

Aberystwyth University

Causal factors or oral versus locomotor stereotype in the horse Roberts, Kirsty; Hemmings, Andrew ; McBride, Sebastian; Parker, Matthew

Published in: Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research

DOI: 10.1016/j.jveb.2017.05.003

Publication date: 2017

Citation for published version (APA): Roberts, K., Hemmings, A., McBride, S., & Parker, M. (2017). Causal factors or oral versus locomotor stereotype in the horse. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research*, 20, 37-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2017.05.003

Document License CC BY-NC-ND

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk

| 1 | Causal Factors of Oral versus Locomotor Stereotypy in the Horse |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Kirsty Roberts ¹ , Andrew J. Hemmings ¹ , Sebastian D. McBride ² , & Matthew O. |
| 4 | Parker ³ |
| 5 | ¹ Royal Agricultural University, Stroud Road, Cirencester, Gloucestershire, GL7 |
| 6 | 6JS |
| 7 | |
| 8 | ² Aberystwyth University, Penglais, Aberystwyth, Ceredigion, SY23 3DA |
| 9 | |
| 10 | ³ School of Health Sciences and Social Work, University of Portsmouth, James |
| 11 | Watson West building, 2 King Richard 1st Road, Portsmouth, Hampshire, PO1 |
| 12 | 2FR |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Corresponding Author: K. Roberts Tel: 01285 652531. |
| 15 | kirsty.roberts@student.rau.ac.uk |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Abstract |
| 18 | Stereotypic behaviours are commonly observed in domestic equids, as they are in |
| 19 | a range of captive, non-domesticated species. Estimates suggest that 19.5- |
| 20 | 32.5% of horses perform a stereotypy. The presence of these behaviours is |
| 21 | thought to indicate sub-optimal welfare status, and can result in secondary |
| 22 | physical pathologies such as colic, ligament strain and incisor wear. Relatively |
| 23 | little is understood about the aetiologies of oral and locomotor stereotypies. |
| 24 | Seemingly disparate causal factors have been proposed including gastric |
| 25 | pathology, neural adaption and genetic predisposition. In this review we propose a |

model of causality which presents separate pathways to the development and 26 continuation of oral behaviours such as crib-biting, compared to locomotor 27 alternatives (i.e., weaving). The word 'stereotypy' has alarmingly negative 28 connotation among horse keepers. Stereotypic behaviours are often viewed as 29 'vices' and so a number of horse owners and establishments attempt to physically 30 prevent the behaviour with harsh mechanical devices. Such interventions can 31 result in chronic stress, and be further detrimental to equine welfare. Stereotypy 32 has been proposed to be a stress coping mechanism. However, firm evidence of 33 34 coping function has proven elusive. Stereotypy a range of serious welfare issues remain. This review will explore management options directed at both prophylaxis 35 and remediation. 36

37 Keywords: crib-biting; weaving; striatum; dopamine.

38 Introduction to Equine Stereotypy

Stereotypic behaviours are repetitive, invariant (Pell and McGreevy, 1999; 39 McBride and Hemmings, 2005; Ninomiya et al., 2007), idiosyncratic (Parker et al., 40 2009) and induced by motivational frustration (Mason, 2006), repeated attempts to 41 cope or central nervous system dysfunction (McBride and Hemmings, 2009; 42 McBride and Parker, 2015). Crib-biting is an oral stereotypy, in which the animal 43 grasps a surface at chest height with the incisors, pulling back creating an arch 44 45 with the neck (Moeller et al., 2008; McBride and Hemmings, 2009; Wickens and 46 Heleski, 2010) accompanied by the sucking of air into the proximal oesophageal region, creating an audible grunting sound (Nicol et al., 2002; Moeller et al., 2008; 47 McBride and Hemmings, 2009; Wickens and Heleski, 2010). Weaving is a 48 locomotor stereotypy, defined as the repetitive weight shift from one forelimb to 49 50 the other, often combined with lateral swaying of the head (Cooper et al., 2000; 51 McBride and Hemmings, 2005). Box-walking, also a locomotor stereotypic behaviour, is the repetitive circular walking of the stable (McBride and Hemmings, 52 2009). 53

54

55 The extent of stereotypy manifestation would appear to differ between studies 56 dependant upon factors such as stereotypy type, breed and performance 57 discipline. For example, utilising a questionnaire based methodology McGreevy et

al. (1995) reported that the prevalence of stereotypy ranged from 19.5% to 32.5% 58 in horses from dressage, eventing and endurance backgrounds. A previous 59 review calculated that 4.3% of horses perform the oral stereotypy, crib-biting, 60 compared to 3.25% and 2.2%, respectively of horses that perform the locomotor 61 stereotypies weaving and box-walking based upon previous published study (see 62 McBride and Hemmings, 2009). Direct observations indicate that guestionnaire-63 based estimates of stereotypy may be conservative (Cooper et al., 2000). 64 Furthermore, certain breeds are more susceptible to stereotypy than others, 65 66 indicating a genetic component to the development of these behaviours in the horse (Bachmann et al., 2003a; Albright et al., 2009; Wickens and Heleski, 2010). 67 Thoroughbred horses are thought to be 3.1 times (Bachmann et al., 2003a), and 68 warmbloods 1.8 times (Wickens and Heleski, 2010), more likely to perform crib-69 biting behaviour than other breeds. The thoroughbred is also thought to be more 70 at risk of performing weaving behaviour (Ninomiya et al., 2007). It could be 71 argued, however that thoroughbred and warmblood horses are utilised more 72 greatly in performance disciplines, and that the increase prevalence of stereotypy 73 observed in these breeds is a manifestation of their more intense management 74 75 regimes.

Other abnormal behaviours of the horse which by some are considered 76 77 stereotypic include oral behaviours such as tongue-flicking and wind-sucking, and locomotor behaviours, for instance pawing (Marsden, 2002; Cooper and 78 Albentosa, 2005). Prevalence estimates for these behaviours remain largely 79 unknown, and further investigation is warranted. Whether these abnormal 80 behaviours can strictly be classified as stereotypic according to the widely 81 82 accepted definition of stereotypy (above) is questionable, therefore this review will focus primarily upon the three motor anomalies (crib-biting, weaving and box 83 walking) that reliably fit the commonly held definition. 84

85

Stereotypic behaviours are often viewed as 'vices' (McBride & Long, 2001), and are associated with health complications. For example, crib-biting results in excessive wear of the incisors, (McBride and Hemmings, 2009) and has been proposed by some authors to increase the likelihood of colic (Archer et al., 1998),

although the underlying pathologic mechanisms are unknown. Weaving and box 90 walking have been associated with secondary muscle fatigue (Ninomiya et al., 91 2007). Weaving is linked to weight loss (Mills and Davenport, 2002) and leg 92 swelling, and may ultimately result in lameness (Cooper et al., 2000). It is perhaps 93 because of these health impacts that there is a 37% reduction of monetary value 94 of stereotypy performing animals (Marsden, 2002; see also Williams and Randle -95 this issue). Establishments including riding schools, racing and competition yards, 96 do not allow stereotypy performing animals onto the premises due to 97 unsubstantiated anecdotal belief that these behaviours are 'copied' from 98 stereotypy performing neighbours (Cooper and Albentosa, 2005). As such, 74% 99 riding schools, racing and competition yards investigated attempt to physically 100 prevent the behaviour (McBride and Long, 2001). Surgical procedures such as a 101 neurectomy or a myectomy, or the use of crib-straps or cribbing rings are 102 designed to prevent crib-biting behaviour (McBride and Long, 2001; McBride and 103 Hemmings, 2009; Albright et al., 2015). Despite their severity, these preventative 104 measures are not always effective (McBride and Hemmings, 2009), though in 105 some cases can result in a reduction in crib-biting behaviour (Albright et al., 2015). 106 107 Owners of weaving horses often utilise anti-weaving bars (McBride and Long, 2001), so the horse is unable to put the head outside of the stable to conduct the 108 109 behaviour (McAfee et al., 2002; McBride and Hemmings, 2009). This is often unsuccessful as horses continue to weave within the confines of the stable 110 (McBride & Hemmings, 2009). Should the purpose of stereotypy be to provide a 111 coping mechanism for the individual, the physical prevention of these behaviours 112 could lead to further stress induced pathology (McGreevy and Nicol, 1998; 113 McAfee et al., 2002; Hemmings et al., 2004; Houpt, 2012; Freymond et al., 2015). 114 Indeed, following restriction of oral stereotypy with the use of a cribbing collar or 115 surgical methods, crib-biting horses were less able to cope during a stress test in 116 comparison to their counterparts who were not restricted from performing the crib-117 biting response (Nagy et al., 2009). Underlying causal and contributory issues for 118 stereotypic behavior, e.g. poor environmental conditions, are seldom addressed, 119 and may not be known (Cooper and Mason, 1998; Cooper and Albentosa, 2005; 120 Nagy et al., 2009). We consider putative causal factors leading to stereotypy 121 manifestation and suggest separate developmental mechanisms for oral and 122 locomotory stereotypy of the horse. 123

125 Equine Oral Stereotypy: The Gastric Hypothesis

Gastric inflammation is common in crib-biting horses (Nicol et al., 2002; Cooper & 126 127 Albentosa, 2005), suggesting that gastrointestinal discomfort may be linked to the development of this behaviour. Lending credence to this notion is the finding that 128 crib-biting is a predominantly post-prandial response (McBride & Hemmings, 129 2004). Horses evolved to consume a forage based diet, with approximately 16-130 131 18h of the 24h time budget utilised for mastication in the wild (Cooper et al., 2005), during which 35-40 litres of alkaline saliva is produced (Nicol et al., 2002; 132 Moeller et al., 2008; Nagy et al., 2010). Domesticated horses tend to be fed highly 133 palatable cereal based concentrate feeds to meet high energy requirements 134 (Hemmings et al., 2007; Albright et al., 2009; McBride and Hemmings, 2009; 135 Whisher et al., 2011) which reduces mastication, resulting in decreased saliva 136 production and increased acidity in the foregut (Nicol et al., 2002; Cooper and 137 Albentosa, 2005; Hemmings et al., 2007). This increased acidity may result in 138 gastric discomfort. Indeed, Nicol et al (2002) examined the equine gastric 139 environment endoscopically, comparing those which crib-bite and those who did 140 not exhibit oral stereotypy. Those who performed crib-biting demonstrated much 141 more stomach ulceration. Further study may wish to examine the gastric lining of 142 crib-biting animals and non-crib-biting animals kept under the same management 143 and feeding regimes, to truly dissect the gastric hypothesis of oral stereotypy. 144 Thus it has been hypothesized that the crib-biting response may attempt to 145 146 replicate the mastication process to stimulate salivary production (Nicol et al., 2002; Hemmings et al., 2007; Moeller et al., 2008; Hothersall and Casey, 2012). 147 148 Saliva produced during crib-biting is similar in pH to saliva produced during mastication (Moeller et al., 2008), which supports this idea. The function of crib-149 biting could be to buffer the stomach in an attempt to counteract gastric pain 150 (Moeller et al., 2008) or acidosis of the hind-gut, and such a mechanism would be 151 consistent with the significant increase in crib-biting response 2-8 hours after 152 feeding (Clegg et al., 2008). 153

154

Evidence for this hypothesis includes that the addition of antacids to feed to modulate gastric pH resulted in a significant reduction of observed crib-biting

(Mills and MacLeod, 2002; Nagy et al., 2010), and improved stomach lining 157 condition (Nicol et al., 2002). These positive results could also be attributed to 158 increased mastication of a feed, given a lower palatability following the addition of 159 powdered supplement.; this theory does require confirmation however. Resultant 160 increases in saliva would then lead to more effective gastric buffering (Johnson et 161 al., 1998). Cooper et al., (2005) found that increasing meal frequency also 162 resulted in a significant reduction in the crib-biting response, perhaps due to the 163 increased time taken to consume the ration, allowing a more effective buffering 164 effect of the saliva. Ad lib feeding studies have also produced mixed results (Fenn 165 et al., 2008; McCall et al., 2009) suggesting that the role of feeding regime in 166 stereotypy development requires further research. 167

168

Archer et al. (2008) provided support for the gut based hypothesis of crib-biting. 169 (Archer et al., 2008). Indeed, Archer et al. (2008) identified a strong, positive 170 association between presence of crib-biting and risk of developing colic. Whether 171 this relationship is causal or correlational is unknown (Cooper and Mason, 1998). 172 An episode of colic may result in chronic stress, an area of study that certainly 173 174 requires further investigation. Chronic stress in rodents contributes to sensitisation of the dopaminergic midbrain and striatum in a genotype dependent 175 manner (Cabib et al., 1998) which has been hypothesized as a precursor for 176 stereotypy manifestation (McBride and Hemmings 2005). Colic could be an 177 initiating factor rather than an effect of crib-biting if the same processes occur in 178 horses. 179

180

To summarize, experimental evidence supports some link between feeding, gastric discomfort and oral stereotypy, but it is currently difficult to conclude whether stereotypy is an ameliorative response to stomach pathology, the outcome of neural sensitisation induced by gastric stress, or an interaction between these factors.

186

187 Equine Oral Stereotypy: The Dopaminergic Hypothesis

188 Chronic stress can have a significant influence on dopamine physiology, 189 particularly within the striatal brain regions (McBride and Hemmings, 2005).

Stressors commonly associated with stereotypy development such as feed 190 restriction and social isolation induce significant alterations to dopamine receptor 191 function in rodent models of spontaneous stereotypy (Cabib et al., 1998). Similar 192 changes were also observed in crib-biting horses by McBride and Hemmings 193 (2005) who found that D1 and D2 receptor densities were significantly increased 194 within the nucleus accumbens (NAcc), which is associated with sensitisation to 195 dopamine release within this ventral region. In contrast, D1 receptor density and D2 196 receptor affinity was reduced within the caudate nucleus, indicating reduced output 197 198 of this dorsal striatal structure in crib biting horses (McBride and Hemmings, 2005). This study could not demonstrate whether these changes were present prior to the 199 emergence of crib-biting behaviour, or were as a result of crib-biting, but activity the 200 midbrain-striatum pathway is relevant for crib-biting horses. Changes within this 201 anatomical and neurochemical system may affect other aspects of the horse's 202 behavioural repertoire. The caudate nucleus is crucial to the process of action-203 outcome monitoring. In rodent models of caudate inactivation, animals exhibit habit 204 formation (i.e., preferentially utilize a habitual response) far quicker than control 205 rodents (Yin et al., 2005). Similar acceleration in habit formation may be observed 206 207 in horses performing stereotypy. A cross maze test was examined striatal circuitry within a sample of crib-biting versus control animals (Parker et al., 2009). Parker 208 209 and his co-workers observed that crib-biting animals demonstrated an accelerated preference for a 'response' rather than a 'place' strategy, and as such were 210 preferentially utilising a habitual response rather than action-outcome monitoring. 211 This finding suggests that there is decreased output of the caudate nucleus, 212 resulting in an increased reliance on the sensorimotor putamen circuitry, resulting 213 in accelerated habit formation (Parker et al., 2008; 2009). Receptor based 214 alterations recorded by McBride and Hemmings (2005) may be probed using 215 carefully designed cognitive testing. Given the financial, logistical and ethical 216 dimensions of direct physiological measurements, cognitive tests have the potential 217 to significantly extend knowledge of stereotypy and associated neuro-mechanics. 218 Roberts et al. (2015) a proposed two basic inferred measures of dopamine 219 transmission consisting of spontaneous eye blink rate (SBR) and behavioural 220 initiation rate (BIR). Both were measured in triplicate over 30 minutes, SBR values 221 were obtained via counting of full blinks in the left eye at rest, where BIR records 222

the number of behavioural initiations i.e. the number of new behaviours performed,also at rest.

225

226 Crib-biting horses demonstrated significantly decreased SBR, consistent with studies that suggest lowered blink rate is indicative of dopamine receptor 227 sensitisation (Roebel and MacLean, 2007; Roberts et al., 2015). This result also 228 agrees with the receptor work conducted in the horse (McBride and Hemmings, 229 230 2005). The significantly increased BIR appears to indicate adaptations within the dopamine circuitry of crib-biting animals, due to dominance of the movement 231 232 activating direct pathway over the movement inhibiting indirect pathway (Roberts et al., 2015). Both the SBR and the BIR data appear to reflect the significant 233 234 adaptations of dopaminergic physiology previously recorded in crib-biting animals. Further longitudinal study should reveal the scope of SBR and BIR and may 235 identify individuals predisposed to stereotypy development. If predictive potential 236 is revealed, given the pivotal role of chronic stress in stereotypy development, the 237 elimination of key stressors such as feed restriction and social isolation could 238 effectively reduce the risk that neural adaptations to receptor populations develop. 239 Insult to the gastric mucosa may also be associated with significant nociceptive 240 signalling to the CNS. Pain leads to liberation of neuropeptides such as beta 241 endorphin, which bind to mu receptor populations in the ventral tegmental area 242 (VTA), and contribute significantly to neuroplasticity in striatal brain regions (see 243 McBride and Hemmings 2009 for review). Therefore, a mechanism is proposed by 244 which a variety of environmental stressors lead to the neural changes that 245 underlie the emergence of stereotypy. 246

247

248 2.3 Equine Locomotor Stereotypy: Potential Aetiologies

Little work has been done on the specific aetiology of equine locomotor stereotypy. McBride and Hemmings (2004) and Cooper and Albentosa (2005) suggested that weaving is a pre-prandial response to highly palatable concentrate feed, and others propose weaving occurs in response to high environmental activity and anticipation (Cooper et al., 2000; Clegg et al., 2008).

Cooper et al. (2005) noted that the weaving response was significantly amplified 255 when concentrate meal frequency was increased. Interestingly, the control horses 256 whose meal frequency was not altered also performed an increase in locomotor 257 stereotypies when the experimental group were given their concentrate ration. 258 This may have been due to increased motivation to feed, suggesting that 259 locomotor stereotypy may well be an anticipatory response. This reasoning is 260 consistent with the pre-prandial nature of weaving behaviour (Cooper et al., 2005; 261 McBride and Parker, 2015). 262

263

Absence of social interaction has previously been associated with locomotor 264 stereotypic behaviour (Cooper et al., 2000; McAfee et al., 2002; Mills and 265 Reizebos, 2005), an important observation given that horses are by nature social 266 animals When stable designs were adapted to allow the horses displaying a 267 stereotypy to observe other horses, the weaving response was significantly 268 reduced (Cooper et al., 2000). This result agrees with a recent study indicating that 269 adaptation of management regimes to include environmental enrichment such as 270 increasing contact with conspecifics resulted in a positive cognitive bias, i.e. an 271 improvement in affective state, in ambiguous situations (Löckener et al., 2016). 272 273 Simulation of social behaviours using a stable mirror was also associated with 274 reducing the weaving response (McAfee et al., 2002). It's unknown whether the reduction was resultant from a perceived increase in social interaction or simply a 275 276 distracting stimuli, and as such requires further investigation (McAfee et al., 2002). Mills and Reizebos (2005) attempted to resolve the relative potential roles of 277 278 social interaction versus distraction. When a poster with a two dimensional image 279 of a horse was present within the stable, the weaving response was significantly reduced (Mills and Reizebos, 2005). This result may suggest that the reduction in 280 weaving response results from simulation of social behaviours. If so, weaving 281 282 may be linked to social contact, though the potential distracting effect of a novel object may also have resulted in this reduction of weaving behaviour. 283

284

Weaving behaviour has also been attributed to lack of exercise (Cooper and 285 Mason, 1998). Weaving decreases with increased turnout and exercise (Cooper 286 et al., 2000). It is estimated that free-ranging horses take approximately 10,000 287 strides as part of their normal feeding regime within a social group per day. This 288 amount of exercise is a stark contrast to the confined stable situations under 289 which domesticated horses often live (Sarrafchi and Blokhuis, 2013). Increased 290 turnout also increases the opportunity for social interaction and improved grazing 291 activity. In this scenario social, exercise and nutritional requirements are met. 292 293 thereby the impetus for performing weaving behaviour is removed.

294

One recent study sought to investigate the potential neural mechanisms governing 295 weaving (Roberts et al., 2015). The SBR of the weaving animals was statistically 296 similar to the control group, but significantly increased when compared to the 297 crib-biting horses. SBR is believed to primarily controlled by midbrain projecting 298 areas originating in the substantia nigra, and terminating in the dorsal striatum 299 (see Karson 1983 for review). This finding suggests that dorsal striatal mechanics 300 are comparable to stereotypy-free control horses. Approach latency and task 301 acquisition were significantly faster in the weaving group. Heightened locomotion 302 (reflected in reduced approach latency) and faster task acquisition are largely 303 under ventral striatal control (see Robbins and Sahaikian, 1983 and Yin and 304 Knowlton, 2006 for respective reviews) suggesting elevated ventral activity and 305 normal functioning at the level of the dorsal striatum. This enhanced ventral 306 307 striatal functioning is further supported by a lack of habitual responding in weaving animals, even after significant repetition of the operant response. 308

309

310 3.0 Motivational Basis of Stereotypic Behaviour

An understanding of motivational state during the development and ongoing performance of stereotypy is important. Hughes and Duncan (1988) proposed a generalised model (see Figure 1) to explain the motivational basis of a broad range of behaviours whereby in response to organism variables (i.e., declining blood glucose) the animal becomes motivated to perform a consummatory goal (i.e., feeding) and thus appetitive strategies ensue. As an example, a horse may

have access to two fields, though preferentially graze in one field. When this field 317 has been grazed, the horse may experience reduced blood glucose levels. At this 318 point the consummatory goal is to graze. The appetitive behaviour is to get 319 additional food by moving from the first field to the adjoining field. The appetitive 320 phase has a positive feedback effect on motivation, and is therefore self-321 reinforcing i.e., appetitive behaviours increase the motivation to continue to 322 perform appetitive behaviours until the consummatory goal has been met, in this 323 case ingesting grass from the neighbouring field. The achievement of the 324 325 consummatory goal has a number of effects: 1) functional consequence (e.g., elevated blood glucose), which leads to negative feedback on organism variables 326 with a subsequent effect on motivation; 2) direct feedback on motivation, initially 327 positive followed by negative; 3) an effect on perception of the animals 328 environment which again influences the underlying motivation of the behaviour. 329

330

FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE

331

In the context of the Hughes and Duncan (1988) model, stereotypies have been 332 described as being appetitive in origin because the restrictive nature of the 333 animals' environment prevents the consummatory goal from being attained. Thus 334 a number of appetitive behaviours are being attempted in an effort to reach the 335 336 consummatory goal. Lack of consummation and subsequent functional 337 consequence means that no negative feedback on motivation to perform appetitive behaviours occurs. Consequently, appetitive behaviours continue and 338 because they are self-reinforcing, the animal becomes locked in a positive feed-339 back loop. The restrictive nature of the environment 'channels' the behaviour into 340 a limited number of discrete acts performed repeatedly. Over time, these 341 frustrated appetitive behaviours evolve into stereotypic motor sequences. 342

343

This model can now be updated to incorporate findings from recent studies investigating locomotor versus oral stereotypy. Weaving seems to fit the Hughes and Duncan model very well. Weaving animals are not pre-disposed to accelerated habit formation, but do experience increased appetitive drive, perhaps due to neural alterations that centre on ventral striatal circuitry (Roberts et al., 2015). Weaving ensues whenever the consummatory goal (e.g., grazing, social interaction) cannot be reached, though ceases when motivational end points (e.g., turnout, social interaction) are provided. Indeed, anecdotal observations appear to support this notion, as weaving animals seldom perform stereotypy when turned out to pasture.

354

355 Crib-biting persists despite achievement of the consummatory goal. The 356 recalcitrant nature of oral stereotypy reflects the tendency to rely on habitual 357 response patterns recorded in various investigations (Hemmings et al., 2007; 358 Parker et al., 2009). Indeed, minimal repetition of appetitive behaviour results in 359 transition to automatic habitual responding, divorced from conscious motivational 360 circuitry (see Figure 2).

- 361
- 362
- 363
- 364

FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE

The ramifications of this extended model for management are twofold. First, 365 weaving can potentially be reduced by providing free access to consummatory 366 end points such as feeding and social interaction. Conversely, due the neural 367 differences that render crib-biting animals prone to habitual response patterns, 368 these animals will display considerable resistance to environmental intervention. 369 370 Thus, crib-biting behaviour will persist despite apparent consummatory end points being reached. As such, a prophylactic approach to reducing occurrence of crib-371 372 biting behaviour is recommended, perhaps with the use of predictive tools such as SBR and BIR to identify predisposed animals and manage these animals 373 accordingly. 374

375

376

377 3.0 Conclusions

Crib-biting horses are initially in a high state of motivation, and as such attempt 378 appetitive behaviours (e.g., biting the stable door) in the face of poor 379 environmental conditions, particularly in relation to thwarted feeding behaviours 380 (e.g., lack of forage). Crib-biting is initially an appetitive behaviour and self-381 reinforcing. Alterations within the ventral and dorsal striatum as a result of stress 382 and / or gastric pain increases the acceleration of habitual responding in crib-383 biting animals. Thus the initial elevated motivation to perform crib-biting is 384 replaced with a habitual response pattern. As such, management regimes which 385 386 allow the consummatory goal to be achieved may not necessarily reduce cribbiting behaviour. Neural changes may also account for the post-prandial increase 387 in the crib-biting response. Following ingestion of palatable feed, an opioid 388 mediated release of dopamine within the already sensitised striatum (McBride and 389 Hemmings, 2005; Whisher et al., 2011) correlates with a significantly increased 390 rate of the crib-biting response (Bachmann et al., 2003b; Whisher et al., 2011). 391

392

The weaving response appears to result from alterations to the ventral striatum which lead to a highly motivated state, resulting in locomotor stereotypy due to an unattainable consummatory goal. Weaving animals do not exhibit an accelerated reliance on habitual response mechanisms, and as such management strategies (e.g., increasing turnout) to reduce performance of locomotor stereotypy is worth attempting, by ensuring that the horse's innate needs are met.

399

400 Finally, both oral and locomotor stereotypies of the horse appear to involve neuroplasticity at the level of the striatal group of brain structures. In rodent 401 402 species the function of these varies with genetic strain. The identification of genetic polymorphisms that may place horses at increased risk of stereotypy 403 development deserve more research. The technology now exists to enable in-404 depth genetic research strategies. Following identification of predisposed animals, 405 removal of key stressors will provide immense potential for prevention over and 406 above unpredictable remedial measures. 407

408

409 Conflict of interest statement: There are no conflicts of interest relevant to this410 manuscript

| 411 | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 412 | Ethical Approval: Not required |
| 413 | This invited review was written with an equal contribution of all stated authors. |
| 414 | |
| 415 | Reference List |
| 416 | |
| 417 | Albright JD, Mohammed HO, Heleski CR, Wickens CL, Houpt KA., 2009. Crib- |
| 418 | biting in US horses: breed predispositions and owner perceptions of aetiology. |
| 419 | Equine. Vet. J. 5, 455-8. |
| 420 | |
| 421 | Albright, J. D., Witte, T. H., Rohrbach, B. W., Reed, A., Houpt, K. A., 2015. |
| 422 | Efficacy and effects of various anti-crib devices on behaviour and physiology of |
| 423 | crib-biting horses. Equine Vet. J. 48(6), 727-731. |
| 424 | |
| 425 | Archer, D. C., Pinchbeck, G. L., French, N. P., Proudman, C. J., 2008. Risk |
| 426 | factors for epiploic foramen entrapment colic: an international study. Equine Vet. |
| 427 | J. 40(3), 224-230. |
| 428 | |
| 429 | Bachmann, I., Audigé, L., Stauffacher, M., 2003a. Risk factors associated with |
| 430 | behavioural disorders of crib-biting, weaving and box-walking in Swiss horses. |
| 431 | Equine Vet. J. 35(2), 158-163. |
| 432 | |
| 433 | Bachmann, I., Bernasconi, P., Herrmann, R., Weishaupt, M. A., Stauffacher, M., |
| 434 | 2003b. Behavioural and physiological responses to an acute stressor in crib-biting |
| 435 | and control horses. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 82(4), 297-311. |
| 436 | |
| 437 | Cabib, S., Giardino, L., Calza, L., Zanni, M., Mele, A., Puglisiallegra, S., 1998. |
| 438 | Stress promotes major changes in dopamine receptor densities within the |
| 439 | mesoaccumbens and nigrostriatal systems. Neuroscience. 84, 193-200. |
| 440 | |

Cabib, S., 2006. The Neurobiology of Stereotypy II: The Role of Stress. In: Mason,
G. & Rushen, J. eds. Stereotypic Animal Behaviour Fundamentals and
Applications to Welfare. 2nd ed. CABI International, Oxfordshire, pp. 227-255.

444

Clegg, H.A., Buckley, P., Friend, M.G., McGreevy, P.D., 2008. The ethological
and physiological characteristics of cribbing and weaving horses. Appl. Anim.
Behav. Sci. 109, 68-76.

448

Cooper, J.J., Albentosa, M.J., 2005. Behavioural adaptation in the domestic
horse: potential role of apparently abnormal responses including stereotypic
behaviour. Livest. Prod. Sci. 92(2), 177-182.

452

453 Cooper, J.J., Mason, G.J., 1998. The identification of abnormal behaviour and 454 behavioural problems in stabled horses and their relationship to horse welfare: a 455 comparative review. Equine Vet. J. 30(S27), 5-9.

456

457 Cooper, J.J., McCall, N., Johnson, S., Davidson, H.P.B., 2005. The short-term
458 effects of increasing meal frequency on stereotypic behaviour of stabled horses.
459 Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 90(3-4), 351-364.

460

461 Cooper, J.J., McDonald, L., Mills, D.S., 2000. The effect of increasing visual
462 horizons on stereotypic weaving: Implications for the social housing of stabled
463 horses. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 69, 67-83.

464

Fenn, T.R., McCall, C.A., Eckert, C.E., Brown, W.H., McElhenney, W.H., 2008.
Effect of ad libitum concentrate feeding on cribbing behaviour in horses. J. Anim.
Sci. 86(S2), 516.

| 469 | Freymond, S.B., Bardou, D., Briefer, E.F., Bruckmaier, R., Fouché, N., Fleury, J., |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 470 | Maigrot, A.L., Ramseyer, A., Zuberbühler, K., Bachmann, I., 2015. The |
| 471 | physiological consequences of crib-biting in horses in response to an ACTH |
| 472 | challenge test. Physiol. Behav. 151, 121-128. |
| 473 | |
| 474 | Hemmings, A., McBride, S.D., Hale, C.E., 2007. Perseverative responding and the |
| 475 | aetiology of equine oral stereotypy. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 104 (1-2), 143-150. |
| 476 | |
| 477 | Hemmings, A.J., McBride, S.D., Smith, N.C., 2004. The putative reward function |
| 478 | of equine stereotypic behaviour. In: Alliston, J., Chadd, S., Ede, A., Longland, A., |
| 479 | Moore-Colyer, M., Hemmings, A., Hyslop, J. eds. Emerging Equine Science. |
| 480 | Nottingham University Press Nottingham, pp. 67-79. |
| 481 | |
| 482 | Henderson, A.J.Z., 2007. Don't fence me in: Managing psychological well being |
| 483 | for elite performance horses. J. Appl. Anim. Welf. Sci. 10(4), 309-329. |
| 484 | |
| 485 | Hillyer, M. H., Taylor, F. G. R., Proudman, C. J., Edwards, G. B., Smith, J. E., |
| 486 | French, N. P., 2002. Case control study to identify risk factors for simple colonic |
| 487 | obstruction and distension colic in horses. Equine Vet. J. 34(5), 455-463. |
| 488 | |
| 489 | Hothersall, B., Casey, R., 2012. Undesired behaviour in horses: A review of their |
| 490 | development, prevention, management and association with welfare. Equine Vet. |
| 491 | Educ. 24(9), 479-485. |
| 492 | |
| 493 | Houpt, K.A., 2012. Motivation for cribbing by horses. Anim. Welfare. 21, 1-7. |
| 494 | |
| 495 | Hughes, B. O., Duncan, I. J. H., 1988. The notion of ethological need, models of |
| 496 | motivation and animal welfare. Anim Behav. 36, 1696-1707 |
| 497 | |

Ikemoto, S., Panksepp, J., 1996. Dissociations between appetitive and
consummatory responses by pharmacological manipulations of reward-relevant
brain regions. Behav. Neurosci. 110, 331-345

501

Johnson, K.G., Tyrrell, J., Rowe, J.B., Pethick, D.W., 1998. Behavioural changes
in stable horses given nonthereapeutic levels of virginiamycin. Equine Vet. J. 30,
139-143.

505

Löckener, S., Reese, S., Erhard, M., Wöhr, A. C., 2016. Pasturing in herds after
housing in horseboxes induces a positive cognitive bias in horses. J. Vet. Behav.
11, 50-55.

509

510 Malamed, R., Berger, J., Bain, M. J., Kass, P., Spier, S. J., 2010. Retrospective 511 evaluation of crib-biting and windsucking behaviours and owner-perceived 512 behavioural traits as risk factors for colic in horses. Equine Vet. J. 42(8), 686-692.

513

Malmkvist, J., Poulsen, J. M., Luthersson, N., Palme, R., Christensen, J. W.,
Søndergaard, E., 2012. Behaviour and stress responses in horses with gastric
ulceration. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 142(3), 160-167.

517

Mason, G., 2006. The Neurobiology of Stereotypy II: The Role of Stress. In:
Mason, G. & Rushen, J. eds. Stereotypic Animal Behaviour Fundamentals and
Applications to Welfare. 2nd ed. CABI International, Oxfordshire, pp. 325-356.

521

522 Marsden, D., 2002. A new perspective on stereotypic behaviour problems in 523 horses. In Practice. 24(10), 558-569.

524

525 McAfee, L.M., Mills, D.S., Cooper, J.J., 2002. The use of mirrors for the control of 526 stereotypic weaving behaviour in the stabled horse. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 78(2), 527 159-173.

| 529 | McBride, S.D., Hemmings, A., 2004. Causal factors of equine stereotypy. In: |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 530 | Alliston, J., Chadd, S., Ede, A., Longland, A., Moore-Colyer, M., Hemmings, A., |
| 531 | Hyslop, J. eds. Emerging Equine Science. Nottingham University Press |
| 532 | Nottingham, pp 35-65. |

533

534 McBride, S.D., Hemmings, A.J., 2005. Altered mesoaccumbens and nigro-striatal 535 dopamine physiology is associated with stereotypy development in a non-rodent 536 species. Behav. Brain Res. 159, 113-118.

537

538 McBride, S.D., Hemmings, A.J., 2009. A neurologic perspective of equine 539 stereotypy. J. Equine Vet. Sci. 29, 10-16.

540

541 McBride, S.D., Long, L., 2001. The perception and subsequent management of 542 equine stereotypic behaviour by horse owners; implications for animal welfare. 543 Vet. Rec. 148, 799-802.

544

545 McBride, S. D., Parker, M. O., 2015. The disrupted basal ganglia and behavioural 546 control: An integrative cross-domain perspective of spontaneous stereotypy. 547 Behav. Brain Res. 276, 45-58.

548

549 McCall, C.A., Tyler, P.J., McElhenney, W.H., Fenn, T.R., 2009. Effect of hourly 550 concentrate feed delivery on crib-biting in Thoroughbred horses. J. Equine Vet. 551 Sci. 29(5), 427-428.

552

553 McGreevy, P.D., Nicol, C.J., 1998. The effect of short term prevention on the 554 subsequent rate of crib-biting in Thoroughbred horses. Equine Vet. J. Suppl. 555 30(27), 30-34.

557 McGreevy, P.D., French, N.P., Nicol, C.J., 1995. The prevalence of abnormal 558 behaviours in dressage, eventing and endurance horses in relation to stabling. 559 Vet. Rec. 137, 36-37.

560

561 Mills, D., Davenport, K., 2002. The effect of a neighbouring conspecific versus the 562 use of a mirror for the control of stereotypic weaving behaviour in the stabled 563 horse. Anim. Sci. 74(1), 95-101.

564

565 Mills, D.S., MacLeod, C.A., 2002. The response of crib-biting and windsucking in 566 horses to dietary supplementation with an antacid mixture. Ippologia 13, 33–41.

567

568 Mills, D.S., Riezebos, M., 2005. The role of the image of a conspecific in the 569 regulation of stereotypic head movements in the horse. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 570 91, 155-165.

571

572 Mills, D.S., Alston, R.D., Rogers, V., Longford, N.T., 2002. Factors associated with 573 the prevalence of stereotypic behaviour amongst Thoroughbred horses passing 574 through auctioneer sales. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 78(2-4), 115-124.

575

Moeller, B.A., McCall, C.A., Silverman, S.J., McElhenney, W.H., 2008. Estimation
of saliva production in crib-biting and normal horses. J. Equine Vet. Sci. 28(2), 8590.

579

Nagy, K., Bodó, G., Bárdos, G., Harnos, A., Kabai, P., 2009. The effect of a
feeding stress-test on the behaviour and heart rate variability of control and cribbiting horses (with or without inhibition). Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 121(2), 140-147.

Nagy, K., Bodó, G., Bárdos, G., Bánszky, N., Kabai, P., 2010. Differences in
temperament traits between crib-biting and control horses. Appl. Anim. Behav.
Sci. 122(1), 41-47.

587

Nicol, C.J., Davidson, H.P.D., Harris, P.A., Waters, A.J., Wilson, A.D., 2002. Study
of crib-biting and gastric inflammation and ulceration in young horses. Vet. Rec.
151(22), 658-662.

591

592 Ninomiya, S., Sato, S., Sugawara, K., 2007. Weaving in stabled horses and its 593 relationship to other behavioural traits. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 106(1-3), 134-143.

594

Parker, M., McBride, S.D., Redhead, E.S., Goodwin, D., 2009. Differential place
and response learning in horses displaying an oral stereotypy. Behav. Brain Res.
200, 100-105.

598

Parker, M., Redhead, E.S., Goodwin, D., McBride, S.D., 2008. Impaired
instrumental choice in crib-biting horses (*Equus caballus*). Behav. Brain Res. 191,
137-140.

602

Pell, S.M., McGreevy, P.D., 1999. A study of cortisol and beta-endorphin levels in
stereotypic and normal Thoroughbreds. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 64(2), 81-90.

605

Roberts, K., Hemmings, A.J., Moore-Colyer, M., Hale, C., 2015. Cognitive
differences in horses performing locomotor versus oral stereotypic behaviour.
Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 168, 37-44.

609

Robbins, T.W., Sahaikian, B.J., 1983. Behavioral effects of psychomotor stimulant
drugs: clinical and neuropsychological implications, In: Creese, I. (Ed.) Stimulants,
neurochemical, behavioral, and clinical perspectives, Raven Press.

| 614 | Roebel, A.M., & MacLean, W.E., 2007. Spontaneous eye-blinking and stereotyped |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 615 | behaviour in older persons with mental retardation. Res. Dev. Disabil. 28, 37-42. |
| 616 | |
| 617 | Sarrafchi, A., Blokhuis, H. J., 2013. Equine stereotypic behaviors: causation, |
| 618 | occurrence, and prevention. J. Vet. Behav. 8(5), 386-394. |
| 619 | |
| 620 | Whisher, L., Raum, M., Pina, L., Pérez, L., Erb, H., Houpt, C., Houpt, K., 2011. |
| 621 | Effects of environmental factors on cribbing activity by horses. Appl. Anim. Behav. |
| 622 | Sci. 135(1), 63-69. |
| 623 | |
| 624 | Wickens, C.L., Heleski, C.R., 2010. Crib-biting behaviour in horses: a review. |
| 625 | Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 128(1-4), 1-9. |
| 626 | |
| 627 | Williams and Randle. |
| 628 | |
| 629 | Yin, H. H., Ostlund, S. B., Knowlton, B. J., Balleine, B. W., 2005. The role of the |
| 630 | dorsomedial striatum in instrumental conditioning. Eur. J. Neurosci. 22, 513-23. |
| 631 | |
| 632 | Yin, H.H., Knowlton, B.J., 2006. The role of the basal ganglia in habit formation. |
| 633 | Nature Rev. Neurosci. 7, 464-476. |