

#### Aberystwyth University

#### 'Structure-from-Motion' photogrammetry: A low-cost, effective tool for geoscience applications

Westoby, M. J.; Brasington, J.; Glasser, N. F.; Hambrey, M. J.; Reynolds, J. M.

Published in: Geomorphology DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.08.021

Publication date: 2012

Citation for published version (APA):

Westoby, M. J., Brasington, J., Glasser, N. F., Hambrey, M. J., & Reynolds, J. M. (2012). 'Structure-from-Motion' photogrammetry: A low-cost, effective tool for geoscience applications. *Geomorphology*, *179*, 300-314. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.08.021

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain

• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Porta

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk

1	'Structure-from-Motion' photogrammetry: a low-cost,
2	effective tool for geoscience applications
3	M.J. Westoby <sup>1,*</sup> , J. Brasington <sup>2</sup> , N.F. Glasser <sup>1</sup> , M.J. Hambrey <sup>1</sup> , and J.M. Reynolds <sup>3</sup>
4	
5	*Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 1970 628 608; Fax: +44 1970 622 659
6	E-mail: mjwestoby@gmail.com
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences, Penglais Campus, Aberystwyth University, UK
9	<sup>2</sup> School of Geography, Queen Mary, University of London, London, UK
10	<sup>3</sup> Reynolds International Ltd., Unit 17, Mold Business Park, Mold, UK.
11	

#### 12 Abstract:

High-resolution topographic surveying is traditionally associated with high capital and 13 logistical costs, so that data acquisition is often passed on to specialist third party 14 organizations. The high costs of data collection are, for many applications in the 15 earth sciences, exacerbated by the remoteness and inaccessibility of many field 16 sites, rendering cheaper, more portable surveying platforms (i.e. terrestrial laser 17 scanning or GPS) impractical. This paper outlines a revolutionary, low-cost, user-18 friendly photogrammetric technique for obtaining high-resolution datasets at a range 19 of scales. 'Structure-from-Motion' 20 termed (SfM). Traditional softcopy 21 photogrammetric methods require the 3-D location and pose of the camera(s), or the 3-D location of ground control points to be known to facilitate scene triangulation and 22 reconstruction. In contrast, the SfM method solves the camera pose and scene 23 24 geometry simultaneously and automatically, using a highly redundant bundle adjustment based on matching features in multiple overlapping, offset images. A 25 comprehensive introduction to the technique is presented, followed by an outline of 26 the methods used to create high-resolution Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) from 27 extensive photosets obtained using a consumer-grade digital camera. As an initial 28 appraisal of the technique, an SfM-derived DEM is compared directly with a similar 29 30 model obtained using Terrestrial Laser Scanning. This intercomparison reveals that decimetre-scale vertical accuracy can be achieved using SfM even for sites with 31 complex topography and a range of land-covers. Example applications of SfM are 32 presented for three contrasting landforms across a range of scales including; an 33 34 exposed rocky coastal cliff; a breached moraine-dam complex; and a glacially-35 sculpted bedrock ridge. The SfM technique represents a major advancement in the field of photogrammetry for geoscience applications. Our results and experiences 36 indicate SfM is an inexpensive, effective, and flexible approach to capturing complex 37 38 topography.

#### 39 Key words:

40 Structure-from-Motion; SfM; Close- range photogrammetry; Digital Elevation Model

## 41 **1. Introduction**

The last decade has witnessed a technological revolution in geomatics that is 42 transforming digital elevation modelling and geomorphological terrain analysis. 43 Spurred on by developments in traditional ground surveying, such as the advent of 44 differential GPS (e.g., Brasington et al., 2000) and reflectorless, robotic total stations 45 (e.g. Keim et al., 1999; Fuller et al., 2003), the acquisition of topographic data has 46 been transformed most significantly by a new generation of remote sensing 47 technologies. Airborne and more recently terrestrial laser scanning (e.g., Lohani and 48 Mason, 2001; Jones et al., 2007; Notebaert et al., 2009; Rosser et al., 2005; 49 Heritage and Hetherington, 2007; Hodge et al., 2009) and soft-copy photogrammetry 50 (e.g., Lane et al., 2000; Westaway et al., 2000; Brasington et al., 2003) in particular, 51 52 have revolutionized the quality of DEMs, extending their spatial extent, resolution, and accuracy. 53

Developments in airborne and terrestrial remote sensing have also been mirrored by 54 advances in hydrographic surveying, in particular through single and multi-beam 55 sonar (e.g., Parsons et al., 2005; Sacchetti et al., 2012). These acoustic soundings, 56 57 capable of centimetric data spacing and 3-D point quality herald the potential to construct truly continuous, high fidelity terrain models of mixed terrestrial, freshwater 58 and marine environments. Finally, and closing the technological loop, the acquisition 59 of remotely sensed data from a range of cheap, lightweight platforms on which to 60 61 deploy imaging sensors, such as unmanned aerial vehicles or UAVs (e.g., Lejot et al. 2007; Niethammer et al., 2012) and tethered kites and blimps (e.g., Marzolff et al., 62 2003; Boike and Yoshikawa, 2003; Smith et al., 2009; Vericat et al., 2009,) is 63 gradually becoming more commonplace. 64

While the pace of development in geospatial technologies has been rapid, the 65 acquisition of high quality terrain data nonetheless remains challenging in remote, 66 high alpine environments. In these hostile landscapes, steep and unconsolidated 67 slopes and poor satellite coverage hinders the application of ground surveys by GPS 68 or total station. Alternative ground-based methods such as terrestrial laser scanning 69 70 (TLS) are complicated by the high capital investment cost and the portability of large 71 instruments and their power requirements in remote areas. Airborne surveys, including LiDAR and photography are also of restricted use due to the high three-72 dimensionality of mountainous landscapes, which results in significant line of sight 73 losses and image foreshortening. Moreover, deploying survey platforms, including 74 75 helicopters and smaller scale UAVs at altitude is highly dependent on favourable weather conditions and may often be hampered by high wind speed and cloud cover. 76 Potential solutions may ultimately lie in the availability of high resolution satellite 77 data, but at present the spatial resolution of the majority of existing active and 78 passive sensors is typically too coarse to create digital elevation models (DEMs) at 79 resolutions comparable to ground-based techniques and suitable for detailed 80 81 geomorphological applications

#### **1.1. Photogrammetric Survey Methods**

In the decade or so since its emergence, automated aerial and close-range digital 84 photogrammetry has become a powerful and widely used tool for three-dimensional 85 topographic modelling (Remondino and El-Hakim, 2006; Matthews, 2008). The 86 development of soft-copy triangulation and image-based terrain extraction algorithms 87 have radically enhanced the quality of terrain data that can be derived from 88 overlapping stereo-pairs (Chandler, 1999; Lane et al., 2000). Similarly, 89 improvements in the cost and quality of compact and single lens reflex (SLR) 90 cameras, and methods for the calibration of such non-metric cameras (Clarke and 91 92 Fryer, 1998; Chandler et al., 2005) have democratized access to photogrammetric modelling and encouraged a wide range of uses in geomorphology. This has 93 included monitoring river bed topography and planform (e.g. Lane, 2000; Chandler et 94 al., 2002; Brasington and Smart, 2003; Bird et al., 2010), river bank (e.g. Barker et 95 al., 1997; Pyle et al., 1997) and gully erosion (e.g. Betts and DeRose, 1999; Marzolff 96 and Poesen, 2010), and in the field of glaciology, the quantification of glacier surface 97 change (e.g. Keutterling and Thomas, 2006; Baltsavias et al., 2008). Digital 98 photogrammetry has also been applied to a number of geological problems, 99 including discontinuity characterization (e.g. Krosley et al., 2006; Sturzenegger and 100 Stead, 2009) and rock slope stability analysis (e.g. Haneberg, 2008). Close-range 101 applications have also included direct quantification of soil erosion and the 102 morphodynamics of laboratory-scale landscape evolution models (e.g. Stojic et al., 103 1998; Brasington and Smart, 2003; Lane et al., 2001; Hancock and Willgoose, 2001; 104 105 Rieke-Zapp and Nearing, 2005; Heng et al., 2010).

106

#### 107 **1.2. Structure-from-Motion**

In this paper, we report on an emerging, low-cost photogrammetric method for high 108 resolution topographic reconstruction, ideally suited for low-budget research and 109 application in remote areas. 'Structure-from-Motion' (SfM) operates under the same 110 basic tenets as stereoscopic photogrammetry, namely that 3-D structure can be 111 resolved from a series of overlapping, offset images (Fig. 1). However, it differs 112 fundamentally from conventional photogrammetry, in that the geometry of the scene, 113 camera positions and orientation are solved automatically without the need to specify 114 a priori, a network of targets which have known 3-D positions. Instead, these are 115 116 solved simultaneously using a highly redundant, iterative bundle adjustment procedure, based on a database of features automatically extracted from a set of 117 multiple overlapping images (Snavely, 2008). As described below, the approach is 118 most suited to sets of images with a high degree of overlap that capture full three-119 120 dimensional structure of the scene viewed from a wide array of positions, or as the name suggests, images derived from a moving sensor. 121

Developed in the 1990s, this technique has its origins in the computer vision 122 community (e.g. Spetsakis and Aloimonos, 1991; Boufama et al., 1993; Szeliski and 123 Kang, 1994) and the development of automatic feature-matching algorithms in the 124 previous decade (e.g. Förstner, 1986; Harris and Stephens, 1988). The approach 125 has been popularized through a range of cloud-processing engines, most notably 126 Microsoft® Photosynth<sup>™</sup> (Microsoft, 2010), which uses SfM approaches 127 documented in Snavely (2008) and Snavely et al. (2008). These tools can make 128 direct use of user-uploaded and crowd-sourced photography to generated the 129 necessary coverage of a target scene, and can automatically generate sparse 3-D 130 point clouds from these photosets. The possibilities of SfM appear boundless, 131 however, to date, the technique has rarely been used within the geosciences (e.g. 132 Niethammer et al., 2012) and there exist few quantitative assessments of the quality 133 of terrain products derived from this approach. 134

135

#### 136 **1.3. The First Principles of SfM**

To determine the 3-D location of points within a scene, traditional softcopy 137 photogrammetric methods require the 3-D location and pose of the camera(s), or the 138 3-D location of a series of control points to be known. Using the former, in the 139 absence of a camera-mounted GPS and electronic compass, triangulation can be 140 used to reconstruct scene geometry, whilst in the case of the latter, control points are 141 manually identified in the input photographs, and a process called resectioning, or 142 camera pose estimation, used to determine camera position. In contrast, the SfM 143 approach requires neither of the above to be known prior to scene reconstruction. 144 145 Camera pose and scene geometry are reconstructed simultaneously through the 146 automatic identification of matching features in multiple images. These features are tracked from image to image, enabling initial estimates of camera positions and 147 object coordinates which are then refined iteratively using non-linear least-squares 148 minimization (as multiple solutions become available from the wide range of features 149 in the image database (Snavely, 2008)). 150

151 Unlike traditional photogrammetry, the camera positions derived from SfM lack the 152 scale and orientation provided by ground-control coordinates. Consequently, the 3-D point clouds are generated in a relative 'image-space' coordinate system, which 153 must be aligned to a real-world, 'object-space' co-ordinate system. In most cases, 154 the transformation of SfM image-space coordinates to an absolute coordinate 155 system can be achieved using a 3-D similarity transform based on a small number of 156 known ground-control points (GCPs) with known object-space coordinates. Such 157 GCPs can be derived post-hoc, identifying candidate features clearly visible in both 158 the resulting point cloud and in the field, and obtaining their coordinates by ground 159 survey (i.e., by GPS). In practice, however, it is often easier to deploy physical 160 targets with a high contrast and clearly defined centroid in the field before acquiring 161 images. This approach simplifies the unambiguous co-location of image and object 162

space targets and also ensures a reliable, well-distributed network of targets across the area of interest, enabling an assessment of any non-linear structural errors in the SfM reconstruction. It is also useful to incorporate a degree of redundancy in the GCP network to counter the possibility of sparse data in the region of the targets.

167

#### 168 **1.4. Goals of this Article**

The aim of this paper is to provide an introduction to SfM and a detailed explanation 169 of the methods employed; illustrating the steps required to generate a fully rendered 170 3-D model, starting from the initial acquisition of the photographic data. The focus 171 here is to outline a practical workflow that could be applied by environmental 172 scientists and practitioners interested in deploying SfM for geomorphological 173 174 research. To achieve this, we describe a workflow that uses the freely available application bundle SFMToolkit3 (Astre, 2010) to process the photographs and 175 produce the initial point cloud. This package contains a number of open-source 176 applications including, in order of execution, SiftGPU (Lowe, 1999, 2004), Bundler 177 (Snavely et al., 2008), CMVS and PMVS2 (Furukawa and Ponce, 2007; Furukawa et 178 al., 2010), all of which may be run independently if desired. 179

Applications of SfM to a range of contrasting landscapes and landforms are described, including coastal cliffs, a moraine-dammed lake, and a smaller scale glacially-sculpted bedrock ridge. Importantly, we also undertake a detailed assessment of the quality of a derived topographic model, in this case a c. 300 x 300 m cliff section in Aberystwyth, Wales, through comparison with a high resolution terrain model derived from a precision terrestrial laser scan survey.

186

# 187 **2. Method**

188

## 189 **2.1. Structure-from-Motion workflow**

190

## 191 **2.1.1. Image acquisition and keypoint extraction**

The key problem that SfM addresses is the determination of the 3-D location of matching features in multiple photographs, taken from different angles. The initial processing step in the solution of this problem is the identification of features in individual images which may be used for image correspondence. A popular solution to this, and used in the methods popularized by Snavely (2008) is the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) object recognition system. This is implemented in SFMToolkit3, through the incorporation of the SiftGPU algorithm (Lowe, 1999; 2004).

200 This identifies features in each image that are invariant to the image scaling and rotation and partially invariant to changes in illumination conditions and 3-D camera 201 viewpoint (Fig. 2; Lowe, 2004). Points of interest, or 'keypoints', are automatically 202 identified over all scales and locations in each image, followed by the creation of a 203 204 feature descriptor, computed by transforming local image gradients into a representation that is largely insensitive to variations in illumination and orientation 205 (Lowe, 2004). These descriptors are unique enough to allow features to be matched 206 207 in large datasets.

The number of keypoints in an image is dependent primarily on image texture and resolution, such that complex images at high (often original) resolutions will return the most results. The density, sharpness, and resolution of the photoset, combined with the range of natural scene textures will, in the first instance therefore, determine the quality of the output point cloud data. Similarly, decreasing the distance between the camera and feature of interest, thereby increasing the spatial resolution of the photograph, will enhance the spatial density and resolution of the final point cloud.

Variations in the complexity, lighting, materials in individual scenes all influence the image texture so it is impossible to offer explicit guidance on the minimum number of photographs necessary for successful scene reconstruction. The minimum requirement is for corresponding features to be visible in a minimum of three photographs, however, obtaining as many images for SfM input as possible, given logistical constraints, is highly recommended as this optimizes the ultimate number of keypoint matches and system redundancy.

Particular consideration should also be given to the choice of acquisition platform. 222 For example, small scale sites with steep slope angles are likely to be better suited 223 to an exclusively ground-based approach, whereas low altitude aerial photography 224 (LAAP) may provide better coverage over larger sites and those with more subdued 225 topography. Indeed, imagery combined from multiple platforms may prove to be 226 optimal, providing different levels of detail in different areas of the scene. When 227 acquiring the photographs, particular attention should be taken to maximise overlap 228 by adopting short camera baselines (i.e., the distance between successive 229 photography positions), and obtaining as uniform coverage of the feature or 230 landscape of interest as possible. 231

A wide variety of imaging sensors can be used for SfM, from video stills, through to 232 low grade compact digital cameras. The primary requirement is well-exposed 233 photographs of the feature(s) of interest. From our experience, 'bigger' is not 234 necessarily 'better'. Whereas image quality and resolution are improved by using 235 increasingly expensive digital SLR models, images captured at the highest 236 resolutions (e.g. >12 megapixel) will almost inevitably need to be re-sized (with the 237 consequent loss of image detail) to avoid lengthy processing times. If operating in 238 remote regions, specific consideration should be given to robustness and battery life, 239 240 including methods for charging and performance in extreme temperatures.

#### 241

#### 242 **2.1.2. 3-D scene reconstruction**

243 Following keypoint identification and descriptor assignment, the sparse bundle adjustment system Bundler (Snavely et al., 2008) is used to estimate camera pose 244 and extract a low-density or 'sparse' point cloud. Keypoints in multiple images are 245 matched using approximate nearest neighbour (Arya et al., 1998) and Random 246 Sample Consensus (RANSAC; Fischler and Bolles, 1987) algorithms, and 'tracks' 247 linking specific keypoints in a set of pictures, are established. Tracks comprising a 248 minimum of two keypoints and three images are used for point-cloud reconstruction, 249 with those which fail to meet these criteria being automatically discarded (Snavely et 250 al., 2006). Using this method, transient features such as people moving across the 251 252 area of interest are automatically removed from the dataset before 3-D reconstruction begins. This also applies to non-static objects unintentionally captured 253 in the input photoset, such as objects on the sensor, blimp or kite tethers, or 254 helicopter landing skids. In these instances, although identical keypoints referencing 255 256 such objects will be created, they are not suitable for use in scene reconstruction as their position relative to other keypoints is constantly changing, and are automatically 257 filtered using visibility and regularization constraints (Furukawa and Ponce, 2009). 258

259 Keypoint correspondences place constraints on camera pose orientation, which is reconstructed using a similarity transformation, while minimization of errors is 260 achieved using a non-linear least-squares solution (Szeliski and Kang, 1994; 261 262 Nocedal and Wright, 1999). Finally, triangulation is used to estimate the 3-D point positions and incrementally reconstruct scene geometry, fixed into a relative 263 coordinate system. Full automation of this process, from keypoint extraction, to 264 accurate reconstruction of scene geometry is a clear advantage of the SfM method 265 over traditional digital photogrammetric approaches. 266

The bundle adjustment package used in Bundler (Lourakis and Argyros, 2009) 267 268 produces sparse point-clouds. An enhanced density point-cloud can be derived by implementing the Clustering View for Multi-view Stereo (CMVS) (Furukawa and 269 Ponce, 2007; Furukawa et al., 2010) and Patch-based Multi-view Stereo (PMVS2) 270 algorithms (Furukawa and Ponce, 2007). Here, camera positions derived from 271 272 Bundler are used as input. CMVS then decomposes overlapping input images into subsets or clusters of manageable size, whilst PMVS2 is used to independently 273 reconstruct 3-D data from these individual clusters (Furukawa and Ponce, 2007). 274 The result of this additional processing is a significant increase in point density; 275 typically approaching, or in excess of 2 orders of magnitude (Table 1). 276

277

#### 278 **2.1.3.** Post-processing and digital elevation model generation

Transformation from a relative to absolute co-ordinate system is achieved through manual identification of GCPs in the point cloud and the computation of an appropriate transformation. Here, we use a rigid body transformation decomposed into a rotation and a translation matrix, and a scale factor. A solution to this transformation is found using a modified version of Horn's (1987) absolute orientation algorithm implemented in MATLAB®. A solution to the seven unknowns in the total transformation requires a minimum of three matching image and object space coordinates.

Targets are placed to provide maximum visibility (and thus obvious appearance in the photographs), and contrast as strongly as possible with the surroundings to aid their location in the final point-cloud before the data are transformed. Significant outliers and artefacts (e.g. erroneous peaks and troughs resulting from keypoint descriptor mismatches) and any unnecessarily reconstructed surrounding topography are manually removed at this stage.

The point clouds generated using SfM may, depending on the image set, be extremely dense, potentially incorporating upwards of 10<sup>3</sup> 3-D points per square metre. Direct interpolation of the raw point cloud into a typically coarser resolution terrain model (e.g., metre-scale) represents a considerable computational task. Additionally, and in common with other remote sensing methods such as LiDAR or TLS, this raw point cloud may also incorporate 'off-ground' features that obscure simple geomorphological interpretation.

To improve data-handling and provide a first-order bare-earth elevation model, here 300 we decimate the raw point cloud using a gridding procedure developed by Rychkov 301 302 et al. (2012). This approach, originally designed to explore TLS point clouds, decomposes the point cloud into a regular grid, for which parameters of the local 303 elevation distribution are extracted. These include the minimum, maximum, mean 304 and first and higher order moments. The routine then fits a local tessellation to this 305 reduced resolution grid, based on a local elevation estimate, and then detrends the 306 raw point cloud, to derive a comparable set of local statistics that reflect variability 307 above the first order grid-scale features. This simple, but computationally efficient 308 procedure allows easy extraction of terrain models based, for example on the local 309 minimum grid elevation, whilst retaining information on the sub-grid elevation 310 complexity for later analysis. Visualisation of the grid-cell statistics also permits 311 spatial analysis of the variability in point density across the entire model (see Fig. 312 6d). 313

When combined, SfM and point-cloud decimation potentially offer a powerful tool for geomorphological analysis. For example, using the approach on comparable TLS derived point clouds, Rychkov et al. (2012) were able reveal gravel-scale grain scale roughness on steeply inclined river banks. Similarly, in the absence of highresolution imagery from space-borne platforms or aerial LiDAR, entire floodplains and valley floor reaches may be surveyed using cameras mounted on low-altitude tethered platforms or UAVs (e.g. Niethammer, 2012) and subsequently decimated to resolutions required for the extraction of geometric data required as boundary condition data for hydrodynamic modelling.

However, depending on the final application, data decimation may not be necessary 323 and unwanted, although conventional GIS software is typically inappropriate to 324 manage the visualization and storage of dense point data which may extend into 325 tens or hundreds of millions of observations. For the examples presented in this 326 327 paper, decimation was applied in order to facilitate direct comparison of SfM and TLS data at resolutions sufficient to represent the first order topography at the scale 328 of interest whilst enabling simple surface generation and visualisation on a desktop 329 PC. The final terrain products were derived by linearly resampling a TIN created by 330 331 Delaunay triangulation in ArcGIS from decimated point cloud (following, Brasington et al., 2000). This model may be visualized effectively by draping the orthophoto 332 derived from the SfM processing over this surface. The final result is a fully 333 georeferenced, high-resolution, photo-realistic DEM. The complete workflow is 334 335 shown in Fig. 3.

336

# **337 3. Comparison with Terrestrial Laser Scanning**

338

#### **339 3.1. Data acquisition and processing**

An independent assessment of the accuracy of the SfM method was undertaken by 340 direct comparison of raster DEMs of an exposed eroding cliff created using the SfM 341 workflow described above and a comparable survey with TLS. The study site is 342 Constitution Hill, a ~80 m high coastal cliff located immediately to the north of the 343 town of Aberystwyth, Wales, UK (Fig. 4a). The lower section of the cliff is 344 topographically complex, with land cover comprising grasses, shrubs, footpaths, 345 near-vertical cliff faces and rockfall debris. The exposed bedrock comprises Silurian 346 turbidites which were folded and faulted during the Caledonian orogeny. A cobble 347 beach is located at its base. Labelled yellow targets, 1 x 1 m in size were deployed 348 across the area of interest and used as GCPs. In total, 35 targets were distributed 349 across the study site, in a quasi-uniform pattern allowing for topographic constraints 350 (Fig. 4a). 351

In addition, three tripod-mounted Leica Geosystems HDS targets were deployed to 352 co-register the TLS data. Scans of the hillside were acquired from three positions 353 using a Leica Geosystems ScanStation (blue triangles in Fig. 4a). This was set to 354 record data with a 2 cm spatial resolution at a distance of 15 m for the first scan and 355 356 1 cm for the second and third. Coincidently, a total of 889 photographs were taken of the hillside using a consumer-grade digital camera (Panasonic DMC-G10, 12 357 megapixel resolution, with both automatic focusing and exposure enabled), from a 358 range of locations and perspectives, for use as input to SfM processing. Using three 359 360 people, TLS and SfM data acquisition, including deployment of the SfM GCPs (and centroid location surveying) and TLS HDS targets, photograph acquisition, and TLS
 data acquisition took approximately 5 hours in total, of which the SfM component
 took approximately 2 hours. Total post-processing times were significantly longer:
 combined, sparse and dense point cloud generation took a total of 23.5 hours, whilst
 manual point cloud editing, GCP identification and transformation and DEM
 generation took an additional ~4 hours.

The TLS data were co-registered into a single point cloud using a 3-D similarity transformation based on manual identification of the three HDS targets in the individual point-clouds. This was implemented using Leica's Cyclone software suite. No attempt to transform the final product into an absolute coordinate system was attempted, as this would incorporate additional unnecessary errors. The data were also not projected, to allow a direct comparison of the two (TLS and SfM) matching Cartesian coordinate systems.

The extensive photoset was decomposed into three 'batches' to reduce 374 computational demand, and input photographs re-scaled to 55% of their original 375 resolution to reduce computational demand. The processing steps outlined in section 376 2 were employed, producing unreferenced sparse and dense point-clouds as output 377 (Table 2). The SfM data were transformed to the TLS co-ordinate system through 378 manual identification of matching GCP centroids in both datasets (Fig. 4b-d). The 379 three SfM batches were registered individually, with no significant difference in the 380 quality of the three transformation models, and average transformation residuals of 381 0.124 m, 0.058 m and 0.031 m for xyz. 382

383

#### 384 **3.2. Results**

Perspective views of the sparse and dense point cloud data are presented in Fig. 5. A significant increase in the point density is immediately apparent for the dense reconstruction. After manual editing, the sparse dataset comprised  $1.7 \times 10^5$  points, whilst the dense reconstruction produced  $11.3 \times 10^6$  points; a 64-fold increase. This is comparable to the TLS survey density with  $11.7 \times 10^6$  survey points over the same area. The resolution is sufficient to reveal bedrock structure, notably the style of folding (Fig. 6, 'C' in Fig 5a).

Interpolated DEMs derived using the workflow described in Section 2 are shown in Fig. 7. The models shown here have been extracted at 1 m resolution, and are based on the local minimum elevation in order to aid the automatic removal any offground observations (e.g., vegetation cover). The decimation process reduced the original number of points to a regularised grid of 8999 and 10,780 cells for the SfM and TLS data, whist retaining key summary statistics describing the sub-grid elevation variability. There are minor differences in the spatial extent of the two models, though both resolve clearly notable topographic elements such as near-vertical faces adjacent to the main footpath (labelled 'A' and 'B' in Fig. 5a and Fig. 7a). Point density data (Fig. 7d, also Fig. 8) reveal that the highest concentrations (~70,000 - >90,000 points per m<sup>2</sup>) are located towards the centre-north of the scene, corresponding to sizeable (up to 10 m high) exposed rock faces.

A DEM of difference (DoD) was created by subtracting SfM elevation model from 405 that obtained by TLS (Fig. 9a). This reveals that 94 % of overlapping model 406 differences are in the range -1.0 - 1.0 m, with 86 % between just -0.5 - 0.5 m (Fig. 407 9b). Spatially, positive differences (SfM higher than TLS) in elevation are 408 409 concentrated towards the north-east of the dataset, with more pronounced negative disparities confined largely to the west. The negative deviations correspond to the 410 headwall at the highest point of the scene, and steep faces which terminate at the 411 beach, respectively ('A' and 'B' in Fig. 7a, respectively). 412

It is hypothesized that the largest DoD values (Fig. 9a), corresponding to areas of 413 steeply sloping relief close to the beach and the headwall at the highest point of the 414 datasets, may be partly attributed to weak reconstruction caused by large camera-to-415 feature baselines (>20 m) owing to the inaccessibility of these areas. The 416 photogrammetric-TLS model comparison in these areas is also likely to be highly 417 sensitive to small horizontal offsets introduced through point-cloud transformation. 418 419 Across the rest of the study area, it was possible to photograph the terrain from relatively close proximity, including other steep areas which were well reconstructed, 420 as indicated by low  $z_{diff}$  values (e.g., the centre of the study area, adjacent to the 421 422 footpath).

No statistically significant relationship ( $r^2 = 0.19$ ) between slope and  $z_{diff}$  exists, 423 suggesting that DEM disparities cannot be explained by the local gradient alone. The 424 425 areas with the highest differences were concentrated, almost exclusively, to the cliff section adjacent to the beach in the west of the dataset ('B' in Fig. 8a) and 426 correspond to regions subjected to heavy interpolation. Dense vegetation dominates 427 the eastern end of Constitution Hill ('C' in Fig. 8a) this is strongly associated with 428 moderately high (positive) elevation differences. If comprehensive photosets with 429 high degrees of overlap are not acquired for this type of land cover, dense vegetation 430 cover proves problematic for effective terrain reconstruction due to 431 the homogeneous image texture. The same also applies to surfaces including grass, 432 433 snow, or sand.

The scale of elevation differences apparent between the two models appears to imply that application of repeat SfM to monitor landscape change (by DEMs of difference) would be limited to capturing only relatively large topographic dynamics (i.e., metre scale). However, on closer inspection it is apparent that the notable local deviations between the SfM and TLS datasets correspond largely to areas of relatively dense shrub and bush cover. Indeed, considerably lower deviations (i.e. ±

0.1 m or less) are found in the mostly vegetation-free (with the exception of short 440 grass) central portion of the site closest to the beach. To illustrate this point,  $z_{diff}$ 441 frequency distribution histograms for two sub-regions of the site (both 20  $m^2$  in area), 442 representing an area of dense vegetation and largely vegetation-free ground, 443 respectively, are shown in Fig. 10. Analysis of these data reveal that 100% of cells 444 fall with the range -0.5 - 0.5 m for the vegetation-free region, with 89% of cells falling 445 within this classification for the area of dense vegetation. At the decimetre scale and 446 below, 61% and 39% of the vegetation-free and dense vegetation data, respectively, 447 possess  $z_{diff}$  values of  $\pm 0.1$  m or less, demonstrating an appreciable decrease in 448 elevation difference in the absence of any significant vegetation cover. Error 449 introduced by the presence of vegetation is not an issue unique to the SfM method, 450 but may confound topographic reconstruction using a wide range of remote 451 surveying methods (e.g. Coveney and Fotheringham, 2011). Despite this, the 452 accuracy assessment serves as an encouraging first appraisal of the SfM algorithms 453 employed. A far more rigorous accuracy assessment, across a range of terrain 454 types, is needed in future research to better elucidate the major sources of error. 455 Similarly, a detailed investigation of systematic errors introduced by, for example, the 456 457 manual identification of the GCPs in both point cloud datasets, and the subsequent impact upon the accuracy of the transformation matrix applied to the data, would 458 also be desirable. 459

460

# 461 4. Applications of Structure-from-Motion to large- and small 462 scale glacial landform reconstruction

463

#### 464 **4.1 Dig Tsho moraine complex**

The workflow outlined above was applied to the Dig Tsho moraine-dam complex in 465 the Khumbu Himal, Nepal (4,400 m) (Fig. 11a). Located at the head of the 466 Langmoche valley, the moraine dam failed on 4<sup>th</sup> August 1985 when an ice 467 avalanche from the receding Langmoche Glacier produced a displacement-wave 468 that overtopped the moraine dam and triggered its failure. The resulting glacial lake 469 470 outburst flood (GLOF) killed five people, livestock, and destroyed valuable arable land as well as a newly-completed hydro-electric power plant (Vuichard and 471 Zimmerman, 1986, 1987; Richardson and Reynolds, 2000). The terminal moraine is 472 composed of a non-cohesive arrangement of locally-transported gravel, cobble, and 473 boulder-sized debris, and measures approximately 650 m wide and 80 m high at its 474 475 highest point. A sizeable breach, which measures ~40 m high and ~70 m across at its widest point, dissects the northern edge of the terminal moraine. 476

Following the approach detailed above, a network of 35 GCPs was established across the terminal moraine complex (Fig. 11b), and a set of 1649 photographs taken from various locations and perspectives across the site. With a team of three people, GCP deployment took approximately 10 hours due to the challenging topography and effects of working at high altitude, whilst photograph acquisition wascompleted in a little over 4 hours.

Feature matching and sparse bundle adjustment on three image batches (n = 457, 483 560, and 609 images apiece) produced a total of 2.2 x 10<sup>4</sup> points, which, after dense 484 reconstruction and manual editing was increased to 13.2 x 10<sup>6</sup>. The SfM processing 485 took approximately 22 hours per batch, though access to a number of identical 486 machines allowed batches to be processed in tandem. The data were then geo-487 registered and decimated gridded terrain products derived (producing a final grid of 488  $\sim$ 3.5 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells). GPS errors and transformation residuals are shown in Table 2. The 489 final, fully georeferenced DEM is displayed in Fig. 12a. 490

Although the focus of photograph-acquisition was the terminal moraine and breach, 491 background photographic information was sufficient to reconstruct the entire lake 492 basin, including the 2 km long northern lateral moraine. As in the previous example, 493 significant topographic detail (sub-metre scale) has been resolved. 494 The entire breach was successfully reconstructed, and notable morphological features captured 495 by the model include the narrow central section and expansive exit, as well as two 496 abandoned spillways. Highest point densities are concentrated along the inner faces 497 of the breach (where densities in excess of >8,700 points per m<sup>2</sup> may be found, 498 compared to a site-wide median of 7.35 per m<sup>2</sup>; see also Fig. 8c), the eastern limit of 499 the distal face of the northern moraine, as well as the southern face of the relict 500 medial moraine which dissects the terminal moraine complex (Fig. 12b). A number of 501 interpolation artefacts are present across the scene, but are largely confined towards 502 the south and correspond to an extensive area of snow cover. 503

504

#### 505 **4.2.** Glacially-sculpted bedrock ridge, Cwm Cau

Cwm Cau is a west-east orientated glacial cirgue, located immediately to the south 506 of Cadair Idris (893 m) in Snowdonia National Park, Wales, UK (see Fig. 4a for 507 508 location). It is carved out of folded Ordovician volcanic rocks. A plethora of glacial landforms are found inside the cirque and down-valley, including morainic 509 hummocks and ridges and glacially sculpted bedrock ridges (Sahlin and Glasser, 510 2008). The latter were deemed suitable for a small-scale appraisal of the SfM 511 technique. The bedrock ridge chosen for reconstruction is oriented west-east, is 80 512 m in length, 19 m across at its widest point, and approximately 6 m and 8 m high 513 along its southern and northern flanks, respectively (Fig. 13a). Twenty-two orange 514 targets measuring 0.1 m in diameter were used as GCPs. Given the scale of the 515 feature, a relative decrease in target size was deemed appropriate. Using dGPS, 516 horizontal, vertical, and combined positional accuracies of 0.002 m, 0.002 m, and 517 0.003 m were achieved. A total of 800 photographs were taken and used for scene 518 reconstruction. As in the previous example, SfM processing was performed on three 519 individual batches, taking an average of 12 hours each. Transformation residuals 520

averaged 0.975, 0.161, and 0.422 for x, y and z. Photograph and GCP data were acquired at Cwm Cau in approximately 3 hours using two people, 1.5 hours of which was spent establishing the GCP network.

524

525 The final interpolated DEM is shown in Fig. 13b and Fig. 14. Due to the smaller scale of this feature, the point cloud was decimated to 10 cm spatial resolution, 526 reducing the original number of points from 8.9 x 10<sup>6</sup> to 1.3 x 10<sup>5</sup>. Analysis of 527 decimated cell statistics revealed an average point density of 69 per 0.1 m<sup>2</sup> (also Fig. 528 8d) providing outstanding detail of the feature's surface, particularly exposed, bare 529 rock faces found on the southern and northern flanks (Fig. 13b). Indeed, point 530 density on the southern face exceeded 90 points per 0.1 m<sup>3</sup>. This extreme 531 resolution is sufficient to delineate centimetre-scale bedrock joints and other surface 532 533 features such as striations. Scene reconstruction was weaker in topographically complex and partially occluded regions such as the north-eastern edge of the feature 534 (see Fig. 14b), as a result of poorer photographic coverage and shadowing. 535

536

#### 537 **5. Discussion**

As the above examples demonstrate, the apparent logistical advantages of SfM 538 (limited hardware needs and portability) are, at least in part, offset by the lengthy 539 processing times compared to 'data-ready' methods such as TLS or GPS. Keypoint 540 541 descriptor extraction, matching, and sparse and dense reconstruction algorithms are computationally demanding. For example, total processing times for a typical 542 photoset used here, numbering between 400-600 images (at 2272 x 1740 pixel 543 resolution), range from 7-56 hours on a 64-bit system with a 2.8 GHz CPU, 512 MB 544 GPU and 6 GB RAM. This is heavily dependent on the complexity of image texture, 545 and may be ameliorated by reducing image size, although this will result in a 546 consequent decrease in the number of returned keypoint descriptors, and ultimately 547 reduced point density. Inevitably, the selection of SfM for any geoscience application 548 must take account of this significant post-processing load and the choice of survey 549 method will eventually be weighed against a number of factors, including cost, 550 accessibility, experience, and fitness-for-purpose in terms of data resolution and 551 552 coverage.

The sheer size of datasets generated using SfM, and for that matter those derived 553 from allied remote sensing methods such as TLS present significant information 554 management problems (Rychkov et al. 2012). The current generation of GIS are 555 largely inappropriate tools for this purpose, and the effective visualization and 556 analysis of high resolution point clouds is heavily constrained by the limited range of 557 software available for this purpose. The use of the point cloud decimation methods 558 described herein provide a useful strategy to down-scale these dense data whilst 559 retaining information concerning the sub-grid variability. However, while statistical 560

analysis of these decimated data may provide useful insights, for example to quantify surface roughness, this step inevitably results in an unwanted loss of topographic complexity. It is anticipated that future improvements in computational power will reduce run times and facilitate the use of original, high-resolution photosets for input to SfM processing, whilst the emergence of low-cost or freely-available software products (e.g. MeshLab), capable of easily manipulating point clouds far in excess of >10<sup>6</sup> of points will improve data handling and general accessibility to the approach.

The minimalistic nature of the approach also lends itself to aerial surveying. 568 Platforms including kites, lighter-than-air blimps and unmanned aerial vehicles 569 (UAVs) are equally portable, relatively inexpensive and capable of carrying both 570 basic and advanced photographic equipment (e.g. Smith et al., 2009; Vericat et al., 571 2009; Niethammer et al., 2012), thereby vastly increasing the potential areal 572 coverage attained. In addition, aerial datasets have the potential to reduce, or even 573 eliminate the 'dead-ground' problem (Wolf and Dewitt, 2000), whereby objects in the 574 575 foreground of an image obscure those in the background, resulting in significant data gaps. This issue applies not only to the SfM method, but to all point cloud acquisition 576 methods highly limited by line-of-sight. For topographically simple terrain, such as 577 outwash fans, and valley-floor floodplains, an aerial approach would be particular 578 579 advantageous. However, as with stereoscopic reconstruction, steep, or near-vertical 580 topography is likely to be problematic for the SfM technique. Further work is on-going to appraise this approach. 581

The example applications presented in section 4 were ideally suited to the 582 application of the SfM technique. Minimal vegetation coverage and relatively 583 584 complex, heterogeneous topography at both the meso- and micro-scales facilitate the extraction of suitable numbers of keypoint descriptors for consistent, dense point 585 cloud coverage. Similarly, the method is ideally suited for application in (semi)arid 586 environments. In contrast, the method's suitability for topographic reconstruction of, 587 for example, riparian landscapes may be limited, given that, at present, only water-588 free surfaces would be suitable for reconstruction, and point density is likely to be 589 limited, and of questionable accuracy, in areas of dense vegetation. 590

591

## 592 6. Conclusions

This paper has outlined a novel low-cost, ground-based, close-range terrestrial 593 photogrammetry and computer vision approach to obtaining high-resolution spatial 594 data suitable for modelling meso- and micro-scale landforms. The nature of the SfM 595 method eliminates the requirement for manual identification of image control prior to 596 processing, instead employing automatic camera pose estimation algorithms to 597 simultaneously resolve 3-D camera location and scene geometry; this is an 598 significant advantage of the technique over traditional digital 599 extremely photogrammetric methods. However, as the raw SfM output is fixed into a relative 600

601 co-ordinate system, particular time and attention should be taken in the 602 establishment of a GCP network to facilitate transformation to an absolute co-603 ordinate system and the extraction of metric data. Taking the hypothesised 604 effectiveness of an aerial approach into account, the terrestrial data collection 605 method presented herein nevertheless represents an effective, financially viable 606 alternative to traditional manual topographic surveying and photogrammetric 607 techniques, particularly for practical application in remote or inaccessible regions.

# 608 Acknowledgements

MJW is funded by a NERC Open CASE Award, in association with Reynolds International Ltd. Sam Doyle, Heïdi Sevestre, Pippa Cowley and staff from Summit Trekking, Kathmandu, are thanked for their invaluable assistance with data collection in the Khumbu Himal. James Hickman, Colin Souness and Mark Smith are thanked for their assistance at Cwm Cau and Constitution Hill. TLS point cloud unification was performed by Mark Smith. Joseph Wheaton and an anonymous reviewer are thanked for their suggestions and comments, which significantly improved the original manuscript.

616

## 617 **References**

Arya, S., Mount, D.M., Netanyahu, N.S., Silverman, R., Wu, A.Y., 1998. An optimal
 algorithm for approximate nearest neighbour searching fixed dimensions. Journal of
 the Association for Computing Machinery 45, 891-923.

- Astre, H. 2010. SFMToolkit3. Available: http://www.visual-experiments.com/demos/sfmtoolkit
- Baltsavias, E.P., Favey, E., Bauder, A., Bösch, H., Pateraki, M., 2001. Digital surface
- modelling by airborne laser scanning and digital photogrammetry for glacier
  monitoring. The Photogrammetric Record 17, 243-273.
- Barker, R., Hooke, J., 1997. Use of terrestrial photogrammetry for monitoring and
   measuring bank erosion. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 22, 1217-1227.
- Betts, H.D., DeRose, R.C., 1999. Digital elevation models as a tool for monitoring
  and measuring gully erosion. Journal of Applied Earth Observation and
  Geoinformation 1, 91-101.
- Bird, S., Hogan, D., Schwab, J., 2010. Photogrammetric monitoring of small streams
  under a riparian forest canopy. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 35, 952970.
- Boike, J., Yoshikawa, K., 2003. Mapping of periglacial geomorphology using
  kite/balloon aerial photography. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes 14, 81-85.
- Boufama, B., Mohr, R., Veillon F., 1993. Euclidean constraints on uncalibrated
- reconstruction. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Computer
  Vision, Berlin, Germany; 466-470.
- Brasington, J., Smart, R.M.A., 2003. Close range digital photogrammetric analysis of
  experimental drainage basin evolution. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 28,
  231-247.

- Brasington, J., Rumsby, B.T., McVey, R.A., 2000. Monitoring and modelling
- morphological change in a braided gravel-bed river using high resolution GPS-based
  survey. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 25, 973-990.
- 645 Chandler, J.H., 1999. Effective application of automated digital photogrammetry for 646 geomorphological research. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 24, 51-63.

Chandler, J.H., Ashmore, P., Paola, C., Gooch, M., Varkaris, F., 2002. Monitoring
river-channel change using terrestrial oblique digital imagery and automated digital
photogrammetry. Annals of the Associated of American Geographers 92, 631-644.

- Chandler, J.H., Fryer, J.G., Jack, A., 2005. Metric capabilities of low cost digital
  cameras for close range surface measurement. The Photogrammetric Record 20,
  12-26.
- Clarke, T.A. and Fryer, J.G. 1998. The development of camera calibration methodsand models. Photogrammetric Record, 16, 51-66.
- 655 Coveney, S., Fotheringham, A.S. 2011. Terrestrial laser scan error in the presence 656 of dense ground vegetation. The Photogrammetric Record 26, 307-324.
- Fischler, M.A., Bolles, R.C., 1987. Random Sample Consensus: a paradigm for
  model fitting with applications to image analysis and automated cartography. In:
  Martin, A.F., Oscar, F. (Eds.), Readings in Computer Vision: Issues, Problems,
- 660 Principles, and Paradigms. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., London, pp. 726-740.
- Förstner, W., 1986. A feature-based correspondence algorithm for image matching.
  International Archives of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 26: 150-166.
- Fraser, C.S., Cronk, S. 2009. A hybrid measurement approach for close-range
  photogrammetry. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 64, 328333.
- Fuller I.C., Large, A.R.G., Milan, D., 2003. Quantifying channel development and
- sediment transfer following chute cutoff in a wandering gravel-bed river.
- 668 Geomorphology 54, 307-323.
- 669 Furukawa, Y., Ponce, J., 2007. Accurate, dense, and robust multi-view stereopsis. In
- 670 Proceedings, IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition
- 671 (CVPR), 17-22 June, Minneapolis, USA, 1-8.
- Furukawa, Y., Curless, B., Seitz, M., Szeliski, R., 2010. Clustering view for multi-view
- stereo. In Proceedings, IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern
   Recognition(CVPR), 13-18 June, San Francisco, USA, 1434-1441
- Hancock, G.R., Willgoose, G.R., 2001. The production of digital elevation models for
  experimental model landscapes. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 26, 475490.

- Haneberg, W.C., 2008. Using close range terrestrial digital photogrammetry for 3-D
  rock slope modeling and discontinuity mapping in the United States. Bulletin of
- Engineering Geology and the Environment 67, 457-469.
- Harris, C., Stephens, M. 1988. A combined corner and edge detector. Proceedingsof the Fourth Alvey Vision Conference, Manchester, 147-151.
- Heng, B.C.P., Chandler, J.H., Armstrong, A., 2010. Applying close-range digital
   photogrammetry and soil erosion studies. The Photogrammetric Record 25, 240-265.
- Heritage, G., Hetherington, D., 2007. Towards a protocol for laser scanning in fluvial
   geomorphology. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 32, 66-74.
- Hodge, R., Brasington, J., Richards, K., 2009. In-situ characterisation of grain-scale
  fluvial morphology using Terrestrial Laser Scanning. Earth Surface Processes and
  Landforms 34, 954-968.
- Horn, B.K.P. 1987. Closed-form solution of absolute orientation using unitquaternions. Journal of the Optical Society of America 4, 629-642.
- Jones, A.F., Brewer, P.A., Johnstone, E., Macklin, M.G., 2007. High-resolution
- 693 interpretative geomorphological mapping of river valley environments using airborne
- LiDAR data. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 32, 1574-1592.
- Keim, R.F., Skaugset, A.E., Bateman, D.S., 1999. Digital terrain modelling of small
  stream channels with a total-station theodolite. Advances in Water Resources 23,
  41-48.
- Keutterling, A., Thomas, A., 2006. Monitoring glacier elevation and volume changes
  with digital photogrammetry and GIS at Gepatschferner glacier, Austria. International
  Journal of Remote Sensing 27, 4371-4380.
- 701 Krosley, L.K. Shaffner, P.T., Oerter, E., Ortiz, T., 2006. Digital ground-based
- photogrammetry for measuring discontinuity orientations in steep rock exposures.
   Proceedings of the 41<sup>st</sup> U.S. Symposium of Rock Mechanics, Golden, Colorado, pp.
- 704 1-13.
- Lane, S.N., 2000. The measurement of river channel morphology using digitalphotogrammetry. The Photogrammetric Record 16, 937-961.
- Lane, S.N., James, T.D., Crowell, M.D., 2000. Application of digital photogrammetry
   to complex topography for geomorphological research. The Photogrammetric Record
   16, 793-821.
- Lane, S.N., Chandler, J.H., Porfiri, K., 2001. Monitoring river channel and flume
- surfaces with digital photogrammetry. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering 127, 871-877.

- Lejot, J., Delacourt, C., Piégay, H., Fournier, T., Trémélo, M-L., Allemand, P.,
- 2007.Very high spatial resolution imagery for channel bathymetry and topography
- from an unmanned mapping controlled platform. Earth Surface Processes and
- 716 Landforms 32, 1705-1725.
- Lohani, B., Mason, D.C., 2001. Application of airborne scanning laser altimetry to the
- study of tidal channel geomorphology. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and
   Remote Sensing 56, 100-120.
- Lourakis, M.I.A., Argyros, A.A., 2009. SBA: A software package for generic sparse
   bundle adjustment. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 36: 1-30.
- Lowe, D.G., 1999. Object recognition from local scale-invariant features,
- International Conference on Computer Vision, Corfu, Greece, pp. 1150-1157.
- Lowe, D., 2004. Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints.
- 725 International Journal of Computer Vision 60, 91-110.
- Marzolff, I., Poesen, J., 2009. The potential of 3-D gully monitoring with GIS using
- high-resolution aerial photography and a digital photogrammetry system.
- 728 Geomorphology 111, 48-60.
- Marzolff, I., Ries, J.B., Albert, K.D., 2002. Kite aerial photography for gully monitoring
- in Sahelian landscapes . In Proceedings of the Second Workshop of the EARSeL
- 731 Special Interest Group on Remote Sensing for Developing Countries, 18-20
- 732 September, Bonn, Germany, 2-13.
- 733 Matthews, N.A., 2008. Aerial and close-range photogrammetric technology:
- providing resource documentation, interpretation, and preservation. Technical Note
   428, Bureau of Land Management, Denver, Colorado.
- 736 Microsoft®. 2010. Photosynth<sup>™</sup>. Available: http://www.photosynth.net.
- Niethammer, U., James, M.R., Rothmund, S., Travelletti, J., Joswig, W., 2012. UAV-
- based remote sensing of the Super Sauze landslide: Evaluation and results.
- Engineering Geology 128, 2-11.
- Nocedal, J., Wright, S.J., 1999. Numerical optimization. Springer: New York.
- Notebaert, B., Verstraeten, G., Govers, G., Poesen, J., 2009. Qualitative and
- quantitative applications of LiDAR imagery in fluvial geomorphology. Earth Surface
   Processes and Landforms 34, 217-231.
- Parsons, D. R., J. L. Best, O. Orfeo, R. J. Hardy, R. Kostaschuk, S. N. Lane., 2005.
- 745 Morphology and flow fields of three-dimensional dunes, Rio Paraná, Argentina:
- 746 Results from simultaneous multibeam echo sounding and acoustic Doppler current
- profiling, Journal of Geophysical Research, 110, F04S03.

- Pyle, C.J., Richards, K.S., Chandler, J.H., 1997. Digital photogrammetric monitoring
   of river bank erosion. The Photogrammetric Record 15, 753-764.
- Remondino, F., El-Hakim, S., 2006. Image-based 3-D modelling: a review. ThePhotogrammetric Record 21, 269-291.
- Remondino, F., Fraser, C. 2006. The International Archives of the Photogrammetry
  and Remote Sensing Commission V Symposium 'Image Engineering and Vision
  Metrology', 266-272.
- Richardson, S.D., Reynolds, J.M., 2000. An overview of glacial hazards in theHimalayas. Quaternary International 65/66: 31-47.
- Rieke-Zapp, D.H., Nearing, M.A., 2005. Digital close range photogrammetry for
   measurement of soil erosion. The Photogrammetric Record 20, 69-87.
- Rosser, N.J., Petley, D.N., Lim, M., Dunning, S.A., Allison, R.J., 2005. Terrestrial
  laser scanning for monitoring the process of hard rock coastal cliff erosion. Quarterly
  Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology 38, 363-375.
- Rychkov, I., Brasington, J., Vericat, D., 2012. Computational and methodological
  aspects of terrestrial surface analysis based on point clouds. Computers &
  Geosciences 42, 64-70.
- Sacchetti, F., Benetti, S., Georgiopoulou, A., Shannon, P.M., O'Reilly, B.M. Dunlop,
  P., Quinn, R., Cofaigh, C.O., 2012. Deep-water geomorphology of the glaciated Irish
  margin from high-resolution marine geophysical data. Marine Geology 291, 113-131.
- Sahlin, E., Glasser, N.F., 2008. Geomorphological map of Cadair Idris, Wales.Journal of Maps 6: 299-314.
- Smith, M., Chandler, J., Rose, J., 2009. High spatial resolution data acquisition for
  the geosciences: kite aerial photography. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms
  34: 155-161.
- Snavely, N., 2008. Scene Reconstruction and Visualization from Internet PhotoCollections, unpublished PhD thesis, University of Washington, USA.
- Snavely, N., Seitz, S.N., Szeliski, R., 2006. Photo Tourism: Exploring image
  collections in 3D, ACM Transactions on Graphics, New York, pp. 1-12.
- Snavely, N., Seitz, S.N., Szeliski, R., 2008. Modeling the world from internet photo
- collections. International Journal of Computer Vision 80: 189-210. Spetsakis, M.E.,
- Aloimonos, Y., 1991. A multi-frame approach to visual motion perception.
- 780 International Journal of Computer Vision 6: 245-255.

Stojic, M., Chandler, J.H., Ashmore, P., Luce, J., 1998. The assessment of sediment
 transport rates by automated digital photogrammetry. Photogrammetric Engineering
 and Remote Sensing 64, 387-395.

Sturznegger, M., Stead, D., 2009. Close-range terrestrial digital photogrammetry and
 terrestrial laser scanning for discontinuity characterization on rock cuts. Engineering
 Geology 106, 163-182.

Szeliski, R., Kang, S.B., 1994. Recovering 3-D shape and motion from image
streams using nonlinear least squares. Journal of Visual Communication and Image
Representation 5: 10-28.

- Vericat, D., Brasington, J., Wheaton, J., Cowie, M., 2009. Accuracy assessment of
   aerial photographs acquiring using lighter-than-air blimps: low cost tools for mapping
   river corridors. River Research and Applications 25: 985-1000.
- Vuichard, D., Zimmerman, M., 1986. The Langmoche flash-flood, Khumbu Himal,Nepal. Mountain Research and Development 6: 90-94.
- Vuichard, D., Zimmerman, M., 1987. The 1985 catastrophic drainage of a morainedammed lake, Khumbu Himal, Nepal: cause and consequences. Mountain Research
  and Development 7: 91-110.
- Westaway, R.M., Lane, S.N., Hicks, D.M., 2000. The development of an automated
  correction procedure for digital photogrammetry for the study of wide, shallow,
  gravel-bed rivers. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 25, 209-226.
- Wolf, P.R., Dewitt, B.A., 2000. Elements of Photogrammetry with Applications in GIS(3rd edition), McGraw-Hill, Boston.

Fig. captions:

805

Table 1. Processing batch description and SfM output for Constitution Hill, Dig Tsho, and
 Cwm Cau.

808

**Table 2**. GCP positional accuracies and transformation residuals (Dig Tsho and Cwm Cau only). TLS system position taken as true for transformation of Constitution Hill SfM data.

811

Fig. 1. Instead of a single stereo pair, the SfM technique requires multiple, overlapping
 photographs as input to feature extraction and 3-D reconstruction algorithms.

814

**Fig. 2.** Lowe's (2004) Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) algorithm decomposes a

given image (left) into a database of 'keypoint descriptors' (right). Lines represent individual

817 keypoints, proportionally scaled according to the radius of the image region (pixels)

containing the keypoint (SIFT code available: http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~lowe/keypoints/).

819

**Fig. 3.** From photograph to point-cloud: the Structure-from-Motion workflow.

821

**Fig. 4.** Constitution Hill, Aberystwyth. (a) Aerial perspective of the site. Area reconstructed using SfM and TLS is highlighted in red, GCP positions are shown in yellow, and TLS position are shown in blue. Inset map shows relative location of Constitution Hill (CH), and Cwm Cau (CC). Imagery georeferenced to UTM Zone 30N coordinate system. (b) 1 m<sup>2</sup> tarpaulin squares were used as GCPs on Constitution Hill. Centroid positions were recorded using dGPS. (c) A GCP target, as it appears in a photograph, and; (d) as represented in the dense point cloud.

829

Fig. 5. Perspective views of Constitution Hill. (a) Panorama of the survey area (with GCPs
clearly visible), and; reconstructed (b) sparse and (c) dense point clouds. See text for
description of A, B, and C in (a).

833

**Fig. 6.** Exposed oblique section of a fold in Silurian turbidites at the base of Constitution Hill

as captured in (a) an input photograph, and as resolved (b) in the dense point cloud.

836 Prominent (lighter) layers are sandstone, softer (darker) layers are mudstone. See

837 annotation 'C' in Fig. 5 for location.

- **Fig. 7.** Final interpolated DEMs of Constitution Hill using (a) TLS and (b) SfM data; (c) aerial
- photograph of the site; (d) point density map. **A** and **B** refer to associated labels in Fig. 5a.
- 841 **VF** and **DV** refer to, respectively, vegetation-free and densely vegetated sub-regions

analysed in Fig. 10. Data georeferenced to UTM Zone 30N coordinate system.

843

Fig. 8. Per-cell point density frequency distribution plots for: (a) Constitution Hill SfM DEM;
(b) Constitution Hill TLS DEM; (c) Dig Tsho SfM DEM (note: upper limit of x axis manually
truncated at 150 points per m<sup>2</sup> to preserve histogram form; refer to Fig. 12b for complete
range of density values); (d) Cwm Cau SfM DEM..

**Fig. 9.** DEM of difference results (TLS – SfM). (a) Spatial representation of the  $z_{diff}$  frequency distribution. Data georeferenced to UTM Zone 30N coordinate system. (b)  $z_{diff}$  frequency distribution. **A**: the headwall at the highest point of the surveyed area; **B**: near-vertical cliffs; **C**: dense vegetation cover; **D**: interpolation error.

852

- **Fig. 10.** Local scale (20 m<sup>2</sup>)  $z_{diff}$  frequency distribution data for an area with (a) dense
- vegetation cover, and (b) vegetation-free. As anticipated, elevation differences in the
- absence of vegetation are considerably lower.

856

Fig. 11. Dig Tsho glacial lake. (a) View towards the terminal moraine complex at Dig Tsho,
Khumbu Himal, Nepal. A large breach, produced by a Glacial Lake Outburst Flood is clearly
identifiable to the right of the photograph; (b) the spatial extent of SfM reconstruction. GCP
locations also shown. (photo: M Westoby).


861

Fig. 12. Dig Tsho SfM data products. (a) Oblique view of the final hill-shaded model of Dig
Tsho. Clearly identifiable morphological features include the 1985 breach, as well as two
abandoned spillways (AS) which cross the terminal moraine. Current lake extent shown for
reference. The up-valley end of the lake has not been fully reconstructed, resulting in
interpolation artefacts; (b) oblique view showing per-cell (1 m<sup>2</sup>) point densities. Data
transformed to UTM Zone 45N geographic coordinate system.


868

Fig. 13. Glacially-sculpted bedrock ridge, Cwm Cau, Snowdonia. (a) Photograph of the
southern face of the feature; (b) hill-shaded DEM, demonstrating the capability of the SfM
data to resolve small scale (cm) features.

- **Fig. 14**. Oblique, hill-shaded views of the final SfM DEM Cwm Cau bedrock ridge, showing:
- (a) the south and (b) north faces. Areas of low point density resulted in extensive
- 875 interpolation across elevated sections. Data transformed to UK Ordnance Survey National
- 876 Grid coordinate system (OSGB36 datum).



**Fig. 1**. Instead of a single stereo pair, the SfM technique requires multiple, overlapping photographs as input to feature extraction and 3D reconstruction algorithms.



**Fig. 2**. Lowe's (2004) Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) algorithm decomposes a given image (left) into a database of 'keypoint descriptors' (right). Lines represent individual keypoints, proportionally scaled according to the radius of the image region (pixels) containing the keypoint (SIFT code available: http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~lowe/keypoints/)







Fig. 3. From photograph to point-cloud: the Structure-from-Motion workflow.




**Fig. 4**. Constitution Hill, Aberystwyth. (a) Aerial perspective of the site. Area reconstructed using SfM and TLS is highlighted in red, GCP positions are shown in yellow, and TLS position are shown in blue. Inset map shows relative location of Constitution Hill (CH), and Cwm Cau (CC). Imagery georeferenced to UTM Zone 30N coordinate system. (b)  $1 \text{ m}^2$  tarpaulin squares were used as GCPs on Constitution Hill. Centroid positions were recorded using dGPS. (c) A GCP target, as it appears in a photograph, and; (d) as represented in the dense point cloud.




**Fig. 5**. Perspective views of Constitution Hill. (a) Panorama of the survey area (with GCPs clearly visible), and; reconstructed (b) sparse and (c) dense point clouds. See text for description of A and B in (a).




**Fig. 6**. Exposed oblique section of a fold in Silurian turbidites at the base of Constitution Hill as captured in (a) an input photograph, and as resolved (b) in the dense point cloud. Prominent (lighter) layers are sandstone, softer (darker) layers are mudstone. See annotation 'C' in Fig. 5 for location.




**Fig. 7**. Final interpolated DEMs of Constitution Hill using (a) TLS and (b) SfM data; (c) aerial photograph of the site; (d) point density map. **A** and **B** refer to associated labels in Fig. 5a. **VF** and **DV** refer to, respectively, vegetation-free and densely vegetated sub-regions analysed in Fig. 10. Data georeferenced to UTM Zone 30N coordinate system.




**Fig. 8**. Per-cell point density frequency distribution plots for: (a) Constitution Hill SfM DEM; (b) Constitution Hill TLS DEM; (c) Dig Tsho SfM DEM (note: upper limit of x axis manually truncated at 150 points per m<sup>2</sup> to preserve histogram form; refer to Fig. 12b for complete range of density values); (d) Cwm Cau SfM DEM.




**Fig. 9**. DEM of difference results (TLS – SfM). (a) Spatial representation of the  $z_{diff}$  frequency distribution. Data georeferenced to UTM Zone 30N coordinate system. (b)  $z_{diff}$  frequency distribution. **A**: the headwall at the highest point of the surveyed area; **B**: near-vertical cliffs; **C**: dense vegetation cover; **D**: interpolation error.




**Fig. 10**. Local scale (20 m<sup>2</sup>)  $z_{diff}$  frequency distribution data for an area with (a) dense vegetation cover, and (b) vegetation-free. As anticipated, elevation differences in the absence of vegetation are considerably lower. See **DV** and **VF** in Fig. 7 for locations.




**Fig. 11**. Dig Tsho glacial lake. **(a)** View towards the terminal moraine complex at Dig Tsho, Khumbu Himal, Nepal. A large breach, produced by a Glacial Lake Outburst Flood is clearly identifiable to the right of the photograph; **(b)** the spatial extent of SfM reconstruction. GCP locations also shown. (photo: M Westoby).



**Fig. 12**. Dig Tsho SfM data products. (a) Oblique view of the final hill-shaded model of Dig Tsho. Clearly identifiable morphological features include the 1985 breach, as well as two abandoned spillways (AS) which cross the terminal moraine. Current lake extent shown for reference. The up-valley end of the lake has not been fully reconstructed, resulting in interpolation artefacts; (b) oblique view showing per-cell (1 m<sup>2</sup>) point densities. Data transformed to UTM Zone 45N geographic coordinate system.



**Fig. 13.** Glacially-sculpted bedrock ridge, Cwm Cau, Snowdonia. (a) Photograph of the southern face of the feature; (b) hill-shaded DEM, demonstrating the capability of the SfM data to resolve small scale (cm) features.



**Fig. 14**. Oblique, hill-shaded views of the final SfM DEM Cwm Cau bedrock ridge, showing: (a) the south and (b) north faces. Areas of low point density resulted in extensive interpolation across elevated sections. Data transformed to UK Ordnance Survey National Grid coordinate system (OSGB36 datum).

Site / batch	No. of photos	Sparse points	Dense points
onstitution Hill			
CH_Ba1	159	$5.8 \times 10^4$	2.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
CH_Ba2	411	8.2 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	5.8 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
CH_Ba3	286	$3.8 \times 10^4$	2.7 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
Dig Tsho			
DT_Ba1	457	6.1 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	4.3 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
DT_Ba2	560	7.2 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	1.0 x 10 <sup>7</sup>
DT_Ba3	609	$9.1 \times 10^4$	8.3 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
Cwm Cau			
CC_Ba1	264	7.0 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	5.1 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
CC_Ba2	536	1.2 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	7.3 x 10 <sup>6</sup>

**Table 2.** Processing batch description and SfM output for Constitution Hill, Dig Tsho, and Cwm Cau.

	Ground-truth or GCP uncertainty (m; mean)				Transformation residuals (m)		
Site	x + y	Z	хуг	Batch	x	У	Z
Constitution Hill	-	-	-	CC_Ba1	0.196	0.156	0.020
(Wales)				CC_Ba2	0.076	0.064	0.035
				CC_Ba3	0.016	0.072	0.005
Dig Tsho	0.110	0.195	0.226	DT_Ba1	1.022	1.158	2.917
(Nepal)				DT_Ba2	0.630	0.694	3.241
				DT_Ba3	1.015	0.482	4.653
Cwm Cau	0.002	0.002	0.003	CC_Ba1	0.538	0.117	0.164
(Wales)				CC_Ba2	1.411	0.205	0.679

**Table 1.** GCP positional accuracies and transformation residuals (Dig Tsho and Cwm Cau only). TLS system positiontaken as true for transformation of Constitution Hill SfM data.