer for 14 10 s, and 1.0 mmol L⁻¹ theophylline as an inhibitor. Injection period of 15 theophylline: (a) 10, (b) 20, (c) 30, and (d) 40 s. Black arrows indicate 16 the inhibition with theophylline. *: NP generated in the NPP solution 17 before the electrophoresis. The separation buffer and the CE conditions 18 are written in the text.

19 The injection period of the separation buffer dividing 20 the substrate zone and the inhibitor zone was also examined. 21 Aim of dividing the substrate zone and the inhibitor zone is 22 to delay the overlapping time from the start of the 23 electrophoresis. Because the substrate NPP sometimes 24 degraded to form NP before the CE/DFA measurements, as 25 well as by the contact of substrate plug and electrophoretic buffer just before applying the voltage, the contaminated NP 26 27 would interfere with the dipped plateau as shown in the 28 asterisks in the electropherograms. The injection period of the 29 separation buffer was examined in the range between 5 s and 30 40 s; the results are shown in Figure 4. With the extension of 31 the injection period of the separation buffer, it is possible to 32 resolve the contaminated NP (*) and the dipped plateau. Longer injection period of the separation buffer would 33 34 promote the dispersion of the injected zones, and an injection 35 period of 10 s was chosen for the separation buffer. In this 36 way, a clear suppressed plateau is detected by controlling the 37 injection sequence and the injection periods.

38



40 **Figure 4.** CE/DFA electropherograms of NPP with ALP under tandem 41 injections of NPP and theophylline. The injection order of the solutions 42 is: 2.0 mmol L^{-1} NPP for 5 s, the separation buffer, and 1.0 mmol L^{-1} 43 theophylline for 20 s. Injection period of the separation buffer: (a) 5, (b) 44 10, (c) 20, and (d) 40 s. Black arrows indicate the inhibition with 45 theophylline. *: NP generated before the electrophoresis. The separation 46 buffer and the CE conditions are the same as in Figure 3.

39

47 The two plateau heights were reproducible with 7 48 repeated measurements, and no plateau response was 49 detected with the separation buffer without the enzyme after 50 several CE/DFA measurements. Thus, adsorption of the 51 enzyme to the inner wall of the capillary is not significant.

52 Michaelis-Menten kinetic analysis was examined for 53 the determinations of $K_{\rm M}$ and $K_{\rm I}$ values through the plateau 54 signals. The height of the product plateau was used for the 55 analysis instead of the reaction rate.¹⁹ The product plateaus 56 were obtained at different NPP concentrations from 0.4 mmol 57 L^{-1} to 3.0 mmol L^{-1} , where the concentration of an inhibitor theophylline was set at 0.5 mmol L^{-1} or 1.0 mmol L^{-1} . The 58 59 electropherograms obtained with 1.0 mmol L⁻¹ theophylline are shown in Figure 5. Two-steps of the plateau height were 60 detected in the electropherograms. The plateau height 61 without inhibition (higher plateau) increased with the 62 increase in the NPP concentrations, as previously reported.¹⁹ 63 64 The plateau height under inhibition (lower plateau) also 65 increased with the increase in the NPP concentrations, but the height is lower than the higher plateau because of the 66 inhibition. 67

68 Lineweaver-Burk plots were made to determine the 69 Michaelis-Menten constant (K_M) and the inhibition constant 70 $(K_{\rm I})$. Since the plateau height as absorbance response is 71 directly related with the kinetic reaction rate, it was used for 72 the analysis instead of the reaction rate. The plateau height, 73 however, gradually decreases with the reaction time, *i.e.*, the 74 late detection time. It is because of the gradually reduced 75 substrate concentration by the enzymatic reaction. Therefore, 76 the highest response of the plateau was used for the analysis. 77 The results are shown in Figure 6. The $K_{\rm M}$ value was 78 determined through the x-intercept of the signal heights of the 79 higher plateau (• in Figure 6); the $K_{\rm M}$ value was 1.59 mmol L^{-1} . The K_m value determined in this study agreed with the reported ones; 0.4 mmol $L^{-1/23}$ or 1.5 mmol $L^{-1/26}$ It is noticed 80 81 from Figure 6 that the Lineweaver-Burk plots are parallel 82 83 between in the absence (higher plateau) and in the presence

(lower plateau) of theophylline. The parallel lines suggest 1 2 that the inhibition form of theophylline is uncompetitive. The 3 uncompetitive form of the inhibition agrees with the reported result.23,24 The inhibition constant of theophylline as an 4 5 uncompetitive inhibitor, $K_{\rm I}$, can be determined using eq. (1).²⁷ 6

$$\frac{1}{v} = \frac{K_{\rm M}}{V_{\rm max}[{\rm S}]} + \frac{1}{V_{\rm max}} \left(1 + \frac{[{\rm I}]}{K_{\rm I}}\right)$$
(1)
$$\frac{1}{v} = \frac{K_{\rm M}}{V_{\rm max}[{\rm S}]} + \frac{1}{V_{\rm max}} \left(1 + \frac{[{\rm I}]}{K_{\rm I}}\right)$$
(1)

12 13 14

18

7

8

9

10

11

15 The $K_{\rm I}$ value determined in this study was 0.72 mmol L⁻¹. 16 The value also agreed with the reported values, 0.1 mmol L^{-1} 17 ¹⁷ or 0.69 mmol L⁻¹.²³



19 20

Figure 5. CE/DFA electropherograms of NPP with ALP under tandem 21 injections of NPP and theophylline at different concentrations of NPP. 22 23 Concentrations of NPP: (a) 0.4, (b) 1.0, (c) 1.5, (d) 2.0 mmol L^{-1} . Concentrations of theophylline: 1.0 mmol L⁻¹. The injection sequence of 24 the sample solutions is: substrate soln. for 5 s, separation buffer for 10 s, $\overline{25}$ and inhibitor soln. for 20 s. The separation buffer and the CE conditions 26 are the same as in Figure 3.



28 Figure 6. Lineweaver-Burk plots for the enzymatic hydrolysis of NPP $\tilde{2}\tilde{9}$ with ALP by the inhibition with theophylline. Theophylline 30 concentrations: •, none (higher plateau); \blacktriangle , 0.5 mmol L⁻¹; •, 1.0 mmol 31 L⁻¹. The injection sequence, the separation buffer, and the CE conditions 32 are the same as in Figure 5.

33 In conclusion, a novel inhibition assay of enzymatic 34 reaction is proposed by CE/DFA. It is demonstrated that 35 dynamic reactions in a capillary led to the plateau signals in 36 CE/DFA. Two plateau signals are detected in this CE/DFA 37 by the tandem injections of a substrate and an inhibitor 38 solutions, as well as by their electrophoretic migration. By 39 using the two plateau heights, both $K_{\rm M}$ and $K_{\rm I}$ values can 40 simultaneously be determined by a series of the 41 measurements. Zero-order kinetic reactions with an 42 inhibition reaction would be analyzed by this format of 43 CE/DFA.

This work was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI [grant number 17K05903].

References 48

44

45

46

47

49

50

51

52

58

59

60

64

69

87

88

89

- J. Bao, F. E. Regnier, J. Chromatogr. A 1992, 608, 217. 1
- 2 B. J. Harmon, D. H. Patterson, F. E. Regnier, J. Chromatogr. A 1993. 657. 429.
- 3 D. H. Patterson, B. J. Harmon, F. E. Regnier, J. Chromatogr. A 1994. 662. 389
- 4 J. J. Bao, J. M. Fujima, N. D. Danielson, J. Chromatogr. B 1997, 699.481.
- 5 S. Van Dyck, A. Van Schepdael, J. Hoogmartens, Electrophoresis 2001, 22, 1436.
- 6 S. Nováková, S. Van Dyck, A. Van Schepdael, J. Hoogmartens, Z. Glatz, J. Chromatogr. A 2004, 1032, 173.
- 7 Y. Fan, G. K. E. Scriba, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 2010, 53, 1076.
- 61 8 X. Hai, B.-F. Yang, A. Van Schepdael, Electrophoresis 2012, 33, 62 211. 63
 - 9 L. Pochet, A.-C. Servais, E. Farcas, V. Bettonville, C. Bouckaert, M. Fillet, Talanta 2013, 116, 719.
- 65 P. Nowak, M. Woźniakiewicz, P. Kościelniak, Electrophoresis 10 66 2013, 34, 2604. 67
 - 11 M. Cheng, Z. Chen, J. Pharm. Anal. 2018, 8, 226.
- 68 12 J. Iqbal, Anal. Biochem. 2011, 414, 226.
 - J. Iqbal, S. Iqbal, C. E. Müller, Analyst 2013, 138, 3104. 13
 - 14 M. Cheng, Z. Chen, Electrophoresis 2017, 38, 486.
 - Z.-Y. Wu, H. Zhang, Q.-Q. Li, F.-Q. Yang, D.-Q. Li, J. 15 Chromatogr. B 2019, 1110-1111, 67.
 - 16 A. R. Whisnant, S. E. Johnston, S. D. Gilman, Electrophoresis 2000, 21, 1341.
 - 17 A. R. Whisnant, S. D. Gilman, Anal. Biochem. 2002, 307, 226.
- 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 18 D. B. Craig, T. T. Morris, C. M. Q. Ong-Justiniano, Anal. Chem. 2012, 84, 4598
 - 19 T. Takayanagi, M. Mine, H. Mizuguchi, Anal. Sci. in press.
 - 20 E. E. Golub, K. Boesze-Battaglia, Curr. Opin. Orthop. 2007, 18, 444.
 - 21 D. W. Moss, Clin. Chem. 1982, 28, 2007.
- B. Gomez Jr., S. Ardakani, J. Ju, D. Jenkins, M. J. Cerelli, G. Y. 22 83 84 85 86 Daniloff, V. T. Kung, Clin Chem. 1995, 41, 1560.
 - 23 M. Sánchez-Cabezudo, J. M. Fernández-Romero, M. D. Luque de Castro, Talanta 1995, 42, 1103.
 - 24 A. Kozlenkov, M. H. Le Du, P. Cuniasse, T. Ny, M. F. Hoylaerts, J. L. Millán, J. Bone Miner. Res. 2004, 19, 1862.
 - 25 J. J. Crawford, J. W. Hollett, D. B. Craig, Electrophoresis 2016, 37 2217
- 90 26 B. Grodner, M. Napiórkowska, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 2017, 143, 91 285

- 5
- 27 T. Toraya, T. Kitazume, T. Yoshimura, T. Sera, T. Kamachi, in *Enzyme Science and Engineering*, Kodansha, Tokyo, **2012**, Chap.
 6, pp. 172–176.