

# Aberystwyth University

## Effect of mode of action of the Texel Muscling QTL (TM-QTL) on carcass traits in purebred Texel lambs

Macfarlane, Jenny M; Lamb, Nicoal R; Matika, Oswald; Johnson, Patricia L; Wolf, Basil Turnbull; Haresign, William; Bishop, Stephen C; Bunger, Lutz

Published in: Animal DOI: 10.1017/S1751731114001104

Publication date: 2014

Citation for published version (APA):

Macfarlane, J. M., Lamb, N. R., Matika, O., Johnson, P. L., Wolf, B. T., Haresign, W., Bishop, S. C., & Bunger, L. (2014). Effect of mode of action of the Texel Muscling QTL (TM-QTL) on carcass traits in purebred Texel lambs. *Animal*, *8*(7), 1053-1061. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731114001104

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain

- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk

| 1  | Effect and mode of action of the Texel Muscling QTL (TM-QTL) on carcass   |
|----|---|
| 2  | traits in purebred Texel lambs  |
| 3  |   |
| 4  | J.M. Macfarlane <sup>1</sup> , N.R. Lambe <sup>1</sup> , O. Matika <sup>2</sup> , P.L. Johnson <sup>3</sup> , B.T. Wolf <sup>4</sup> , W. Haresign <sup>4</sup> , |
| 5  | S.C. Bishop <sup>2</sup> and L. Bünger <sup>1</sup>   |
| 6  |   |
| 7  | <sup>1</sup> Animal and Veterinary Sciences Group, Scotland's Rural College (SRUC), West Mains  |
| 8  | Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK  |
| 9  | <sup>2</sup> . The Roslin Institute and R(D)SVS, University of Edinburgh, Midlothian, EH25 9RG, UK  |
| 10 | <sup>3</sup> AgResearch Invermay, Puddle Alley, Private Bag 50034, Mosgiel, New Zealand   |
| 11 | <sup>4</sup> Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences (IBERS), Aberystwyth University,   |
| 12 | Penglais Campus, Aberystwyth, Ceredigion, SY23 3FG, UK  |
| 13 |   |
| 14 | Corresponding author: Dr Lutz Bünger. Email: Lutz.Bunger@sruc.ac.uk   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 | Running head: Effect and mode of action of a muscling QTL in Texels   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 | Abstract  |
| 19 | TM-QTL is a quantitative trait locus (QTL) on ovine chromosome 18 (OAR18) known   |
| 20 | to affect loin muscling in Texel sheep. Previous work suggested that its mode of  |
| 21 | inheritance is consistent with paternal polar overdominance, but this has yet to be   |
| 22 | formally demonstrated. This study used purebred Texel sheep segregating for TM-   |
| 23 | QTL to confirm its presence in the chromosomal region in which it was first reported  |
| 24 | and to determine its pattern of inheritance. To do so, this study used the first  |
| 25 | available data from a Texel flock, which included homozygote TM-QTL carriers  |

26 (TM/TM; n = 34) in addition to homozygote non-carriers (+/+; n=40 and, 27 heterozygote TM-QTL-carriers inheriting TM-QTL from their sire (TM/+; n=53) or their dam (+/TM; n=17). Phenotypes included a wide range of loin muscling, carcass 28 29 composition and tissue distribution traits. The presence of a QTL affecting ultrasound d muscle depth on OAR18 was confirmed with a paternal QTL effect ranging from 30 +0.54 to +2.82 mm UMD (s.e. 0.37 to 0.57 mm) across the sires segregating for TM-31 QTL. Loin muscle width, depth and area, loin muscle volume and dissected M. 32 longissimus lumborum weight were significantly greater for TM/+ than +/+ lambs 33 34 (+2.9 to +7.9%; P<0.05). There was significant evidence that the effect of TM-QTL on the various loin muscling traits measured was paternally polar overdominant 35 (P<0.05). In contrast, there was an additive effect of TM-QTL on both live weight at 36 37 20 weeks and carcass weight; TM/TM animals were significantly (P<0.05) heavier than +/+ (+11.1% and +7.3%, respectively) and +/TM animals (+11.9% and +11.7%, 38 respectively), with TM/+ intermediate. Weights of the leg, saddle and shoulder region 39 40 (corrected for carcass weight) were similar in the genotypic groups. There was a tendency for lambs inheriting TM-QTL from their sire to be less fat with slightly more 41 muscle than non-carriers. For example, carcass muscle weight measured by live 42 animal CT-scanning was 2.8% higher in TM/TM than +/+ lambs (P<0.05), carcass 43 muscle weight measured by carcass CT-scanning was 1.36% higher in TM/+ than 44 45 +/+ lambs (P<0.05), and weight of fat trimmed from the carcass cuts was significantly lower for TM/+ than +/+ lambs (-11.2%; P<0.05). No negative effects of TM-QTL on 46 carcass traits were found. Optimal commercial use of TM-QTL within the sheep 47 48 industry would require some consideration, due to the apparently different mode of action of the two main effects of TM-QTL (on growth and muscling). 49

51 **Keywords:** genetics, QTL, sheep, Texel, muscling

52

### 53 Implications

There are two contrasting direct effects of TM-QTL: (i) on loin muscling (4-11% 54 increase in highly priced part of the carcass) exhibiting polar overdominance, and (ii) 55 an additive effect on live and carcass weight. This makes TM-QTL an interesting 56 candidate for exploitation within the UK sheep industry and beyond, especially since 57 no major negative impacts on eating quality have been observed. However, there 58 are two main aspects to consider before commercial exploitation is feasible: (i) the 59 development of a commercial genotyping test and (ii) an optimal plan for exploitation 60 61 in the industry.

### 63 Introduction

A quantitative trait locus (QTL) for muscle depth on OAR18, termed the TM-QTL, 64 was first identified in purebred Texel sheep in the UK by Walling et al. (2004). In this 65 66 original study, the effect of carrying a single copy of TM-QTL inherited from the sire was a 1-2mm increase in ultrasound muscle depth (+ 4 to +11%). In a further study 67 using crossbred lambs sired by Texel rams heterozygous for TM-QTL, with Mules or 68 Welsh Mountain ewes as their dams (Macfarlane et al., 2009; Masri et al., 2011) 69 reported a 4 to 11% effect on muscling, specific to the loin region, of carrying a 70 71 single copy of the QTL. No effect was observed on other carcass traits.

72

There is evidence that QTL or mutations affecting muscling that lie in the area of 73 74 OAR18 where TM-QTL is located may show imprinting, specifically polar overdominance in which the QTL effect is expressed only if the QTL is inherited from 75 the sire and not from the dam. This mode of inheritance was first observed for the 76 77 Callipyge mutation, which has substantial muscling effect in sheep and lies in a similar region of OAR18 to TM-QTL (Cockett et al., 1994b; 1996a; Cockett et al., 78 1996b, Georges and Cockett, 1996). Additionally, the Carwell QTL (synonymous 79 with LM-QTL, LoinMax) (Nicoll, 2007), which also lies in the region of OAR18 and 80 affects loin muscling to a similar degree as TM-QTL, also appears to have a non-81 82 additive mode of inheritance (Jopson *et al.*, 2001, Nicoll, 2007). Lastly, previous work on TM-QTL by Matika et al. (2011), examining maternal and paternal variance 83 components for the TM-QTL in commercial Texel lambs, using ultrasound muscle 84 depth as their phenotype, reported results that were also consistent with paternal 85 polar overdominance. 86

87

Interestingly, alongside its effect on muscling, TM-QTL appears to have an additive effect on live- and carcass weights; animals carrying 2 copies of TM-QTL were substantially heavier at a fixed age than wildtype animals (Macfarlane *et al.*, 2012). Although no effects have been reported on carcass traits other than in the loin region, given the effect on live and carcass weights seen in homozygote carriers, it is important to know whether overall carcass composition and tissue distribution traits are affected by TM-QTL, and the nature of any effects on these traits.

95

96 The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of TM-QTL, in purebred Texel lambs on a range of carcass trait. These included traits measured by ultrasound 97 scanning (muscle and fat depths in the loin region), by x-ray computed tomography 98 99 (CT) scanning (carcass and joint composition, muscularity) and by commercially relevant butchery (lean meat yields, tissue weight distribution). Critically, for the first 100 101 time, the experimental group included representatives of all TM-QTL genotypes 102 (wildtype, heterozygotes inheriting TM-QTL from either the sire or the dam, and homozygote carriers), enabling formal testing of the hypothesis that TM-QTL 103 displays polar overdominance for a range of muscling traits. Testing this hypothesis 104 105 was the third aim of this study.

106

### 107 Materials & Methods

All procedures involving animals were approved by the Scotland's Rural College
(SRUC) Animal Ethics Committee and were performed under UK Home Office
licence, following the regulations of the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.

111

112 Position of TM-QTL

113 A population of Texel sheep located across two farms, one in Wales (IBERS) and one in Scotland (SRUC), was recorded and monitored over 4 years from 2005 to 114 2009. The SRUC Texel flock had been purchased from The Roslin Institute in 2002 115 116 and the presence of TM-QTL, an OAR18 QTL for muscle depth reported by Walling et al. (2004), was maintained and its frequency increased in the flock between 2002 117 118 and 2005. Sires that had been previously identified as likely carriers of TM-QTL were also mated to existing Texel ewes on the IBERS farm, with some sires used on 119 both farms. All progeny born from 2006 onwards were weighed and ultrasound 120 121 scanned to measure muscle depth (UMD) at 20 weeks of age.

122 All animals (sires, dams and lambs) born from 2006 onwards were blood sampled and blood-spotted onto FTA<sup>R</sup> cards, and these samples were used for genotyping. In 123 addition blood samples were collected via venepuncture into EDTA-vacutainers and 124 conserved at  $-40^{\circ}$ ; these samples were used if a repeated genotyping test was 125 126 required. Because the causal mutation responsible for the TM-QTL is still unknown, it was necessary to use markers around the region of interest to classify the likely 127 TM-QTL genotype for each animal. Blood samples were genotyped for five 128 microsatellite markers on OAR18 (MCMA26, CSSM18, OY5, OY3 and OARTMR1) 129 130 at the Animal Genomics Group, AgResearch Invermay, New Zealand. Marker data collected each year were used along with previously collected data to classify all 131 animals for genotype status for TM-QTL, as described by Macfarlane et al. (2009, 132 133 2010). The information produced was used each year to plan matings within the flocks, in order to increase the frequency of TM-QTL whilst limiting inbreeding. 134 Between 2005 and 2009, 33 sires were used. Of these, 5 were used across both 135 sites and 7 were used in three or more years. In each year, ewe lambs fit for 136 breeding were retained within the flock and selected ram lambs identified as likely 137

TM-QTL carriers were also retained. In total, 1731 purebred Texel lambs contributed
to this dataset, comprising 759 entire male and 972 female lambs.

140

141 Lambs were grazed with the ewes at pasture as either singles (about one third) or twins (about two thirds) up to ultrasound scanning at 20 weeks, except for any hand-142 143 reared lambs (n = 42), which were raised indoors until the age of approximately 8 weeks and then grazed and creep-fed up to ultrasound scanning at 20 weeks. All 144 145 lambs were weighed and ultrasound scanned to measure loin muscle depth (UMD). 146 as described below, at around 20 weeks of age (average age = 138 days, min = 119 days, max = 151 days), before being slaughtered (average age 144 days, min = 126, 147 148 max = 155).

149

Microsatellite marker genotypes, UMD and marker map information were used to run 150 single QTL analyses using QTL Express software at http://QTL.cap.ed.ac.uk (Seaton 151 152 et al., 2002). QTL Express used a multi-marker approach to interval mapping in half sib families (Knott et al., 1996). The probability of a QTL affecting UMD being 153 present was estimated at 1 cM intervals conditional on marker genotypes and 154 recombination fraction/distance from marker. Across families, a test statistic was 155 calculated as an F ratio for every map position obtained using the ratio of mean 156 squares of a model fitting a QTL to not fitting a QTL. Empirical significance 157 thresholds were estimated by using permutation tests (Churchill and Doerge, 1994) 158 involving 10,000 randomisations to estimate the 5 and 1% thresholds. Heterogeneity 159 of QTL position was also explored by estimating the putative position of the QTL 160 161 indicated by each of the main half-sib families in turn. The models fitted included a

162 covariate of live weight at scanning and fixed effects of age of dam, rearing rank,163 farm, sex and year born.

164

### 165 2009-born animals, their management and genotypes

The population of Texel sheep described above was used to produce a total of 211 166 purebred Texel lambs in 2009 at SRUC and IBERS which were used for detailed 167 phenotyping. These 211 were out of 181 Texel dams mated to 7 different Texel sires 168 169 that had previously been identified as carrying at least one copy of TM-QTL. Three of 170 these sires were used on both sites. Of the lambs, 87 were out of dams that had 171 been previously identified as carrying TM-QTL, 65 out of dams not carrying TM-QTL 172 and the remaining 59 out of dams with unknown TM-QTL status. Lambs were either 173 reared as a single (n=126) or a twin (n=73), or hand-reared (n=12), and were either entire male (n=96) or female (n=115). There were 73 lambs at IBERS and 138 at 174 SRUC. Lamb management was as described above, with grazing (supplemented 175 176 with creep feeding for hand reared lambs) until transportation to slaughter.

177

Of the 211 lambs used in this study, it was possible to unequivocally assign TM-QTL genotypes to 144: 40 non-carriers (+/+), 17 heterozygote carriers inheriting TM-QTL from the dam (+/TM), 53 heterozygote carriers inheriting TM-QTL from the sire (TM/+) and 34 homozygote carriers (TM/TM). The numbers of lambs of each known genotype from each sire used are shown in Table 1.

183

184

#### Please insert table 1 about here

185 Pre-slaughter measurements on 2009-born lambs

186 All lambs were ultrasound scanned at approximately 20 weeks of age (average age = 138 days, max = 151, min = 119) using a Dynamic Imaging Concept MLV 187 ultrasonic scanner with a 3.5 mHz transducer at the third lumbar vertebra to measure 188 189 muscle depth and fat depth. Muscle depth was measured vertically at the deepest point. Three fat depths were measured on each scan: the first above the boundary 190 191 between *M. longissimus lumborum* (MLL) and the vertebral spinous process, and the others at progressively lateral intervals of around 2 cm. This resulted in fat depths 192 193 that, for most animals, spanned the longissimus muscle. These fat depths were 194 averaged to provide a single measure of ultrasound fat depth for use in the analyses. 195

196 Lambs were CT scanned in three batches. The first batch of lambs (n = 40; all from 197 SRUC) were CT scanned with a Siemens Somatom Esprit CT scanner at the SRUC-BioSS CT Scanning Unit near Edinburgh at approximately 16 weeks of age (average 198 age = 112 days, max = 118, min = 93). Meat from these lambs was due to go for 199 200 taste panel assessment (results reported by Lambe et al., 2011) so they had to be 201 CT scanned at least 28 days prior to slaughter to allow for a withdrawal period from the sedative used for CT scanning. The other two batches of lambs were CT 202 203 scanned at approximately 20 weeks of age. The first of these two batches, the IBERS lambs (n = 73), were scanned using a mobile General Electric CT scanner at 204 IBERS (average age = 131 days, max = 141, min = 119). The last batch, the 205 remaining SRUC lambs (n = 98), were scanned with the Siemens Somatom Esprit 206 CT scanner (average age = 136 days, max = 145, min = 121). 207

208

All lambs were spiral CT scanned (Navajas *et al.*, 2006; Bunger *et al.*, 2011). Two spiral scans were taken: one from the proximal third of the tibia to the last rib and the

211 second from the last rib to the fourth to fifth cervical vertebra. These spiral scans 212 were used to provide a series of approximately 60 cross-sectional images through 213 the carcass, each 8mm apart. The cross-sectional images were analysed using 214 STAR software (Mann et al., 2003) to provide total carcass tissue volumes and densities (Hounsfield units) (fat, lean and bone), and tissue volumes and densities 215 216 (fat, lean and bone) in the leg, saddle and shoulder regions, as well as twodimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) measurements in the loin region and 217 218 the leg region. Total tissue weights in the carcass or region of interest were 219 calculated over all images for each tissue in the image by multiplying tissue volume 220 by the weighted mean density of the tissue: ( $\Sigma$ (area x density) /  $\Sigma$ area). For bone, 221 because the density of bone cannot be well estimated from images analysed using STAR, a fixed value of bone density  $(1.55g/cm^3)$  was used. 222

223

224 The carcass was virtually split into the leg (equivalent to hind-quarter), saddle and 225 shoulder (equivalent to fore-quarter) regions using in-house algorithms (unpublished data). 2D-CT measurements taken in the loin were depth (D), width (W) and area (A) 226 of the MLL in a cross-sectional scan taken at the fifth lumbar vertebra (Jones et al., 227 228 2002). Both left and right sides were measured and the average of these used in analyses. In the leg, the 2D CT measurements were width (W) and length (L) of the 229 230 hind leg (HL) muscle on a cross-sectional scan taken at the ischium as described by 231 Jones et al. (2002). Measurements were made on both right (r) and left (l) legs and the average used in analyses. A 2D gigot shape score was also calculated as 232 10(HLWr + HLWI)/(HLLr + HLLI). Measurements taken using the 3D capabilities of 233 234 the CT scanner were loin region muscle volume (LRMV), lumbar spine length (LSL), 235 hind leg muscle volume (HLMV) and femur length (FL). These allowed calculation of

a muscularity index, as described by Navajas *et al.* (2007), for both the loin and hind leg regions. This index relates the weight of muscle in a region (equivalent to muscle volume because muscle density is close to 1 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) to the length of the bone in that region and thus provides a dimensionless assessment of muscularity, independent of fatness, at a constant carcass weight. The CT muscularity index for the hind leg (HLMI) was calculated as  $10\sqrt{(HLMV/FL^3)}$  and that for the loin region (LRMI) was calculated as  $10\sqrt{(LRMV/LSL^3)}$ .

243

### 244 Post-slaughter measurements on 2009-born lambs

245 Mean age at slaughter was 144 days (s.d. 7.5, range 126–155 days) and mean hot 246 carcass weight was 15.2 kg (s.d. 3.1, range 8-25 kg). Post-slaughter, carcasses 247 were chilled for 7-9 days then CT scanned using spiral CT scanning. The CT scanning was similar to that performed on live animals except that thresholds 248 249 suitable for meat were used (unpublished data), the analysis was simpler as there 250 was no need to edit the images to remove non-carcass parts, and only carcass and regional tissue weights were calculated, not muscularity data. Following CT scanning 251 of the carcasses, they were cut into fore-guarter, saddle and hind-guarter and each 252 of these split into two along the spine. These were weighed and butchered into lean 253 254 meat yield (LMY), fat trim and bone. Using these data, proportions of LMY, fat trim 255 and bone in the carcass and in each region (fore-quarter, saddle, hind-quarter) were 256 calculated. The proportions of total carcass weight contained in each region were also calculated. During butchery, left and right knuckle muscles were removed from 257 the leg joints and left and right *M. longissimus lumborum* (lamb loin fillet or strip loin) 258 were removed from the loin joint and these muscles weighed individually. 259

260

261 Statistical analyses

General linear models were run in Genstat (GenStat 11 Committee, 2008; linear mixed models, REML) to identify the effect of TM-QTL on the traits described above. The model used included TM-QTL genotype (+/+, +/TM, TM/+, TM/TM or unknown), sex (entire male or female), rearing rank (single, twin or hand-reared), farm (SRUC or IBERS) and dam age (2, 3, 4 years or older) as fixed effects, and sire as a random effect (7 levels, 3 common across farms). A covariate of age at scanning was included to adjust the analyses rams

to an equal age. For all traits, including proportion traits, a covariate of live weight at
measurement (for pre-slaughter traits) or carcass weight (for post-slaughter traits)
was included. For proportion variables a significant relationship was observed
between the proportions and live or carcass weight, and these were used as
covariates where applicable.

274

275 To partition variation due to TM-QTL genotype effects, after adjusting for all other effects in the model in a GLM analysis, orthogonal contrasts were fitted for +/+, 276 +/TM, TM/+ and TM/TM as defined by Freking et al. (1998) for additive (1, 0, 0 and -277 1), dominance (-1, 1, 1 and -1) and reciprocal heterozygote (0, 1, -1, and 0) models 278 of gene action. The hypothesis of a paternal polar overdominant action of TM-QTL 279 280 was tested for (-1, -1, 3, -1) as well as maternal dominance (-1, 2, 0, -1), in a second set of orthogonal contrasts, alongside the additive effect (Freking et al., 1999). The 281 polar overdominance contrast tests whether animals inheriting the QTL from their 282 sire, but not their dam, are significantly different from the mean of the other three 283 genotype categories, whereas the maternal dominance contrast compares the 284

animals inheriting the QTL from their dam, but not their sire, with the average of thetwo homozygote genotypes.

287

### 288 **Results**

### 289 Position of TM-QTL

Figure 1 shows the F-ratio for the probability from QTL Express of a QTL for UMD 290 being located at each cM along the 23cM segment of OAR18 between MCMA26 and 291 292 OARTMR1, confirming the presence of a QTL affecting ultrasound muscle depth 293 (adjusted for live weight) in this segment of OAR 18. This interval mapping approach showed that the most likely position of TM-QTL is at 19cM from MCMA26, which is 294 295 between microsatellite markers OY3 and OARTMR1. However, because relatively 296 few markers define the region tested, no confidence interval for this position is given. There was some variation in the magnitude of the effect of TM-QTL with the effect 297 ranging from 0.54 mm to 2.82 mm UMD (s.e. 0.37 mm to 0.57 mm) across the sires 298 299 that were segregating for TM-QTL. These analyses assume an additive effect of the QTL and ignore the possibility of paternal polar overdominance. 300

301

302

#### Figure 1 about here

303

### 304 Ultrasound muscle and fat depths and live weight at 20 weeks

Live weight at 20 weeks was significantly higher in TM/TM animals than either +/+ (+7.3%) or +/TM animals (+11.7%), with TM/+ animals intermediate (Table 2). Ultrasound muscle depth, when corrected for live weight, was significantly higher in TM/+ than +/+ animals (+6.3%), but when not corrected for live weight, it was similar in TM/TM and TM/+ animals, with both significantly higher than +/+ animals (+8.1%)

| 310 | and +8.4% respectively). Ultrasound fat depth corrected for live weight was highest        |
|-----|--|
| 311 | in TM/TM animals and lowest in TM/+ animals, with these two groups being                   |
| 312 | significantly different from each other (+10.2%), but not from +/+ or +/TM animals.        |
| 313 | When not corrected for live weight, TM/TM animals had the highest fat depth. The           |
| 314 | evidence for an additive effect of TM-QTL on live weight was not quite significant (P      |
| 315 | = 0.08), but there was significant evidence that the effect of TM-QTL on live weight       |
| 316 | corrected UMD showed paternal polar overdominance ( $P = 0.05$ ).                          |
| 317 | Table 2 about here   |
| 318 |  |
| 319 | CT measured muscularity and dissected loin muscle weight                                   |
| 320 | Loin muscle width, depth and area, loin muscle volume and dissected M.                     |
| 321 | longissimus lumborum weight were significantly greater for TM/+ than +/+ animals           |
| 322 | (+2.9 to +7.9%), and for depth, area and muscle volume were also significantly             |
| 323 | greater for TM/+ than +/TM animals (+6.9 to +11.3%) (Table 3). Lumbar spine                |
| 324 | length was highest for TM/+, significantly higher than +/TM and TM/TM but not              |
| 325 | significantly different to +/+, but loin muscularity index was not significantly different |
| 326 | between groups. There was significant evidence that TM-QTL had a paternal polar            |
| 327 | overdominant action on CT measured loin muscle area, depth and width and loin              |
| 328 | region muscle volume and dissected <i>M. longissimus lumborum</i> weight. There were       |
| 329 | no significant effects of TM-QTL on hind leg muscle dimensions or muscularity or           |
| 330 | femur length (results not shown).  |
| 331 |  |
| 332 | Table 3 about here   |
| 333 |  |
| 334 | Carcass weight and composition   |

335 There was an additive effect of TM-QTL on carcass weight with TM/TM being significantly heavier than +/+ (+11.1%) and +/TM animals (+11.9%), with TM/+ 336 337 intermediate (Table 4). Carcass fat, muscle and bone weights shown in Table 4 are 338 those measured using carcass CT scanning and are adjusted for total carcass weight. TM/+ had higher carcass muscle weights than +/+ (+1.36%) and for this trait 339 340 the test for paternal polar overdominance was close to significance (P = 0.066). The carcass CT scanning results are shown here as these are believed to be the more 341 accurate reflection of carcass composition. However, the butchery results (shown in 342 343 supplementary table S1) are the commercially relevant ones. When measured using live animal CT, muscle weight was slightly higher in TM/TM than +/+ animals (+2.8%, 344 345 P = 0.047). When measured using butchery, weight of lean meat yield was also slightly higher in TM/TM than +/TM animals (+3.0%, P = 0.045). There were no 346 significant effects on CT predicted fat or bone weights in either live animals or 347 carcasses. The butchery results (supplementary table S1) showed no effect on bone 348 349 weight, but weight of fat trimmed from the carcass cuts was significantly lower for TM/+ than +/+ animals (-11.2%; P = 0.036). 350

351

Table 4 about here

352

### 353 Weight and composition of joints

Weights of the leg, saddle and shoulder region and proportion of carcass weight contained in each of these regions did not differ significantly between genotypic groups (data in supplementary table S2). For the carcass CT scanning data, composition of leg, saddle and shoulder regions showed significant differences between genotypic groups for 4 traits (data in supplementary table S3). The leg region had significantly less fat in TM/+ than +/+ lambs (27g; -5.1%; P = 0.04) and

360 +/TM had significantly less muscle than the other genotypic groups (130g-144g; ~3%; P < 0.03). For the saddle region, TM/+ animals were less fat than +/TM 361 animals (84g; -13.5%; P = 0.049), and +/+ had significantly less muscle than either 362 +/TM (136g; -7.16%; P = 0.009) or TM/+ (86g; -4.53%; P = 0.016). For the live CT 363 scanning data, there were no significant differences between genotypic groups for 364 composition of the leg, saddle or shoulder regions (data not shown). In the butchery 365 data (supplementary table S4), +/TM animals had significantly less LMY in the leg 366 region than both TM/+ (77g; -5.70%; P = 0.019) or TM/TM animals (78g; -5.77%; P = 367 368 0.026). TM/TM had significantly less bone in the leg region than the other three groups (-3.24% to -3.99%; P = 0.008 to P = 0.028), although in real terms this was a 369 370 difference of only 22.6-28.1g. A significant negative maternal dominance effect was 371 found for LMY in the leg and a significant dominance effect was observed for bone weight in the leg. 372

373

374

#### 375 Discussion

The work reported here comprises results arising from a comprehensive experiment 376 377 to evaluate the effect of TM-QTL on carcass traits in purebred Texel lambs. TM-QTL was first reported by Walling et al. (2004) on OAR18, located between microsatellite 378 markers MCMA26 and OARTMR1, and the current study has confirmed the 379 presence of TM-QTL on this segment of OAR18. Using the microsatellite markers 380 available to us at the time, it would have been difficult to more accurately position the 381 TM-QTL. There is a possibility that other QTL in this region of OAR18, such as the 382 383 Carwell QTL, are allelic to TM-QTL. Further work to fine-map this region would be

required to more accurately position TM-QTL and, ultimately, determine whether the
other QTL lying in this region are different from or allelic to TM-QTL.

386

387 TM-QTL affects loin muscling in Texel sheep. The initial study by Walling et al. 2004 showed an effect of +4 to +7% on ultrasound muscle depth, and in a larger 388 population in a follow-on study Matika et al. (2011) showed an effect of +8 to +17% 389 in 6 out of 36 Texel families. This effect was confirmed in Texel sired crossbred 390 lambs out of Mule ewes (Macfarlane et al., 2009) and also out of Welsh Mountain 391 392 ewes (Masri et al., 2011). Macfarlane et al. (2009) also noted that loin muscle (M. longissimus lumborum) width, area, volume and weight were also higher in lambs 393 394 inheriting TM-QTL from their sire.

395

These earlier studies all used heterozygote carriers of TM-QTL where TM-QTL was 396 397 inherited from the sire. Based on the maternal and paternal variance components for 398 muscle depth in their data Matika et al. (2011) hypothesised that the TM-QTL is characterised by a paternal polar overdominant pattern of expression, although the 399 structure of their study could not provide direct evidence of this form of imprinting. 400 The present study reports, for the first time, the effect of the inheritance of TM-QTL 401 402 from the dam, either alone or together with TM-QTL from the sire and provides 403 supporting evidence for a polar overdominant pattern of expression for the TM-QTL's effect on loin muscling (ultrasound muscle depth, CT muscle depth, width, area and 404 volume and dissected weight). This mode of inheritance will have an important 405 406 impact on optimal utilisation of the TM-QTL within the sheep industry, since the TM-QTL phenotype is only expressed in carriers of a single copy of TM-QTL inherited 407 408 from the sire. Imprinting tends to affect a region of a chromosome and it is therefore

not unexpected that TM-QTL would be imprinted, given its position within the same
region as both Carwell (Nicoll, 2007) and Callipyge (Cockett *et al.*, 1994a, Charlier *et al.*, 2001a; Freking *et al.*, 2002) and the cluster of imprinted genes around
Callipyge (Charlier *et al.*, 2001b, Cockett *et al.*, 1996b).

413

414 The results of Macfarlane et al. (2012), showing an apparent additive effect of TM-QTL on live and carcass weights, were replicated here. Of further interest was the 415 416 effect TM-QTL had on carcass and joint composition. In previous work looking at the 417 effect of a single copy of TM-QTL in crossbred Texel-sired lambs, there did not appear be an effect on other carcass traits (out of Mule ewes, Macfarlane et al., 418 419 2009; out of Welsh Mountain ewes, Masri et al., 2011). In the present study, lambs 420 inheriting TM-QTL from their sire (either homozygote or heterozygote carriers), tended to be less fat than wild-type homozygotes and this translated to a 421 422 commercially relevant significant difference in weight of fat trimmed from the carcass 423 during butchery (-11%) between homozygote wild-types and heterozygotes inheriting TM-QTL from the sire. Furthermore, although the differences were small and not 424 425 always significant, muscle weight and lean meat yield tended to be higher in lambs 426 inheriting TM-QTL from their sire (either homozygote or heterozygote carriers) than 427 in wild-type homozygotes or lambs inheriting TM-QTL from their dam. This indicates 428 that animals inheriting TM-QTL from their sires are likely to produce carcasses with 429 slightly greater lean meat yield and require less work for fat trimming during butchery, in addition to the greater weight of the high value loin muscle. There did 430 431 not appear to be any unfavourable effects of TM-QTL on carcass traits and Lambe et al. (2011) has shown that there are no significant effects of TM-QTL on meat quality 432 433 when meat was conditioned for a period of 7-9 days.

In summary, the direct effects of TM-QTL on loin muscling (4-11% increase in highly priced part of the carcass) and growth make it an interesting candidate for exploitation within the UK sheep industry and beyond, especially since it does not have any major negative impacts on eating quality. However, there are two main aspects to consider before commercial exploitation is feasible: (i) a commercial genotyping test and (ii) a usage plan.

Commercial genotyping test: Exploitation of this QTL will require development 441 (i) 442 of a suitable and affordable DNA test to identify carrier animals, as usage of microsatellite marker panels with family-specific linkage phases is not feasible 443 444 in practice. This will necessitate further research to fine map and identify 445 closely linked markers or even the specific mutation(s) involved, so that a commercial SNP test can be developed. However, in the case of Parent-of-446 origin (PofO) effects, such as polar overdominance, the homologous 447 448 chromosomes exhibit differential gene expression and conventional association studies generally ignore such inheritance patterns, considering 449 maternal and paternal alleles to be equivalent (e.g. Garg et al., 2012). The 450 problems caused by PofO on genome-wide association (GWA) analyses has 451 452 been discussed in detail by Rowe et al. (2012) and it is obvious that this 453 remains challenging, as the recent standard approach for fine mapping using dense SNPs may not work well. Typically, GWA studies regress the 454 phenotype on the number of (minor) alleles present at the locus, however, 455 with polar overdominance and an allele frequency approaching 0.5, the 456 regression of a trait showing polar overdominance on allele count will be close 457 to zero (see Rowe et al., 2012). Hence standard GWA analyses miss the 458

effect. To overcome this problem, one would need phased haplotypes, i.e.
knowledge of the PofO, and specifically fit phased-haplotype-derived
genotype class in the analysis, as suggested earlier (Rowe *et al.*, 2012).

462 (ii) Utilisation: Optimal usage in a purebred situation is different from that in a crossbred and it is important to consider if the aim is to exploit the muscling 463 effects or the growth effects of TM-QTL. In a pure-bred scenario, in terms of 464 muscling, one wants to take the QTL to a frequency of ca. 0.5 (although for 465 live weight it should go higher). But for maximum benefit in crossbred progeny 466 467 (assuming that the dam breed does not carry the QTL) one simply wants all sires to be homozygous, so that their progeny benefit in terms of both 468 liveweight and muscling effects. This implies that for the optimum utilisation 469 470 strategy for the muscling effects in crossbred lambs, the performance in the purebred population is not at its optimum. In contrast to the muscling effects, 471 472 the growth effects of TM-QTL seem to show an additive effect, with animals 473 inheriting two copies of TM-QTL showing an increase of 1.5 kg or 9% in carcass weight when slaughtered at a fixed age, and an increase in live 474 weight across a range of ages from birth to slaughter (+4 to +15%), compared 475 to wildtype animals (Macfarlane et al, 2012). Such differences have 476 477 implications for exploitation within the stratified industry structure typical of the 478 UK. To benefit fully from the effects on growth and carcass weight, the TM-QTL will need to be introgressed into the dam line as well as fixed within 479 terminal sires; however this will lose the benefits for muscling. Exploitation of 480 the effects on loin muscling will require TM-QTL to be absent in the dam line 481 and fixed in a homozygous state within terminal sire breeds to derive 482 maximum commercial benefit. 483

484

### 485 Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the technical contributions of SRUC (formerly SAC) colleagues: 486 Laura Nicoll, Claire Anderson and Amer Masri. Thanks are also extended to 487 colleagues at AgResearch, New Zealand for their work on marker genotyping. We 488 wish to thank our industry sponsors and project partners: EBLEX, HCC, QMS, 489 LMCNI, Catapult Genetics, Innovis Genetics Ltd, Welsh Country Foods, 490 EplusV/Germany, ASDA stores, SAMW, Suffolk Sire Referencing Scheme Ltd, 491 British Texel Sheep Society Ltd, and Charollais Sire Reference Scheme. Financial 492 493 support of BBSRC (project no. BB/E018963/1) and Defra for this research through 494 the LINK Sustainable Livestock Production programme (project no. LK0670) is 495 gratefully acknowledged. SRUC receives financial support from the Scottish Government Rural and Environment Research and Analysis Directorate (RERAD). 496

### 498

### References

499

Bunger L, Macfarlane JM, Lambe NR, Conington J, McLean KA, Moore K, Glasbey CA and
Simm G 2011. Use of X-ray computed tomography (CT) in UK sheep production and
breeding. In CT Scanning - Techniques and Applications (ed S Karuppasamy), pp. 329-348.
INTECH Open access Publisher, Rijeka, Croatia.

504 Charlier C, Segers K, Wagenaar D, Karim L, Berghams S, Jaillon O, Shay T, Weissenbach 505 J, Cockett N, Gyapay G and Georges M 2001a. Human-ovine comparative sequencing of a 506 250-kb imprinted domain encompassing the callipyge (clpg) locus and identification of six 507 imprinted transcripts: DLK1, DAT, GTL2, PEG11, antiPEG11, and MEG8. Genome 508 Research 11, 850-862.

Charlier C, Segers K, Wagenaar D, Karim L, Berghmans S, Jaillon O, Shay T, Weissenbach
J, Cockett N, Gyapay G and Georges M 1-5-2001b. Human-Ovine Comparative Sequencing
of a 250-kb Imprinted Domain Encompassing the Callipyge (clpg) Locus and Identification of
Six Imprinted Transcripts: DLK1, DAT, GTL2, PEG11, antiPEG11, and MEG8. Genome
Research 11, 850-862.

514 Churchill GA and Doerge RW 1994. Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. 515 Genetics 138, 963-971.

516 Cockett NE, Jackson SP, Shay TL, Nielsen D, Moore SS, Steel MR, Barendse W, Green RD
517 and Georges M 1994a. Chromosomal localization of the callipyge gene in sheep (Ovis aries)
518 using bovine DNA markers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
519 States of America 91, 3019-3023.

520 Cockett NE, Jackson SP, Snower GD, Shay TL, Berghmans S, Beever JE, Carpenter C and 521 Georges M 1996a. Polar overdominance at the ovine *callipyge* locus. Journal of Animal 522 Science 77 (Suppl 2), 221-227.

523 Cockett NE, Jackson SP, Shay TL, Farnir F, Berghmans S, Snowder GD, Nielsen DM and 524 Georges M 1996b. Polar overdominance at the Ovine callipyge locus. Science 273, 236-238.

- 525 Cockett NE, Jackson SP, Shay TL, Nielsen D and Moore SS 1994b. Chromosomal
  526 localization of the callipyge gene in sheep (Ovis aries) using bovine DNA markers. [ABA 62,
  527 5543]. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 91,
  528 3019-3023.
- 529 Freking BA, Keele JW, Beattie CW, Kappes SM, Smith TP, Sonstegard TS, Nielsen MK and 530 Leymaster KA 1998. Evaluation of the ovine callipyge locus: I. Relative chromosomal 531 position and gene action. Journal of Animal Science 76, 2062-2071.
- 532 Freking BA, Keele JW, Shackelford SD, Wheeler TL, Koohmaraie M, Nielsen MK and 533 Leymaster KA 1999. Evaluation of the ovine callipyge locus: III. Genotypic effects on meat 534 guality traits. Journal of Animal Science 77, 2336-2344.

535 Freking BA, Murphy SK, Wylie AA, Rhodes SJ, Keele JW, Leymaster KA, Jirtle RL and 536 Smith TP 2002. Identification of the single base change causing the callipyge muscle 537 hypertrophy phenotype, the only known example of polar overdominance in mammals.

538 Genome Res 12, 1496-1506.

- Garg P, Borel C and Sharp AJ 2012. Detection of parent-of-origin specific expression
  quantitative trait loci by cis-association analysis of gene expression in trios. Plos One 7,
  e41695.
- 542 GenStat 11 Committee 2008. GenStat. Lawes Agricultural Trust, Rothamstead Experimental 543 Station, Harpenden, UK.
- Georges M and Cockett N 1996. The ovine callipyge locus: A paradigm illustrating the
  importance of non-Mendelian genetics in livestock. Reproduction Nutrition Development 36,
  651-657.
- 547 Jones HE, Lewis RM, Young MJ and Wolf BT 2002. The use of X-ray computer tomography 548 for measuring the muscularity of live sheep. Animal Science 75, 387-399.
- Jopson NB, Nicoll GB, Stevenson-Barry JM, Duncan S, Greer GJ, Bain WE, Gerard EM,
  Glass BC, Broad TE and Mcewan JC 2001. Mode of inheritance and effects on meat quality
  of the rib-eye muscling (REM) QTL in Sheep. Proceedings of the Association for the
  Advancement of Animal Breeding and Genetics 14, 111-114.
- 553 Knott SA, Elsen JM and Haley CS 1996. Methods for multiple-marker mapping of 554 quantitative trait loci in half-sib populations. Theoretical And Applied Genetics 93, 71-80.
- Lambe NR, Richardson RI, Macfarlane JM, Nevison I, Haresign W, Matika O and Bunger L
  2011. Genotypic effects of the Texel Muscling QTL (TM-QTL) on meat quality in purebred
  Texel lambs. Meat Science 89, 125-132.
- Macfarlane JM, Lambe NR, Bishop SC, Matika O, Rius-Vilarrasa E, McLean KA, Haresign
  W, Wolf BT, McLaren RJ and Bunger L 2009. Effects of the Texel muscling quantitative trait
  locus on carcass traits in crossbred lambs. Animal 3, 189-199.
- 561 Macfarlane JM, Lambe NR, Haresign W and Bunger L 2012. The effect of the Texel 562 Muscling QTL (TM-QTL) on live and carcass weight in Texel lambs. Small Ruminant 563 Research 85, 715-720.
- Macfarlane JM, Lambe NR, Matika O, McLean KA, Masri AY, Johnson PL, Wolf BT,
  Haresign W, Bishop SC and Bunger L 2010. Texel loin muscling QTL (TM-QTL) located on
  ovine chromosome 18 appears to exhibit imprinting and polar overdominance. Proceedings
  of the 9th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, Leipzig abstract
  199.
- Mann AD, Young MJ, Glasbey CA and McLean KA 2003. STAR: Sheep Tomogram Analysis
   *Routines* (V.3.4). BioSS Biomathematics and Statistics Scotland, Edinburgh, UK.
- 571 Masri AY, Macfarlane JM, Lambe NR, Haresign W, Brotherstone S and Bunger L 2011.
- 572 Evaluating the effects of the c.\*1232G > A mutation and TM-QTL in Texel x Welsh Mountain
- 573 lambs using ultrasound and video image analyses. Small Ruminant Research 99, 99-109.
- 574 Matika O, Sechi S, Pong-Wong R, Houston RD, Clop A, Wooliams JA and Bishop SC 2011.
- 575 Characterization of OAR1 and OAR18 QTL associated with muscle depth in British 576 commercial terminal sire sheep. Animal Genetics 42, 172-180.
- 577 McLaren RJ, Mcewan JC, For R, Glass BC, Broad TE, Greer GJ and Nicoll GB 2003.
- 578 Recombination breakpoint mapping of the Carwell locus for Rib-eye muscling in sheep.
- 579 Proceedings of the International Congress of Genetics XIX, Melbourne, Australia, July 6-11,
- 580 2003, Abstract 01064 .

Navajas EA, Glasbey CA, McLean KA, Fisher AV, Charteris AJL, Lambe NR, Bunger L and
Simm G 2006. *In vivo* measurements of muscle volume by automatic image analysis of
spiral computed tomography scans. Animal Science 82, 545-553.

Navajas EA, Lambe NR, McLean KA, Glasbey CA, Fisher AV, Charteris AJL, Bunger L and
Simm G 2007. Accuracy of in vivo muscularity indices measured by computed tomography
and their association with carcass quality in lambs. Meat Science 75, 533-542.

587 Nicoll GB 2007. The Landcorp Carwell Experience. Proceedings of the Sheep Breeders'
588 Roundtable 2007; Nottingham, 9-11 November, 2007;

589 http://www.nationalsheep.org.uk/SBRT.

Rowe S, Bishop S and Koning DJd 2012. Imprinting in Genome Analysis: Modeling Parentof-Origin Effects in QTL Studies. In ed H Khatib), pp. 113-129. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.,

592 Seaton G, Haley CS, Knott SA, Kearsey M and Visscher PM 2002. QTL Express: mapping 593 quantitative trait loci in of simple and complex pedigrees. Bioinformatics 18, 339-340.

594 Walling GA, Visscher PM, Wilson AD, McTeir BL, Simm G and Bishop SC 2004. Mapping of 595 quantitative trait loci for growth and carcass traits in commercial sheep populations. Journal 596 of Animal Science 82, 2234-2245.

597

598 599

600

601

## 603 Tables

604

605 Table 1. Distribution of TM-QTL genotype status of lambs across the seven different sires

606 used

| TM-QTL Sire |    |   |   |    |   |    | Total |    |
|-------------|----|---|---|----|---|----|-------|----|
| Genotype    | 1* | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5 | 6  | 7     |    |
| +/+         |    | 1 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 9     | 40 |
| +/TM        |    | 3 |   |    | 7 |    | 7     | 17 |
| TM/+        | 21 | 1 | 2 | 5  | 4 | 14 | 6     | 53 |
| TM/TM       | 22 | 1 |   |    | 5 |    | 6     | 34 |
| Unknown     | 11 | 4 | 7 | 2  | 4 | 11 | 28    | 67 |

607 \*Note: Sire 1 was homozygous and sires 2 to 7 all heterozygous

608 The sires in bold have been used on both farms

Table 2. Least squares means<sup>†</sup> for live weight at 20 weeks (US LW) and ultrasound muscle

611 depth and fat depth, both adjusted (UMD\_LW, UFD\_LW) for live weight and unadjusted for

612 live weight (UMD, UFD) for the four TM-QTL genotype groups and the p-values for the tests

613 of different modes of action for the QTL

| Genotype                       | US LW <sup>1</sup> | UMD <sup>2</sup>   | UMD_LW <sup>3</sup> | $UFD^4$           | UFD_LW⁵            |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| +/+\$                          | 35.8 <sup>b</sup>  | 23.5 <sup>b</sup>  | 22.5 <sup>b</sup>   | 3.04 <sup>b</sup> | 2.87 <sup>ab</sup> |
| +/TM                           | 34.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 23.7 <sup>ab</sup> | 23.5 <sup>ab</sup>  | 2.91 <sup>b</sup> | 2.88 <sup>ab</sup> |
| TM/+                           | 36.9 <sup>ab</sup> | 25.5 <sup>ª</sup>  | 23.9 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.08 <sup>b</sup> | 2.81 <sup>b</sup>  |
| TM/TM                          | 38.4 <sup>a</sup>  | 25.4 <sup>a</sup>  | 23.3 <sup>ab</sup>  | 3.46 <sup>a</sup> | 3.10 <sup>a</sup>  |
| average s.e.d.                 | 1.43               | 0.940              | 0.593               | 0.182             | 0.155              |
| minimum s.e.d.                 | 1.13               | 0.71               | 0.480               | 0.145             | 0.122              |
| maximum s.e.d.                 | 1.67               | 1.12               | 0.700               | 0.213             | 0.182              |
| P values for:                  |                    |                    |                     |                   |                    |
| Additive effect                | 0.08               | 0.05               | 0.32                | 0.03              | 0.19               |
| Dominance effect               | 0.56               | 0.41               | 0.10                | 0.20              | 0.23               |
| Reciprocal heterozygote effect | 0.70               | 0.38               | 0.40                | 0.80              | 0.51               |
| Maternal dominance effect      | 0.55               | 0.92               | 0.50                | 0.46              | 0.64               |
| Paternal polar overdominance   | 0.99               | 0.14               | 0.045               | 0.25              | 0.13               |

<sup>1</sup> LS means with common letters in their superscripts, within column, are not significantly

different (P > 0.05), where differences were significant, p-values are shown in the numbered

616 footnote corresponding to that trait. Average, minimum and maximum s.e.d. are shown for 617 information.

618 <sup>\$</sup>TM-QTL genetic groups: +/+ homozygous for the wild-type allele; TM/+ and +/TM

heterozygote carriers of paternal and maternal origin of allele , respectively and TM/TM
 homozygous for the TM-QTL allele

621 1 US LW: TM/TM vs. +/+ = 0.049, TM/TM vs. +/TM = 0.017

622 2 UMD: TM/TM vs. +/+ = 0.020, TM/TM vs. +/+ = 0.050

623 3 UMD\_LW: TM/+ vs. +/+ = 0.004

624 4 UFD: TM/TM vs. +/+ = 0.018, TM/TM vs. +/TM = 0.010, TM/TM vs. TM/+ = 0.013

625 5 UFD\_LW: TM/+ vs. TM/TM = 0.025

Table 3. Least squares means<sup>†</sup> for live weight adjusted CT measured loin muscle area, depth and width (MLLA; mm<sup>2</sup>, MLLD; mm, MLLW; mm),
loin muscularity index (LRMI), loin muscle volume (LRMV; cm<sup>3</sup>) and lumbar spine length (LSL; cm) and dissected loin muscle weight (MLL wt;
g) for the four TM-QTL genotype groups and the p-values for the tests of different modes of action of the QTL on these traits

|                                | MLLA <sup>1</sup>  | MLLD <sup>2</sup>   | MLLW <sup>3</sup>   | LRMI  | $LRMV^4$            | LSL⁵               | MLL wt <sup>6</sup> |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| +/+                            | 1739 <sup>bc</sup> | 29.13 <sup>b</sup>  | 67.74 <sup>b</sup>  | 2.953 | 548.5 <sup>bc</sup> | 18.3 <sup>ab</sup> | 806 <sup>b</sup>    |
| +/TM                           | 1699 <sup>°</sup>  | 29.12 <sup>b</sup>  | 68.61 <sup>ab</sup> | 2.966 | 519.4 <sup>c</sup>  | 17.8 <sup>b</sup>  | 801 <sup>ab</sup>   |
| TM/+                           | 1877 <sup>a</sup>  | 31.13 <sup>a</sup>  | 69.71 <sup>a</sup>  | 2.99  | 577.9 <sup>a</sup>  | 18.7 <sup>a</sup>  | 837 <sup>a</sup>    |
| TM/TM                          | 1838 <sup>ab</sup> | 30.35 <sup>ab</sup> | 69.17 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.041 | 567.4 <sup>ab</sup> | 18.2 <sup>b</sup>  | 817 <sup>ab</sup>   |
| ave s.e.d.                     | 57.45              | 0.858               | 0.853               | 0.085 | 18.6                | 0.338              | 20.9                |
| min s.e.d.                     | 45.6               | 0.68                | 0.69                | 0.069 | 14.9                | 0.260              | 16.5                |
| max s.e.d.                     | 66.9               | 1.00                | 1.00                | 0.099 | 21.8                | 0.430              | 24.4                |
| P values for                   |                    |                     |                     |       |                     |                    |                     |
| Additive effect                | 0.08               | 0.091               | 0.031               | 0.35  | 0.62                | 0.73               | 0.83                |
| Dominance effect               | 0.91               | 0.26                | 0.58                | 0.52  | 0.39                | 0.69               | 0.78                |
| Reciprocal Heterozygote effect | 0.004              | 0.09                | 0.022               | 0.66  | 0.01                | 0.08               | 0.02                |
| Maternal dominance effect      | 0.15               | 0.90                | 0.41                | 0.51  | 0.06                | 0.24               | 0.32                |
| Paternal polar overdominance   | 0.002              | 0.01                | 0.01                | 0.99  | 0.04                | 0.12               | 0.01                |

<sup>†</sup> LS means with common letters in their superscripts, within column, are not significantly different (P > 0.05), where differences were
 significant, p-values are shown in the numbered footnote corresponding to that trait. Average, minimum and maximum s.e.d. are shown for
 information.

632 <sup>1</sup>MLL\_A: +/+ vs. TM/+ = 0.003, +/TM vs. TM/+ = 0.005, +/TM vs. TM/TM = 0.039

634 <sup>4</sup> LRMV: +/+ vs. TM/+ = 0.049, +/TM vs. TM/+ = 0.004, +/TM vs. TM/TM = 0.029

635 <sup>5</sup> LSL: TM/+ vs. +/TM = 0.035, TM/+ vs. TM/TM = 0.047; <sup>6</sup> MLL wt: +/+ vs. TM/+ = 0.047

Table 4. Least squares means<sup>†</sup> for cold carcass weight (kg) and carcass fat, muscle and bone weights (all adjusted for carcass weight)
measured using post-slaughter carcass CT scanning for the four TM-QTL genotype groups and the p-values for the tests of different modes of

639 action for the QTL on these traits

|                                | Carcass wt (kg) <sup>1</sup> | Fat wt (g) | Muscle wt (g) <sup>2</sup> | Bone wt (g) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| +/+                            | 14.6 <sup>b</sup>            | 2037       | 9390 <sup>b</sup>          | 2138        |
| +/TM                           | 14.5 <sup>b</sup>            | 2025       | 9428 <sup>ab</sup>         | 2103        |
| TM/+                           | 15.2 <sup>ab</sup>           | 1922       | 9518 <sup>a</sup>          | 2141        |
| TM/TM                          | 16.2 <sup>a</sup>            | 1980       | 9488 <sup>ab</sup>         | 2096        |
| ave s.e.d.                     | 0.715                        | 80.9       | 72.6                       | 39.2        |
| min s.e.d.                     | 0.567                        | 65.0       | 58.2                       | 31.2        |
| max s.e.d.                     | 0.836                        | 95.5       | 85.8                       | 46.3        |
| P values for:                  |                              |            |                            |             |
| Additive effect                | 0.03                         | 0.22       | 0.06                       | 0.46        |
| Dominance effect               | 0.69                         | 0.12       | 0.27                       | 0.22        |
| Reciprocal heterozygote effect | 0.93                         | 0.64       | 0.30                       | 0.41        |
| Maternal dominance effect      | 0.75                         | 0.42       | 0.84                       | 0.21        |
| Paternal polar overdominance   | 0.85                         | 0.12       | 0.07                       | 0.99        |

<sup>†</sup> LS means with common letters in their superscripts, within column, are not significantly different (P > 0.05), where differences were

641 significant, p-values are shown in the numbered footnote corresponding to that trait. Average, minimum and maximum s.e.d. are shown for 642 information.

643 <sup>1</sup> Carcass weight: TM/TM vs. +/+ P = 0.021, TM/TM vs. +/TM P = 0.040

644 <sup>2</sup> Muscle weight: TM/+ vs. +/+ P = 0.029

**Caption for the Figure** 645 646 647 Figure 1. Statistical evidence for a QTL affecting ultrasound muscle depth (shown 648 as solid line), expressed as an F ratio, at each cM along a segment of ovine 649 chromosome 18 between MCMA26 and OARTMR1, using an interval mapping 650 approach. Significance thresholds are shown by horizontal lines (P = 0.01 - -; P =651 0.05 -----). Also included are the position of the Callipyge mutation (**•**; Freking *et al.*, 652 2002) and the approximate region thought to be associated with the Carwell QTL 653 ( iiiiiiii; McLaren *et al.*, 2003). 654

