

Aberystwyth University

Valuing improvements in biodiversity due to controls on atmospheric nitrogen pollution

Jones, Laurence; Milne, Alice; Hall, Jane; Mills, Gina; Provins, Allan; Christie, Michael

Published in: **Ecological Economics** DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.06.010

Publication date: 2018

Citation for published version (APA): Jones, L., Milne, A., Hall, J., Mills, G., Provins, A., & Christie, M. (2018). Valuing improvements in biodiversity due to controls on atmospheric nitrogen pollution. *Ecological Economics*, *152*, 358-366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.06.010

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain

- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk

| 1 2 | This is an author-created Post-print version. The published version can be found following the links below: |
|--|--|
| 3 4 5 6 7 | Jones, Laurence; Milne, Alice; Hall, Jane; Mills, Gina; Provins, Allan; Christie, Michael. 2018 <u>Valuing</u> <u>improvements in biodiversity due to controls on atmospheric nitrogen pollution</u> . <i>Ecological Economics</i> , 152. 358-366. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.06.010</u> |
| 8 9 | >> |
| 10 11 | Valuing improvements in biodiversity due to controls on atmospheric nitrogen pollution |
| 12 13 | Laurence Jones ^{a*} , Alice Milne ^b , Jane Hall ^a , Gina Mills ^a , Allan Provins ^c , Michael Christie ^d |
| 14 | ^a Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH), Environment Centre Wales, Deiniol Road, Bangor, LL57 |
| 15 | 2UW, UK |
| 16 | ^b Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, AL5 2JQ, UK |
| 17 | ^c Economics for the Environment Consultancy (eftec), 4 City Road, London, EC1Y 2AA, UK |
| 18 | ^d Aberystywth Business School, Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth, SY23 3AL, UK. |
| 19 20 21 | * Corresponding author |
| 22 | Abstract |
| 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 | Atmospheric nitrogen pollution has severe impacts on biodiversity, but approaches to value them are limited. This paper develops a spatially explicit methodology to value the benefits from improvements in biodiversity resulting from current policy initiatives to reduce nitrogen emissions. Using the UK as a case study, we quantify nitrogen impacts on plant diversity in four habitats: heathland, acid grassland, dunes and bogs, at fine spatial resolution. Focusing on non-use values for biodiversity we apply value-transfer based on household's willingness to pay to avoid changes in plant species richness, and calculate the benefit of projected emission declines of 37% for nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂) and 6% for ammonia (NH ₃) over the scenario period 2007 – 2020. The annualised benefit resulting from these pollutant declines is £32.7m (£4.4m to £109.7m, 95% Confidence Interval), with the greatest benefit accruing from heathland and acid grassland due to their large area. We also calculate damage costs per unit of NO ₂ and NH ₃ emitted, to quantify some of the environmental impacts of air pollution for comparison with damage costs for human health in policy appraisal. The benefit is £103 (£33 to £237) per tonne of NO ₂ saved, and £414 (£139 to £1,022) per tonne of NH ₃ saved. |
| 37 38 | Keywords |
| 39 40 | Nitrogen deposition; species richness; economic value; damage cost; ecosystem services; policy |

41 Declarations of interest: None

43

44 **1.** Introduction

45 Air pollution is a global issue that has substantial adverse impacts on human health, but also on the environment (Galloway et al., 2008; Oenema et al., 2011). For example, plant diversity at sites 46 47 receiving high atmospheric nitrogen deposition in Europe is typically 50% lower than sites receiving 48 low levels of nitrogen (Maskell et al., 2010; Stevens et al., 2004). While decades of research have 49 catalogued the impacts of nitrogen deposition on natural systems (e.g. Pardo et al., 2011; Phoenix et 50 al., 2012), there is increasing interest in using an ecosystem services perspective to evaluate the 51 wider impacts of nitrogen on flows of goods and services (Compton et al., 2011; Jones et al., 2014; 52 Smart et al., 2011).

53

Nitrogen deposition has started to decline in Western Europe due to targeted policies on emissions,
 with emissions 25% lower than their peak in 1990 (Oenema et al., 2011). Applying an ecosystem

56 services approach to evaluate the non-health impacts of this pollution decline has shown both

57 negative and positive impacts (Jones et al., 2014). For example, there are some costs to society as a

result of the decline in 'free' fertiliser from atmospheric deposition. These costs come in the form of

59 lower productivity of agricultural grasslands, and reductions in tree growth and in carbon

60 sequestration. However, there are also major benefits to society through reductions in emissions of

61 the greenhouse gas N₂O, improvements in water quality, and there may be large benefits to

- 62 biodiversity, although this is difficult to value.
- 63

64 For a pollutant like nitrogen, this leads to potential tensions in deriving a Total Economic Value of 65 those impacts, because provisioning services generally increase with nitrogen, and are much easier 66 to value than cultural services where nitrogen generally has an adverse impact. In many cases 67 provisioning services can be linked to market values, providing the basis for a relatively 68 straightforward economic assessment (e.g. agricultural crop productivity, livestock productivity, or 69 timber productivity). By contrast cultural benefits, including non-use values for biodiversity 70 conservation, are the domain of non-market valuation methods (Hanley and Barbier, 2009). Deriving 71 a TEV which fails to account for impacts on biodiversity may lead to incomplete assessment of the 72 net benefit arising from lower levels of nitrogen deposition. There is therefore a need to improve the 73 robustness of valuation approaches focusing on biodiversity and the drivers which impact on it. 74 75 A key knowledge gap relates to economic valuation of changes to biodiversity. Biodiversity is 76 important at all levels in ecosystem services, playing a role in supporting, intermediate and final

services (Mace et al., 2012). Both the level and the stability of ecosystem services tend to improve
with increasing biodiversity (Isbell et al., 2011), while nitrogen decreases plant diversity (Field et al.)

79 2014). Nitrogen alters the core processes, functions and biodiversity which underpin a wide range of

80 supporting and intermediate services. It also influences final services directly through effects on

81 environmental attributes such as plant and animal diversity and landscape aesthetics which people

82 care about (Clark et al., 2017; Rhodes et al., 2017). Stated preference methods are the main

approach to value the effect of changes in biodiversity on cultural services and non-use values
(Champ et al., 2003; Christie et al., 2006), but studies need to be robust enough to satisfy value

85 transfer requirements (Ninan, 2014).

86

A number of other issues present problems for valuing biodiversity impacts. These centre on spatial
 context and the relationships between nitrogen and biodiversity. Robust assessment of impacts

- 89 requires information on the spatial location of both pressures (nitrogen) and receptors (biodiversity).
- 90 Previous approaches have only been applied at national level (Smart et al., 2011). However, omitting
- 91 spatial context may lead to considerable over- or under-estimation of impact depending on whether
- 92 the changes in air pollution occur in the same location as the components of the ecosystem
- experiencing damage. Addressing this spatial disconnect is most important where the pattern of an air pollutant such as ammonia is heterogeneous at relatively fine scales (Loubet et al., 2009), and
- 94 air pollutant such as ammonia is heterogeneous at relatively fine scales (Loubet et al., 2009), and
- 95 where the receptor plant communities have an uneven spatial distribution.
- 96

97 This approach requires sufficient understanding of the dose-response function between nitrogen 98 and biodiversity. This can be a challenge because the evidence for nitrogen impacts on organisms 99 covers a relatively small number of species (Dise et al., 2011), and relatively few of those studies 100 provide the dose response functions required to model impacts across a range of nitrogen 101 deposition. The most promising are studies that have evaluated statistical relationships between 102 nitrogen and diversity but which also account for the effects of confounding factors like climate and

- 103 other pollutants (Field et al., 2014; van den Berg et al., 2016).
- 104

105 Policy makers are increasingly required to utilise economic tools to evaluate the positive and

106 negative impacts of policy measures (HM Treasury, 2003) in order to justify and to better target

107 those policies. Therefore, there is a need to develop more sophisticated approaches to quantifying

air pollution impacts on ecosystem services, which incorporate spatial context, and which value

those impacts in ways that can be incorporated into policy appraisal (Dickens et al., 2013).

110

111 In this paper, we develop and apply new approaches to address these issues, using the UK as a case 112 study. We i) outline a spatially-explicit methodology to quantify the impacts of N on biodiversity, ii) 113 present a value-transfer approach to translate those impacts into economic values and iii) combine 114 these techniques to answer the policy question: What is the economic impact to biodiversity of 115 forecast reductions in nitrogen pollution? Lastly, we calculate the damage cost per unit of nitrogen 116 dioxide (NO_x) or ammonia (NH₃) emitted, for use in policy appraisal. These forms of nitrogen are 117 emitted from two main sources: nitrogen dioxide primarily from combustion processes, and 118 ammonia primarily from agricultural practices. Therefore, the effect of policies which only address 119 emissions in particular sectors will vary spatially, eliciting different economic values.

120

121 Thus, we calculate the marginal value associated with a decline in nitrogen pollution and its 122 subsequent impacts on the 'cultural' service 'Appreciation of biodiversity'. This service was identified 123 in Jones et al. (2014) as requiring considerable development, in particular an improved evidence 124 base for quantifying the nitrogen impacts and the development of spatial analysis. The approach 125 taken focuses on one aspect of biodiversity -the non-use value component associated with 126 conservation of species and maintaining species abundance. We use plant species richness as a 127 proxy for the wider impacts of N deposition on biodiversity because responses of plant communities 128 to N deposition are the best characterised of all organism groups, and because impacts on plants 129 cascade up to higher trophic levels (Clark et al., 2017). We quantify the impact on species richness 130 spatially in four habitats (heathland, acid grassland, dunes and bogs), and calculate the marginal 131 economic value of declining nitrogen deposition per 5x5km grid cell of the UK, applying a value 132 transfer procedure developed using data from Christie & Rayment (2012). Data are presented by 133 region of the UK, including the uncertainty bounds for these estimates.

134 135

136

2. Materials and methods

- 137 2.1 Ecosystem services assessment: the Impact pathway for air (nitrogen) pollution.
- 138 We use the impact pathway approach (Friedrich and Bickel, 2001) for assessing the ecosystem
- 139 services impacts of atmospheric nitrogen pollution (Figure 1). This shows how a policy initiative to
- 140 curb air pollution results in a change in emissions of NO_x and NH₃ which leads, via changes in
- 141 deposition, to an altered impact on biological receptors (plant species richness) and hence to the
- ecosystem service (Appreciation of biodiversity) they underpin. The steps are described in the
- 143 following sections.
- 144



147 Figure 1. Impact pathway for nitrogen impacts on the ecosystem service 'Appreciation of148 biodiversity'. Blue outlines represent quantified impact on the ecosystem service.

- 149
- 150
- 151

152 2.2 Policy scenario, and nitrogen emissions and deposition

153 The first stage of the impact pathway is to specify alternative policy scenarios on the likely changes 154 to N deposition. In this study, we compare a projected decline in N deposition from 2007 to 2020, against a counterfactual. Our scenarios were based on the UEP43 energy scenario 3 for 2020 (Misra 155 156 et al., 2012). This scenario was seen as the most likely outcome of planned initiatives to reduce 157 pollutant emissions across a range of sectors. The scenario estimated that policies designed to 158 reduce air pollution emissions from combustion sources lead to a projected 37% decline in oxidised 159 N emissions (nitrogen dioxides, NO_x), while policies to reduce emissions from agriculture lead to a 160 projected 6% decline in the forms of reduced N from agriculture (primarily ammonia, NH₃). The 161 counterfactual assumes emissions continue at 2007 levels. Thus, our scenarios essentially asks: 162 "What is the expected impact on ecosystem service values under forecast reductions in nitrogen deposition"? 163

- 164 Nitrogen emissions data were obtained from Murrells et al. (2010) and Misra et al. (2012), while
- 165 nitrogen deposition data were available at 5x5 km resolution across the United Kingdom. Deposition
- 166 for 2007 used Concentration-Based Estimated Deposition (CBED) data (Centre for Ecology and
- 167 Hydrology), taking a three-year average (2006-2008) to smooth inter-annual differences in
- 168 deposition caused by variations in rainfall. Deposition for 2020 was calculated using the FRAME (Fine
- 169 Resolution Atmospheric Multi-pollutant Exchange) model, a Lagrangian atmospheric transport
- 170 model used to assess the long-term annual mean deposition of reduced and oxidised nitrogen and
- sulphur over the United Kingdom (Smith et al., 2000). FRAME model outputs were calibrated to
- 172 CBED deposition in 2008.
- 173
- 174 2.3 Biological receptors: Dose response functions for nitrogen impacts on plant species richness
- Four habitat types were selected that are known to be amongst the most sensitive to nitrogen deposition: acid grassland (Dupré et al., 2010; Stevens et al., 2004), upland and lowland ericoid

heaths dominated by the shrub *Calluna vulgaris* (Pilkington et al., 2007; Power et al., 2006), sand
dune grasslands (Jones et al., 2013; Plassmann et al., 2009; Remke et al., 2009) and bogs (Bragazza
et al., 2012; Sheppard et al., 2011). Habitat area for these habitats was derived from CEH Land Cover
Map 2007 (Morton et al., 2011), where acid grassland is defined as 'acid grassland' (class 8),
heathland is defined as 'heather' (class 10) + 'heather grassland' (class 11), dune grassland is defined

- as 'supra-littoral sediment' (class 18) occurring within 2 km of the coast and where Ammophila
- *arenaria* was recorded in Biological Records Centre databases, and bogs were defined as 'bogs' (class12).
- 185

186 The impacts of changing N deposition on biodiversity were calculated using dose response functions.

187 These were developed from re-analysis of data from targeted gradient surveys of nitrogen impacts

188 on plant species richness in the four selected UK habitats (Field et al., 2014). The nitrogen deposition

189 gradients were characterised across a minimum of 20 sites for each habitat. Sites were selected to 190 control for confounding effects of temperature and rainfall as far as possible. Total species richness

- 191 of all vascular and lower plants at each site was summed over 5 quadrats, each of 2x2m, in total 20
- 192 m². Relationships for upland and lowland heaths were not significantly different and data were
- therefore combined. Dose response relationships were calculated by curve fitting in Sigmaplot v13.1,
- using AIC to determine the most parsimonious fit.
- 195

196 2.4 Ecosystem services: Valuation of change in ecosystem service provision

197 We utilised value transfer techniques (Johnston et al., 2015) to apply existing data on the value of 198 biodiversity to our N deposition scenarios. The value transfer is based on Christie and Rayment 199 (2012) who applied a discrete choice experiment (Louviere and Hensher, 1982; Louviere and 200 Woodworth, 1983) to estimate willingness to pay (WTP) for the management of Sites of Special 201 Scientific Interest (SSSI) for the provision of a suite of ecosystem services, under three funding 202 scenarios. In this study we only used the ecosystem service attribute relating to species diversity for 203 non-charismatic species¹, and for the habitats of interest in this study. WTP values were available for 204 other services, including charismatic species, but these were excluded. We acknowledge that the 205 parameters for non-charismatic species were not significant in the Christie study, but this remains 206 the only study to our knowledge which quantifies and values the magnitude of change in biodiversity 207 of non-charismatic species, allowing direct application to this study. Therefore, we decided to 208 continue to use these values to demonstrate proof of concept for the overall methodology. Christie 209 and Rayment (2012) specified a change in species richness for two scenarios: increase SSSI funding 210 (25% increase in species richness), or remove SSSI funding (50% decrease in species richness), 211 compared with the status quo of maintain SSSI funding (no change in species richness). We re-212 interpret the 'Increase funding' scenario as analogous to a situation where species richness increases 213 relative to the status quo (2007 reference situation) due to a decline in N deposition, and we use the

- 214 WTP estimates associated with that scenario as the basis for our value transfer, taking into account
- 215 the predicted % change in species richness under our scenarios.
- Christie and Rayment (2012) provide both unit WTP values per hectare for each habitat, based on
 habitat area within SSSI sites in England and Wales, and aggregate values for England and Wales. In

¹ Non-charismatic species include all plants, all insects apart from butterflies, in contrast to charismatic species such as birds, butterflies and animals (Christie & Rayment 2012).

this study we used the unit values per hectare, in order to scale up to the whole of the UK. The WTPper habitat is shown in Table 1.

220

221 2.5 Calculating economic impacts of N deposition on 'Appreciation of biodiversity' service

222 Our first economic measure relates to the impact that change in N deposition has on the value of the 223 ecosystem service 'appreciation of biodiversity'. All ecosystem service calculations were made at the 224 resolution of the N deposition data, i.e. on a 5 x 5 km grid. Nitrogen deposition data for each grid cell were scaled linearly between 2007 and 2020, the start and end time-points of the scenario 225 226 comparison. In each 5 x 5 km grid cell and for each year of the scenario analysis, we calculated the 227 predicted species richness under the N deposition for that year using the dose response relationships developed earlier. The percentage difference in species richness from the reference 228 229 year was then calculated, as the basis for calculating economic value. The economic value was scaled 230 according to the percentage change in species richness, relative to the percentage change in species 231 richness used in Christie & Rayment (2012) – see Figure 2, to give a £ per ha for the change in species 232 richness within each grid cell. This was multiplied by the area of habitat in each cell (Table 1).

233

234

| | Heathland | Acid grassland | Dunes | Bogs | Total 4 habitats |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------|
| WTP (£/ha) | £46.40 | £44.45 | £58.10 | £57.55 | n/a |
| <u>Habitat area</u> (ha) | | | | | |
| England | 363,725 | 319,997 | 15,850 | 196,513 | 896,085 |
| Wales | 111,875 | 283,861 | 6,126 | 41,608 | 443,470 |
| Scotland | 1,567,895 | 1,023,537 | 19,505 | 769,461 | 3,380,398 |
| Northern Ireland | 73,971 | 21,709 | 1,502 | 92,808 | 189,990 |
| UK | 2,117,466 | 1,649,104 | 42,983 | 1,100,390 | 4,909,943 |

235

Table 1. WTP values per hectare for increase in diversity of non-charismatic species (Christie and

Rayment, 2012) and area of each habitat (ha) (CEH Land Cover Map 2007) in the UK.

238

239 In each scenario year, the difference in value between the scenario and the counterfactual

240 (reference scenario) was calculated. Values for all grid cells were aggregated to country and to

national UK level. Aggregated economic values are presented in terms of an equivalent annual value

242 (EAV) for the scenario, estimated as:

$$EAV = \frac{PV}{A_{t,r}}$$
[1]

Where *PV* is the present value of the change in ecosystem service value and *A* is the relevant annuity
factor for time horizon *t* with discount rate *r*. The present value of the change in ecosystem service
value is estimated in the standard manner:

249

250
$$PV = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{V}{1+r^{t}}$$
 [2]

251

252 Where *V* denotes the value of the change in ecosystem service provision. A discount rate of 3.5%

253 was used, following UK Government guidance (HM Treasury, 2003). Calculation of the PV of the

change in ecosystem service value provides an estimate of the accumulated damage to ecosystem

255 services from air pollution over the 13 year duration of the scenario, whilst the EAV provides a

256 measure of the annualised change in the value of the flow of ecosystem services for the scenario.

257



258

Figure 2. Scaling of changes in species richness and associated WTP relative to values in Christie &

260 Rayment (2012). *p1* is the difference between species richness under the reference level of N

261 deposition (counterfactual) and the projected N deposition. *P* represents the 25% increase specified

in the choice experiment of Christie & Rayment. Values were scaled as the ratio of *p1/P* of thescenario WTP.

264

265 2.6 Calculating damage costs

- 266 Our second economic measure investigated related to the damage cost impacts per tonne of
- 267 ammonia or tonne of nitrogen oxides emitted. This entailed separate calculation of the ecological
- 268 impacts of ammonia and of nitrogen dioxide. There is currently no consensus on whether oxidised or
- 269 reduced N is more damaging to plant species richness, and robust dose-response relationships do
- 270 not exist separately for reduced forms of N and for oxidised forms of N (van den Berg et al., 2016).
- 271 Therefore, for this study it was assumed that they have equal impact per unit of N deposited. Since
- the dose response functions we derived are based on total N deposition, separate oxidised or
- reduced N deposition cannot simply be substituted into the equation. Therefore the total impact in
- each year was calculated using total N deposition, and the value apportioned to oxidised or reduced
 N according to the proportion of change in the deposition of each N form. i.e. If total deposition
- declined by 2 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and 25% of this change (0.5 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) was in deposition of reduced
- forms of N, then 25% of the value was apportioned to reduced forms of N, and the remaining 75% to
- 278 declines in oxidised N. The calculated EAV was divided by the average change in oxidised N
- 279 emissions and in ammonia emissions over the scenario period (Table S1).
- 280

281 2.7 Uncertainty

There is uncertainty in all steps of the impact pathway, from estimates of nitrogen emission and 282 283 deposition to the model parameters for the dose response functions. We used Monte Carlo 284 simulation to propagate the uncertainty in the parameters and variables through the model, thereby 285 calculating the uncertainty in the estimated value of impacts on biodiversity. Probability density 286 functions were derived to describe the uncertainties in each model parameter and variable. Details 287 are given in Tables S2 and S3 in Supplementary Material. We assumed that the uncertainties in the 288 model parameters were at the UK scale and so for any one iteration of the Monte Carlo simulation 289 the same values of the model parameters were applied in each grid cell. For other inputs the 290 uncertainties were applied at the scale of a grid cell and assumed to be independent. We used 291 @Risk software (Palisade Corporation, USA, 2010) to run the Monte Carlo simulation. We used Latin 292 hypercube sampling and ran the simulation for 50,000 iterations. Uncertainty in the economic value 293 of impacts is expressed as 95% Confidence Intervals. We followed the IPCC convention and assumed 294 this interval to be defined by the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles (Eggleston et al., 2006), while noting 295 that this is not precisely the same as the usual meaning of a confidence interval in statistics.

296

297 **3.** Results

298 3.1 Change in N deposition

299 In response to the 37% decrease in emissions of nitrogen oxides and 6% decrease in ammonia 300 emissions in our scenario, the average UK deposition projected by the FRAME model fell by 11%. 301 This relatively small decrease is because approximately two-thirds of deposition is in the form of 302 ammonia and other compounds of reduced N. Emissions from these compounds did not decrease as 303 much as those of oxidised N. Figure 3 shows the spatial distribution of nitrogen deposition in 2007 304 and the change between 2007 and 2020. Nitrogen deposition is greatest in the uplands of north-305 west England and Wales, driven by high wet deposition in rainfall, and in large agricultural source 306 areas such as Northern Ireland and in Norfolk in the east of England. By 2020, it is projected to 307 decline in most areas, with the greatest decrease in areas which currently have high deposition, but

- will also decrease around large urban areas such as London. Nitrogen deposition at a few locations is
 projected to increase, attributed to expansion of localised point sources.
- 310
- 311



Figure 3. Nitrogen deposition in the UK (kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) showing a) Spatial pattern in 2007, b) Forecast
 difference from 2007 to 2020.

312

316

- 317 3.2 Dose response functions for nitrogen and species richness
- Log relationships provided the most parsimonious fit for all habitats except bogs, where a linear fit was the most appropriate (Figure 4). A quadratic relationship for acid grasslands gave a higher R², but was rejected due to the shape of the curve at high N deposition which predicted an increased species richness above 35 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, which was not supported by the data. All curves were significant. The equations for each habitat are summarised in Table 2.

323

324 3.3 Change in species richness due to nitrogen

325 In response to the general decline of N deposition, there is a corresponding predicted increase in

- 326 species richness. The spatial pattern of increase reflects the combination of habitat location and
- 327 declines in N deposition (Figure S1, Supplementary Material). Heathlands have the greatest UK
- 328 coverage and show up to 20% increases in species richness with a spatial pattern reflecting that of
- 329 changes in N deposition. Acid grasslands also occur widely across the UK, with greatest increases in

- 330 species richness in the uplands of north-west England and Wales. Bogs have a more restricted
- distribution in the north and west UK, and show smaller increases, typically up to 10%, in species
- richness. Dune grasslands are distributed all around the UK coasts and show increases up to 20% in
- 333 species richness.





| Habitat | Number of sites surveyed | N deposition range (kg N ha ⁻ ¹ yr ⁻¹) | Form of equation | Coeffic | ients (SE) | R ² , SE, (Significance) of equation |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------|---|---|
| Heaths: Upland + Lowland | 25 + 27 | 5.9 – 32.4 | f = y0 + a*ln(x) | y0 = a = | 49.6654 (6.5632) -11.3114 (2.2716) | 0.3315, 6.6414, (p<0.001) |
| Acid grassland | 22 | 7.8 – 40.8 | f = y0 + a*ln(x) | γ0 = a = | 65.1623 (7.927) -14.026 (2.7211) | 0.5705, 6.1451, (p<0.001) |
| Dune | 24 | 5.4 - 16.8 | f = y0 + | y0 = | 98.351 | 0.3346,10.2808, |

| grassland | | | a*ln(x) | | (15.06) | (p=0.003) |
|-----------|----|------------|--------------|------|----------|-----------------|
| | | | | a = | -20.4662 | |
| | | | | | (6.1534) | |
| Bogs | 29 | 5.9 – 30.9 | f = y0 + a*x | y0 = | 27.6647 | 0.2136, 3.6072, |
| | | | | | (1.9195) | (p=0.012) |
| | | | | a = | -0.2909 | |
| | | | | | (0.1074) | |

Table 2. Dose response equations linking N deposition to plant species richness. Data re-analysed
 from Field et al. (2014). Heath data from upland and lowland surveys were combined prior to
 analysis. Species richness was calculated as number of species in an area of 20 m² (five random
 quadrats of 2x2m).

347

348 3.4 Change in value of 'appreciation of biodiversity' ecosystem service

349 The economic value of projected declines in N deposition to 2020 on the ecosystem service 350 'appreciation of biodiversity' are shown in Table 3. Heathlands show the greatest benefit from 351 declines in N deposition, with a projected benefit of £17.1 m (£2.7 – 56.0 m, 95% CI) EAV, while acid 352 grasslands show a benefit of £12.2 m (£1.8 – 39.9 m, 95% CI) EAV. Despite their large area, the 353 benefit to bogs is much lower ± 3.0 m ($\pm 0.3 - 10.7$ m, 95% CI) EAV, since bogs occur primarily in 354 lower deposition areas. Similarly, despite their high species richness, the limited area of dunes 355 means the value to dunes is also relatively low at ± 0.2 m ($\pm 0.01 - 0.8$ m, 95% CI) EAV. The combined annualised benefit to the whole UK is £32.6 m (£4.4 – 109.7 m, 95% CI) EAV. Figure 5 shows the 356 357 spatial pattern in EAV from the four habitats combined. The combined benefit from reductions in N 358 deposition is greatest in Scotland, and the upland areas of NW England and Wales reflecting the 359 greater extent of the semi-natural habitats in these areas (Table 1). The economic benefit per ha 360 (Figure 6) differs between habitats and is strongly non-linear, with the greatest economic benefit 361 found at low levels of N deposition, with the exception of bogs which show a linear relationship.

362

363 3.5 Damage costs

The unit damage costs show the benefit to biodiversity per tonne decrease in emission of the main nitrogen compounds. For emissions of nitrogen oxides the benefit was £102.8 (£33.3 to £237.4, 95% CI) per tonne of NO₂ emission saved, and for ammonia the benefit was £413.8 (£139.1 to £1,021.5) per tonne of NH₃ not emitted.

- 369 370
- 371
- . . .
- 372
- 373

| Equivalent Annual Value | Heaths | Acid grassland | Dune grassland | Bogs | Total 4 habitats |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| England | £4.1m | £3.0m | £0.09m | £1.2m | £8.3m |
| Wales | £0.9m | £1.9m | £0.03m | £0.2m | £3.0m |
| Scotland | £11.7m | £7.3m | £0.1m | £1.4m | £20.6m |
| Northern Ireland | £0.4m | £0.1m | £0.008m | £0.2m | £0.7m |
| UK (95% CI) | £17.2m (£2.7m to £56.0m) | £12.3m (£1.8m to £39.9m) | £0.2m (£0.01m to £0.8m) | £3.0m (£0.3m to £10.7m) | £32.7m (£4.4m to £109.7m) |

Table 3. Equivalent Annual Value of nitrogen impacts on appreciation of biodiversity for non-

377 charismatic species, by country and by habitat, future scenario (95% Confidence Intervals).



Figure 5. Spatial pattern of equivalent annual value (EAV) resulting from projected declines in N
 deposition impacts on biodiversity (£ per 5x5km grid cell).

- 382
- 383
- 384



Figure 6. Marginal cost response curves showing change in value of economic benefit of a 1 kg

N/ha/yr pollutant reduction, depending on initial level of N deposition (£ per ha, per unit change in
N deposition).

389

390

4. Discussion

In this study we developed a spatially-explicit methodology to quantify N impacts on biodiversity, and a value transfer function to calculate the marginal value of changes in N deposition. We used this to quantify the economic value of reductions in nitrogen deposition on a cultural ecosystem service "Appreciation of biodiversity" at national scale, and to calculate the damage cost per tonne of nitrogen dioxide or ammonia emitted, for use in policy appraisal.

396 4.1 Economic values and damage costs

- This study uses a spatially explicit approach to calculate N impacts on ecosystem services, which is more robust than previous studies using national figures only (Jones et al., 2014; Smart et al., 2011),
- and makes use of new data to calculate dose response functions linking N deposition and species
- 400 richness (Field et al., 2014). The value transfer approach provides direct linkage between response
- 401 functions for changes in species richness and the WTP values, demonstrating a clear impact
- 402 pathway. Spatial context is a key component of ecosystem service assessment where location plays
- 403 a part in determining the amount of benefit supplied, or where the spatial location of supply and
- 404 beneficiaries differ (Eigenbrod et al., 2010). In this study, the considerable spatial variation in benefit
- 405 supply arises from the congruence of the pressure affecting the ecosystem and where the benefits

- 406 are provided. The importance of incorporating spatial context is illustrated by the value calculated
- 407 for bogs which, despite covering an area almost half that of heathland, have annualised benefits less
- 408 than one fifth that of heathland due to their spatial location in relation to the changes in N
- 409 deposition.
- 410 This study also calculates primary estimates of damage costs for N impacts on biodiversity. While the
- 411 values we calculate (£414 per tonne of ammonia) are somewhat lower than the value of £1,972
- 412 (2010 prices) recommended for UK policy appraisal of human health impacts related to the PM_{2.5}
- 413 aerosol component of ammonia (Dickens et al., 2013), they represent a previously unquantified
- 414 component of air pollution impacts on the environment.

416 *4.2 Valuation methods*

Our analysis utilised WTP value data from Christie and Rayment (2012), which assessed the UK
 public's WTP for changes to non-charismatic species riches at different protected (SSSI) habitats. The
 population base for the economic values, the types of habitats valued and the percentage changes in

- 420 species richness are consistent between their study and ours. Therefore, we are reasonably
- 421 confident that the use of these data for value transfer is acceptable. WTP values may differ spatially
- 422 either in terms of (i) the differences in the socio-economic attributes of people living in different
- 423 locations or (ii) the accessibility to substitute sites. While robust data on the spatial variation of
- 424 values was not available from Christie and Rayment (2012), an earlier study looking at WTP to
- 425 protect UK Priority Habitats for conservation (Christie et al., 2011) showed no significant effect of
- 426 regional variation in WTP values. Therefore, our analysis assumes that values are spatially
- homogenous. The Christie et al. studies only estimated WTP values for England and Wales. Our
 extension of these values to Scotland and Northern Ireland carries assumptions that WTP does not
- 429 vary by country outside of the original studies. Our analysis incorporated differences in habitat area
- 430 in these countries at a fine spatial scale (5x5 km), but did not adjust for potential differences in WTP,
- 431 since average levels of household disposable income for Scotland and Northern Ireland are within or
- 432 very close to the range of average disposable income in England and Wales.
- 433

434 Since the valuation focuses on the non-use component of biodiversity in the form of existence value 435 for non-charismatic species as a final service, it does not capture the contribution of biodiversity to 436 direct and indirect use values; i.e. the value that is embedded in production of crops, regulating 437 climate, recreation, etc., nor the 'value' that biodiversity can have in terms of resilience and 438 supporting continuing flows of ecosystem services (Baumgartner, 2007; Kumar and Kumar, 2008). In 439 this way, we avoid issues of double accounting. However, we are also assuming 'constant flow' over 440 time. This is not problematic so long as current flows are sustainable; i.e. we are assuming the 441 resilience function of biodiversity is not impaired. If the resilience function is depleted, then

- 442 potential thresholds and non-linear effects may come into play and the value could be considered an
- 443 underestimate (Baumgartner, 2007).
- 444

445 4.3 Response functions

The non-linear response function in all habitats except bogs shows that the majority of biological
 impact on plant diversity occurs at relatively low levels of N deposition, but that it continues to have

an impact at higher N deposition. This has consequences for valuation in that a unit change in N

- deposition will have a greater value at low N deposition than at high N deposition, because the
- 450 ecological impact on species richness is greater.

- 451 The response functions use species richness as a metric to represent biodiversity in common with
- 452 many other studies. However, this may mask more complex biological impacts. For example where
- 453 species of conservation interest are replaced by other, faster growing, nitrogen-loving species
- 454 (Hodgson et al., 2014), this may result in no net change in species richness, despite substantial
- 455 changes in species composition. There was no evidence of such changes in the data underpinning
- this study (Field et al., 2014). However, other metrics such as difference from a pristine reference
- 457 species composition, e.g. Mean Species Abundance (Alkemade et al., 2009) could be used instead.
- 458 Using a different biodiversity metric may then require a modified value-transfer approach.
- 459

460 4.4 Assumptions

461 A number of assumptions underlie these calculations. Economic theory suggests that values of

- 462 biodiversity appreciation may be non-linear: i.e. marginal value per species is likely to decline as 463 species richness increases or there may be thresholds which result in marked changes in value
- 464 (Kumar, 2010). Other non-linearity effects due to scope insensitivity in the WTP study may influence
- 465 our scaling assumptions, in which we used a value per habitat based on its coverage within
- 466 protected areas and scaled it up to its extent nationally on the assumption that the value would
- 467 increase linearly with area. In the absence of more detailed information, we assumed a linear
- 468 response in both cases. Alternative approaches to value nitrogen impacts could include restoration
- 469 cost (Van Grinsven et al., 2013), the estimated cost of restoring an ecosystem from its degraded
- 470 state, or a Regulatory revealed preference cost which assumes that all costs of managing protected
- 471 areas, including to manage impacts of drivers such as nitrogen deposition, were built into the
- 472 funding model. These techniques also carry major assumptions, for example the restoration cost
- 473 approach assumes that the cost of replacing an ecosystem or its services is an estimate of the value
- 474 of the ecosystem or its services (Ott et al., 2006).
- From a nitrogen impacts perspective, the calculations assume that biological response to a change in
 N deposition occurs within a year. In reality, there are lags in the response of plant communities to
 changes in N deposition due to species persistence effects and continued cycling of stored N in the
- soil (Rowe et al., 2017). The complexity and varying timescales of these interactions make it difficult
- to incorporate them in this sort of economic appraisal currently.
- 480 The majority of species with clear response functions for N impacts can be classed as non-
- 481 charismatic species. However, there is emerging evidence of impacts on more charismatic species
- 482 such as butterflies (Wallis de Vries and Van Swaay, 2006) and on birds via impacts on prey items
- 483 (Nijssen et al., 2001). WTP values for charismatic species are far greater than for non-charismatic
- 484 species (Christie and Rayment, 2012; Loomis and White, 1996a, b). However, at present it is not
- possible to model impacts of air pollution on these species due to a lack of dose response functions.
- 486 This remains an important evidence gap that requires further research.
- 487

488 **5.** Conclusions

In conclusion, we demonstrate the potential for spatially-explicit calculation of pollutant impacts, by combining dose-response functions for nitrogen impacts on plant species with a well-aligned WTP study, and that it is possible to then value pollutant impacts on biodiversity, albeit with large uncertainty bounds. This demonstrates an approach that can be applied with other services and in other contents of the literature.

493 other contexts, particularly as new relevant WTP studies emerge in the literature.

494 This study provides clear potential for an economic benefit to biodiversity from policies which

reduce N deposition. The spatial pattern of the supply of benefit varies considerably and accounting

496 for this spatial variation is essential to correctly quantify those impacts. The response itself is non-

497 linear, and the greatest benefit comes from reducing nitrogen pollution in areas which are still

498 relatively un-impacted.

From a policy perspective there are two messages. Avoiding damage to habitats which are still relatively un-impacted will have the greatest economic value. However, there is also continued

501 economic benefit to reducing N deposition to habitats which already receive high levels of N

deposition. The study also provides an indicative estimate of the potential damage costs due to
 adverse effects on non-charismatic species, which can be considered in the context of existing health

504 damage costs. Understanding the spatial context to those impacts can help design intervention

505 measures to alleviate pollutant pressures in particular locations or regions.

506

507 *6. Acknowledgements*

508 This work was funded by the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) under 509 contract AQ0827.

510

511

512 7. References

513 Alkemade, R., van Oorschot, M., Miles, L., Nellemann, C., Bakkenes, M., ten Brink, B., 2009. GLOBIO3: A
514 Framework to Investigate Options for Reducing Global Terrestrial Biodiversity Loss. Ecosystems 12,
515 374-390.

516 Baumgartner, S., 2007. The insurance value of biodiversity in the provision of ecosystem services. Nat517 Resour Model 20, 87-127.

518 Bragazza, L., Buttler, A., Habermacher, J., Brancaleoni, L., Gerdol, R., Fritze, H., Hanajik, P., Laiho, R.,

Johnson, D., 2012. High nitrogen deposition alters the decomposition of bog plant litter and reducescarbon accumulation. Global Change Biol. 18, 1163-1172.

521 Champ, P.A., Boyle, K.J., Brown, T.C., Peterson, L.G., 2003. A primer on nonmarket valuation. Springer.
522 Christie, M., Hanley, N., Warren, J., Murphy, K., Wright, R., Hyde, T., 2006. Valuing the diversity of
biodiversity. Ecol. Econ. 58, 304-317.

524 Christie, M., Hyde, T., Cooper, R., Fazey, I., Dennis, P., Warren, J., Colombo, S., Hanley, N., 2011.

Economic valuation of the benefits of ecosystem services delivered by the UK Biodiversity ActionPlan. Defra Project SFFSD 702.

527 Christie, M., Rayment, M., 2012. An economic assessment of the ecosystem service benefits derived
 528 from the SSSI biodiversity conservation policy in England and Wales. Ecosystem Services 1, 70-84.

529 Clark, C.M., Bell, M.D., Boyd, J.W., Compton, J.E., Davidson, E.A., Davis, C., Fenn, M.E., Geiser, L., Jones,
 530 L., Blett, T.F., 2017. Nitrogen-induced terrestrial eutrophication: cascading effects and impacts on

531 ecosystem services. Ecosphere 8.

532 Compton, J.E., Harrison, J.A., Dennis, R.L., Greaver, T.L., Hill, B.H., Jordan, S.J., Walker, H., Campbell,

H.V., 2011. Ecosystem services altered by human changes in the nitrogen cycle: a new perspective
for US decision making. Ecology Letters 14, 804-815.

535 Dickens, R., Gill, J., Rubin, A., Butterick, M., 2013. Valuing impacts on air quality: supplementary Green
536 Book guidance. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Her Majesty's Treasury, United
537 Kingdom.

538 Dise, N., Ashmore, M., Belyazid, S., Bleeker, A., Bobbink, R., deVries, W., Erisman, J., Spranger, T.,

539 Stevens, C., Van den Berg, L., 2011. Nitrogen deposition as a threat to European terrestrial

- 540 biodiversity. The European Nitrogen Assessment: Sources, Effects and Policy Perspectives.
- 541 Cambridge University Press. ISBN, 463-494.
- 542 Dupré, C., Stevens, C.J., Ranke, T., Bleeker, A., Peppler-Lisbach, C., Gowing, D.J.G., Dise, N.B., Dorland,
- 543 E.D.U., Bobbink, R., Diekmann, M., 2010. Changes in species richness and composition in European

acidic grasslands over the past 70 years: the contribution of cumulative atmospheric nitrogendeposition. Global Change Biol. 16, 344-357.

546 Eggleston, H., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanabe, K., 2006. IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse
547 gas inventories. Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, Hayama, Japan 2, 48-56.

- 548 Eigenbrod, F., Armsworth, P.R., Anderson, B.J., Heinemeyer, A., Gillings, S., Roy, D.B., Thomas, C.D.,
- Gaston, K.J., 2010. The impact of proxy-based methods on mapping the distribution of ecosystem
 services. J. Appl. Ecol. 47, 377-385.
- 551 Field, C.D., Dise, N.B., Payne, R.J., Britton, A.J., Emmett, B.A., Helliwell, R.C., Hughes, S., Jones, L., Lees,
- 552 S., Leake, J.R., Leith, I.D., Phoenix, G.K., Power, S.A., Sheppard, L.J., Southon, G.E., Stevens, C.J.,
- Caporn, S.J.M., 2014. The Role of Nitrogen Deposition in Widespread Plant Community Change
 Across Semi-natural Habitats. Ecosystems 17, 864-877.
- 555 Friedrich, R., Bickel, P., 2001. Estimation of external costs using the impact-pathway-approach. Results 556 from the ExternE project series. TA-Datenbank-Nachrichten 10, 74-82.
- 557 Galloway, J.N., Townsend, A.R., Erisman, J.W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z.C., Freney, J.R., Martinelli, L.A.,
- 558 Seitzinger, S.P., Sutton, M.A., 2008. Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: Recent trends, questions, 559 and potential solutions. Science, N.Y. 320, 889-892.
- 560 Hanley, N., Barbier, E., 2009. Pricing nature: cost-benefit analysis and environmental policy. Edward561 Elgar Publishing.
- 562 HM Treasury, 2003. The Green Book: Appraisal and Evaluation in Central Government'. Available at
 563 <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-green-book-appraisal-and-evaluation-in-central-</u>
 564 governent
- 565 Hodgson, J.G., Tallowin, J., Dennis, R.L.H., Thompson, K., Poschlod, P., Dhanoa, M.S., Charles, M., Jones,
- G., Wilson, P., Band, S.R., Bogaard, A., Palmer, C., Carter, G., Hynd, A., 2014. Changing leaf nitrogen
 and canopy height quantify processes leading to plant and butterfly diversity loss in agricultural
- 568 landscapes. Funct. Ecol. 28, 1284-1291.
- 569 Isbell, F., Calcagno, V., Hector, A., Connolly, J., Harpole, W.S., Reich, P.B., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmid,
- 570 B., Tilman, D., van Ruijven, J., Weigelt, A., Wilsey, B.J., Zavaleta, E.S., Loreau, M., 2011. High plant 571 diversity is needed to maintain ecosystem services. Nature 477, 199-U196.
- 572 Johnston, R.J., Rolfe, J., Rosenberger, R.S., Brouwer, R., 2015. Benefit Transfer of Environmental and 573 Resource Values. Springer.
- 574 Jones, L., Nizam, M.S., Reynolds, B., Bareham, S., Oxley, E.R.B., 2013. Upwind impacts of ammonia from 575 an intensive poultry unit. Environmental Pollution 180, 221-228.
- 576 Jones, L., Provins, A., Holland, M., Mills, G., Hayes, F., Emmett, B., Hall, J., Sheppard, L., Smith, R., 577 Sutton, M., Hicks, K., Ashmore, M., Haines-Young, R., Harper-Simmonds, L., 2014. A review and
- 578 application of the evidence for nitrogen impacts on ecosystem services. Ecosystem Services 7, 76-88.
- 579 Kumar, M., Kumar, P., 2008. Valuation of the ecosystem services: A psycho-cultural perspective.
 580 Ecological Economics 64, 808-819.
- 581 Kumar, P., 2010. The economics of ecosystems and biodiversity: ecological and economic foundations.582 UNEP/Earthprint.
- Loomis, J.B., White, D.S., 1996a. Economic benefits of rare and endangered species: Summary and
 meta-analysis. Ecological Economics 18, 197-206.
- Loomis, J.B., White, D.S., 1996b. Economic benefits of rare and endangered species: Summary and metaanalysis. Am. J. agric. Econ. 78, 1407-1407.
- 587 Loubet, B., Asman, W.A., Theobald, M.R., Hertel, O., Tang, Y.S., Robin, P., Hassouna, M., Dämmgen, U.,
- 588 Genermont, S., Cellier, P., 2009. Ammonia deposition near hot spots: processes, models and
- 589 monitoring methods, Atmospheric Ammonia. Springer, pp. 205-267.

590 Louviere, J.J., Hensher, D.A., 1982. Design and analysis of simulated choice or allocation experiments in 591 travel choice modeling.

Louviere, J.J., Woodworth, G., 1983. Design and Analysis of Simulated Consumer Choice or Allocation
 Experiments - an Approach Based on Aggregate Data. J Marketing Res 20, 350-367.

594 Mace, G.M., Norris, K., Fitter, A.H., 2012. Biodiversity and ecosystem services: a multilayered 595 relationship. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 27, 19-26.

596 Maskell, L.C., Smart, S.M., Bullock, J.M., Thompson, K., Stevens, C.J., 2010. Nitrogen deposition causes
 597 widespread loss of species richness in British habitats. Global Change Biol. 16, 671-679.

598 Misra, A., Passant, N., Murrells, T., Thistlethwaite, G., Pang, Y., BNorris, J., Walker, C., Stewart, R.,

MacCarthy, J., Pierce, M., 2012. UK Emission Projections of Air Quality Pollutants to 2030. AEA
 Technology report AEA/ENV.

601 Morton, D., Rowland, C., Wood, C., Meek, L., Marston, C., Smith, G., Wadsworth, R., Simpson, I., 2011.
602 Final Report for LCM2007-the new UK land cover map. Countryside Survey Technical Report No
603 11/07.

604 Mouchet, M.A., Lamarque, P., Martín-López, B., Crouzat, E., Gos, P., Byczek, C., Lavorel, S., 2014. An

605 interdisciplinary methodological guide for quantifying associations between ecosystem services.

606 Global Environmental Change 28, 298-308.

607 Murrells, T., Passant, N., Thistlethwaite, G., Wagner, A., Li, Y., Bush, T., Norris, J., Walker, C., Stewart, R.,

Tsagatakis, I., 2010. UK Emissions of Air Pollutants 1970 to 2008. Department for Environment, Food
 and Rural Affairs, Dostępny na: www. naei. org. uk.

610 Nijssen, M., Alders, K., van der Smissen, N., Esselink, H., 2001. Effects of grass-encroachment and

grazing management on carabid assemblages of dry dune grasslands, Proceedings Of The Section
 Experimental And Applied Entomology-Netherlands Entomological Society, pp. 113-120.

613 Ninan, K.N., 2014. Valuing Ecosystem Services: Methodological Issues and Case Studies. Edward Elgar614 Publishing.

615 Oenema, O., Bleeker, A., Braathen, N.A., Winiwarter, W., 2011. Nitrogen in current European policies,

The European nitrogen assessment: sources, effects and policy perspectives. Cambridge UniversityPress, pp. 62-81.

618 Ott, W., Baur, M., Kaufmann, Y., Frischknecht, R., Steiner, R., 2006. Assessment of biodiversity losses.
619 NEEDS Deliverable D 4.

620 Pardo, L.H., Fenn, M.E., Goodale, C.L., Geiser, L.H., Driscoll, C.T., Allen, E.B., Baron, J.S., Bobbink, R.,

621 Bowman, W.D., Clark, C.M., Emmett, B., Gilliam, F.S., Greaver, T.L., Hall, S.J., Lilleskov, E.A., Liu, L.L.,

622 Lynch, J.A., Nadelhoffer, K.J., Perakis, S.S., Robin-Abbott, M.J., Stoddard, J.L., Weathers, K.C., Dennis,

R.L., 2011. Effects of nitrogen deposition and empirical nitrogen critical loads for ecoregions of theUnited States. Ecol. Applic. 21, 3049-3082.

625 Phoenix, G.K., Emmett, B.A., Britton, A.J., Caporn, S.J.M., Dise, N.B., Helliwell, R., Jones, L., Leake, J.R.,

Leith, I.D., Sheppard, L.J., Sowerby, A., Pilkington, M.G., Rowe, E.C., Ashmore, M.R., Power, S.A.,

627 2012. Impacts of atmospheric nitrogen deposition: responses of multiple plant and soil parameters

628 across contrasting ecosystems in long-term field experiments. Global Change Biol. 18, 1197-1215.

629 Pilkington, M.G., Caporn, S.J.M., Carroll, J.A., Cresswell, N., Phoenix, G.K., Lee, J.A., Emmett, B.A.,

630 Sparks, T., 2007. Impacts of burning and increased nitrogen deposition on nitrogen pools and 631 leaching in an upland moor. J. Ecol. 95, 1195-1207.

Plassmann, K., Edwards-Jones, G., Jones, M.L.M., 2009. The effects of low levels of nitrogen depositionand grazing on dune grassland. Sci. total Envir. 407, 1391-1404.

634 Power, S.A., Green, E.R., Barker, C.G., Bell, J.N.B., Ashmore, M.R., 2006. Ecosystem recovery: heathland 635 response to a reduction in nitrogen deposition. Global Change Biol. 12, 1241-1252.

636 Remke, E., Brouwer, E., Kooijman, A., Blindow, I., Esselink, H., Roelofs, J.G.M., 2009. Even low to

637 medium nitrogen deposition impacts vegetation of dry, coastal dunes around the Baltic Sea. Envir.638 Pollut. 157, 792-800.

639 Rhodes, C., Bingham, A., Heard, A.M., Hewitt, J., Lynch, J., Waite, R., Bell, M.D., 2017. Diatoms to human 640 uses: linking nitrogen deposition, aquatic eutrophication, and ecosystem services. Ecosphere 8.

- Rowe, E., Jones, L., Dise, N., Evans, C., Mills, G., Hall, J., Stevens, C.J., Mitchell, R., Field, C., Caporn, S.,
 2017. Metrics for evaluating the ecological benefits of decreased nitrogen deposition. Biol. Conserv.
 212, 454-463.
- 644 Sheppard, L.J., Leith, I.D., Mizunuma, T., Cape, J.N., Crossley, A., Leeson, S., Sutton, M.A., van Dijk, N.,

645 Fowler, D., 2011. Dry deposition of ammonia gas drives species change faster than wet deposition of

ammonium ions: evidence from a long-term field manipulation. Global Change Biol. 17, 3589-3607.

647 Smart, J.C.R., Hicks, K., Morrissey, T., Heinemeyer, A., Sutton, M.A., Ashmore, M., 2011. Applying the 648 ecosystem service concept to air quality management in the UK: a case study for ammonia.

649 Environmetrics 22, 649-661.

650 Smith, R.I., Fowler, D., Sutton, M.A., Flechard, C., Coyle, M., 2000. Regional estimation of pollutant gas
deposition in the UK: model description, sensitivity analyses and outputs. Atmos. Envir. 34, 37573777.

653 Stevens, C.J., Dise, N.B., Mountford, J.O., Gowing, D.J., 2004. Impact of nitrogen deposition on the 654 species richness of grasslands. Science, N.Y. 303, 1876-1879.

655 van den Berg, L.J.L., Jones, L., Sheppard, L.J., Smart, S.M., Bobbink, R., Dise, N.B., Ashmore, M.R., 2016.

- 656 Evidence for differential effects of reduced and oxidised nitrogen deposition on vegetation
- 657 independent of nitrogen load. Environmental Pollution 208, 890-897.
- 658 Van Grinsven, H.J.M., Holland, M., Jacobsen, B.H., Klimont, Z., Sutton, M.A., Willems, W.J., 2013. Costs

and Benefits of Nitrogen for Europe and Implications for Mitigation. Environ Sci Technol 47, 3571-3579.

661 Wallis de Vries, M.F., Van Swaay, C.A.M., 2006. Global warming and excess nitrogen may induce

butterfly decline by microclimatic cooling. Global Change Biol. 12, 1620-1626.

663

665 Supplementary material for:

666

Jones, Laurence; Milne, Alice; Hall, Jane; Mills, Gina; Provins, Allan; Christie, Michael. 2018 <u>Valuing</u>
 improvements in biodiversity due to controls on atmospheric nitrogen pollution. *Ecological Economics*, 152. 358-366.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.06.010

670

671

Table S1. Change in emissions of NO_2 and NH_3 used to calculate damage costs for the future

673 scenario. Emissions are scaled linearly between start and end years of the scenario.

| | NO _x as NO ₂ | | NH₃ | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Year | NO2 Emissions (kt) | Change from baseline | NH₃ Emissions (kt) | Change from baseline |
| 2007 | 1403.0 | 0.0 | 289.6 | 0.0 |
| 2008 | 1363.1 | -39.9 | 288.2 | -1.4 |
| 2009 | 1323.1 | -79.9 | 286.9 | -2.7 |
| 2010 | 1283.2 | -119.8 | 285.5 | -4.1 |
| 2011 | 1243.3 | -159.7 | 284.2 | -5.5 |
| 2012 | 1203.3 | -199.7 | 282.8 | -6.8 |
| 2013 | 1163.4 | -239.6 | 281.4 | -8.2 |
| 2014 | 1123.5 | -279.5 | 280.1 | -9.5 |
| 2015 | 1083.5 | -319.5 | 278.7 | -10.9 |
| 2016 | 1043.6 | -359.4 | 277.3 | -12.3 |
| 2017 | 1003.7 | -399.3 | 276.0 | -13.6 |
| 2018 | 963.8 | -439.2 | 274.6 | -15.0 |
| 2019 | 923.8 | -479.2 | 273.2 | -16.4 |
| 2020 | 883.9 | -519.1 | 271.9 | -17.7 |
| Average change (kt) ¹ | | -279.5 | | -9.5 |

674 ¹ Not including Reference Year.

Table S2. Assumptions and parameterisation used in the uncertainty analysis

| Variable | Assumptions and parameterisation |
|---|---|
| Spatially variable N deposition | Uncertainty for each predicted value of N deposition was distributed log- normally with a standard deviation of 25% of the mean (this approximates 95% confidence limits of \pm 50%) (Jones et al. 2016). We used a log-normal distribution because the standard deviation was large, thereby avoiding negative values which would result from a normal distribution. Correlation in errors between the values in 2007 and 2020 was estimated as 0.99. |
| Response function (slope of y = ax + b relationship) | Based on examination of the data, uncertainty in the model parameters was distributed normally with means standard deviations and correlations listed in Table S3 below. |
| Percentage area of habitat in 5x5km square | Uncertainty in the percentage of each habitat across the UK had a triangular distribution with limits ±5% of the mean. |
| Maintain/Increase Funding | Based on the information in Christie et al. (2012). Willingness To Pay values for non-charismatic species were distributed log-normally with standard deviation 65% of the mean. We used a log-normal distribution because the standard deviation was large. The uncertainty in this variable does not account for the uncertainties accumulated when aggregating from the price per 1% change in unit (£/household/year) as this information was not available. |

Table S3. Parameters for response functions in uncertainty analysis.

| | Means | | Standard o | Correlations | |
|----------------|-------|-------|------------|--------------|-------|
| | a_m | b_m | a_s | bs | |
| Heaths | -11.3 | 49.67 | 2.27 | 6.56 | -0.99 |
| Acid grassland | -14.0 | 65.15 | 2.72 | 7.93 | -0.99 |
| Dunes | -20.5 | 98.25 | 6.15 | 15.06 | -0.99 |
| Bogs | -0.29 | 27.66 | 0.11 | 1.92 | -0.94 |







Figure S1. Projected changes in species richness due to declines in nitrogen deposition, for four habitats: a) heaths, b) acid grassland, c) dune grassland, d) bogs.