

Aberystwyth University

Nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment effects on CO2 and methane fluxes from an upland ecosystem

Stiles, William; Rowe, Edwin; Dennis, Peter

Published in: Science of the Total Environment DOI

10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.202

Publication date: 2018

Citation for published version (APA): Stiles, W., Rowe, E., & Dennis, P. (2018). Nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment effects on CO2 and methane fluxes from an upland ecosystem. *Science of the Total Environment*, *618*, 1199-1209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.202

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or • You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 • You may not further distribute the heat the publication in the Abervstwyth Research Portal

- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Science of

the Total Environment

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: STOTEN-D-17-04060R2

Title: Nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment effects on CO2 and methane fluxes from an upland ecosystem

Article Type: Research Paper

Keywords: Nitrogen deposition; soil carbon; carbon fluxes; pollution; colimitation; P limitation

Corresponding Author: Dr. William A. V. Stiles,

Corresponding Author's Institution: Aberystwyth University

First Author: William A. V. Stiles

Order of Authors: William A. V. Stiles; Edwin C Rowe; Peter Dennis

Abstract: Reactive nitrogen (N) deposition can affect many ecosystem processes, particularly in oligotrophic habitats, and is expected to affect soil C storage potential through increases in microbial decomposition rate as a consequence of greater N availability. Increased N availability may also result in changes in the principal limitations on ecosystem productivity. Phosphorus (P) limitation may constrain productivity in instances of high N deposition, yet ecosystem responses to P availability are poorly understood. This study investigated CO2 and CH4 flux responses to N and P enrichment using both short- (1 year) and long-term (16 year) nutrient addition experiments. We hypothesised that the addition of either N or P will increase CO2 and CH4 fluxes, since both plant production and microbial activity are likely to increase with alleviation from nutrient limitation. This study demonstrated the modification of C fluxes from N and P enrichment, with differing results subject to the duration of nutrient addition. On average, relative to control, the addition of N alone inhibited CO2 flux in the short-term (-9%) but considerably increased CO2 emissions in the long-term (+35%), reduced CH4 uptake in the short term (-90%) and reduced CH4 emission in the long term (-94%). Phosphorus addition increased CO2 and CH4 emission in the short term (+20%) and +184% respectively), with diminishing effect into the long term, suggesting microbial communities at these sites are P limited. Whilst a full C exchange budget was not examined in the experiment, the potential for soil C storage loss with long-term nutrient enrichment is demonstrated and indicates that P addition, where P is a limiting factor, may have an adverse influence on upland soil C content.

Response to Reviewers: Reviewer #1: Comments on "Nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment effects on CO2 and methane fluxes from an upland ecosystem"

I appreciated for a revision done by the authors and it got improved. Some of my comments have been addressed, yet I did not see much improved quality of the manuscript. Another round of moderate revision is needed. I understand the authors asking for some specific comments, yet as some organization and representation are throughout the manuscript, I have to list few of them, leave the authors to work on most. I also encourage the senior authors spend time on the representation if the leading author is reluctant for revision.

>> We thank the reviewer for taking the time to review this manuscript and are grateful for their helpful comments. We have attempted to refine the text throughout in line with the above suggestion.

1) For the interactive impacts of N and P, Figure 2 and Figure 7 do have those results, worth a brief discussion.

>> We are grateful for this suggestion, but as we stated in the first round of comments, the power of the study to assess the interactive effects of N and P was constrained by the original design of one of the two experiments, which did not include a P-only addition treatment. The conclusions of the study are robustly based on contrasts between the effects of N-only and N&P treatments.

2) First sentence in abstract in my previous round of comments. This paper addresses N and P impacts on CO2 and CH4 fluxes; of course, the soil organic carbon is important and relevant to CO2 and CH4 flux, but it should not be the opening sentences for abstract.
>> Entire first sentence now deleted.

3) Comparison with other studies will enhance the impact of this paper; it is same for the model implications.
>> Comparisons with the findings of other studies are drawn frequently in the discussion section of this paper - for instance, line 324/325, line 345/346, line 370, line 387, line 414/415 and others. With regard to 'model implications', as we stated in the first round of comments: We consider that the study contributes to scientific understanding and as such the results and inferences will be useful for testing and design of ecosystem models. However, reviewing the potential applications of the insights gained within specific models is beyond the scope of this paper.

4) Figure 1, a & b are missing.

>> Figure 1, parts a) and b) are in reference to the nutrient addition treatment squares (bottom right of the figure). We have also added a) and b) labels to the map to help the reader distinguish between trial plots.

5) Line 331, "sizable" not professional phrase.

>> All use of the word 'sizeable' deleted or replaced with significant, where appropriate. Similar subjective phrases were identified in other places in the text (e.g. markedly, considerable, slightly) and have been substituted with statistically validated, objective terms. We hope that this has therefore addressed the concern raised by the reviewer in the opening comment since the example given helped us to appreciate where concern lay in the presentation of the work in the earlier version of the manuscript.

6) At the beginning of the discussion, a small paragraph to highlight the key findings of this study is needed.>> Paragraph added to the discussion as requested.

Reviewer #1: Comments on "Nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment effects on CO2 and methane fluxes from an upland ecosystem"

I appreciated for a revision done by the authors and it got improved. Some of my comments have been addressed, yet I did not see much improved quality of the manuscript. Another round of moderate revision is needed. I understand the authors asking for some specific comments, yet as some organization and representation are throughout the manuscript, I have to list few of them, leave the authors to work on most. I also encourage the senior authors spend time on the representation if the leading author is reluctant for revision.

>> We thank the reviewer for taking the time to review this manuscript and are grateful for their helpful comments. We have attempted to refine the text throughout in line with the above suggestion.

1) For the interactive impacts of N and P, Figure 2 and Figure 7 do have those results, worth a brief discussion.

>> We are grateful for this suggestion, but as we stated in the first round of comments, the power of the study to assess the interactive effects of N and P was constrained by the original design of one of the two experiments, which did not include a P-only addition treatment. The conclusions of the study are robustly based on contrasts between the effects of N-only and N&P treatments.

2) First sentence in abstract in my previous round of comments. This paper addresses N and P impacts on CO2 and CH4 fluxes; of course, the soil organic carbon is important and relevant to CO2 and CH4 flux, but it should not be the opening sentences for abstract.

>> Entire first sentence now deleted.

3) Comparison with other studies will enhance the impact of this paper; it is same for the model implications.

>> Comparisons with the findings of other studies are drawn frequently in the discussion section of this paper – for instance, line 324/325, line 345/346, line 370, line 387, line 414/415 and others. With regard to 'model implications', as we stated in the first round of comments: *We consider that the study contributes to scientific understanding and as such the results and inferences will be useful for testing and design of ecosystem models. However, reviewing the potential applications of the insights gained within specific models is beyond the scope of this paper.*

4) Figure 1, a & b are missing.

>> Figure 1, parts a) and b) are in reference to the nutrient addition treatment squares (bottom right of the figure). We have also added a) and b) labels to the map to help the reader distinguish between trial plots.

5) Line 331, "sizable" not professional phrase.

>> All use of the word 'sizeable' deleted or replaced with significant, where appropriate. Similar subjective phrases were identified in other places in the text (e.g. markedly, considerable, slightly) and have been substituted with statistically validated, objective terms. We hope that this has therefore addressed the concern raised by the reviewer in the opening comment since the example given helped us to appreciate where concern lay in the presentation of the work in the earlier version of the manuscript.

6) At the beginning of the discussion, a small paragraph to highlight the key findings of this study is needed.

>> Paragraph added to the discussion as requested.

Graphical abstract:

Ν



Highlights:

- Under simulated N pollution, P addition increased CO₂ and CH₄ emissions
- This has contributed to lower soil C concentrations where P was added
- N addition initially inhibited CO2 emission but increased emission in the long term
- Nutirent availability can significantly modify C emissions from upland soil
- P limitation may reduce potential soil C loss in situations of chronic N pollution

1 Nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment effects on CO₂ and methane fluxes

2 from an upland ecosystem

William A. V. Stiles ^{a,b}, Edwin C. Rowe ^b, Peter Dennis ^a

^a Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences, Penglais Campus, Aberystwyth University, Wales, SY23 3DD, United Kingdom

^b Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Bangor, Environment Centre Wales, Bangor, LL57 2UW, UK.

Corresponding author:

William A. V. Stiles

Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences,

Penglais Campus,

Aberystwyth University,

SY23 3DD

Email: wvs@aber.ac.uk

3 **ABSTRACT**

4 High organic matter soils are significant terrestrial reservoirs of carbon (C) which may be transformed 5 from C sinks to sources by environmental change. Reactive nitrogen (N) deposition can affect many ecosystem processes, particularly in these typically oligotrophic habitats, and is expected to affect soil 6 7 C storage potential through increases in microbial decomposition rate as a consequence of greater N 8 availability. Increased N availability may also result in changes in the principal limitations on 9 ecosystem productivity. Phosphorus (P) limitation may constrain productivity in instances of high N 10 deposition, yet ecosystem responses to P availability are poorly understood. This study investigated CO₂ and CH₄ flux responses to N and P enrichment using both short- (1 year) and long-term (16 year) 11 12 nutrient addition experiments. We hypothesised that the addition of either N or P will increase CO₂ 13 and CH₄ fluxes, since both plant production and microbial activity are likely to increase with 14 alleviation from nutrient limitation. This study demonstrated the modification of C fluxes from N and 15 P enrichment, with differing results subject to the duration of nutrient addition. On average, relative to 16 control, the addition of N alone inhibited CO₂ flux in the short-term (-9%) but considerably increased 17 CO₂ emissions in the long-term (+35%), reduced CH₄ uptake in the short-termshort term (-18 90%) and reduced CH₄ emission in the long termlong term (-94%). Phosphorus addition 19 increased CO₂ and CH₄ emission in the short termtermshort term (+20% and +184% 20 respectively), with diminishing effect into the long termlong termlong termlong termlong termlong termlong term communities at these sites are P limited. Whilst a full C exchange budget was not examined in the 21 22 experiment, the potential for soil C storage loss with long termlong-termlong-term-nutrient 23 enrichment is demonstrated and indicates that P addition, where P is a limiting factor, may have an adverse influence on upland soil C content. 24

25 Keywords:

26 Nitrogen deposition; soil carbon; carbon fluxes; pollution; co-limitation; P limitation

27 **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

28 Global climate change is expected to have profound impacts on natural systems, which could threaten 29 biodiversity and ecosystem processes (Walther et al. 2002; Thomas et al. 2004; Grim et al. 2013; 30 Carroll et al. 2015). In northern temperate ecosystems, carbon (C) has been accumulating in terrestrial 31 reservoirs since the end of the last ice age, sequestered mainly as organic matter in soils with low rates 32 of decomposition such as peat (Yu et al. 2010). Maintaining these C stores is important to avoid the 33 transformation from current status of C sink, to potential C source (House et al. 2010). Carbon stored 34 in soil is lost to the atmosphere in the form of CO₂ from soil organic matter mineralisation (Dawson & 35 Smith 2007) and CH₄ from the anaerobic decomposition of organic matter by methanogenic microbes 36 (Bubier & Moore 1994; Cooper et al. 2014). Emissions of CO₂ are considerably larger than CH₄ emissions, but the global warming potential of CH_4 is 28 times greater than CO_2 , making it an important GHG (IPCC 2013).

The effect of climate change on soil C is controversial (Worrall et al. 2004; Davidson & Janssens 2006; Worral & Burt 2007; Clark et al. 2010), but as temperatures rise the rate of organic matter decomposition is expected to increase, potentially resulting in a positive feedback on climate change (Knorr et al. 2005). This effect is also likely to be exacerbated by current land use practices including drainage, grazing and burning (Wallage et al. 2006; Ward et al. 2007 & 2013) and by the effects of nutrient enrichment from nitrogen (N) deposition (Bragazza et al. 2006). Nutrient availability also affects soil C fluxes via influences on plant productivity and inputs of labile C into soil.

Net fluxes of C from soil are affected by numerous environmental factors and ecosystem processes. 46 47 Soil moisture, temperature and pH have strong controlling effects on emissions of gaseous C from 48 soil, by influencing soil microbial and plant root activity, and the diffusion of gases through soil pores 49 (Smith et al. 2003; Chen et al. 2015). Vegetation composition can also affect rates of both CO_2 and 50 CH₄ emission (Raich & Tufekciogul 2000; Robroek et al. 2015). For CH₄, the effect is direct via the 51 transport of gas through the aerenchymatous tissue of some vascular plants (notably sedges), allowing 52 gaseous exchange with the atmosphere (Joabsson et al. 1999; McEwing et al. 2015). For CO₂, 53 vegetation composition has indirect effects via changes to net C input by plants, variation in 54 decomposition resistance of plant material, rates of root respiration, and influences on soil 55 microclimate and structure (Raich & Tufekciogul 2000). This has significant implications for soil C 56 flux in the context of current environmental change (Berendse et al. 2001; Ward et al. 2013; Xu et al. 57 2015) and potentially makes forecasting soil C flux highly complex, as all biotic and abiotic factors 58 not only act directly, but also indirectly through the modification of vegetation composition by soil 59 characteristics, and vice versa (McEwing et al. 2015).

60 Nitrogen enrichment from pollutant deposition affects ecosystem processes (Magnani et al. 2007; 61 Jones & Power 2012; Southron et al. 2013) and can affect rates of C flux through changes to 62 vegetation and microbial activity (Basiliko et al. 2006; Juutinen et al. 2010; Wu et al. 2015). The 63 effect of N on soil CO₂ flux is controversial, with studies demonstrating an inhibitory (Phillips & 64 Fahey 2007; Janssens et al. 2010; Ramirez et al. 2010) or a stimulatory (Bragazza et al. 2006; 65 Cleveland & Townsend 2006; Zhang et al. 2013) effect. This is potentially the result of differences in C availability (Knorr et al. 2005). In oligotrophic environments with soils of high organic matter 66 67 content, nutrient enrichment from N deposition is expected to impact soil C storage potential by 68 increasing microbial activity and thus decomposition rates (Bragazza et al. 2006). It is also expected 69 that an increase in N availability will shift ecosystems towards limitation of other nutrients such as 70 phosphorus (P) (Crowley et al. 2012; Peñuelas et al. 2013). Phosphorus limitation may be an 71 important mechanism that constrains productivity in situations of high N deposition, hence release

from this may greatly affect many ecosystem processes and fluxes. Understanding the role of nutrient availability in determining C fluxes and C storage potential is of considerable importance. The availability of reactive N in upland habitats with peaty soils has been increased by anthropogenic sources, and is projected to double in size globally from current levels by 2050 (Galloway et al. 2004; Phoenix et al. 2006). The rate of reactive N input is reportedly in decline in Western Europe (Fowler et al. 2004), but current rates remain higher than the estimated critical load for many upland habitats (RoTAP 2012).

79 To date, research in this area has focussed on the environmental factors which can-promote C flux, 80 such as vegetation composition, temperature and soil moisture. Few studies have considered the effect 81 of increased N availability on C flux within upland habitats that have been exposed to N deposition 82 rates near or above the critical load, with none considering the impact of P limitation in this context. 83 This study aimed to establish the effect of N and P enrichment on soil C flux by measuring CO₂ and 84 CH₄ emissions across two randomised block experiments, which have been run in both the short (one 85 year) and longer term (1996 - 2012, with sampling undertaken three years after ceasing N inputs and 86 15 years after a single P application). We hypothesise that the addition of nutrients (N or P) will 87 increase CO₂ flux (H1) and increase CH₄ flux (H2) at these upland sites, and N and P addition will have stronger stimulation effects than N addition alone on fluxes of CO₂ (H3) and CH₄ (H4). The 88 89 response will be greatest in treatments where P is added, since plant growth and microbial activity are 90 expected to increase with alleviation from nutrient limitation.

91 **1.2 METHODS**

92 1.2.1 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

93 This study was conducted at two sites: Pen y Garn (PEN - 52° 37' N, 3° 76' W) and Pwllpeiran (PWL 94 - 52° 37' N, 3° 77' W) in the Cambrian Mountain range, mid-Wales. The sites were located within 1 km of each other and within an altitude range of 500 - 600 metres a.s.l., and .bBoth sites were on a 95 96 transition between NVC U4 Festuca ovina / Agrostis capillaris grassland and H18 Vaccinium 97 myrtillus / Deschampsia flexuosa heath, overlying mixed soils ranging from shallow ferric 98 stagnopodzol to deep peat (Emmett et al. 2007; Phoenix et al. 2012). The mean annual rainfall rate for 99 this location was 1512.2 mm (UK Meteorological Office, no date) and the background N deposition rate was 22 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Emmett et al. 2007). Nutrient addition experiments were established at both 100 locations, each consisting of six replicate blocks of four 3 x 3 m plots, in a randomised block design. 101 102 In the long-term experiment at PEN (Figure 1b), N was added fortnightly between 1996 and 2012, and P was added once in 2000 to the ammonium sulphate 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (AS10+P) treatment. The 103 104 second experiment, at PWL, was established more recently, with different nutrient addition treatments 105 to better distinguish the effect of P addition by including a P-only treatment (Figure 1a). In both

- 106 experiments, the addition of N was split to avoid abrupt increases in concentration, with additions of
- 107 1/14 of the total dose every two weeks between the months of April October 2014.





109

Figure 1. Experimental treatments at two sites, each replicated six times: black circles and a) Pwllpeiran (PWL), (experiment set up in 2004): CONTROL = no addition; N = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; P = phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; N & P = 113 ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; white 114 circles and b) Pen y Garn (PEN) (experiment set up in 1996): CONTROL = no addition; AS10+P = 115 ammonium sulphate at 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 20 kg P 116 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; AS20 = ammonium sulphate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; SN20 = sodium nitrate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

117 At the PWL site, P was added once in April 2014. The PWL site was grazed during the study, at a rate 118 of 1.0 sheep/ ha⁻¹. The PEN site was not grazed during this study, but had been grazed by sheep 119 between 1990 and 2007 at two levels (1.0 sheep/ ha^{-1} and 1.5- sheep/ ha^{-1}). Although there was no 120 grazing at the PEN site between 2007 and 2015, these moorland habitats are resistant to succession 121 and there was no change in habitat type. Three replicate blocks were established in each of two 122 paddocks that had been grazed at the different levels, but the lack of grazing during the intervening 123 seven years had greatly diminished effects of the grazing treatments and differences in previous 124 stocking rate were not taken into account in the design.

125 1.2.2. VEGETATION ANALYSIS

126 Vegetation data were collected for PWL in June 2014 and June 2015 and for PEN in June 2015.

127 Cover was recorded visually for each species within each 3 x 3 m plot using the Domin scale, and

128 subsequently transformed to percentage cover for statistical analysis (Currall 1987). All plants and

bryophytes were identified to species level. Vegetation height was recorded as the average of five

130 measurements to the top of the canopy taken using a metric sward stick, of ca. 1 cm diameter, marked

at 0.5 cm intervals (Dennis *et al.* 2005). At <u>PENPEN</u>, heights were taken from the centre of each plot

and then from the mid-point between the centre and each corner. and aAt PWL, heights were recorded

133 from within small grazing exclosures ($\sim 1 \text{ m}^{-2}$) that were established within each plot.

134 1.2.3 SOIL ANALYSIS

Soil samples for both sites were collected in June 2015 from five locations within each treatment plot,
using a 20 mm diameter soil corer up to a depth of 20 cm, and bulked together. The samples were air
dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve. Soil pH was measured with a Hydrus 400 meter (Fisherbrand,
Leicestershire, UK) in a slurry of 10 g fresh soil in 25 mL water. Total C was established by the
Dumas combustion method using an elemental analyser (Vario MAX Cube – Elementar
Analysensysteme, Hanau, Germany).

141 1.2.4 SOIL FLUX

Fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄ were measured for the different nutrient addition treatments at both PWL and 142 143 PEN from September 2014 to August 2015; initially every two months until March 2015, then every 144 month during the growing season (May to August 2015), under dark conditions using a non-steady 145 state, static chamber approach (Livingston & Hutchinson 1995; Parkin & Venterea 2010). Measured CO₂ flux thus represents both soil and plant respiration (ecosystem respiration) but excludes the 146 147 effects of photosynthesis. Soil collars, 25 cm in diameter, were installed on each treatment in July 148 2014, two months prior to the first gas flux measurements to reduce disturbance. A soil knife was 149 used to cut through the initial vegetation and topsoil layer, before the collar was inserted to a depth of 150 7 cm, leaving 5 cm aboveground for chamber attachment. The location of the collar was selected 151 randomly for each treatment square and once installed, vegetation was trimmed from inside the collar 152 and maintained trimmed throughout sampling. Chamber design followed the description by Parkin & 153 Venterea (2010). Each chamber measured 19 cm in height including the soil collar and had an internal 154 volume of 9.33 L. A modified pressure vent tube (Xu et al. 2006) was installed to allow internal and 155 ambient air pressure equilibration. Before each measurement, chambers were carefully placed 156 carefully on collars, ensuring a gas tight seal. The soil CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes were calculated on the 157 basis of based on changes in chamber concentrations over 30 minutes. Measurements were taken for 158 each chamber at 0, 15 and 30 minutes from chamber attachment (Parkin & Venterea 2010). The duration of measurement at each sampling point was 60 seconds. CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations were 159 measured using an LGR[™], Ultra- Portable Greenhouse Gas Analyser (Model 915–0011, Los Gatos 160

161 Research, Palo Alto, CA, USA) with a 1 Hz sampling rate. Chambers were attached to the analyser 162 via inlet and outlet tubing (2 m by 4 mm internal diameter). Chamber internal temperature, ambient 163 air temperature and soil temperature at a depth of 10 cm were also recorded. The rate of change in gas 164 concentration inside the chambers was established with linear regression. Gas flux (CO_2 and CH_4) 165 was then calculated from the rate of gas concentration change using the following equation (McEwing 166 et al. 2015):

167
$$F_0 = S \frac{V M 273.16}{A V m (273.16 + T)} 60$$

168 Where:

169	F_0	= Flux ($\mu g \ CH_4/CO_2$ -C m ⁻² hr ⁻¹)
170	S	= Rate of change in CH ₄ and CO ₂ concentration (ppm min ⁻¹)
171	V	= Chamber volume (m ³)
172	А	= Chamber area (m^2)
173	Μ	= Molecular mass of CH_4/CO_2 (g mol ⁻¹)
174	V_{m}	= Ideal gas mole volume $(0.0224 \text{ m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1})$
175		
176	Fach	regression plot was individually assessed individually using \mathbf{P}^2

Each regression plot was individually assessed individually using R² as an indicator of accuracy, and 176 plots with $R^2 > 0.7$ were accepted for analysis. Low fluxes for CH₄ typically give a low R^2 , but should 177 be included to avoid over-estimation of mean flux (Alm et al. 2007), so CH₄ fluxes where $R^2 < 0.7$, but 178 179 where measurements did not exceed 0.3 ppm, were also retained as zero measurements. Two collars 180 at PWL suffered from excessive water pooling, which visibly affected the vegetation and 181 soil.Thesesoil. These were removed from the dataset before analysis. Net ecosystem exchange was 182 not considered as part of this study, so whilst the results demonstrate differences in C flux, primary 183 productivity was not measured and thus the full influence of N and P availability on C budget cannot 184 be determined.

185 1.2.5 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

186 All variables were tested visually for normality and homoscedasticity with Levene's test prior to 187 statistical analysis. Data for CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes were Log (x+1) transformed to meet the assumptions 188 of analyses. Differences in CO_2 and CH_4 flux between treatments were analysed with linear mixed 189 models (LMMs) specifying repeated measures, with treatment as a fixed factor and air temperature as 190 covariate to allow for diurnal/seasonal variance. When significant differences between treatments 191 were detected (P < 0.05), post-hoc tests were conducted using LSD pairwise comparisons. The 192 relationship between GHG flux and air temperature was initially tested for significance with simple 193 linear regression before inclusion in the model. Air temperatures were used in the analysis since data 194 for soil temperature were only captured from January onwards, but the two measurements were shown to be correlated (PWL: $R^2 = 0.52$, P = <0.001; PEN: $R^2 = 0.81$, P = <0.001). Differences in mean 195

annual soil emission of CO₂ and CH₄ between experimental treatments were also investigated with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVAs). LSD pairwise comparisons were used to further investigate individual relationships. Multiple regression analysis with all-possible-subsets model procedure was used to investigate the relationships between annual average GHG flux and environmental parameters: soil pH, vegetation height, and cover values for each of five plant functional types. All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS 21.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, 2012).

202 **1.3 RESULTS**

203 1.3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS ON CO₂ AND CH₄ FLUX

204 Over the sampling period, sizeable-fluctuations were observed for air and soil temperatures in line 205 with seasonal variation. The highest air temperatures were recorded in June for PWL (22.3 °C) and in August for PEN (19.4 °C). Air temperatures were lowest at both locations in January (PWL = 5.0 °C, 206 PEN = $6.2 \,^{\circ}$ C). Soil temperatures were similar with the highest values recorded in August for PWL 207 208 (13.2 °C) and in July for PEN (12.9 °C) and lowest vales for both in January (PWL = 4.6 °C, PEN = 209 3.5 °C). Over the twelve months of sampling, the effect of changing air temperature on CO₂ flux was 210 significant for both sites, with positive relationships observed between increasing temperature and CO₂ emission (PWL: $R^2 = 0.68$, $P = \langle 0.001$; PEN: $R^2 = 0.70$, $P = \langle 0.001$, Figure 2). The effect of 211 212 temperature on CH₄ flux was less consistent; no relationship was observed at PWL ($R^2 = 0.004$, P =0.37), but a significant positive relationship was recorded at PEN ($R^2 = 0.033$, P = 0.012). 213

The results of the multiple regression analysis of effects of vegetation cover values, vegetation height and soil pH on mean annual CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes are shown in Table 1. This analysis revealed significant relationships between soil pH and fluxes for both CO₂ and CH₄ at PWL (Table 1, Figure 3), but other factors were shown to have no effect. At <u>PENPEN</u>, no environmental factor was shown to have an effect on either CO₂ or CH₄ flux despite the <u>sizeable-significant</u> differences in vegetation cover between treatments as a result of long-term nutrient addition (Figure 6).

Table 1. Relationships between gas flux and environmental variables with potential influence on Cemission.

Site	Variable	Regression model	Degrees of freedom	R ²	Р
PWL	CO2	рН	1,21	0.52	<0.001
	CH4	рН	1,21	0.25	0.019
PEN	CO2	-	1,23	-	ns
	CH4	-	1,23	-	ns

222 *P*-values represent the chance that the regression slope is not different from 0; bold highlights results

significant at P < 0.05. The best fitting regression model for using all-possible-subsets model

224 procedure regression analysis is shown for each parameter. Variables included in <u>the</u> analysis were:

225 ground cover of each plant functional type (graminoid, cryptogam, dwarf shrub, forbs), vegetation height and soil pH.

226





230 Figure 2. Relationships between CO₂ flux and air temperature at two experimental sites: a) 231 **Pwllperian**PWL: Control = no nutrient addition (circles); N = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹232 (squares); P = phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (triangles); N & P = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (diamonds); and b) Pen y 233 Garn<u>PEN</u>: Control = no nutrient addition (circles); AS10+P = ammonium sulphate at 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹234 + phosphorus at 20 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (squares); AS20 = ammonium sulphate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ 235 (triangles); SN20 = sodium nitrate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (diamonds). 236



Figure 3. The relationship between soil pH and a) CO₂ flux and b) CH₄ flux at two sites: <u>Pwllpeiran</u> PWL (black circles) and <u>Pen-y-GarnPEN</u> (white triangles). Solid lines indicate significant relationships, dashed lines non-significant relationships.

243 1.3.2 EFFECTS OF NUTRIENT ADDITION ON CO₂ FLUXES

244 Differences were observed in the CO_2 fluxes between nutrient addition treatments at both sites, but with differences between sites in the size of response observed-between sites. For PWL, the between-245 246 treatment differences (Table 2, Figure 4a) were shown by *post hoc* analysis to be driven by the largest 247 mean annual flux recorded for the P addition treatment (464 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹). The P treatment had 248 consistently higher CO_2 flux in all but the first month sampled (September) (Table 2, Figure 4a). The N addition treatment had the lowest mean annual flux (353 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹) and was consistently 249 250 the lowest flux recorded across the sampling period (in all months except May). The CO₂ fluxes in the 251 control and N + P treatments had similar mean annual fluxes (386 and 413 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹ 252 respectively). For PEN, post hoc analysis revealed the differences between treatments (Table 2, Figure 4b) to be due to the lowest mean annual flux recorded, which was the control (205 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹). The AS10+P, AS20 and SN20 treatments had similar mean annual fluxes (261, 277 and 274 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹ respectively). The differences observed between treatments at PEN suggest that nutrient enrichment in the long termlong term significantly increases CO₂ flux relative to control, but there were no <u>statistically significant</u> differences among the nutrient addition treatments, suggesting limited influence of the P added to the AS10+P treatment on CO₂ flux 15 years after application.

260

Table 2. Summary of results from <u>LMMs-Linear Mixed Models</u> describing soil CO_2 and CH_4 flux responses to nutrient addition treatments, with treatment as fixed factor and air temperature as covariate.

Site	Variable	Factor	Degrees of freedom	F	Р
PWL	CO ₂	Treatment	3,_171	3.69	0.013
		Air temperature	1,_171	422.32	<0.001
	CH_4	Treatment	3,_171	2.86	0.041
		Air temperature	1,_171	0.60	0.439
PEN	CO ₂	Treatment	3,_187	4.63	0.004
		Air temperature	1,_187	461.23	<0.001
	CH_4	Treatment	3,_187	4.22	0.006
		Air temperature	1,_187	6.75	0.01

264 *P*-values refer to a test of of the likelihood that the coefficient being is zero θ , bold highlights results 265 significant at *P* <0.05.



267

268

269

Figure 4. Net CO₂ emission fluxes for a) PWL and b) PEN. Error bars denote standard error. * indicates treatment with significant difference as determined by *post hoc* pairwise comparison (LSD). PWL: Control = no nutrient addition; N = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; P = phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; N & P = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. PEN: Control = no nutrient addition; AS10+P = ammonium sulphate at 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 20 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; AS20 = ammonium sulphate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; SN20 = sodium nitrate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.



Figure 5. Effects of nutrient addition treatments on net methane emissions for a) PWL and b) PEN sites. Error bars denote standard error. * indicates treatment with significant difference as determined by *post hoc* pairwise comparison (LSD). PWL: Control = no nutrient addition; N = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; P = phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; N & P = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. PEN: Control = no nutrient addition; AS10+P = ammonium sulphate at 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 20 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; AS20 = ammonium sulphate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; SN20 = sodium nitrate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

289 1.3.3 EFFECTS OF NUTRIENT ADDITION ON CH₄ FLUXES

290 The extent ofto which differences in CH4 fluxes could be attributed to treatment effects varied

291 according to experimental site Considerable variation was observed between sites for CH4 flux. At PWL there were significant differences between treatments (Table 2, Figure 5a), independent of 292 293 seasonal variations in temperature (Table 2), which post hoc tests revealed to be driven by the 294 difference in CH₄ emissions between the control (no addition) and nutrient addition treatments (N, P 295 and N + P). At this site, CH₄ uptake was greater greatest in control plots, with this treatment behaving as a small sink (on average -10.68 µg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹), only emitting CH₄ during the last two months 296 (June and August), at rates just above zero μ g C CH₄ m⁻² h⁻¹. The N, P and N + P treatments were 297 298 highly variable in emission rate, with fluctuations recorded across the sampling range. The P addition 299 treatment had the largest mean annual flux (9.00 µg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹), which can chiefly be attributed to an increase in emission rate during the last two months (Figure 5a). The N and N + P treatments both 300 301 had were intermediate in response, with slightly negative emissions emission rates just below zero (N =-1.05 µg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹, N + P = -0.77 µg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹) on a mean annual basis (P < 0.05). At PEN 302 there were also significant differences observed between treatments (Table 2, Figure 5b), which post 303 304 hoc analysis revealed to be likewise driven by the difference between control and all nutrient addition 305 treatments. However, at this site the CH₄ flux was significantly higher in control plots than nutrient added treatments, with mean annual emissions of 27.64 µg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹ ($_{\tau}P < 0.01$). All of the 306 307 nutrient addition treatments at PEN otherwise had similar flux rates for the full sampling range, until 308 the last two months, when the CH_4 flux from the sodium nitrate treatment (SN20) increased markedly 309 compariataively (Figure 5b).

310 1.3.4 EFFECTS OF NUTRIENT ADDITION ON VEGETATION COMPOSITION

The addition of nutrients resulted in <u>sizeable significant</u> differences in the observed vegetation cover, but only after sufficient time had elapsed allowing vegetation community modification. At PWL, where nutrients were added in the <u>short termshort term</u> only, no differences in vegetation cover were observed. At PEN, long-term nutrient additions resulted in <u>higher greater</u> graminoid <u>species</u>-cover and <u>lower-lesser</u> cryptogam <u>species</u>-cover where N alone was added and <u>higher-greater</u> cryptogam and <u>lower-lesser</u> graminoid <u>species</u>-cover in treatments where P was added (AS10+P). Control plots were intermediate in composition (Figure 6).

318

PWL

PEN



320 321 Figure 6. Ground cover of vascular plants and cryptogams recorded for nutrient addition treatments at 322 two sites, PWL (L-hand plots) and PEN (R-hand plots). PWL: Control = no nutrient addition; N = 323 ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; P = phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; N & P = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. PEN: 324 Control = no nutrient addition; AS10+P = ammonium sulphate at 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 20 325 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; AS20 = ammonium sulphate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; SN20 = sodium nitrate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ 326 327 yr⁻¹. Box midline indicates median, box edges indicate interquartile range. Whiskers indicate range of 328 data within 1.5 x the interquartile range; points indicate data outside 1.5 x the interquartile range.

329 **1.4 DISCUSSION**

330 The addition of N and P had significant influence on CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes, with differences in the 331 direction and magnitude of the effects between sites as a consequence of duration of nutrient addition

treatment. In the short-term trial, N addition inhibited CO₂ and CH₄ flux, whereas in the long-term

trial, N addition significantly increased CO_2 emissions but inhibited CH_4 flux. The addition of P

334 significantly increased CO₂ and CH₄ flux in the short-term trial, but this effect was reduced in the

335 long-term trial, after 14-15 years since P addition.

336 1.4.1 NUTRIENT ADDITION EFFECTS ON CO₂ FLUXES

The addition of N and P influenced fluxes of CO_2 , however there were substantial differences in effect between treatments, and also differences in response between short termshort-term (PWL = 1 year for N & P) and long termlong-term nutrient additions (PEN = additions between 1996 – 2012, sampling undertaken three years after the cessation of N addition and 15 years after a single P application). At PWL, the addition of P increased CO_2 flux, which supported hypothesis H3 and is in line with findings from similar studies in other environments (Cleveland & Townsend 2006; Liu et al. 2013). Phosphorus limitation is a significant mechanism constraining ecosystem processes, particularly in 344 systems suffering from the effects of chronic N deposition (Cleveland et al. 2011; Crowley et al. 2012). The addition of P stimulates decomposition, with observed rises in CO₂ flux associated with 345 346 increases in heterotrophic (bacteria and fungi) biomass and activity, and thus respiration (Liu et al. 347 2012), rather than through increased fine-root biomass (Cleveland & Townsend 2006). Phosphorus addition results in the modification of microbial community structure and reduces the ratio between 348 Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, resulting in more copiotrophic communities (Fanin et al. 349 350 2015). At PEN, the role of P was less clear. The CO_2 flux in the N + P treatment (AS10+P) was larger 351 than in the control plots, but was mostly lower across the sampling period than CO_2 fluxes from the two N-only treatments (AS20 and SN20). Phosphorus is highly persistent in soil (Nye & Tinker 352 1977), and was presumably responsible for driving shifts observed in vegetation species composition 353 (Figure 6), thus the comparatively weak effect of P on CO₂ flux at this site was unexpected. The N-354 355 only treatments received N at a higher rate than the AS10+P treatment, and associated larger CO₂ 356 fluxes may reflect the effect of greater N availability. In addition, while the effects of P on plant 357 species composition were still visible at the site, P may no longer have been stimulating plant 358 production of labile C. Liu et al. (2013) observed a diminishing effect of P on microbial biomass after 359 four years, which was attributed to C limitation, where the exhaustion of available soil C had a 360 constraining effect on respiration (Fanin et al. 2015).

361 The addition of N had an inhibitory effect on soil CO₂ flux at PWL and refutes hypothesis H1 that 362 nutrient addition would increase CO₂ efflux. Similar inhibition of decomposition by N has been found in other studies (Ramirez et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2015), and may result from high N availability. In 363 364 soils where N is not a limiting factor for microbial growth and activity, the addition of N can constrain organic matter decomposition (Janssens et al. 2010). In such instances, reductions in CO₂ flux may be 365 366 driven by shifts in C allocation from belowground to above ground biomass (Litton et al. 2007), which 367 reduces rhizosphere and microbial respiration (Phillips & Fahey 2007; Bae et al. 2015). The background N deposition for these sites is 22 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Emmett et al. 2007), which is greater than 368 369 the critical load limit for this habitat (10 - 15 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; APIS 2014), suggesting that these soils 370 are unlikely to be N limited. In addition, reductions in soil pH associated with N addition potentially 371 have a limiting effect (Chen et al. 2015).

This contrasts with the effects observed at PEN, where N addition treatments had higher CO_2 flux in 372 373 comparison to control (no addition) treatments, which does support hypothesis H1. PEN was sampled 374 three years after ceasing N addition, which could indicate that inhibitory effects were reduced due to 375 N losses from leaching in the intervening three years. This explanation was deemed unlikely however, 376 as the size and activity of microbial populations exposed to N enrichment can remain elevated 6-8 377 years after cessation of additions, suggesting a prolonged effect on the rate of nutrient cycling (Power 378 et al. 2006). Instead, the higher CO_2 flux where N was added is most likely the result of greater soil 379 organic matter decomposition from increased microbial abundance and activity (Mack et al. 2004;

Bragazza et al. 2006), and by reduced production of more decomposition resistant species (Bragazza et al. 2012). This influence was not translated to a reduction in soil C levels in N treatment plots however; these plots in fact had the highest soil C content, albeit not significantly greater than control (Stiles et al. 2017). Which-This suggests a potential balance between increased rates of both plant production and decomposition with greater N availability and thus productivity (Mack et al. 2004).

385 The variability observed in CO₂ flux between sites with N enrichment could be explained by differences in the N addition rate, which at PWL was three times the maximum annual application 386 387 rate at PEN. Janssens et al. (2010) demonstrated that the inhibitory effect of N on soil CO_2 flux was 388 larger in sites receiving N at dose rates greater than 50 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, which may account for some of the 389 between-site disparity. The inhibitory effect of N at PWL apparently offset the stimulatory effect of P, 390 in that the CO_2 flux response to N + P addition was intermediate between responses to P and N 391 addition. Thus, although the results were not consistent with a general stimulation of CO₂ efflux by 392 nutrient addition (H1), there was support for hypothesis H3 that P would have a greater stimulating 393 effect.

394 1.4.2 NUTRIENT ADDITION EFFECTS ON CH₄ FLUXES

395 The addition of N and P altered CH₄ flux, although different effects were observed in the short 396 termshort termshort term after enrichment (PWL) and after a longer period (PEN). The CH₄ flux 397 results represent net emissions, and effects on CH₄ production and oxidation cannot be distinguished. 398 At PWL, all nutrient addition treatments had less negative net CH₄ emissions than the control, 399 supporting hypothesis H2. The less negative net emissions with N addition are presumably due to 400 decreases in the rate of CH₄ oxidation (Aerts & Toet 1997; Aerts & Caluwe 1999). This is primarily 401 caused by competition for the CH₄ mono-oxygenase enzyme, which is affected when N addition 402 increases rates of nitrification, inhibiting CH₄ oxidisation rate and reducing the amount of methane 403 consumed by methanotrophs (Bodelier 2011). Phosphorus addition also increased the rate of emission 404 for CH₄, which supports hypothesis H4, but is contrary to similar research conducted in other 405 environments (Zhang et al. 2011; Song et al. 2012). These studies attributed the effects observed to 406 the inhibition of methanogenesis, the stimulation of methanotrophic potential, and the elevation of 407 plant root growth, which increased plant growth and water uptake reducing soil water content and 408 thus increasing oxidation methanotrophy through greater aeration. This last effect would seem 409 unlikely to operate in the PWL and PEN experiments because these are rather wet sites and the 410 treatments -vegetation community would not be expected to increase soil aeration alter soil water 411 availability significantly between treatmentsgreatly, even with the potentially augmented growth 412 associated with P enrichment. The stimulation of methanogenesis is likely to be the principal 413 mechanism and has been previously observed for some peat types (Keller et al. 2006), which suggests

414 the methanogen community at PWL is P limited. Further tests would be necessary to rule out any 415 inhibitory effect of P on methane oxidation, but this is beyond the scope of the current study.

416 At PEN, the highest CH₄ flux recorded was in the control-and was larger than CH₄-fluxes in the 417 nutrient addition treatments, which was contrary to hypothesis H2 that nutrient addition would 418 stimulate CH₄ fluxes. Fluxes of CH₄ from the nutrient addition treatments remained near zero for the 419 majority of the sampling period until the last two months (July and August), when the fluxes from the 420 sodium nitrate treatment (SN20) increased substantially. Nitrate inhibits CH₄ emission, but only in 421 very high concentrations (Bodelier & Laanbroek 2004), whereas-ammonium has been shown to 422 inhibit CH_4 emission more strongly The effects of N are more severe with ammonium than with 423 nitrate addition (Crill et al. 1994). This and may explain why emissions were consistently inhibited in 424 the ammonium treatments (AS10+P and AS20) in contrast to the spike in emission observed in the 425 nitrate treatment towards the end of the study period. Overall, N addition inhibited CH₄ emission, 426 which is-can be attributed to N-induced increases in population size and activity of methane-oxidising 427 bacteria (Bodelier & Laanbroek 2004). The addition of P (AS10+P) had no obvious effect at PEN, 15 428 years after application, contrary to the H4 hypothesis. As with the CO_2 flux results, this was 429 unexpected. Whilst the exact mechanisms remain unclear, the composition of the microbial 430 community may have changed over the intervening years (as with the diminishing effect of P over 431 time on CO_2 emission, discussed above) which may have reduced the size of any initial effect. This 432 may also account for the substantial differences observed in the results of the two experiments.

433 1.4.3 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS ON CARBON FLUXES

434 Temperature influenced the rate of CO_2 flux at both PWL and PEN, which is consistent with other 435 studies (Dorrepaal et al. 2009; Briones et al. 2010; Imer et al. 2013). Higher temperatures allow 436 increased rates of soil decomposition and root respiration, which are temperature-dependent chemical 437 and biochemical reactions (Knorr et al. 2005; Davidson & Janssens 2006). The role of temperature in controlling CH₄ emission is less definite and was variable between sites. At PEN, there was a positive 438 effect of temperature on CH₄ flux, whereas at PWL, no effect was observed. The effect of temperature 439 440 on CH₄ production is reported to be variable and driven by the influence of site-specific factors such 441 as differences in soil type (Chin et al. 1999; Van Winden et al. 2012). The effect of temperature is 442 likely to be closely linked with that of soil moisture and aeration (Smith et al. 2003). If high 443 temperatures relate to drought and therefore dry soil, it would be expected that CH₄ emission would 444 be reduced, but CO₂ emission would increase (Sundh et al. 2000). Plant functional type composition 445 and above ground biomass quantity did not influence CO₂ or CH₄ fluxes, which is contrary to 446 previous studies (McNamara et al. 2008; Green & Baird et al. 2012; Cooper et al. 2014; McEwing et 447 al. 2015). This was also contrary to expectation, as differences in vegetation composition exist 448 between treatments, particularly at PEN, where long-term nutrient additions have resulted in divergent 449 vegetation composition (Figure 6). Nitrogen addition (AS20 and SN20 treatments) has driven an 450 increased ground cover of graminoid species, whereas N + P addition (AS10+P) has driven an 451 increased ground cover of cryptogam species. Vegetation impacts on CH₄ flux are particularly 452 associated with Eriophorum spp. presence and cover (Green & Baird et al. 2012), as these sedges 453 have aerenchymatous tissue, which can facilitate the transport of CH₄ from the anaerobic zone to the 454 atmosphere, and actively produces substrates which substrates that encourage methanogenesis (Cooper 455 et al. 2014). The relative scarcity of these species at either site could potentially account for the limited effect of vegetation coverage composition changes on CH₄ flux-between treatments. 456

457 The positive relationship for CO₂, and negative relationship for CH₄, observed between fluxes and soil 458 pH at PWL, can be explained by changes in microbial activity. Soil acidity may be increased by N 459 enrichment (Bobbink et al. 2010; Phoenix et al. 2012), an effect which is reported to have a stronger 460 controlling effect on C flux than stimulation from by increased N availability (Chen et al. 2015). Soil 461 pH can affect the activity rate and composition of microbial communities, with decreases of 1.5 pH 462 units shown to reduce activity by 50% (Fernández-Calviño & Bååth 2010). Recruitment of bacterial 463 species more tolerant of lower pH ultimately modifies the community, but with an intervening lag in activity (Rousk et al. 2010), a hysteresis which may explain the observed reduction in the CO_2 464 465 emission. Low soil pH may reduce CH₄ emissions through inhibition of methanotrophic bacterial 466 communities (Dedysh 2002). These effects potentially explain some of the differences observed 467 between the two sites, in that where changes to pH driven by recent nutrient additions at PWL may 468 have resulted in short-term fluctuations in microbial activity. This ; an effect which would be 469 expected to reduce in-over time as the system shifts to a new equilibrium, such-as in the longer-term 470 experiment at PEN. The absence of any association with other environmental variables at PEN 471 indicates that, for this study at least, the availability of nutrients over an extended period (even three years post-after application for N and 15 years post-after application for P) has a greater influence on 472 473 CH₄ flux rate than other background environmental factors.

474 **1.5 CONCLUSION**

The addition of N and P had significant effects on CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes but the direction and 475 476 magnitude of effects were different in the two experiments, most probably due to the difference in 477 time since duration of additions ceased at between the two sites. The CO_2 flux was inhibited by recent 478 additions of N, but plots that had received prolonged N additions up until three years previously 479 showed considerably increased CO₂ emissions. The long-term effects of P addition are less clear. In 480 the short termshort term, P stimulated CO₂ emissions via release from nutrient limitation, but this effect appeared to diminish with time, perhaps due to a decrease in readily available C 481 substrates. This implies a negative effect of P addition on soil C storage, although this may be offset 482 483 at some sites by plant productivity increases. The emission rate of CH₄ for treatments where nutrients

484 were added was of similar size between sites, despite sizeable differences in the CH₄ flux observed in 485 control treatments at either site. This demonstrated a similar pattern of modification by nutrient 486 addition, but with different factors controlling the response relative to either control. The differences 487 between emission rates in control (no addition) treatments at the two sites indicates the influence of 488 other site characteristics on CH_4 flux rate.

489 The contrast in results from the two experiments demonstrates the differences between initial 490 ecosystem responses to nutrient addition and responses after sufficient time for ecosystem processes 491 and microbial assemblages have had sufficient time to adjust to more nutrient-rich conditions. 492 Perturbation in ecosystems often drives gradual change, and many experiments do not last long 493 enough for the system to reach a new equilibriumrequiring temporal scales larger than most 494 experimental durations (Bubier et al. 2007; Vitousek et al. 2008). In this study the differences in 495 response between experimental additions in the short and long termlong termlong term are were 496 considerable, which has important implications for the use of short-term evidence to infer responses 497 of future environmental modification and potential for C storage and other ecosystem processes to $\frac{1}{2}$ 498 with continuing atmospheric N pollution, or with P fertiliser additions that persist in the soil. The 499 disparity between effects at different times after exposure also has implications for current 500 understanding of ecosystem processes. Short-term studies investigating impacts of nutrient 501 enrichment may misrepresent longer-term ecosystem responses.

502 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Authors wish to extend their gratitudeWe are grateful to Professor Bridget Emmett at CEH Bangor for the kind permission to re-visit the nutrient addition experiment at Pen Y Garn (NERC-DEFRA Terrestrial Umbrella – Contract Number CPEA 18), ADAS staff, Owen Davies and Bernard Griffiths, and to Dr Nigel Critchley for permission to use the experimental paddocks at Pwllpeiran. Thanks to Matt Harrow, Jacques Turner-Moss and Alastair Willcox for assistance with fieldwork. W.S. was funded by the IBERS PhD scheme, with additional research funds from Aber Bangor Consultancy Ltd.

510 **REFERENCES**

- Aerts, R. & De Caluwe, H. (1999). Nitrogen Deposition Effects on Carbon Dioxide and Methane
 Emissions from Temperate Peatland Soils. *Oikos*, 84, 44-54.
- Aerts, R. & Toet, S. (1997). Nutritional controls on carbon dioxide and methane emission from
 Carex-dominated peat soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 29, 1683-1690.
- 515 Alm, J., Shurpali, N. J., Tuittila, E. S., Laurila, T., Maljanen, M., Saarnio, S., & Minkkinen, K.
- 516 (2007). Methods for determining emission factors for the use of peat and peatlands: flux
- 517 measurements and modelling. Boreal Environment Research, 12(2), 85-100.

- APIS (2014). *Air Pollution Information System*. Available online: http://www.apis.ac.uk/. Accessed
 13/03/15.
- 520 Bae, K., Fahey, T., Yanai, R. & Fisk, M. (2015). Soil Nitrogen Availability Affects Belowground
- 521 Carbon Allocation and Soil Respiration in Northern Hardwood Forests of New Hampshire.
- 522 *Ecosystems*, 18, 1179-1191.
- 523 Basiliko, N., Moore, T. R., Jeannotte, R. & Bubier, J. L. (2006). Nutrient input and carbon and 524 microbial dynamics in an ombrotrophic bog. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 23, 531-543.
- 525 Berendse, F., Van Breemen, N., Rydin, H., Buttler, A., Heijmans, M., Hoosbeek, M. R., Lee, J. A.,
- 526 Mitchell, E., Saarinen, T., Vasander, H. & Wallén, B. (2001). Raised atmospheric CO2 levels and
- 527 increased N deposition cause shifts in plant species composition and production in Sphagnum bogs.
- 528 Global Change Biology, 7, 591-598.
- 529 Bobbink, R., Hicks, K., Galloway, J., Spranger, T., Alkemade, R., Ashmore, M., Bustamante, M. et
- *al.* (2010). Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on terrestrial plant diversity: a synthesis.
 Ecological Applications, 20(1), 30-59.
- 532 Bodelier, P. L. E. (2011). Interactions between nitrogenous fertilizers and methane cycling in wetland 533 and upland soils. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, **3**, 379-388.
- Bodelier, P. L. E. & Laanbroek, H. J. (2004). Nitrogen as a regulatory factor of methane oxidation in
 soils and sediments. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 47, 265-277.
- 536 Bragazza, L., Buttler, A., Habermacher, J., Brancaleoni, L., Gerdol, R., Fritze, H., Hanajík, P., Laiho,
- 537 R. & Johnson, D. (2012). High nitrogen deposition alters the decomposition of bog plant litter and
- reduces carbon accumulation. *Global Change Biology*, 18, 1163-1172.
- 539 Bragazza, L., Freeman, C., Jones, T., Rydin, H., Limpens, J., Fenner, N., Ellis, T., Gerdol, R., Hájek,
- 540 M., Hájek, T., Iacumin, P., Kutnar, L., Tahvanainen, T. & Toberman, H. (2006). Atmospheric
- 541 nitrogen deposition promotes carbon loss from peat bogs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 542 Sciences, 103, 19386-19389.
- 543 Briones, M. J. I., Garnett, M. H. & Ineson, P. (2010). Soil biology and warming play a key role in the 544 release of 'old C' from organic soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42, 960-967.
- Bubier, J. L. & Moore, T. R. (1994). An ecological perspective on methane emissions from northern
 wetlands. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 9, 460-464.
- 547 Bubier, J. L., Moore, T. R. & Bledzki, L. A. (2007). Effects of nutrient addition on vegetation and 548 carbon cycling in an ombrotrophic bog. *Global Change Biology*, 13, 1168-1186.
- 549 Burt, T. P., & Holden, J. (2010) Changing temperature and rainfall gradients in the British Uplands.
 550 *Climate Research*, 45, 57-70.
- 551 Carroll, M. J., Heinemeyer, A., Pearce-Higgins, J. W., Dennis, P., West, C., Holden, J., Wallage, Z. E.
- 552 & Thomas, C. D. (2015). Hydrologically driven ecosystem processes determine the distribution and
- 553 persistence of ecosystem-specialist predators under climate change. *Nature Communications*, 6.

- 554 Chen, D., Li, J., Lan, Z., Hu, S. & Bai, Y. (2015). Soil acidification exerts a greater control on soil
- respiration than soil nitrogen availability in grasslands subjected to long-term nitrogen enrichment.
 Functional Ecology.
- 557 Chin, K.-J., Lukow, T. & Conrad, R. (1999). Effect of Temperature on Structure and Function of the
- 558 Methanogenic Archaeal Community in an Anoxic Rice Field Soil. *Applied and Environmental*
- 559 *Microbiology*, 65, 2341-2349.
- 560 Clark, J.M., Gallego-Sala, A.V., Allott, T.E.H., Chapman, S.J. et al. (2010). Assessing the
- vulnerability of blanket peat to climate change using an ensemble of statistical bioclimatic envelope
 models. *Climate Research* 45:131-150.
- 563 Cleveland, C. C. & Townsend, A. R. (2006). Nutrient additions to a tropical rain forest drive
 564 substantial soil carbon dioxide losses to the atmosphere. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 565 *Sciences*, 103, 10316-10321.
- 566 Cleveland, C. C., Townsend, A. R., Taylor, P., Alvarez-Clare, S., Bustamante, M. M. C., Chuyong,
- 567 G., Dobrowski, S. Z., Grierson, P., Harms, K. E., Houlton, B. Z., Marklein, A., Parton, W., Porder, S.,
- 568 Reed, S. C., Sierra, C. A., Silver, W. L., Tanner, E. V. J. & Wieder, W. R. (2011). Relationships
- among net primary productivity, nutrients and climate in tropical rain forest: a pan-tropical analysis.
- 570 *Ecology Letters*, 14, 939-947.
- 571 Cooper, M.D., Evans, C.D., Zielinski, P., Levy, P.E., Gray, A., Peacock, M., Norris, D., Fenner, N. &
- 572 Freeman, C. (2014). Infilled ditches are hotspots of landscape methane flux following peatland re-
- 573 wetting. *Ecosystems*, *17*(7), 1227-1241.
- 574 Crill, P. M., Martikainen, P. J., NykäNen, H. & Silvola, J. (1994). Temperature and N fertilization
 575 effects on methane oxidation in a drained peatland soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 26, 1331576 1339.
- 577 Crowley, K. F., Mcneil, B. E., Lovett, G. M., Canham, C. D., Driscoll, C. T., Rustad, L. E., Denny,
- 578 E., Hallett, R. A., Arthur, M. A., Boggs, J. L., Goodale, C. L., Kahl, J. S., Mcnulty, S. G., Ollinger, S.
- 579 V., Pardo, L. H., Schaberg, P. G., Stoddard, J. L., Weand, M. P. & Weathers, K. C. (2012). Do
- 580 Nutrient Limitation Patterns Shift from Nitrogen Toward Phosphorus with Increasing Nitrogen
- 581 Deposition Across the Northeastern United States? *Ecosystems*, 15, 940-957.
- 582 Currall, J. E. P. (1987). A Transformation of the Domin Scale. *Vegetation*, 72, 81-87.
- Davidson, E. A. & Janssens, I. A. (2006). Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and
 feedbacks to climate change. *Nature*, 440, 165-173.
- 585 Dawson, J. J. C. & Smith, P. (2007). Carbon losses from soil and its consequences for land-use 586 management. Science of the Total Environment, 382, 165-190.
- 587 Dedysh, S. N. (2002). Methanotrophic Bacteria of Acidic Sphagnum Peat Bogs. *Microbiology*, 71,
 588 638-650.
- 589 Dennis, P., Elston, D., Evans, D. M., Evans, S. A., Gordon, I. J., Grant, M., Kunaver, A., Marquiss,
- 590 M., Mayes, R., McCracken, D. I., Pakeman, R., Pearce-Higgins, J., Redpath, S. M., Skartveit,
- 591 J., Stephen, L., Benton, T. & Bryant, D. (2005). *Effects of grazing management on upland bird*
- 592 populations: disentangling habitat structure and arthropod food supply at appropriate spatial scales

- (*GRUB*). Final report to Scottish Executive Environment and Rural Affairs Department. Macaulay
 Land Use Research Institute, Aberdeen, UK.
- 595 Dorrepaal, E., Toet, S., Van Logtestijn, R. S. P., Swart, E., Van De Weg, M. J., Callaghan, T. V. &
- Aerts, R. (2009). Carbon respiration from subsurface peat accelerated by climate warming in the
 subarctic. *Nature*, 460, 616-619.
- 598 Emmett, B.A., Griffith, B., Williams, D., Williams, B. (2007) Interactions between grazing and
- 599 nitrogen deposition at Pwllpeiran. In: UKREATE (2007) Terrestrial Umbrella: Effects of
- 600 Eutrophication and Acidification on Terrestrial Ecosystems. CEH Contract Report. Defra Contract
- 601 No. CPEA 18.
- 602 Fanin, N., Hättenschwiler, S., Schimann, H. & Fromin, N. (2015). Interactive effects of C, N and P
- 603 fertilization on soil microbial community structure and function in an Amazonian rain forest.
- 604 *Functional Ecology*, 29, 140-150.
- Fernández-Calviño, D. & Bååth, E. (2010). Growth response of the bacterial community to pH in soils
 differing in pH. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 73, 149-156.
- 607 Fowler, D., O'Donoghue, M., Muller, J. B. A., Smith, R. I., Dragosits, U., Skiba, U., Sutton, M. A. &
- Brimblecombe, P. (2004). A Chronology of Nitrogen Deposition in the UK Between 1900 and 2000. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution: Focus,* 4, 9-23.
- 610 Galloway, J.N., Dentener, F.J., Capone, D.G., Boyer, E.W. and Howarth, R.W. (2004) Nitrogen
- 611 cycles: past, present and future. *Biogeochemistry*, 70: 153–226.
- 612 Green, S. M. & Baird, A. J. (2012). A mesocosm study of the role of the sedge Eriophorum
- angustifolium in the efflux of methane_-including that due to episodic ebullition_-from peatlands. *Plant and Soil*, 351, 207-218.
- 615 House, J. I., Orr, H. G., Clark, J. M., Gallego-Sala, A. V., Freeman, C., Prentice, I. C. & Smith, P.
- 616 (2010). Climate change and the British Uplands: evidence for decision-making. *Climate Research*, 45,
 617 3-12.
- 618 IBM Corp. Released, 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. IBM Corp., Armonk,619 NY.
- Imer, D., Merbold, L., Eugster, W. & Buchmann, N. (2013). Temporal and spatial variations of soil
 CO2, CH4 and N2O fluxes at three differently managed grasslands. *Biogeosciences*, 10, 5931-5945.
- 622 IPCC (2013) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Cambridge University Press,
- 623 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Janssens, I. A., Dieleman, W., Luyssaert, S., Subke, J. A., Reichstein, M., Ceulemans, R., Ciais, P.,
- Dolman, A. J., Grace, J., Matteucci, G., Papale, D., Piao, S. L., Schulze, E. D., Tang, J. & Law, B. E.
- (2010). Reduction of forest soil respiration in response to nitrogen deposition. *Nature Geosci*, 3, 315 322.
- Joabsson, A., Christensen, T. R. & Wallén, B. (1999). Vascular plant controls on methane emissions
 from northern peatforming wetlands. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 14, 385-388.

- Jones, A. G., & Power, S. A. (2012). Field-scale evaluation of effects of nitrogen deposition on the
 functioning of heathland ecosystems. *Journal of Ecology*, *100*(2), 331-342.
- Juutinen, S., Bubier, J. & Moore, T. (2010). Responses of Vegetation and Ecosystem CO₂ Exchange
 to 9 Years-years of Nutrient-nutrient Addition-addition at Mer Bleue Bog. *Ecosystems*, 13, 874-887.
- Keller, J. K., Bauers, A. K., Bridgham, S. D., Kellogg, L. E. & Iversen, C. M. (2006). Nutrient control
- of microbial carbon cycling along an ombrotrophic-minerotrophic peatland gradient. *Journal of*
- 636 Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 111, n/a-n/a.
- Knorr, M., Frey, S. D., & Curtis, P. S. (2005). Nitrogen additions and litter decomposition: A
 meta-analysis. *Ecology*, 86(12), 3252-3257.
- Knorr, W., Prentice, I. C., House, J. I. & Holland, E. A. (2005). Long-term sensitivity of soil carbon
 turnover to warming. *Nature*, 433, 298-301.
- Litton, C. M., Raich, J. W. & Ryan, M. G. (2007). Carbon allocation in forest ecosystems. *Global Change Biology*, 13, 2089-2109.
- Liu, L., Gundersen, P., Zhang, T. & Mo, J. (2012). Effects of phosphorus addition on soil microbial
- biomass and community composition in three forest types in tropical China. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 44, 31-38.
- Liu, L., Zhang, T., Gilliam, F. S., Gundersen, P., Zhang, W., Chen, H. & Mo, J. (2013). Interactive
- Effects of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on Soil Microbial Communities in a Tropical Forest. *PLoS ONE*,8, e61188.
- 649 Livingston, G.P. & Hutchinson, G.L. (1995). Enclosure-based measurement of trace gas exchange:
- applications and sources of error. p. 14–51. In: Matson, P.A. & Harriss, R.C. (ed.) *Methods in*
- 651 Ecology. Biogenic trace gases: Measuring emissions from soil and water. Blackwell Science, Malden,
- 652 MA.
- Mack, M. C., Schuur, E. A. G., Bret-Harte, M. S., Shaver, G. R. & Chapin, F. S. (2004). Ecosystem carbon storage in arctic tundra reduced by long-term nutrient fertilization. *Nature*, 431, 440-443.
- McEwing, K. R., Fisher, J. P., & Zona, D. (2015). Environmental and vegetation controls on the
 spatial variability of CH₄ emission from wet-sedge and tussock tundra ecosystems in the Arctic. *Plant*
- 656 spatial variability of CH₄ emission from wet-sedge and tussock tundra ecosystems in the 657 *and Soil*, 1-16.
- McNamara, N. P., Plant, T., Oakley, S., Ward, S., Wood, C. & Ostle, N. (2008). Gully hotspot
- contribution to landscape methane (CH4) and carbon dioxide (CO2) fluxes in a northern peatland. *Science of the Total Environment*, 404, 354-360.
- Nye, P.H. & Tinker, P.B.H., (1977). Solute Movement in the Soil-Root System. Blackwell Science
 Ltd., Oxford, UK.
- 663 Parkin, T.B. & Venterea, R.T. (2010). Sampling Protocols. Chapter 3. Chamber-Based Trace Gas
- 664 Flux Measurements. IN Sampling Protocols. R.F. Follett, editor. p. 3-1 to 3-39. Available at:
- 665 www.ars.usda.gov/research/GRACEnet.
- Peñuelas, J., Poulter, B., Sardans, J., Ciais, P., Van Der Velde, M., Bopp, L., Boucher, O., Godderis,
 Y., Hinsinger, P., Llusia, J., Nardin, E., Vicca, S., Obersteiner, M. & Janssens, I. A. (2013). Human-

- induced nitrogen–phosphorus imbalances alter natural and managed ecosystems across the globe.
 Nature Communications, 4, 2934.
- 670 Phoenix, G. K., Emmett, B. A., Britton, A. J., Caporn, S. J. M., Dise, N. B., Helliwell, R., Jones, L.,
- 671 Leake, J. R., Leith, I. D., Sheppard, L. J., Sowerby, A., Pilkington, M. G., Rowe, E. C., Ashmore, M.
- R. & Power, S. A. (2012). Impacts of atmospheric nitrogen deposition: responses of multiple plant
- and soil parameters across contrasting ecosystems in long-term field experiments. *Global Change*
- 674 Biology, 18, 1197-1215.
- 675 Phoenix, G. K., Hicks, W. K., Cinderby, S., Kuylenstierna, J. C. I., Stock, W. D., Dentener, F. J.,
- Giller, K. E., Austin, A. T., Lefroy, R. D. B., Gimeno, B. S., Ashmore, M. R. & Ineson, P. (2006).
- Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: the need for a greater global
- 678 perspective in assessing N deposition impacts. *Global Change Biology*, 12, 470-476.
- Power, S. A., Green, E. R., Barker, C. G., Bell, J. N. B. & Ashmore, M. R. (2006). Ecosystem
 recovery: heathland response to a reduction in nitrogen deposition. *Global Change Biology*, 12, 12411252.
- Raich, J. & Tufekciogul, A. (2000). Vegetation and soil respiration: Correlations and controls. *Biogeochemistry*, 48, 71-90.
- Ramirez, K. S., Craine, J. M. & Fierer, N. (2010). Nitrogen fertilization inhibits soil microbial
 respiration regardless of the form of nitrogen applied. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42, 2336-2338.
- 686 Robroek, B. J. M., Jassey, V. E. J., Kox, M. A. R., Berendsen, R. L., Mills, R. T. E., Cécillon, L.,
- Puissant, J., Meima-Franke, M., Bakker, P. A. H. M. & Bodelier, P. L. E. (2015). Peatland vascular
 plant functional types affect methane dynamics by altering microbial community structure. *Journal of*E. J. 102, 025, 024
- 689 *Ecology*, 103, 925-934.
- RoTAP (2012). *Review of Transboundary Air Pollution: Acidification, Eutrophication, Ground Level Ozone and Heavy Metals in the UK*. Contract Report for the Department for Environment, Food and
 Rural Affairs. Centre for Ecology & Hydrology.
- 693 Rousk, J., Baath, E., Brookes, P. C., Lauber, C. L., Lozupone, C., Caporaso, J. G., Knight, R. &
- Fierer, N. (2010). Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. *ISME*J, 4, 1340-1351.
- 696 Smith, K. A., Ball, T., Conen, F., Dobbie, K. E., Massheder, J. & Rey, A. (2003). Exchange of
- greenhouse gases between soil and atmosphere: interactions of soil physical factors and biological
 processes. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 54, 779-791.
- Song, C., Yang, G., Liu, D. & Mao, R. (2012). Phosphorus availability as a primary constraint on
 methane emission from a freshwater wetland. *Atmospheric Environment*, 59, 202-206.
- 701 Stiles, W. A. V., Rowe, E. C. & Dennis, P. (2017). Long-term nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment
- alters vegetation species composition and reduces carbon storage in upland soil. *Science of <u>The the</u>*
- 703 *Total Environment*, 593–594, 688-694.
- Sundh, I., Nilsson, M., Mikkelä, C., Granberg, G. & Svensson, B. H. (2000). Fluxes of Methane and
- Carbon Dioxide on Peat-Mining Areas in Sweden. *Ambio*, 29, 499-503.

- 706 Thomas, C. D., Cameron, A., Green, R. E., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L. J., Collingham, Y. C.,
- 707 Erasmus, B. F. N., De Siqueira, M. F., Grainger, A., Hannah, L., Hughes, L., Huntley, B., Van
- Jaarsveld, A. S., Midgley, G. F., Miles, L., Ortega-Huerta, M. A., Townsend Peterson, A., Phillips, O.
- L. & Williams, S. E. (2004). Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature*, 427, 145-148.
- 710 UK Meteorological Office (n.d.). UKCP09: Gridded observation data sets. Available from
- 711 http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climatechange/science/monitoring /ukcp09/).
- 712 Van Winden, J. F., Reichart, G.-J., Mcnamara, N. P., Benthien, A. & Damsté, J. S. S. (2012).
- Temperature-Induced Increase in Methane Release from Peat Bogs: A Mesocosm Experiment. *PLoS* ONE, 7, e39614.
- 715 Vitousek, P., Mooney, H., Lubchenco, J. & Melillo, J. (2008). Human Domination of Earth's
- 716 Ecosystems. In: Marzluff, J., Shulenberger, E., Endlicher, W., Alberti, M., Bradley, G., Ryan, C.,
- 717 Simon, U. & Zumbrunnen, C. (eds.) Urban Ecology. Springer US.
- 718 Wallage, Z. E., Holden, J. & Mcdonald, A. T. (2006). Drain blocking: An effective treatment for
- reducing dissolved organic carbon loss and water discolouration in a drained peatland. Science of The
- 720 *Total Environment*, 367, 811-821.
- 721 Walther, G.-R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T. J. C., Fromentin, J.-M.,
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O. & Bairlein, F. (2002). Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature*,
 416, 389-395.
- Ward, S. E., Bardgett, R. D., Mcnamara, N. P., Adamson, J. K. & Ostle, N. J. (2007). Long-term
 consequences of grazing and burning on northern peatland carbon dynamics. *Ecosystems*, 10, 10691083.
- 727 Ward, S. E., Ostle, N. J., Oakley, S., Quirk, H., Henrys, P. A. & Bardgett, R. D. (2013). Warming
- reflects on greenhouse gas fluxes in peatlands are modulated by vegetation composition. *Ecology*
- 729 *Letters*, 16, 1285-1293.
- Worrall, F., Burt, T. & Adamson, J. (2004). Can climate change explain increases in DOC flux from
 upland peat catchments? *Science of the Total Environment*, 326, 95-112.
- 732 Wu, Y., Blodau, C., Moore, T. R., Bubier, J., Juutinen, S. & Larmola, T. (2015). Effects of
- radiate experimental nitrogen deposition on peatland carbon pools and fluxes: a modelling analysis.
- 734 Biogeosciences, 12, 79-101.
- 735 Xu, L., Furtaw, M. D., Madsen, R. A., Garcia, R. L., Anderson, D. J. & Mcdermitt, D. K. (2006). On
- maintaining pressure equilibrium between a soil CO2 flux chamber and the ambient air. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111.
- Xu, X., Shi, Z., Li, D., Zhou, X., Sherry, R. A. & Luo, Y. (2015). Plant community structure regulates
 responses of prairie soil respiration to decadal experimental warming. *Global Change Biology*, 21,
 3846-3853.
- Yu, Z., Loisel, J., Brosseau, D. P., Beilman, D. W. & Hunt, S. J. (2010). Global peatland dynamics
 since the Last Glacial Maximum. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37, 13402.

- 743 Zhang, X. B., Xu, M. G., Sun, N., Wang, X. J., Wu, L., Wang, B. R. & Li, D. C. (2013). How do
- environmental factors and different fertilizer strategies affect soil CO2 emission and carbon
- sequestration in the upland soils of southern China? *Applied Soil Ecology*, 72, 109-118.
- 746 Zhang, T., Zhu, W., Mo, J., Liu, L. & Dong, S. (2011). Increased phosphorus availability mitigates
- the inhibition of nitrogen deposition on CH4 uptake in an old-growth tropical forest, southern China.
- 748 *Biogeosciences*, 8, 2805-2813.

1 Nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment effects on CO₂ and methane fluxes

2 from an upland ecosystem

William A. V. Stiles ^{a,b}, Edwin C. Rowe ^b, Peter Dennis ^a

^a Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences, Penglais Campus, Aberystwyth University, Wales, SY23 3DD, United Kingdom

^b Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Bangor, Environment Centre Wales, Bangor, LL57 2UW, UK.

Corresponding author:

William A. V. Stiles

Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences,

Penglais Campus,

Aberystwyth University,

SY23 3DD

Email: wvs@aber.ac.uk

3 **ABSTRACT**

Reactive nitrogen (N) deposition can affect many ecosystem processes, particularly in oligotrophic 4 5 habitats, and is expected to affect soil C storage potential through increases in microbial decomposition rate as a consequence of greater N availability. Increased N availability may also result 6 7 in changes in the principal limitations on ecosystem productivity. Phosphorus (P) limitation may constrain productivity in instances of high N deposition, yet ecosystem responses to P availability are 8 9 poorly understood. This study investigated CO₂ and CH₄ flux responses to N and P enrichment using 10 both short- (1 year) and long-term (16 year) nutrient addition experiments. We hypothesised that the addition of either N or P will increase CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes, since both plant production and microbial 11 12 activity are likely to increase with alleviation from nutrient limitation. This study demonstrated the 13 modification of C fluxes from N and P enrichment, with differing results subject to the duration of 14 nutrient addition. On average, relative to control, the addition of N alone inhibited CO_2 flux in the 15 short-term (-9%) but considerably increased CO₂ emissions in the long-term (+35%), reduced CH₄ 16 uptake in the short term (-90%) and reduced CH₄ emission in the long term (-94%). Phosphorus 17 addition increased CO_2 and CH_4 emission in the short term (+20% and +184% respectively), with 18 diminishing effect into the long term, suggesting microbial communities at these sites are P limited. 19 Whilst a full C exchange budget was not examined in the experiment, the potential for soil C storage 20 loss with long-term nutrient enrichment is demonstrated and indicates that P addition, where P is a 21 limiting factor, may have an adverse influence on upland soil C content.

22 Keywords:

23 Nitrogen deposition; soil carbon; carbon fluxes; pollution; co-limitation; P limitation

24 **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

25 Global climate change is expected to have profound impacts on natural systems, which could threaten 26 biodiversity and ecosystem processes (Walther et al. 2002; Thomas et al. 2004; Grim et al. 2013; 27 Carroll et al. 2015). In northern temperate ecosystems, carbon (C) has been accumulating in terrestrial 28 reservoirs since the end of the last ice age, sequestered mainly as organic matter in soils with low rates 29 of decomposition such as peat (Yu et al. 2010). Maintaining these C stores is important to avoid the 30 transformation from current status of C sink, to potential C source (House et al. 2010). Carbon stored 31 in soil is lost to the atmosphere in the form of CO_2 from soil organic matter mineralisation (Dawson & 32 Smith 2007) and CH₄ from the anaerobic decomposition of organic matter by methanogenic microbes 33 (Bubier & Moore 1994; Cooper et al. 2014). Emissions of CO₂ are considerably larger than CH₄ 34 emissions, but the global warming potential of CH_4 is 28 times greater than CO_2 , making it an 35 important GHG (IPCC 2013).

The effect of climate change on soil C is controversial (Worrall et al. 2004; Davidson & Janssens 2006; Worral & Burt 2007; Clark et al. 2010), but as temperatures rise the rate of organic matter decomposition is expected to increase, potentially resulting in a positive feedback on climate change (Knorr et al. 2005). This effect is also likely to be exacerbated by current land use practices including drainage, grazing and burning (Wallage et al. 2006; Ward et al. 2007 & 2013) and by the effects of nutrient enrichment from nitrogen (N) deposition (Bragazza et al. 2006). Nutrient availability also

42 affects soil C fluxes via influences on plant productivity and inputs of labile C into soil.

43 Net fluxes of C from soil are affected by numerous environmental factors and ecosystem processes. 44 Soil moisture, temperature and pH have strong controlling effects on emissions of gaseous C from 45 soil, by influencing soil microbial and plant root activity, and the diffusion of gases through soil pores 46 (Smith et al. 2003; Chen et al. 2015). Vegetation composition can also affect rates of both CO₂ and 47 CH₄ emission (Raich & Tufekciogul 2000; Robroek et al. 2015). For CH₄, the effect is direct via the 48 transport of gas through the aerenchymatous tissue of some vascular plants (notably sedges), allowing 49 gaseous exchange with the atmosphere (Joabsson et al. 1999; McEwing et al. 2015). For CO₂, 50 vegetation composition has indirect effects via changes to net C input by plants, variation in 51 decomposition resistance of plant material, rates of root respiration, and influences on soil 52 microclimate and structure (Raich & Tufekciogul 2000). This has significant implications for soil C 53 flux in the context of current environmental change (Berendse et al. 2001; Ward et al. 2013; Xu et al. 54 2015) and potentially makes forecasting soil C flux highly complex, as all biotic and abiotic factors 55 not only act directly, but also indirectly through the modification of vegetation composition by soil 56 characteristics, and vice versa (McEwing et al. 2015).

57 Nitrogen enrichment from pollutant deposition affects ecosystem processes (Magnani et al. 2007; 58 Jones & Power 2012; Southron et al. 2013) and can affect rates of C flux through changes to 59 vegetation and microbial activity (Basiliko et al. 2006; Juutinen et al. 2010; Wu et al. 2015). The 60 effect of N on soil CO₂ flux is controversial, with studies demonstrating an inhibitory (Phillips & 61 Fahey 2007; Janssens et al. 2010; Ramirez et al. 2010) or a stimulatory (Bragazza et al. 2006; 62 Cleveland & Townsend 2006; Zhang et al. 2013) effect. This is potentially the result of differences in 63 C availability (Knorr et al. 2005). In oligotrophic environments with soils of high organic matter 64 content, nutrient enrichment from N deposition is expected to affect soil C storage potential by 65 increasing microbial activity and thus decomposition rates (Bragazza et al. 2006). It is also expected 66 that an increase in N availability will shift ecosystems towards limitation of other nutrients such as 67 phosphorus (P) (Crowley et al. 2012; Peñuelas et al. 2013). Phosphorus limitation may be an 68 important mechanism that constrains productivity in situations of high N deposition; hence, release 69 from this may greatly affect many ecosystem processes and fluxes. Understanding the role of nutrient 70 availability in determining C fluxes and C storage potential is of considerable importance. The 71 availability of reactive N in upland habitats with peaty soils has been increased by anthropogenic

sources, and is projected to double in size globally from current levels by 2050 (Galloway et al. 2004;
Phoenix et al. 2006). The rate of reactive N input is reportedly in decline in Western Europe (Fowler
et al. 2004), but current rates remain higher than the estimated critical load for many upland habitats
(RoTAP 2012).

76 To date, research in this area has focussed on the environmental factors which promote C flux, such as 77 vegetation composition, temperature and soil moisture. Few studies have considered the effect of 78 increased N availability on C flux within upland habitats that have been exposed to N deposition rates 79 near or above the critical load, with none considering the impact of P limitation in this context. This 80 study aimed to establish the effect of N and P enrichment on soil C flux by measuring CO₂ and CH₄ 81 emissions across two randomised block experiments, which have been run in both the short (one year) 82 and longer term (1996 - 2012, with sampling undertaken three years after ceasing N inputs and 15 83 years after a single P application). We hypothesise that the addition of nutrients (N or P) will increase 84 CO₂ flux (H1) and increase CH₄ flux (H2) at these upland sites, and N and P addition will have stronger stimulation effects than N addition alone on fluxes of CO₂ (H3) and CH₄ (H4). The response 85 86 will be greatest in treatments where P is added, since plant growth and microbial activity are expected 87 to increase with alleviation from nutrient limitation.

88 **1.2 METHODS**

89 1.2.1 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

90 This study was conducted at two sites: Pen y Garn (PEN - 52° 37' N, 3° 76' W) and Pwllpeiran (PWL 91 - 52° 37' N, 3° 77' W) in the Cambrian Mountain range, mid-Wales. The sites were located within 1 92 km of each other and within an altitude range of 500 - 600 metres a.s.l.. Both sites were on a transition 93 between NVC U4 Festuca ovina / Agrostis capillaris grassland and H18 Vaccinium myrtillus / 94 Deschampsia flexuosa heath, overlying mixed soils ranging from shallow ferric stagnopodzol to deep 95 peat (Emmett et al. 2007; Phoenix et al. 2012). The mean annual rainfall rate for this location was 96 1512.2 mm (UK Meteorological Office, no date) and the background N deposition rate was 22 kg N 97 ha^{-1} yr⁻¹ (Emmett et al. 2007). Nutrient addition experiments were established at both locations, each 98 consisting of six replicate blocks of four 3 x 3 m plots, in a randomised block design. In the long-term 99 experiment at PEN (Figure 1b), N was added fortnightly between 1996 and 2012, and P was added once in 2000 to the ammonium sulphate 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (AS10+P) treatment. The second 100 experiment, at PWL, was established more recently, with different nutrient addition treatments to 101 102 better distinguish the effect of P addition by including a P-only treatment (Figure 1a). In both experiments, the addition of N was split to avoid abrupt increases in concentration, with additions of 103 104 1/14 of the total dose every two weeks between the months of April – October 2014.



105

Figure 1. Experimental treatments at two sites, each replicated six times: black circles and a) PWL, (experiment set up in 2004): CONTROL = no addition; N = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; P = phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; N & P = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; white circles and b) PEN (experiment set up in 1996): CONTROL = no addition; AS10+P = ammonium sulphate at 10 kg N ha⁻¹ 11 yr⁻¹ + phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 20 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; AS20 = ammonium sulphate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; SN20 = sodium nitrate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

At the PWL site, P was added once in April 2014. The PWL site was grazed during the study, at a rate 113 of 1.0 sheep ha⁻¹. The PEN site was not grazed during this study, but had been grazed by sheep 114 between 1990 and 2007 at two levels (1.0 sheep ha⁻¹ and 1.5 sheep ha⁻¹). Although there was no 115 grazing at the PEN site between 2007 and 2015, these moorland habitats are resistant to succession 116 117 and there was no change in habitat type. Three replicate blocks were established in each of two paddocks that had been grazed at the different levels, but the lack of grazing during the intervening 118 119 seven years had greatly diminished effects of the grazing treatments and differences in previous 120 stocking rate were not taken into account in the design.

121 1.2.2. VEGETATION ANALYSIS

Vegetation data were collected for PWL in June 2014 and June 2015 and for PEN in June 2015.Cover was recorded visually for each species within each 3 x 3 m plot using the Domin scale and

subsequently transformed to percentage cover for statistical analysis (Currall 1987). All plants and

bryophytes were identified to species level. Vegetation height was recorded as the average of five

126 measurements to the top of the canopy taken using a metric sward stick, of ca. 1 cm diameter, marked

127 at 0.5 cm intervals (Dennis *et al.* 2005). At PEN, heights were taken from the centre of each plot and

- 128 then from the mid-point between the centre and each corner. At PWL, heights were recorded from
- 129 within small grazing exclosures ($\sim 1 \text{ m}^{-2}$) that were established within each plot.

130 1.2.3 SOIL ANALYSIS

Soil samples for both sites were collected in June 2015 from five locations within each treatment plot,
using a 20 mm diameter soil corer up to a depth of 20 cm, and bulked together. The samples were air
dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve. Soil pH was measured with a Hydrus 400 meter (Fisherbrand,
Leicestershire, UK) in a slurry of 10 g fresh soil in 25 mL water. Total C was established by the
Dumas combustion method using an elemental analyser (Vario MAX Cube – Elementar
Analysensysteme, Hanau, Germany).

137 1.2.4 SOIL FLUX

Fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄ were measured for the different nutrient addition treatments at both PWL and 138 139 PEN from September 2014 to August 2015; initially every two months until March 2015, then every 140 month during the growing season (May to August 2015), under dark conditions using a non-steady 141 state, static chamber approach (Livingston & Hutchinson 1995; Parkin & Venterea 2010). Measured CO₂ flux thus represents both soil and plant respiration (ecosystem respiration) but excludes the 142 143 effects of photosynthesis. Soil collars, 25 cm in diameter, were installed on each treatment in July 144 2014, two months prior to the first gas flux measurements to reduce disturbance. A soil knife was 145 used to cut through the initial vegetation and topsoil layer, before the collar was inserted to a depth of 146 7 cm, leaving 5 cm aboveground for chamber attachment. The location of the collar was selected 147 randomly for each treatment square and once installed, vegetation was trimmed from inside the collar 148 and maintained trimmed throughout sampling. Chamber design followed the description by Parkin & 149 Venterea (2010). Each chamber measured 19 cm in height including the soil collar and had an internal 150 volume of 9.33 L. A modified pressure vent tube (Xu et al. 2006) was installed to allow internal and 151 ambient air pressure equilibration. Before each measurement, chambers were placed carefully on collars, ensuring a gas tight seal. The soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes were calculated based on changes in 152 153 chamber concentrations over 30 minutes. Measurements were taken for each chamber at 0, 15 and 30 154 minutes from chamber attachment (Parkin & Venterea 2010). The duration of measurement at each sampling point was 60 seconds. CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations were measured using an LGR[™], Ultra-155 Portable Greenhouse Gas Analyser (Model 915-0011, Los Gatos Research, Palo Alto, CA, USA) 156

- 157 with a 1 Hz sampling rate. Chambers were attached to the analyser via inlet and outlet tubing (2 m by
- 158 4 mm internal diameter). Chamber internal temperature, ambient air temperature and soil temperature
- 159 at a depth of 10 cm were also recorded. The rate of change in gas concentration inside the chambers
- 160 was established with linear regression. Gas flux (CO₂ and CH₄) was then calculated from the rate of
- 161 gas concentration change using the following equation (McEwing et al. 2015):

162
$$F_0 = S \frac{V M 273.16}{A V m (273.16 + T)} 60$$

163 Where:

- = Flux ($\mu g CH_4/CO_2$ -C m⁻² hr⁻¹) 164 F_0 165 S = Rate of change in CH₄ and CO₂ concentration (ppm min⁻¹) 166 V = Chamber volume (m³) = Chamber area (m²) 167 А = Molecular mass of CH_4/CO_2 (g mol⁻¹) 168 Μ = Ideal gas mole volume $(0.0224 \text{ m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1})$ 169 V_{m} 170
- Each regression plot was assessed individually using R^2 as an indicator of accuracy, and plots with R^2 171 172 >0.7 were accepted for analysis. Low fluxes for CH₄ typically give a low R², but should be included 173 to avoid over-estimation of mean flux (Alm et al. 2007), so CH₄ fluxes where $R^2 < 0.7$, but where measurements did not exceed 0.3 ppm, were also retained as zero measurements. Two collars at PWL 174 175 suffered from excessive water pooling, which visibly affected the vegetation and soil. These were 176 removed from the dataset before analysis. Net ecosystem exchange was not considered as part of this 177 study, so whilst the results demonstrate differences in C flux, primary productivity was not measured 178 and thus the full influence of N and P availability on C budget cannot be determined.

179 1.2.5 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

180 All variables were tested visually for normality and homoscedasticity with Levene's test prior to 181 statistical analysis. Data for CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes were Log (x+1) transformed to meet the assumptions 182 of analyses. Differences in CO₂ and CH₄ flux between treatments were analysed with linear mixed 183 models specifying repeated measures, with treatment as a fixed factor and air temperature as covariate 184 to allow for diurnal/seasonal variance. When significant differences between treatments were detected (P < 0.05), post-hoc tests were conducted using LSD pairwise comparisons. The relationship between 185 186 GHG flux and air temperature was initially tested for significance with simple linear regression before 187 inclusion in the model. Air temperatures were used in the analysis since data for soil temperature were 188 only captured from January onwards, but the two measurements were shown to be correlated (PWL: $R^2 = 0.52$, $P = \langle 0.001$; PEN: $R^2 = 0.81$, $P = \langle 0.001 \rangle$. Differences in mean annual soil emission of CO₂ 189 190 and CH₄ between experimental treatments were also investigated with one-way analysis of variance 191 (ANOVAs). LSD pairwise comparisons were used to further investigate individual relationships.

Multiple regression analysis with all-possible-subsets model procedure was used to investigate the relationships between annual average GHG flux and environmental parameters: soil pH, vegetation height, and cover values for each of five plant functional types. All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS 21.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, 2012).

196 **1.3 RESULTS**

197 1.3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS ON CO₂ AND CH₄ FLUX

Over the sampling period, fluctuations were observed for air and soil temperatures in line with 198 199 seasonal variation. The highest air temperatures were recorded in June for PWL (22.3 °C) and in 200 August for PEN (19.4 °C). Air temperatures were lowest at both locations in January (PWL = 5.0 °C, 201 PEN = 6.2 °C). Soil temperatures were similar with the highest values recorded in August for PWL (13.2 °C) and in July for PEN (12.9 °C) and lowest vales for both in January (PWL = 4.6 °C, PEN = 202 203 3.5 °C). Over the twelve months of sampling, the effect of changing air temperature on CO₂ flux was 204 significant for both sites, with positive relationships observed between increasing temperature and 205 CO₂ emission (PWL: $R^2 = 0.68$, $P = \langle 0.001;$ PEN: $R^2 = 0.70$, $P = \langle 0.001,$ Figure 2). The effect of 206 temperature on CH₄ flux was less consistent; no relationship was observed at PWL ($R^2 = 0.004$, P =0.37), but a significant positive relationship was recorded at PEN ($R^2 = 0.033$, P = 0.012). 207

The results of the multiple regression analysis of effects of vegetation cover values, vegetation height and soil pH on mean annual CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes are shown in Table 1. This analysis revealed significant relationships between soil pH and fluxes for both CO_2 and CH_4 at PWL (Table 1, Figure 3), but other factors were shown to have no effect. At PEN, no environmental factor was shown to have an effect on either CO_2 or CH_4 flux despite the significant differences in vegetation cover between treatments as a result of long-term nutrient addition (Figure 6).

Table 1. Relationships between gas flux and environmental variables with potential influence on C emission.

Site	Variable	Regression model	Degrees of freedom	R ²	Р
PWL	CO2	рН	1,21	0.52	<0.001
	CH4	рН	1,21	0.25	0.019
PEN	CO2	-	1,23	-	ns
	CH4	-	1,23	-	ns

216 *P*-values represent the chance that the regression slope is not different from 0; bold highlights results 217 significant at P < 0.05. The best fitting regression model using all-possible-subsets regression analysis 218 is shown for each parameter. Variables included in the analysis were: ground cover of each plant 219 functional type (graminoid, cryptogam, dwarf shrub, forbs), vegetation height and soil pH.



222

221

Figure 2. Relationships between CO₂ flux and air temperature at two experimental sites: a) PWL: Control = no nutrient addition (circles); N = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (squares); P = phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (triangles); N & P = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (diamonds); and b) PEN: Control = no nutrient addition (circles); AS10+P = ammonium sulphate at 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 20 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (squares); AS20 = ammonium sulphate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (triangles); SN20 = sodium nitrate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (diamonds).



Figure 3. The relationship between soil pH and a) CO₂ flux and b) CH₄ flux at two sites: PWL (black circles) and PEN (white triangles). Solid lines indicate significant relationships, dashed lines non-significant relationships.

236 1.3.2 EFFECTS OF NUTRIENT ADDITION ON CO₂ FLUXES

237 Differences were observed in the CO_2 fluxes between nutrient addition treatments at both sites, but 238 with differences between sites in the size of response observed. For PWL, the between-treatment differences (Table 2, Figure 4a) were shown by post hoc analysis to be driven by the largest mean 239 annual flux recorded for the P addition treatment (464 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹). The P treatment had 240 241 consistently higher CO₂ flux in all but the first month sampled (September) (Table 2, Figure 4a). The N addition treatment had the lowest mean annual flux (353 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹) and was consistently 242 243 the lowest flux recorded across the sampling period (in all months except May). The CO₂ fluxes in the 244 control and N + P treatments had similar mean annual fluxes (386 and 413 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹ 245 respectively). For PEN, post hoc analysis revealed the differences between treatments (Table 2, Figure 4b) to be due to the lowest mean annual flux recorded, which was the control (205 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹). The AS10+P, AS20 and SN20 treatments had similar mean annual fluxes (261, 277 and 274 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹ respectively). The differences observed between treatments at PEN suggest that nutrient enrichment in the long term significantly increases CO₂ flux relative to control, but there were no statistically significant differences among the nutrient addition treatments, suggesting limited influence of the P added to the AS10+P treatment on CO₂ flux 15 years after application.

252

Table 2. Summary of results from Linear Mixed Models describing soil CO_2 and CH_4 flux responses to nutrient addition treatments, with treatment as fixed factor and air temperature as covariate.

Variable	Factor	Degrees of freedom	F	Р
CO ₂	Treatment	3, 171	3.69	0.013
	Air temperature	1, 171	422.32	<0.001
CH_4	Treatment	3, 171	2.86	0.041
	Air temperature	1, 171	0.60	0.439
CO ₂	Treatment	3, 187	4.63	0.004
	Air temperature	1, 187	461.23	<0.001
CH ₄	Treatment	3, 187	4.22	0.006
	Air temperature	1, 187	6.75	0.01
	Variable CO ₂ CH ₄ CO ₂ CH ₄	VariableFactorCO2Treatment Air temperatureCH4Treatment Air temperatureCO2Treatment Air temperatureCO4Treatment Air temperatureCO4Treatment Air temperatureCH4Treatment Air temperatureCH4Treatment Air temperature	VariableFactorDegrees of freedomCO2Treatment3, 171Air temperature1, 171CH4Treatment3, 171Air temperature1, 171CO2Treatment3, 187Air temperature1, 187CH4Treatment3, 187Air temperature1, 187CH4Treatment3, 187Air temperature1, 187	Variable Factor Degrees of freedom F CO2 Treatment 3, 171 3.69 Air temperature 1, 171 422.32 CH4 Treatment 3, 171 2.86 Air temperature 1, 171 0.60 CO2 Treatment 3, 187 4.63 Air temperature 1, 187 461.23 CH4 Treatment 3, 187 4.63 Air temperature 1, 187 461.23 CH4 Treatment 3, 187 4.22 Air temperature 1, 187 6.75

255 *P*-values refer to of the likelihood that the coefficient is zero, bold highlights results significant at *P* < 0.05.



258

259

260

Figure 4. Net CO₂ emission fluxes for a) PWL and b) PEN. Error bars denote standard error. * indicates treatment with significant difference as determined by *post hoc* pairwise comparison (LSD). PWL: Control = no nutrient addition; N = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; P = phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; N & P = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. PEN: Control = no nutrient addition; AS10+P = ammonium sulphate at 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 20 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; AS20 = ammonium sulphate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; SN20 = sodium nitrate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.



Figure 5. Effects of nutrient addition treatments on net methane emissions for a) PWL and b) PEN sites. Error bars denote standard error. * indicates treatment with significant difference as determined by *post hoc* pairwise comparison (LSD). PWL: Control = no nutrient addition; N = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; P = phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; N & P = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. PEN: Control = no nutrient addition; AS10+P = ammonium sulphate at 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 20 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; AS20 = ammonium sulphate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; SN20 = sodium nitrate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

280 1.3.3 EFFECTS OF NUTRIENT ADDITION ON CH₄ FLUXES

281 The extent to which differences in CH4 fluxes could be attributed to treatment effects varied according to experimental site. At PWL there were significant differences between treatments (Table 282 2, Figure 5a), independent of seasonal variations in temperature (Table 2), which post hoc tests 283 revealed to be driven by the difference in CH4 emissions between the control (no addition) and 284 285 nutrient addition treatments (N, P and N + P). At this site, CH_4 uptake was greatest in control plots, with this treatment behaving as a small sink (on average $-10.68 \ \mu g \ CH_4$ -C m⁻² h⁻¹), only emitting CH₄ 286 during the last two months (June and August), at rates just above zero µg C CH₄ m⁻² h⁻¹. The N, P and 287 288 N + P treatments were highly variable in emission rate, with fluctuations recorded across the sampling 289 range. The P addition treatment had the largest mean annual flux (9.00 μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹), which can 290 chiefly be attributed to an increase in emission rate during the last two months (Figure 5a). The N and N + P treatments were intermediate in response, with emission rates just below zero (N = $-1.05 \mu g$ 291 CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹, N + P = -0.77 μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹) on a mean annual basis (*P* < 0.05). At PEN there were 292 also significant differences observed between treatments (Table 2, Figure 5b), which post hoc analysis 293 294 revealed to be likewise driven by the difference between control and all nutrient addition treatments. 295 However, at this site the CH₄ flux was significantly higher in control plots than nutrient added treatments, with mean annual emissions of 27.64 μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹ (P < 0.01). All of the nutrient 296 297 addition treatments at PEN otherwise had similar flux rates for the full sampling range, until the last 298 two months, when the CH₄ flux from the sodium nitrate treatment (SN20) increased comparatively 299 (Figure 5b).

300 1.3.4 EFFECTS OF NUTRIENT ADDITION ON VEGETATION COMPOSITION

The addition of nutrients resulted in significant differences in the observed vegetation cover, but only after sufficient time had elapsed allowing vegetation community modification. At PWL, where nutrients were added in the short term only, no differences in vegetation cover were observed. At PEN, long-term nutrient additions resulted in greater graminoid cover and lesser cryptogam cover where N alone was added and greater cryptogam and lesser graminoid cover in treatments where P was added (AS10+P). Control plots were intermediate in composition (Figure 6).

307

PWL

PEN



310 Figure 6. Ground cover of vascular plants and cryptogams recorded for nutrient addition treatments at two sites, PWL (L-hand plots) and PEN (R-hand plots). PWL: Control = no nutrient addition; N = 311 ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; P = phosphorus (sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate) at 40 kg 312 P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; N & P = ammonium nitrate at 60 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 40 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. PEN: 313 Control = no nutrient addition; AS10+P = ammonium sulphate at 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ + phosphorus at 20 314 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; AS20 = ammonium sulphate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; SN20 = sodium nitrate at 20 kg N ha⁻¹ 315 316 yr⁻¹. Box midline indicates median, box edges indicate interquartile range. Whiskers indicate range of 317 data within 1.5 x the interquartile range; points indicate data outside 1.5 x the interquartile range.

318 **1.4 DISCUSSION**

The addition of N and P had significant influence on CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes, with differences in the direction and magnitude of the effects between sites as a consequence of duration of nutrient addition treatment. In the short-term trial, N addition inhibited CO_2 and CH_4 flux, whereas in the long-term trial, N addition significantly increased CO_2 emissions but inhibited CH_4 flux. The addition of P significantly increased CO_2 and CH_4 flux in the short-term trial, but this effect was reduced in the long-term trial, after 14-15 years since P addition.

325 1.4.1 NUTRIENT ADDITION EFFECTS ON CO₂ FLUXES

The addition of N and P influenced fluxes of CO_2 , however there were substantial differences in effect between treatments, and also differences in response between short-term (PWL = 1 year for N & P) and long-term nutrient additions (PEN = additions between 1996 – 2012, sampling undertaken three years after the cessation of N addition and 15 years after a single P application). At PWL, the addition of P increased CO_2 flux, which supported hypothesis H3 and is in line with findings from similar studies in other environments (Cleveland & Townsend 2006; Liu et al. 2013). Phosphorus limitation

is a significant mechanism constraining ecosystem processes, particularly in systems suffering from

333 the effects of chronic N deposition (Cleveland et al. 2011; Crowley et al. 2012). The addition of P 334 stimulates decomposition, with observed rises in CO₂ flux associated with increases in heterotrophic 335 (bacteria and fungi) biomass and activity, and thus respiration (Liu et al. 2012), rather than through 336 increased fine-root biomass (Cleveland & Townsend 2006). Phosphorus addition results in the modification of microbial community structure and reduces the ratio between Gram-positive and 337 338 Gram-negative bacteria, resulting in more copiotrophic communities (Fanin et al. 2015). At PEN, the 339 role of P was less clear. The CO_2 flux in the N + P treatment (AS10+P) was larger than in the control 340 plots, but was mostly lower across the sampling period than CO_2 fluxes from the two N-only 341 treatments (AS20 and SN20). Phosphorus is highly persistent in soil (Nye & Tinker 1977), and was 342 presumably responsible for driving shifts observed in vegetation species composition (Figure 6), thus 343 the comparatively weak effect of P on CO₂ flux at this site was unexpected. The N-only treatments 344 received N at a higher rate than the AS10+P treatment, and associated larger CO_2 fluxes may reflect 345 the effect of greater N availability. In addition, while the effects of P on plant species composition 346 were still visible at the site, P may no longer have been stimulating plant production of labile C. Liu et 347 al. (2013) observed a diminishing effect of P on microbial biomass after four years, which was 348 attributed to C limitation, where the exhaustion of available soil C had a constraining effect on 349 respiration (Fanin et al. 2015).

350 The addition of N had an inhibitory effect on soil CO₂ flux at PWL and refutes hypothesis H1 that 351 nutrient addition would increase CO₂ efflux. Similar inhibition of decomposition by N has been found in other studies (Ramirez et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2015), and may result from high N availability. In 352 353 soils where N is not a limiting factor for microbial growth and activity, the addition of N can constrain organic matter decomposition (Janssens et al. 2010). In such instances, reductions in CO₂ flux may be 354 355 driven by shifts in C allocation from belowground to above ground biomass (Litton et al. 2007), which 356 reduces rhizosphere and microbial respiration (Phillips & Fahey 2007; Bae et al. 2015). The background N deposition for these sites is 22 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Emmett et al. 2007), which is greater than 357 the critical load limit for this habitat $(10 - 15 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}; \text{ APIS } 2014)$, suggesting that these soils 358 are unlikely to be N limited. In addition, reductions in soil pH associated with N addition potentially 359 360 have a limiting effect (Chen et al. 2015).

This contrasts with the effects observed at PEN, where N addition treatments had higher CO_2 flux in 361 362 comparison to control (no addition) treatments, which does support hypothesis H1. PEN was sampled 363 three years after ceasing N addition, which could indicate that inhibitory effects were reduced due to 364 N losses from leaching in the intervening three years. This explanation was deemed unlikely however, 365 as the size and activity of microbial populations exposed to N enrichment can remain elevated 6-8 years after cessation of additions, suggesting a prolonged effect on the rate of nutrient cycling (Power 366 et al. 2006). Instead, the higher CO_2 flux where N was added is most likely the result of greater soil 367 368 organic matter decomposition from increased microbial abundance and activity (Mack et al. 2004;

Bragazza et al. 2006), and by reduced production of more decomposition resistant species (Bragazza et al. 2012). This influence was not translated to a reduction in soil C levels in N treatment plots however; these plots in fact had the highest soil C content, albeit not significantly greater than control (Stiles et al. 2017). This suggests a potential balance between increased rates of both plant production and decomposition with greater N availability (Mack et al. 2004).

374 The variability observed in CO₂ flux between sites with N enrichment could be explained by differences in the N addition rate, which at PWL was three times the maximum annual application 375 376 rate at PEN. Janssens et al. (2010) demonstrated that the inhibitory effect of N on soil CO_2 flux was 377 larger in sites receiving N at dose rates greater than 50 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, which may account for some of the 378 between-site disparity. The inhibitory effect of N at PWL apparently offset the stimulatory effect of P, 379 in that the CO_2 flux response to N + P addition was intermediate between responses to P and N 380 addition. Thus, although the results were not consistent with a general stimulation of CO₂ efflux by 381 nutrient addition (H1), there was support for hypothesis H3 that P would have a greater stimulating 382 effect.

383 1.4.2 NUTRIENT ADDITION EFFECTS ON CH₄ FLUXES

The addition of N and P altered CH₄ flux, although different effects were observed in the short term 384 385 after enrichment (PWL) and after a longer period (PEN). The CH₄ flux results represent net emissions, 386 and effects on CH₄ production and oxidation cannot be distinguished. At PWL, all nutrient addition 387 treatments had less negative net CH₄ emissions than the control, supporting hypothesis H2. The less 388 negative net emissions with N addition are presumably due to decreases in the rate of CH₄ oxidation 389 (Aerts & Toet 1997; Aerts & Caluwe 1999). This is primarily caused by competition for the CH₄ 390 mono-oxygenase enzyme, which is affected when N addition increases rates of nitrification, inhibiting 391 CH₄ oxidisation rate and reducing the amount of methane consumed by methanotrophs (Bodelier 2011). Phosphorus addition also increased the rate of emission for CH₄, which supports hypothesis 392 393 H4, but is contrary to similar research conducted in other environments (Zhang et al. 2011; Song et al. 394 2012). These studies attributed the effects observed to the inhibition of methanogenesis, the 395 stimulation of methanotrophic potential, and increased plant growth and water uptake reducing soil 396 water content and thus increasing methanotrophy through greater aeration. This last effect would 397 seem unlikely to operate in the PWL and PEN experiments because these are rather wet sites and the 398 treatments would not be expected to increase soil aeration greatly, even with the potentially 399 augmented growth associated with P enrichment. The stimulation of methanogenesis is likely to be 400 the principal mechanism and has been previously observed for some peat types (Keller et al. 2006), 401 which suggests the methanogen community at PWL is P limited. Further tests would be necessary to 402 rule out any inhibitory effect of P on methane oxidation, but this is beyond the scope of the current 403 study.

404 At PEN, the highest CH₄ flux recorded was in the control, which was contrary to hypothesis H2 that 405 nutrient addition would stimulate CH₄ fluxes. Fluxes of CH₄ from the nutrient addition treatments 406 remained near zero for the majority of the sampling period until the last two months (July and 407 August), when the fluxes from the sodium nitrate treatment (SN20) increased substantially. Nitrate 408 inhibits CH₄ emission, but only in very high concentrations (Bodelier & Laanbroek 2004), whereas 409 ammonium has been shown to inhibit CH₄ emission more strongly (Crill et al. 1994). This may 410 explain why emissions were consistently inhibited in the ammonium treatments (AS10+P and AS20) 411 in contrast to the spike in emission observed in the nitrate treatment towards the end of the study period. Overall, N addition inhibited CH₄ emission, which can be attributed to N-induced increases in 412 413 population size and activity of methane-oxidising bacteria (Bodelier & Laanbroek 2004). The addition 414 of P (AS10+P) had no obvious effect at PEN, 15 years after application, contrary to the H4 415 hypothesis. As with the CO₂ flux results, this was unexpected. Whilst the exact mechanisms remain unclear, the composition of the microbial community may have changed over the intervening years 416 417 (as with the diminishing effect of P over time on CO_2 emission, discussed above) which may have 418 reduced the size of any initial effect. This may also account for the substantial differences observed in 419 the results of the two experiments.

420 1.4.3 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS ON CARBON FLUXES

421 Temperature influenced the rate of CO_2 flux at both PWL and PEN, which is consistent with other 422 studies (Dorrepaal et al. 2009; Briones et al. 2010; Imer et al. 2013). Higher temperatures allow 423 increased rates of soil decomposition and root respiration, which are temperature-dependent chemical 424 and biochemical reactions (Knorr et al. 2005; Davidson & Janssens 2006). The role of temperature in 425 controlling CH₄ emission is less definite and was variable between sites. At PEN, there was a positive effect of temperature on CH4 flux, whereas at PWL, no effect was observed. The effect of temperature 426 427 on CH₄ production is reported to be variable and driven by the influence of site-specific factors such as differences in soil type (Chin et al. 1999; Van Winden et al. 2012). The effect of temperature is 428 429 likely to be closely linked with that of soil moisture and aeration (Smith et al. 2003). If high 430 temperatures relate to drought and therefore dry soil, it would be expected that CH₄ emission would 431 be reduced, but CO₂ emission would increase (Sundh et al. 2000). Plant functional type composition and above ground biomass quantity did not influence CO₂ or CH₄ fluxes, which is contrary to 432 433 previous studies (McNamara et al. 2008; Green & Baird et al. 2012; Cooper et al. 2014; McEwing et 434 al. 2015). This was also contrary to expectation, as differences in vegetation composition exist 435 between treatments, particularly at PEN, where long-term nutrient additions have resulted in divergent 436 vegetation composition (Figure 6). Nitrogen addition (AS20 and SN20 treatments) has driven an 437 increased ground cover of graminoid species, whereas N + P addition (AS10+P) has driven an increased ground cover of cryptogam species. Vegetation impacts on CH₄ flux are particularly 438 439 associated with Eriophorum spp. presence and cover (Green & Baird et al. 2012), as these sedges

have aerenchymatous tissue, which can facilitate the transport of CH4 from the anaerobic zone to the
atmosphere, and actively produces substrates that encourage methanogenesis (Cooper et al. 2014).
The relative scarcity of these species at either site could potentially account for the limited effect of
vegetation composition changes on CH₄ flux.

444 The positive relationship for CO₂, and negative relationship for CH₄, observed between fluxes and soil 445 pH at PWL, can be explained by changes in microbial activity. Soil acidity may be increased by N 446 enrichment (Bobbink et al. 2010; Phoenix et al. 2012), an effect which is reported to have a stronger 447 controlling effect on C flux than stimulation by increased N availability (Chen et al. 2015). Soil pH 448 can affect the activity rate and composition of microbial communities, with decreases of 1.5 pH units 449 shown to reduce activity by 50% (Fernández-Calviño & Bååth 2010). Recruitment of bacterial species 450 more tolerant of lower pH ultimately modifies the community, but with an intervening lag in activity 451 (Rousk et al. 2010), a hysteresis which may explain the observed reduction in the CO₂ emission. Low 452 soil pH may reduce CH₄ emissions through inhibition of methanotrophic bacterial communities 453 (Dedysh 2002). These effects potentially explain some of the differences observed between the two 454 sites, in that changes to pH driven by recent nutrient additions at PWL may have resulted in shortterm fluctuations in microbial activity. This effect would be expected to reduce over time as the 455 456 system shifts to a new equilibrium, as in the longer-term experiment at PEN. The absence of any 457 association with other environmental variables at PEN indicates that, for this study at least, the 458 availability of nutrients over an extended period (even three years after application for N and 15 years 459 after application for P) has a greater influence on CH₄ flux rate than other background environmental 460 factors.

461 **1.5 CONCLUSION**

462 The addition of N and P had significant effects on CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes but the direction and magnitude of effects were different in the two experiments, most probably due to the difference in 463 464 duration of additions between the two sites. The CO_2 flux was inhibited by recent additions of N, but plots that had received prolonged N additions up until three years previously showed considerably 465 increased CO₂ emissions. The long-term effects of P addition are less clear. In the short term, P 466 467 stimulated CO_2 emissions via release from nutrient limitation, but this effect appeared to diminish 468 with time, perhaps due to a decrease in readily available C substrates. This implies a negative effect of 469 P addition on soil C storage, although this may be offset at some sites by plant productivity increases. 470 The emission rate of CH₄ for treatments where nutrients were added was of similar size between sites, 471 despite differences in the CH₄ flux observed in control treatments at either site. This demonstrated a 472 similar pattern of modification by nutrient addition, but with different factors controlling the response 473 relative to either control. The differences between emission rates in control (no addition) treatments at 474 the two sites indicates the influence of other site characteristics on CH₄ flux rate.

475 The contrast in results from the two experiments demonstrates the differences between initial 476 ecosystem responses to nutrient addition and responses after ecosystem processes and microbial 477 assemblages have had sufficient time to adjust to more nutrient-rich conditions. Perturbation in 478 ecosystems often drives gradual change, and many experiments do not last long enough for the system 479 to reach a new equilibrium (Bubier et al. 2007; Vitousek et al. 2008). In this study the differences in 480 response between experimental additions in the short and long term were considerable, which has 481 important implications for the use of short-term evidence to infer responses of C storage and other ecosystem processes to continuing atmospheric N pollution, or P additions that persist in the soil. 482 Short-term studies investigating impacts of nutrient enrichment may misrepresent longer-term 483 484 ecosystem responses.

485 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are grateful to Professor Bridget Emmett at CEH Bangor for kind permission to re-visit the nutrient addition experiment at Pen Y Garn (NERC-DEFRA Terrestrial Umbrella – Contract Number CPEA 18), ADAS staff, Owen Davies and Bernard Griffiths, and to Dr Nigel Critchley for permission to use the experimental paddocks at Pwllpeiran. Thanks to Matt Harrow, Jacques Turner-Moss and Alastair Willcox for assistance with fieldwork. W.S. was funded by the IBERS PhD scheme, with additional research funds from Aber Bangor Consultancy Ltd..

492 **REFERENCES**

- Aerts, R. & De Caluwe, H. (1999). Nitrogen Deposition Effects on Carbon Dioxide and Methane
 Emissions from Temperate Peatland Soils. *Oikos*, 84, 44-54.
- Aerts, R. & Toet, S. (1997). Nutritional controls on carbon dioxide and methane emission from
 Carex-dominated peat soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 29, 1683-1690.
- 497 Alm, J., Shurpali, N. J., Tuittila, E. S., Laurila, T., Maljanen, M., Saarnio, S., & Minkkinen, K.
- 498 (2007). Methods for determining emission factors for the use of peat and peatlands: flux
- 499 measurements and modelling. *Boreal Environment Research*, *12*(2), 85-100.
- APIS (2014). *Air Pollution Information System*. Available online: http://www.apis.ac.uk/. Accessed
 13/03/15.
- 502 Bae, K., Fahey, T., Yanai, R. & Fisk, M. (2015). Soil Nitrogen Availability Affects Belowground
- Carbon Allocation and Soil Respiration in Northern Hardwood Forests of New Hampshire.
 Ecosystems, 18, 1179-1191.
- 505 Basiliko, N., Moore, T. R., Jeannotte, R. & Bubier, J. L. (2006). Nutrient input and carbon and 506 microbial dynamics in an ombrotrophic bog. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 23, 531-543.
- 507 Berendse, F., Van Breemen, N., Rydin, H., Buttler, A., Heijmans, M., Hoosbeek, M. R., Lee, J. A.,
- 508 Mitchell, E., Saarinen, T., Vasander, H. & Wallén, B. (2001). Raised atmospheric CO2 levels and

- increased N deposition cause shifts in plant species composition and production in Sphagnum bogs. *Global Change Biology*, 7, 591-598.
- 511 Bobbink, R., Hicks, K., Galloway, J., Spranger, T., Alkemade, R., Ashmore, M., Bustamante, M. et
- *al.* (2010). Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on terrestrial plant diversity: a synthesis.
 Ecological Applications, 20(1), 30-59.
- 514 Bodelier, P. L. E. (2011). Interactions between nitrogenous fertilizers and methane cycling in wetland
- 515 and upland soils. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 3, 379-388.
- 516 Bodelier, P. L. E. & Laanbroek, H. J. (2004). Nitrogen as a regulatory factor of methane oxidation in 517 soils and sediments. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 47, 265-277.
- 518 Bragazza, L., Buttler, A., Habermacher, J., Brancaleoni, L., Gerdol, R., Fritze, H., Hanajík, P., Laiho,
- Bragazza, Zi, Batter, Li, Habernaterer, F., Brancaroshi, Zi, Octaol, Ri, Finze, Fi, Hanghi, F., Zan
 R. & Johnson, D. (2012). High nitrogen deposition alters the decomposition of bog plant litter and
- 520 reduces carbon accumulation. *Global Change Biology*, 18, 1163-1172.
- 521 Bragazza, L., Freeman, C., Jones, T., Rydin, H., Limpens, J., Fenner, N., Ellis, T., Gerdol, R., Hájek,
- 522 M., Hájek, T., Iacumin, P., Kutnar, L., Tahvanainen, T. & Toberman, H. (2006). Atmospheric
- 523 nitrogen deposition promotes carbon loss from peat bogs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 524 Sciences, 103, 19386-19389.
- 525 Briones, M. J. I., Garnett, M. H. & Ineson, P. (2010). Soil biology and warming play a key role in the 526 release of 'old C' from organic soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42, 960-967.
- Bubier, J. L. & Moore, T. R. (1994). An ecological perspective on methane emissions from northern
 wetlands. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 9, 460-464.
- 529 Bubier, J. L., Moore, T. R. & Bledzki, L. A. (2007). Effects of nutrient addition on vegetation and 530 carbon cycling in an ombrotrophic bog. *Global Change Biology*, 13, 1168-1186.
- Burt, T. P., & Holden, J. (2010) Changing temperature and rainfall gradients in the British Uplands. *Climate Research*, 45, 57-70.
- 533 Carroll, M. J., Heinemeyer, A., Pearce-Higgins, J. W., Dennis, P., West, C., Holden, J., Wallage, Z. E.
- ⁵³⁴ & Thomas, C. D. (2015). Hydrologically driven ecosystem processes determine the distribution and
- 535 persistence of ecosystem-specialist predators under climate change. *Nature Communications*, 6.
- Chen, D., Li, J., Lan, Z., Hu, S. & Bai, Y. (2015). Soil acidification exerts a greater control on soil
 respiration than soil nitrogen availability in grasslands subjected to long-term nitrogen enrichment. *Functional Ecology*.
- 539 Chin, K.-J., Lukow, T. & Conrad, R. (1999). Effect of Temperature on Structure and Function of the
- 540 Methanogenic Archaeal Community in an Anoxic Rice Field Soil. *Applied and Environmental*541 *Microbiology*, 65, 2341-2349.
- 542 Clark, J.M., Gallego-Sala, A.V., Allott, T.E.H., Chapman, S.J. et al. (2010). Assessing the
- 543 vulnerability of blanket peat to climate change using an ensemble of statistical bioclimatic envelope
- 544 models. *Climate Research* 45:131-150.

- 545 Cleveland, C. C. & Townsend, A. R. (2006). Nutrient additions to a tropical rain forest drive
- 546 substantial soil carbon dioxide losses to the atmosphere. *Proceedings of the National Academy of* 547 *Sciences*, 103, 10316-10321.
- 547 Selences, 105, 10510 10521.
- 548 Cleveland, C. C., Townsend, A. R., Taylor, P., Alvarez-Clare, S., Bustamante, M. M. C., Chuyong,
- 549 G., Dobrowski, S. Z., Grierson, P., Harms, K. E., Houlton, B. Z., Marklein, A., Parton, W., Porder, S.,
- 550 Reed, S. C., Sierra, C. A., Silver, W. L., Tanner, E. V. J. & Wieder, W. R. (2011). Relationships
- among net primary productivity, nutrients and climate in tropical rain forest: a pan-tropical analysis.
- 552 *Ecology Letters*, 14, 939-947.
- 553 Cooper, M.D., Evans, C.D., Zielinski, P., Levy, P.E., Gray, A., Peacock, M., Norris, D., Fenner, N. &
- 554 Freeman, C. (2014). Infilled ditches are hotspots of landscape methane flux following peatland re-
- 555 wetting. *Ecosystems*, *17*(7), 1227-1241.
- Crill, P. M., Martikainen, P. J., NykäNen, H. & Silvola, J. (1994). Temperature and N fertilization
 effects on methane oxidation in a drained peatland soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 26, 1331-
- 558 1339.
- 559 Crowley, K. F., Mcneil, B. E., Lovett, G. M., Canham, C. D., Driscoll, C. T., Rustad, L. E., Denny,
- 560 E., Hallett, R. A., Arthur, M. A., Boggs, J. L., Goodale, C. L., Kahl, J. S., Mcnulty, S. G., Ollinger, S.
- 561 V., Pardo, L. H., Schaberg, P. G., Stoddard, J. L., Weand, M. P. & Weathers, K. C. (2012). Do
- 562 Nutrient Limitation Patterns Shift from Nitrogen Toward Phosphorus with Increasing Nitrogen
- 563 Deposition Across the Northeastern United States? *Ecosystems*, 15, 940-957.
- 564 Currall, J. E. P. (1987). A Transformation of the Domin Scale. *Vegetation*, 72, 81-87.
- 565 Davidson, E. A. & Janssens, I. A. (2006). Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and 566 feedbacks to climate change. *Nature*, 440, 165-173.
- Dawson, J. J. C. & Smith, P. (2007). Carbon losses from soil and its consequences for land-use
 management. Science of the Total Environment, 382, 165-190.
- 569 Dedysh, S. N. (2002). Methanotrophic Bacteria of Acidic Sphagnum Peat Bogs. *Microbiology*, 71,
 570 638-650.
- 571 Dennis, P., Elston, D., Evans, D. M., Evans, S. A., Gordon, I. J., Grant, M., Kunaver, A., Marquiss,
- 572 M., Mayes, R., McCracken, D. I., Pakeman, R., Pearce-Higgins, J., Redpath, S. M., Skartveit,
- 573 J., Stephen, L., Benton, T. & Bryant, D. (2005). *Effects of grazing management on upland bird*
- 574 populations: disentangling habitat structure and arthropod food supply at appropriate spatial scales
- 575 (*GRUB*). Final report to Scottish Executive Environment and Rural Affairs Department. Macaulay
- 576 Land Use Research Institute, Aberdeen, UK.
- 577 Dorrepaal, E., Toet, S., Van Logtestijn, R. S. P., Swart, E., Van De Weg, M. J., Callaghan, T. V. &
- 578 Aerts, R. (2009). Carbon respiration from subsurface peat accelerated by climate warming in the
- 579 subarctic. *Nature*, 460, 616-619.
- 580 Emmett, B.A., Griffith, B., Williams, D., Williams, B. (2007) Interactions between grazing and
- 581 nitrogen deposition at Pwllpeiran. In: UKREATE (2007) Terrestrial Umbrella: Effects of
- 582 Eutrophication and Acidification on Terrestrial Ecosystems. CEH Contract Report. Defra Contract
- 583 No. CPEA 18.

- 584 Fanin, N., Hättenschwiler, S., Schimann, H. & Fromin, N. (2015). Interactive effects of C, N and P
- 585 fertilization on soil microbial community structure and function in an Amazonian rain forest.
- 586 *Functional Ecology*, 29, 140-150.
- Fernández-Calviño, D. & Bååth, E. (2010). Growth response of the bacterial community to pH in soils
 differing in pH. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 73, 149-156.
- 589 Fowler, D., O'Donoghue, M., Muller, J. B. A., Smith, R. I., Dragosits, U., Skiba, U., Sutton, M. A. &
- 590 Brimblecombe, P. (2004). A Chronology of Nitrogen Deposition in the UK Between 1900 and 2000.
- 591 Water, Air, & Soil Pollution: Focus, 4, 9-23.
- Galloway, J.N., Dentener, F.J., Capone, D.G., Boyer, E.W. and Howarth, R.W. (2004) Nitrogen
 cycles: past, present and future. *Biogeochemistry*, 70: 153–226.
- Green, S. M. & Baird, A. J. (2012). A mesocosm study of the role of the sedge Eriophorum
 angustifolium in the efflux of methane including that due to episodic ebullition from peatlands. *Plant and Soil*, 351, 207-218.
- 597 House, J. I., Orr, H. G., Clark, J. M., Gallego-Sala, A. V., Freeman, C., Prentice, I. C. & Smith, P.
- 598 (2010). Climate change and the British Uplands: evidence for decision-making. *Climate Research*, 45,
 599 3-12.
- IBM Corp. Released, 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. IBM Corp., Armonk,NY.
- Imer, D., Merbold, L., Eugster, W. & Buchmann, N. (2013). Temporal and spatial variations of soil
 CO2, CH4 and N2O fluxes at three differently managed grasslands. *Biogeosciences*, 10, 5931-5945.
- 604 IPCC (2013) *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis*. Cambridge University Press,
 605 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Janssens, I. A., Dieleman, W., Luyssaert, S., Subke, J. A., Reichstein, M., Ceulemans, R., Ciais, P.,
- Dolman, A. J., Grace, J., Matteucci, G., Papale, D., Piao, S. L., Schulze, E. D., Tang, J. & Law, B. E.
 (2010). Reduction of forest soil respiration in response to nitrogen deposition. *Nature Geosci*, *3*, 315-
- 609 <u>322</u>.
- Joabsson, A., Christensen, T. R. & Wallén, B. (1999). Vascular plant controls on methane emissions
 from northern peatforming wetlands. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 14, 385-388.
- Jones, A. G., & Power, S. A. (2012). Field-scale evaluation of effects of nitrogen deposition on the
 functioning of heathland ecosystems. *Journal of Ecology*, *100*(2), 331-342.
- Juutinen, S., Bubier, J. & Moore, T. (2010). Responses of Vegetation and Ecosystem CO₂ Exchange
 to 9 years of nutrient addition at Mer Bleue Bog. *Ecosystems*, 13, 874-887.
- 616 Keller, J. K., Bauers, A. K., Bridgham, S. D., Kellogg, L. E. & Iversen, C. M. (2006). Nutrient control
- of microbial carbon cycling along an ombrotrophic-minerotrophic peatland gradient. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 111, n/a-n/a.
- 619 Knorr, M., Frey, S. D., & Curtis, P. S. (2005). Nitrogen additions and litter decomposition: A
- 620 meta-analysis. *Ecology*, 86(12), 3252-3257.

- Knorr, W., Prentice, I. C., House, J. I. & Holland, E. A. (2005). Long-term sensitivity of soil carbon
 turnover to warming. *Nature*, 433, 298-301.
- Litton, C. M., Raich, J. W. & Ryan, M. G. (2007). Carbon allocation in forest ecosystems. *Global Change Biology*, 13, 2089-2109.
- Liu, L., Gundersen, P., Zhang, T. & Mo, J. (2012). Effects of phosphorus addition on soil microbial
- biomass and community composition in three forest types in tropical China. *Soil Biology and*
- 627 *Biochemistry*, 44, 31-38.
- Liu, L., Zhang, T., Gilliam, F. S., Gundersen, P., Zhang, W., Chen, H. & Mo, J. (2013). Interactive
- Effects of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on Soil Microbial Communities in a Tropical Forest. *PLoS ONE*,8, e61188.
- 631 Livingston, G.P. & Hutchinson, G.L. (1995). Enclosure-based measurement of trace gas exchange:
- applications and sources of error. p. 14–51. In: Matson, P.A. & Harriss, R.C. (ed.) *Methods in*
- 633 Ecology. Biogenic trace gases: Measuring emissions from soil and water. Blackwell Science, Malden,
- 634 MA.
 - Mack, M. C., Schuur, E. A. G., Bret-Harte, M. S., Shaver, G. R. & Chapin, F. S. (2004). Ecosystem
 carbon storage in arctic tundra reduced by long-term nutrient fertilization. *Nature*, 431, 440-443.
 - 637 McEwing, K. R., Fisher, J. P., & Zona, D. (2015). Environmental and vegetation controls on the
 - 638 spatial variability of CH_4 emission from wet-sedge and tussock tundra ecosystems in the Arctic. *Plant* 639 *and Soil*, 1-16.
 - McNamara, N. P., Plant, T., Oakley, S., Ward, S., Wood, C. & Ostle, N. (2008). Gully hotspot
 - 641 contribution to landscape methane (CH4) and carbon dioxide (CO2) fluxes in a northern peatland.
 642 *Science of the Total Environment*, 404, 354-360.
 - Nye, P.H. & Tinker, P.B.H., (1977). Solute Movement in the Soil-Root System. Blackwell Science
 Ltd., Oxford, UK.
 - 645 Parkin, T.B. & Venterea, R.T. (2010). Sampling Protocols. Chapter 3. Chamber-Based Trace Gas
 - 646 Flux Measurements. IN Sampling Protocols. R.F. Follett, editor. p. 3-1 to 3-39. Available at:
 - 647 www.ars.usda.gov/research/GRACEnet.
 - 648 Peñuelas, J., Poulter, B., Sardans, J., Ciais, P., Van Der Velde, M., Bopp, L., Boucher, O., Godderis,
 - 649 Y., Hinsinger, P., Llusia, J., Nardin, E., Vicca, S., Obersteiner, M. & Janssens, I. A. (2013). Human-
 - 650 induced nitrogen–phosphorus imbalances alter natural and managed ecosystems across the globe.
 - 651 *Nature Communications*, 4, 2934.
 - Phoenix, G. K., Emmett, B. A., Britton, A. J., Caporn, S. J. M., Dise, N. B., Helliwell, R., Jones, L.,
- Leake, J. R., Leith, I. D., Sheppard, L. J., Sowerby, A., Pilkington, M. G., Rowe, E. C., Ashmore, M.
 R. & Power, S. A. (2012). Impacts of atmospheric nitrogen deposition: responses of multiple plant
- and soil parameters across contrasting ecosystems in long-term field experiments. *Global Change*
- 656 Biology, 18, 1197-1215.
- 657 Phoenix, G. K., Hicks, W. K., Cinderby, S., Kuylenstierna, J. C. I., Stock, W. D., Dentener, F. J.,
- 658 Giller, K. E., Austin, A. T., Lefroy, R. D. B., Gimeno, B. S., Ashmore, M. R. & Ineson, P. (2006).

- Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: the need for a greater global
- 660 perspective in assessing N deposition impacts. *Global Change Biology*, 12, 470-476.
- Power, S. A., Green, E. R., Barker, C. G., Bell, J. N. B. & Ashmore, M. R. (2006). Ecosystem
- recovery: heathland response to a reduction in nitrogen deposition. *Global Change Biology*, 12, 1241-1252.
- Raich, J. & Tufekciogul, A. (2000). Vegetation and soil respiration: Correlations and controls. *Biogeochemistry*, 48, 71-90.
- Ramirez, K. S., Craine, J. M. & Fierer, N. (2010). Nitrogen fertilization inhibits soil microbial
 respiration regardless of the form of nitrogen applied. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42, 2336-2338.
- Robroek, B. J. M., Jassey, V. E. J., Kox, M. A. R., Berendsen, R. L., Mills, R. T. E., Cécillon, L.,
- Puissant, J., Meima-Franke, M., Bakker, P. A. H. M. & Bodelier, P. L. E. (2015). Peatland vascular
 plant functional types affect methane dynamics by altering microbial community structure. *Journal of Ecology*, 103, 925-934.
- - RoTAP (2012). *Review of Transboundary Air Pollution: Acidification, Eutrophication, Ground Level Ozone and Heavy Metals in the UK.* Contract Report for the Department for Environment, Food and
 - 674 Rural Affairs. Centre for Ecology & Hydrology.
 - 675 Rousk, J., Baath, E., Brookes, P. C., Lauber, C. L., Lozupone, C., Caporaso, J. G., Knight, R. &
 - Fierer, N. (2010). Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. *ISME*J, 4, 1340-1351.
 - 678 Smith, K. A., Ball, T., Conen, F., Dobbie, K. E., Massheder, J. & Rey, A. (2003). Exchange of
 - 679 greenhouse gases between soil and atmosphere: interactions of soil physical factors and biological
 - 680 processes. European Journal of Soil Science, 54, 779-791.
 - Song, C., Yang, G., Liu, D. & Mao, R. (2012). Phosphorus availability as a primary constraint on
 methane emission from a freshwater wetland. *Atmospheric Environment*, 59, 202-206.
 - 683 Stiles, W. A. V., Rowe, E. C. & Dennis, P. (2017). Long-term nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment 684 alters vegetation species composition and reduces carbon storage in upland soil. *Science of the Total*
 - 685 Environment, 593–594, 688-694.
 - Sundh, I., Nilsson, M., Mikkelä, C., Granberg, G. & Svensson, B. H. (2000). Fluxes of Methane and
 Carbon Dioxide on Peat-Mining Areas in Sweden. *Ambio*, 29, 499-503.
 - Thomas, C. D., Cameron, A., Green, R. E., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L. J., Collingham, Y. C.,
 - 689 Erasmus, B. F. N., De Siqueira, M. F., Grainger, A., Hannah, L., Hughes, L., Huntley, B., Van
 - Jaarsveld, A. S., Midgley, G. F., Miles, L., Ortega-Huerta, M. A., Townsend Peterson, A., Phillips, O.
 - L. & Williams, S. E. (2004). Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature*, 427, 145-148.
 - 692 UK Meteorological Office (n.d.). *UKCP09: Gridded observation data sets*. Available from
 - 693 http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climatechange/science/monitoring /ukcp09/).
 - 694 Van Winden, J. F., Reichart, G.-J., Mcnamara, N. P., Benthien, A. & Damsté, J. S. S. (2012).
 - Temperature-Induced Increase in Methane Release from Peat Bogs: A Mesocosm Experiment. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e39614.

- 697 Vitousek, P., Mooney, H., Lubchenco, J. & Melillo, J. (2008). Human Domination of Earth's
- Ecosystems. In: Marzluff, J., Shulenberger, E., Endlicher, W., Alberti, M., Bradley, G., Ryan, C., 698 699 Simon, U. & Zumbrunnen, C. (eds.) Urban Ecology. Springer US.
- 700 Wallage, Z. E., Holden, J. & Mcdonald, A. T. (2006). Drain blocking: An effective treatment for
- 701 reducing dissolved organic carbon loss and water discolouration in a drained peatland. Science of The 702 Total Environment, 367, 811-821.
- Walther, G.-R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T. J. C., Fromentin, J.-M., 703
- 704 Hoegh-Guldberg, O. & Bairlein, F. (2002). Ecological responses to recent climate change. Nature, 416, 389-395.
- 705
- 706 Ward, S. E., Bardgett, R. D., Mcnamara, N. P., Adamson, J. K. & Ostle, N. J. (2007). Long-term 707 consequences of grazing and burning on northern peatland carbon dynamics. Ecosystems, 10, 1069-708 1083.
- 709 Ward, S. E., Ostle, N. J., Oakley, S., Quirk, H., Henrys, P. A. & Bardgett, R. D. (2013). Warming
- 710 effects on greenhouse gas fluxes in peatlands are modulated by vegetation composition. Ecology
- 711 Letters, 16, 1285-1293.
- 712 Worrall, F., Burt, T. & Adamson, J. (2004). Can climate change explain increases in DOC flux from 713 upland peat catchments? Science of the Total Environment, 326, 95-112.
- 714 Wu, Y., Blodau, C., Moore, T. R., Bubier, J., Juutinen, S. & Larmola, T. (2015). Effects of
- 715 experimental nitrogen deposition on peatland carbon pools and fluxes: a modelling analysis. 716 Biogeosciences, 12, 79-101.
- 717 Xu, L., Furtaw, M. D., Madsen, R. A., Garcia, R. L., Anderson, D. J. & Mcdermitt, D. K. (2006). On
- maintaining pressure equilibrium between a soil CO2 flux chamber and the ambient air. Journal of 718
- 719 Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 111.
- 720 Xu, X., Shi, Z., Li, D., Zhou, X., Sherry, R. A. & Luo, Y. (2015). Plant community structure regulates 721 responses of prairie soil respiration to decadal experimental warming. Global Change Biology, 21, 722 3846-3853.
- 723 Yu, Z., Loisel, J., Brosseau, D. P., Beilman, D. W. & Hunt, S. J. (2010). Global peatland dynamics 724 since the Last Glacial Maximum. Geophysical Research Letters, 37, 13402.
- 725 Zhang, X. B., Xu, M. G., Sun, N., Wang, X. J., Wu, L., Wang, B. R. & Li, D. C. (2013). How do
- environmental factors and different fertilizer strategies affect soil CO2 emission and carbon 726
- 727 sequestration in the upland soils of southern China? Applied Soil Ecology, 72, 109-118.
- 728 Zhang, T., Zhu, W., Mo, J., Liu, L. & Dong, S. (2011). Increased phosphorus availability mitigates
- 729 the inhibition of nitrogen deposition on CH4 uptake in an old-growth tropical forest, southern China.
- 730 Biogeosciences, 8, 2805-2813.