Aberystwyth University



Antiprotozoal effect of saponins in the rumen can be enhanced by chemical modifications in their structure

Ramos Morales, Eva; De La Fuente Oliver, Gabriel; Duval, Stéphane ; Wehrli, Christof; Bouillon, Marc E.; Lahmann, Martina; Preskett, David; Braganca, Radek; Newbold, Charles

Published in: Frontiers in Microbiology

DOI 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00399

Publication date: 2017

Citation for published version (APA):

Ramos Morales, E., De La Fuente Óliver, G., Duval, S., Wehrli, C., Bouillon, M. E., Lahmann, M., Preskett, D., Braganca, R., & Newbold, C. (2017). Antiprotozoal effect of saponins in the rumen can be enhanced by chemical modifications in their structure. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *8*, [399]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00399

Document License CC BY

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain

- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk



Antiprotozoal effect of saponins in the rumen can be enhanced by chemical modifications in their structure

Eva Ramos-Morales¹, Gabriel De La Fuente^{1, 2}, Stéphane Duval³, Christof Wehrli³, Marc E. Bouillon⁴, Martina Lahmann⁴, David Preskett⁵, Radek Braganca⁵, Charles J. Newbold^{1*}

¹Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences, Aberystwyth University, United Kingdom, ²Dept. Ciència Animal, Universitat de Lleida, Spain, ³Centre de Recherche en Nutrition Animale, DSM Nutritional Products Ltd., France, ⁴School of Chemistry, Bangor University, United Kingdom, ⁵BioComposites Centre, Bangor University, United Kingdom

Submitted to Journal: Frontiers in Microbiology

Specialty Section: Systems Microbiology

ISSN: 1664-302X

Article type: Original Research Article

Received on: 15 Nov 2016

Accepted on: 27 Feb 2017

Provisional PDF published on: 27 Feb 2017

Frontiers website link: www.frontiersin.org

Citation:

Ramos-morales E, De_la_fuente G, Duval S, Wehrli C, Bouillon ME, Lahmann M, Preskett D, Braganca R and Newbold CJ(2017) Antiprotozoal effect of saponins in the rumen can be enhanced by chemical modifications in their structure. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:399. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00399

Copyright statement:

© 2017 Ramos-morales, De_la_fuente, Duval, Wehrli, Bouillon, Lahmann, Preskett, Braganca and Newbold. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution License (CC BY)</u>. The use, distribution and reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

This Provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance, after peer-review. Fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.

Frontiers in Microbiology | www.frontiersin.org

provisional

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare a potential conflict of interest and state it below.

Authors declare that the use of synthetic bis esters of ivy saponins (bis-esters of hederagenin) in ruminants to improve ruminant growth performance, reduce methane emission, reduce urine ammonia excretion, and/or to reduce rumen acetate to propionate ratio has been patented (patent application PCT/EP2016062383)

1	Antiprotozoal effect of saponins in the rumen can be enhanced by
2	chemical modifications in their structure

3

Eva Ramos-Morales¹, Gabriel de la Fuente^{1,§}, Stephane Duval², Christof 4 Wehrli², Marc Bouillon³, Martina Lahmann³, David Preskett⁴, Radek Braganca⁴ 5 and Charles J. Newbold^{1*} 6 7 ¹Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences, Aberystwyth University, 8 9 Aberystwyth, UK 10 ²DSM Nutritional Products Ltd., Centre de Recherche en Nutrition Animale, Saint Louis 11 Cedex, France ³School of Chemistry, Bangor University, Bangor, UK 12 ⁴BioComposites Centre, Bangor University, Bangor, UK 13 14 15 *Correspondence: 16 17 Charles J. Newbold 18 cjn@aber.ac.uk 19 [§]Dept. Ciència Animal, Universitat de Lleida, Lleida, Spain 20 Number of words: 3684. Number of figures: 5. 21

22 Abstract

23

The antiprotozoal effect of saponins is transitory, as when saponins are deglycosylated to the 24 25 sapogenin by rumen microorganisms they become inactive. We postulated that the 26 substitution of the sugar moiety of the saponin with small polar residues would produce 27 sapogen-like analogues which might be resistant to degradation in the rumen as they would 28 not be enzymatically cleaved, allowing the antiprotozoal effect to persist over time. In this study we used an acute assay based on the ability of protozoa to break down [¹⁴C] leucine-29 30 labelled Streptococcus bovis and a longer term assay based on protozoal motility over 24 h to 31 evaluate both the antiprotozoal effect and the stability of this effect with fifteen hederagenin 32 bis-esters esterified with two identical groups, and five cholesterol and cholic acid based 33 derivatives carrying one to three succinate residues. The acute antiprotozoal effect of 34 hederagenin derivatives was more pronounced than that of cholesterol and cholic acid 35 derivatives. Modifications in the structure of hederagenin, cholesterol, and cholic acid 36 derivatives resulted in compounds with different biological activities in terms of acute effect 37 and stability, although those which were highly toxic to protozoa were not always the most 38 stable over time. Most of the hederagenin bis-esters, and in particular hederagenin bis-39 sucinate (TSB24), hederagenin bis-betainate dichloride (TSB37) and hederagenin bis-adipate 40 (TSB47) had a persistent effect against rumen protozoa in vitro, shifting the fermentation 41 pattern towards higher propionate and lower butyrate. These chemically modified triterpenes 42 could potentially be used in ruminant diets as an effective defaunation agent to, ultimately, 43 increase nitrogen utilization, decrease methane emissions, and enhance animal production. 44 Further trials in vivo or in long term rumen simulators are now needed to confirm the in vitro 45 observations presented.

46 Keywords: antiprotozoal activity, *Hedera helix*, hederagenin, saponins, stability

47 **1. Introduction**

48

49 The manipulation of the rumen microbial ecosystem using plant secondary 50 compounds has proved to be a useful strategy to increase the efficiency of feed utilization by 51 ruminants (Bodas et al., 2012; Wanapat et al., 2012). Plants or their extracts with high 52 concentrations of saponins appear to have the potential to act as natural antiprotozoal agents 53 (Patra and Saxena, 2009a). Protozoa are a normal but non-vital part of the rumen microbiome 54 and can contribute up to 50% of the bio-mass in the rumen (Williams and Coleman, 1992). 55 Because of their predation activity, rumen protozoa have been shown to be highly active in 56 the turnover of bacterial protein in the rumen (Wallace and McPherson, 1987). Moreover, 57 protozoa have been proven to harbour an active population of methanogenic archaea both on 58 their external and internal surfaces (Finlay et al., 1994; Newbold et al., 1995). A recent meta-59 analysis has shown that the elimination of protozoa from the rumen could increase microbial protein supply to the host by up to 30% and reduce methane production by up to 11% 60 61 (Newbold et al., 2015).

62 Saponins are plant secondary metabolites which consist of one or more sugar moieties glycosidically linked to a less polar aglycone or sapogenin (Francis et al., 2002). The sugar 63 64 portion is generally made up of common monosaccharides, such as D-glucose, D-galactose, 65 D-glucuronic acid, D-xylose, L-rhamnose, and various pentoses which are glycosidically 66 linked as linear or branched oligosaccharides to the sapogenin. Saponins can be broadly 67 classified based on their sapogenin structure as either triterpenoid or steroid saponins (Wina 68 et al., 2005). The presence of different substituents in the sapogenin such as hydroxyl, 69 hydroxymethyl, carboxyl and acyl groups, as well as differences in the composition, linkage 70 and number of sugar chains accounts for significant structural variation and thus their 71 bioactivity (Patra and Saxena, 2009b; Podolak et al., 2010).

72 Saponins can form irreversible complexes with cholesterol in the protozoal cell membrane causing cell rupture and lysis (Wina et al., 2005). Rumen protozoal species seems 73 74 to differ in their sensitivity to saponins due to differences in the sterol composition of their 75 cellular membranes leading to the suggestion that feeding saponins might lead to partial 76 defaunation (Patra and Saxena, 2009a). The antiprotozoal effect of saponins is, however, 77 transitory as when saponins are deglycosylated by rumen microorganisms to the sapogenin 78 they become inactive (Newbold et al., 1997; Patra and Saxena, 2009a) which represents a 79 challenge to their practical application in ruminant nutrition. We hypothesized that the substitution of the sugar moiety of the saponin with small polar residues would produce 80 81 sapogen-like analogues which might be resistant to degradation in the rumen as they would 82 not be enzymatically cleaved, allowing the antiprotozoal effect to persist over time. The aim 83 of this study was to evaluate both the acute anti-protozoal action and the stability of the 84 antiprotozoal effect of chemically synthesised hederagenin, cholesterol, and cholic acid derivatives in vitro. 85

86

- 87 2. Material and Methods
- 88

89 **2.1. Hederagenin, cholesterol and cholic acid derivatives**

90

Ripe ivy (*Hedera helix*) fruits were collected from several locations around Bangor (44.8036° N, 68.7703° W, UK), dried at 50°C for two days and milled. Ivy fruit meal (3.79 kg) was extracted with ethanol (15 L) for 6 h, leading to a crude extract (541 g) comprising triglycerides, saponins, oligosaccharides and pigments (anthocyanins). The crude extract was then washed with petroleum ether (3 x 500 mL) and dried overnight at 50°C under vacuum, obtaining a fine powder (368 g) which comprised mainly mixed saponins and 97 oligosaccharides. Then an additional extraction with n-butanol was carried out, obtaining a 98 refined extract comprising saponins (15% DM). Hederagenin, the aglycone part of the 99 saponins, was obtained via hydrolysis of ivy fruit refined extract in ethanolic solution with 100 aqueous HCl.

101 Hederoside B, the major saponin present in the fruit extract, was obtained by gravity 102 chromatography (Fluorochem, silica gel 40-60, CHCl₃/MeOH/H₂O; 90:9:1 \rightarrow 75:22.5:2.5) of 103 the defatted fruit extract. Fractions containing hederoside B were concentrated and 104 subsequently washed with methanol. Nuclear magnetic resonance data (pyridine-d₅) of the 105 obtained compound was in agreement with that reported in the literature (Kizu et al., 1985).

Hederagenin *bis*-esters derivatives (two identical ester moieties at position 3 and 23;
Figure 1) were synthesised from the aglycone hederagenin produced above as described in
patent application PCT/EP2016062383 (Ramos-Morales et al., 2016).

109 Cholesterol and cholic acid derivatives (Figure 2) were synthesised following the 110 same methods for esterification of organic molecules, described in patent 111 PCT/EP2016062383 (Ramos-Morales et al., 2016). Hederagenin, cholesterol, and cholic acid 112 derivatives were produced by DSM Nutritional Products and Bangor University.

113 The purity of the synthesised compounds was established by quantitative nuclear 114 magnetic resonance (qNMR) spectroscopy using a Bruker Ultrashielded 400 spectrometer 115 (Bruker Corporation, Coventry, UK) confirming purities of 80 - 99% for most derivatives 116 except TSB37 and TSB38 which had a purity of 66% and 58%, respectively. It should be 117 noted that the antiprotozoal activity of compounds TSB37 and TSB38 may be either over or 118 indeed underestimated due to the impurities present.

119

120 **2.2. Measurement of protozoal activity**

122 The effect of hederagenin, cholesterol, and cholic acid derivatives on protozoal activity was measured *in vitro* as the breakdown of $[^{14}C]$ labelled bacteria by rumen protozoa as 123 124 described by Wallace and McPherson (1987). Isotope-labelled bacteria were obtained by growing Streptococcus bovis in Wallace and McPherson media (Wallace and McPherson, 125 1987) containing [¹⁴C] leucine (1.89 μ Ci/7.5 mL tube) as the sole nitrogen source, for 24 h. 126 127 Cultures were centrifuged (3,000g, 15 min), supernatant discarded and pellets re-suspended 128 in 7 mL of simplex type salt solution (STS; Williams and Coleman, 1992) containing nonlabelled leucine (¹²C-leucine, 5 mM). This process was repeated three times to prevent re-129 incorporation of released [¹⁴C] leucine by bacteria. The labelled bacterial suspension was 130 131 sampled to determine its radioactivity and then it was used as the substrate in the incubations 132 with rumen fluid.

Rumen digesta was obtained from four rumen-cannulated Holstein-Frisian cows (4 133 replicates), fed at maintenance level (diet composed of perennial ryegrass hay and 134 concentrate at 67:33 on DM basis). Animal procedures were carried out in accordance with 135 the Animal Scientific Procedures Act 1986 and protocols were approved by the Aberystwyth 136 137 University Ethical Committee. Rumen digesta was obtained before the morning feeding and strained through two layers of muslin and diluted with STS (1:1). Diluted rumen fluid (7.5 138 139 mL) was then incubated with labelled bacteria (0.5 mL) in tubes containing no additive 140 (control) or 0.05, 0.1, 0.5 or 1 g/L of the modified triterpenes or steroids; hederoside B, a natural saponin isolated from ivy fruit, was also incubated at 0.05, 0.1, 0.5 and 1 g/L. 141 142 Hederagenin bis-sulfate disodium salt (TSB38), cholesteryl succinate (TSB39) and 143 lithocholic acid succinate (TSB42) were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 1% of 144 the incubation volume. The rest of the derivatives and Hederoside B were solubilized in 145 ethanol at 1% of the incubation volume as it has been shown that such concentration of ethanol in rumen fluid should not impair fermentation (Morgavi et al., 2004; Wallace et al., 146

147 2007). Two control treatments with 1% of either DMSO or ethanol were also included in the 148 experimental design. Incubations were carried out at 39°C under a stream of CO₂ and tubes 149 were sampled at time 0 and at 1 h intervals up to 5 h using a syringe with a 19 gauge needle. 150 Samples (0.5 mL) were acidified (by adding 125 µL of 25% (wt/vol) trichloroacetic acid and centrifuged (13,000 \times g for 5 min). Supernatant (200 µL), was diluted with 2 mL of 151 152 scintillation fluid to determine the radioactivity released by liquid-scintillation spectrometry 153 (Hidex 300 SL, Lablogic Systems Ltd, Broomhill, UK). Bacterial breakdown at each 154 incubation time was expressed as the percentage of the acid-soluble radioactivity released relative to the total radioactivity present in the initial labelled bacteria (Wallace and 155 156 McPherson, 1987).

157

158 **2.3. In vitro batch cultures**

159

The initial protozoal population in the inoculum used in the incubations was quantified by optical microscope using the procedure described by Dehority (1993) and adapted by de la Fuente et al. (2006). Within the total population (5.34 log cells/mL), 65% were *Entodinium*, 8% Epidinium, 21% *Diplodinium*, 3% *Isotricha* and 3% *Dasytricha*.

164 To estimate the stability of the antiprotozoal effect and measure the influence of the 165 modified triterpene and steroids on fermentation parameters, strained rumen fluid from each 166 cow was diluted 1:2 in artificial saliva solution (Menke and Steingass, 1988). Aliquots (30 167 mL) of the diluted strained rumen fluid were added anaerobically to 120 mL serum bottles 168 (Sigma-Aldrich Ltd, Dorset, UK) containing 0.3 g of diet composed of ryegrass hay and barley (40:60), previously ground to pass through a 1-mm² mesh screen. Treatments 169 170 consisted of control incubations (0.3 g of diet only), with either ethanol or DMSO added at 171 1%, and incubations with the synthesised compounds (diluted in ethanol or DMSO, as

172 previously described) at 0.5 or 1 g/L of the incubation. To compare the antiprotozoal effect of the synthesised compounds against that of a natural saponin from ivy, hederoside B 173 (dissolved in ethanol) was incubated at 1 g/L. Bottles were incubated at 39 °C under CO₂ 174 receiving a gentle mix before every sampling time. Samples at different time points (0, 4, 8 175 176 and 24 h) were collected for visual assessment of protozoa motility. Ciliate protozoa motility 177 was assessed in 30 µL of sample against a common scale when examined at low magnification (x 100) using light microscopy. This evaluation was conducted in less than 1 178 179 min/sample to avoid the cell damage originated by the oxygen and temperature exposure. A 180 score between 0 (no whole protozoa evident) and 5 (all genera active) was given according to 181 the scale described by Newbold (2010). Fermentation pattern, in terms of pH and VFA was 182 determined after 24 h of the incubation. A subsample (4 mL) was diluted with 1 mL of deproteinising solution (200 mL/L orthophosphoric acid containing 20 mmol/L of 2-183 184 ethylbutyric acid as an internal standard) for the determination of VFA using gas chromatography (Stewart and Duncan, 1985). 185

186

187 **2.4. Calculations and statistical analysis**

188

A simple linear regression was conducted to model the relationship between the percentage of radioactivity released (relative to the ¹⁴C-bacterial inoculum) and the time (from 0 h to 5 h), as well as its correlation coefficient. The slope of this trend-line indicated the bacterial degradation rate (as % h^{-1}) by the rumen protozoa and ultimately their activity. Trend line slopes as well as fermentation parameters were analysed statistically by randomized block ANOVA, with individual cows as a blocking term. Inhibition of protozoa activity (% with respect to the control) was analysed using ANOVA with treatment, dose and 196 their interaction as fixed effects and cow as blocking term. When significant effects were 197 detected across the different doses, means were compared by Fisher's unprotected LSD test.

Protozoal motility was analysed as a Repeated Measures Design, with treatment as main factor and incubation time as subject factor. A stability index, to estimate the persistence of the saponin effect over time, was calculated as the percentage of the motility at 8 h that remained at 24 h. Interaction between treatment and time as a measure of differential temporal dynamics between treatments was also considered. Differences were declared significant at P<0.05 and considered as tendencies towards significance at P<0.10. Genstat 15th Edition (VSN International, Hemel Hempstead, UK) was used.

- 205
- 206 **3. Results**
- 207
- 208 **3.1.** Acute anti-protozoal activity
- 209

The amount of bacteria degraded by protozoa increased linearly ($R^2 > 0.99$) over the 5 210 211 h of incubation with both control treatments (with ethanol or with DMSO). For each derivative, the rate of bacterial degradation at different doses as compared with the control is 212 213 shown in supplemental Table S1. The inhibition of protozoa activity (Table 1) was 214 significantly different between compounds and doses (P<0.001). Derivatives TSB44, TSB45, 215 TSB46, TSB47, TSB52 and TSB42 were more effective in inhibiting protozoa activity than 216 hederoside B, the major ivy saponin. Among the cholesterol and cholic acid derivatives, 217 TSB39, TSB40 and TSB43 were less effective against protozoa than the natural saponin (P<0.001). 218

219

220 **3.2.** Stability of the antiprotozoal effect and effect on fermentation parameters

Based on the observed effects of the synthesised compounds on bacterial breakdown by protozoa, the two highest doses of these derivatives (0.5 and 1 g/L) and hederoside B at 1 g/L, were tested over 24 h in *in vitro* incubations. Protozoa motility over time was assessed and fermentation parameters were determined after 24 h of incubation. Due to the number of compounds tested, the experiment was carried out in different batches and hence the slightly different values for fermentation parameters between control incubations. To overcome this issue, we have compared the effects of each compound against the control run with the same

230 Cell motility, measured as an index of protozoa viability, remained unaltered (score of 231 4.8) over the 24 h incubation period in control incubations with ethanol or DMSO (Figures 3 232 and 4). The effect of hederagenin derivatives when added at 0.5 g/L or 1 g/L is shown in 233 Figures 3a and 3b, respectively. Although, 1 g/L of hederoside B decreased protozoa motility 234 at 4 and 8 h of the incubation (with scores of 3.88 and 3.20, respectively), there was a strong treatment x time interaction (P=0.05), and protozoal motility recovered afterwards (reaching 235 236 a score of 4.26 at 24 h), suggesting the expected degradation of the saponin during the 237 incubation. Some of the derivatives, TSB45 and TSB46, showed the same effect as the 238 natural saponin, initially decreasing protozoa motility but with motility recovering after 24 h 239 (treatment x time interaction, P<0.05). Other derivatives, TSB24, TSB47, and TSB52, added 240 at 1 g/L, however, resulted in a greater decrease in protozoa activity over time (P < 0.001; 241 scores of around 3; no motility or activity evident) with no sign of recovery in motility. 242 Indeed, vacuoles were visible at 24 h suggesting protozoal death (scores of 2.15-2.9). Only 243 few of the hederagenin derivatives (TSB33, TSB34, TSB38 and TSB44) did not show an 244 effect on protozoa motility (P>0.05) at any of the concentrations tested. Cholesterol and cholic acid derivatives did not seem to be effective in reducing protozoa motility over time as 245

229

batch of rumen fluid.

246 shown in Figure 4. Only TSB42 when added at 1 g/L showed a slight decrease in protozoa 247 motility after 8 and 24 h of incubation (treatment x time interaction, P=0.017; Figure 4b). A stability index, to estimate the persistence of the saponin effect over time, was calculated as 248 249 the percentage of the motility at 8 h that remained at 24 h (Figure 5). Whereas the compounds 250 located above the origin on the y-axis were stable (persistent effect on protozoal motility at 251 24 h; e.g. TS24, TSB37, TSB47), those below the origin on the y-axis showed a loss of effect 252 on protozoal motility (recovery of motility after 24 h; e.g. TSB35, TSB46, hederoside B). 253 The derivatives close to or on the origin of the y-axis (e.g. TSB50, TSB51) correspond to 254 those compounds that were less effective against protozoa (scores of about 4.5 at 8 h) but 255 with an effect that was maintained at 24 h.

256 Neither the natural saponin, hederoside B, nor the modified triterpenes or steroids 257 caused a decrease in pH (P>0.05; Table 2); indeed, pH was slightly greater in the presence of 258 TSB35 and TSB37 at 0.5 and 1 g/L (P<0.001) in comparison to the control. Similarly, no 259 effect on the concentration of total VFA was observed in incubations with hederoside B or with most of the derivatives (P>0.05; Table 3). Only TSB35 and TSB36 caused a reduction in 260 261 the concentration of VFA (P<0.05) when added at 0.5 and 1 g/L. Almost all treatments 262 caused shifts in the molar proportions of VFA towards lower butyrate and higher propionate 263 (P<0.05), to different extents depending on the compound (Tables 5 and 6). Also, some of the 264 derivatives decreased the molar proportion of acetate (Table 4; P<0.05).

The natural saponin, hederoside B, decreased acetate and butyrate molar proportions by 8 and 18%, respectively, whereas it increased that of propionate by 35%, in comparison to the control. The greatest effect was observed with TSB35 (hederagenin *bis*-glutarate), TSB37 (hederagenin *bis*-betainate dichloride) and TSB47 (hederagenin *bis*-apidate) which, when added at 1 g/L, decreased the molar proportion of acetate and butyrate by 11-13.5% and 35.5-52.7%, respectively, with an increase in propionate of 64.5-84.2%. Cholesteryl succinate 271 (TSB39) and cholic tri-succinate (TSB41) did not have any effect on the molar proportions of 272 VFA. Cholic succinate (TSB40) caused only a slight decrease in butyrate (P=0.013) at 1 g/L, as compared to the control. TSB42 and TSB43 also resulted in decreases in acetate and 273 274 butyrate and increases in propionate although to a lesser extent than those caused by 275 hederoside B. Molar proportions of branched-chain VFA (BCVFA, i.e. isobutyrate and 276 isovalerate) decreased (P<0.05) in incubations with TSB24 (-13%) and TSB38 (-16%) at 1 277 g/L and TSB50, TSB51, TSB52 and TSB58 at 0.5 and 1 g/L (decreases of 22-24% at 1 g/L; 278 Table 7). TSB43, however, resulted in an increase (P=0.044) in BCVFA when added at 1 g/L 279 (+54%; Table 7). This was mainly due to changes in isovalerate rather than isobutyrate 280 (Supplemental Tables S2 and S3).

281

282 4. Discussion

283

284 The biological activity of saponins depends not only on the type of aglycone but also on the sugar composition and arrangement (Wina et al., 2006). The haemolytic action of 285 286 saponins is believed to be the result of the affinity of the aglycone moiety for membrane 287 sterols, particularly cholesterol with which they form insoluble complexes. It has been shown 288 that monodesmosidic saponins (a single sugar chain) were generally more active than 289 bidesmosidic ones (two sugar chains) (Voutquenne et al., 2002). A further study (Chwalek et 290 al., 2006) testing different hederagenin diglycosides concluded that even the substitution of a 291 monosaccharide with another monosaccharide within the sugar chain may change biological 292 activity of saponins. As far as we know, no studies on the correlation between the haemolytic 293 activity and antiprotozoal activity or on the relationship between saponin structure and 294 antiprotozoal activity in the rumen have been carried out.

295 Although the antiprotozoal effect of saponins has been consistently shown in *in vitro* 296 studies (Wina et al, 2005), it was also found to be transient (Newbold et al., 1997; Teferedegne et al., 1999). This transient nature has been associated to the degradation of 297 298 saponins, i.e. the cleavage of the glycosidic bonds towards the aglycone leaving the inactive 299 sapogenin behind, by rumen bacteria rather than to the ability of rumen protozoa to become 300 resistant (Newbold et al., 1997). Makkar and Becker (1997) reported the disappearance of 301 saponins from quillaja over time when incubated with buffered rumen fluid, with a reduction 302 of 50% after 12 h and by 100% at 24 h of the incubation. In the present study, we hypothesized that the substitution of the sugar moiety of the saponin with small polar residues 303 304 would produce sapogen-like analogues that might be resistant to ruminal degradation. Both 305 the acute antiprotozoal activity and the stability of that effect over 24 h of fifteen hederagenin 306 bis-esters esterified with two identical groups (Figure 1), and five cholesterol and cholic acid 307 based derivatives carrying one to three succinate residues (Figure 2) was evaluated. Our 5 h 308 in vitro incubations results showed that, irrespective of their resistance to degradation, some 309 of the hederagenin derivatives were more effective in reducing protozoa activity than the 310 natural saponin hederoside B. The greatest effect was shown with TSB45, TSB46 and TSB52 311 which reduced protozoa activity by 63-75% when they were incubated at 0.05 g/L. 312 Interestingly among the cholesterol and cholic acid derivatives, TSB39 (cholesteryl 313 succinate) had the lowest antiprotozoal effect and, TSB42 (lithocholic acid succinate) was 314 one of the most effective compounds tested, decreasing protozoa activity by 75% when added 315 at 0.05 g/L. These results agree with the observations of Takechi et al. (1996), who showed 316 that the biological activity that a specific chemical residue may provide is not transferable from one derivative to another. To study if the synthesised derivatives were still effective 317 318 against protozoa over a longer period of time, *in vitro* incubations were carried out sampling 319 at 0, 4, 8 and 24 h to assess the stability of the derivatives in a mixed rumen population.

320 Derivatives TSB24, TSB47 and TSB52 seemed to be very effective in causing a decrease in 321 protozoa motility over time without recovery after 24 h, contrary to the results observed for 322 hederoside B and the rest of compounds. Surprisingly, none of the cholesterol and cholic acid 323 derivatives showed an effect on protozoa motility. Although TSB42 had a strong effect in 324 bacterial breakdown by protozoa over 5 h of incubation, little effect on protozoa motility was 325 observed in 24 h in vitro batch cultures. These results may suggest a quicker degradation, and 326 thus the loss of activity, of this compound by rumen bacteria as compared with other 327 derivatives tested. It is apparent that the compounds that showed a high level of acute toxicity against protozoa were not always the most stable ones over time. A stability index was 328 329 calculated as the percentage of the 8 h activity that remained after 24 h (Figure 5). Even 330 though TSB35 reduced protozoa activity by 93% when added at 1 g/L, this compound was 331 among the least stable derivatives. TSB24 and TSB47, however, showed both high toxicity 332 (reduction of protozoa activity of 95-100%) and stability over time.

Most of the hederagenin derivatives did not influence total VFA concentration. 333 334 However, shifts in the molar proportions of VFA towards lower acetate and butyrate which 335 was compensated by a higher propionate were observed. These changes have been previously 336 reported when using different sources of saponins (Wina et al., 2005; Patra and Saxena, 337 2009a; Jayanegara et al., 2014). The shifts in the molar proportions of butyrate and 338 propionate shown in the presence of TSB35, TSB37 and TSB47 were, however, much greater 339 than those that would have been expected because of defaunation. A recent meta-analysis 340 showed that defaunation decreased butyrate by 22% with no effect on propionate (Newbold 341 et al., 2015). It should be pointed out that TSB37 was of low purity (66%) and thus, this 342 hederagenin derivative could have been more effective than others with higher purity. 343 However, it is possible that the effects observed in the presence of TSB37 were due to the impurities in this derivative. Although our target in using the synthesised compounds was to 344

345 control protozoal activity, other microorganisms may also have been directly or indirectly affected by the derivatives resulting in further effects on rumen fermentation. Indeed, a direct 346 347 effect of saponins on bacteria, probably mediated by disruption of the cell membrane (Patra 348 and Saxena, 2009a,b; Bodas et al., 2012), has been reported. Similarly, saponins can exert 349 antifungal activity by the interaction with membrane sterols leading to pore formation and 350 loss of membrane integrity (Goel et al., 2008. Patra and Saxena, 2009a,b).

351 Clearly modifications in the structure of hederagenin resulted in compounds with 352 different biological activities in vitro. Whereas some compounds (TSB24) were more 353 effective in reducing protozoa activity and motility, others (TSB37) caused a substantial 354 increase in propionate. If the effect of these compounds can be confirmed in vivo, the use of 355 these modified triterpenes in ruminant nutrition will have the potential to improve the 356 efficiency of nitrogen utilization and decrease methane production thus potential boosting SIOTICI. 357 productivity.

358

359 Conclusion

360

361 Most of the hederagenin bis-esters, and in particular hederagenin bis-sucinate 362 (TSB24), hederagenin bis-betainate dichloride (TSB37) and hederagenin bis-adipate (TSB47) 363 had a persistent effect against rumen protozoa in vitro, shifting the fermentation pattern 364 towards higher propionate and lower butyrate. The confirmation of these effects in vivo 365 would help to determine if these novel chemically modified triterpenes could potentially be 366 used in ruminant diets as an effective defaunation agent to, ultimately, increase nitrogen 367 utilization, decrease methane emissions and enhance animal production.

368

369 Acknowledgements

This work was financed by the Innovate UK project "Ivy for ruminants" Ref: 101091. CJN acknowledges the support of the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, UK via grant number BB/J0013/1. The Authors thank E. Jones and S.E. Girdwood for their technical assistance.

374

375 Author's contribution

376 ER, SD, CW, MB, ML, DP, RB and CN contributed to the conception and design of the

377 work; ER and GF conducted the research; ER wrote the manuscript; ER, GF, SD, CW, MB,

378 ML, DP, RB and CN reviewed the manuscript. ER and CN had primary responsibility for the

- 379 final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
- 380

381 **References**

- Bodas, R., Prieto, N., García-González, R., Andrés, S., Giráldez, F. J., and López S. (2012).
- 383 Manipulation of rumen fermentation and methane production with plant secondary 384 metabolites. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.* 176, 78–93.
- 385 Chwalek, M., Lalun, N., Bobichon, H., Plé, K., and Voutquenne-Nazabadioko, L. (2006).
- 386 Structure-activity relationships of some hederagenin diglycosides: Haemolysis, cytotoxicity
- and apoptosis induction. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1760, 1418–1427.
- de la Fuente, G., Skirnisson, K. and Dehority, B. A. (2006). Rumen ciliate fauna of Icelandic
- 389 cattle, sheep, goats and reindeer. Zootaxa 1377, 47–60.
- 390 Dehority, B. A. (1993). Laboratory manual for classification and morphology of ruminal
- 391 ciliate protozoa. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- 392 Francis, G., Kerem, Z., Makkar, H. P. S., and Becker, K. (2002). The biological action of
- 393 saponins in animal systems: a review. Br. J. Nutr. 88, 587–605.

- 394 Finlay, B. J, Esteban, G., Clarke, K. J., Williams, A. G., Embley, T. M., and Hirt, R. P.
- 395 (1994). Some rumen ciliates have endosymbiotic methanogens. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 117,
 396 157–162.
- Goel, G., Makkar, H. P. S., and Becker, K. (2008). Changes in microbial community
 structure, methanogenesis and rumen fermentation in response to saponin-rich fractions from
 different plant materials. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 105, 770–777.
- Jayanegara, A., Wina, E., and Takahashi, J. (2014). Meta-analysis on methane mitigation
 properties of saponin-rich sources in the rumen: Influence of addition levels and plant
 sources. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 27, 1426-1435.
- 403 Kizu, H., Kitayama, S., Nakatani, F., Tomimori, T., and Namba, T. (1985). Studies on
- 404 Nepalese Crude Drugs. III. On the Saponins of Hedera nepalensis K. KOCH. *Chem. Pharm.*405 *Bull.* 33, 3324-3339.
- Makkar, H. P. S., and Becker, K. (1997). Degradation of Quillaja saponins by mixed culture
 of rumen microbe. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 25, 243-245.
- Menke, K. H., and Steingass, H. (1988). Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from
 chemical analysis and gas production using rumen fluid. *Anim. Res. Dev.* 28, 7–55.
- 410 Morgavi, D. P., Boudra, H., Jouany, J. P., and Michalet-Doreau, B. (2004). Effect and
- 411 stability of gliotoxin, an Aspergillus fumigatus toxin, on in vitro rumen fermentation. Food
- 412 *Addit. Contam.* 21, 871-8.
- 413 Newbold, C. J., Lassalas, B., and Jouany, J. P. (1995). The importance of methanogens
- 414 associated with ciliate protozoa in ruminal methane production *in vitro*. *Letts*. *Appl. Micro*.
- 415 21, 230–234
- 416 Newbold, C. J., ElHassan, S. M., Wang, J., Ortega, M. E., and Wallace, R. J. (1997).
- 417 Influence of foliage from African multipurpose trees on activity of rumen protozoa and
- 418 bacteria. Br. J. Nutr. 78, 237–249.

Newbold, C. J. (2010). "Assessing antiprotozoal agents" in: *In vitro Screening of plant resources for extra-nutritional attributes in ruminants*, ed P. E. Vercoe, H. P. S. Makkar, and

421 C. Schilink, 47-53.

- 422 Newbold, C. J., de la Fuente, G., Belanche, A., Ramos-Morales, E., and McEwan, N.R.
- 423 (2015). The role of ciliate protozoa in the rumen. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 1313. doi:
 424 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01313
- Patra, A. K, and Saxena, J. (2009a). The effect and mode of action of saponins on the
 microbial populations and fermentation in the rumen and ruminant production. *Nutr. Res. Rev.* 22, 204–219.
- 428 Patra, A. K, and Saxena, J. (2009b). Dietary phytochemicals as rumen modifiers: a review of
- 429 the effects on microbial populations. *Anton. van Leeuwen.* 96, 363–375.
- 430 Podolak, I., Galanty, A., and Sobolewska, D. (2010). Saponins as cytotoxic agents: a review.
 431 *Phytochem. Rev.* 9, 425–474.
- 432 Ramos-Morales, E., Duval, S., Wehrli, C., Bouillon, M., Preskett, D., Braganca, R., Baird,
- 433 M., Al-Dulayymi, J.R., Strawson, S.W., and Newbold, C. J. (2016). New bis esters of Ivy
- 434 sapogenins for ruminants. Patent PCT/EP2016062383.
- 435 Stewart, C.S., and Duncan, S.H. (1985). The effect of avoparcin on cellulolytic bacteria of the
- 436 ovine rumen. J. Gen. Microbiol., 131, 427–435.
- Takechi, M., Uno, C., and Tanaka, Y. (1996). Structure–activity relationships of synthetic
 saponins. *Phytochem.* 41, 121–123.
- 439 Teferedegne, B., McIntosh, F., Osuji, P. O., Odenyo, A., Wallace, R. J., and Newbold, C. J.
- 440 (1999). Influence of foliage from different accessions of the sub-tropical leguminous tree,
- 441 Sesbania sesban, on ruminal protozoa in Ethiopian and Scottish sheep. Anim. Feed Sci.
- 442 *Technol.* 78, 11–20.

- Voutquenne, L., Lavaud, G.Massiot, C., and LeMen-Olivier, L. (2002). Structure–activity
 relationships of haemolytic saponins. *Pharm. Biol.* 40, 253–262.
- Wallace, R. J., and McPherson, C.A., (1987). Factors affecting the rate of breakdown of
 bacterial protein in rumen fluid. *Br. J. Nutr.* 58, 313-323.
- 447 Wallace, R. J., McKain, N., Shingfield, K. J., and Devillard, E. (2007). Isomers of conjugated
- 448 linoleic acids are synthesized via different mechanisms in ruminal digesta and bacteria. J.
- 449 *Lipid Res.* 48, 2247-54.
- 450 Wanapat, M., Kongmun, P., Poungchompu, O., Cherdthong, A., Khejornsart, P., Pilajun, R.,
- 451 and Kaenpakdee, S. (2012). Effects of plants containing secondary compounds and plant oils
- 452 on rumen fermentation and ecology. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 44, 399–405.
- Williams, A. G., and Coleman, G. S. (1992). *The Rumen Protozoa*. New York: SpringerVerlag.
- Wina, E., Muetzel, S., and Becker, K. (2005). The impact of saponins or saponin- containing
 plant materials on ruminant production—a review. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 53, 8093–8105.
- Wina, E., Muetzel, S., and Becker, K. (2006). Effects of daily and interval feeding of
 Sapindus rarak saponins on protozoa, rumen fermentation parameters and digestibility in
 sheep. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 19, 1580–1587.

- 461 Table 1. Inhibition of protozoa activity (% in respect to the control, no addition) by462 hederagenin and bile acid derivatives, added at 0.05, 0.1, 0.5 or 1 g/L.
- 463

		Dose	(g/L)	
	0.05	0.1	0.5	1
Hederoside B	5.11	22.0	86.0	84.6
Hederagenin derivatives				
TSB24: Hederagenin bis-sucinate	5.72	18.8	96.5	100
TSB33: Hederagenin bis-(methylethylenglycolacetate)	13.6	29.7	51.3	64.5
TSB34: Hederagenin bis-(MeO-PEG4-carbonate)	7.69	14.1	65.5	69.6
TSB35: Hederagenin bis-glutarate	7.69	36.0	95.5	93.1
TSB36: Hederagenin bis-glycincarbamate	0.55	6.19	55.3	93.8
TSB37: Hederagenin bis-betainate dichloride	16.9	29.1	90.9	94.2
TSB38: Hederagenin bis-sulfate disodium salt	1.32	4.07	47.9	83.9
TSB44: Hederagenin bis-lactate	39.1	86.5	98.3	98.4
TSB45: Hederagenin bis-(2,2-dimethylsuccinate)	63.1	93.6	96.9	97.8
TSB46: Hederagenin bis-(3,3-dimethylglutarate)	75.3	93.0	97.2	96.7
TSB47: Hederagenin bis-adipate	29.6	78.1	98.0	94.0
TSB50: Hederagenin-bis-(diglycolate)	1.06	8.45	75.3	74.3
TSB51: Hederagenin bis-(diglycinate)	1.74	0.29	54.2	63.8
TSB52: Hederagenin bis-(3,3-dimethylsuccinate)	66.7	95.2	98.8	98.4
TSB58: Hederagenin bis-L-tartrate monomethyl ester	0	4.1	95.2	98.2
Cholesterol and Cholic acid derivatives				
TSB39: Cholesteryl succinate	6.42	18.2	17.7	17.6
TSB40: Cholic succinate	25.2	23.5	26.5	42.6
TSB41: Cholic tri-succinate	26.4	21.9	32.9	67.1
TSB42: Lithocholic succinate	75.1	92.8	97.5	97.4
TSB43: Chenodesoxycholic bis-succinate	1.68	5.66	15.5	53.4
SED				
Treatment	4.94***			
Dose	2.16***			
Treatment x Dose	9.88***			

464 SED: Standard error of the difference; ***: P<0.001.

465

467 Table 2. Effect of Hederagenin and bile acid derivatives, added at 0.5 or 1 g/L, on pH after 24

468 h of incubation (batch culture).

	Dose g/L				
	0	0.5	1	SED	Р
		рН			
Hederoside B	6.03	-	6.09	0.048	0.253
Hederagenin derivatives					
TSB24: Hederagenin bis-sucinate	6.16	6.11	6.15	0.039	0.493
TSB33: Hederagenin bis-					
(methylethylenglycolacetate)	6.31	6.32	6.31	0.009	0.824
TSB34: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(MeO-PEG4-carbonate)	6.31	6.31	6.31	0.013	0.924
TSB35: Hederagenin bis-glutarate	6.31ª	6.38 ^b	6.38 ^b	0.019	0.017
TSB36: Hederagenin bis-glycincarbamate	6.31	6.32	6.29	0.019	0.39
TSB37: Hederagenin bis-betainate dichloride	6.31ª	6.36 ^b	6.39 ^c	0.008	<0.001
TSB38: Hederagenin bis-sulfate disodiumsalt	6.41	6.39	6.40	0.014	0.385
TSB44: Hederagenin bis-lactate	6.03	6.04	6.04	0.031	0.874
TSB45: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(2,2-dimethylsuccinate)	6.03ª	6.12 ^b	6.12 ^b	0.033	0.051
TSB46: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(3,3-dimethylglutarate)	6.16	6.11	6.10	0.034	0.32
TSB47: Hederagenin bis-adipate	6.16	6.11	6.15	0.043	0.567
TSB50: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(diglycolate)	6.16	6.10	6.11	0.036	0.294
TSB51: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(diglycinate)	6.16	6.06	6.10	0.038	0.122
TSB52: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(3,3-dimethylsuccinate)	6.16	6.14	6.16	0.042	0.834
TSB58: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -L-tartrate monomethyl					
ester	6.16	6.12	6.12	0.034	0.464
Cholesterol and Cholic acid derivatives					
TSB39: Cholesteryl succinate	6.18	6.20	6.18	0.031	0.74
TSB40: Cholic succinate	6.03	6.06	6.06	0.026	0.419
TSB41: Cholic tri-succinate	6.03	6.04	6.00	0.019	0.263
TSB42: Lithocholic succinate	6.18	6.19	6.18	0.020	0.876
TSB43: Chenodesoxycholic bis-succinate	6.03	6.05	6.03	0.021	0.508

a-cMeans with different superscript differ (*n*=4).

473 Table 3. Effect of Hederagenin and bile acid derivatives, added at 0.5 or 1 g/L, on total VFA

474 (mM) after 24 h of incubation (batch culture).

	Dose g/L				
	0	0.5	1	SED	Р
	total VFA (mM)				
Hederoside B	82.5	-	77.2	3.1	0.185
Hederagenin derivatives					
TSB24: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -sucinate	70.1	65.4	70.9	4.39	0.448
TSB33: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -					
(methylethylenglycolacetate)	80.1	78.6	76.3	3.88	0.647
TSB34: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(MeO-PEG4-carbonate)	80.1	75.0	73.9	4.19	0.355
TSB35: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -glutarate	80.1 ^b	68.7ª	66.7ª	3.14	0.011
TSB36: Hederagenin bis-glycincarbamate	80.1 ^b	71.2ª	69.6ª	3.42	0.045
TSB37: Hederagenin bis-betainate dichloride	80.1	70.8	72.1	3.34	0.065
TSB38: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -sulfate disodiumsalt	74.3	70.6	67.3	6.32	0.568
TSB44: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -lactate	82.5	82.0	82.0	2.04	0.958
TSB45: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(2,2-dimethylsuccinate)	82.5	80.9	73.4	3.47	0.079
TSB46: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(3,3-dimethylglutarate)	70.1	73.8	73.8	3.29	0.467
TSB47: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -adipate	70.1	73.1	70.8	3.16	0.620
TSB50: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(diglycolate)	70.1	73.3	73.3	3.84	0.638
TSB51: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(diglycinate)	70.1	69.7	74.0	3.84	0.503
TSB52: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(3,3-dimethylsuccinate)	70.1	69.5	71.3	4.49	0.914
TSB58: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -L-tartrate monomethyl					
ester	70.1	71.9	72.3	3.64	0.811
Cholesterol and Cholic acid derivatives					
TSB39: Cholesteryl succinate	72.5	72.5	73.3	0.579	0.333
TSB40: Cholic succinate	82.5	80.4	81.3	2.48	0.704
TSB41: Cholic tri-succinate	82.5	81.5	79.1	2.85	0.512
TSB42: Lithocholic succinate	72.5	73.0	66.5	2.46	0.070
TSB43: Chenodesoxycholic bis-succinate	82.5	78.9	77.3	2.98	0.279

- 479 Table 4. Effect of Hederagenin and bile acid derivatives, added at 0.5 or 1 g/L, on Acetate
- 480 (%) after 24 h of incubation (batch culture).

		Dose g/L			
	0	0.5	1	SED	P
	Acetate	e % of tota	al VFA		
Hederoside B	64.8	-	59.8	0.681	0.005
Hederagenin derivatives					
TSB24: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -sucinate	64.1 ^b	62.1 ^b	59.4ª	0.837	0.004
TSB33: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -					
(methylethylenglycolacetate)	66.1	65.3	65.2	0.435	0.148
TSB34: Hederagenin bis-(MeO-PEG4-					
carbonate)	66.1	65.4	65.2	0.575	0.31
TSB35: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -glutarate	66.1 ^c	60.2 ^b	57.2ª	0.468	<0.001
TSB36: Hederagenin bis-glycincarbamate	66.1 ^b	64.7ª	64.1ª	0.472	0.012
TSB37: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -betainate dichloride	66.1 ^c	65.5 ^b	58.3ª	0.751	<0.001
TSB38: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -sulfate disodiumsalt	62.3	62.7	61.8	0.502	0.259
TSB44: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -lactate	64.8	65.4	65.3	0.964	0.787
TSB45: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(2,2-					
dimethylsuccinate)	64.8 ^b	61.7ª	61.1ª	1.177	0.041
TSB46: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(3,3-					
dimethylglutarate)	64.1 ^b	61.6 ^a	60.3ª	0.767	0.007
TSB47: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -adipate	64.1 ^c	59.1 ^b	56.8ª	0.875	<0.001
TSB50: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(diglycolate)	64.1	64.4	65.2	1.071	0.582
TSB51: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(diglycinate)	64.1	65.6	64.7	0.77	0.207
TSB52: Hederagenin bis-(3,3-					
dimethylsuccinate)	64.1 ^b	60.8ª	60.3ª	0.827	0.008
TSB58: Hederagenin bis-L-tartrate					
monomethyl ester	64.1	65.5	65.3	0.841	0.244
Cholesterol and Cholic acid derivatives					
TSB39: Cholesteryl succinate	61.8	61.8	61.8	0.19	0.993
TSB40: Cholic succinate	64.8	65.0	64.7	0.941	0.948
TSB41: Cholic tri-succinate	64.8	65.5	64.9	1.02	0.744
TSB42: Lithocholic succinate	61.8 ^b	61.2 ^b	60.1ª	0.322	0.005
TSB43: Chenodesoxycholic bis-succinate	64.8 ^b	64.4 ^b	61.1ª	1.25	0.047
^{A-c} Means with different superscript differ (<i>n</i> =	4).				

486 Table 5. Effect of Hederagenin and bile acid derivatives, added at 0.5 or 1 g/L, on Propionate

487 (%) after 24 h of incubation (batch culture).

		Dose g/L			
	0	0.5	1	SED	Р
	Propior	nate % of to	otal VFA		
Hederoside B	20.1	-	27.2	1.04	0.006
Hederagenin derivatives					
TSB24: Hederagenin bis-sucinate	18.3ª	25.9 ^b	30.5 ^c	1.13	<0.001
TSB33: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -					
(methylethylenglycolacetate)	18.6ª	19.7 ^{ab}	20.3 ^b	0.516	0.038
TSB34: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(MeO-PEG4-carbonate)	18.6ª	20.1 ^{ab}	20.8 ^b	0.715	0.05
TSB35: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -glutarate	18.6ª	28.0 ^b	31.4 ^c	0.781	<0.001
TSB36: Hederagenin bis-glycincarbamate	18.6ª	20.7 ^b	22.5 ^c	0.683	0.004
TSB37: Hederagenin bis-betainate dichloride	18.6ª	24.9 ^b	30.6 ^c	1.12	<0.001
TSB38: Hederagenin bis-sulfate disodiumsalt	20.9ª	22.3ª	24.5 ^b	0.575	0.002
TSB44: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -lactate	20.1	19.5	20.5	1.10	0.632
TSB45: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(2,2-dimethylsuccinate)	20.1ª	27.2 ^b	28.3 ^b	1.44	0.002
TSB46: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(3,3-dimethylglutarate)	18.3ª	27.4 ^b	28.9 ^b	0.984	<0.001
TSB47: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -adipate	18.3ª	30.4 ^b	33.7°	1.00	<0.001
TSB50: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(diglycolate)	18.3ª	20.2 ^b	20.5 ^b	0.698	0.041
TSB51: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(diglycinate)	18.3ª	19.8 ^b	22.4 ^c	0.496	<0.001
TSB52: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(3,3-dimethylsuccinate)	18.3ª	28.6 ^b	29.7⁵	1.18	<0.001
TSB58: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -L tartrate monomethyl					
ester	18.3ª	19.0 ^a	20.9 ^b	0.579	0.011
Cholesterol and Cholic acid derivatives					
TSB39: Cholesteryl succinate	21.0	20.8	21.0	0.167	0.458
TSB40: Cholic succinate	20.1	19.7	20.5	1.139	0.817
TSB41: Cholic tri-succinate	20.1	19.1	19.6	0.961	0.643
TSB42: Lithocholic succinate	21.0ª	22.8 ^b	25.0 ^c	0.559	0.001
TSB43: Chenodesoxycholic bis-succinate	20.1	20.6	23.9	1.476	0.079

493 Table 6. Effect of Hederagenin and bile acid derivatives, added at 0.5 or 1 g/L, on Butyrate

494 (%) after 24 h of incubation (batch culture).

0 Butyrate 2.1 4.3 1.7 1.7 ^b	0.5 2 % of tota - 8.8 11.5 11 2 ^{ab}	1 al VFA 9.83 7.2 11.1	SED 0.427 0.606	P 0.013 <0.001
Butyrate 2.1 4.3 1.7 1.7 ^b	- 8.8 11.5 11 2ªb	al VFA 9.83 7.2 11.1	0.427	0.013 <0.001
2.1 4.3 1.7 1.7 ^b	- 8.8 11.5 11 2ªb	9.83 7.2 11.1	0.427	0.013
.4.3 .1.7 1.7 ^b	8.8 11.5 11 2ª ^b	7.2 11.1	0.606	<0.001
.4.3 .1.7 1.7 ^b	8.8 11.5 11 2 ^{ab}	7.2 11.1	0.606	<0.001
.1.7 1.7 ^b	11.5 11 2ªb	11.1		
.1.7 1.7 ^b	11.5 11 2 ^{ab}	11.1		
1.7 ^b 1.7 ^b	11 2 ^{ab}		0.25	0.1
1 7h		10.7ª	0.231	0.017
1./~	7.92ª	7.54ª	0.253	<0.001
1.7 ^c	11.2 ^b	10.1ª	0.150	<0.001
1.7 ^c	9.17 ^b	7.70 ^a	0.375	<0.001
2.8 ^c	11.3 ^b	10.2ª	0.4	0.002
2.1 ^b	11.7 ^b	11.0 ^a	0.173	0.003
2.1 ^b	7.74 ^a	7.62ª	0.394	<0.001
4.3 ^b	8.26ª	7.76ª	0.571	<0.001
4.3 ^b	7.35ª	6.78ª	0.608	<0.001
4.3 ^b	12.6ª	11.7ª	0.506	0.005
4.3 ^b	11.7ª	10.2ª	0.606	0.001
4.3 ^b	7.86ª	7.33ª	0.746	0.001
4.3 ^c	12.5 ^b	11.2ª	0.383	<0.001
2.9	13.0	12.8	0.094	0.341
2.1 ^b	11.8 ^b	11.3ª	0.178	0.013
.2.1	12.0	12.1	0.322	0.938
2.9 ^c	11.4 ^b	10.2ª	0.257	<0.001
2.1 ^c	11.5 ^b	10.9ª	0.176	0.002
	L.7 ^b L.7 ^c L.7 ^c 2.8 ^c 2.1 ^b 4.3 ^b 4.3 ^b 4.3 ^b 4.3 ^b 4.3 ^b 4.3 ^c 4.3 ^c 2.1 ^b 2.1 ^b 2.1 ^c	1.7^{b} 7.92^{a} 1.7^{c} 11.2^{b} 1.7^{c} 9.17^{b} 2.8^{c} 11.3^{b} 2.1^{b} 11.7^{b} 2.1^{b} 11.7^{b} 2.1^{b} 11.7^{b} 2.1^{b} 7.74^{a} 4.3^{b} 7.35^{a} 4.3^{b} 12.6^{a} 4.3^{b} 12.6^{a} 4.3^{b} 12.6^{a} 4.3^{c} 12.5^{b} 2.9 13.0 2.1^{b} 11.8^{b} 2.1 12.0 2.9^{c} 11.4^{b} 2.1^{c} 11.5^{b}	1.7b 11.2^{ab} 10.7^{a} 1.7^{b} 7.92^{a} 7.54^{a} 1.7^{c} 11.2^{b} 10.1^{a} 1.7^{c} 9.17^{b} 7.70^{a} 2.8^{c} 11.3^{b} 10.2^{a} 2.1^{b} 1.7^{b} 11.0^{a} 2.1^{b} 7.74^{a} 7.62^{a} 4.3^{b} 7.35^{a} 6.78^{a} 4.3^{b} 12.6^{a} 11.7^{a} 4.3^{b} 12.6^{a} 11.7^{a} 4.3^{b} 12.5^{b} 11.2^{a} 4.3^{c} 12.5^{b} 11.2^{a} 2.9 13.0 12.8 2.1^{b} 11.8^{b} 11.3^{a} 2.1 12.0 12.1 2.9^{c} 11.4^{b} 10.2^{a} 2.1^{c} 11.5^{b} 10.9^{a}	1.7^{b} 11.2^{ab} 10.7^{a} 0.231 1.7^{b} 7.92^{a} 7.54^{a} 0.253 1.7^{c} 11.2^{b} 10.1^{a} 0.150 1.7^{c} 9.17^{b} 7.70^{a} 0.375 2.8^{c} 11.3^{b} 10.2^{a} 0.4 2.1^{b} 11.7^{b} 11.0^{a} 0.173 2.1^{b} 7.74^{a} 7.62^{a} 0.394 4.3^{b} 8.26^{a} 7.76^{a} 0.571 4.3^{b} 7.35^{a} 6.78^{a} 0.608 4.3^{b} 12.6^{a} 11.7^{a} 0.506 4.3^{b} 12.6^{a} 11.7^{a} 0.606 4.3^{b} 7.86^{a} 7.33^{a} 0.746 4.3^{c} 12.5^{b} 11.2^{a} 0.383 2.9 13.0 12.8 0.094 2.1^{b} 11.8^{b} 11.3^{a} 0.178 2.1 12.0 12.1 0.322 2.9^{c} 11.4^{b} 10.2^{a} 0.257 2.1^{c} 11.5^{b} 10.9^{a} 0.176

499 Table 7. Effect of Hederagenin and bile acid derivatives, added at 0.5 or 1 g/L, on branched

500 chain volatile fatty acids (BCVFA) (%) after 24 h of incubation (batch culture).

		Dose g/L			
	0	0.5	1	SED	Р
	BCV	/FA % of to	otal		
		VFA			
Hederoside B	1.95	-	2.08	0.161	0.474
Hederagenin derivatives					
TSB24: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -sucinate	2.08 ^b	1.96 ^{ab}	1.81ª	0.081	0.045
TSB33: Hederagenin <i>bis-</i>					
(methylethylenglycolacetate)	2.47	2.29	2.29	0.126	0.307
TSB34: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(MeO-PEG4-carbonate)	2.47ª	2.19 ^{ab}	2.11 ^b	0.124	0.056
TSB35: Hederagenin bis-glutarate	2.47	2.23	2.65	0.216	0.219
TSB36: Hederagenin bis-glycincarbamate	2.47	2.33	2.34	0.229	0.775
TSB37: Hederagenin bis-betainate dichloride	2.47	2.26	2.35	0.082	0.103
TSB38: Hederagenin bis-sulfate disodiumsalt	2.64 ^b	2.42 ^{ab}	2.22ª	0.120	0.032
TSB44: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -lactate	1.95	2.30	2.07	0.275	0.469
TSB45: Hederagenin bis-(2,2-dimethylsuccinate)	1.95	2.36	1.85	0.321	0.305
TSB46: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(3,3-dimethylglutarate)	2.08 ^b	1.68ª	2.01 ^b	0.096	0.012
TSB47: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -adipate	2.08	1.91	1.77	0.166	0.263
TSB50: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(diglycolate)	2.08 ^b	1.79 ^a	1.62ª	0.11	0.016
TSB51: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(diglycinate)	2.08 ^b	1.69ª	1.63ª	0.08	0.003
TSB52: Hederagenin <i>bis</i> -(3,3-dimethylsuccinate)	2.08 ^b	1.59ª	1.58ª	0.088	0.002
TSB58: Hederagenin bis-L-tartrate monomethyl					
ester	2.08 ^b	1.86 ^b	1.57ª	0.091	0.004
Cholesterol and Cholic acid derivatives					
TSB39: Cholesteryl succinate	3.10	3.23	3.13	0.261	0.879
TSB40: Cholic succinate	1.95	2.40	2.45	0.209	0.1
TSB41: Cholic tri-succinate	1.95	2.30	2.31	0.1772	0.141
TSB42: Lithocholic succinate	3.10	3.63	3.49	0.191	0.203
TSB43: Chenodesoxycholic bis-succinate	1.95ª	2.52 ^{ab}	3.00 ^b	0.319	0.044
^{a-b} Means with different superscript differ ($n=4$).					

- 505 Figure legends
- 506
- 507 Figure 1. Structure of Hederagenin derivatives.
- 508 Figure 2. Structure of Cholesterol and Cholic acid derivatives and Hederoside B.
- 509 Figure 3. Protozoa motility over 24 h in the absence (control) or presence of different
- 510 hederagenin derivatives at 0.5 (A) and 1 g/L (B). Hederoside B was used as a positive control
- 511 at 1 g/L. Error bars indicate the standard error of the difference for each time point (n = 4).
- 512 Figure 4. Protozoa motility over 24 h in the absence (control) or presence of different
- 513 cholesterol and cholic acid derivatives at 0.5 (A) and 1 g/L (B). Hederoside B was used as a
- 514 positive control at 1 g/L. Error bars indicate the standard error of the difference for each time
- 515 point (n = 4).
- 516 Figure 5. Stability index (calculated as the percentage of the motility at 8 h that remained at
- 517 24 h) against motility scores at 8 h in the presence of hederagenin and cholesterol and cholic
- 518 acid derivatives and hederoside B (HB) at 1 g/L. Error bars indicate the standard error of the
- 519 difference (n = 4).
- 520







Figure 04.JPEG



