

Aberystwyth University

New aspects and strategies for methane mitigation from ruminants

Kumar, Sanjay; Choudhury, Prasanta Kumar; Dolores Carro, Maria; Griffith, Gareth Wyn; Dagar, Sumit Singh; Puniya, Monica; Calabro, Serena; Ravella, Sreenivas Rao; Dhewa, Tejpal; Upadhyay, Ramesh Chandra; Sirohi, Sunil Kumar; Kundu, Shivlal Singh; Wanapat, Metha; Puniya, Anil Kumar

Published in:

Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology

DOI:

10.1007/s00253-013-5365-0

Publication date: 2014

Citation for published version (APA):

Kumar, S., Choudhury, P. K., Dolores Carro, M., Griffith, G. W., Dagar, S. S., Puniya, M., Calabro, S., Ravella, S. R., Dhewa, T., Upadhyay, R. C., Sirohi, S. K., Kundu, S. S., Wanapat, M., & Puniya, A. K. (2014). New aspects and strategies for methane mitigation from ruminants. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 98(1), 31-44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-5365-0

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk

1	New aspects and strategies for methane mitigation from ruminants
2	Sanjay Kumar ^{a,i} , Prasanta Kumar Choudhury ^a , Maria Dolores Carro ^d , Gareth Wyn
3	Griffith ^e , Sumit Singh Dagar ^a , Monica Puniya ^a , Serena Calabro ^f , Sreenivas Rao
4	Ravella ^e , Tejpal Dhewa ^g , Ramesh Chandra Upadhyay ^b , Sunil Kumar Sirohi ^c , Shivlal
5	Singh Kundu ^c Metha Wanapat ^h and Anil Kumar Puniya ^{a*}
6	^a Dairy Microbiology Division; ^b Dairy Cattle Physiology Division, ^c Dairy Cattle
7	Nutrition, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal - 132001, India
8	^d Departamento de Producción Animal, ETSI Agrónomos, Ciudad Universitaria, s/n,
9	Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain
10	^e Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences, Aberystwyth University,
11	Aberystwyth, SY23 3DD, UK
12	^f Department of Animal Science and Food Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
13	University of Napoli Federico II, via F. Delpino 1, 80137 Napoli, Italy
14	^g Department of Microbiology, Bhaskarcharya College of Applied Sciences, University
15	of Delhi, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075, India
16	^h Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center, Department of Animal
17	Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand
18	ⁱ Biological Resource Center, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology,
19	Daejeon-305-806, Korea

^{*}Corresponding author: <u>akpuniya@gmail.com</u>

22 Abstract

23 The growing demand for sustainable animal production is compelling researchers to 24 explore the potential approaches to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases from 25 livestock that are mainly produced by enteric fermentation. Some potential solutions for 26 instance, the use of chemical inhibitors to reduce methanogenesis are not feasible in 27 routine use due to their toxicity to ruminants, inhibition of efficient rumen function or 28 other transitory effects. Strategies, such as use of plant secondary metabolites and 29 dietary manipulations have emerged to reduce the methane emission, but these still 30 require extensive research before these can be recommended and deployed in the 31 livestock industry sector. Furthermore, immunization vaccines for methanogens and 32 phages are also under investigation for mitigation of enteric methanogenesis. The 33 increasing knowledge of methanogenic diversity in rumen, DNA sequencing 34 technologies and bioinformatics have paved the way for chemogenomic strategies by 35 targeting methane producers. Chemogenomics will help in finding target enzymes and 36 proteins, which will further assist in the screening of natural as well chemical inhibitors. 37 The construction of a methanogenic gene catalogue through these approaches is an 38 attainable objective. This will lead to understand the microbiome function, its relation 39 with the host and feeds, and therefore, will form the basis of practically viable and eco-40 friendly methane mitigation approaches, while improving the ruminant productivity.

41 Keywords: Rumen; Methane Mitigation; Enteric Fermentation; Methanogens,
42 Ruminants

45 Introduction

46 Greenhouse gas (GHGs) emission from ruminant production systems is of particular 47 interest because of their consequences in changing the global climate. Methane 48 comprises up to 16% of global GHGs emissions (Scheehle and Kruger 2006), and is 49 mainly detrimental, as its warming potential is nearly 25 times greater than that of CO₂ 50 (Zhou et al. 2011). Methane emissions from the agriculture sector represents 40% of 51 total anthropogenic production (Key and Tallard, 2012), while enteric fermentation in 52 ruminants makes the largest single (25%) contribution (Thorpe 2009). The emission of 53 methane from ruminants also varies based on the geographical location (FAO 2010), 54 feed composition and quality, feed intake, processing of feed and animal breed (Hook et 55 al. 2010).

56 Apart from environmental issues, the methane emission also accounts for a 2 to 12% 57 loss of ingested energy from the rumen (Moss et al. 2000). Such considerations have led 58 to increased efforts in identification of newer and more effective practices to mitigate 59 methane emissions from ruminants. Advances in understanding the gut microbial 60 communities through genomics (Leahy et al. 2010, 2013; Attwood et al. 2011) and 61 metagenomics (Brulc et al. 2009; Hess et al. 2011; Morgavi et al. 2013) have opened 62 novel insights about the function of rumen ecosystem. This increased knowledge has 63 also permitted the development of mitigation strategies to target the dominant 64 methanogenic species directly. There have been reviews of methane abatement in recent 65 times (Moss et al. 2000; Beauchemin et al. 2008; McAllister and Newbold 2008; Kumar 66 et al. 2009; Eckard et al. 2010; Hook et al. 2010; Martin et al. 2010; Patra 2012; 67 Wanapat et al. 2012), so this article will focus on the latest developments (phage therapy, immunization, chemogenomics approaches), possible future directions andchallenges in mitigating enteric methane emissions from ruminants.

70 Mechanism of enteric methane production

71 Enteric methane (nearly 87%) is produced in rumen, the remainder being released from 72 fermentation in the large intestine (Lascano and Cardenas 2010). Although many factors 73 influence methane emissions from ruminants, the three major determinants are level of 74 feed intake, type of carbohydrate fed, and manipulation of rumen microflora (Johnson 75 and Johnson 1995). In rumen, the network of microbes act on feed particles to degrade 76 plant polysaccharide and produce volatile fatty acids (VFAs; mainly acetate, propionate 77 and butyrate) and gases (CO₂ and H₂) as main end products. The activity of hydrogen-78 utilizing methanogens in rumen reduces the end product inhibition of hydrogen, thereby 79 allowing more rapid fermentation of feed. Even a small amount of hydrogen in rumen 80 can limit the oxidation of sugar, VFAs conversion and hydrogenase activity, if 81 alternative pathways for disposal are absent (McAllister and Newbold 2008). Two 82 methods utilized for disposal of reducing equivalents are the production of more highly 83 reduced VFAs and hydrogen by membrane-bound hydrogenases. However, these 84 hydrogenases have an acute sensitivity to an increased partial pressure of hydrogen 85 (Russell 2002).

Methane production in rumen is also affected by the passage rate of digesta in the gastrointestinal tract. The rumen residence time decreases with increased feed intake, thus reducing the extent of the rumen fermentation and shifting digestion from the rumen to the small intestine (Aluwong et al. 2011). As a consequence, methane production per unit of dry matter ingested declines, as feed intake increases

91 (Beauchemin and McGinn 2006a), although the total amount of methane produced is92 higher.

93 Strategies to reduce enteric methane emission

The strategies to reduce methane emission from enteric fermentation are classified into different categories and their respective mechanisms of action, problems associated with each and future prospects are shown in Table 1. The two main areas of intervention that will be reviewed here are the changes in the diet and the direct manipulation of the rumen ecosystem.

99 Dietary changes

Although there are many approaches to reduce methane formation in the rumen, only some of those that have been more intensively investigated during the last years will be treated here, including changes in nutrient composition, plant secondary compounds, lipid supplementation, organic acids and halogenated compounds. Other options, such as the use of ionophores, probiotics, acetogens and defaunation are listed in Table 1, but these are not described here.

106 Changing nutrient composition

107 By manipulating the nutrient composition of ruminants' diet, it is possible to reduce the 108 enteric methane yield, the forage: concentrate ratio in the diet being one of the most 109 studied dietary factors. A high proportion of concentrate in diet reduces rumen pH and 110 consequently affects the protozoa population (Kumar et al. 2013a, b). Furthermore, it 111 also reduces the acetate: propionate ratio and thus decreases the amount of methane 112 produced per unit of feed intake (Beauchemin et al. 2008). However, the proportion of 113 concentrates needed to bring about this effect may well be over 90% of the diet and 114 such high levels are not desirable due to health concerns (i.e., acidosis, laminitis, liver

115 abscesses etc.; Gandra et al. 2012). Moreover, feeding high amounts of concentrates is 116 not always possible in the developing countries because of the economic constraints. 117 Therefore, developing newer forages having high soluble carbohydrates can be a better 118 option for reducing methane than feeding high-concentrate based diets. Niderkorn et al. 119 (2011) reported that diets rich in certain grass varieties such as AberAvon (Lolium 120 perenne) lead to significantly reduced methane production from in vitro fermentations 121 than AberStar and AberMagic under the category of water soluble carbohydrates 122 forages. Similarly, different grass and shrub species, such as L. perenne (Ludemann et 123 al. 2013) Gliricidia sepium, Brachiaria ruziziensis (Meale et al. 2012) and Acacia 124 mangium (Giraldo et al. 2007a), were able to reduce methane emissions. Therefore, 125 grazing on these species has been proposed as a strategy to reduce methane emissions. 126 Another approach would be the selection of better quality forages (low fibre and high 127 soluble carbohydrates content), as increased quality should result in greater productivity 128 at equivalent levels of intake and methane emissions (Clark et al. 2011).

129 Plants containing secondary compounds

130 Tannins, phenolic monomers and other plant secondary metabolites are toxic to ciliate 131 protozoa, fibrolytic bacteria and methanogenic archaea, and thus may help in reducing methanogenesis (Goel et al. 2005; Bhatta et al. 2009; Patra and Saxena, 2009a,b; 132 133 Jayanegara et al. 2011). It has been observed that condensed tannins (CT) containing 134 temperate and tropical legumes reduce methanogenesis (Lascano and Cárdenas 2010; 135 Guglielmelli et al. 2011; Calabrò et al. 2012; Cieslak et al. 2013). Tiemann et al. (2008) 136 indicated that some tropical feeds with tannins have lower fibre digestibility and 137 consequently, low hydrogen production and methane emissions. Moreover, binding of 138 tannins to proteins also reduces degradation of plant protein in the rumen and lowers

139 methanogenesis (Tavendale et al. 2005). The effects of tannin content of four different 140 vegetative stages of Onobrychis viciifolia were evaluated by Guglielmelli et al. (2011), 141 who found a negative correlation bordering on significance (r = -0.932; P = 0.068) 142 between CTs content and methane production, indicating that methane production 143 consistently declined as the CT content increased. The methane suppression effect of 144 CT containing legumes, such as Lotus pedunculatus or Acacia mearnsii, relative to forages without tannins has been shown in sheep (Carulla et al. 2005; Ramirez-Restrepo 145 146 and Barry 2005), Holstein cows (Woodward et al. 2001) and goats (Hess et al. 2006; 147 Animut et al. 2008). The mechanism to decrease methanogenesis seems to vary with the 148 nature of CT, as Bhatta et al. (2013) observed that Ficus bengalensis and Autocarous 149 integrifolis reduced methane production due to defaunation, but Azadirachta indica 150 reduced methanogenesis by a direct effect on methanogens. Overall, it seems that the 151 effects of CT on rumen methanogenesis depend on the structure and concentration of 152 CT.

153 Supplementation of lipids

154 Vegetables and animal lipids are originally used in ruminant rations to increase their 155 energy density. These are also considered useful in terms of reduced rumen 156 methanogenesis (Soliva et al. 2004; Beauchemin et al. 2007; Brask et al. 2013). 157 Methane production has been consistently reduced by adding fat or fatty acids to 158 ruminant diets, and it is estimated that fat can reduce methane emissions by 4-5% (g/Kg 159 DMI) for every 1% increase in the fat content of the diet (Grainger and Beauchemin, 160 2011). However, the inclusion of lipids at levels above 6-7% of dry matter intake can 161 reduce feed intake and fiber digestibility, resulting in lower milk yield or daily gain 162 (Patra 2012).

163 The addition of different oils (soya, coconut, canola, rapeseed, etc.) to ruminant diets 164 have been shown to reduce methane production between 19 and 62% in Rusitec 165 fermenters (Dohme et al. 2000), sheep (Ding et al. 2012), beef cattle (Machmüller and 166 Kreuzer, 1999; Jordan et al 2006a b) and dairy cows (Odongo et al. 2007; Brask et al. 167 2013). The mechanism of methane inhibition by fat is likely to be a combination of bio-168 hydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids and direct inhibition of activities of different 169 microbes including methanogens (Beauchemin et al. 2007; Kong et al. 2010; Hook et al. 170 2010). Bio-hydrogenation acts as hydrogen sink and therefore decrease rumen 171 methanogenesis, but is not the only mechanisms as there is no direct link between the 172 methane reduction and the level of unsaturation (Dohme et al. 2000) or the length of the 173 fatty acid. Medium-chain fatty acids may also reduce methanogenesis by directly acting 174 on protozoa and/or methanogens. Thus, coconut oil decreased methane production and 175 methanogens in both faunated and defaunated Rusitec fermenters, the inhibition of 176 methanogenesis caused by coconut oil being similar to that produced by defaunation 177 (Dohme et al. 1999). Comparison of the effects of different fatty acids revealed that 178 lauric, myristic and linoleic acids were the most potent reducers of methanogenesis 179 (Dohme et al. 2001; Jordan et al. 2006b; Ding et al. 2012), and the ability of lauric acid 180 to decrease cell viability of Methanobrevibacter ruminantium has been recently 181 reported by Zhou et al. (2013).

In summary, increasing the dietary proportion of lipids may provide another feeding strategy for reducing rumen methanogenesis, but the appropriate lipid and dose for each dietary condition should be carefully chosen, as it has been shown that different lipid sources may have similar effects on methane production but variable effects on diet intake and digestion (Beauchemin et al. 2007). In the last years, the potential of

187 essential oils as additives to manipulate rumen fermentation and decrease methane 188 emissions has been extensively investigated and reviewed (Calsamiglia et al. 2007; 189 Benchaar and Greathead, 2011; Bodas et al. 2012). A wide range of essential oils 190 (derived from garlic, thyme, oregano, cinnamon, rhubarb, frangula, etc.) has been 191 shown to decrease methane production *in vitro* in a dose dependent manner, but at high 192 doses the decrease in methanogenesis was accompanied by adverse effects on 193 fermentation such as reduction in VFA production and feed digestibility (Busquet et al., 194 2005; Patra and Yu, 2012). When used at low doses (≤300 mg/l), garlic oil and its 195 organosulfur compounds consistently decreased methane production in vitro without 196 negatively affecting feed fermentation (Busquet et al. 2005; Kamel et al. 2008; 197 Kongmun et al. 2010; Mateos et al. 2013), but no effects of garlic oil or its compounds 198 on methanogenesis have been observed in lactating cows (van Zijderveld et al. 2011), 199 sheep (Patra et al. 2011) or fattening bulls (Staerfl et al. 2012). The lack of response in 200 vivo is partly attributed to the adaptation of microbes (Bodas et al. 2012), but also to the 201 use of lower doses compared to those in the *in vitro* experiments. The challenge now is 202 to identify essential oils that selectively inhibit methanogenesis at concentrations that 203 can be used in the practice, with lasting effects and without depressing feed digestion 204 and animal productivity (Benchaar and Greathead, 2011).

205 Addition of organic acids

Inclusion of organic acids (i.e. malic and fumaric) or their sodium salts in diets, results
in shifting rumen fermentation towards propionate and hence, less methane production.
The addition of sodium fumarate consistently decreased methane production *in vitro* by
2.3 to 41% (Ungerfeld et al. 2007), and increased feed digestibility and VFAs
production (García-Martínez et al. 2005; Giraldo et al. 2007b). Similarly, malate, that is

211 converted to fumarate in the rumen, stimulated propionate formation and also inhibited 212 methanogenesis in some in vitro studies (Carro and Ranilla 2003a; Tejido et al. 2005), 213 although other studies have failed to find clear reductions of methanogenesis in vitro 214 (Carro et al. 1999; Gómez et al. 2005; Ungerfeld and Forster 2011). In vivo effects of 215 adding organic acids to the diet on methane mitigation are quite variable. Wood et al. 216 (2009) noted 60 to 76% reductions in methane emissions by supplementing fumarate at 217 100 g/kg to growing lambs, while Foley et al. (2009a) observed the reductions of only 6 and 16%, when the diet of beef heifers was supplemented with malic acid at 37.5 and 75 218 219 g/kg, respectively. In contrast, no effects of fumaric or malic acid on methane emissions 220 were observed in other studies (Beauchemin and McGinn 2006b; Foley et al. 2009b).

221 The effect of organic acids supplementation on methane reduction appears to be 222 influenced by the forage to concentrate ratio and the type of cereal grain being fed in 223 diet (Carro and Ranilla 2003a, b; Gómez et al. 2005; Tejido et al. 2005), although the 224 number of studies conducted with different diets is too low to draw definitive 225 conclusions. However, the high cost of purified organic acids makes supplementation of 226 ruminant diets uneconomical at the doses required to be effective. Nevertheless, diet 227 supplementation with plant tissues naturally rich in organic acids does have some 228 potential and it may be possible to select forages with elevated levels of other acids. 229 Studies on lucerne, Bermuda grass and tall fescue indicated that organic acids 230 concentrations vary not only among species but also among cultivars of the same 231 species (Callaway et al. 1997), although it is difficult to conclude whether differences in 232 organic acid levels among forages and cultivars are sufficient enough to affect rumen 233 methane emission. Overall, results of fumaric and malic acid supplementation in vitro

and *in vivo* are heterogeneous, and the effectiveness of these additives seem to dependon their dose and nature of diet.

236 Use of halogenated compounds

237 Halogenated methane analogues, such chloral hydrate, amichloral, as 238 bromochloromethane, nitroethane and 2-nitropropanol, are potential inhibitors of 239 methane in ruminants (Nevel and Demeyer 1995). Bromochloromethane can inhibit 240 methanogenesis by reacting with coenzyme B, which functions at the last step of the 241 methanogenic pathway (McCrabb et al. 1997). Recently, Abecia et al. (2012) confirmed 242 the methane reducing effects of bromochloromethane in lactating dairy goats and 243 reported a 33% reduction with no effect on rumen bacteria, protozoa and methanogens. 244 In contrast, Denman et al. (2007) reported that bromochloromethane decreased the 245 number of methanogenic archaea in the rumen of cattle by 34% and reduced methane 246 emission by 30%. Bromochloromethane is highly volatile but can be stabilized with 247 cyclodextrin resulting in more effective reduction of enteric methane emission (May et 248 al. 1995). When fed to Braham cattle at hourly intervals, it prevented all methane 249 production (McCrabb et al. 1997) and when fed twice daily to cattle for 8 weeks, it not 250 only reduced methane by 54% but also reduced feed intake. Some compounds, such a 251 bromine analogue of coenzyme M were potent methane inhibitors in in vitro (Martin 252 and Macy 1985), but the inhibition was not persistent *in vivo*, suggesting adaptation of 253 methanogenic populations (Nevel and Demeyer 1995). An adaptation of methanogens 254 to quaternary ammonium compounds has also been demonstrated (Tezel et al. 2006), 255 but in contrast, no adaptation has been observed for chloroform, which decreased rumen 256 methanogenesis and methanogens without altering rumen function over a 42 day period 257 (Knight et al. 2011).

258 More recently, the effect of 3-nitrooxypropanol and ethyl-3-nitrooxypropanol on rumen 259 fermentation and methane emission have been studied using Rusitec fermenters and in 260 in vivo trials (Haisan et al. 2013; Martinez-Fernandez et al. 2013; Perez et al. 2013). 261 Both additives showed promising potential as methane inhibitors in the rumen with no 262 negative effects on rumen fermentation. Differences among methanogens regarding 263 their resistance to chemical inhibitors should be considered, when designing strategies 264 for inhibition of rumen methanogenesis, as selection of resistant species may result 265 (Ungerfeld et al. 2004).

266 In summary, although dietary manipulation provides many viable options, there are 267 significant variations in the effectiveness of these and currently there is not yet an 268 approach that could be practically applied. Hence, there is a need to study the influence 269 of plant components and essential oils on methane production in detail with 270 standardized samples. Based on the limited information, it can be said that benefits 271 associated with bioactive compounds in vitro do not always mimic in vivo, and in vivo 272 effects are usually transient in nature due to microbial adaptation. Moreover, the 273 different experimental conditions found in vitro and in vivo should be taken into account 274 when comparing doses and results from experiments. Rumen dry matter content can 275 vary with several factors, ranging from 10 to 25%, whereas dry matter content in most 276 in vitro systems is usually much lower (Carro et al. 2006); therefore, doses are not 277 directly comparable when expressed per diet dry matter. In addition, as pointed out by 278 Beauchemin et al. (2008), most studies on reductions in methane production from 279 ruminants due to diet management are short-term and focussed only on enteric 280 emissions, but future research should investigate long-term impacts on methane 281 emissions in the whole farm.

282 Microbial interventions

This section deals with the diversity of methanogens in rumen and also discusses the strategies such as usage of phages and vaccination that directly target methanogens and/ or their activities.

286 Methanogenic diversity

287 In order to target methanogens, knowledge of their population dynamics, physiology 288 and diversity in the rumen is of utmost importance. Until recently, the rumen 289 methanogens belonged to a few genera of the orders Methanobacteriales, 290 Methanomicrobiales and Methanosarcinales, within the phylum Euryarchaeota. 291 However, based on 16S rRNA gene sequences, a novel group distantly related to the 292 Thermoplasmatales (named as rumen Cluster C; previously described as rice cluster C 293 Thermoplasmata) was found to be highly abundant in ruminants (Jannsen and Kirs, 294 2008; Poulsen et al. 2013).

295 Methanobrevibacter is the most commonly encountered genus within 296 Methanobacteriales, whereas Methanobacterium, that shares similar physiology as 297 Methanobrevibacter, are rarely reported from rumen. Other Methanobrevibacter 298 members of the order Methanomicrobiales have been also reported to be dominant in 299 the rumen of buffalo (Tajima et al. 2001; Shin et al. 2004). In genus 300 Methanomicrobium, M. mobile is mostly reported (Kumar et al. 2012) from ruminants, 301 while other members of this genus had shown an abundance with culture independent 302 methods, but are rarely detected/isolated with conventional approaches. The order 303 Methanosarcinales comprises a group of physiologically distinct aceticlastic 304 methanogens (Janssen, 2010), but their abundance in the rumen is low. Within this 305 group, *Methanococcus* spp. and *Methanosarcina* spp. are the most commonly reported
306 (Wedlock et al. 2013).

307 Apart from the microbial diversity analysis, functional and sequence based 308 metagenomics have been evolved to uncover the diversity of enzymes and metabolic 309 pathways in the rumen. This technique has been used to identify hydrolytic enzymes of 310 industrial applications, particularly involved in plant polysaccharide degradation. Some 311 researchers have employed this technique and identified enzymes from the rumen of 312 cow, buffalo, camel, reindeer and yak (Zhao et al. 2010; Hess et al. 2011, Pope et al. 313 2012; Dai et al. 2012; Bhatt et al. 2013). This practice is based on the availability of 314 suitable bioassays for the enzyme of interest and presently cellulose and hemicelluloses 315 degradation is an area of interest for rumen microbiologists. Beside enzyme bioassays, 316 heterologous complementation of host strains and mutants as well as induction of 317 reporter genes are used for functional metagenomic screening (Leahy et al. 2013). Pope 318 et al. (2010) reported unique bacterial lineages underpinning plant biomass conversion, 319 and their distinct repertoire of glycoside hydrolases in Australian macropods. They also 320 reported the abundance of polysaccharide utilization loci in Svalbard reindeer rumen 321 microbome, which is much similar to the microbiome of human gut (Pope et al. 2012). Similarly, Bhatt et al. (2013) highlighted the striking similarities and differences of 322 323 dromedary camel (Camelus dromedaries) with other animal rumen ecosystem. Since, 324 variations of microbial communities in ruminants is of great concern, Ross et al. (2012) 325 suggested untargeted massive parallel sequencing (sequencing without target 326 amplification of genes) approach for resolution of variation based rumen metagenome 327 profiling.

328 Many developments in the exploration of gut microbial communities in different animal 329 species have been made through sequence based metagenomics, and some recent 330 examples follow. Dai et al. (2012) analyzed the fibrolytic microbiome in the rumen of 331 yaks, and Brulc et al. (2009) used large sequence based studies to catalogue the genes 332 involved in fiber degradation in the bovine rumen. Qi et al. (2011) applied 333 metatranscriptomics to the study of rumen microbes function in muskox, and similar 334 work has been carried out in sheep (Cammack et al. 2013), goats (Jakhesara et al. 2010) 335 and Surti buffaloes (Singh et al. 2012a). Singh et al. (2012b) studied the virulence 336 associated and antibiotic resistance genes of rumen microbes to facilitate the 337 understanding of resistant gene transfer between and within habitats. However, 338 researchers in this area have to explore the sequence based metagenomic into taxonomic 339 perspective, as well as to link genomics and metagenomics to nutrition or other animal 340 production parameters. For example, Li et al. (2012) characterized rumen microbiota of 341 pre-ruminant calves and their metabolic potential so that the optimal early weaning 342 nutritional strategies (like milk replacer) could be formulated, and recently Ross et al. 343 (2013) analyzed the effect of methane mitigating diets on rumen microbiome.

344 *Phage therapy*

The lytic potential of phages and their genes make them an important tool for methane mitigation strategies. In contrast to nearly 300 phage genomes (Ackermann and Kropinski 2007), only six archaeal phages are sequenced and described, and just three of them are from methanogens: *Methanobacterium* phage psi M1, M2 and M100 (Pfister et al. 1998) and *Methanothermobacter* phage psi M100 (Luo et al. 2001). Little information is currently available on the genetic blueprint and gene functionality of archaeal, particularly methanogenic, phages but more are being discovered using electron microscopy (Ackermann 2007) and *in vitro* techniques (Stanton 2007). McAllister and Newbold (2008) reported siphophages that can infect methanogens (*Methanobacter, Methanobrevibacter* and *Methanococcus* spp.), although these phages have not been isolated from the rumen. A recent metagenomic study on phage-bacterial relationships showed $\leq 0.1\%$ relative abundance of prophage in phylum *Euryarchaeota* (Berg Miller et al. 2012).

358 Metagenomic surveys are expected to reveal the presence of embedded prophages and 359 phage-like elements that would have otherwise remain unnoticed. An unanticipated 360 outcome from sequencing the *M. ruminantium* genome was the discovery of prophage 361 φ-mru having 69 phage-related proteins (Leahy et al. 2010). A gene encoding a putative 362 lytic enzyme was identified, expressed and shown to lyse *M. ruminantium*. Such lytic 363 enzyme is potentially very useful biocontrol agents for manipulating of rumen 364 methanogenic populations (Leahy et al. 2010). The genome sequence of 365 Methanobrevibacter AbM4 and Methanobrevibacter boviskoreani strain JH1 revealed 366 the presence of prophage/ phage like elements in strain JH1 while AbM4 is lacking in 367 gene encoding prophage (Lee at al. 2013a and Leahy et al. 2013). Phages are host and 368 even strain specific, so phage-based methane mitigation strategies could be developed 369 without affecting other phylogenetically distinct microbes in the rumen. However, hosts 370 and phages are also known to be involved in a rapid evolutionary race as the host 371 changes to avoid infection and the phage changes to maintain infectivity.

In combination with the application of other phage enzymes and structural components, a rotation system can be envisioned that may overcome the rapid adaptation mechanisms of microbes to phage challenges. More methanogenic phages need to be identified, sequenced and characterized to identify and employ such phage-based 376 strategies. However, high specificity of phages may also be a limiting factor in their 377 effectiveness in reducing methane emissions, since there appears to be a high diversity 378 of methanogens in rumen (Janssen and Kirs 2008). Finally, either mixture of phages or 379 structural components of phages may prove useful against the greater diversity of 380 methanogens in rumen.

381 Immunization

382 Host immunization commonly offers a diverse and ecofriendly solution to the problems 383 especially associated with animal health. Therefore, developing vaccines against 384 methanogens appears to be an alternative and attractive approach, which can avoid 385 many of the issues mentioned above related to methane mitigation from ruminants. 386 Wright et al. (2004) developed two vaccines, VF3 (based on three methanogenic strains 387 1Y, AK-87 and ZA-10) and VF7 (based on seven methanogens), that produced a 7.7% 388 methane reduction in sheep (g per kg of dry matter intake); despite targeting only a 389 minority (20%) of methanogens present within these host animals. They also created a 390 vaccine based on five methanogens (Methanobrevibacter spp. strains 1Y, AK-87, M. 391 millerae ZA-10, Methanomicrobium mobile BP and Methanosphaera stadtmanae 392 MCB-3) that was administered in three vaccinations to sheep (Williams et al. 2009). 393 Surprisingly, immunization with this second vaccine caused methane output to increase 394 by 18%, despite the fact that a larger proportion of the methanogenic population (52%)395 was targeted. Thus, further work is needed to optimize the individual components of 396 these vaccines such that the most potent methanogens are specifically targeted.

Researchers believe that anti-methanogenic vaccines will only yield the short term
reductions in methanogens and/ or methanogenesis, due to the possible proteolytic
degradation and low persistence of host antibodies in rumen (Li et al. 2007; Cook et al.

400 2008; Lascano and Cárdenas 2010). Vaccination of sheep with entodinial or mixed 401 protozoa antigens reduced protozoa and the released IgG antibodies against rumen 402 protozoa remained active and continued to bind the target cells up to 8 hours (Williams 403 et al. 2008). Vaccines targeting single surface antigens may not be effective, as 404 methanogenic archaea differs largely based on their host, diet as well as geographical 405 regions (Zhou et al. 2009). A new vaccine has been developed using sub-cellular 406 fractions (cytoplasmic and cell wall derived protein) of Methanobrevibacter 407 ruminantium M1 (Wedlock et al. 2010). Twenty sheep were vaccinated, then booster 408 doses were given after three weeks, and the antisera were found to agglutinate and decrease the growth of archaeal methanogens and methane production in vitro. 409

410 In vivo efficacy of the vaccine on methanogens is yet to be evaluated. Based on liquid 411 chromatography mass spectrometer, it was reported that most of the proteins were 412 intracellular enzymes, particularly methyl-coenzyme M reductase, and these 413 intracellular proteins would not be suitable as vaccine antigens owing to their 414 inaccessibility for antibody binding. Since, there is the growing database for the genome 415 sequences of rumen methanogens, the possibility of finding new target antigens/ 416 proteins using comparative and pangenomics analysis have increased. The genome 417 based reverse vaccine approach may also help in mining the new vaccine targets that 418 might prove successful for efficacious vaccination against methanogens. Furthermore, 419 extensive research is needed to identify adjuvants that stimulate high titer of antibody 420 and are suitable for formulating with protein antigens to produce a low-cost and 421 effective vaccine.

422 Overall, the genome sequencing will be leading to the better understandings toward423 methanogenic interactions with other microbes in the rumen suggesting some methane

424 mitigation possibilities. The genomic techniques have provided positive clues for 425 probable vaccine targets of methanogens in the rumen. Such approaches in future will 426 optimistically lead to methane reducing practices for farm animals. However, the 427 vaccine based inhibition method will have to pass the regulatory systems to guaranty 428 animal health.

429 Chemogenomics: An upcoming strategy

430 Genome sequencing of microbes is actually a useful technique that can provide 431 information directly applicable to methane mitigation strategies from ruminants, based 432 either on vaccines development or small molecule inhibitor practices. Further, it can 433 help to identify methane inhibitors by predicting and/or determining specific enzyme 434 structures. This can define the geometry of the enzyme's active site and help to design 435 the molecules that fit exactly into the active site and hence, can inhibit/ block the 436 enzyme's catalytic function. This approach identifies inhibitory molecules that can be 437 tested for their effectiveness in animal trials.

438 For reaching to a realistic solution to the problem of methane emissions, the 439 technologies for reducing enteric methane must effectively target all the rumen 440 methanogens (major and minor groups), otherwise less abundant methanogens may 441 occupy the vacated niches and lead to normal methanogenesis. Besides, they should not 442 affect other microbes present in the rumen, so that rumen function would not be altered. 443 For this, an understanding of the diversity and physiology of rumen methanogens is 444 essentially required, that not only identifies the dominant methanogens in a particular 445 geographical area, but also the conserved sequences that can be targeted. In this regard, 446 more genome sequences of methanogens are required to validate that the targets are 447 common and effective among all the methanogens in the rumen (Attwood et al. 2011).

448 The completed *M. ruminantium* genome and draft sequences from other rumen 449 methanogenic species are paving the way for identification of the underlying cellular 450 mechanisms that define these microbes, leading to a better understanding of their micro-451 ecology within the rumen. Aside from this, the genome sequence of *M. ruminantium*, 452 draft genome sequence of M. boviskoreani strain JH1 from Korean native cattle 453 (HanWoo) and AbM4 from abomasum's of sheep have been published (Lee et al. 2013a; Leahy et al. 2013). The strain AbM4 do not code for many adhesion-like 454 455 proteins, which indicates that it invest less on the external interactions with its 456 environment compared to strain JH1. Moreover, AbM4 has a broader repertoire of 457 cofactors and coenzymes, which shows its lesser dependence on the other rumen 458 microbes and CoM in the medium. On the contrary, strain JH1 showed very good 459 growth in presence of both CoM and VFA in the medium, and had genes and enzymes 460 for CO_2 plus hydrogen, as well as for formate utilization, so that these enzymes possibly 461 can be targeted for inhibition of methanogenesis.

462 Another gene, which can be further targeted, is the membrane associated transpeptidase. 463 The Mtr enzyme complex can also be used for the development of vaccines. The 464 phylogenetic analysis using Maximum-Likelihood inference method (MEGA 5.1) with 465 1000 boot strapping, and genomic sequence shows that strain JH1 and AbM4 likely 466 belong to the same species and is related to M. wolinii. At present, this research is 467 mainly at exploratory stage but several promising leads for chemogenomic targets are 468 being investigated as possible intervention points for the inhibition of rumen 469 methanogens. The cellular studies indicate that many of the conserved enzyme targets 470 are involved in energy generation via methanogenesis, while majority of the conserved 471 surface protein targets are of unknown function.

Bioinformatic approaches used for the inhibitor prediction against F420 dependent
NADP oxidoreductase enzyme that catalyzes an important electron transfer step in the
methanogenesis from *Methanobrevibacter smithii* reveal that lovastatin and compactin
had high affinity to the enzyme and can act as potential inhibitors (Sharma et al. 2011).
Both *in silico* approaches and *in vitro* enzyme assays may be useful for screening
chemical inhibitors of methanogenesis.

478 The analysis of more genome sequences of rumen methanogens would help to identify 479 potential methane inhibitors. According to the recent report of Lee et al. (2013a, b), only 480 very few rumen methanogens are cultured as pure isolates and 13 genome projects are 481 completed as yet (Leahy et al. 2013; Morgavi et al. 2013). Most of these genome 482 sequences are from genus *Methanobrevibacter*, which is considered to be dominating 483 rumen methanogen, as per the global data set of rumen microbes (Jansen and Kirs, 484 2008; Jeyanathan et al. 2011; Cheng et al. 2009; Williams et al. 2009, Zhou et al. 2009). 485 In near future, with the development of "Hungate1000", a catalogue of 1000 reference 486 microbial genomes from the rumen (http://www.hungate1000.org.nz/), genomic dataset 487 of rumen microbiome will be numerically high, thereby more targets for anti-488 methanogenic strategies can be identified and used for improving the animal health, 489 productivity and beyond. Furthermore, single-cell isolation technique from the complex 490 rumen community would provide more advantage, over isolation approach, and their 491 whole genome sequencing can be accomplished later.

492 Researchers are currently investigating, whether animal variation in methane emission 493 is controlled by a heritable characteristic. Although clear and persistent individual 494 differences in methane emissions have been found among animals fed the same diet 495 (Pinares-Patiño et al. 2003, 2011; Martínez et al. 2010), it has not been yet clearly established whether the low emission trait is associated with any unwanted side effects.
Currently, it is not possible to say whether in future it will be possible to breed animals
that produce lesser methane per unit of intake or not (Clark 2013). Overall, the
chemogenomics allowed us to identify the key features of rumen methanogens that can
be targeted to inhibit them and to mitigate enteric methane production, eventually
reducing the release of anthropogenic GHGs in the environment.

502 Final remarks

Looking at the facts in a comprehensive manner, profiling of rumen methanogens seem 503 504 to be an important tool for ensuring sustainability of ruminant based agriculture 505 production systems. However, for successful methane mitigation strategies to be 506 developed and adopted, a thorough understanding of the microbial ecology of rumen 507 methanogens is essentially required. DNA-based microbial profiling to explore 508 ruminant methane mitigation will support how the rumen microbes can be manipulated 509 without hampering the animal's production potential. These approaches would identify 510 the involved microbial species based on genome sequences. By comparing microbial 511 profiles of animals, one can identify the microbial shifts in response to the methane 512 mitigation strategies. Some of the dietary strategies used in different studies have 513 produced changes in rumen microbial communities as revealed by profiling assays. The 514 comparison of rumen microflora both in high and low methane producers will help in 515 determining if the changes in the microbiota can be directly or indirectly linked to the 516 reduced methane emissions (Ross et al. 2013). In addition, genetic improvement and 517 management practices for increasing ruminant productivity and abating methane 518 emissions, in conjunction with other strategies, can also play an important role in 519 lowering enteric methane emissions globally. Finally, it must be taken into account that

- 520 there should be some economic return to the producers, if strategies to reduce methane 521 emissions are expected to be implemented at farm level, and that any adopted strategy 522 should also ensure animal health, food security and environmental safety.
- 523 Acknowledgements
- 524 We thankfully acknowledge the DBT-CREST fellowship 2011-12 to Anil K Puniya for
- visiting Aberystwyth University (UK) that greatly helped in developing the manuscript
 in collaboration with our overseas experts. We are also thankful to NICRA and VTCC
 ongoing network programmes at NDRI (ICAR), Karnal (India) for providing the
 necessary support for writing the manuscript.

529 **References**

- 530 Abecia L, Toral PG, Martín-García AI, Martínez G, Tomkins NW, Molina-Alcaide E,
- 531 Newbold CJ, Yáñez-Ruiz DR (2012) Effect of bromochloromethane on methane
- emission, rumen fermentation pattern, milk yield, and fatty acid profile in lactating

dairy goats. J Dairy Sci 95:2027-2036

- Ackermann HW (2007) 5500 Phages examined in the electron microscope. Arch
 Virol 152:227-243
- Ackermann HW, Kropinski AM (2007) Curated list of prokaryote viruses with fully
 sequenced genomes. Res Microbiol 158:555-566
- 538 Aluwong T, Wuyep P, Allam L (2011) Livestock-environment interactions: Methane
- emissions from ruminants. Afr J Biotechnol 10:1265-1269
- 540 Animut G, Puchala R, Goetsch A, Patra A, Sahlu T, Varel V, Wells J (2008) Methane
- 541 emission by goats consuming diets with different levels of condensed tannins from
- 542 lespedeza. Anim Feed Sci Technol 144: 212-227

543	Attwood G, McSweeney C (2008) Methanogen genomics to discover targets for
544	methane mitigation technologies and options for alternative H ₂ utilization in the
545	rumen. Aust J Exp Agr 48:28-37

- 546 Attwood GT, Altermann E, Kelly WJ, Leahy SC, Zhang L, Morrison M (2011)
- 547 Exploring rumen methanogen genomes to identify targets for methane mitigation
 548 strategies. Anim Feed Sci Technol 166:65-75
- 549 Beauchemin K, Kreuzer M, O'mara F, McAllister T (2008) Nutritional management for
 550 enteric methane abatement: a review. Aust J Exp Agr 48:21-27
- 551 Beauchemin K, McGinn SM, Petit HV (2007) Methane abatement strategies for cattle:
- 552 lipid supplementation of diets. Can J Anim Sci 87:431-440
- Beauchemin KA, McGinn SM (2006a) Enteric methane emissions from growing beef
 cattle as affected by diet and level of intake. Can J Anim Sci 86:401-408
- 555 Beauchemin KA, McGinn SM (2006b) Methane emissions from beef cattle: Effects of

fumaric acid, essential oil, and canola oil. J Anim Sci 84:1489-1496

- Benchaar C, Greathead H (2011) Essential oils and opportunities to mitigate enteric
 methane emissions from ruminants. Anim Feed Sci Technol 166-167:338-355
- 559 Berg Miller ME, Yeoman CJ, Chia N, Tringe SG, Angly FE, Edwards RA, Flint HJ,

560 Lamed R, Bayer EA, White BA (2012) Phage–bacteria relationships and CRISPR

561 elements revealed by a metagenomic survey of the rumen microbiome Environ

- 562 Microbiol 14(1):207-227
- 563 Bhatt VD, Dande SS, Patil NV, Joshi CG (2013) Molecular analysis of the bacterial
- 564 microbiome in the forestomach fluid from the dromedary camel (Camelus
- 565 *dromedaries*) Mol Biol Rep 40:3363-3371

566	Bhatta R, Saravanan M, Baruah L, Dhali A, Kolte A, Prasad CS (2013) Effect of graded
567	levels of tropical leaves containing-seconday metabolites on rumen fermentation
568	pattern, protozoa population and methanogenesis in vitro. Advances in Animal
569	Biosciences 4 (2) pp 307
570	Bhatta R, Uyeno Y, Tajima K, Takenaka A, Yabumoto Y, Nonaka I, Enishi O, Kurihara
571	M (2009) Difference in nature of tannins on in vitro ruminal methane and volatile
572	fatty acid production and on methanogenic archaea and protozoal populations. J
573	Dairy Sci 92:5512–5522.
574	Bird SH, Hegarty R, Woodgate R (2010) Modes of transmission of rumen protozoa
575	between mature sheep. Anim Prod Sci 50:414-417
576	Bodas R, Prieto N, García-González R, Andrés S, Giráldez FJ, López S (2012)
577	Manipulation of rumen fermentation and methane production with plant secondary
578	metabolites. Anim Feed Sci Technol 176:78-93
579	Brask M, Lund P, Weisbjerg MR, Hellwing AL, Poulsen M, Larsen MK, Hvelplund T
580	(2013) Methane production and digestion of different physical forms of rapeseed as
581	fat supplement in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci 96:2356-2365
582	Brulc JM, Antonopoulos DA, Rincon MT, Band M, Bari A, Akraiko T, Hernandez A,
583	Thimmapuram J, Henrissat B, Coutinho PM, Borovok I, Jindou S, Lamed R, Flint
584	HJ, Bayer EA, White BA (2009) Gene-centric metagenomics of the fibre-adherent
585	bovine rumen microbiome reveals forage specific glycoside hydrolases. Proc Natl
586	Acad Sci USA 106:1948-1953
587	Busquet M, Calsamiglia S, Ferret A, Carro MD, Kamel C (2005) Effect of garlic oil and
588	four of its compounds on rumen microbial fermentation. J Dairy Sci 88:4393-4404

- 589 Calabrò S, Cutrignelli MI, Guglielmelli A, Tudisco R, Piccolo V, Grossi M, Infascelli F
 590 (2012) *In vitro* methane production from different feeds. Proc. 1st Int. Conf. on
- 591 Animal Nutrition and Environment, Sep. 14-15, Khon Kaen (Thailand), pp109-112
- 592 Callaway T, Martin S, Wampler J, Hill N, Hill G (1997) Malate content of forage
- 593 varieties commonly fed to cattle. J Dairy Sci 80:1651-1655
- Calsamiglia S, Busquet M, Cardozo P, Castillejos L, Ferret A (2007) Invited review:
 essential oils as modifiers of rumen microbial fermentation. J Dairy Sci 90: 25802595
- 597 Cammack KM, Ellison MJ, Conant GC, Austin KJ, Lamberson WR (2013) Effect of
- diet type and feed efficiency status on rumen microbial populations in sheep. In 5th
 Greenhouse Gases and Animal Agriculture Conference, p. 372. Dublin, Ireland:
 Cambridge University Press.
- 601 Carro MD, Lebzien P, Rohr K (1992) Influence of yeast culture on the "in vitro" 602 fermentation (Rusitec) of diets containing variable portions of concentrates. Anim
- 603 Feed Sci Technol 37:209-220
- 604 Carro MD, López S, Valdés C, Ovejero FJ (1999) Effect of DL-malate on mixed
- 605 ruminal microorganism fermentation using the rumen simulation technique
- 606 (RUSITEC). Anim Feed Sci Technol 79:279-288
- 607 Carro MD, Ranilla MJ (2003a) Effect of the addition of malate on *in vitro* rumen
 608 fermentation of cereal grains. Br J Nutr 89:181-188
- 609 Carro MD, Ranilla MJ (2003b) Influence of different concentrations of disodium
- 610 fumarate on methane production and fermentation of concentrate feeds by rumen
- 611 micro-organisms *in vitro*. Br J Nutr 90:617-623

- 612 Carro MD, Ranilla MJ, Giráldez FJ, Mantecón AR (2006) Effects of malate
 613 supplementation on feed intake, digestibility, microbial protein synthesis and plasma
 614 metabolites in lambs fed a high-concentrate diet. J Anim Sci 84:405-410
- 615 Carulla JE, Kreuzer M, Machmüller A, Hess HD (2005) Supplementation of *Acacia* 616 *mearnsii* tannins decreases methanogenesis and urinary nitrogen in forage-fed
- 617 sheep. Aust J Agr Res 56:961-970
- Cheng YF, Edwards JE, Allison GG, Zhu W-Y, Theodorou MK (2009) Diversity and
 activity of enriched ruminal cultures of anaerobic fungi and methanogens grown
 together on lignocellulose in consecutive batch culture. Bioresour Technol
 100:4821–4828
- 622 Cieslak A, Szumacher-Strabel M, Stochmal A, Oleszek W (2013) Plant components
 623 with specific activities against rumen methanogens. Animal 7:253-265.
- 624 Clark H (2013) Nutritional and host effects on methanogenesis in the grazing ruminant.
 625 Animal 7:41-48
- 626 Clark H, Kelliher F, Pinares-Patino C (2011) Reducing CH₄ emissions from grazing
 627 ruminants in New Zealand: challenges and opportunities. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci
 628 24:295–302
- 629 Cook S, Maiti P, Chaves A, Benchaar C, Beauchemin K, McAllister T (2008) Avian
- 630 (IgY) anti-methanogen antibodies for reducing ruminal methane production: *in vitro*
- assessment of their effects. Aust J Exp Agr 48:260-264
- 632 Dai X, Zhu Yaxin, Luo Y, Song L, Liu D, Liu L, Chen F, Wang M, Li J, Zeng X, Dong
- 633 Z, Hu S, Li L, Xu J, Huang L, Dong X (2012) Metagenomic insights into the
- 634 fibrolytic microbiome in yak rumen. PLoS ONE 7(7):e40430

- Denman SE, Tomkins NW, McSweeney CS (2007) Quantitation and diversity analysis
 of ruminal methanogenic populations in response to the anti-methanogenic
 compound bromochloromethane. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 62:313-322
- 638 Ding X, Long R, Zhang Q, Huang X, Guo X, Mi J (2012) Reducing methane emissions
- and the methanogen population in the rumen of Tibetan sheep by dietary
 supplementation with coconut oil. Trop Anim Health Pro 44:1541-1545
- 641 Dohme F, Machmuller A, Estermann BL, Pfister P, Wasserfallen A, Kreuzer M (1999)
- 642 The role of the rumen ciliate protozoa for methane suppression caused by coconut643 oil. Lett Appl Microbiol 29:187-192
- 644 Dohme F, Machmüller A, Wasserfallen A, Kreuzer M (2000) Comparative efficiency of
- various fats rich in medium-chain fatty acids to suppress ruminal methanogenesis as
 measured with RUSITEC. Can J Anim Sci 80:473-484
- 647 Dohme F, Machmüller A, Wasserfallen A, Kreuzer M (2001) Ruminal methanogenesis
- 648 as influenced by individual fatty acids supplemented to complete ruminant diets.
- 649 Lett Appl Microbiol 32:47-51
- 650 Eckard R, Grainger C, De Klein C (2010) Options for the abatement of methane and
- nitrous oxide from ruminant production: A review. Livest Sci 130: 47-56
- FAO (2010) Greenhouse gas emissions from the dairy sector. A life cycle assessment.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy
- 654 Foley P, Kenny D, Callan J, Boland T, O'mara F (2009a) Effect of DL-malic acid
- supplementation on feed intake, methane emission, and rumen fermentation in beef
- 656 cattle. J Anim Sci 87:1048-1057

- 657 Foley PA, Kenny DA, Lovett DK, Callan JJ, Boland TM, O'Mara FP (2009b) Effect of
- dl-malic acid supplementation on feed intake, methane emissions, and performance
- of lactating dairy cows at pasture. J Dairy Sci 92:3258-3264
- 660 Fonty G, Joblin K, Chavarot M, Roux R, Naylor G, Michallon F (2007) Establishment
- and development of ruminal hydrogenotrophs in methanogen-free lambs. Appl
- 662 Environ Microbiol 73:6391-6403
- 663 Gandra JR, nunes Gil PC, Consolo NRB, Gandra ERS, Gobesso AAO (2012) Addition
- of increasing doses of ricinoleic acid from castor oil (*Ricinus communis* L.) in dites

of Nellore steers in feedlots. J Anim Feed Sci 21:566-576.

- 666 García-Martínez R, Ranilla MJ, Tejido ML, Carro MD (2005) Effects of disodium
- 667 fumarate on in vitro rumen microbial growth, methane production and fermentation668 of diets differing in their forage:concentrate ratio. Br J Nutr 94:71-77
- 669 Giraldo LA, Ranilla MJ, Tejido ML, Carro MD (2007a) Efecto de la sustitución de

670 Brachiaria dictyoneura or Acacia mangium sobre la fermentación ruminal in vitro

- 671 (Effects of substitution of Brachiaria dictyoneura by Acacia mangiumon in vitro
- ruminal fermentation). Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Pecuarias 29:39-46
- 673 Giraldo LA, Ranilla MJ, Tejido ML, Carro MD (2007b) Influence of exogenous
- 674 fibrolytic enzyme and fumarate on methane production, microbial growth and
 675 fermentation in Rusitec fermenters. Br J Nutr 98:753-761
- Goel G, Puniya AK, Aguilar, CN, Singh K (2005) Interaction of gut microflora with
 tannins in feeds. Naturwissenschaften 92:497–503
- Gómez JA, Tejido ML, Carro MD (2005) Mixed rumen microorganisms growth and
 rumen fermentation of two diets in RUSITEC fermenters: influence of disodium
 malate supplementation. Br J Nutr 93:479-484

- Grainger C, Beauchemin KA (2011) Can enteric methane emissions from ruminants be
 lowered without lowering their production? Anim Feed Sci Technol 166-167:308320
- 684 Guglielmelli A, Calabrò S, Primi R, Carone F, Cutrignelli MI, Tudisco R, Piccolo G,
- Ronchi B, Danieli PP (2011) *In vitro* fermentation patterns and methane production
 of sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia* Scop.) hay with different condensed tannin
 contents. Grass and Forage Science 66:488-500
- Haisan J, Sun Y, Beauchemin K, Guan L, Duval S, Barreda DR, Oba M (2013) Effects
- 689 of feeding 3-nitrooxypropanol, at varying levels, on methane emissions and rumen
- 690 fermentation in lactating dairy cows. Advances in Animal Biosciences 4 (2) pp 326
- Hegarty R, Bird S, Vanselow B, Woodgate R (2010) Effects of the absence of
 protozoa from birth or from weaning on the growth and methane production of
- 693 lambs. Br J Nutr 100:1220-1227
- Hess H, Tiemann T, Noto F, Carulla J, Kreuzer M (2006) Strategic use of tannins as
 means to limit methane emission from ruminant livestock, International Congress
 Series Elsevier, pp164-167
- Hess M, Sczybra A, Egan R, Kim TW, Chokhawala H, Schroth G, Luo S, Clark DS,
 Chen F, Zhang T, Mackie RI, Pennacchio LA, Tringe SG, Visel A, Woyke T, Wang
 Z, Rubin EM (2011) Metagenomic discovery of biomass-degrading genes and
 genomes from cow rumen. Science 331:463-467
- 701 Holtshausen L, Chaves A, Beauchemin K, McGinn S, McAllister T, Odongo N, Cheeke
- P, Benchaar C (2008) Feeding saponin-containing *Yucca schidigera* and *Quillaja saponaria* to decrease enteric methane production in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci
 92:2809-2821

- Hook SE, Northwood KS, Wright AD, McBride BW (2009) Long-term monensin
 supplementation does not significantly affect the quantity or diversity of
 methanogens in the rumen of the lactating dairy cow. Appl Environ Microbiol
 708 75:374-380
- 709 Hook SE, Wright AD, McBride BW (2010) Methanogens: methane producers of the
- 710 rumen and mitigation strategies. Hindawi Publishing Corporation. Archaea. Article
 711 ID 945785. doi:10.1155/2010/945785
- 712 Jakhesara S, Koringa P, Ramani U, Ahir V, Tripathi A, Soni P, Singh K, Bhatt V, Patel
- 713 J., Patel M (2010) Comparative study of tannin challenged rumen microbiome in
- 714 goat using high throughput sequencing technology. Developmental Microbiology
- and Molecular Biology 1: 95-106
- Janssen PH (2010) Influence of hydrogen on rumen methane formation and
 fermentation balances through microbial growth kinetics and fermentation
 thermodynamics. Anim Feed Sci Technol 160:1-22
- Janssen PH, Kirs M (2008) Structure of the archaeal community of the rumen. Appl
 Environ Microbiol 74:3619-3625
- Jayanegara FL, Kreuzer M (2011) Meta-analysis of the relationship between dietary tannin level and methane formation in ruminants from *in vivo* and *in vitro*
- experiments. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl) 96(3):365-75
- 724 Jeyanathan J, Kirs M, Rominus RS, Hoskin SO, Jassen PH (2011) Methanogen
- 725 community structure in the rumens of farmed sheep, cattle and red deer fed different
- diets. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 74:311-326
- Joblin K (1999) Ruminal acetogens and their potential to lower ruminant methane
 emissions. Aust J Agr Res 50:1307-1313

- Joblin K (2005) Methanogenic archaea, In: Makker, H, McSweeney, C. (Eds.), Methods
- in gut microbial ecology for ruminants, Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp 47-53
- Johnson K, Johnson DE (1995) Methane emissions from cattle. J Anim Sci 73:24832492
- Jordan E, Kenny D, Hawkins M, Malone R, Lovett D, O'Mara F (2006a) Effect of
- refined soy oil or whole soybeans on intake, methane output, and performance ofyoung bulls. J Anim Sci 84:2418-2425
- Jordan E, Lovett D, Monahan F, Callan J, Flynn B, O'Mara F (2006b) Effect of refined
- 737 coconut oil or copra meal on methane output and on intake and performance of beef738 heifers. J Anim Sci 84:162-170
- 739 Kamel C, Greathead HMR, Tejido ML, Ranilla MJ, Carro MD (2008) Effect of allicin
- and diallyldisulfide on *in vitro* rumen fermentation of a mixed diet. Anim Feed Sci
 Technol 145:351-363
- Kamra DN, Pawar M, Singh B (2012) Effect of plant secondary metabolites on rumen
 methanogens and methane emissions by ruminants. Dietary Phytochemicals and
 Microbes, pp 351-370
- Key N, Tallard G (2012) Mitigating methane emissions from livestocks: a global
 analysis of sector policies. Clim Change 112:387-414
- 747 Knight T, Ronimus RS, Dey D, Tootill C, Naylor G, Evans P, Molano G, Smith A,
- 748 Tavendale M, Pinares-Patino CS, Clark H (2011) Chloroform decreases rumen
- 749 methanogenesis and methanogen populations without altering rumen function in
- 750 cattle. Anim Feed Sci Technol 166-167:101-112

- 751 Kong Y, He M, McAlister T, Seviour R, Forster R (2010) Quantitative fluorescence in 752 situ hybridization of microbial communities in the rumens of cattle fed different 753 diets. Appl Environ Microbiol 76(20):6933-6938
- 754 Kongmun P, Wanapat M, Pakdee P, Navanukraw C (2010) Effect of coconut oil and 755 garlic powder on in vitro fermentation using gas production technique. Livest Sci 756 127:38-44
- Kumar S. Dagar SS and Puniva AK (2012) Isolation and characterization of 757 methanogens from rumen of Murrah buffalo. Ann Microbiol 62:345-350 758
- 759 Kumar S, Dagar SS, Puniya AK, Upadhyay RC, (2013a) Changes in methane emission,
- 760 rumen fermentation in response to diet and microbial interactions. Res Vet Sci 761 94:263-268
- 762 Kumar S, Dagar SS, Sirohi SK, Upadhyay RC, Puniya AK (2013b) Microbial profiles,
- 763 in vitro gas production, dry matter digestibility based on various ratios of roughage 764 to concentrate. Ann Microbiol 63:541-545
- 765 Kumar S, Puniya AK, Puniya M, Dagar S, Sirohi S, Singh K, Griffith G (2009) Factors
- 766 affecting rumen methanogens and methane mitigation strategies. World J Microbiol 767 Biotechnol 25:1557-1566
- 768 Lascano CE, Cárdenas E (2010) Alternatives for methane emission mitigation in 769 livestock systems. Rev Bras Zootecn 39:175-182
- Leahy SC, Kelly WJ, Altermann E, Ronimus RS, Yeoman CJ, Pacheco DM, Li D, 770
- Kong Z, McTavish S, Sang C (2010) The genome sequence of the rumen 771 772 methanogen Methanobrevibacter ruminantium reveals new possibilities for 773

controlling ruminant methane emissions. PLoS One 5:e8926

774	Leahy SC, Kelly WJ, Li D, Altermann E, Lambie SC, Cox F, Attwood GT (2013) The
775	complete genome sequence of Methanobrevibacter sp. AbM4. Stand Genomic Sci
776	8:2

- 777 Lee J-H, Kumar S, Lee G-H, Chang D-H, Rhee M-S, , Kim D-S, Yoon M-H, Kim B-C
- (2013b) *Methanobrevibacter boviskoreani* sp. nov., isolated from the rumen of
 Korean native cattle. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol (In Press) doi 10.1007/s13213-012-

780 0501-0

- 781 Lee J-H, Rhee M-S, Kumar S, Lee G-H, Chang D-H, Kim D-S, Choi S-H, Lee D-W,
- Kim B-C (2013a) Genome sequence of *Methanobrevibacter* sp. strain JH1, isolated
 from rumen of Korean native cattle. Genome Announc 1:e00002-13
- Li RW, Connor EE, Li C, Baldwin RL, Sparks ME (2012) Characterization of the
 rumen microbiota of pre-ruminant calves using metagenomic tools. Environ
 Microbiol 14(1):129-139
- 787 Li XY, Jin LJ, McAllister TA, Stanford K, Xu JY, Lu YN, Zhen YH, Sun YX, Xu YP
- (2007) Chitosan-alginate microcapsules for oral delivery of egg yolk
 immunoglobulin (IgY). J Agric Food Chem 55, 2911-2917
- Ludemann CI, Eckard RJ, Smith KF (2013) Potential effects of time of cutting and plant
 genotypes and gas production from fermentation of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) using dairy cow rumen. Advances in Animal Biosciences 4(2) pp 424
- Lunsin R, Wanapat M, Yuangklang C, Rowlinson P (2012) Effect of rice bran oil
 supplementation on rumen fermentation, milk yield and milk composition in
 lactating dairy cows. Livest Sci 145:167-173

- Luo Y, Pfister P, Leisinger T, Wasserfallen A (2001) The Genome of archaeal prophage
 ψm100 encodes the lytic enzyme responsible for autolysis of *Methanothermobacter wolfeii*. J Bacteriol 183:5788-5792
- Lynch H, Martin S (2002) Effects of Saccharomyces cerevisiae culture and
 Saccharomyces cerevisiae live cells on in vitro mixed ruminal microorganism
- 801 fermentation. J Dairy Sci 85, 2603-2608
- Machmüller A, Kreuzer M (1999) Methane suppression by coconut oil and associated
 effects on nutrient and energy balance in sheep. Can J Anim Sci 79:65-72
- 804 Martin C, Morgavi DP, Doreau M (2010) Methane mitigation in ruminants: From
- 805 microbe to the farm scale. Animal 4:351-365
- Martin S, Nisbet D (1990) Effects of Aspergillus oryzae fermentation extract on
 fermentation of amino acids, bermudagrass and starch by mixed ruminal
 microorganisms *in vitro*. J Anim Sci 68:2142-2149
- 809 Martin SA, Macy J (1985) Effects of monensin, pyromellitic diimide and 2-
- 810 bromoethanesulfonic acid on rumen fermentation *in vitro*. J Anim Sci 60:544
- 811 Martin SA, Streeter M (1995) Effect of malate on *in vitro* mixed ruminal
 812 microorganism fermentation. J Anim Sci 73:2141-2145
- 813 Martínez ME, Ranilla MJ, Tejido ML, Ramos S, Carro MD (2010) The effect of the diet

814 fed to donor sheep on *in vitro* methane production and ruminal fermentation of diets

- 815 of variable composition. Anim Feed Sci Technol 158:126–135
- 816 Martinez-Fernndez G, Arco A, Abecia L, Cantalapiedra-Hijar G, Moline-Alcaide E,
- 817 Martin-Garcia AI, Kindermann M, Duval S, Yanez-ruiz DR (2013) The addition of
- 818 Ethyl-3-nitrooxy propionate and 3-Nitrooxypropanol in the diet of sheep
- 819 substantially reduces methane emissions and the effect persists over a month.
 820 Advances in Animal Biosciences 4 (2) pp 368
- 821 Mateos I, Ranilla MJ, Tejido ML, Saro C, Kamel C, Carro MD (2013) The influence of
- diet on the effectiveness of garlic oil and cinnamaldehyde to manipulate *in vitro*
- ruminal fermentation and methane production. Anim Prod Sci. 53:299-307
- 824 Mathieu F, Jouany JP, Senaud J, Bohatier J, Bertin G, Mercier M (1996) The effect of
- *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* on fermentations in the rumen of
 faunated and defaunated sheep; protozoal and probiotic interactions. Reprod Nutr
- 827 Devel 36:271-287
- May C, Payne AL, Stewart PL, Edgar JA (1995) A delivery system for agents, In:
 International Patent Application No. PCT/AU95/00733
- McAllister TA, Newbold CJ (2008) Redirecting rumen fermentation to reduce
 methanogenesis. Aust J Exp Agr 48:7-13
- 832 McCrabb GJ, Berger KT, Magner T, May C, Hunter RA (1997) Inhibiting methane
- 833 production in Brahman cattle by dietary supplementation with a novel compound
- and the effects on growth. Aust J Agr Res 48:323-329
- 835 Meale S, Chaves A, Baah J, McAllister T (2012) Methane production of different
- forages in *in vitro* ruminal fermentation. Asian Austral J Anim Sci 25:86-91
- 837 Morgavi DP, Jouany JP, Martin C (2008) Changes in methane emission and rumen
- fermentation parameters induced by refaunation in sheep. Aust J Exp Agr 48:69-72
- 839 Morgavi DP, Kelly WJ, Janssen PH, Attwood GT (2013) Rumen microbial
- 840 (meta)genomics and its application to ruminant production. Animal 7:184-201.
- 841 Moss AR, Jouany JP, Newbold J (2000) Methane production by ruminants: its
- contribution to global warming. Ann Zootech 49:231-254

- Nevel CV, Demeyer D (1995) Feed additives and other interventions for decreasing
 methane emissions. Biotech Anim Feeds Anim Feeding 17:329-349
- 845 Newbold C, McIntosh F, Wallace R (1998) Changes in the microbial population of a
- rumen-simulating fermenter in response to yeast culture. Can J Anim Sci 78:241-
- 847 244
- Niderkorn V, Baumont R, Le Morvan A, Macheboeuf D (2011) Occurrence of
 associative effects between grasses and legumes in binary mixtures on *in vitro*rumen fermentation characteristics. J Anim Sci 89:1138-1145
- 851 Odongo N, Or-Rashid M, Kebreab E, France J, McBride B (2007) Effect of
 852 supplementing myristic acid in dairy cow rations on ruminal methanogenesis and
 853 fatty acid profile in milk. J Dairy Sci 90:1851-1858
- O'Kelly J, Spiers W (1992) Effect of monensin on methane and heat productions of
 [Brahman] steers fed lucerne hay either *ad libitum* or at the rate of 250 g per hour.
 Aust J Agr Res 43:1789-1793
- Patra AK (2012) Enteric methane mitigation technologies for ruminant livestock: a
 synthesis of current research and future directions. Environ Monit Assess 184:19291952
- Patra AK, Kamra DN, Bhar R, Kumar R, Agarwal N (2011) Effect of *Terminalia chebula* and *Allium sativum* on *in vivo* methane emission by sheep. J Anim Physiol
- Anim Nutr 95:187-191
- 863 Patra AK, Saxena J (2009a) Dietary phytochemicals as rumen modifiers: a review of the
- effects on microbial populations. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 96:363–375

- Patra AK, Saxena J (2009b) The effect and mode of action of saponins on the microbial
 populations and fermentation in the rumen and ruminant production. Nutr Res Rev
 22:204-219
- 868 Patra AK, Yu Z (2012) Effects of essential oils on methane production and fermentation
- by, and abundance and diversity of, rumen microbial populations. Appl Environ
 Microbiol 78:4271-4280
- Perez AR, Beauchemin KA, Okine EK, Duval SM (2013) Effect of 3-nitrooxypropanol
 on methane production using rumen simulation technique (Rusitec). Advances in
 Animal Biosciences 4 (2) pp 389
- Pfister P, Wasserfallen A, Stettler R, Leisinger T (1998) Molecular analysis of
 Methanobacterium phage ΨM2. Mol Microbiol 30:233-244
- Pinares-Patiño CS, Ebrahimi SH, McEwan JC, Dodds KG, Clark H, Luo D (2011) Is
 rumen retention time implicated in sheep differences in methane emissions?
 Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production 71:219–222
- 879 Pinares-Patiño CS, Ulyatt MJ, Lassey KR, Barry TN, Holmes CW (2003) Persistence of
- differences between sheep in methane emission under generous grazing conditions.
- 881 J Agric Sci 140:227–233
- 882 Pope PB, Denman SE, Jones M, Tringe SG, Barry K, Malfatti SA, McHardy AC, Cheng
- J.-F, Hugenholtz P, McSweeney CS, Morrison M (2010) Adaptation to herbivory by
- the Tammar wallaby includes bacterial and glycoside hydrolase profiles different
- from other herbivores. Proc Natl Academy Sci.107(33):14793-14798
- 886 Pope PB, Mackenzie Ak, Gregor I, Smith W, Sundset MA, McHardy AC, Morrison M,
- 887 Eijsink VGH (2012) Metagenomics of the Svalbard reindeer rumen microbiome
- reveals abundance of polysaccharide utilization loci. PLoS ONE 7(6):e38571

Poulsen M, Schwab C, Jensen BB, Engberg RM, Spang A, Canibe N, Hojberg O,
Milinovich G, Fragner L, Schleper C, Weckwerth W, Lund P, Schramm A, Urich T
(2013) Methylotrophic methanogenic Thermoplasmata implicated in reduced
methane emission from bovine rumen. Nat Commun 4:1428

- 893 Qi M, Wang P, O'Toole N, Barboza PS, Ungerfeld E, Leigh MB, Selinger LB, Butler
- 6, Tsang A, McAllister TA, Forster RJ (2011). Snapshot of the eukaryotic gene
- 895 expression in muskoxen rumen- a metatranscriptomics approach. Plos One 6:e20521
- 896 Ramirez-Restrepo C, Barry T (2005) Alternative temperate forages containing
- secondary compounds for improving sustainable productivity in grazing ruminants.
- Anim Feed Sci Technol 120:179-201
- 899 Ross EM, Moate PJ, Bath CR, Davidson SE, Sawbridge TI, Guthridge KM, Cocks BG
- and Hayes BJ (2012) High throughput whole rumen metagenome profiling using
 untargeted massively parallel sequencing. BMC Genetics 13:53
- 902 Ross Em, Moate PJ, Marett L, Cocks BG, Hayes BJ (2013) Investigating the effect of
- wo methane-mitigating diets on the rumen microbiome using massively parallel
 sequencing. J Dairy Sci 96: 6030-6046
- Russell JB (2002) Rumen microbiology and its role in rumen nutrition, 1st edn. Russell,
 Ithaca, New York
- 907 Scheehle EA, Kruger D (2006) Global anthropogenic methane and nitrous oxide
 908 emissions. Energy J 22:33-44
- 909 Sharma A, Chaudhary PP, Sirohi SK, Saxena J (2011) Structure modeling and
- 910 prediction of NADP oxidoreducatse enzyme from *Methanobrevibacter smithii*.
- 911 Bioinformation 6:15-19.

- 912 Shin EC, Choi BR, Lim WJ, Hong SY, An CL, Cho KM, Kim YK, An JM, Kang JM,
- Lee SS, Kim H, Yun HD (2004) Phylogenetic analysis of archaea in three
 fractions of cow rumen based on the 16S rDNA sequence. Anareobe 10:313-319.
- 915 Singh KM, Ahir VB, Tripathi AK, Ramani, UV, Sajnani M, Koringa PG, Jakhesara SJ,
- 916 Pandya PR, Rank DN, Murty DS, Kothari RK, Joshi CG (2012a) Metagenomic
- 917 analysis of Surti buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) rumen: a preliminary study. Mol Bio Rep
- 918 39:4841-4848
- 919 Singh KM, Jakhesara SJ, Koringa PG, Rank DN, Joshi CG (2012b) Metagenomic
 920 analysis of virulence-associated and antibiotic resistance genes of microbes in
 921 rumen of Indian buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) Gene 506:146-151
- Soliva CR, Meile L, Cieslak A, Kreuzer M, Machmuller A (2004) Rumen simulation
 technique study on the interactions of dietary lauric and myristic acid
 supplementation in suppressing ruminal methanogenesis. Br J Nutr 92:689-700
- 925 Staerfl SM, Zeitz JO, Kreuzer M, Soliva CR (2012) Methane conversion rate of bulls
- fattened on grass or maize silage as compared with the IPCC default values, and the
- 927 long-term methane mitigation efficiency of adding acacia tannin, garlic, maca and
 928 lupine. Agric Ecosys Environ 148:111–120
- Stanton TB (2007) Prophage-like gene transfer agents: Novel mechanisms of gene
 exchange for *Methanococcus*, *Desulfovibrio*, *Brachyspira*, and *Rhodobacter* species.
- 931 Anaerobe 13:43-49
- Tajima K, Nagamine T, Matsui H, Nakamura M, Aminov RI (2001) Phylogenetic
 anaylsis of archaeal 16S rRNA libraries from the rumen suggests the existence of a
 novel group of archaea not associated with known methanogens. FEMS Microbiol
 Lett 200:67-72

- Tavendale MH, Meagher LP, Pacheco D, Walker N, Attwood GT, Sivakumaran S
 (2005) Methane production from *in vitro* rumen incubations with *Lotus pedunculatus* and *Medicago sativa*, and effects of extractable condensed tannin
- 939 fractions on methanogenesis. Anim Feed Sci Technol 123:403-419
- 940 Teather RM, Forster JR (1998) Manipulating the rumen microflora with bacteriocins to
- 941 improve ruminant production. Can J Anim Sci 78:57-69
- 942 Tejido ML, Ranilla MJ, García-Martínez R, Carro MD (2005) In vitro microbial growth
- and rumen fermentation of different diets as affected by the addition of disodiummalate. Anim Sci 81:31-38
- 945 Tezel U, Pierson JA, Pavlostathis SG (2006) Fate and effect of quaternary ammonium
- 946 compounds on a mixed methanogenic culture. Water Res 40:3660-3668
- 947 Thorpe A (2009) Enteric fermentation and ruminant eructation: the role (and control?)
 948 of methane in the climate change debate. Clim Change 93:407
- 949 Tiemann TT, Lascano CE, Kreuzer M, Hess HD (2008) The ruminal degradability of
- 950 fibre explains part of the low nutritional value and reduced methanogenesis in
- highly tanniniferous tropical legumes. J Sci Food Agric 88:1794-1803
- 952 Ungerfeld E, Forster RJ (2011) A meta-analysis of malate effects on methanogenesis in
- ruminal batch cultures. Anim Feed Sci Technol 166-167:282-290
- 954 Ungerfeld E, Kohn R, Wallace R, Newbold C (2007) A meta-analysis of fumarate
- 955 effects on methane production in ruminal batch cultures. J Anim Sci 85:2556-2563
- 956 Ungerfeld E, Rust SR, Broone DR, Liu Y (2004) Effects of several inhibitors on pure
- 957 cultures of ruminal methanogens. Appl Microbiol 97:520-526
- 958 Van Nevel C, Demeyer D (1996) Control of rumen methanogenesis. Environ Monit
- 959 Assess 42:73-97

- Van Zijderveld SM, Fonken B, Dijkstra J, Gerrits WJ, Perdok HB, Fokkink W,
 Newbold JR (2011) Effects of a combination of feed additives on methane
 production, diet digestibility, and animal performance in lactating dairy cows. J
 Dairy Sci 94:1445-1454
- 964 Waghorn G, Woodward S, Tavendale M, Clark D (2006) Inconsistencies in rumen
- 965 methane production-effects of forage composition and animal genotype, Elsevier, pp966 115-118.
- Wanapat M, Kongmun P, Poungchompu O, Cherdthong A, Khejornsart P, Pilajun R,
 Kaenpakdee S (2012) Effects of plants containing secondary compounds and plant
- oils on rumen fermentation and ecology. Trop Anim Health Pro 44:399-405
- 970 Wedlock D, Pedersen G, Denis M, Dey D, Janssen P, Buddle B (2010) Development of
- a vaccine to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in agriculture: Vaccination of sheep
 with methanogen fractions induces antibodies that block methane production *in*
- 973 *vitro*. New Zeal Vet J 58:29-36
- Wedlock DN, Janssen PH, Leahy SC, Shu D, Buddle BM (2013) Progress in the
 development of vaccines against rumen methanogens. Animal 7:244-252
- 976 Williams YJ, Popovski S, Rea SM, Skillman LC, Toovey AF, Northwood KS, Wright
- AD (2009) A vaccine against rumen methanogens can alter the composition of
 archaeal populations. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:1860-1866
- 979 Williams YJ, Rea SM, Popovski S, Pimm CL, Williams AJ, Toovey AF, Skillman LC,
- 980 Wright ADG (2008) Reponses of sheep to a vaccination of entodinial or mixed
- rumen protozoal antigens to reduce rumen protozoal numbers. Br J Nutr 99:100-109

- Wood T, Wallace R, Rowe A, Price J, Yáñez-Ruiz D, Murray P, Newbold C (2009)
 Encapsulated fumaric acid as a feed ingredient to decrease ruminal methane
 emissions. Anim Feed Sci Technol 152:62-71
- Woodward S, Waghorn G, Ulyatt M, Lassey K (2001) Early indications that feeding
 Lotus will reduce methane emissions from ruminants. New Zeal Soc Anim Pro
 1999:23-26
- 988 Wright A, Kennedy P, O'Neill C, Toovey A, Popovski S, Rea S, Pimm C, Klein L
- (2004) Reducing methane emissions in sheep by immunization against rumen
 methanogens. Vaccine 22:3976-3985
- 291 Zhao S, Wang J, Bu D, Liu K, Zhu Y, Dog Z, Yu Z (2010) Novel glycoside hydrolases
 identified by screening a chinese Holstein dairy cow rumen-derived metagenome
- 993 library. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:6701-6705
- 2014 Zhou M, Hernandez-Sanabria E, Guan LL (2009) Assessment of the microbial ecology
- 995 of ruminal methanogens in cattle with different feed efficiencies. Appl Environ
 996 Microbiol 75:6524-6533
- 997 Zhou X, Meile L, Kreuzer M, Zeitz JO (2013) The effect of lauric acid on methane
- 998 production and cell viability of *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium*. Adv Anim Biosci
 999 4 (2):458
- 1000 Zhou YY, Mao HL, Jiang F, Wang JK, Liu JX, McSweeney CS (2011) Inhibition of
- 1001 rumen methanogenesis by tea saponins with reference to fermentation pattern and
- 1002 microbial communities in Hu sheep. Anim Feed Sci Technol 166:93-100

1	New aspects and strategies for methane mitigation from ruminants
2	Sanjay Kumar ^{a,i} , Prasanta Kumar Choudhury ^a , Maria Dolores Carro ^d , Gareth Wyn
3	Griffith ^e , Sumit Singh Dagar ^a , Monica Puniya ^a , Serena Calabro ^f , Sreenivas Rao
4	Ravella ^e , Tejpal Dhewa ^g , Ramesh Chandra Upadhyay ^b , Sunil Kumar Sirohi ^c , Shivlal
5	Singh Kundu ^c Metha Wanapat ^h and Anil Kumar Puniya ^{a*}
6	^a Dairy Microbiology Division; ^b Dairy Cattle Physiology Division, ^c Dairy Cattle
7	Nutrition, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal - 132001, India
8	^d Departamento de Producción Animal, ETSI Agrónomos, Ciudad Universitaria, s/n,
9	Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain
10	^e Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences, Aberystwyth University,
11	Aberystwyth, SY23 3DD, UK
12	^f Department of Animal Science and Food Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
13	University of Napoli Federico II, via F. Delpino 1, 80137 Napoli, Italy
14	^g Department of Microbiology, Bhaskarcharya College of Applied Sciences, University
15	of Delhi, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075, India
16	^h Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center, Department of Animal
17	Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand
18	ⁱ Biological Resource Center, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology,
19	Daejeon-305-806, Korea

^{*}Corresponding author: <u>akpuniya@gmail.com</u>

22 Abstract

23 The growing demand for sustainable animal production is compelling researchers to 24 explore the potential approaches to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases from 25 livestock that are mainly produced by enteric fermentation. Some potential solutions for 26 instance, the use of chemical inhibitors to reduce methanogenesis are not feasible in 27 routine use due to their toxicity to ruminants, inhibition of efficient rumen function or 28 other transitory effects. Strategies, such as use of plant secondary metabolites and 29 dietary manipulations have emerged to reduce the methane emission, but these still 30 require extensive research before these can be recommended and deployed in the 31 livestock industry sector. Furthermore, immunization vaccines for methanogens and 32 phages are also under investigation for mitigation of enteric methanogenesis. The 33 increasing knowledge of methanogenic diversity in rumen, DNA sequencing 34 technologies and bioinformatics have paved the way for chemogenomic strategies by 35 targeting methane producers. Chemogenomics will help in finding target enzymes and 36 proteins, which will further assist in the screening of natural as well chemical inhibitors. 37 The construction of a methanogenic gene catalogue through these approaches is an 38 attainable objective. This will lead to understand the microbiome function, its relation 39 with the host and feeds, and therefore, will form the basis of practically viable and eco-40 friendly methane mitigation approaches, while improving the ruminant productivity.

41 Keywords: Rumen; Methane Mitigation; Enteric Fermentation; Methanogens,
42 Ruminants

45 Introduction

46 Greenhouse gas (GHGs) emission from ruminant production systems is of particular 47 interest because of their consequences in changing the global climate. Methane 48 comprises up to 16% of global GHGs emissions (Scheehle and Kruger 2006), and is 49 mainly detrimental, as its warming potential is nearly 25 times greater than that of CO₂ 50 (Zhou et al. 2011). Methane emissions from the agriculture sector represents 40% of 51 total anthropogenic production (Key and Tallard, 2012), while enteric fermentation in 52 ruminants makes the largest single (25%) contribution (Thorpe 2009). The emission of 53 methane from ruminants also varies based on the geographical location (FAO 2010), 54 feed composition and quality, feed intake, processing of feed and animal breed (Hook et 55 al. 2010).

56 Apart from environmental issues, the methane emission also accounts for a 2 to 12% 57 loss of ingested energy from the rumen (Moss et al. 2000). Such considerations have led 58 to increased efforts in identification of newer and more effective practices to mitigate 59 methane emissions from ruminants. Advances in understanding the gut microbial 60 communities through genomics (Leahy et al. 2010, 2013; Attwood et al. 2011) and 61 metagenomics (Brulc et al. 2009; Hess et al. 2011; Morgavi et al. 2013) have opened 62 novel insights about the function of rumen ecosystem. This increased knowledge has 63 also permitted the development of mitigation strategies to target the dominant 64 methanogenic species directly. There have been reviews of methane abatement in recent 65 times (Moss et al. 2000; Beauchemin et al. 2008; McAllister and Newbold 2008; Kumar 66 et al. 2009; Eckard et al. 2010; Hook et al. 2010; Martin et al. 2010; Patra 2012; 67 Wanapat et al. 2012), so this article will focus on the latest developments (phage therapy, immunization, chemogenomics approaches), possible future directions andchallenges in mitigating enteric methane emissions from ruminants.

70 Mechanism of enteric methane production

71 Enteric methane (nearly 87%) is produced in rumen, the remainder being released from 72 fermentation in the large intestine (Lascano and Cardenas 2010). Although many factors 73 influence methane emissions from ruminants, the three major determinants are level of 74 feed intake, type of carbohydrate fed, and manipulation of rumen microflora (Johnson 75 and Johnson 1995). In rumen, the network of microbes act on feed particles to degrade 76 plant polysaccharide and produce volatile fatty acids (VFAs; mainly acetate, propionate 77 and butyrate) and gases (CO₂ and H₂) as main end products. The activity of hydrogen-78 utilizing methanogens in rumen reduces the end product inhibition of hydrogen, thereby 79 allowing more rapid fermentation of feed. Even a small amount of hydrogen in rumen 80 can limit the oxidation of sugar, VFAs conversion and hydrogenase activity, if 81 alternative pathways for disposal are absent (McAllister and Newbold 2008). Two 82 methods utilized for disposal of reducing equivalents are the production of more highly 83 reduced VFAs and hydrogen by membrane-bound hydrogenases. However, these 84 hydrogenases have an acute sensitivity to an increased partial pressure of hydrogen 85 (Russell 2002).

Methane production in rumen is also affected by the passage rate of digesta in the gastrointestinal tract. The rumen residence time decreases with increased feed intake, thus reducing the extent of the rumen fermentation and shifting digestion from the rumen to the small intestine (Aluwong et al. 2011). As a consequence, methane production per unit of dry matter ingested declines, as feed intake increases

91 (Beauchemin and McGinn 2006a), although the total amount of methane produced is92 higher.

93 Strategies to reduce enteric methane emission

The strategies to reduce methane emission from enteric fermentation are classified into different categories and their respective mechanisms of action, problems associated with each and future prospects are shown in Table 1. The two main areas of intervention that will be reviewed here are the changes in the diet and the direct manipulation of the rumen ecosystem.

99 Dietary changes

Although there are many approaches to reduce methane formation in the rumen, only some of those that have been more intensively investigated during the last years will be treated here, including changes in nutrient composition, plant secondary compounds, lipid supplementation, organic acids and halogenated compounds. Other options, such as the use of ionophores, probiotics, acetogens and defaunation are listed in Table 1, but these are not described here.

106 Changing nutrient composition

107 By manipulating the nutrient composition of ruminants' diet, it is possible to reduce the 108 enteric methane yield, the forage: concentrate ratio in the diet being one of the most 109 studied dietary factors. A high proportion of concentrate in diet reduces rumen pH and 110 consequently affects the protozoa population (Kumar et al. 2013a, b). Furthermore, it 111 also reduces the acetate: propionate ratio and thus decreases the amount of methane 112 produced per unit of feed intake (Beauchemin et al. 2008). However, the proportion of 113 concentrates needed to bring about this effect may well be over 90% of the diet and 114 such high levels are not desirable due to health concerns (i.e., acidosis, laminitis, liver

115 abscesses etc.; Gandra et al. 2012). Moreover, feeding high amounts of concentrates is 116 not always possible in the developing countries because of the economic constraints. 117 Therefore, developing newer forages having high soluble carbohydrates can be a better 118 option for reducing methane than feeding high-concentrate based diets. Niderkorn et al. 119 (2011) reported that diets rich in certain grass varieties such as AberAvon (Lolium 120 perenne) lead to significantly reduced methane production from in vitro fermentations 121 than AberStar and AberMagic under the category of water soluble carbohydrates 122 forages. Similarly, different grass and shrub species, such as L. perenne (Ludemann et 123 al. 2013) Gliricidia sepium, Brachiaria ruziziensis (Meale et al. 2012) and Acacia 124 mangium (Giraldo et al. 2007a), were able to reduce methane emissions. Therefore, 125 grazing on these species has been proposed as a strategy to reduce methane emissions. 126 Another approach would be the selection of better quality forages (low fibre and high 127 soluble carbohydrates content), as increased quality should result in greater productivity 128 at equivalent levels of intake and methane emissions (Clark et al. 2011).

129 Plants containing secondary compounds

130 Tannins, phenolic monomers and other plant secondary metabolites are toxic to ciliate 131 protozoa, fibrolytic bacteria and methanogenic archaea, and thus may help in reducing methanogenesis (Goel et al. 2005; Bhatta et al. 2009; Patra and Saxena, 2009a,b; 132 133 Jayanegara et al. 2011). It has been observed that condensed tannins (CT) containing 134 temperate and tropical legumes reduce methanogenesis (Lascano and Cárdenas 2010; 135 Guglielmelli et al. 2011; Calabrò et al. 2012; Cieslak et al. 2013). Tiemann et al. (2008) 136 indicated that some tropical feeds with tannins have lower fibre digestibility and 137 consequently, low hydrogen production and methane emissions. Moreover, binding of 138 tannins to proteins also reduces degradation of plant protein in the rumen and lowers

139 methanogenesis (Tavendale et al. 2005). The effects of tannin content of four different 140 vegetative stages of Onobrychis viciifolia were evaluated by Guglielmelli et al. (2011), 141 who found a negative correlation bordering on significance (r = -0.932; P = 0.068) 142 between CTs content and methane production, indicating that methane production 143 consistently declined as the CT content increased. The methane suppression effect of 144 CT containing legumes, such as Lotus pedunculatus or Acacia mearnsii, relative to forages without tannins has been shown in sheep (Carulla et al. 2005; Ramirez-Restrepo 145 146 and Barry 2005), Holstein cows (Woodward et al. 2001) and goats (Hess et al. 2006; 147 Animut et al. 2008). The mechanism to decrease methanogenesis seems to vary with the 148 nature of CT, as Bhatta et al. (2013) observed that Ficus bengalensis and Autocarous 149 integrifolis reduced methane production due to defaunation, but Azadirachta indica 150 reduced methanogenesis by a direct effect on methanogens. Overall, it seems that the 151 effects of CT on rumen methanogenesis depend on the structure and concentration of 152 CT.

153 Supplementation of lipids

154 Vegetables and animal lipids are originally used in ruminant rations to increase their 155 energy density. These are also considered useful in terms of reduced rumen 156 methanogenesis (Soliva et al. 2004; Beauchemin et al. 2007; Brask et al. 2013). 157 Methane production has been consistently reduced by adding fat or fatty acids to 158 ruminant diets, and it is estimated that fat can reduce methane emissions by 4-5% (g/Kg 159 DMI) for every 1% increase in the fat content of the diet (Grainger and Beauchemin, 160 2011). However, the inclusion of lipids at levels above 6-7% of dry matter intake can 161 reduce feed intake and fiber digestibility, resulting in lower milk yield or daily gain 162 (Patra 2012).

163 The addition of different oils (soya, coconut, canola, rapeseed, etc.) to ruminant diets 164 have been shown to reduce methane production between 19 and 62% in Rusitec 165 fermenters (Dohme et al. 2000), sheep (Ding et al. 2012), beef cattle (Machmüller and 166 Kreuzer, 1999; Jordan et al 2006a b) and dairy cows (Odongo et al. 2007; Brask et al. 167 2013). The mechanism of methane inhibition by fat is likely to be a combination of bio-168 hydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids and direct inhibition of activities of different 169 microbes including methanogens (Beauchemin et al. 2007; Kong et al. 2010; Hook et al. 170 2010). Bio-hydrogenation acts as hydrogen sink and therefore decrease rumen 171 methanogenesis, but is not the only mechanisms as there is no direct link between the 172 methane reduction and the level of unsaturation (Dohme et al. 2000) or the length of the 173 fatty acid. Medium-chain fatty acids may also reduce methanogenesis by directly acting 174 on protozoa and/or methanogens. Thus, coconut oil decreased methane production and 175 methanogens in both faunated and defaunated Rusitec fermenters, the inhibition of 176 methanogenesis caused by coconut oil being similar to that produced by defaunation 177 (Dohme et al. 1999). Comparison of the effects of different fatty acids revealed that 178 lauric, myristic and linoleic acids were the most potent reducers of methanogenesis 179 (Dohme et al. 2001; Jordan et al. 2006b; Ding et al. 2012), and the ability of lauric acid 180 to decrease cell viability of Methanobrevibacter ruminantium has been recently 181 reported by Zhou et al. (2013).

In summary, increasing the dietary proportion of lipids may provide another feeding strategy for reducing rumen methanogenesis, but the appropriate lipid and dose for each dietary condition should be carefully chosen, as it has been shown that different lipid sources may have similar effects on methane production but variable effects on diet intake and digestion (Beauchemin et al. 2007). In the last years, the potential of

187 essential oils as additives to manipulate rumen fermentation and decrease methane 188 emissions has been extensively investigated and reviewed (Calsamiglia et al. 2007; 189 Benchaar and Greathead, 2011; Bodas et al. 2012). A wide range of essential oils 190 (derived from garlic, thyme, oregano, cinnamon, rhubarb, frangula, etc.) has been 191 shown to decrease methane production *in vitro* in a dose dependent manner, but at high 192 doses the decrease in methanogenesis was accompanied by adverse effects on 193 fermentation such as reduction in VFA production and feed digestibility (Busquet et al., 194 2005; Patra and Yu, 2012). When used at low doses (≤300 mg/l), garlic oil and its 195 organosulfur compounds consistently decreased methane production in vitro without 196 negatively affecting feed fermentation (Busquet et al. 2005; Kamel et al. 2008; 197 Kongmun et al. 2010; Mateos et al. 2013), but no effects of garlic oil or its compounds 198 on methanogenesis have been observed in lactating cows (van Zijderveld et al. 2011), 199 sheep (Patra et al. 2011) or fattening bulls (Staerfl et al. 2012). The lack of response in 200 vivo is partly attributed to the adaptation of microbes (Bodas et al. 2012), but also to the 201 use of lower doses compared to those in the *in vitro* experiments. The challenge now is 202 to identify essential oils that selectively inhibit methanogenesis at concentrations that 203 can be used in the practice, with lasting effects and without depressing feed digestion 204 and animal productivity (Benchaar and Greathead, 2011).

205 Addition of organic acids

Inclusion of organic acids (i.e. malic and fumaric) or their sodium salts in diets, results
in shifting rumen fermentation towards propionate and hence, less methane production.
The addition of sodium fumarate consistently decreased methane production *in vitro* by
2.3 to 41% (Ungerfeld et al. 2007), and increased feed digestibility and VFAs
production (García-Martínez et al. 2005; Giraldo et al. 2007b). Similarly, malate, that is

211 converted to fumarate in the rumen, stimulated propionate formation and also inhibited 212 methanogenesis in some in vitro studies (Carro and Ranilla 2003a; Tejido et al. 2005), 213 although other studies have failed to find clear reductions of methanogenesis in vitro 214 (Carro et al. 1999; Gómez et al. 2005; Ungerfeld and Forster 2011). In vivo effects of 215 adding organic acids to the diet on methane mitigation are quite variable. Wood et al. 216 (2009) noted 60 to 76% reductions in methane emissions by supplementing fumarate at 217 100 g/kg to growing lambs, while Foley et al. (2009a) observed the reductions of only 6 and 16%, when the diet of beef heifers was supplemented with malic acid at 37.5 and 75 218 219 g/kg, respectively. In contrast, no effects of fumaric or malic acid on methane emissions 220 were observed in other studies (Beauchemin and McGinn 2006b; Foley et al. 2009b).

221 The effect of organic acids supplementation on methane reduction appears to be 222 influenced by the forage to concentrate ratio and the type of cereal grain being fed in 223 diet (Carro and Ranilla 2003a, b; Gómez et al. 2005; Tejido et al. 2005), although the 224 number of studies conducted with different diets is too low to draw definitive 225 conclusions. However, the high cost of purified organic acids makes supplementation of 226 ruminant diets uneconomical at the doses required to be effective. Nevertheless, diet 227 supplementation with plant tissues naturally rich in organic acids does have some 228 potential and it may be possible to select forages with elevated levels of other acids. 229 Studies on lucerne, Bermuda grass and tall fescue indicated that organic acids 230 concentrations vary not only among species but also among cultivars of the same 231 species (Callaway et al. 1997), although it is difficult to conclude whether differences in 232 organic acid levels among forages and cultivars are sufficient enough to affect rumen 233 methane emission. Overall, results of fumaric and malic acid supplementation in vitro

and *in vivo* are heterogeneous, and the effectiveness of these additives seem to dependon their dose and nature of diet.

236 Use of halogenated compounds

237 Halogenated methane analogues, such chloral hydrate, amichloral, as 238 bromochloromethane, nitroethane and 2-nitropropanol, are potential inhibitors of 239 methane in ruminants (Nevel and Demeyer 1995). Bromochloromethane can inhibit 240 methanogenesis by reacting with coenzyme B, which functions at the last step of the 241 methanogenic pathway (McCrabb et al. 1997). Recently, Abecia et al. (2012) confirmed 242 the methane reducing effects of bromochloromethane in lactating dairy goats and 243 reported a 33% reduction with no effect on rumen bacteria, protozoa and methanogens. 244 In contrast, Denman et al. (2007) reported that bromochloromethane decreased the 245 number of methanogenic archaea in the rumen of cattle by 34% and reduced methane 246 emission by 30%. Bromochloromethane is highly volatile but can be stabilized with 247 cyclodextrin resulting in more effective reduction of enteric methane emission (May et 248 al. 1995). When fed to Braham cattle at hourly intervals, it prevented all methane 249 production (McCrabb et al. 1997) and when fed twice daily to cattle for 8 weeks, it not 250 only reduced methane by 54% but also reduced feed intake. Some compounds, such a 251 bromine analogue of coenzyme M were potent methane inhibitors in in vitro (Martin 252 and Macy 1985), but the inhibition was not persistent *in vivo*, suggesting adaptation of 253 methanogenic populations (Nevel and Demeyer 1995). An adaptation of methanogens 254 to quaternary ammonium compounds has also been demonstrated (Tezel et al. 2006), 255 but in contrast, no adaptation has been observed for chloroform, which decreased rumen 256 methanogenesis and methanogens without altering rumen function over a 42 day period 257 (Knight et al. 2011).

258 More recently, the effect of 3-nitrooxypropanol and ethyl-3-nitrooxypropanol on rumen 259 fermentation and methane emission have been studied using Rusitec fermenters and in 260 in vivo trials (Haisan et al. 2013; Martinez-Fernandez et al. 2013; Perez et al. 2013). 261 Both additives showed promising potential as methane inhibitors in the rumen with no 262 negative effects on rumen fermentation. Differences among methanogens regarding 263 their resistance to chemical inhibitors should be considered, when designing strategies 264 for inhibition of rumen methanogenesis, as selection of resistant species may result 265 (Ungerfeld et al. 2004).

266 In summary, although dietary manipulation provides many viable options, there are 267 significant variations in the effectiveness of these and currently there is not yet an 268 approach that could be practically applied. Hence, there is a need to study the influence 269 of plant components and essential oils on methane production in detail with 270 standardized samples. Based on the limited information, it can be said that benefits 271 associated with bioactive compounds in vitro do not always mimic in vivo, and in vivo 272 effects are usually transient in nature due to microbial adaptation. Moreover, the 273 different experimental conditions found in vitro and in vivo should be taken into account 274 when comparing doses and results from experiments. Rumen dry matter content can 275 vary with several factors, ranging from 10 to 25%, whereas dry matter content in most 276 in vitro systems is usually much lower (Carro et al. 2006); therefore, doses are not 277 directly comparable when expressed per diet dry matter. In addition, as pointed out by 278 Beauchemin et al. (2008), most studies on reductions in methane production from 279 ruminants due to diet management are short-term and focussed only on enteric 280 emissions, but future research should investigate long-term impacts on methane 281 emissions in the whole farm.

282 Microbial interventions

This section deals with the diversity of methanogens in rumen and also discusses the strategies such as usage of phages and vaccination that directly target methanogens and/ or their activities.

286 Methanogenic diversity

287 In order to target methanogens, knowledge of their population dynamics, physiology 288 and diversity in the rumen is of utmost importance. Until recently, the rumen 289 methanogens belonged to a few genera of the orders Methanobacteriales, 290 Methanomicrobiales and Methanosarcinales, within the phylum Euryarchaeota. 291 However, based on 16S rRNA gene sequences, a novel group distantly related to the 292 Thermoplasmatales (named as rumen Cluster C; previously described as rice cluster C 293 Thermoplasmata) was found to be highly abundant in ruminants (Jannsen and Kirs, 294 2008; Poulsen et al. 2013).

295 Methanobrevibacter is the most commonly encountered genus within 296 Methanobacteriales, whereas Methanobacterium, that shares similar physiology as 297 Methanobrevibacter, are rarely reported from rumen. Other Methanobrevibacter 298 members of the order Methanomicrobiales have been also reported to be dominant in 299 the rumen of buffalo (Tajima et al. 2001; Shin et al. 2004). In genus 300 Methanomicrobium, M. mobile is mostly reported (Kumar et al. 2012) from ruminants, 301 while other members of this genus had shown an abundance with culture independent 302 methods, but are rarely detected/isolated with conventional approaches. The order 303 Methanosarcinales comprises a group of physiologically distinct aceticlastic 304 methanogens (Janssen, 2010), but their abundance in the rumen is low. Within this 305 group, *Methanococcus* spp. and *Methanosarcina* spp. are the most commonly reported
306 (Wedlock et al. 2013).

307 Apart from the microbial diversity analysis, functional and sequence based 308 metagenomics have been evolved to uncover the diversity of enzymes and metabolic 309 pathways in the rumen. This technique has been used to identify hydrolytic enzymes of 310 industrial applications, particularly involved in plant polysaccharide degradation. Some 311 researchers have employed this technique and identified enzymes from the rumen of 312 cow, buffalo, camel, reindeer and yak (Zhao et al. 2010; Hess et al. 2011, Pope et al. 313 2012; Dai et al. 2012; Bhatt et al. 2013). This practice is based on the availability of 314 suitable bioassays for the enzyme of interest and presently cellulose and hemicelluloses 315 degradation is an area of interest for rumen microbiologists. Beside enzyme bioassays, 316 heterologous complementation of host strains and mutants as well as induction of 317 reporter genes are used for functional metagenomic screening (Leahy et al. 2013). Pope 318 et al. (2010) reported unique bacterial lineages underpinning plant biomass conversion, 319 and their distinct repertoire of glycoside hydrolases in Australian macropods. They also 320 reported the abundance of polysaccharide utilization loci in Svalbard reindeer rumen 321 microbome, which is much similar to the microbiome of human gut (Pope et al. 2012). Similarly, Bhatt et al. (2013) highlighted the striking similarities and differences of 322 323 dromedary camel (Camelus dromedaries) with other animal rumen ecosystem. Since, 324 variations of microbial communities in ruminants is of great concern, Ross et al. (2012) 325 suggested untargeted massive parallel sequencing (sequencing without target 326 amplification of genes) approach for resolution of variation based rumen metagenome 327 profiling.

328 Many developments in the exploration of gut microbial communities in different animal 329 species have been made through sequence based metagenomics, and some recent 330 examples follow. Dai et al. (2012) analyzed the fibrolytic microbiome in the rumen of 331 yaks, and Brulc et al. (2009) used large sequence based studies to catalogue the genes 332 involved in fiber degradation in the bovine rumen. Qi et al. (2011) applied 333 metatranscriptomics to the study of rumen microbes function in muskox, and similar 334 work has been carried out in sheep (Cammack et al. 2013), goats (Jakhesara et al. 2010) 335 and Surti buffaloes (Singh et al. 2012a). Singh et al. (2012b) studied the virulence 336 associated and antibiotic resistance genes of rumen microbes to facilitate the 337 understanding of resistant gene transfer between and within habitats. However, 338 researchers in this area have to explore the sequence based metagenomic into taxonomic 339 perspective, as well as to link genomics and metagenomics to nutrition or other animal 340 production parameters. For example, Li et al. (2012) characterized rumen microbiota of 341 pre-ruminant calves and their metabolic potential so that the optimal early weaning 342 nutritional strategies (like milk replacer) could be formulated, and recently Ross et al. 343 (2013) analyzed the effect of methane mitigating diets on rumen microbiome.

344 *Phage therapy*

The lytic potential of phages and their genes make them an important tool for methane mitigation strategies. In contrast to nearly 300 phage genomes (Ackermann and Kropinski 2007), only six archaeal phages are sequenced and described, and just three of them are from methanogens: *Methanobacterium* phage psi M1, M2 and M100 (Pfister et al. 1998) and *Methanothermobacter* phage psi M100 (Luo et al. 2001). Little information is currently available on the genetic blueprint and gene functionality of archaeal, particularly methanogenic, phages but more are being discovered using electron microscopy (Ackermann 2007) and *in vitro* techniques (Stanton 2007). McAllister and Newbold (2008) reported siphophages that can infect methanogens (*Methanobacter, Methanobrevibacter* and *Methanococcus* spp.), although these phages have not been isolated from the rumen. A recent metagenomic study on phage-bacterial relationships showed $\leq 0.1\%$ relative abundance of prophage in phylum *Euryarchaeota* (Berg Miller et al. 2012).

358 Metagenomic surveys are expected to reveal the presence of embedded prophages and 359 phage-like elements that would have otherwise remain unnoticed. An unanticipated 360 outcome from sequencing the *M. ruminantium* genome was the discovery of prophage 361 φ-mru having 69 phage-related proteins (Leahy et al. 2010). A gene encoding a putative 362 lytic enzyme was identified, expressed and shown to lyse *M. ruminantium*. Such lytic 363 enzyme is potentially very useful biocontrol agents for manipulating of rumen 364 methanogenic populations (Leahy et al. 2010). The genome sequence of 365 Methanobrevibacter AbM4 and Methanobrevibacter boviskoreani strain JH1 revealed 366 the presence of prophage/ phage like elements in strain JH1 while AbM4 is lacking in 367 gene encoding prophage (Lee at al. 2013a and Leahy et al. 2013). Phages are host and 368 even strain specific, so phage-based methane mitigation strategies could be developed 369 without affecting other phylogenetically distinct microbes in the rumen. However, hosts 370 and phages are also known to be involved in a rapid evolutionary race as the host 371 changes to avoid infection and the phage changes to maintain infectivity.

In combination with the application of other phage enzymes and structural components, a rotation system can be envisioned that may overcome the rapid adaptation mechanisms of microbes to phage challenges. More methanogenic phages need to be identified, sequenced and characterized to identify and employ such phage-based 376 strategies. However, high specificity of phages may also be a limiting factor in their 377 effectiveness in reducing methane emissions, since there appears to be a high diversity 378 of methanogens in rumen (Janssen and Kirs 2008). Finally, either mixture of phages or 379 structural components of phages may prove useful against the greater diversity of 380 methanogens in rumen.

381 Immunization

382 Host immunization commonly offers a diverse and ecofriendly solution to the problems 383 especially associated with animal health. Therefore, developing vaccines against 384 methanogens appears to be an alternative and attractive approach, which can avoid 385 many of the issues mentioned above related to methane mitigation from ruminants. 386 Wright et al. (2004) developed two vaccines, VF3 (based on three methanogenic strains 387 1Y, AK-87 and ZA-10) and VF7 (based on seven methanogens), that produced a 7.7% 388 methane reduction in sheep (g per kg of dry matter intake); despite targeting only a 389 minority (20%) of methanogens present within these host animals. They also created a 390 vaccine based on five methanogens (Methanobrevibacter spp. strains 1Y, AK-87, M. 391 millerae ZA-10, Methanomicrobium mobile BP and Methanosphaera stadtmanae 392 MCB-3) that was administered in three vaccinations to sheep (Williams et al. 2009). 393 Surprisingly, immunization with this second vaccine caused methane output to increase 394 by 18%, despite the fact that a larger proportion of the methanogenic population (52%)395 was targeted. Thus, further work is needed to optimize the individual components of 396 these vaccines such that the most potent methanogens are specifically targeted.

Researchers believe that anti-methanogenic vaccines will only yield the short term
reductions in methanogens and/ or methanogenesis, due to the possible proteolytic
degradation and low persistence of host antibodies in rumen (Li et al. 2007; Cook et al.

400 2008; Lascano and Cárdenas 2010). Vaccination of sheep with entodinial or mixed 401 protozoa antigens reduced protozoa and the released IgG antibodies against rumen 402 protozoa remained active and continued to bind the target cells up to 8 hours (Williams 403 et al. 2008). Vaccines targeting single surface antigens may not be effective, as 404 methanogenic archaea differs largely based on their host, diet as well as geographical 405 regions (Zhou et al. 2009). A new vaccine has been developed using sub-cellular 406 fractions (cytoplasmic and cell wall derived protein) of Methanobrevibacter 407 ruminantium M1 (Wedlock et al. 2010). Twenty sheep were vaccinated, then booster 408 doses were given after three weeks, and the antisera were found to agglutinate and decrease the growth of archaeal methanogens and methane production in vitro. 409

410 In vivo efficacy of the vaccine on methanogens is yet to be evaluated. Based on liquid 411 chromatography mass spectrometer, it was reported that most of the proteins were 412 intracellular enzymes, particularly methyl-coenzyme M reductase, and these 413 intracellular proteins would not be suitable as vaccine antigens owing to their 414 inaccessibility for antibody binding. Since, there is the growing database for the genome 415 sequences of rumen methanogens, the possibility of finding new target antigens/ 416 proteins using comparative and pangenomics analysis have increased. The genome 417 based reverse vaccine approach may also help in mining the new vaccine targets that 418 might prove successful for efficacious vaccination against methanogens. Furthermore, 419 extensive research is needed to identify adjuvants that stimulate high titer of antibody 420 and are suitable for formulating with protein antigens to produce a low-cost and 421 effective vaccine.

422 Overall, the genome sequencing will be leading to the better understandings toward423 methanogenic interactions with other microbes in the rumen suggesting some methane

424 mitigation possibilities. The genomic techniques have provided positive clues for 425 probable vaccine targets of methanogens in the rumen. Such approaches in future will 426 optimistically lead to methane reducing practices for farm animals. However, the 427 vaccine based inhibition method will have to pass the regulatory systems to guaranty 428 animal health.

429 Chemogenomics: An upcoming strategy

430 Genome sequencing of microbes is actually a useful technique that can provide 431 information directly applicable to methane mitigation strategies from ruminants, based 432 either on vaccines development or small molecule inhibitor practices. Further, it can 433 help to identify methane inhibitors by predicting and/or determining specific enzyme 434 structures. This can define the geometry of the enzyme's active site and help to design 435 the molecules that fit exactly into the active site and hence, can inhibit/ block the 436 enzyme's catalytic function. This approach identifies inhibitory molecules that can be 437 tested for their effectiveness in animal trials.

438 For reaching to a realistic solution to the problem of methane emissions, the 439 technologies for reducing enteric methane must effectively target all the rumen 440 methanogens (major and minor groups), otherwise less abundant methanogens may 441 occupy the vacated niches and lead to normal methanogenesis. Besides, they should not 442 affect other microbes present in the rumen, so that rumen function would not be altered. 443 For this, an understanding of the diversity and physiology of rumen methanogens is 444 essentially required, that not only identifies the dominant methanogens in a particular 445 geographical area, but also the conserved sequences that can be targeted. In this regard, 446 more genome sequences of methanogens are required to validate that the targets are 447 common and effective among all the methanogens in the rumen (Attwood et al. 2011).

448 The completed *M. ruminantium* genome and draft sequences from other rumen 449 methanogenic species are paving the way for identification of the underlying cellular 450 mechanisms that define these microbes, leading to a better understanding of their micro-451 ecology within the rumen. Aside from this, the genome sequence of *M. ruminantium*, 452 draft genome sequence of M. boviskoreani strain JH1 from Korean native cattle 453 (HanWoo) and AbM4 from abomasum's of sheep have been published (Lee et al. 2013a; Leahy et al. 2013). The strain AbM4 do not code for many adhesion-like 454 455 proteins, which indicates that it invest less on the external interactions with its 456 environment compared to strain JH1. Moreover, AbM4 has a broader repertoire of 457 cofactors and coenzymes, which shows its lesser dependence on the other rumen 458 microbes and CoM in the medium. On the contrary, strain JH1 showed very good 459 growth in presence of both CoM and VFA in the medium, and had genes and enzymes 460 for CO_2 plus hydrogen, as well as for formate utilization, so that these enzymes possibly 461 can be targeted for inhibition of methanogenesis.

462 Another gene, which can be further targeted, is the membrane associated transpeptidase. 463 The Mtr enzyme complex can also be used for the development of vaccines. The 464 phylogenetic analysis using Maximum-Likelihood inference method (MEGA 5.1) with 465 1000 boot strapping, and genomic sequence shows that strain JH1 and AbM4 likely 466 belong to the same species and is related to M. wolinii. At present, this research is 467 mainly at exploratory stage but several promising leads for chemogenomic targets are 468 being investigated as possible intervention points for the inhibition of rumen 469 methanogens. The cellular studies indicate that many of the conserved enzyme targets 470 are involved in energy generation via methanogenesis, while majority of the conserved 471 surface protein targets are of unknown function.

Bioinformatic approaches used for the inhibitor prediction against F420 dependent
NADP oxidoreductase enzyme that catalyzes an important electron transfer step in the
methanogenesis from *Methanobrevibacter smithii* reveal that lovastatin and compactin
had high affinity to the enzyme and can act as potential inhibitors (Sharma et al. 2011).
Both *in silico* approaches and *in vitro* enzyme assays may be useful for screening
chemical inhibitors of methanogenesis.

478 The analysis of more genome sequences of rumen methanogens would help to identify 479 potential methane inhibitors. According to the recent report of Lee et al. (2013a, b), only 480 very few rumen methanogens are cultured as pure isolates and 13 genome projects are 481 completed as yet (Leahy et al. 2013; Morgavi et al. 2013). Most of these genome 482 sequences are from genus *Methanobrevibacter*, which is considered to be dominating 483 rumen methanogen, as per the global data set of rumen microbes (Jansen and Kirs, 484 2008; Jeyanathan et al. 2011; Cheng et al. 2009; Williams et al. 2009, Zhou et al. 2009). 485 In near future, with the development of "Hungate1000", a catalogue of 1000 reference 486 microbial genomes from the rumen (http://www.hungate1000.org.nz/), genomic dataset 487 of rumen microbiome will be numerically high, thereby more targets for anti-488 methanogenic strategies can be identified and used for improving the animal health, 489 productivity and beyond. Furthermore, single-cell isolation technique from the complex 490 rumen community would provide more advantage, over isolation approach, and their 491 whole genome sequencing can be accomplished later.

492 Researchers are currently investigating, whether animal variation in methane emission 493 is controlled by a heritable characteristic. Although clear and persistent individual 494 differences in methane emissions have been found among animals fed the same diet 495 (Pinares-Patiño et al. 2003, 2011; Martínez et al. 2010), it has not been yet clearly established whether the low emission trait is associated with any unwanted side effects.
Currently, it is not possible to say whether in future it will be possible to breed animals
that produce lesser methane per unit of intake or not (Clark 2013). Overall, the
chemogenomics allowed us to identify the key features of rumen methanogens that can
be targeted to inhibit them and to mitigate enteric methane production, eventually
reducing the release of anthropogenic GHGs in the environment.

502 Final remarks

Looking at the facts in a comprehensive manner, profiling of rumen methanogens seem 503 504 to be an important tool for ensuring sustainability of ruminant based agriculture 505 production systems. However, for successful methane mitigation strategies to be 506 developed and adopted, a thorough understanding of the microbial ecology of rumen 507 methanogens is essentially required. DNA-based microbial profiling to explore 508 ruminant methane mitigation will support how the rumen microbes can be manipulated 509 without hampering the animal's production potential. These approaches would identify 510 the involved microbial species based on genome sequences. By comparing microbial 511 profiles of animals, one can identify the microbial shifts in response to the methane 512 mitigation strategies. Some of the dietary strategies used in different studies have 513 produced changes in rumen microbial communities as revealed by profiling assays. The 514 comparison of rumen microflora both in high and low methane producers will help in 515 determining if the changes in the microbiota can be directly or indirectly linked to the 516 reduced methane emissions (Ross et al. 2013). In addition, genetic improvement and 517 management practices for increasing ruminant productivity and abating methane 518 emissions, in conjunction with other strategies, can also play an important role in 519 lowering enteric methane emissions globally. Finally, it must be taken into account that

- 520 there should be some economic return to the producers, if strategies to reduce methane 521 emissions are expected to be implemented at farm level, and that any adopted strategy 522 should also ensure animal health, food security and environmental safety.
- 523 Acknowledgements
- 524 We thankfully acknowledge the DBT-CREST fellowship 2011-12 to Anil K Puniya for
- visiting Aberystwyth University (UK) that greatly helped in developing the manuscript
 in collaboration with our overseas experts. We are also thankful to NICRA and VTCC
 ongoing network programmes at NDRI (ICAR), Karnal (India) for providing the
 necessary support for writing the manuscript.

529 **References**

- 530 Abecia L, Toral PG, Martín-García AI, Martínez G, Tomkins NW, Molina-Alcaide E,
- 531 Newbold CJ, Yáñez-Ruiz DR (2012) Effect of bromochloromethane on methane
- emission, rumen fermentation pattern, milk yield, and fatty acid profile in lactating

dairy goats. J Dairy Sci 95:2027-2036

- Ackermann HW (2007) 5500 Phages examined in the electron microscope. Arch
 Virol 152:227-243
- Ackermann HW, Kropinski AM (2007) Curated list of prokaryote viruses with fully
 sequenced genomes. Res Microbiol 158:555-566
- 538 Aluwong T, Wuyep P, Allam L (2011) Livestock-environment interactions: Methane
- emissions from ruminants. Afr J Biotechnol 10:1265-1269
- 540 Animut G, Puchala R, Goetsch A, Patra A, Sahlu T, Varel V, Wells J (2008) Methane
- 541 emission by goats consuming diets with different levels of condensed tannins from
- 542 lespedeza. Anim Feed Sci Technol 144: 212-227

543	Attwood G, McSweeney C (2008) Methanogen genomics to discover targets for
544	methane mitigation technologies and options for alternative H ₂ utilization in the
545	rumen. Aust J Exp Agr 48:28-37

- 546 Attwood GT, Altermann E, Kelly WJ, Leahy SC, Zhang L, Morrison M (2011)
- 547 Exploring rumen methanogen genomes to identify targets for methane mitigation
 548 strategies. Anim Feed Sci Technol 166:65-75
- 549 Beauchemin K, Kreuzer M, O'mara F, McAllister T (2008) Nutritional management for
 550 enteric methane abatement: a review. Aust J Exp Agr 48:21-27
- 551 Beauchemin K, McGinn SM, Petit HV (2007) Methane abatement strategies for cattle:
- 552 lipid supplementation of diets. Can J Anim Sci 87:431-440
- Beauchemin KA, McGinn SM (2006a) Enteric methane emissions from growing beef
 cattle as affected by diet and level of intake. Can J Anim Sci 86:401-408
- 555 Beauchemin KA, McGinn SM (2006b) Methane emissions from beef cattle: Effects of

fumaric acid, essential oil, and canola oil. J Anim Sci 84:1489-1496

- Benchaar C, Greathead H (2011) Essential oils and opportunities to mitigate enteric
 methane emissions from ruminants. Anim Feed Sci Technol 166-167:338-355
- 559 Berg Miller ME, Yeoman CJ, Chia N, Tringe SG, Angly FE, Edwards RA, Flint HJ,

560 Lamed R, Bayer EA, White BA (2012) Phage–bacteria relationships and CRISPR

561 elements revealed by a metagenomic survey of the rumen microbiome Environ

- 562 Microbiol 14(1):207-227
- 563 Bhatt VD, Dande SS, Patil NV, Joshi CG (2013) Molecular analysis of the bacterial
- 564 microbiome in the forestomach fluid from the dromedary camel (Camelus
- 565 *dromedaries*) Mol Biol Rep 40:3363-3371

566	Bhatta R, Saravanan M, Baruah L, Dhali A, Kolte A, Prasad CS (2013) Effect of graded
567	levels of tropical leaves containing-seconday metabolites on rumen fermentation
568	pattern, protozoa population and methanogenesis in vitro. Advances in Animal
569	Biosciences 4 (2) pp 307
570	Bhatta R, Uyeno Y, Tajima K, Takenaka A, Yabumoto Y, Nonaka I, Enishi O, Kurihara
571	M (2009) Difference in nature of tannins on in vitro ruminal methane and volatile
572	fatty acid production and on methanogenic archaea and protozoal populations. J
573	Dairy Sci 92:5512–5522.
574	Bird SH, Hegarty R, Woodgate R (2010) Modes of transmission of rumen protozoa
575	between mature sheep. Anim Prod Sci 50:414-417
576	Bodas R, Prieto N, García-González R, Andrés S, Giráldez FJ, López S (2012)
577	Manipulation of rumen fermentation and methane production with plant secondary
578	metabolites. Anim Feed Sci Technol 176:78-93
579	Brask M, Lund P, Weisbjerg MR, Hellwing AL, Poulsen M, Larsen MK, Hvelplund T
580	(2013) Methane production and digestion of different physical forms of rapeseed as
581	fat supplement in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci 96:2356-2365
582	Brulc JM, Antonopoulos DA, Rincon MT, Band M, Bari A, Akraiko T, Hernandez A,
583	Thimmapuram J, Henrissat B, Coutinho PM, Borovok I, Jindou S, Lamed R, Flint
584	HJ, Bayer EA, White BA (2009) Gene-centric metagenomics of the fibre-adherent
585	bovine rumen microbiome reveals forage specific glycoside hydrolases. Proc Natl
586	Acad Sci USA 106:1948-1953
587	Busquet M, Calsamiglia S, Ferret A, Carro MD, Kamel C (2005) Effect of garlic oil and
588	four of its compounds on rumen microbial fermentation. J Dairy Sci 88:4393-4404

- 589 Calabrò S, Cutrignelli MI, Guglielmelli A, Tudisco R, Piccolo V, Grossi M, Infascelli F
 590 (2012) *In vitro* methane production from different feeds. Proc. 1st Int. Conf. on
- 591 Animal Nutrition and Environment, Sep. 14-15, Khon Kaen (Thailand), pp109-112
- 592 Callaway T, Martin S, Wampler J, Hill N, Hill G (1997) Malate content of forage
- 593 varieties commonly fed to cattle. J Dairy Sci 80:1651-1655
- Calsamiglia S, Busquet M, Cardozo P, Castillejos L, Ferret A (2007) Invited review:
 essential oils as modifiers of rumen microbial fermentation. J Dairy Sci 90: 25802595
- 597 Cammack KM, Ellison MJ, Conant GC, Austin KJ, Lamberson WR (2013) Effect of
- diet type and feed efficiency status on rumen microbial populations in sheep. In 5th
 Greenhouse Gases and Animal Agriculture Conference, p. 372. Dublin, Ireland:
 Cambridge University Press.
- 601 Carro MD, Lebzien P, Rohr K (1992) Influence of yeast culture on the "in vitro" 602 fermentation (Rusitec) of diets containing variable portions of concentrates. Anim
- 603 Feed Sci Technol 37:209-220
- 604 Carro MD, López S, Valdés C, Ovejero FJ (1999) Effect of DL-malate on mixed
- 605 ruminal microorganism fermentation using the rumen simulation technique
- 606 (RUSITEC). Anim Feed Sci Technol 79:279-288
- 607 Carro MD, Ranilla MJ (2003a) Effect of the addition of malate on *in vitro* rumen
 608 fermentation of cereal grains. Br J Nutr 89:181-188
- 609 Carro MD, Ranilla MJ (2003b) Influence of different concentrations of disodium
- 610 fumarate on methane production and fermentation of concentrate feeds by rumen
- 611 micro-organisms *in vitro*. Br J Nutr 90:617-623

- 612 Carro MD, Ranilla MJ, Giráldez FJ, Mantecón AR (2006) Effects of malate
 613 supplementation on feed intake, digestibility, microbial protein synthesis and plasma
 614 metabolites in lambs fed a high-concentrate diet. J Anim Sci 84:405-410
- 615 Carulla JE, Kreuzer M, Machmüller A, Hess HD (2005) Supplementation of *Acacia* 616 *mearnsii* tannins decreases methanogenesis and urinary nitrogen in forage-fed
- 617 sheep. Aust J Agr Res 56:961-970
- Cheng YF, Edwards JE, Allison GG, Zhu W-Y, Theodorou MK (2009) Diversity and
 activity of enriched ruminal cultures of anaerobic fungi and methanogens grown
 together on lignocellulose in consecutive batch culture. Bioresour Technol
 100:4821–4828
- 622 Cieslak A, Szumacher-Strabel M, Stochmal A, Oleszek W (2013) Plant components
 623 with specific activities against rumen methanogens. Animal 7:253-265.
- 624 Clark H (2013) Nutritional and host effects on methanogenesis in the grazing ruminant.
 625 Animal 7:41-48
- 626 Clark H, Kelliher F, Pinares-Patino C (2011) Reducing CH₄ emissions from grazing
 627 ruminants in New Zealand: challenges and opportunities. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci
 628 24:295–302
- 629 Cook S, Maiti P, Chaves A, Benchaar C, Beauchemin K, McAllister T (2008) Avian
- 630 (IgY) anti-methanogen antibodies for reducing ruminal methane production: *in vitro*
- assessment of their effects. Aust J Exp Agr 48:260-264
- 632 Dai X, Zhu Yaxin, Luo Y, Song L, Liu D, Liu L, Chen F, Wang M, Li J, Zeng X, Dong
- 633 Z, Hu S, Li L, Xu J, Huang L, Dong X (2012) Metagenomic insights into the
- 634 fibrolytic microbiome in yak rumen. PLoS ONE 7(7):e40430

- Denman SE, Tomkins NW, McSweeney CS (2007) Quantitation and diversity analysis
 of ruminal methanogenic populations in response to the anti-methanogenic
 compound bromochloromethane. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 62:313-322
- 638 Ding X, Long R, Zhang Q, Huang X, Guo X, Mi J (2012) Reducing methane emissions
- and the methanogen population in the rumen of Tibetan sheep by dietary
 supplementation with coconut oil. Trop Anim Health Pro 44:1541-1545
- 641 Dohme F, Machmuller A, Estermann BL, Pfister P, Wasserfallen A, Kreuzer M (1999)
- 642 The role of the rumen ciliate protozoa for methane suppression caused by coconut643 oil. Lett Appl Microbiol 29:187-192
- 644 Dohme F, Machmüller A, Wasserfallen A, Kreuzer M (2000) Comparative efficiency of
- various fats rich in medium-chain fatty acids to suppress ruminal methanogenesis as
 measured with RUSITEC. Can J Anim Sci 80:473-484
- 647 Dohme F, Machmüller A, Wasserfallen A, Kreuzer M (2001) Ruminal methanogenesis
- 648 as influenced by individual fatty acids supplemented to complete ruminant diets.
- 649 Lett Appl Microbiol 32:47-51
- 650 Eckard R, Grainger C, De Klein C (2010) Options for the abatement of methane and
- nitrous oxide from ruminant production: A review. Livest Sci 130: 47-56
- FAO (2010) Greenhouse gas emissions from the dairy sector. A life cycle assessment.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy
- 654 Foley P, Kenny D, Callan J, Boland T, O'mara F (2009a) Effect of DL-malic acid
- supplementation on feed intake, methane emission, and rumen fermentation in beef
- 656 cattle. J Anim Sci 87:1048-1057
- 657 Foley PA, Kenny DA, Lovett DK, Callan JJ, Boland TM, O'Mara FP (2009b) Effect of
- dl-malic acid supplementation on feed intake, methane emissions, and performance
- of lactating dairy cows at pasture. J Dairy Sci 92:3258-3264
- 660 Fonty G, Joblin K, Chavarot M, Roux R, Naylor G, Michallon F (2007) Establishment
- and development of ruminal hydrogenotrophs in methanogen-free lambs. Appl
- 662 Environ Microbiol 73:6391-6403
- 663 Gandra JR, nunes Gil PC, Consolo NRB, Gandra ERS, Gobesso AAO (2012) Addition
- of increasing doses of ricinoleic acid from castor oil (*Ricinus communis* L.) in dites

of Nellore steers in feedlots. J Anim Feed Sci 21:566-576.

- 666 García-Martínez R, Ranilla MJ, Tejido ML, Carro MD (2005) Effects of disodium
- 667 fumarate on in vitro rumen microbial growth, methane production and fermentation668 of diets differing in their forage:concentrate ratio. Br J Nutr 94:71-77
- 669 Giraldo LA, Ranilla MJ, Tejido ML, Carro MD (2007a) Efecto de la sustitución de

670 Brachiaria dictyoneura or Acacia mangium sobre la fermentación ruminal in vitro

- 671 (Effects of substitution of Brachiaria dictyoneura by Acacia mangiumon in vitro
- ruminal fermentation). Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Pecuarias 29:39-46
- 673 Giraldo LA, Ranilla MJ, Tejido ML, Carro MD (2007b) Influence of exogenous
- 674 fibrolytic enzyme and fumarate on methane production, microbial growth and
 675 fermentation in Rusitec fermenters. Br J Nutr 98:753-761
- Goel G, Puniya AK, Aguilar, CN, Singh K (2005) Interaction of gut microflora with
 tannins in feeds. Naturwissenschaften 92:497–503
- Gómez JA, Tejido ML, Carro MD (2005) Mixed rumen microorganisms growth and
 rumen fermentation of two diets in RUSITEC fermenters: influence of disodium
 malate supplementation. Br J Nutr 93:479-484

- Grainger C, Beauchemin KA (2011) Can enteric methane emissions from ruminants be
 lowered without lowering their production? Anim Feed Sci Technol 166-167:308320
- 684 Guglielmelli A, Calabrò S, Primi R, Carone F, Cutrignelli MI, Tudisco R, Piccolo G,
- Ronchi B, Danieli PP (2011) *In vitro* fermentation patterns and methane production
 of sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia* Scop.) hay with different condensed tannin
 contents. Grass and Forage Science 66:488-500
- Haisan J, Sun Y, Beauchemin K, Guan L, Duval S, Barreda DR, Oba M (2013) Effects
- 689 of feeding 3-nitrooxypropanol, at varying levels, on methane emissions and rumen
- 690 fermentation in lactating dairy cows. Advances in Animal Biosciences 4 (2) pp 326
- Hegarty R, Bird S, Vanselow B, Woodgate R (2010) Effects of the absence of
 protozoa from birth or from weaning on the growth and methane production of
- 693 lambs. Br J Nutr 100:1220-1227
- Hess H, Tiemann T, Noto F, Carulla J, Kreuzer M (2006) Strategic use of tannins as
 means to limit methane emission from ruminant livestock, International Congress
 Series Elsevier, pp164-167
- Hess M, Sczybra A, Egan R, Kim TW, Chokhawala H, Schroth G, Luo S, Clark DS,
 Chen F, Zhang T, Mackie RI, Pennacchio LA, Tringe SG, Visel A, Woyke T, Wang
 Z, Rubin EM (2011) Metagenomic discovery of biomass-degrading genes and
 genomes from cow rumen. Science 331:463-467
- 701 Holtshausen L, Chaves A, Beauchemin K, McGinn S, McAllister T, Odongo N, Cheeke
- P, Benchaar C (2008) Feeding saponin-containing *Yucca schidigera* and *Quillaja saponaria* to decrease enteric methane production in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci
 92:2809-2821

- Hook SE, Northwood KS, Wright AD, McBride BW (2009) Long-term monensin
 supplementation does not significantly affect the quantity or diversity of
 methanogens in the rumen of the lactating dairy cow. Appl Environ Microbiol
 708 75:374-380
- 709 Hook SE, Wright AD, McBride BW (2010) Methanogens: methane producers of the
- 710 rumen and mitigation strategies. Hindawi Publishing Corporation. Archaea. Article
 711 ID 945785. doi:10.1155/2010/945785
- 712 Jakhesara S, Koringa P, Ramani U, Ahir V, Tripathi A, Soni P, Singh K, Bhatt V, Patel
- 713 J., Patel M (2010) Comparative study of tannin challenged rumen microbiome in
- 714 goat using high throughput sequencing technology. Developmental Microbiology
- and Molecular Biology 1: 95-106
- Janssen PH (2010) Influence of hydrogen on rumen methane formation and
 fermentation balances through microbial growth kinetics and fermentation
 thermodynamics. Anim Feed Sci Technol 160:1-22
- Janssen PH, Kirs M (2008) Structure of the archaeal community of the rumen. Appl
 Environ Microbiol 74:3619-3625
- Jayanegara FL, Kreuzer M (2011) Meta-analysis of the relationship between dietary tannin level and methane formation in ruminants from *in vivo* and *in vitro*
- experiments. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl) 96(3):365-75
- 724 Jeyanathan J, Kirs M, Rominus RS, Hoskin SO, Jassen PH (2011) Methanogen
- 725 community structure in the rumens of farmed sheep, cattle and red deer fed different
- diets. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 74:311-326
- Joblin K (1999) Ruminal acetogens and their potential to lower ruminant methane
 emissions. Aust J Agr Res 50:1307-1313

- Joblin K (2005) Methanogenic archaea, In: Makker, H, McSweeney, C. (Eds.), Methods
- in gut microbial ecology for ruminants, Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp 47-53
- Johnson K, Johnson DE (1995) Methane emissions from cattle. J Anim Sci 73:24832492
- Jordan E, Kenny D, Hawkins M, Malone R, Lovett D, O'Mara F (2006a) Effect of
- refined soy oil or whole soybeans on intake, methane output, and performance ofyoung bulls. J Anim Sci 84:2418-2425
- Jordan E, Lovett D, Monahan F, Callan J, Flynn B, O'Mara F (2006b) Effect of refined
- 737 coconut oil or copra meal on methane output and on intake and performance of beef738 heifers. J Anim Sci 84:162-170
- 739 Kamel C, Greathead HMR, Tejido ML, Ranilla MJ, Carro MD (2008) Effect of allicin
- and diallyldisulfide on *in vitro* rumen fermentation of a mixed diet. Anim Feed Sci
 Technol 145:351-363
- Kamra DN, Pawar M, Singh B (2012) Effect of plant secondary metabolites on rumen
 methanogens and methane emissions by ruminants. Dietary Phytochemicals and
 Microbes, pp 351-370
- Key N, Tallard G (2012) Mitigating methane emissions from livestocks: a global
 analysis of sector policies. Clim Change 112:387-414
- 747 Knight T, Ronimus RS, Dey D, Tootill C, Naylor G, Evans P, Molano G, Smith A,
- 748 Tavendale M, Pinares-Patino CS, Clark H (2011) Chloroform decreases rumen
- 749 methanogenesis and methanogen populations without altering rumen function in
- 750 cattle. Anim Feed Sci Technol 166-167:101-112

- 751 Kong Y, He M, McAlister T, Seviour R, Forster R (2010) Quantitative fluorescence in 752 situ hybridization of microbial communities in the rumens of cattle fed different 753 diets. Appl Environ Microbiol 76(20):6933-6938
- 754 Kongmun P, Wanapat M, Pakdee P, Navanukraw C (2010) Effect of coconut oil and 755 garlic powder on in vitro fermentation using gas production technique. Livest Sci 756 127:38-44
- Kumar S. Dagar SS and Puniva AK (2012) Isolation and characterization of 757 methanogens from rumen of Murrah buffalo. Ann Microbiol 62:345-350 758
- 759 Kumar S, Dagar SS, Puniya AK, Upadhyay RC, (2013a) Changes in methane emission,
- 760 rumen fermentation in response to diet and microbial interactions. Res Vet Sci 761 94:263-268
- 762 Kumar S, Dagar SS, Sirohi SK, Upadhyay RC, Puniya AK (2013b) Microbial profiles,
- 763 in vitro gas production, dry matter digestibility based on various ratios of roughage 764 to concentrate. Ann Microbiol 63:541-545
- 765 Kumar S, Puniya AK, Puniya M, Dagar S, Sirohi S, Singh K, Griffith G (2009) Factors
- 766 affecting rumen methanogens and methane mitigation strategies. World J Microbiol 767 Biotechnol 25:1557-1566
- 768 Lascano CE, Cárdenas E (2010) Alternatives for methane emission mitigation in 769 livestock systems. Rev Bras Zootecn 39:175-182
- Leahy SC, Kelly WJ, Altermann E, Ronimus RS, Yeoman CJ, Pacheco DM, Li D, 770
- Kong Z, McTavish S, Sang C (2010) The genome sequence of the rumen 771 772 methanogen Methanobrevibacter ruminantium reveals new possibilities for 773

controlling ruminant methane emissions. PLoS One 5:e8926

774	Leahy SC, Kelly WJ, Li D, Altermann E, Lambie SC, Cox F, Attwood GT (2013) The
775	complete genome sequence of Methanobrevibacter sp. AbM4. Stand Genomic Sci
776	8:2

- 777 Lee J-H, Kumar S, Lee G-H, Chang D-H, Rhee M-S, , Kim D-S, Yoon M-H, Kim B-C
- (2013b) *Methanobrevibacter boviskoreani* sp. nov., isolated from the rumen of
 Korean native cattle. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol (In Press) doi 10.1007/s13213-012-

780 0501-0

- 781 Lee J-H, Rhee M-S, Kumar S, Lee G-H, Chang D-H, Kim D-S, Choi S-H, Lee D-W,
- Kim B-C (2013a) Genome sequence of *Methanobrevibacter* sp. strain JH1, isolated
 from rumen of Korean native cattle. Genome Announc 1:e00002-13
- Li RW, Connor EE, Li C, Baldwin RL, Sparks ME (2012) Characterization of the
 rumen microbiota of pre-ruminant calves using metagenomic tools. Environ
 Microbiol 14(1):129-139
- 787 Li XY, Jin LJ, McAllister TA, Stanford K, Xu JY, Lu YN, Zhen YH, Sun YX, Xu YP
- (2007) Chitosan-alginate microcapsules for oral delivery of egg yolk
 immunoglobulin (IgY). J Agric Food Chem 55, 2911-2917
- Ludemann CI, Eckard RJ, Smith KF (2013) Potential effects of time of cutting and plant
 genotypes and gas production from fermentation of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) using dairy cow rumen. Advances in Animal Biosciences 4(2) pp 424
- Lunsin R, Wanapat M, Yuangklang C, Rowlinson P (2012) Effect of rice bran oil
 supplementation on rumen fermentation, milk yield and milk composition in
 lactating dairy cows. Livest Sci 145:167-173

- Luo Y, Pfister P, Leisinger T, Wasserfallen A (2001) The Genome of archaeal prophage
 ψm100 encodes the lytic enzyme responsible for autolysis of *Methanothermobacter wolfeii*. J Bacteriol 183:5788-5792
- Lynch H, Martin S (2002) Effects of Saccharomyces cerevisiae culture and
 Saccharomyces cerevisiae live cells on in vitro mixed ruminal microorganism
- 801 fermentation. J Dairy Sci 85, 2603-2608
- Machmüller A, Kreuzer M (1999) Methane suppression by coconut oil and associated
 effects on nutrient and energy balance in sheep. Can J Anim Sci 79:65-72
- 804 Martin C, Morgavi DP, Doreau M (2010) Methane mitigation in ruminants: From
- 805 microbe to the farm scale. Animal 4:351-365
- Martin S, Nisbet D (1990) Effects of Aspergillus oryzae fermentation extract on
 fermentation of amino acids, bermudagrass and starch by mixed ruminal
 microorganisms *in vitro*. J Anim Sci 68:2142-2149
- 809 Martin SA, Macy J (1985) Effects of monensin, pyromellitic diimide and 2-
- 810 bromoethanesulfonic acid on rumen fermentation *in vitro*. J Anim Sci 60:544
- 811 Martin SA, Streeter M (1995) Effect of malate on *in vitro* mixed ruminal
 812 microorganism fermentation. J Anim Sci 73:2141-2145
- 813 Martínez ME, Ranilla MJ, Tejido ML, Ramos S, Carro MD (2010) The effect of the diet

814 fed to donor sheep on *in vitro* methane production and ruminal fermentation of diets

- 815 of variable composition. Anim Feed Sci Technol 158:126–135
- 816 Martinez-Fernndez G, Arco A, Abecia L, Cantalapiedra-Hijar G, Moline-Alcaide E,
- 817 Martin-Garcia AI, Kindermann M, Duval S, Yanez-ruiz DR (2013) The addition of
- 818 Ethyl-3-nitrooxy propionate and 3-Nitrooxypropanol in the diet of sheep

- 819 substantially reduces methane emissions and the effect persists over a month.
 820 Advances in Animal Biosciences 4 (2) pp 368
- 821 Mateos I, Ranilla MJ, Tejido ML, Saro C, Kamel C, Carro MD (2013) The influence of
- diet on the effectiveness of garlic oil and cinnamaldehyde to manipulate *in vitro*
- ruminal fermentation and methane production. Anim Prod Sci. 53:299-307
- 824 Mathieu F, Jouany JP, Senaud J, Bohatier J, Bertin G, Mercier M (1996) The effect of
- *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae* on fermentations in the rumen of
 faunated and defaunated sheep; protozoal and probiotic interactions. Reprod Nutr
- 827 Devel 36:271-287
- May C, Payne AL, Stewart PL, Edgar JA (1995) A delivery system for agents, In:
 International Patent Application No. PCT/AU95/00733
- McAllister TA, Newbold CJ (2008) Redirecting rumen fermentation to reduce
 methanogenesis. Aust J Exp Agr 48:7-13
- 832 McCrabb GJ, Berger KT, Magner T, May C, Hunter RA (1997) Inhibiting methane
- 833 production in Brahman cattle by dietary supplementation with a novel compound
- and the effects on growth. Aust J Agr Res 48:323-329
- 835 Meale S, Chaves A, Baah J, McAllister T (2012) Methane production of different
- forages in *in vitro* ruminal fermentation. Asian Austral J Anim Sci 25:86-91
- 837 Morgavi DP, Jouany JP, Martin C (2008) Changes in methane emission and rumen
- fermentation parameters induced by refaunation in sheep. Aust J Exp Agr 48:69-72
- 839 Morgavi DP, Kelly WJ, Janssen PH, Attwood GT (2013) Rumen microbial
- 840 (meta)genomics and its application to ruminant production. Animal 7:184-201.
- 841 Moss AR, Jouany JP, Newbold J (2000) Methane production by ruminants: its
- contribution to global warming. Ann Zootech 49:231-254

- Nevel CV, Demeyer D (1995) Feed additives and other interventions for decreasing
 methane emissions. Biotech Anim Feeds Anim Feeding 17:329-349
- 845 Newbold C, McIntosh F, Wallace R (1998) Changes in the microbial population of a
- rumen-simulating fermenter in response to yeast culture. Can J Anim Sci 78:241-
- 847 244
- Niderkorn V, Baumont R, Le Morvan A, Macheboeuf D (2011) Occurrence of
 associative effects between grasses and legumes in binary mixtures on *in vitro*rumen fermentation characteristics. J Anim Sci 89:1138-1145
- 851 Odongo N, Or-Rashid M, Kebreab E, France J, McBride B (2007) Effect of
 852 supplementing myristic acid in dairy cow rations on ruminal methanogenesis and
 853 fatty acid profile in milk. J Dairy Sci 90:1851-1858
- O'Kelly J, Spiers W (1992) Effect of monensin on methane and heat productions of
 [Brahman] steers fed lucerne hay either *ad libitum* or at the rate of 250 g per hour.
 Aust J Agr Res 43:1789-1793
- Patra AK (2012) Enteric methane mitigation technologies for ruminant livestock: a
 synthesis of current research and future directions. Environ Monit Assess 184:19291952
- Patra AK, Kamra DN, Bhar R, Kumar R, Agarwal N (2011) Effect of *Terminalia chebula* and *Allium sativum* on *in vivo* methane emission by sheep. J Anim Physiol
- Anim Nutr 95:187-191
- 863 Patra AK, Saxena J (2009a) Dietary phytochemicals as rumen modifiers: a review of the
- effects on microbial populations. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 96:363–375

- Patra AK, Saxena J (2009b) The effect and mode of action of saponins on the microbial
 populations and fermentation in the rumen and ruminant production. Nutr Res Rev
 22:204-219
- 868 Patra AK, Yu Z (2012) Effects of essential oils on methane production and fermentation
- by, and abundance and diversity of, rumen microbial populations. Appl Environ
 Microbiol 78:4271-4280
- Perez AR, Beauchemin KA, Okine EK, Duval SM (2013) Effect of 3-nitrooxypropanol
 on methane production using rumen simulation technique (Rusitec). Advances in
 Animal Biosciences 4 (2) pp 389
- Pfister P, Wasserfallen A, Stettler R, Leisinger T (1998) Molecular analysis of
 Methanobacterium phage ΨM2. Mol Microbiol 30:233-244
- Pinares-Patiño CS, Ebrahimi SH, McEwan JC, Dodds KG, Clark H, Luo D (2011) Is
 rumen retention time implicated in sheep differences in methane emissions?
 Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production 71:219–222
- 879 Pinares-Patiño CS, Ulyatt MJ, Lassey KR, Barry TN, Holmes CW (2003) Persistence of
- differences between sheep in methane emission under generous grazing conditions.
- 881 J Agric Sci 140:227–233
- 882 Pope PB, Denman SE, Jones M, Tringe SG, Barry K, Malfatti SA, McHardy AC, Cheng
- J.-F, Hugenholtz P, McSweeney CS, Morrison M (2010) Adaptation to herbivory by
- the Tammar wallaby includes bacterial and glycoside hydrolase profiles different
- from other herbivores. Proc Natl Academy Sci.107(33):14793-14798
- 886 Pope PB, Mackenzie Ak, Gregor I, Smith W, Sundset MA, McHardy AC, Morrison M,
- 887 Eijsink VGH (2012) Metagenomics of the Svalbard reindeer rumen microbiome
- reveals abundance of polysaccharide utilization loci. PLoS ONE 7(6):e38571

Poulsen M, Schwab C, Jensen BB, Engberg RM, Spang A, Canibe N, Hojberg O,
Milinovich G, Fragner L, Schleper C, Weckwerth W, Lund P, Schramm A, Urich T
(2013) Methylotrophic methanogenic Thermoplasmata implicated in reduced
methane emission from bovine rumen. Nat Commun 4:1428

- 893 Qi M, Wang P, O'Toole N, Barboza PS, Ungerfeld E, Leigh MB, Selinger LB, Butler
- 6, Tsang A, McAllister TA, Forster RJ (2011). Snapshot of the eukaryotic gene
- 895 expression in muskoxen rumen- a metatranscriptomics approach. Plos One 6:e20521
- 896 Ramirez-Restrepo C, Barry T (2005) Alternative temperate forages containing
- secondary compounds for improving sustainable productivity in grazing ruminants.
- Anim Feed Sci Technol 120:179-201
- 899 Ross EM, Moate PJ, Bath CR, Davidson SE, Sawbridge TI, Guthridge KM, Cocks BG
- and Hayes BJ (2012) High throughput whole rumen metagenome profiling using
 untargeted massively parallel sequencing. BMC Genetics 13:53
- 902 Ross Em, Moate PJ, Marett L, Cocks BG, Hayes BJ (2013) Investigating the effect of
- wo methane-mitigating diets on the rumen microbiome using massively parallel
 sequencing. J Dairy Sci 96: 6030-6046
- Russell JB (2002) Rumen microbiology and its role in rumen nutrition, 1st edn. Russell,
 Ithaca, New York
- 907 Scheehle EA, Kruger D (2006) Global anthropogenic methane and nitrous oxide
 908 emissions. Energy J 22:33-44
- 909 Sharma A, Chaudhary PP, Sirohi SK, Saxena J (2011) Structure modeling and
- 910 prediction of NADP oxidoreducatse enzyme from *Methanobrevibacter smithii*.
- 911 Bioinformation 6:15-19.

- 912 Shin EC, Choi BR, Lim WJ, Hong SY, An CL, Cho KM, Kim YK, An JM, Kang JM,
- Lee SS, Kim H, Yun HD (2004) Phylogenetic analysis of archaea in three
 fractions of cow rumen based on the 16S rDNA sequence. Anareobe 10:313-319.
- 915 Singh KM, Ahir VB, Tripathi AK, Ramani, UV, Sajnani M, Koringa PG, Jakhesara SJ,
- 916 Pandya PR, Rank DN, Murty DS, Kothari RK, Joshi CG (2012a) Metagenomic
- 917 analysis of Surti buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) rumen: a preliminary study. Mol Bio Rep
- 918 39:4841-4848
- 919 Singh KM, Jakhesara SJ, Koringa PG, Rank DN, Joshi CG (2012b) Metagenomic
 920 analysis of virulence-associated and antibiotic resistance genes of microbes in
 921 rumen of Indian buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) Gene 506:146-151
- Soliva CR, Meile L, Cieslak A, Kreuzer M, Machmuller A (2004) Rumen simulation
 technique study on the interactions of dietary lauric and myristic acid
 supplementation in suppressing ruminal methanogenesis. Br J Nutr 92:689-700
- 925 Staerfl SM, Zeitz JO, Kreuzer M, Soliva CR (2012) Methane conversion rate of bulls
- fattened on grass or maize silage as compared with the IPCC default values, and the
- 927 long-term methane mitigation efficiency of adding acacia tannin, garlic, maca and
 928 lupine. Agric Ecosys Environ 148:111–120
- Stanton TB (2007) Prophage-like gene transfer agents: Novel mechanisms of gene
 exchange for *Methanococcus*, *Desulfovibrio*, *Brachyspira*, and *Rhodobacter* species.
- 931 Anaerobe 13:43-49
- Tajima K, Nagamine T, Matsui H, Nakamura M, Aminov RI (2001) Phylogenetic
 anaylsis of archaeal 16S rRNA libraries from the rumen suggests the existence of a
 novel group of archaea not associated with known methanogens. FEMS Microbiol
 Lett 200:67-72

- Tavendale MH, Meagher LP, Pacheco D, Walker N, Attwood GT, Sivakumaran S
 (2005) Methane production from *in vitro* rumen incubations with *Lotus pedunculatus* and *Medicago sativa*, and effects of extractable condensed tannin
- 939 fractions on methanogenesis. Anim Feed Sci Technol 123:403-419
- 940 Teather RM, Forster JR (1998) Manipulating the rumen microflora with bacteriocins to
- 941 improve ruminant production. Can J Anim Sci 78:57-69
- 942 Tejido ML, Ranilla MJ, García-Martínez R, Carro MD (2005) In vitro microbial growth
- and rumen fermentation of different diets as affected by the addition of disodiummalate. Anim Sci 81:31-38
- 945 Tezel U, Pierson JA, Pavlostathis SG (2006) Fate and effect of quaternary ammonium
- 946 compounds on a mixed methanogenic culture. Water Res 40:3660-3668
- 947 Thorpe A (2009) Enteric fermentation and ruminant eructation: the role (and control?)
 948 of methane in the climate change debate. Clim Change 93:407
- 949 Tiemann TT, Lascano CE, Kreuzer M, Hess HD (2008) The ruminal degradability of
- 950 fibre explains part of the low nutritional value and reduced methanogenesis in
- highly tanniniferous tropical legumes. J Sci Food Agric 88:1794-1803
- 952 Ungerfeld E, Forster RJ (2011) A meta-analysis of malate effects on methanogenesis in
- ruminal batch cultures. Anim Feed Sci Technol 166-167:282-290
- 954 Ungerfeld E, Kohn R, Wallace R, Newbold C (2007) A meta-analysis of fumarate
- 955 effects on methane production in ruminal batch cultures. J Anim Sci 85:2556-2563
- 956 Ungerfeld E, Rust SR, Broone DR, Liu Y (2004) Effects of several inhibitors on pure
- 957 cultures of ruminal methanogens. Appl Microbiol 97:520-526
- 958 Van Nevel C, Demeyer D (1996) Control of rumen methanogenesis. Environ Monit
- 959 Assess 42:73-97

- Van Zijderveld SM, Fonken B, Dijkstra J, Gerrits WJ, Perdok HB, Fokkink W,
 Newbold JR (2011) Effects of a combination of feed additives on methane
 production, diet digestibility, and animal performance in lactating dairy cows. J
 Dairy Sci 94:1445-1454
- 964 Waghorn G, Woodward S, Tavendale M, Clark D (2006) Inconsistencies in rumen
- 965 methane production-effects of forage composition and animal genotype, Elsevier, pp966 115-118.
- Wanapat M, Kongmun P, Poungchompu O, Cherdthong A, Khejornsart P, Pilajun R,
 Kaenpakdee S (2012) Effects of plants containing secondary compounds and plant
- oils on rumen fermentation and ecology. Trop Anim Health Pro 44:399-405
- 970 Wedlock D, Pedersen G, Denis M, Dey D, Janssen P, Buddle B (2010) Development of
- a vaccine to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions in agriculture: Vaccination of sheep
 with methanogen fractions induces antibodies that block methane production *in*

973 *vitro*. New Zeal Vet J 58:29-36

- Wedlock DN, Janssen PH, Leahy SC, Shu D, Buddle BM (2013) Progress in the
 development of vaccines against rumen methanogens. Animal 7:244-252
- 976 Williams YJ, Popovski S, Rea SM, Skillman LC, Toovey AF, Northwood KS, Wright
- AD (2009) A vaccine against rumen methanogens can alter the composition of
 archaeal populations. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:1860-1866
- 979 Williams YJ, Rea SM, Popovski S, Pimm CL, Williams AJ, Toovey AF, Skillman LC,
- 980 Wright ADG (2008) Reponses of sheep to a vaccination of entodinial or mixed
- rumen protozoal antigens to reduce rumen protozoal numbers. Br J Nutr 99:100-109

- Wood T, Wallace R, Rowe A, Price J, Yáñez-Ruiz D, Murray P, Newbold C (2009)
 Encapsulated fumaric acid as a feed ingredient to decrease ruminal methane
 emissions. Anim Feed Sci Technol 152:62-71
- Woodward S, Waghorn G, Ulyatt M, Lassey K (2001) Early indications that feeding
 Lotus will reduce methane emissions from ruminants. New Zeal Soc Anim Pro
 1999:23-26
- 988 Wright A, Kennedy P, O'Neill C, Toovey A, Popovski S, Rea S, Pimm C, Klein L
- (2004) Reducing methane emissions in sheep by immunization against rumen
 methanogens. Vaccine 22:3976-3985
- 291 Zhao S, Wang J, Bu D, Liu K, Zhu Y, Dog Z, Yu Z (2010) Novel glycoside hydrolases
 identified by screening a chinese Holstein dairy cow rumen-derived metagenome
- 993 library. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:6701-6705
- 2014 Zhou M, Hernandez-Sanabria E, Guan LL (2009) Assessment of the microbial ecology
- 995 of ruminal methanogens in cattle with different feed efficiencies. Appl Environ
 996 Microbiol 75:6524-6533
- 997 Zhou X, Meile L, Kreuzer M, Zeitz JO (2013) The effect of lauric acid on methane
- 998 production and cell viability of *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium*. Adv Anim Biosci
 999 4 (2):458
- 1000 Zhou YY, Mao HL, Jiang F, Wang JK, Liu JX, McSweeney CS (2011) Inhibition of
- 1001 rumen methanogenesis by tea saponins with reference to fermentation pattern and
- 1002 microbial communities in Hu sheep. Anim Feed Sci Technol 166:93-100

Table 1:	Methane	mitigation	categories.	mechanism	of mitigation.	problems	associated	and future	directions
			···· ə · ···)		· ·				

Mitigation	Subgroups	Example(s)	Mitigation	Difficulties associated	Benefits and	Reference(s)
categories			mechanism (s)		prospects	
i) Animal dietary manipulation	Manipulating animal diet composition	Shifting towards concentrate diets, use of newer forages	Improved passage rate of feed; increased propionate: acetate ratio; reduced rumen pH and protozoa counts	Shifting of methanogenesis towards hindgut; threat of rumen acidosis; economic losses in developing world	<i>In vivo</i> trails along with other strategies are essential	Niderkorn et al. (2011); Meale et al. (2012)
	Feed additives having secondary compounds	Condensed tannins, phenolic monomers, saponins, etc.	Inhibition of protozoa activity, fibre degraders and methanogenic archaea; decreased hydrogen availability	A few tannins lowers fibre digestibility; reduce palatability/ intake, performance, and change milk composition	Natural products; <i>In vivo</i> trials are needed for optimizing dose	Woodward et al. (2001); Carulla et al. (2005); Ramirez-Restrepo and Barry (2005); Tavendale et al. (2005); Hess et al. (2006); Animut et al. (2008); Tiemann et al. (2008); Lascano and Cárdenas (2010); Kamra et al. (2012)
	Feeding oils	Chain length (C_8 - C_{16}) fatty acids	Having antimicrobial activities against methanogens and protozoa; biohydrogenation as a hydrogen sink; increased propionate: acetate ratio	Low palatability/ intake, animal performance, and changed milk composition; dose varies with diet and type of ruminant species	Continuing studies are must before making any conclusion	Machmuller and Kreuzer (1999); Dohme et al. (2000); Dohme et al. (2001); Soliva et al. (2004); Jordan et al. (2006a); Jordan et al. (2006b); Calsamiglia et al., (2007); Hook et al. (2010); Ding et al. (2012); Lunsin et al. (2012); Patra and Yu (2012)
	Addition of organic acids	Mainly fumaric and malic acids	Act as hydrogen sinks; shifting of rumen fermentation towards propionate formation	Contradictory reports; addition is affected by the type of diet; may increase rumen acidity; high cost	Screening of forages with higher organic acids content is desirable	Martin and Streeter (1995); Callaway et al. (1997); Carro et al. (1999); Carro and Ranilla (2003a,b) ; Ungerfeld et al. (2007); Wood et al. (2009); Foley et al. (2009a,b)

	Use of halogenated compounds	Chemicals like bromo alkyl sulphonates, lumazine, ethyl-2- butynoate, amichloral, cyclodextrin, lovatstain anthraquinone, etc. may be used	Inhibit protozoa, Gram-positive bacteria and methanogens; decrease substrate (s) for methanogenesis	Mostly <i>in vitro</i> reports; toxicity proven; transient effect due to adaptation of microflora and changes in diets	Combined administration is needed for constant results	Nevel and Demeyer (1995); May et al. (1995); Van Nevel and Demeyer (1996); McCrabb et al. (1997); Abecia et al. (2012)
	Role of ionophores	Mainly monensin, lasalocid, salinomycin, avoparcin, etc.	Eradicate methanogens; decrease substrate (s) for methanogenesis; inhibits protozoa and Gram-positive bacteria	No lasting effect; problem of absorption in rumen and reaching both in milk and meat; reduces intake	Further research required for a concrete solution	O'Kelly and Spiers (1992); Van Nevel and Demeyer (1996); Odongo et al. (2007); Hook et al. (2009)
ii) Rumen controls	By using bacteriocins	Bovicin HC5, Nisin	Aims at biological mitigation and hydrogen producers	Bacteriocins degradation; adaptation of rumen microbes; not target specific	More extensive research is required	Callaway et al. (1997); Teather and Forster (1998);
	Application of phage therapy	Appropriate phages for different groups of microbes	Should targets methanogens specifically	Host changes to avoid infections; specific phages	Regular change of phages and combination of phages can be tested	Pfister et al. (1998); Luo et al. (2001); Ackermann (2007); Ackermann and Kropinski (2007); Stanton, (2007); Attwood and McSweeney (2008); McAllister and Newbold (2008); Janssen and Kirs (2008)
	Through immunization	Development of relevant vaccines	Activates immune response of hosts against methanogens	Inadequate targets of vaccine due to differences in dietary regimen	Require genomic data for identifying universal immunization targets; <i>in vivo</i> efficacy tests yet not done	Wright et al (2004); Li et al. (2007); Cook et al. (2008); Williams et al. (2009); Zhou et al. (2009); Lascano and Cárdenas (2010); Wedlock et al. (2010)

	Via defaunation	Using chemical inhibitors; plant extracts, vaccines for protozoa	Reduces hydrogen	Transient effects; toxicity; reduced feed digestibility	Needs a validation before field application	Moss et al. (2000); Holtshausen et al. (2008); Morgavi et al. (2008);Williams et al. (2008); Patra and Saxena (2009); Bird et al. (2010); Hegarty et al. (2010)
	Using acetogens	Acetogenic microflora	Provide an alternative hydrogen sink	Needs high levels of hydrogen to grow at the rate of methanogens; unsure sustenance in rumen	Increases acetate, feeding experiments are must	Joblin (1999); Joblin (2005); Fonty et al. (2007)
	Feeding probiotics or direct-fed microbials	Yeasts (Saccharomyces cerevisiae); fungi (Aspergillus oryzae, Trichosporonseric eum. etc.)	Increases butyrate or propionate and decreases protozoa; Enhances acetogenesis	Lowers rumen efficiency; <i>in vitro</i> reports for strain selection are erratic; Scanty <i>in vivo</i> experiments	Better hydrogen utilizing bacteria followed by <i>in</i> <i>vivo</i> study is desirable	Martin and Nisbet (1990); Carro et al. (1992); Mathieu et al. (1996); Newbold et al. (1998); Lynch and Martin (2002)
iii) Other systematic changes	Animal breed selection and intensiveness of production	Low producers of methane	Genetic and retention time based differences of animals	Affects digestibility; environmental impacts	Increased animal production	Pinares-Patiño et al. (2003); Pinares- patiño et al. (2011); Waghorn et al. (2006); Kumar et al. (2009); Lascano and Cárdenas (2010); Attwood et al. (2011)