

#### Aberystwyth University

The sky is the limit Williams, Richard; Tooth, Stephen; Gibson, Morgan

Published in: Journal of Geography in Higher Education

DOI: 10.1080/03098265.2016.1241986

Publication date: 2016

Citation for published version (APA): Williams, R., Tooth, S., & Gibson, M. (2016). The sky is the limit: Reconstructing physical geography from an aerial perspective. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, *41*(1), 134-146. https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2016.1241986

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk



#### The sky is the limit: reconstructing physical geography from an aerial perspective

Journal:	Journal of Geography in Higher Education
Manuscript ID	CJGH-2015-0085.R1
Manuscript Type:	Resources Paper
Keywords:	Fieldwork, Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry (SfM), Technology, Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV), Aerial imagery, Digital Elevation Model (DEM)



## The sky is the limit: reconstructing physical geography from an aerial perspective

#### 3 Abstract

In an era of rapid geographical data acquisition, interpretations of remote sensing products are an integral part of many undergraduate geography degree schemes but there are fewer opportunities for collection and processing of primary remote sensing data. Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) provide a relatively inexpensive opportunity to introduce the principles and practice of airborne remote sensing into fieldcourses, enabling students to learn about image acquisition, data processing and interpretation of derived products. Two case studies illustrate how a low cost "DJI Phantom Vision+" UAV can be used by students to acquire images that can be processed using Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry software. Results from a student questionnaire and analysis of assessed student reports showed that using UAVs enhanced student engagement and equipped them with data processing skills. The derivation of bespoke orthophotos and Digital Elevation Models has the potential to provide students with opportunities to gain insight into various remote sensing data quality issues, although additional training is required to maximise this potential. Recognition of the successes and limitations of this teaching intervention provides scope for improving future UAV exercises. UAVs are enabling both a reconstruction of how we measure the Earth's surface and a reconstruction of how students do fieldwork.

#### 20 Keywords

21 Aerial imagery, Digital Elevation Model (DEM), fieldwork, physical geography, Structure-

22 from-Motion photogrammetry (SfM), technology, Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV)

URL: http:/mc.manuscriptcentral.com/cjgh

A key attribute of geography graduates is an ability to acquire, represent and interpret spatial data (e.g. maps, aerial photographs, satellite imagery), and to use these data to interpret the physical and human aspects of landscapes. Over the last decade, the quality and availability of aerial photographs and satellite imagery has rapidly increased following the release of virtual globes such as Google Earth (Tooth, 2006, 2013), and these have provided invaluable resources for learning and teaching in geography in schools and higher education (Tooth, 2015). In physical geography, such resources have been supplemented by increased open access to high resolution (metre and sub-metre in the horizontal, with c. 0.1 m vertical accuracy) three-dimensional Digital Elevation Models (DEMs). For example, LiDAR data is available via OpenTopography in the USA (www.opentopography.org; Krishnan et al., 2011) and via the UK Government Data portal in England (https://data.gov.uk/). Furthermore, the development of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) now enable scientists and environmental managers to acquire high-resolution aerial imagery (Anderson and Gaston, 2013; Carrivick et al., 2103; Eisenbeiss et al. 2011; Hugenholtz et al., 2012; Marris, 2013; Turner et al., 2016), and Structure-from-Motion (SfM) photogrammetry (James and Robson, 2012; Micheletti et al., 2015; Westoby et al., 2012) enables orthophoto and DEM production from a projected two-dimensional motion field that is generated from a set of images. Coupling these data acquisition and processing technologies together thus provides opportunities to generate high resolution digital topographic datasets (Lucieer et al., 2014; Tamminga et al., 2015; Tonkin et al., 2014; Woodget et al., 2014; Westoby et al., 2015) that are generally lower in cost for areas less than c. 1 km<sup>2</sup> than datasets derived from manned aircraft surveys (Glennie et al., 2013; Lillesand et al., 2015). Physical geographers, and in

particular geomorphologists, are at the forefront of these technical developments and applications (Passalacqua et al., 2015; Tarolli, 2014). In the social sciences, research is being directed towards examining the use of UAVs in a range of applications, including military (Greene, 2015; Shaw, 2013) and civilian (Culver, 2014; Finn and Wright, 2012), while Birtchnell and Gibson (2015) describe an exercise to explore the reactions of human geography students to using UAVs. Yet within university geography departments, the principles and practices of primary UAV image acquisition and associated data processing have not been widely transferred to the undergraduate curriculum (Jordan, 2015), despite the transformative potential for enhancing student understanding of the nature, rates and drivers of landscape changes.

Following a brief review of the role of technology in physical geography student fieldwork, the aim of this paper is to summarise a teaching procedure whereby students can use a low-cost UAV and off-the-shelf SfM software to produce an accurate, high-resolution orthophoto and DEM. We present the teaching and learning procedure adopted during two case studies undertaken during a physical geography fieldcourse; one is an instructor-led exercise whilst the other is from an independent student group project. We evaluate the outcomes by considering: (i) the results from a questionnaire that was completed after the first case study; (ii) the level of engagement with the technology that was achieved in the second case study; and (iii) our own reflections on student learning.

65 Physical geography fieldwork and technology

Teaching students in the field is of paramount importance for inherently field-based
disciplines such as physical geography (Fisher, 2001). In the UK's quality code for higher
education (QAA, 2014), fieldwork is described as a characteristic and essential element of

undergraduate geography degrees. Abundant pedagogical research also suggests that not
only are students motivated by fieldwork (e.g. Fuller et al., 2003) but learn more outside
than in the classroom (Salvage et al., 2004), particularly because experiential learning in the
field also leads to deep learning (Auer, 2008).

Fuller et al. (2006) note that students like using technical equipment in the field, designing their own research projects, and analysing data. Nevertheless, despite some notable exceptions, there are relatively few assessments of teaching and learning when using instruments or other technologies during undergraduate fieldwork (FitzPatrick et al., 2012; Fuller and France, 2016; France et al., 2016; Welsh and France, 2012; Welsh et al., 2012; Welsh et al., 2015). In part, this may be because instruments are not being regularly deployed during fieldwork teaching. Indeed, in a survey of undergraduate fieldwork practitioners, Welsh et al. (2013) found that technology tends to be used before and after fieldwork, but was least used during fieldwork. For those who were using technology in the field, the four most commonly used types of hardware were digital cameras, GPS, smartphones and phones. This situation contrasts with the use of electronic sensors and data recording through remote sensing and digital storage in contemporary physical geography field-based research (Church, 2013) and applied environmental management. A gap is thus emerging between data acquisition and remote sensing in research and the applied environmental workplace, and what is being taught at the undergraduate level. In the UK, 'technology use' (e.g. UAVs) in field contexts has even been identified as part of a more general fieldwork "skills gap" by graduate employers in the environmental sector (Natural Environment Research Council, 2012). Embedding more technologically-enhanced learning (JISC, 2011) into geography fieldwork, especially those approaches based around

 92 remote sensing, therefore may make a contribution not only to student engagement and 93 learning but also to improving graduate job prospects. Against this backdrop, we undertook 94 an investigation of teaching and learning outcomes based on coupling geomorphological 95 fieldwork with remote sensing technologies.

#### Context, exercise development and evaluation

All geography undergraduate students at Aberystwyth attend a residential fieldcourse during Semester 2 of their second year. In 2015, two of the authors (RDW and MG) led a fieldcourse to the South Island, New Zealand (Figure 1a), which lasted 10 days and focused upon the themes of fluvial geomorphology, glaciology and natural hazards. Additionally, the long-haul fieldcourse is also intended to engender lifelong experiences, and deep learning (Robson 2002) through a focused independent research project at the end of the course. During the first eight days, the eleven registered students visit a range of fluvial and glacial landscapes and develop practical field skills in geomorphological mapping, sediment analysis and stream gauging. Students use a range of instruments and technologies including handheld GPS, Real Time Kinematic GPS (RTK-GPS), UAVs, interpretation of SPOT satellite imagery, and dilution gauging of river flow. In the final two days, students apply the skills that they have developed to an independent group project of their choice.

109 Case study 1: Braidplain planform

The first use of UAVs during the fieldcourse was for an exercise on mapping braidplain planform. This exercise takes place on a reach of the Rees River (Figure 1B) where morphological change has been investigated by the lead author (e.g. Williams et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2015), thus enabling research-led teaching. Channels actively erode and

114	deposit sediment, and therefore migrate across the braidplain during high flows. This
115	dynamism provides opportunities for students to analyse how the channels change, by
116	comparing archived aerial imagery to surveys carried out during the fieldtrip. In previous
117	fieldcourses, this exercise had involved students walking along channel edges and using a
118	handheld GPS to record channel positions. However, we recognised that a teaching
119	intervention could be made to enable students to learn how to acquire images using a UAV.
120	The fieldwork featured two tasks. Initially, students distributed plastic targets across the
121	braidplain and surveyed the centre of each target using an RTK-GPS system (Uren and Price,
122	2006) to obtain a coordinate with c. 0.01 m accuracy. Next, students were given an
123	explanation of the technical components of a "DJI Phantom 2 Vision+" UAV (cost of £965 in
124	2014) and a demonstration of its controls (Figure 2). In brief, this UAV is a quadcopter with a
125	14 megapixel camera supported by a three axis gimbal stabiliser. The UAV is operated using
126	a remote control and the camera is operated through the DJI Vision smartphone app, which
127	also gives the operator a live feed from the camera. Each student learnt to fly the UAV and
128	acquire images, at 4 s intervals, from a height of approximately 100 m above the braidplain.
129	Flight speed was adjusted to ensure a minimum of five overlapping images for each pixel of
130	the orthomosaic. Aber et al. (2010) outline standard formulae for calculating photographic
131	scale and resolution, which can be used to plan the image coverage and ground sample
132	distance that can be achieved for a particular flight duration. Before flying, students were
133	briefed on the Civil Aviation Authority of New Zealand's rules for the use of Remotely
134	Piloted Aircraft Systems.
	<ol> <li>114</li> <li>115</li> <li>116</li> <li>117</li> <li>118</li> <li>119</li> <li>120</li> <li>121</li> <li>122</li> <li>123</li> <li>124</li> <li>125</li> <li>126</li> <li>127</li> <li>128</li> <li>129</li> <li>130</li> <li>131</li> <li>132</li> <li>133</li> <li>134</li> </ol>

135 In the evening, while the students observed, the lead author used Pix4D SfM processing 136 software to produce orthophotos and DEMs of the 0.15 km<sup>2</sup> study area (Figure 3). After

image processing was complete, the students were asked to complete an anonymous questionnaire (Table 1) that asked what they thought they had learnt from the exercise as a whole, the links they could make to other undergraduate modules, whether they enjoyed the exercise, and what they thought could be improved.

#### 141 Case study 2: Glacial lake outburst flood topography

Three students decided to use the UAV for their independent group project, which aimed to reconstruct the channel morphology and peak discharge of the 1913 Mueller Glacier lake outburst flood (GLOF) at Kea Point (Figure 1c). The students' objectives were to describe the outburst flood channel by generating a topographic map and to quantify peak discharges using empirical relations similar to the methods of Kershaw et al. (2005). The procedure was similar to that employed for the first case study, with the students initially laying out 50 ground targets across the study area and each target location being surveyed using an RTK-GPS system. Set up of the GPS base station was supervised by a staff instructor prior to target emplacement but flying of the UAV was undertaken by students once all targets were placed. To complement the UAV data, the size of 50 transported sediment clasts was measured to provide additional information for input into empirical peak flow calculations. After data collection, and once back in the UK, the students were supervised in the production of an orthophoto and DEM using SfM processing software (Figure 4). The students then calculated cross-sectional area of the GLOF channel using the SfM-derived DEM.

157 Results

#### 158 Case study 1: Braidplain planform

159	Nine out of eleven students answered the survey. Table 1 summarises the results and lists
160	example responses to the qualitative questions. Overall, the results show that students
161	were engaged with the use of technology in the field. The first question asked students what
162	they learnt from the exercise. Most students stated they learnt how to fly a UAV and they
163	learnt how to use an RTK-GPS system (Table 1). The second question asked students to list
164	whether they thought that anything they learnt linked to other modules they were taking.
165	While the students on the fieldcourse could be following a variety of module combinations,
166	this question was designed to give an indication of the broader connections that students
167	could identify. All students listed at least two other second year modules. Two students
168	listed the third year dissertation module, indicating that some students were also thinking
169	about future research projects (Table 1). The third question asked each student whether
170	they enjoyed the fieldwork and to explain their answer. All nine students answered yes. The
171	explanations (Table 1) suggest that students were engaged with the use of fieldwork
172	technology. The fourth question asked what could be improved. In common with answers to
173	the third question, which demonstrated enthusiasm for the UAV technology, seven out of
174	nine students responded by saying that they'd like to spend more time flying the UAV. One
175	respondent commented that they would like to use the UAV to monitor other
176	environments, such as glacial landscapes. In their answers to the final question, which asked
177	students to make any other comments, students commented both on their engagement
178	with the exercise and their broader experiences (Table 1).

179 In addition to the student survey, the exercise was also reviewed by an independent 180 member of the fieldwork teaching team as part of Aberystwyth University's Peer 181 Observation of Teaching procedure. Their comments also provide a useful evaluation of

student learning and engagement during the field exercise: "The exercise engaged all students at several levels, even to the point that they were extremely keen to lay out targets across the floodplain to act as points of ground truthing - normally a somewhat mundane task. This innovative class appealed to several learning modes, including tactile, visual and audible." This review therefore reinforces the results from the student questionnaire and illustrates how technology can be deployed during fieldwork to engage students.

The main drawback to the first case study was that whilst students were engaged with collecting field data, there was not an opportunity for students to process the data themselves. This was due to a lack of laptop processing capacity in the field camp, which meant that students had to be shown how to process the data by the lead author. As a result, the responses to the survey focused upon data collection rather than processing.

#### 194 Case study 2: Glacial lake outburst flood topography

Since each student's independently-written project report was part of their fieldcourse assessment, evaluation of the skills they gained through using the UAV and associated data processing software could be made by reviewing the assessed work. All three students processed the image dataset (299 photos) to produce an orthophoto and DEM of the 0.13 km<sup>2</sup> study area (Figure 4). The DEM enabled calculation of the cross-sectional area of the GLOF channel, which was subsequently used as an input to slope-area methods to estimate peak discharge through the channel. The students' reports demonstrated a clear understanding of the application of the technology-based results, linked these results with the more conventional clast analysis data effectively, and showed how the results could provide insight into flood-related landscape dynamics. However, the students did not acknowledge the uncertainties involved in collection and post-processing of imagery (e.g. positioning of targets, spatial overlap of photos over the study area), an omission that was particularly evident in their discussion sections. To address this omission in future exercises, it may be appropriate to provide training before embarking on data collection in the field, and then hold a supervised, student-led workshop on post-processing following the first data collection exercise. By doing this, students would gain a greater insight into the data collection and processing, uncertainties in these methods, and ways in which they can be overcome. Complementing use of such technologies in the field with technical skill development in class-based work would further students' understanding of methods whilst undertaking fieldwork, and get them thinking more deeply about the post-processing that is involved to achieve the final data product. In addition, they would also gain a greater understanding of appropriate uses of these technologies and the extent of their application in other aspects of the curriculum.

#### **Reflection and discussion**

The two case studies on the application of UAVs to acquire aerial imagery provide examples of how technologically-enhanced learning can be achieved during fieldwork. Student comments in the questionnaire that was completed as part of the first case study (Table 1) illustrate that they engaged in the exercise and enjoyed the research-led nature of the activity. However, higher-level cognitive skills were only developed by those students who applied the techniques they had learnt during the first field exercise to develop an independent group project that applied the technology. Through their independent project reports, this small group of students demonstrated that they were synthesising information gained from their geomorphological- and technological-based training to address a specific

#### Journal of Geography in Higher Education

research question associated with deriving a topographic model. This model was then used to extract information (e.g. cross sections) for input into empirical formulae to estimate peak discharge during an outburst event.

In the student questionnaire, almost all students identified that they had learnt new skills through flying the UAV and using an RTK-GPS system to survey the ground targets. The exercise is similar to that described by Sander (2014), who developed an exercise for students to use a digital camera mounted on a kite to acquire imagery. Whilst a UAV cannot be used on wet and windy days, it is generally more versatile than a kite across a range of environments and seasons. Although Birtchnell and Gibson (2015) describe a UAV demonstration to students, they did not provide students with the opportunity to acquire data. Giving students control of the UAV and the experience of placing and surveying targets presents opportunities for learning about the principles and practice of remote sensing, ranging from georeferencing, acquiring imagery, photogrammetry and image analysis. It also maintains an environment – associated with more traditional forms of fieldwork – where students can work in small groups to solve problems. In the first case study, students did not have the opportunity to process their data due to limited processing capacity; this could be addressed by designing practicals where students process lower resolution images or fewer images and thus a smaller geographical extent. Issues associated with data quality, such as the optimum target layout and the application of the output orthophoto and DEM to investigate particular physical geography research questions, can also be explored by students, and there are also social science applications (Birtchnell and Gibson, 2015). Students who were engaged in processing the imagery and target locations through their independent projects extended and deepened their learning. They also gained additional

skills in processing large datasets. This indicates that learning is most effective when technology that is used in the field is also supported by broader engagement with processing software immediately after data acquisition, and in classroom practicals before and/or after fieldwork. Such knowledge is likely to equip students with the skills needed for future careers that are closely related to geography, such as in applied environmental management.

#### 257 Conclusion

Over the last decade, the vastly enhanced availability of aerial photography and satellite imagery has been invaluable for teaching and learning in geography, particularly by providing new perspectives to advance students' perceptions of physical and human phenomena on the Earth's surface (Tooth, 2013, 2015). Nonetheless, a lack of connection commonly exists between use of remote sensing products and the associated principles and practices of remote sensing data collection and analysis in field contexts. In a fieldcourse in New Zealand, we attempted to address this disconnect. During fieldwork, all students gained skills in using UAVs and associated electronic instrumentation that is commonly used in research and applied environmental practice, as well as knowledge about the production of orthophotos and DEMs. Students who were involved with processing imagery for their independent group research projects deepened their learning. They also gained additional knowledge and skills by processing the large dataset, and applying the technology to address a specific research question about landform configuration and flood discharge reconstruction. Reflections on the field exercises indicate that an additional processing component could be embedded into pre- or post-fieldwork classes to maximise the opportunity for learning and further analysis of the derived products. This will increase

4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
1/	
14	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
20	
20	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
30	
32 32	
30	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
4ŏ ⊿∩	
49 50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	

58 59

60

career opportunities for geography graduates and more broadly will contribute towards
realising visions of a Digital World, one in which increasing numbers of people are engaged
in exploring and learning about the Earth using geospatial technologies (Goodchild, 2012;
Craglia et al., 2012).

#### 278 Acknowledgements

We thank the Aberystwyth University undergraduate students who participated in the 2015 New Zealand fieldtrip for their feedback on their experiences. We also thank the three anonymous reviewers for their comments, which helped us to amend and develop aspects of the article.

#### 283 Funding

284 Equipment investment was funded by a 2014 Aberystwyth University Learning and Teaching

285 Enhancement Grant to RDW and ST.

287	Tables

- Table 1 Questions from the survey that was given to the eleven students after case study 1.
- 289 Nine students completed the survey.

URL: http:/mc.manuscriptcentral.com/cjgh

# 291 Figures 292 Figure 1 (A) The location of the two case study sites in New Zealand, (B) The Rees River 293 braidplain. Oblique image taken using the UAV described in this paper. (C) Mueller Glacier 294 outburst flood valley, showing a student using RTK-GPS. 295 Figure 2 Fieldwork procedure for students to acquire aerial images: (A) RTK-GPS survey of a

ground target; (B) Operation of the remote control for a DJI Phantom UAV. Note that these
photographs were taken during undergraduate fieldwork in the UK rather than during the
New Zealand fieldtrip but they illustrate the same procedure.

Figure 3 (A) Orthophoto and (B) Digital Elevation Model of the braided Rees River, New Zealand (flow direction from top right to lower left). The maps were produced using images acquired from a "DJI Phantom 2 Vision+" UAV and Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry, processed using Pix4D software. Artefacts, such as the bridge decking on lower left and the errors in derivation of bed levels along some wet channels on centre right of the image, could form the focus of discussion about DEM editing tools. Underlying aerial photography has been made available by Otago Regional Council.

Figure 4 (A) Orthophoto and (B) Digital Elevation Model of a valley formed by a glacial lake outburst flood at Kea Point, New Zealand (flow direction from top left to lower right). The maps were produced using images acquired from a "DJI Phantom Vision+" UAV and Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry, processed using Pix4D software. Underlying aerial photography has been made available by Environment Canterbury through ArcGIS Open Data, licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 New Zealand License.

Aber, J., Marzolff, I., and Ries, J. (2010), "Small-format aerial photography: principles,

Anderson, K., and Gaston, K. J. (2013). "Lightweight unmanned aerial vehicles will

Auer, M. R. (2008). "Sensory Perception, Rationalism and Outdoor Environmental

Birtchnell, T., and Gibson, C. (2015). "Less talk more drone: social research with UAVs."

Carrivick, J. L., Smith, M. W., Quincey, D. J., and Carver, S. J. (2013). "Developments in

Church, M. (2013). "Refocusing geomorphology: Field work in four acts." Geomorphology,

Craglia, M., de Bie, K., Jackson, D., Pesaresi, M., Remetey-Fülöpp, G., Wang, C., Annoni, A.,

vision for the next decade." International Journal of Digital Earth, 5(1), 4-21.

Technology in Journalism." Journal of Mass Media Ethics, 29(1), 52-64.

Culver, K. B. (2014). "From Battlefield to Newsroom: Ethical Implications of Drone

Eisenbeiss, H., and Sauerbier, M. (2011). "Investigation of uav systems and flight modes for

photogrammetric applications." The Photogrammetric Record, 26(136), 400-421.

Bian, L., Campbell, F., Ehlers, M., van Genderen, J., Goodchild, M., Guo, H., Lewis, A.,

Simpson, R., Skidmore, A., and Woodgate, P. (2012). "Digital Earth 2020: towards the

budget remote sensing for the geosciences." Geology Today, 29(4), 138-143.

*Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 39(1), 182-189.

revolutionize spatial ecology." Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 11(3), 138-

Education." International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education,

techniques and geoscience applications." Elsevier, Amsterdam. 320 pp.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
1	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2U 24	
21	
22	
23	
24	
20	
20	
21	
20	
29	
30	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

1

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

References

146.

17(1), 6-12.

200, 184-192.

### 16 URL: http:/mc.manuscriptcentral.com/cjgh

2		
- 3 4	336	Finn, R. L., and Wright, D. (2012). "Unmanned aircraft systems: Surveillance, ethics and
5 6	337	privacy in civil applications." Computer Law & Security Review, 28(2), 184-194
7 8	338	Fisher, J. A. (2001). "The demise of fieldwork as an integral part of science education in
9 10	339	United Kingdom schools: a victim of cultural change and political pressure?"
11 12 12	340	Pedagogy, Culture & Society, 9(1), 75-96.
13 14 15	341	FitzPatrick, M., Anderson, M., and Truscott, J. (2012), "Using mobile devices to extend
16 17	242	experiential learning and fieldwork practice in the earth sciences" Blanet 25(1) 22
18 19	542	experiential learning and heldwork practice in the earth sciences , Planet, 23(1), 55-
20 21	343	39.
22 23	344	France, D., Powell, V., Mauchline, A. L., Welsh, K., Park, J., Whalley, W. B., and Rewhorn, S.
24 25	345	(2016). "Ability of students to recognize the relationship between using mobile apps
26 27	346	for learning during fieldwork and the development of graduate attributes." Journal of
28 29 30	347	Geography in Higher Education, 40(2), 182-192
31 32	348	Fuller, I. A. N., Edmondson, S., France, D., Higgitt, D., and Ratinen, I. (2006). "International
33 34	349	Perspectives on the Effectiveness of Geography Fieldwork for Learning." Journal of
35 36	350	Geography in Higher Education, 30(1), 89-101.
37 38 39	351	Fuller, I. C., and France, D. (2016). "Does digital video enhance student learning in field-
40 41	352	based experiments and develop graduate attributes beyond the classroom?" Journal
42 43	353	of Geography in Higher Education, 40(2), 193-206.
44 45 46	354	Fuller, I., Gaskin, S., and Scott, I. (2003). "Student Perceptions of Geography and
47 48	355	Environmental Science Fieldwork in the Light of Restricted Access to the Field, Caused
49 50	356	by Foot and Mouth Disease in the UK in 2001." Journal of Geography in Higher
51 52	357	Education 27(1) 79-102
53 54	557	
55 56		
57 58		

358	Glennie, C. L., Carter, W. E., Shrestha, R. L., and Dietrich, W. E. (2013). "Geodetic imaging
359	with airborne LiDAR: the Earth's surface revealed." Reports on Progress in Physics,
360	76(8), 86801.
361	Goodchild, M. G. (2012). "The future of digital earth." Annals of GIS, 18(2), 93-98.
362	Greene, D. (2015). "Drone Vision." Surveillance & Society, 13(2), 233-249.
363	Hugenholtz, C. H., Moorman, B. J., Riddell, K., and Whitehead, K. (2012). "Small unmanned
364	aircraft systems for remote sensing and Earth science research." Eos, Transactions
365	American Geophysical Union, 93(25), 236-236.
366	James, M. R., and Robson, S. (2012). "Straightforward reconstruction of 3D surfaces and
367	topography with a camera: Accuracy and geoscience application." Journal of
368	Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 117(F3), F03017.
369	Jordan, B. R. (2015). "A bird's-eye view of geology: The use of micro drones/UAVs in
370	geologic fieldwork and education". GSA Today, 25, 42-43
371	Kershaw, J. A., Clague, J. J., and Evans, S. G. (2005), Geomorphic and sedimentological
372	signature of a two-phase outburst flood from moraine-dammed Queen Bess Lake,
373	British Columbia, Canada, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 30(1), 1-25.
374	Krishnan, S., Crosby, C., Nandigam, V., Phan, M., Cowart, C., Baru, C., and Arrowsmith, R.
375	OpenTopography: a services oriented architecture for community access to LIDAR
376	topography. Presented at Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on
377	Computing for Geospatial Research & Applications.
378	Lillesand, T. M., Kiefer, R. W., and Chipman, J. W. (2015). "Remote sensing and image
379	interpretation. John Wiley, New York. 768 pp.

3 4	380	Lucieer, A., Turner, D., King, D. H., and Robinson, S. A. (2014). "Using an Unmanned Aerial
5 6	381	Vehicle (UAV) to capture micro-topography of Antarctic moss beds." International
7 8 9	382	Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 27, Part A, 53-62.
10 11	383	Marris, E. (2013). "Drones in science: Fly, and bring me data." <i>Nature</i> , 498(7453), 156-158.
12 13	384	Micheletti, N., Chandler, J. H., and Lane, S. N. (2015). "Structure from Motion (SfM)
14 15 16	385	Photogrammetry", L. Clarke, (ed.) Geomorphological Techniques (Online Edition).
17 18	386	Natural Environment Research Council. (2012). Most wanted II: postgraduate and
19 20	387	professional skills needs in the environmental sector. NERC, Swindon.
21 22 23	388	Passalacqua, P., Belmont, P., Staley, D. M., Simley, J. D., Arrowsmith, J. R., Bode, C. A.,
24 25	389	Crosby, C., DeLong, S. B., Glenn, N. F., Kelly, S. A., Lague, D., Sangireddy, H., Schaffrath,
26 27	390	K., Tarboton, D. G., Wasklewicz, T., and Wheaton, J. M. (2015). "Analyzing high
28 29 30	391	resolution topography for advancing the understanding of mass and energy transfer
31 32	392	through landscapes: A review." <i>Earth-Science Reviews</i> , 148(0), 174-193.
33 34 35	393	QAA. (2014). Subject Benchmark Statement: Geography. Quality Assurance Agency for
36 37	394	Higher Education, Gloucester.
38 39	395	Robson, E. (2002). "'An Unbelievable Academic and Personal Experience': Issues around
40 41 42	396	teaching undergraduate field courses in Africa." Journal of Geography in Higher
43 44	397	Education, 26(3), 327-344.
45 46	398	Salvage, K., Graney, J., and Barker, J. (2004). "Watershed-based integration of hydrology,
47 48 49	399	geochemistry, and geophysics in an environmental geology curriculum." Journal of
50 51	400	Geoscience Education, 52(2), 141.
52 53	401	Sander, L. (2014). "Kite aerial photography (KAP) as a tool for field teaching." Journal of
54 55 56	402	Geography in Higher Education, 38(3), 425-430.
50 57 58 59	403	

3
4
5
6
7
8
ă
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
20
∠∪ 04
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
27
20
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
16
+0 17
4/
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
20
5/
58
59
60

Shaw, I. G. R. (2013). "Predator Empire: The Geopolitics of US Drone Warfare." *Geopolitics*.
18(3), 536-559.

- Tamminga, A. D., Eaton, B. C., and Hugenholtz, C. H. (2015). "UAS-based remote sensing of
  fluvial change following an extreme flood event." *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 40(11), 1464-1476.
- 409 Tarolli, P. (2014). "High-resolution topography for understanding Earth surface processes:
  410 Opportunities and challenges." *Geomorphology*, 216, 295-312.
- Tonkin, T. N., Midgley, N. G., Graham, D. J., and Labadz, J. C. (2014). "The potential of small
  unmanned aircraft systems and structure-from-motion for topographic surveys: A test
  of emerging integrated approaches at Cwm Idwal, North Wales." *Geomorphology*,

414 226, 35-43.

415 Tooth, S. (2006). Virtual globes: a catalyst for the re-enchantment of geomorphology? *Earth*416 *Surface Processes and Landforms*, 31(9), 1192-1194.

417 Tooth, S. (2013). Google Earth<sup>™</sup> in geomorphology: re-enchanting, revolutionising, or just

another resource? J. F. Shroder, A. D. Switzer, and D. E. Kennedy, (eds.), *Treatise on geomorphology*. Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 53-64.

420 Tooth, S. (2015). Spotlight on... Google Earth as a resource. *Geography*, 100(1), 51-56.

421 Turner, I. L., Harley, M. D., and Drummond, C. D. (2016). "UAVs for coastal surveying."

## 422 *Coastal Engineering*, 114, 19-24Uren, J., and Price, W. F. (2006). *Surveying for*423 *engineers*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

- 424 Welsh, K. E., and France, D. (2012). "Smartphones and fieldwork." *Geography*, 97(1), 47-51.
- 425 Welsh, K. E., France, D., Whalley, W. B., and Park, J. R., (2012), "Geotagging Photographs in
  - 426 Student Fieldwork." Journal of Geography in Higher Education, 36(3), 469-480

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
à
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
27
20
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
16
40 47
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
00
5/
58
59

427	Welsh, K. E., Mauchline, A. L., Park, J. R., Whalley, W. B., and France, D. (2013). "Enhancing
428	fieldwork learning with technology: practitioner's perspectives." Journal of Geography
429	in Higher Education, 37(3), 399-415.

- Welsh, K. E., Mauchline, A. L., Powell, V., France, D., Park, J. R., and Whalley, W. B. (2015).
  "Student perceptions of iPads as mobile learning devices for fieldwork." *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 39(3), 450-469.
- Westoby, M. J., Brasington, J., Glasser, N. F., Hambrey, M. J., and Reynolds, J. M. (2012).
  "'Structure-from-Motion' photogrammetry: a low-cost, effective tool for geoscience
  applications." *Geomorphology*, 179, 300-314.
- Westoby, M. J., Dunning, S. A., Woodward, J., Hein, A. S., Marrero, S. M., Winter, K., and
  Sugden, D. E. (2015). "Sedimentological characterization of Antarctic moraines using
  UAVs and Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry." *Journal of Glaciology*, 61(230),
  1088-1102.
  - Williams, R. D., Brasington, J., Vericat, D., and Hicks, D. M. (2014). "Hyperscale terrain
    modelling of braided rivers: fusing mobile terrestrial laser scanning and optical
    bathymetric mapping." *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39(2), 167-183.
- Williams, R. D., Rennie, C. D., Brasington, J., Hicks, D. M., and Vericat, D. (2015). "Linking the
  spatial distribution of bed load transport to morphological change during high-flow
  events in a shallow braided river." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*,
  120(3), 2014JF003346.
- Woodget, A. S., Carbonneau, P. E., Visser, F., and Maddock, I. P. (2014). "Quantifying
  submerged fluvial topography using hyperspatial resolution UAS imagery and
  structure from motion photogrammetry." *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*,
  40(1), 47-64.

Table 1 Questions from the survey that was given to the eleven students after case study 1.

Nine students completed the survey.

Number	Question	Summary of responses
1	What did you learn	How to fly a UAV: identified by eight students
	from the exercise?	How to use RTK-GPS: identified by seven students
		The laws surrounding UAV flight: identified by one student
		How to place ground targets: identified by one student
		How to post-process the data and produce a DEM: identified by one student
2	Did anything you learn from the exercise relate to other modules you are taking? If so, which ones?	All responses listed least two other second year modules, including catchment systems, research skills, sedimentary environments, GIS, geohazards and remote sensing. Two responses listed the third year dissertation module.
3	Did you enjoy the fieldwork? Please explain your answer	Nine out of nine responses replied "yes". Examples of explanations include: (i) "it was interesting because I was able to actively engage in cutting edge research"; (ii) "it was much easier to learn seeing processes in action and make learning more interesting"; (iii) "the session [was] interactive and the topic and technology was exciting"; and (iv) "it was interesting to see the method behind map production and aerial photography"
4	What could be improved?	More time flying the UAV: identified by seven students Using the UAV in other landscapes (e.g. glacial): identified by one student
5	Do you have any further comments?	Example responses include: i) "I really enjoyed all aspects of the fieldwork, have learnt loads and find it helpful being able to ask questions all of the time"; ii) "I made a new friend"; and iii) "I enjoyed it and learnt a lot"





Figure 1 (A) The location of the two case study sites in New Zealand, (B) The Rees River braidplain. Oblique image taken using the UAV described in this paper. (C) Mueller Glacier outburst flood valley, showing a student using RTK-GPS. 108x80mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2 Fieldwork procedure for students to acquire aerial images: (A) RTK-GPS survey of a ground target; (B) Operation of the remote control for a DJI Phantom UAV. Note that these photographs were taken during undergraduate fieldwork in the UK rather than during the New Zealand fieldtrip but they illustrate the same procedure.

108x79mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 3 Orthophoto (A) and Digital Elevation Model (B) of the braided Rees River, New Zealand (flow direction from top right to lower left). The maps were produced using images acquired from a DJI Phantom 2 Vision+ UAV and Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry, processed using Pix4D software. Artefacts, such as the bridge decking on lower left and the errors in derivation of bed levels along some wet channels on centre right of the image, could form the focus of discussion about DEM editing tools. Underlying aerial photography has been made available by Otago Regional Council. 129x240mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 4 (A) Orthophoto and (B) Digital Elevation Model of a valley formed by a glacial lake outburst flood at Kea Point, New Zealand (flow direction from top left to lower right). The maps were produced using images acquired from a "DJI Phantom Vision+" UAV and Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry, processed using Pix4D software. Underlying aerial photography has been made available by Environment Canterbury through ArcGIS Open Data, licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 New Zealand License. 160x160mm (300 x 300 DPI)

