

Aberystwyth University

Hydrocarbon source potential of the Tanezzuft Formation, Murzug Basin, southwest Libya Diasty, W. Sh. El; El Beialy, S. Y. ; Anwari, T. A.; Batten, David

Published in: Journal of African Earth Sciences DOI 10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2017.03.005

Publication date: 2017

Citation for published version (APA):

Diasty, W. S. E., El Beialy, S. Y., Anwari, T. A., & Batten, D. (2017). Hydrocarbon source potential of the Tanezzuft Formation, Murzuq Basin, south-west Libya: An organic geochemical approach. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 130, 102-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2017.03.005

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain

- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Porta

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk

Accepted Manuscript

Hydrocarbon source potential of the Tanezzuft Formation, Murzuq Basin, south-west Libya: An organic geochemical approach

W.Sh. El Diasty, S.Y. El Beialy, T.A. Anwari, D.J. Batten

PII: S1464343X17301097

DOI: 10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2017.03.005

Reference: AES 2841

To appear in: Journal of African Earth Sciences

Please cite this article as: El Diasty, W.S., El Beialy, S.Y., Anwari, T.A., Batten, D.J., Hydrocarbon source potential of the Tanezzuft Formation, Murzuq Basin, south-west Libya: An organic geochemical approach, *Journal of African Earth Sciences* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2017.03.005.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Highlights

- 1. A wide variation of source richness and quality between Hot Shale and the Tanezzuft Formation is recognized.
- 2. Immature to early mature kerogen type II/III kerogen for the Hot Shale samples is reported.
- 3. The Tanezzuft samples are dominated by type III/II kerogen.
- **4.** The Hot Shale and Tanezzuft Formation are of mixed organic matter input and different concentrations.

1	Hydrocarbon source potential of the Tanezzuft Formation, Murzuq
2	Basin, south-west Libya: An organic geochemical approach
3	
4	W.Sh. El Diasty ^{1*} , S.Y. El Beialy ¹ , T.A. Anwari ¹ , D.J. Batten ²
5 6 7 8	¹ Mansoura University, Faculty of Science, Geology Department, Mansoura 35516, Egypt ² Department of Geography and Earth Sciences, Aberystwyth University, Penglais, Aberystwyth SY23 3DB, Wales, UK, and School of Earth, Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK
9 10 11	*Corresponding author: Dr. Waleed El Diasty, <u>awaleed@mans.edu.eg</u> ; (+2) 0106 244 3492
12	Abstract
13	A detailed organic geochemical study of 20 core and cuttings samples collected from the
14	Silurian Tanezzuft Formation, Murzuq Basin, in the south-western part of Libya has
15	demonstrated the advantages of pyrolysis geochemical methods for evaluating the source-
16	rock potential of this geological unit. Rock-Eval pyrolysis results indicate a wide variation
17	in source richness and quality. The basal Hot Shale samples proved to contain abundant
18	immature to early mature kerogen type II/III (oil-gas prone) that had been deposited in a
19	marine environment under terrigenous influence, implying good to excellent source rocks.
20	Strata above the Hot Shale yielded a mixture of terrigenous and marine type III/II kerogen
21	(gas-oil prone) at the same maturity level as the Hot Shale, indicating the presence of only
22	poor to fair source rocks.
23	
24	Keywords: Rock-Eval pyrolysis, Hot Shale, Tanezzuft Formation, Silurian, Murzuq Basin,
25	Libya
26	
27 28	1. Introduction
29	The Murzuq Basin is one of the largest Palaeozoic intracratonic basins in the North
30	African Sahara. It covers an area of about 350,000 square km in south-west Libya

- 31 (Davidson et al., 2000), extending southwards into Niger. The focus of this study is the
- 32 Silurian Tanezzuft Formation in three major oilfields, A, B and H within the NC115

1 Concession in the basin (Fig. 1). The lower part of the formation is referred to as the Hot 2 Shale, a radioactive deposit that has a high gamma ray response in wireline logs, probably 3 as a result of a high content of uranium (Fello et al., 2006). This organic-rich shale is 4 thought to be the main source rock in the Murzug Basin (Echikh and Sola, 2000; Sikander 5 et al., 2000; Craig et al., 2008), and is estimated to be the origin of 80–90% of all 6 Palaeozoic-sourced hydrocarbons in North Africa. These hydrocarbons have migrated into 7 various reservoirs, ranging from Cambrian to Triassic sandstones that are sealed by shale 8 intercalations. In the Murzuq Basin, Ordovician sandstones are the main reservoir rocks 9 (Lüning et al., 2000). The NC115 Concession is located in the north-western part of the basin, about 10 11 1,330 km south-west of Tripoli. It is about 25,850 square km in areal extent, covering approximately three-quarters of the area between 11° 30' and 12° 30'E, and 26° 8' and 26° 12 13 38'N. The B-NC115 Field is the most south-westerly of the fields examined. It is 14 approximately 50 km to the south-west of the A-NC115 Field. This in turn is approximately 15 10 km west of the H-NC115 Field (Fig. 1). 16 The application of organic geochemical analyses of samples from the Hot Shale and 17 overlying deposits of the Tanezzuft Formation in the NC115 Concession is reported in this 18 paper in order to characterize the disseminated organic matter and to determine the 19 hydrocarbon generation potential of, and depositional environments reflected by, the 20 succession.

21 22

23

2. Geological background

The Murzuq Basin abuts the borders of Algeria, Niger and Chad. It is bounded to the north by the Al Gargaf Uplift, to the east by the Tibesti High, and to the west by the Tihemboka High (Echikh and Sola 2000). It lies to the south-east of the Ghadamis Basin, from which it is separated by the Atshan Saddle, an anticlinal structure trending approximately ENE–WSW, which leads into the western end of the Al Gargaf Uplift (Fig. 2). The present structural framework of the basin reflects periods of uplift related to

The present structural framework of the basin reflects periods of uplift related to
 Caledonian (Late Silurian–Early Devonian), Hercynian (Late Carboniferous–Permian) and

Alpine (early Tertiary) events. The regional lineaments, NW–SE, are probably related to
 late Precambrian Pan-African fault systems (Fig. 3), which largely controlled the early
 Palaeozoic structural evolution of, and sediment accumulation in, the Murzuq Basin
 (Klitzsch, 1995).

Deposition of the Silurian succession began after the melting of the Late Ordovician 5 6 ice sheets, which led to a major marine transgression that spread from the north, 7 culminating in a high-stand with deposition of the Llandovery-Wenlock Tanezzuft 8 Formation (Davidson et al., 2000). The formation was named by Desio (1936) after Wadi 9 Tanezzuft, between Ghat and Awaynat. It includes a thick shale unit that overlies the 10 Hirnantian (Late Ordovician) Mamuniyat Formation and underlies the Ludlow-Pridolí 11 (Late Silurian) Akakus Formation (Fig. 4). The lower part of the Tanezzuft Formation is 12 predominantly dark grey, fissile shale, and the upper part a monotonous argillaceous 13 sequence interbedded with thin sandstone layers. Altogether it is more than 700 m thick in 14 some wells in the NC115 Concession.

15 The basal part of the Tanezzuft Formation consists of the Hot Shale. It was referred 16 to as Rhuddanian black shale by Hallett (2002), the Rhuddanian being the lowest stage of 17 the Llandovery Epoch (443.8–440.8 Ma; Gradstein et al., 2012). The high level of natural 18 radioactivity in some of the shales is the result of an increase in authigenic uranium, which 19 is readily recognized in well logs by high gamma-ray values (up to 400 API). High gamma-20 ray intervals can also be related to lithologies other than hot shale, e.g., shale rich in detrital 21 K and Th (Lüning et al., 2000; Armstrong et al., 2005). The Hot Shale consists of black, 22 dark brown and dark grey, splintery, compact, micaceous, pyritic shale (Fig. 4). It is present 23 only in the B42 and H39i wells, where it is up to 45 m thick. The organic matter it contains 24 was probably a result of high algal productivity in a region of oceanic upwelling along the 25 North Gondwanan shelf margin (Finney and Berry, 1997).

26

27 **3. Samples and analytical procedures**

28

Twenty core and cuttings samples of the Tanezzuft Formation, including the Hot
 Shale, were selected from seven wells in oilfields A, B and H in the NC-115 Concession

(Fig. 2). These were subjected to total organic carbon (TOC) and Rock-Eval pyrolysis
analyses at the StratoChem Laboratory, New Maadi, Cairo (Egypt). The instrument used
was a Rock-Eval 6 pyro-analyzer equipped with a TOC module. The samples were heated
from 300°C (hold time 3 min) to 850°C (hold time 5 min) at 25°C/min for oxidation.

5 6

4. Results and discussion

7

8 The TOC/Rock-Eval analytical data on the rock samples are summarized in Table 1 9 and graphically represented in Figs. 5–8. The cross-plot between TOC and S_2 is reliable for 10 evaluating the organic richness of a source rock (Dembicki, 2009), and the hydrogen index 11 (HI) versus oxygen index (OI) cross-plot defines the type of kerogen present (Espitalié et al., 1977; Peters and Cassa, 1994). The cross-plot of HI versus T_{max} is commonly used to 12 13 avoid the influence of OI for determining thermal maturity and kerogen type (Hunt, 1996), 14 and the relationship between the pyrolysis T_{max} and production index (PI) is a valuable 15 method for evaluating the thermal maturity of organic matter (Peters and Cassa, 1994).

16 As shown in Fig. 5 and Table 1, the TOC of the Hot Shale samples ranges from 17 1.22 to 20.90%, and S_2 values from 0.36 to 59.47 mg HC/g rock, whereas the overlying 18 deposits of the Tanezzuft Formation have TOC values that range from 0.34 to 1.08%, and 19 S_2 values from 0.14 to 2.78 mg HC/g rock. These data indicate the superior petroleum potential of the Hot Shale (good to excellent) by comparison with the rest of the formation 20 21 (poor to fair). From a statistical point of view, shale with TOC values >3%, as for four of 22 the six samples of the Hot Shale examined, usually contain marine organic matter, and are 23 thus oil-prone (Demaison and Moore, 1980; Tyson, 1995; Batten, 1996).

The HI versus OI cross-plot (Fig. 6) shows that, apart from one sample, the Hot Shale deposits examined yielded Type II/III kerogen (oil/gas-prone), i.e., mixed marine and terrigenous organic matter. The exception is from well B42 at depth 4640 ft (1414 m). This contained Type III (gas-prone) kerogen, which indicates a well-oxygenated (oxic) depositional environment (Peters and Cassa, 1994). On the other hand, again with one exception, the rocks above the Hot Shale yielded Type III to II/III kerogen (gas-prone) to

30 (oil/gas-prone). The exception is a sample from well H40 at a depth 4380 ft (1335 m) that

produced an HI value of 375 mg HC/g TOC (Table 1), reflecting Type II kerogen that was
 probably derived from marine organic matter (Peters and Cassa, 1994).

Figs. 5 and 6 clearly separate the organic-rich Hot Shale from the organically lean deposits of the rest of the formation. The difference between the organic content and hence kerogen type in the two parts of the succession is likely to reflect variations in the supply of organic matter, and its preservation and dilution in different depositional environments.

7 The relationship between HI and T_{max} (Fig. 7) shows that the Hot Shale and 8 overlying deposits have T_{max} values that range from 432 to 444°C and 433 to 439°C, 9 respectively (Table 1), indicating an early mature stage for the samples analyzed. This is 10 confirmed by the cross-plot of T_{max} versus PI (Fig. 8), which shows that the majority of the 11 samples lie within the zone of the early oil window.

12

13 **5.** Conclusions

14

The results of an analysis using TOC/Rock-Eval pyrolysis equipment of 20 cores 15 16 and cuttings samples taken from the Tanezzuft Formation in the Murzuq Basin of south-17 west Libya are presented. The basal unit of the formation, known as the Hot Shale, reflects 18 deposition in a marine environment under terrigenous influence. Being close to and within 19 the early mature oil window it has generally good-excellent potential to generate both oil and gas. The rest of the formation, which overlies the Hot Shale, is of similar maturity but 20 21 has more limited (poor to fair) potential to generate gas and minor oil. However, in places 22 there are thin horizons where the shales are good oil/gas-prone source rocks. These contain 23 kerogen types III to II/III, the organic matter having both marine and terrigenous origins. 24 The differences in geochemical characteristics between most of the Hot Shale and the rest 25 of the Tanezzuft Formation largely reflect differences in organic matter influx, mode of 26 preservation and depositional environments.

27

28 Acknowledgements

29 The Harouge Oil Operations is acknowledged for the provision of samples,
30 geological information and permission to publish this paper. The authors are most grateful

1	to StratoChem, New Maadi, Cairo, Egypt for laboratory assistance and running the organic
2	geochemical investigation in this study. We thank the Associate Editor W. Bosworth and an
3	anonymous reviewer for their constructive and very helpful comments on the manuscript.
4	
5	References
6	Armstrong, H.A., Turner, B.R., Makhlouf, I.M., Weedon, G.P., Williams, M., Al Smadi,
7	A., Abu Salah, A., 2005. Origin, sequence stratigraphy and depositional
8	environment of an upper Ordovician (Hirnantian) deglacial black shale, Jordan.
9	Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 220, 273–289.
10	Aziz, A., 2000. Stratigraphy and hydrocarbon potential of the Lower Paleozoic succession
11	of License NC-115, Murzuq Basin, southwest Libya. In: Sola, M.A., Worsley, D.
12	(Eds.), Geological Exploration in the Murzuq Basin. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 349-
13	368.
14	Batten, D.J., 1996. Palynofacies and petroleum potential. Chapter 26B. In Jansonius, J.,
15	McGregor, D.C. (Eds.), Palynology: Principles and Applications, Volume 3.
16	American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists' Foundation, Dallas, pp. 1065–
17	1084.
18	Craig, J., Rizzi, C., Said, F., Thusu, B., Luning, S., Asbali, A.I., Keeley, M.L., Bell, J.F.,
19	Durham, M.J., Eales, M.H., Beswetherick, S., Hamblett, C., 2008. Structural styles
20	and prospectivity in the Precambrian and Paleozoic hydrocarbon systems of North
21	Africa. In: Salem, M.J., Oun, K.M., Essed, A.S. (Eds.), The Geology of East Libya,
22	Vol. 4. Gutenberg Press, Malta, pp. 51–122.
23	Davidson, L., Beswetherick, S., Craig, J., Eales, M., Fisher, A., Himmali, A., Jho, J.,
24	Mejrab, B., Smart, J., 2000. The structure, stratigraphy and petroleum geology of
25	the Murzuq Basin, southwest Libya. In: Sola, M.A., Worsley, D. (Eds.), Geological
26	Exploration in the Murzuq Basin. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 295–320.
27	Demaison, G.J., Moore, G. T., 1980. Anoxic environments and oil source bed genesis.
28	American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Bulletin 64, 1179–1209.

1	Dembicki, H.J., 2009. Three common source rock evaluation errors made by geologists
2	during prospect or play appraisals. American Association of Petroleum Geologists,
3	Bulletin 93, 341–356.
4	Desio, A., 1936. Riassunto sulla presenza del Silurico fossilifero nel Fezzan. Bollettino.
5	Societa Geologica Italiana 55, 319–356.
6	Echikh, K., Sola, M.A., 2000. Geology and hydrocarbon occurrences in the Murzuq Basin,
7	SW Libya. In: Sola, M.A., Worsley, D. (Eds.), Geological Exploration in the
8	Murzuq Basin. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 175–222.
9	Espitalié, J., Laporte, J.L., Madec, M., Marquis, F., Leplat, P., Paulet, J., Boutefeu, A.,
10	1977. Méthode rapide de caractérisation des roches mères, de leur potential pétrolier
11	et de leur degré d'évolution. Revue de l'Institut Français du Pétrole 32, 23-42.
12	Fello, N., Lüning, S., Storch, P., Redfern, J., 2006. Identification of early Llandovery
13	(Silurian) anoxic palaeo-depressions at the western margin of the Murzuq Basin,
14	(S.W. Libya) based on gamma-ray spectrometry in surface exposures. GeoArabia
15	11, 101–118.
16	Finney, S.C., Berry, W.B.N., 1997. New perspectives on graptolite distributions and their
17	use as indicators of platform margin dynamics. Geology 25, 919–922.
18	Gradstein, F.M., Ogg, J.G., Hilgen, F.J., 2012. On the geologic time scale. Newsletters on
19	Stratigraphy 45, 171–188.
20	Hallet, D., 2002. Petroleum Geology of Libya. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 503 p.
21	Hunt, J.M., 1996. Petroleum Geochemistry and Geology. 2nd edition. W.H. Freeman, New
22	York, 743 p.
23	Klitzsch, E., 1995. Libyan/Libya. In: Kulke, H. (Ed.), Regional Petroleum Geology of the
24	World, Part II. Contributions to Regional Geology and Development, 22.
25	Borntrager, Stuttgart, pp. 45–56.
26	Lüning, S., Craig, J., Loydell, D.K., Storch, P., Fitches, W.R., 2000. Lower Silurian 'hot
27	shales' in North Africa and Arabia: regional distribution and depositional model.
28	Earth Science Reviews 49, 121–200.

1	Peters, K.E., Cassa, M.R., 1994. Applied source-rock geochemistry. In: Magoon, L.B.,								
2	Dow, W.G. (Eds.), The Petroleum System – From Source to Trap. American								
3	Association of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 60, 93-120.								
4	Sikander, A.H., Basu, S., Rasul, S.M., 2000. Geochemical source-maturation and								
5	volumetric evaluation of Lower Paleozoic source rocks in the west Libyan basins. In:								
6	Salem, M.J., Oun, K.M., Seddiq, H.M. (Eds.), The Geology of Northwest Libya 3,								
7	pp. 3–53.								
8	Tyson, R.V., 1995. Sedimentary Organic Matter: Organic Facies and Palynofacies.								
9	Chapman and Hall, London. 614 p.								
10									
11	Figure and Table captions								
12	Fig. 1. Location of the A, B and H fields in the NC115 Concession of the Murzuq Basin								
13	and of the samples within these fields.								
14	Fig. 2. Map of the tectonic elements in the Murzuq Basin (after Echikh and Sola, 2000).								
15	Fig. 3. Schematic cross-section through the Murzuq Basin showing major structures, three								
16	tectonic unconformities and eroded sedimentary sequences: Unc, unconformity (after								
17	Davidson et al., 2000).								
18	Fig. 4. Subsurface stratigraphic chart for the Murzuq Basin (substantially modified after								
19	Aziz, 2000; Gradstein et al., 2012).								
20	Fig. 5. Organic richness based on TOC content and S_2 values of samples from the								
21	Tanezzuft Formation including the Hot Shale, plotted separately.								
22	Fig. 6. HI versus OI of samples from the Tanezzuft Formation including the Hot Shale,								
23	plotted separately.								
24	Fig. 7. HI versus T_{max} of samples from the Tanezzuft Formation including the Hot Shale,								
25	plotted separately.								
26	Fig. 8. T_{max} versus PI of samples from the Tanezzuft Formation including the Hot Shale,								
27	plotted separately.								
28									
29	Table 1. TOC % and Rock-Eval pyrolysis results of samples from the Tanezzuft								
30	Formation.								









2 Figure 4

ERA	PERIOD	EPOCH	STAGE	AGE (Ma)	RESERVOIR	SOURCE	LITHOLOGY	FORMATIONS		
			Changhsingian				/			
	Permian		Asselian	234.2			0			
U	S		Kasimovian-Gzhelian	298.9				Tiguentourine		
	D Pennsvivanian		Moscovian	307.0				Dembaba		
	nife	-	Bashkirian	315.2				Assedjefar		
	rbol		Visean-Serpukhovian	323.2		/		Morer		
	Cal	Mississippian	Tournaisian	340.7	J4U./			Wara		
	E	Late	Frasnian-Famennian	358.9				Awaynat Wanin		
N	nia	Middle	Fifelian-Givetian	382.7			04040404040404040404040	Basal Devonian sandstone I		
	D Early		Lochkovian-Emsian	393.3	393.3			Ouan Kasa/ Tadrart		
0	_	Ludlow-Pridol	Gorstian-Ludfordian	419.2	7			Akakus		
	riar	Wenlock	Sheinwoodian-Homerian	427.0		_		Tonossuff		
ш	Silu	Llandovery	Rhuddanian-Telychian	433.4		↓		Hot Shale		
			Hirnantian	443.0				Mamuniyat		
4	an	Late	Katian	453.0				Melaz Shugran		
	vici		Sandbian	458.4						
	op	Middle	Dapingian-Darriwilian	470.0	6			Hawaz		
	ō	Early	Floian	477.7				Ash Shabiyat		
-		-	Tremadocian	485.4						
~	_	Furongian	Paibian-Stage 10	497.0				Hasawnah		
	riar	Series 3	Guzhangian							
Ф.	qm	Series 2	Stage 5	521.0						
	ပိ	Torronouvian	Stage 2							
		Terreneuvian	Fortunian							
	PRECAMBI	RIAN				* × × ×	Basement			
	Not P	resent in NC115	5 Studiec	l forma	tion		- Sour	rce rock		
] Prese	ent in NC115	Clay/Sh	nale			Bac	arvoir rock		
Sandstone Limestone										

- 2 Figure 5



2 Figure 6









2 **Table 1**

Well name	Rock-unit	Sample type	Depth (m)	TOC wt%	S ₁ mg/g	S ₂ mg/g	S ₃ mg/g	T _{max} (°C)	HI mg HC/g TOC	OI mg HC/g TOC	PI
B31		Cores	1409	0.90	0.58	2.35	0.19	437	262	21	0.20
D 51			1410	1.08	0.67	2.78	0.09	439	257	8	0.19
A 17			1479	0.48	0.15	0.61	0.10	437	128	21	0.20
A17			1481	0.57	0.21	0.79	0.13	438	138	23	0.21
H39i			1213	0.40	0.05	0.60	0.51	436	152	129	0.08
			1298	0.40	0.05	0.31	0.46	433	77	115	0.14
A37	Tanezzuft		1380	0.40	0.07	0.67	0.35	439	169	88	0.09
	Formation		1463	0.48	0.08	0.55	0.39	438	114	81	0.13
			1185	0.73	0.10	1.75	0.38	434	239	52	0.05
B42			1286	0.42	0.08	0.67	0.32	436	161	77	0.11
			1395	0.34	0.09	0.14	0.50	433	42	149	0.39
			1225	0.35	0.05	0.40	0.67	438	114	191	0.11
H40		s	1335	0.68	0.53	2.56	0.21	434	375	31	0.17
		ing	1438	0.51	0.14	0.99	0.30	439	195	59	0.12
B42		ntti	1414	1.22	0.13	0.36	0.99	432	30	81	0.27
H39i		U	1449	9.70	2.58	26.63	0.98	433	275	10	0.09
	Hot Shale	Cores	1473	4.86	1.96	11.04	0.21	440	227	4	0.15
1120			1477	8.61	2.98	22.58	0.23	444	262	3	0.12
п29			1482	20.9	7.42	59.47	0.33	438	285	2	0.11
			1487	1.71	0.84	3.90	0.17	438	228	10	0.18

3

4 **TOC** = Total organic carbon as weight percent organic carbon in rock; S_1 = Free hydrocarbons emitted from

5 rock without cracking of kerogen (mg hydrocarbons per gram of rock); S_2 = Residual hydrocarbons

6 representing the remaining generative hydrocarbon potential (mg hydrocarbons per gram of rock); $S_3 =$

7 Generated carbon dioxide (mg carbon dioxide per gram of rock); T_{max} = Temperature reached during

8 maximum generation of hydrocarbons measured via S_2 peak of Rock-Eval pyrolysis (°C); **HI** = Hydrogen

9 index = $S_2 \times 100/TOC$; **OI** = Oxygen index = $S_3 \times 100/TOC$; **PI** = Production index = $S_1/(S_1+S_2)$.

×

10

11