

Aberystwyth University

Polyphenol oxidase in leaves

Boeckx, Tinne; Winters, Ana; Webb, Judith; Kingston-Smith, Alison

Published in: Journal of Experimental Botany

DOI: 10.1093/jxb/erv141

Publication date: 2015

Citation for published version (APA): Boeckx, T., Winters, A., Webb, J., & Kingston-Smith, A. (2015). Polyphenol oxidase in leaves: is there any significance to the chloroplastic localization? *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *66*(12), 3571-3579. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv141

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk

1	Polyphenol oxidase in leaves; is there any significance to the
2	chloroplastic localisation?
3	
4	
5	Tinne Boeckx ^{1,2} , Ana L. Winters ¹ , K. Judith Webb ¹ , Alison H. Kingston-Smith ^{1,*}
6	
7 8	¹ Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences (IBERS), Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth, UK
9 10	² Current address, Division of Plant and Crop Sciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington, UK
11	
12	* Correspondence: Alison Kingston-Smith,
13	IBERS
14	Penglais Campus
15	Aberystwyth University
16	SY23 3FG
17	email: ahk@aber.ac.uk
18	
19	Running title: The enigma of polyphenol oxidase in leaves.
20	
21	Highlights: Why should polyphenol oxidase be located in the thylakoid lumen if it is
22 23	not associated with photosynthesis? We consider whether recent evidence makes the answer any clearer.

24 Abstract

25 Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) catalyses the oxidation of monophenols and/ or o-26 diphenols to *o*-quinones with the concomitant reduction of oxygen to water which 27 result in protein complexing and the formation of brown melanin pigments. The most 28 frequently suggested role for PPO in plants has been in defence against herbivores 29 and pathogens, based on the physical separation of the chloroplast localised enzyme 30 from the vacuole localised substrates. The *o*-quinone-protein complexes, formed as a 31 consequence of cell damage, may reduce the nutritional value of the tissue and 32 thereby reduce predation but can also participate in the formation of structural 33 barriers against invading pathogens. However, since a sufficient level of 34 compartmentation-based regulation could be accomplished if PPO was targeted to 35 the cytosol, the benefit derived by some plant species in having PPO present in the chloroplast lumen remains an intriguing question. So is there more to the 36 37 chloroplastic location of PPO? An interaction between PPO activity and photosynthesis has been proposed on more than one occasion but to date evidence 38 39 either for or against direct involvement has been equivocal, and the lack of identified 40 chloroplastic substrates remains an issue. Similarly PPO has been suggested to have 41 both pro- and anti-oxidant functions. Nevertheless, several independent lines of 42 evidence suggest that PPO responds to environmental conditions and could be 43 involved in the response of plants to abiotic stress. This review highlights our current 44 understanding of the in vivo functions of PPO and considers the potential 45 opportunities it presents for exploitation to increase stress tolerance in food crops.

46

47 Keywords: polyphenol oxidase, photosynthesis, abiotic stress, secondary

- 48 metabolism.
- 49

Abbreviations: PPO, polyphenol oxidase; ROS, reactive oxygen species; DM, drymatter.

52

54 Introduction

55 Over the past five decades, yields of wheat and maize crops have decreased by an 56 estimated 1 to 2 % per decade, affecting food supplies for both humans and livestock 57 (IPCC, 2014). In order to feed a growing human population, global food production 58 must be maintained or preferably increased, under increasingly unstable climatic 59 conditions and rising temperatures (IPCC, 2014). New approaches are needed to 60 meet this major agricultural challenge whilst using existing or even reduced tracts of 61 agricultural land (Foresight, 2011).

62 A key agricultural target is to improve yields of crops growing under such periods of 63 abiotic, as well as biotic, stress. The enzyme polyphenol oxidase (PPO) is found in 64 most plant species and the foliar expressed gene products may have a role in either 65 acclimation or short term response to stress, indicated by circumstantial evidence 66 such as enzyme localisation and its response to environmental factors. PPO has been 67 reported in all land plants surveyed to date with the exception of Arabidopsis. In 68 contrast no PPO-like sequences have been reported in chlorophytes (green algae; 69 Tran et al., 2012). This study showed that the size of PPO gene families varied 70 widely with numbers of PPO genes ranging from one to 13 in the 18 genomes 71 analysed. It is postulated that the occurrence of this enzyme is strongly correlated 72 with the emergence of land plants suggesting a role in adaption to abiotic stresses 73 associated with a dry/non-aquatic environment. However, as yet, there is no 74 conclusive evidence for the existence of an underlying mechanism explaining the 75 relationship between PPO and abiotic stress; indeed it is unclear if the presence of 76 PPO activity is beneficial or detrimental to the plant (Mayer, 2006). While PPO 77 activity can be related to the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS; 78 Thipyapong et al., 2004b; Mayer, 2006) and overall redox potential values (Webb et 79 al., 2014), its presence could also be beneficial as a proposed oxygen buffer (Vaughn 80 and Duke, 1984) or by down-regulating photosynthesis (Trebst and Depka, 1995).

Here we review the current state of what is often contradictory hypothetical and experimental evidence regarding the potential of PPO in leaves to confer an advantage in crop production, particularly during periods of abiotic stress, such as drought, heat and cold.

86 Fundamentals of PPO biochemistry

87 PPO enzymes from plants are comprised of three domains including an N-terminal 88 plastid transit peptide, a highly conserved type-three copper centre and a C-terminal 89 region (Tran et al., 2012). The family of PPO enzymes catalyse the oxidation of 90 monophenols and/ or o-diphenols to o-quinones. PPOs are widely distributed in 91 bacteria, animals, plants and fungi (Mayer, 2006; Tran et al., 2012) but are often confused with another subclass of phenol oxidases, the laccases [benzenediol: 92 93 oxygen oxidoreductase [EC 1.10.3.2] or *p*-diphenol oxidase], which oxidise a broad 94 range of o-, m- and p-diphenols (Griffith, 1994). Plant laccases are mostly 95 extracellular proteins with 22-45 % glycosylation (Solomon et al., 1996) whereas 96 PPOs are intracellular proteins and the degree of glycosylation is unclear (Steffens et 97 al., 1994). Both are multicopper oxidases, however PPOs have a coupled binuclear 98 type-3 copper centre and laccases have a trinuclear cluster of four copper ions 99 (Figure 1.; Solomon et al., 1996).

100 Subclasses of PPO enzymes are tyrosinases or catecholases according to the absence 101 or presence of cresolase or monophenolase activity (Figure 2.). Tyrosinases first 102 catalyse the oxidation of monophenols to o-diphenols (monophenolase activity; EC 1.14.18.1), and subsequently catalyse the o-diphenol to o-quinone reaction 103 104 (catecholase activity; EC1.10.3.1). Catecholases on the other hand are o-diphenol 105 specific, and thus are only able to catalyse the oxidation of o-diphenols to o-quinones 106 (Steffens et al., 1994). Both subclasses are however commonly referred to as PPO 107 (Mayer and Harel, 1979; Marusek et al., 2006) since the poor characterisation of 108 these enzymes could mean that some catecholases are in fact tyrosinases in which the 109 monophenolase activity has not yet been observed (Solomon et al., 1996) as 110 detection of monophenolase activity requires highly specific conditions in 111 comparison with catecholase activity (Yoruk and Marshall, 2003).

The primary reaction products of PPO activity are the *o*-quinones, which are highly reactive and will covalently modify and cross-link proteins to form brown melanin pigments (Steffens *et al.*, 1994; Kroll *et al.*, 2008). An example of this is the browning of apples and potatoes, seen shortly after wounding or cutting. PPOmediated generation of *o*-quinones has also been implicated in the indirect generation of ROS as secondary reaction products (Steffens *et al.*, 1994). Although the precise mechanism remains to be established, reverse disproportionation of *o*-quinones can 119 result in the formation of cytoplasmic semiquinone radicals (O'Brien, 1991; 120 Thipyapong et al., 1997). Interaction between these radicals and O₂ will result in the generation of superoxide anions and the regeneration of o-quinone (O'Brien, 1991). 121 122 Superoxide anions are very unstable and will quickly dismutate, either enzymatically 123 via superoxide dismutase, or non-enzymatically, to form hydrogen peroxide (Grant 124 and Loake, 2000). A Fenton reaction between divalent metal ions such as iron (II) 125 and the relatively stable hydrogen peroxide can result in the generation of extremely reactive hydroxyl radicals. Accumulation of these cytotoxic ROS needs to be under 126 127 tight control as oxidative modifications including protein cross-linking, lipid 128 peroxidation and damage to nucleic acids may ultimately inflict cell death (Grant and 129 Loake, 2000; Bhattacharjee, 2005; Gill and Tuteja, 2010; Foyer and Noctor, 2012). 130 However, theoretically PPO could also contribute to decreasing the amount of 131 oxygen locally available through the reduction of O₂ to water (Yoruk and Marshall, 132 2003).

133 Regulation of PPO is complex and the enzyme can be present in both an active and 134 latent (an inactive, often precursor form) states in the same source material (Mayer 135 and Harel, 1979). Following transport to the lumen and the cleavage of the N-136 terminal transit peptide, PPO is initially present as a two-domain protein consisting 137 of a copper binding site and a C-terminal domain (Flurkey and Inlow, 2008). A more 138 detailed discussion, including consideration of the 3D structure of catechol oxidases, 139 can be found in Gerdemann et al. (2002). The C-terminal is linked via a highly 140 flexible random peptide structure which is proposed to cover the active site and 141 undergo conformational change under certain conditions (Leufken et al., 2014). 142 Flurkey and Inlow (2008) have reviewed evidence for C-terminal proteolytic 143 processing of latent PPO to the active form which has been demonstrated for Vicia faba, Vitis vinifera and Ipomoea batatas PPOs. The degree of latency is not 144 145 universal and differs with plant species as well as tissue type. For instance PPO 146 activity was detected in both active and latent forms in root tissues of red clover 147 (Trifolium pratense), but only in the latent form in white clover (Trifolium repens); 148 this contrasts with aerial tissues where PPO activity was detected in both active and 149 latent forms in both red and white clover (Webb et al., 2013). Protein in this latent 150 state is not only activated by proteolytic cleavage but also by chemically inducing 151 conformational changes to the latent enzyme. In vitro, treatments that are effective in

152 activating latent PPO include exposure to fatty acids, proteolysis (trypsin), mild heat, 153 acid and base shocks, and detergents such as SDS and ammonium sulphate (Tolbert, 1973; Steffens et al., 1994; Jiménez and García-Carmona, 1996; Yoruk and 154 155 Marshall, 2003). Leufken et al. (2014) observed that the C-terminal domain 156 determines the pH optimum of plant PPOs in non-proteolytic activated enzyme and 157 they postulate that non-proteolytic activation also occurs in planta. In vivo, activation 158 of the latent PPO pool could occur as a result of direct interaction between the 159 enzyme and its substrates. Winters et al. (2008) have demonstrated the potential to 160 activate latent PPO from red clover in the presence of its endogenous o-diphenols 161 substrates. It has been proposed that o-diphenol-mediated activation is an indirect 162 mechanism of activation, with the resulting o-quinones interacting with the latent PPO pool, thereby altering their structure and exposing the active sites (Winters et 163 164 al., 2008). This is presumably the mechanism occurring upon tissue damage, either 165 as a result of herbivory (Lee et al., 2009), or of senescence associated cell disruption, 166 as reported by Meyer and Biehl (1980) who observed an increase in phenolase activity and concomitant decrease of the latent form of PPO during leaf aging in 167 168 spinach (Spinacia oleracea). More recently Molitor et al. (2013) identified a putative 169 quinone binding site in the PPO enzyme, aurone synthase, from Coreopsis 170 grandiflora which they propose is responsible for the observed allosteric activation 171 of latent PPO.

172

173 The conundrum of PPO compartmentation

174 Arnon (1949) provided some of the earliest evidence for the intracellular location of 175 PPO in spinach beet (Beta vulgaris) chloroplasts. This has been followed up by 176 detailed investigations revealing that in leaves PPO is specifically located in the 177 lumen or loosely attached to the luminal side of the thylakoid membrane (Tolbert, 178 1973; Mayer and Harel, 1979; Sommer et al., 1994) in the vicinity of photosystems I 179 and II (Lax and Vaughn, 1991). The mechanism by which this is achieved was 180 revealed by Sommer et al. (1994) who demonstrated that the nuclear encoded 181 sequences were likely to behave as characteristic thylakoid-targeted proteins which 182 use the light-generated thylakoid pH gradient as the energy source to transport the 183 intermediates of stromal proteins across the thylakoid membrane and into the lumen, 184 otherwise known as the Δ pH pathway (Keegstra and Cline, 1999). More recently

PPOs have also been identified which lack the chloroplast targeting sequence (Tran *et al.*, 2012) and have been found in the cytosol (Nakayama *et al.*, 2000; 2001) and in
the vacuole (Ono *et al.*, 2006).

188 In contrast to the mostly chloroplastic location of the PPO protein, phenolic 189 compounds are mostly confined to the vacuoles (Mayer and Harel, 1979; Vaughn 190 and Duke, 1984). This includes those compounds recognised as substrates for PPO. 191 To date, potential PPO substrates have been identified within the anthocyanin, 192 flavanol, flavone, flavonol and isoflavonoid subclasses of flavonoid polyphenols and 193 hydroxybenzoic acid and hydroxycinnamic acid subclasses of phenolic acids based 194 on enzyme assays or structural comparison to confirmed substrates (Parveen et al., 195 2010). Given the physical separation of PPO enzymes from their substrates, it is 196 commonly accepted that the PPO enzyme-substrate interaction requires the 197 destruction of cell compartmentation, as a result of wounding for example. It is 198 therefore not surprising that PPO enzyme activity has usually been related to 199 arthropod (Kowalski et al., 1992) or pathogen defence mechanisms (Li and Steffens, 200 2002; Thipyapong et al., 2004a) as o-quinone protein complexes can decrease the nutritional value of the tissue (Felton et al., 1989, Thipyapong et al., 2004a) and/or 201 202 ROS (secondary PPO reaction products) could trigger defence pathways (Kowalski 203 et al., 1992, Thipyapong, 2007).

204 At face value this physical separation of enzyme and substrate appears logical, 205 although somewhat wasteful energetically to target mature PPO protein to the 206 thylakoid lumen while sufficient compartmentation away from vacuolar substrates 207 could also be achieved by targeting PPO to the cytosol. Therefore, considering the 208 widespread occurrence of this trait in higher plants, even in the absence of detectable substrate (e.g. Medicago sativa; Sullivan et al., 2008), this highly specific 209 210 localisation of PPO could indicate that it confers a distinct advantage. However, a 211 chloroplastic role for PPO is far from clear. For PPO activity to have a function in 212 undamaged tissues it is necessary for the enzyme to have ready access to a suitable 213 substrate in the chloroplast. Typical PPO substrates are o-diphenols because of their 214 readily oxidisable OH-groups (Martinez and Whitaker, 1995; Parveen et al., 2010). 215 Most recognised PPO substrates are appointed to just two classes of polyphenols; the 216 phenolic acids and the flavonoids (Parveen et al., 2010). Although the presence of 217 polyphenols and flavonoids have been reported in chloroplasts (Satô, 1966; Halliwell, 1975; Saunders and McClure, 1976*a*, *b*; Agati *et al.*, 2007; Liu *et al.*,
2009), to our knowledge only catechin has so far been reported as a substrate for
PPO in the mesophyll chloroplasts of tea (Subramanian *et al.*, 1999; Liu *et al.*, 2009).
The identification of further potential monophenolic and/ or o-diphenolic PPO
substrates in chloroplasts will be paramount in order to demonstrate an *in vivo*function of PPO in undamaged tissue.

224

225 The relationship between PPO and environment

As well as evidence in favour of involvement of PPO in plant defence against biotic 226 227 stressors, several independent lines of evidence implicate the chloroplastic location 228 of PPO in an as yet uncharacterised contribution to the response of plants to abiotic 229 stress, potentially mediated by altering the cellular balance of ROS production/ 230 degradation. It has been suggested that an acclimation mechanism exists whereby the 231 oxidation of accumulated phenolics is inhibited when plants are subjected to extreme 232 temperatures (Rivero et al., 2001) or drought (Sofo et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2007). 233 Indeed, experimentally-imposed conditions of cold, heat and drought have been 234 shown to result in a significant increase in total phenolic compounds as compared to 235 controls (Rivero et al., 2001; Sofo et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2007). Concomitantly, 236 oxidation of these accumulated phenolics was proposed to be inhibited by significant 237 decreases in PPO (Rivero et al., 2001; Sofo et al., 2005) and peroxidase activities 238 (Rivero et al., 2001), and through the significant activation of enzymatic ROS 239 scavengers such as ascorbate peroxidase (Sofo et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2007) and 240 superoxide dismutase (Sofo et al., 2005) as compared with controls. Hence the 241 suggestion that a decrease in PPO activity following abiotic stress was associated 242 with improved antioxidant capacity (Sofo et al., 2005). This was supported by the 243 work of Thipyapong et al. (2004b) which showed that suppression of PPO increased 244 drought tolerance of tomato. However, a conflicting result was obtained when a 245 drought treatment on white clover (T. repens) significantly increased PPO activity 246 after 7 days (Lee et al., 2007). Interestingly, PPO is implicated in the adaption of 247 resurrection plants to desiccation and rehydration; Veljovic-Jovanovic et al. (2008) 248 observed an increase in PPO of several fold when Ramonda serbica leaves were 249 subjected to near-complete water loss.

250 Given the contrasting responses of PPO activity to environmental conditions it is not 251 surprising that the potential impact of altered PPO activity on plant development, phenotype and yield is currently unclear. In tomato (Solanum esculentum), the 252 253 alteration of PPO activity by silencing did not affect plant development, total leaf 254 area or shoot and root dry weights under optimal growth conditions when compared 255 to non-transformed controls (Thipyapong et al., 2004b). Likewise, transgenic RNAi 256 lines and wild-types of red clover (T. pratense) did not differ significantly in growth 257 and leaf nitrogen content under optimal growth conditions (Webb et al., 2013). 258 Alternatively, a clear effect of PPO silencing was observed in walnut plants (Juglans 259 regia) which developed spontaneous necrotic lesions in the leaves even when not challenged by pathogens (Araji et al., 2014), suggesting increased susceptibility to 260 261 oxidative stress. Furthermore, while no obvious phenotypic differences between 262 wild-type red clover (T. pratense cv. Milvus) and a low PPO mutant were reported 263 (Winters et al., 2008), a field study in Aberystwyth (UK) reported a higher dry matter yield from fields seeded with wild-type red clover (5.78 tonnes DM ha⁻¹) than 264 when seeded with the low PPO mutant (5.40 tonnes DM ha⁻¹) (R. Fychan, 265 266 unpublished; 0.4 ha were sown with each of red clover cv Milvus and the PPO 267 mutant in 2009 and the total dry matter weight of the above ground matter harvested 268 in May 2010 was determined). Notably, both growth conditions and developmental 269 stage influences the import of PPO into the chloroplasts (Sommer *et al.*, 1994) with 270 corresponding effects on PPO activity (Mayer and Harel, 1979; Webb et al., 2013), 271 possibly accounting for the observed changes in PPO activity in red clover during a 272 growing season (Figure 3; Fothergill and Rees, 2006). The latter demonstrates the 273 impact of seasonal variation, with a peak in PPO activity in the winter months, 274 during which the combination of high light and the relatively low demand for fixed carbon results in a high risk of photoinhibition and the associated oxidative stress. 275

276 Could PPO be involved in photosynthesis?

A potential role for PPO in photosynthesis has been speculated on previously (Mayer and Harel, 1979; Vaughn and Duke, 1984). Although theoretically plausible, evidence supporting or countering this hypothesis is still poor. Observations in support of involvement of PPO in photosynthesis include: (1) the correlation between PPO activity and chloroplasts evolving high levels of O₂ (Vaughn and Duke, 1984), (2) the association of PPO protein with the photosystems (Lax and Vaughn, 1991;

283 Sheptovitsky and Brudvig, 1996), (3) the inhibition of cyclic and/ or non-cyclic 284 photophosphorylation by phenolic compounds (Neumann and Drechsler, 1967), the 285 implication being that PPO activity could prevent such inhibition by oxidation of 286 these potential substrates, (4) the independence of increases and decreases of 287 substrate level and catecholase activity during growth and development (Ben-Shalom 288 et al., 1977; Winters et al., 2008; Webb et al., 2014), and finally (5) the modulation 289 of PPO activity by environmental effects such as extremes of temperature, drought 290 and time of year (Rivero et al., 2001; Thipyapong et al., 2004b; Sofo et al., 2005; 291 Fothergill and Rees, 2006; Lee et al., 2007).

292 There have been several suggestions as to a mechanism by which PPO could directly 293 influence photosynthesis, including it functioning as an oxygen buffer (Maver and 294 Harel, 1979; Vaughn and Duke, 1984) or interacting with the Mehler-peroxidase, or 295 water-water, cycle (Tolbert, 1973) to facilitate reactive oxygen scavenging (Figure 296 4). The possibility that PPO modulates available oxygen is plausible given the 297 requirement for O₂ during PPO-catalysed oxidation of phenolic compounds to o-298 quinones and H₂O (Steffens et al., 1994), while the close association with the 299 photosystems could provide a source of sufficient reducing power to regenerate o-300 diphenol by reducing o-quinones (Halliwell, 1975; Vaughn and Duke, 1984). The 301 limitations to this hypothesis are the lack of definitively identified chloroplast 302 substrates, and the relative slow rate of this catalysis compared to the speed of 303 photosynthesis. Interaction with the Mehler-peroxidase cycle was proposed as 304 quinones can also serve as hydrogen acceptors, with the reduced quinones being re-305 oxidised by PPO. In this way a pseudo-cyclic electron transport would occur without 306 a net oxygen change (Trebst et al., 1963; Tolbert, 1973), which is how the water-307 water cycle is indeed believed to operate (Asada, 1999). There is however no 308 concrete evidence to support either of these hypotheses, and the water-water cycle 309 and ROS scavenging are already well described processes (Asada, 1999; Apel and 310 Hirt, 2004). Indeed, contrary to the above hypotheses a study of tomato plants in 311 which PPO was suppressed by transformation showed that the transformants actually 312 performed better under conditions designed to impose photoinhibition than did the 313 untransformed plants (Thipyapong et al., 2004b).

A related mechanism could potentially counter over-reduction due to accumulation
of high NAD(P)H/NAD(P) ratios which can lead to inactivation of photosynthetic

316 electron transport (Foyer et al., 2012). An o-dihydroxyphenol-ascorbate 317 reduction/oxidation cycle linked with the ascorbate-glutathione cycle which involves 318 oxidation of NAD(P)H could provide a mechanism for preventing over-reduction 319 under conditions of decreased CO₂ fixation. This could potentially be catalysed by 320 low pH activated latent PPO (see below) in high light conditions with elevated levels 321 of lumen O₂. While this reduces the requirement for cyclic electron transport and 322 quinones react readily with ascorbic acid, the extent to which ascorbate would 323 participate in this as compared with other lumenal processes is unclear (Tóth et al., 324 2013).

325 A proposed alternative explanation is that PPO is more important for the dark 326 reactions in the thylakoid lumen than those in the light, i.e. when O_2 is low 327 (Sheptovitsky and Brudvig, 1996). This was suggested since an acidic environment is 328 created in the light in which the PPO enzyme, with pH optima of 8, would not be 329 expected to be active (Sheptovitsky and Brudvig, 1996). However, this simple theory 330 seems doubtful as various previous and subsequent studies reported pH optima of 331 between 4 and 8 for PPO (Tolbert, 1973; Rocha and Morais, 2001; Yoruk and 332 Marshall, 2003). This variability may be explained by the work of Leufken et al., 333 (2014) who demonstrated that conformational change in C-terminus can determine 334 pH optima. Furthermore, latent PPO is known to be activated by low pH (Steffens et 335 al., 1994; Winters et al., 2003; Schmitz et al., 2008), which correlates well with the 336 decrease in lumen pH following the illumination of chloroplasts. Plus, it would 337 appear that a source of reducing power is necessary for intra-chloroplastic PPO 338 activity; the oxidation of p-coumaric acid to caffeic acid observed in illuminated 339 isolated chloroplasts did not occur in the dark unless ascorbate or NADPH was added 340 (Halliwell, 1975). These observations add further support for the role of PPO in high 341 light conditions involving ascorbate and/or NAD(P)H.

In many respects however, a direct role for PPO in regulation of photosynthesis may be unrealistic given the flux through the respective pathways (Vinyard *et al.*, 2013). It is therefore more likely that PPO has an indirect role in photosynthesis. As PPO monophenolase activity could theoretically catalyse the conversion of *p*-coumaric acid to caffeic acids (Vaughn and Duke, 1984), which is one of the initial steps in the phenylpropanoid pathway of phenolic compound biosynthesis, a role via secondary metabolism is possible. This is supported by recently published work in which the

349 silencing of PPO gene expression in walnut plants altered the metabolite profile of 350 the leaves, notably those involved in tryptophan and tyrosine metabolism (Araji et 351 al., 2014). It was argued that the observed endogenous increases in tyramine and 352 decreases in DOPA in PPO silenced plants occurred because normally PPO catalysed 353 the o-hydroxylation of tyrosine to DOPA and tyramine to dopamine. So far no 354 enzymes have been characterised for these reactions in walnut. The observation that 355 endogenous application of tyramine to wild type leaves caused the same necrotic phenotype as seen in the PPO silenced plants, supports a fundamental role for PPO in 356 357 tyrosine metabolism in walnut. Also, the product of a PPO transcript from Coreopsis 358 grandiflora has been demonstrated to be involved in aurone formation (Kaintz et al., 359 2014) and further supports a potential role for PPO in secondary metabolism. Several 360 reports have theorised that the antioxidant capacity of phenolics (Pietta, 2000; 361 Parveen et al., 2010) is indicative of their potential as radical scavengers (Neill and 362 Gould, 2003; Agati et al., 2007) and even as photochemical energy dissipaters, the 363 focus being on the phenolic acid subclass of hydroxycinnamic acids (chlorogenic 364 acid specifically; Grace and Logan, 2000) and the anthocyanin subclass of the 365 flavonoids (Neill and Gould, 2003). Therefore by regulating the availability of 366 phenolics, PPO would indirectly affect the photoprotective capacity of 367 photosynthetic cells independently of processes such as the Mehler-peroxidase cycle. 368 However, this is not a uniform response and upregulation of phenylpropanoid 369 metabolism is not always accompanied by decreases in PPO activity (Rivero et al., 370 2001; Sofo et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2007; Fothergill and Rees, 2006).

371

372 Future challenges

373 PPO is an enigmatic enzyme with many possibilities but few certainties. Confusingly 374 the data suggest that PPO activity can confer both a productive advantage, and be 375 associated with increased risk of oxidative damage. While PPO activity can be 376 associated with non-enzymatic ROS scavenging involving flavonoid and phenolic 377 acid substrates (Apel and Hirt, 2004; Parveen et al., 2010), a role for PPO in plant 378 function may also be associated with its pro-antioxidant activity through the 379 generation of secondary reaction products, including ROS (O'Brien, 1991; 380 Thipyapong et al., 1997), or may even involve localised effects in cellular 381 differentiation/ death, where concentrations may be locally high and critical but not

382 easily measureable e.g. in nodules Webb et al. (2014) and walnut Araji et al. (2014). 383 The evidence indicates that PPO performs different roles in different plant species 384 and possibly multiple roles in plants with large PPO gene families. It is therefore 385 important that fundamental questions such as whether the *in vivo* role involves a pro-386 or anti-oxidant would greatly increase our understanding of this enzyme and clarify 387 future opportunities to exploit its function for increased and sustainable crop 388 production. Consideration of possible relationships between PPO activity and 389 photosynthesis are clearly relevant to current issues of food security. Global 390 population is increasing rapidly and is due to reach 9 billion in 2050; creates a 391 pressing need to optimise sustainable food production, with careful attention on 392 crops for both human consumption and animal feed (Kingston-Smith et al., 2013). 393 To date results of research into a possible role for PPO in photosynthesis has yielded 394 equivocal results, possibly because the focus has been on looking for a direct effect 395 on regulation or mitigation of photochemistry. Here we propose that a re-396 examination of possible indirect effects of PPO on photosynthetic performance under 397 abiotic stress is appropriate to current global challenges. Tools such as the 398 identification of mutants (Lee et al., 2004; Winters et al., 2008), plant material 399 genetically altered in PPO composition (Sullivan et al., 2004; Thipyapong et al., 400 2004b; Araji et al., 2014; Webb et al., 2014) and in vitro cloning to continue the 401 identification of still unknown catalyst of phenolic compound biosynthesis (Sullivan 402 and Zarnowski, 2010) plus increased use of unbiased analytical techniques for 403 metabolic analysis holds the promise of finding explanations to some of the 404 unexplained aspects of PPO biochemistry. These analyses should determine the 405 extent to which endogenous PPO activity has the potential to improve photosynthetic 406 performance under abiotic stress conditions and indicate whether increased activities of this enzyme should be included as a desirable leaf trait in plant breeding 407 408 programmes designed to increase yield of food crops.

409

410 Acknowledgements

411 This work was supported by IBERS postgraduate studentship (TB) and BBSRC

412 (Institute Strategic Programme Grant BBS/E/W/10964A01; AK-S).

References

Agati G, Matteini P, Goti A, Tattini M. 2007. Chloroplast-located flavonoids can scavenge singlet oxygen. *New Phytologist* 174, 77-89.

Apel K, Hirt H. 2004. Reactive oxygen species: Metabolism, oxidative stress and signal transduction. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **55**, 373-399.

Araji S, Grammer TA, Gertzen R, et al. 2014. Novel roles for the polyphenol oxidase enzyme in secondary metabolism and the regulation of cell death in walnut (*Juglans regia*). *Plant Physiology* doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.228593

Arnon DL. 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiology* 24, 1-15.

Asada K. 1999. The water-water cycle in chloroplasts: Scavening of active oxygens and dissipation of excess photons. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* **50**, 601-639.

Ben-Shalom N, Kahn V, Harel E, Mayer AM. 1977. Olive catechol oxidasechanges during fruit development. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **28**, 545-550.

Bhattacharjee S. 2005. Reactive oxygen species and oxidative burst: Roles in stress, senescence and signal transduction in plants. *Current Science* **89**, 1113-1121.

Felton GW, Donato K, Del Vecchio RJ, Duffey SS. 1989. Activation of plant foliar oxidases by insect feeding reduces nutritive quality of foliage for noctuid herbivores. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **15**, 2667-2694.

Flurkey WH, Inlow JK. 2008. Proteolytic processing of polyphenol oxidase from plants and fungi. *Journal of inorganic biochemistry* **102**, 2160-2170.

Foresight. 2011. *The Future of Food and Farming: Final Project Report.* London: The Government Office for Science.

Fothergill M, Rees ME. 2006. Seasonal differences in polyphenol oxidase activity in red clover. In: Wachendorf M, Helgadottir A, Parente G, eds. *Sward dynamics, N-flows and forage utilisation in legume-based systems*. Proceedings of COST Workshop, Grado, Italy, 10-12 November 2005, pp. 141-144.

Foyer CH, Noctor G. 2012. Managing the cellular redox hub in photosynthetic organisms. *Plant Cell and Environment* doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02453.x

Foyer CH, Neukermans J, Queval G, Noctor G, Harbinson J. 2012. Photosynthetic control of electron transport and the regulation of gene expression. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **63**, 1637-1661.

Gerdemann C, Eicken C, Krebs B. 2002. The crystal structure of catechol oxidase: new insight into the function of type-3 copper proteins. *Accounts of Chemical Research* 35, 183-191.

Gill SS, Tuteja N. 2010. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 48, 909-930.

Griffith GW. 1994. Phenoloxidases. In: Martinelli SD, Kinghorn JR, eds. *Progress in Industrial Microbiology (vol 29): Aspergillus nidulans: 50 years on*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers, 763-788.

Grace SC, Logan BA. 2000. Energy dissipation and radical scavenging by the plant phenylpropanoid pathway. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London, Series B* **355**, 1499-1510.

Grant JJ, Loake GJ. 2000. Role of reactive oxygen intermediates and cognate redox signaling in disease resistance. *Plant Physiology* **124**, 21-29.

Halliwell B. 1975. Hydroxylation of *p*-coumaric acid by illuminated chloroplasts: The role of superoxide. *European Journal of Biochemistry* 55, 355-360.

IPCC (**Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change**). 2007. Summary for Policy Makers. In: Field CB, Barros VR, Dokken DJ *et al.*, eds. *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge, UK and New York: Cambridge University Press, 1-32.

Jiménez M, García-Carmona F. 1996. The effect of sodium dodecyl sulphate on polyphenol oxidase. *Phytochemistry* 42, 1503-1509.

Kaintz C, Molitor C, Thill J, Kampatsikas I, Michael C, Halbwirth H, Rompel A. 2014. Cloning and functional expression in E. coli of a polyphenol oxidase transcript from Coreopsis grandiflora involved in aurone formation. *FEBS Letters* 588, 3417-3426.

Keegstra K, Cline K. 1999. Protein import and routing systems of chloroplasts. *Plant Cell* 11, 557-570.

Kingston-Smith AH, Marshall AH, Moorby JM. 2013. Breeding for genetic improvement of forage plants in relation to increasing animal production with reduced environmental footprint. *Animal* doi: 10.1017/S1751731112000961

Kowalski SP, Eannetta NT, Hirzel AT, Steffens JC. 1992. Purification and characterization of polyphenol oxidase from glandular trichomes of *Solanum berthaultii*. *Plant Physiology* **100**, 677-684.

Kroll J, Rawel HM. 2001. Reactions of plant phenols with myoglobin: Influence of chemical structure of the phenolic compounds. *The Journal of Food Science* **66**, 48-58.

Lax AR, Vaughn KC. 1991. Colocalization of polyphenol oxidase and photosystem II proteins. *Plant Physiology* **96**, 26-31.

Lee B, Kim K, Jung W, Avice J, Ourry A, Kim T. 2007. Peroxidases and lignifications in relation to the intensity of water-deficit stress in white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.). *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58, 1271-1279.

Lee MRF, Winters AL, Scollan ND, Dewhurst RJ, Theodorou MK, Minchin FR. 2004. Plant-mediated lipolysis and proteolysis in red clover with different polyphenol oxidase activities. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 84, 1639-1645.

Lee MRF, Tweed JKS, Minchin FR, Winters AL. 2009. Red clover polyphenol oxidase: Activation, activity and efficacy under grazing. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **149**, 250-264.

Leufken CM, Moerschbacher BM, Dirks-Hofmeister ME. 2014. Dandelion PPO-1/PPO-2 domain swaps: The C-terminal domain modulates the pH optimum and the linker affects SDS-mediated activation and stability. *Biochimica and Biophysica* doi: 10.1016/j.bbapap.2014.11.007 Li L, Steffens JC. 2002. Overexpression of polyphenol oxidase in transgenic tomato plants results in enhanced bacterial disease resistance. *Planta* 215, 239-247.

Liu Y, Gao L, Xia T, Zhao L. 2009. Investigation of the site-specific accumulation of catechins in the tea plant (*Camellia sinensis* (L.) O. Kuntze) via vanillin-HCl staining. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 57, 10371-10376.

Marusek CM, Trobaugh NM, Flurkey WH, Inlow JK. 2006. Comparative analysis of polyphenol oxidase from plant and fungal species. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* **100**, 108-123.

Martinez VM, Whitaker JR. 1995. The biochemistry and control of enzymatic browning. *Trends in Food Science and Technology* **6**, 195-200.

Mayer AM. 2006. Polyphenol oxidases in plants and fungi: Going places? A review. *Phytochemistry* 67, 2318-2331.

Mayer AM, Harel E. 1979. Polyphenol oxidases in plants. *Phytochemistry* 18, 193-215.

Meyer HU, Biehl B. 1980. Activities and multiplicity of phenolase from spinach chloroplasts during leaf aging. *Phytochemistry* 19, 2267-2272.

Molitor C, Mauracher S, Kaintz C. 2013. Crystal structures of the latent and in vivo proteolytic activated aurone synthase from Coreopsis lanceolata. In: *Konferenzband*. 15: Osterreichische Chemietage, Graz, Austria, 23-26 September 2013.

Nakayama T, Yonekura-Sakakibara K, Sato T, *et al.* 2000. Aureusidin synthase: A polyphenol oxidase homolog responsible for flower coloration. *Science* 290, 1163-1166

Nakayama T, Sato T, Fukui Y, Yonekura-Sakakibara K, Hayashi H, Tanaka Y, Kusumi T, Nishino T. 2001. Specificity analysis and mechanism of aurone synthesis catalyzed by aureusidin synthase, a polyphenol oxidase homolog responsible for flower coloration. *FEBS Letters* **499**, 107-111.

Neill SO, Gould KS. 2003. Anthocyanines in leaves: light attenuators or antioxidants? *Functional Plant Biology* **30**, 865-873.

Neumann J, Drechsler Z. 1967. Inhibition of photo-induced electron transport and related reactions in isolated chloroplasts by phenol. *Plant Physiology* **42**, 573-577.

O'Brien PJ. 1991. Molecular mechanisms of quinone cytotoxicity. *Chemico-Biological Interactions* **80**, 1-41.

Ono E, Hatayama M, Isono Y, et al. 2006. Localization of a flavonoid biosynthetic polyphenol oxidase in vacuoles. *The Plant Journal* **45**, 133-143.

Parveen I, Threadgill MD, Moorby JM, Winters A. 2010. Oxidative phenols in forage crops containing polyphenol oxidase enzymes. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **58**, 1371-1382.

Pietta P. 2000. Flavonoids as antioxidants. *Journal of Natural Products* 63, 1035-1042.

Rivero RM, Ruiz JM, García PC, López-Lefebre L, Sánchez E, Romero L. 2001. Resistance to cold and heat stress: accumulation of phenolic compounds in tomato and watermelon plants. *Plant Science* 160, 315-321.

Rocha AMCN, Morais AMMB. 2001. Characterization of polyphenoloxidase (PPO) extracted from 'Jonagored' apple. *Food Control* **12**, 85-90.

Satô M. 1966. Metabolism of phenolic substances by the chloroplasts-II.: Conversion by the isolated chloroplasts of *p*-coumaric acid to caffeic acid. *Phytochemistry* **5**, 385-389.

Saunders JA, McClure JW. 1976a. The distribution of flavonoids in chloroplasts of twenty five species of vascular plants. *Phytochemistry* 15, 809-810.

Saunders JA, McClure JW 1976b. The occurrence of photoregulation of flavonoids in barley plastids. *Phytochemistry* **15**, 805-807.

Schmitz GE, Sullivan ML, Hatfield RD. 2008. Three polyphenol oxidases from red clover (*Trifolium pratense*) differ in enzymatic activities and activation properties. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **56**, 272-280.

Sheptovitsky YG, Brudvig GW. 1996. Isolation and characterization of spinach photosystem II membrane-associated catalase and polyphenol oxidase. *Biochemistry* 35, 16255-16263.

Sofo A, Dichio B, Xiloyannis C, Masia A. 2005. Antioxidant defences in olive trees during drought stress: changes in activity of some antioxidant enzymes. *Functional Plant Biology* **32**, 45-53.

Solomon EI, Sundaram UM, Machonkin TE. 1996. Multicopper oxidases and oxygenases. *Chemical Reviews* 96, 2563-2605.

Sommer A, Ne'eman E, Steffens JC, Mayer AM, Harel E. 1994. Import, targeting, and processing of a plant polyphenol oxidase. *Plant Physiology* **105**, 1301-1311.

Steffens JC, Harel E, Hunt MD 1994. Polyphenol oxidase. In: Ellis BE, Kuroki GW, Stafford HA, eds. *Genetic Engineering of Plant Secondary Metabolism*. New York: Plenum Publishing Corporation, 275-312.

Subramanian N, Venkatesh P, Ganguli S, Sinkar VP. 1999. Role of polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase in the generation of black tea theaflavins. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 47, 2571-2578.

Sullivan ML, Hatfield RD, Thoma SL, Samac DA. 2004. Cloning and characterization of red clover polyphenol oxidase cDNAs and expression of active protein in Escherichia coli and transgenic alfalfa. *Plant Physiology* **136**, 3234-3244.

Sullivan ML, Hatfield RD, Samac DA. 2008. Cloning of an alfalfa polyphenol oxidase gene and evaluation of its potential in preventing postharvest protein degradation. *Journal Science of Food and Chemistry* 88, 1406-1414

Sullivan ML, Zarnowski R. 2010. Red clover coumarate 3"-hydroxylase (CYP98A44) is capable of hydroxylating p-coumaryl-shikimate but not p-coumaryl-malate: implications for the biosynthesis of phaselic acid. *Planta* **231**, 319-328.

Thipyapong P, Joel DM, Steffens JC. 1997. Differential expression and turnover of the tomato polyphenol oxidase gene family during vegetative and reproductive development. *Plant physiology* **113**, 707-718.

Thipyapong P, Hunt MD, Steffens JC. 2004*a*. Antisense downregulation of polyphenol oxidase results in enhanced disease susceptibility. *Planta* 220, 105-117.

Thipyapong P, Melkonian J, Wolfe DW, Steffens JC. 2004b. Suppression of polyphenol oxidases increases stress tolerance in tomato. *Plant Science* 167, 693-703.

Thipyapong P, Stout MJ, Attajarusit J. 2007. Functional analysis of polyphenol oxidases by antisense/sense technology. *Molecules* **12**, 1569-1595.

Tolbert NE. 1973. Activation of polyphenol oxidase of chloroplasts. *Plant Physiology* **51**, 234-244.

Tóth SZ, Schansker G, Garab G. 2013. The physiological roles and metabolism of ascorbate in chloroplasts. Physiologia Plantarum doi: 10.1111/ppl.12006

Tran LT, Taylor JS, Constabel CP. 2012. The polyphenol oxidase gene family in land plants: Lineage-specific duplication and expansion. *BMC Genomics* doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-13-395

Trebst A, Eck H, Wagner S. 1963. Effects of quinones and oxygen in the electron transport system of chloroplasts. In: National Research Council Committee on Photobiology, ed. *Photosynthetic Mechanisms of Green Plants*. Washington, US: National Academy of Sciences, publication 1145, 174-194.

Trebst A, Depka B. 1995. Polyphenol oxidase and photosynthesis research. *Photosynthesis Research* **46**, 41-44.

Vaughn KC, Duke SO. 1984. Function of polyphenol oxidases in higher plants. *Physiologia Plantarum* 60, 106-112.

Veljovic-Jovanonvic S, Kukavica B, Navari-Izzo F. 2008. Characterisation of polyphenol oxidase changes induced by dessication of *Ramonda serbica* leaves. *Physiologia Plantarum* **132**, 407-416.

Vinyard DJ, Ananyev GM, Dismukes GC. 2013. Photosystem II: The reaction center of oxygenic photosynthesis. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 82, 577-606.

Webb KJ, Cookson A, Allison G, Sullivan M, Winters AL. 2013. Gene expression patterns, localization and substrates of polyphenol oxidase in red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **61**, 7421-7430.

Webb KJ, Cookson A, Allison G, Sullivan M, Winters AL. 2014. Polyphenol oxidase affects normale nodule development in red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.). *Frontiers in Plant Science* doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00700

Winters AL, Minchin FR, Merry RJ, Morris P. 2003. Comparison of polyphenol oxidase activity in red clover and perennial ryegrass. In: Abberton MT, Andrews M, Skøt L and Theodorou MK, eds. *Aspects 70: Crop quality: Its role in sustainable livestock production*. Association of Applied Biologists Conference, Manchester, 15-16 December 2003, 121-128.

Winters AL, Minchin FR, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Lee MRF, Morris P. 2008. Latent and active polyphenol oxidase (PPO) in red clover (*Trifolium pratense*) and use of a low PPO mutant to study the role of PPO in proteolysis reduction. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **56**, 2817-2824.

Yoruk R, Marshall MR. 2003. Physicochemical properties and function of plant polyphenol oxidase: A review. *Journal of Food Biochemistry* 27, 361-422.

Figure legends

414	Figure 1. Active sites of (A) tyrosinase/ catecholase and (B) laccase enzymes. Both
415	A and B contain a binuclear type 3 copper centre and B also includes mononuclear
416	type 1 and 2 copper centres.
417	
418	Figure 2. Schematic illustration of the mechanism of PPO including the structures of
419	the o-diphenol and monophenol substrates for the catecholase and monophenolase
420	reactions.
421	
422	Figure 3. Seasonal total PPO activity for July 2004 – June 2005. Mean of six red
423	clover (<i>Trifolim pratense</i>) cultivars are shown \pm SE (original data courtesy of M.
424	Fothergill; Fothergill and Rees, 2006).
425	
426	Figure 4. The potential interaction of PPO with photosynthesis (1) by acting as an
427	oxygen buffer in the lumen to prevent O_2^- formation, and (2) to buffer NADPH
428	accumulation in the stroma to prevent over-reduction of the photosystems. GSH
429	(GSSG) reduced (oxidised) glutathione, DHA dehydroascorbate, GR glutatjione
430	reductase, DHAR dehydroascorbate reductase.

Figure 1 Boeckx et al



Figure 2 Boeckx et al



Figure 3 Boeckx et al



Figure 4 Boeckx et al

