

### **Aberystwyth University**

### The Legal Nature of Trafficking in Human Beings

Piotrowicz, Ryszard

Published in: Intercultural Human Rights Law Review

Publication date:

2009

Citation for published version (APA):

Piotrowicz, R. (2009). The Legal Nature of Trafficking in Human Beings. Intercultural Human Rights Law Review, 4, 175-203.

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
  - You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
    You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk

Download date: 09. Jul. 2020

### ARTICLE IN INTERNATIONAL REFEREED JOURNAL

(2004) vol. 4
Enverantural Kuman
Rights lan Review
Pp 175-203

# THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING IN HUMAN BEINGS

### RYSZARD PIOTROWICZ\*

### I. Introduction

It is incorrect to say that people trafficking is a breach of human rights for the same reason that it is incorrect to say that 2+2=5: because it is wrong and there is an inherent good in getting things right.

This article assesses what, legally, is actually happening when trafficking in human beings (THB) takes place, and considers the practical ramifications arising from that assessment. What actually is THB? The most widely accepted definition is contained in the Palermo Protocol (the Protocol) to the United Nations Convention on Transnational Organised Crime (UNCTOC), which entered into force in December 2003. Article 3(a) provides:

"Trafficking in persons" shall mean the recruitment, transportation, transfer, harbouring or receipt of persons, by means of the threat or use of force or other forms of coercion, of abduction, of fraud, of deception, of the abuse of power or of a position of vulnerability or of the giving or receiving of payments or benefits to achieve the consent of a person having control over another person, for the purpose of exploitation. Exploitation shall include, at a minimum, the exploitation of the prostitution of others or other forms of sexual exploitation, forced labour or services, slavery or practices similar to slavery, servitude

Professor of Law, Aberystwyth University, Wales. This article develops arguments raised at the conference held at St. Thomas University School of Law in Miami on February 12, 2009: "Human Trafficking: Global and Local Perspectives." These issues were first raised briefly in my article Trafficking of Human Beings and Their Human Rights in the Migration Context, in INTERNATIONAL MIGRATION LAW. DEVELOPING PARADIGMS AND KEY CHALLENGES 275, 278-281 (Ryszard Cholewiński, Richard Perruchoud & Euan MacDonald eds., 2007). Thanks to my colleagues Prof. Chris Harding and Natalia Szablewska for their comments.

or the removal of organs....

the object of severe exploitation as well as serious criminal offences. (exploitation). When THB occurs it is evident that a victim may be the act (recruitment etc), the method (force, etc.) and the purposes and the core elements are equally present in THB within States. From the definition, it is evident that THB consists of three elements: include THB within States, it has received widespread acceptance (because of its adoption as part of UNCTOC) and therefore does not While the definition relates to THB only across States frontiers

albeit with a human rights dimension. argument put forward is that it is primarily a matter of criminal law, matter of criminal law or human rights and why this matters. The principal issue discussed here is whether THB is fundamentally a various rules, regulations and regimes that might apply. The proper understanding of the legal issues requires awareness of the employment law and anti-vice laws.<sup>2</sup> It is a complex activity, thus a conditions of entry to a State - may all be involved. THB may therefore raise issues of criminal law, human rights, migration law, physical and mental abuse, illegal entry to a State, breach of their freedom of movement, forced labor, sexual exploitation, sexual, what actually happens when a person is trafficked - deprivation of Several areas of law may be relevant to THB. Let us consider

# II. THB as a Violation of Human Rights

Protocol, it is clear that the act of trafficking, including the they stand up to scrutiny. If we use the definition of THB from the numerous secondary sources. It is useful to set these out and see if variety of legal instruments of varying binding effect, as well as in THB is described as a serious violation of human rights in a

# THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

2009]

exploitation of the labour of the victim, is a form of forced labour akin to slavery.<sup>3</sup> Slavery is defined in the Slavery Convention of

- whom any or all of the powers attaching to the right of (1) Slavery is the status or condition of a person over ownership are exercised.
- of trade or transport in slaves. view to being sold or exchanged, and, in general, every act of disposal by sale or exchange of a slave acquired with a of a slave with a view to selling or exchanging him; all acts reduce him to slavery; all acts involved in the acquisition capture, acquisition or disposal of a person with intent to (2) The slave trade includes all acts involved in the

slavery. This was recognised by the International Criminal Tribunal shall return to it later. If we consider what actually happens when a abolition as soon as possible. This obligation is relevant to the suppress the slave trade, as well as to bring about its complete enslavement, the Court said: person is trafficked, it is pretty clear that the practice may amount to discussion because it is a private act that States must prevent. We human rights."6 In its conclusion with regard to the issue of contemporary form of slavery and constitutes a serious violation of trafficking of women and girls for sexual exploitation is a Contemporary Forms of Slavery which stated that "transborder for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) in the Kunarac case. The Tribunal referred to the Report of the Working Group on Under Article 2 of that treaty, parties undertook to prevent and

[I]ndications of enslavement include elements of control autonomy, freedom of choice or freedom of movement and ownership; the restriction or control of a person's

<sup>2001).</sup> As of March 4th 2009, the Protocol had attracted 127 ratifications. Organized Crime, G.A. Res. 55/25, art. 3, U.N. Doc. A/RES/55/25 (Jan. 8, Women and Children, Supplementing the U.N. Convention against Transnational Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially

POL'Y 9, 10 (2007) (explaining and exploring the legal complexity). Justice, Human Rights, Asylum/Immigration and Labor, 36 DENV. J. INT'L L. & <sup>2</sup> Alice Edwards, Traffic in Human Beings: At the Intersection of Criminal

EUROPEAN PERSPECTIVE 74-79 (2003). <sup>3</sup> See Conny Rijken, Trafficking In Persons: Prosecution From A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Slavery Convention art. 1, Sept. 25, 1926, 212 U.N.T.S. 17.

<sup>23/1-</sup>A, Judgment, ¶ 118 (June 12, 2002) [hereinafter Prosecutor v. Kunarac]. <sup>5</sup> Prosecutor v. Kunarac, Kovac, & Vukovic, Case No. IT-96-23-T & IT-96-° Id. at ¶536, note 1323.

prostitution; and human trafficking. or service, often without remuneration and often, though exploitation; the exaction of forced or compulsory labour conditions. Further indications of enslavement include not necessarily, involving physical hardship; sex captivity, psychological oppression or socio-economic the victim's position of vulnerability; detention or violence, deception or false promises; the abuse of power; The consent or free will of the victim is absent. It is often threat or use of force or other forms of coercion; the fear of rendered impossible or irrelevant by, for example, the and, often, the accruing of some gain to the perpetrator

which, defining enslavement as a crime against humanity, asserts Statute of the International Criminal Court (1998),8 Article 7.2.c of equivalence of, slavery and THB is explicitly recognised in the trafficked. Furthermore, the link between, indeed the frequent control and exploitation of people that occurs when they are the acts outlined by the Court are readily recognisable as belonging that the practice means: to the pattern of behavior and acts involved in the recruitment, Even without the explicit reference to THB, it is suggested that

particular women and children. of such power in the course of trafficking in persons, in right of ownership over a person and includes the exercise [T]he exercise of any or all of the powers attaching to the

practice explicitly outlawed in the Slavery Convention. In the including several cases arising out of offences committed by Nazi the international community's attempts to punish the practice, Kunarac case, the ICTY outlined the post-World War II history of Nor was this judgment the first judicial confrontation with a

mentioned in human rights instruments, it may nevertheless often fall within the scope of slavery. <sup>10</sup> with regard to slavery here. Even where THB is not specifically practices and it is therefore appropriate to consider the legal regime THB, THB will almost always involve slavery or slavery-like Germany during World War II.9 While not all slavery involves

and failing to take adequate measures to ensure punishment, the because of its tolerance, passivity, inaction and abstention with regard to this practice." While the court seems to say here that the Furthermore, said the court, "the defendant [i.e., Niger] becomes of Mrs Hadijatou Mani Koraou without denouncing this situation is a Koraou's human rights and therefore, engaged the defendant's national judge did not assume its duty of protecting Hadijatou Mani "[w]hen failing to deal with a prohibited offence of its own volition crime. This interpretation is supported by the court's statement that not with the slave owner, who is considered to be committing a clear that the responsibility under human rights lies with the State, applicant's human rights were violated by being held in slavery, it is of human rights violations of the applicant founded on slavery responsible under international as well as national law for any form form of acceptance, or at least, tolerance of this crime or offence."11 the court held that the action of Niger in "recognising the slave status Justice in Hadijatou Mani Koraou v. The Republic of Niger, in which has been recognised recently by the ECOWAS Community Court of State to prevent or address THB rather than the activity itself. This in Kunarac. That said, the violation seems to be the failure of the considered a serious violation of human rights as noted by the ICTY recognition of the practice as a serious crime. It is also widely The prohibition of slavery is reflected not only through the

<sup>2009]</sup> THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Id. ¶ 542.

Statute of the International Criminal Court, U.N. Doc. A/CONF.183/9 (July 17, Establishment of an International Criminal Court, June 15- July 17, 1998, Rome <sup>8</sup> United Nations Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the

See Prosecutor v. Kunarac, supra note 5, at ¶s 522-25

slavery has been detrimental to attempts to address slavery as a whole, 49 Va. J. INT'L L. 1, 4-5 (2008) (arguing that the intense focus on THB as a kind of James C. Hathaway, The Human Rights Quagmire of "Human Trafficking",

Justice, October 27, 2008) (unofficial translation). 84, (Economic Community of Western African States, Community Court of 12 Id. at ¶ 85. Hadijatou Mani Koraou v. The Republic of Niger, ECW/CCJ/JUD/06/08,

responsibility..."15

prohibition on slavery: "No one shall be subjected to slavery or to European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms<sup>17</sup> (ECHR) prohibits inhuman and degrading treatment, as well as "slavery and servitude." The American involuntary servitude, which are prohibited in all their forms, as are inhuman and degrading treatment20 but actually goes further with its the slave trade and traffic in women."21 The link between slavery Convention on Human Rights<sup>19</sup> At the regional level we find similar commitments. Thus the obligation to prohibit slavery and the slave trade in all their forms. 16 Rights<sup>15</sup> (ICCPR) contains the same prohibitions, as well as the same sometimes duplicated, in a wide body of universal and regional not in itself binding but these provisions are widely reflected, occasion, involve the torture of the victim. Of course the UDHR is can easily amount to inhuman and degrading treatment and may, on punishment." There is little doubt that the practice of THB in itself instruments. The International Covenant on Civil and Political added). Furthermore Article 5 provides: "No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms" (emphasis Article 4: "No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)<sup>14</sup> provides, at A wide range of human rights instruments addresses this matter (1969) repeats the prohibition on

THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

punishment and treatment shall be prohibited."23 particularly slavery, slave trade, torture, cruel, inhuman or degrading rights: "All forms of exploitation and degradation of man, the two, indicating the seriousness of slavery as a violation of human Rights<sup>22</sup> (1981) arguably goes even further in that it specifically links selling the victims. The African Charter on Human and Peoples is condemned, but also the trade itself - recruiting, buying and practice of holding and exploiting people in slavery or servitude that and THB is here made explicit. Furthermore, it is not only the

abduction of, the sale of or traffic in children for any purpose or in any form." Even this clear denunciation in general terms of the sexual exploitation of children, and the more specific attack on unlawful sexual practices and the exploitative use of children in activity, the exploitative use of children in prostitution or other "protect the child from all forms of sexual exploitation and sexual trafficking, has not been considered sufficient, legally, to address this immediately by a specific obligation to take measures "to prevent the pornographic performances and materials.27 This is followed inducement or coercion of a child to engage in any unlawful sexual abuse", which includes taking the necessary measures to prevent the Convention on the Rights of the Child<sup>26</sup> (1989) requires parties to women and exploitation of prostitution of women."25 measures, including legislation, to suppress all forms of traffic in (CEDAW) provides: "States Parties shall take all appropriate the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women<sup>24</sup> practices in more specialised instruments. Thus the Convention on We also find clear prohibitions of these THB and related Accordingly, in 2000, the Optional Protocol to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. Res. 217A, at 71, U.N. GAOR, 3d Sess., 1st plen. mtg., U.N. Doc. A/810 (Dec. 12, 1948) [hereinafter]

<sup>(</sup>XXI), 21 U.N. GAOR Supp. (No. 16) at 52, U.N. Doc. A/6316 (Mar. 23, 1976). 15 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, G.A. Res. 2200A

<sup>17</sup> Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Freedoms, Council of Europe, March 9, 1953, 213 U.N.T.S. 221. 16 See UDHR, supra note 14, at arts. 7 and 8. Fundamental

<sup>1144</sup> U.N.T.S. 123 <sup>19</sup> American Convention on Human Rights, July 18, 1978, O.A.S.T.S. No. 36, <sup>18</sup> See UDHR, supra note 14, at arts. 3 and 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> *Id* at art. 5 ¶ 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> *Id.* at art. 6 ¶1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Banjul Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, June 27, 1981, 21 I.L.M.

<sup>23</sup> *Id.* at art. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, Dec. 18, 1979, 1249 U.N.T.S. 13.

<sup>25</sup> *Id.* at art 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Convention on the Rights of the Child, Nov. 20, 1989, 1577 U.N.T.S. 3.

<sup>27</sup> Id. at art. 34. 28 Id. at art. 35.

2009]

child prostitution and child pornography." parties are "[g]ravely concerned at the significant and increasing international traffic in children for the purpose of the sale of children, THB is clear from the Preamble which, inter alia, stresses that the Prostitution and Child Pornography was adopted.29 The link with Convention on the Rights of the Child on the Sale of Children, Child

comprising both "serious violations of fundamental human rights and particularly interesting because, in its preamble, it defines THB as perhaps being the Council Framework Decision of July 19, 2002 on convention.<sup>31</sup> The Convention entered into force on February 1, rights of the victims of THB is one of the three purposes of the Council of Europe Convention on Action Against Trafficking in Human Beings.<sup>30</sup> The Convention in its preamble describes THB as human dignity"33 and a "serious criminal offence."34 combating trafficking in human beings. 32 "a violation of human rights and an offence to the dignity and the EU has adopted a number of instruments on HB, the most important 2008 and, by the end of that year, had attracted 20 ratifications. The integrity of the human being," while the protection of the human Most significantly, in 2005, the Council of Europe adopted the in Europe, both at the Council of Europe and the European Union. The regional legislative response to THB has been most marked This instrument is

addressing THB but those mentioned above are amongst the most This is not an exhaustive outline of international instruments

classified as a serious threat to, and violation of, human rights, slavery-related practices, on the other. Moreover, while THB is these is a clear link between THB on the one hand, and slavery or frequently described as a crime, it cannot be denied that it is also important and widely accepted. What comes through from all of

sometimes in the same instrument. On the face of it, THB is a

human rights violation and appears to enjoy widespread acceptance

come to the Guidelines, a clearer assertion of the human rights aspect own citizens or aliens (with a few exceptions).37 However, when we same way with regard to all persons on their territory, whether their is to be found right at the beginning. Guideline 1 is entitled concerned are bound by the relevant human rights instruments in the must be taken into account, which would be the case anyway victims."36 That is not the same as saying that THB is a breach of combat trafficking and to protect, assist and provide redress to inasmuch as human rights are also State obligations and the States trafficked persons shall be at the centre of all efforts to prevent and human rights; it is simply stressing that the human rights of victims Principles section, it is stipulated that "[t]he human rights of Human Rights and Human Trafficking. 55 Rights published its Recommended Principles and Guidelines on A similar tendency is found in soft-law instruments that address In 2002, the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Interestingly, in the

A/RES/54/263 (May 25, 2000), available at http://www.unhchr.ch/html/menu2/6/ <sup>29</sup> Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography, G.A. Res. 54/263, U.N. Doc. crc/ treaties/opsc.htm.

int/Treaty/Commun/QueVoulezVous.asp?NT=197&CL=ENG [hereinafter Europe Beings, C. Europ. T.S. No. 197 (May 16 2005), available at http://conventions.coe. 30 The Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human

<sup>31</sup> *Id.* at art 1(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Council Framework Decision of 19 July 2002 on Combating Trafficking in Human Beings, 2002/629/JHA, 2002 O.J. (L 203) 1 [hereinafter Council Framework].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 3. 34 Id. at ¶ 7.

High Commissioner for Human Rights to the Economic and Social Council, U.N. Doc. E/2002/68/Add.1 (May 20, 2002). Guidelines on Human Rights and Human Trafficking: Report of the United Nations 35 U.N. Econ. & Soc. Council [ECOSOC], Recommended Principles and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 1.

<sup>4, 6, 7, 12</sup> and 13, art. 1, Dec., 4, 1950, ETS 5; see also American Convention on and Fundamental Freedoms as amended by Protocol No. 11 with Protocol Nos. 1, OAU Doc. CAB/LEG/67/3 rev. 5; see also David Weissbrodt, The Protection of Human Rights, art. 1, Nov. 22, 1969. O.A.S. Treaty Series No. 36, 1144 U.N.T.S. Cholewinksi, Richard Perruchoud & Euan MacDonald eds., 2007). Non-Citizens in International Human Rights Law, in INTERNATIONAL MIGRATION 123; see also African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, art. 2, June 27, 1981, 1966, 99 U.N.T.S. 171; see also Convention for the Protection of Human Rights Law: Developing Paradigms and Key Challenges 221, 223-228 (Ryszard 37 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, art. 2 para. 1, Dec., 16,

"Promotion and protection of human rights" and the introduction begins: "Violations of human rights are both a cause and a consequence of trafficking in persons." This asserts that human rights violations not only happen to people while they are being trafficked but may also be a cause of trafficking occurring in the first place. In other words, the conditions that promote trafficking, or expose people to the risk of being trafficked, may themselves be human rights violations. That is a very serious assertion: it suggests that the whole mixture of poverty, lack of education, dysfunctional families and ignorance that are frequently identified as being significant factors in creating the risk of trafficking are themselves human rights violations. A similar point has been made by Gregor Noll, who argues that the conditions that make people vulnerable to THB may be the consequence of human rights violations:

The choice between different forms of misery raises the question what conditions make persons accept the offers of smugglers and traffickers. Those conditions could be described as violations of human rights, particularly in the economic and social domain. In such situations, individuals would be faced with the choice between two set-ups of human rights deprivations: that are caused directly and indirectly by trafficking, and that are caused by remaining in the country of origin.<sup>38</sup>

Noll is here suggesting that a State's failure to meet its obligations under, for example, the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights promotes the conditions that expose people to the risks of being trafficked. In fact one might say the same about the risk of being the victim of a breach of one's civil and political rights: the fear of torture or detention without trial could well be sufficient to persuade someone that their chances are better with a trafficker than if they remain in their own State. In this scenario, though, while the link with human rights seems relatively clear, it nevertheless remains the case that the State has not done the

# 2009] THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

trafficking. The fear of having one's social and economic rights violated might also suffice to make someone vulnerable to other threats but it is a big leap from there to the point where the State is responsible for the criminal acts of another. To take a tort analogy, is not the damage too remote?

The Miami Declaration of Principles on Human Trafficking, <sup>39</sup> adopted on February 10, 2005, states that THB "is a human rights violation that constitutes a contemporary form of slavery." Para 2 then provides:

Trafficking in persons also typically violates other basic human rights, especially the right of the victim to be free from slavery or servitude, the right of the victim to life, liberty and security of person, the right of the victim to be free from torture or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, the right of the victim to health, the right of the victim to freedom of movement and residence, and the right of the victim to free choice of employment. It also includes the commission of serious crimes against persons, in many cases rape, assault, and torture, as well as crimes against states, often including money laundering, tax evasion, and violations of immigration rules.

Within this one paragraph we see again the apparent dual character of THB: on the one hand the assertion of human rights violations, followed on the other by the recognition of the serious criminal offences that take place.

More recently, the Opinion of the Experts Group on Trafficking in Human Beings of the European Commission drafted and adopted an Opinion on the revision of the Council Framework Decision of 19 July 2002 on Combating Trafficking in Human Beings. <sup>41</sup> That Opinion maintains that THB is "a serious violation of human rights"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Gregor Noll, *The Insecurity of Trafficking in International Law, in* GLOBALIZATION, MIGRATION AND HUMAN RIGHTS: INTERNATIONAL LAW UNDER REVIEW 343, 353 (Vincent Chetail ed., Bruylant, Bruxelles 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Miami Declaration of Principles on Human Trafficking, 1 INTERCULTURAL HUM. RTs. L. REV. 11 (2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Opinion on the Revision of the Council Framework Decision of 19 July 2002 on 'Combating Trafficking in Human Beings,' 2008 O.J (C 14) 23.

and an offence to the dignity and integrity of the individual."42

### III. The Problem

seriousness of THB and the harm it causes to victims. Nevertheless, murderers and car thieves. like, say, murder, or theft.43 This is in no way to belittle the neglect, it is hard to see why THB is anything more than a crime just absence of State involvement, for instance through complicity or else) then exploit the labor of the victim for their own gain. In the human rights obligations are owed by States, not traffickers, victim, the trafficker (or somebody else) takes physical control over private citizens are involved in the recruitment and transport of the the activities and movement of the victim, and they (or somebody THB is usually a private criminal act or enterprise - one or more instruments. However the reality is not quite so straightforward rights, both universally and regionally, in treaties and in soft law condemned as a serious crime that is also a violation of human On the face of it there is not really much of an issue: THB is

author does not explain why it is so. There is certainly plenty of THB as "first and foremost a violation of human rights." <sup>44</sup> But the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe, has described Representative on Combating Trafficking in Human Beings for the justified is variable indeed. which the human rights nature of THB is actually explained or This issue has been addressed in the literature, yet the extent to Helga Konrad, the then Special

HUM. RTS. L. REV. 79, 88 (2006). Argument for a Comprehensive, Multi-Pronged Approach, 1 INTERCULTURAL 44 Helga Konrad, The OSCE and the Struggle Against Human Trafficking: The

THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

2009]

safety to all those within its jurisdiction. One might argue that the a person is trafficked, the State has not necessarily failed to protect have in place an effective legal regime to tackle THB State is in some way responsible, for instance, through failure to act of trafficking does not actually breach the victim's human rights that right because the State cannot give an absolute guarantee of as a violent crime". 46 But what is the actual right at stake here? It is trafficked persons, and argues that "[t]he right to safety of the - which are obligations assumed, and owed, by the State, unless the The State has an obligation to ensure that level of security. But when the right of the individual to some measure of security within the trafficked person may be protected by defining trafficking in persons is dealing with the right to security of individuals, in particular same assertion: THB "is a violation of human rights." The author trafficked people to human security, another author starts with the actually violates human rights. In an article on THB and the right of victims. But that is not quite the same as demonstrating that THB discussion, well informed, about the threats to, and needs of, the That is to be achieved through the use of the criminal law.

THB is such a shocking thing (and it certainly is) that it must ipso Thus Askola notes that: indicates a lack of sympathy for, and empathy towards, the victims facto be a breach of human rights; indeed that to suggest otherwise There may be an element of wishful legal thinking<sup>47</sup> in this, as if

affirmation that trafficking is a violation of human rights is emphasising her dignity and integrity, despite her prima to make the victim of trafficking 'visible' as a subject, facie irregular status as an 'illegal' non-citizen. This [t]he primary motivation behind (and effect of) the formulation transforms the victim into a subject whose

46 Id. at 252.

in non-international armed conflicts). relating to human rights protection upon insurgent forces opposing the government armed conflicts, as well as its second additional Protocol, purports to impose duties by human rights obligations is well known in international humanitarian law. deny that there is an issue: the controversy over whether non-parties can be bound Common Article 3 of the four Geneva Conventions on the protection of victims of <sup>43</sup> See Natalia Szablewska, Non-State Actors and Human Rights in Non-International Armed Conflicts, 32 S. AFR. Y.B. INT'L L. 346 (2007) (That is not to

Threat in Trafficking in Persons, 1 INTERCULTURAL HUM. RTS. L. REV. 249 45 Mohamed Y Mattar, Human Security or State Security? The Overriding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Kay Hailbronner, Non-Refoulement and Humanitarian Refugees: Customary International Law or Wishful Legal Thinking, 26 VA. J. INT'L L. 857, 872 (1986).

2009]

THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

189

antı-trafficking measures.48 rights can also be violated in the process of implementing basic rights have been violated by exploiters and whose

perpetrated against another private individual be a breach of human dilemma: why should a criminal act by a private individual effect of disempowering them.<sup>51</sup> In fact, Askola makes a very the situation of at least some trafficked people, which may have the substance, as well as the failure to take due account of the reality of persuasive case, but does not appear to overcome the fundamental adequate to protect trafficked people because of their language and that existing human rights instruments for various reasons are not rights violation (indeed, Askola appears to accept that, in this sense, not so much the conceptual difficulty of treating a crime as a human are problems here. 49 However, the weakness identified by Askola is THB can indeed violate human rights); 50 rather, she argues forcefully having them might help them. Askola herself recognises that there sense. They do not, however, have human rights merely because of the State. Their status as foreigners is not legally relevant in this entitlements by virtue of being human beings within the jurisdiction citizen[s]," but because, illegal or otherwise, they have human rights are trafficked by criminals, nor because they are "illegal' non-But trafficked people do have human rights, not because they

"illustrates recognition by the international community that human rights instruments that may be more or less relevant to THB trafficking is also a human rights issue."52 What exactly is a human It has also been suggested that the adoption of a variety of

dichotomy has discouraged discourse on THB outside that narrow or as criminals only. There are other options. This widely accepted "victims of human rights abuses rather than criminals who violate as will be demonstrated below. The writer argues for the application and inaccurate choice. treating trafficked people either as victims of human rights breaches national immigration laws."54 This is a mistake. It is not a matter of approach enables us to see people who have been trafficked as applied in the typical trafficking scenario? Obokata argues that this legally quite justifiable. But the issue is: can human rights norms be of existing human rights norms to THB53 and, on the face of it, that is rights "issue"? For sure, there is a human rights dimension to THB,

talk about human rights. entail a fundamental reappraisal of what we actually mean when we to help the victims) since they will in principle have a remedy under principles it is hard to see how this will benefit victims (and we can contrary to the civil or criminal law may be an indirect breach of argument is that just about anything that happens to you that is conviction of an accused person for having committed a breach of he then argues that human rights norms may be enforceable indirectly through criminal and civil proceedings. 56 This is not, small problem: as he rightly notes, "non-State actors do not have victims of human rights abuses. Obokata recognises that there is a all agree, one hopes, that we want, and that it is a good thing, to try your human rights. Maybe that would be a good thing, but it will wrong such as battery or false imprisonment. The logic of Obokata's private citizen to be compensated for the commission of a civil the criminal law, or else the demand by an individual against another however, the enforcement of a human rights norm; this is rather the prima facie breached immigration laws, but that does not make them victims of THB should not be treated as criminals, even if they have legal obligations under international human rights law."55 However, It is fair to say that there is widespread acceptance now that Furthermore, on purely utilitarian

EXPLOITATION IN THE EUROPEAN UNION 133 (Hart Publishing 2007). 48 HELI ASKOLA, LEGAL RESPONSES TO TRAFFICKING IN WOMEN FOR SEXUAL

problems. Typically these relate to violence and abuse of women in prostitution by States today, it is fraught with what could be characterised as serious human rights their customers...") <sup>50</sup> Id. at 138 (arguing "...as prostitution typically takes place in EU Member

Askola, supra note 48, at 133.

PERSPECTIVE 34 (Martinus Nijhoff 2006) <sup>52</sup> Tom Obokata, Trafficking of Human Beings from a Human Rights

<sup>53</sup> Id. at 35

<sup>54</sup> Id.

<sup>56</sup> Id. 55 Id. at 121-22.

describes as a growing recognition that human rights abuses can be concedes that he is arguing de lege ferenda. He traces what he committed by non-State actors. 57 may weaken the very high status rightly accorded to them. Obokata crimes and offences a breach of human rights (indirect or otherwise) under tort in reality), and there is a serious risk that calling these tort (however difficult it may be to achieve an effective remedy However, he also concedes a

norizontal application is not possible. 58 international human rights law, it becomes apparent that a must be established. In examining the current status of international human rights law at the international level against them. In other words, a horizontal application of that international human rights law is directly enforceable they can be held directly accountable, it must be shown In order to hold that international human rights law imposes direct obligations upon non-State actors and that

gain some entitlement to compensation upon conviction; nor is he or rights remedy; it is a punishment. The victim does not automatically the State or in a private capacity. However, that is not a human may be held accountable irrespective of whether they were acting for offences that may be committed during peace time. The individuals she necessarily able to seek a remedy directly. laws of armed conflict and, as crimes against humanity, certain responsibility under international law for serious violations of the Criminal Court, we can trace the emergence of direct individual international criminal law. From Nuremberg to the International is well recognised that non-State actors can be accountable under rights law works. This will be discussed further below. Of course, it human rights law; rather it is because that is the way that human Quite so, but not because of the current status of international

instruments relevant to THB is provided by Alice Edwards, 59 who A comprehensive outline and analysis of human rights

## 2009] THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

rights just like all the rest of us vis-à-vis the State in which they to support trafficking, and to take measures to prevent and suppress not to engage in THB; it is the State that is bound: not to traffic, not criminalization and other measures. In none of the hard law of States themselves to prevent and tackle THB through that is precisely the point: yet again, we are dealing with obligations towards the practice of THB, both in preventing and stopping it. But are trafficked people, but because they are people it. Of course, trafficked people have human rights; they have human instruments cited does one find an obligation on private individuals human rights law impinges upon the way that States should behave right throughout. She demonstrates how a rather diverse body of happen to find themselves at any particular time, not because they women's rights and children's rights. It is submitted that Edwards is political rights, economic, social and cultural rights, as well as assesses the anti-slavery and forced labor instruments, civil and

## IV. The Purpose of Human Rights Law

its control.<sup>61</sup> As such we are dealing with a vertical relationship between the State and the individual.<sup>62</sup> It is nowadays increasingly that same state power."60 They are supposed to control and limit the reconcile the effectiveness of state power with the protection against State in its behaviour towards those within its jurisdiction or under Human rights, wrote Christian Tomuschat, "are designed to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Id. at 127-29

<sup>58</sup> Id. at 130.

<sup>59</sup> See Edwards, supra note 2, at 22-35.

REALISM 7 (2003). 60 Christian Tomuschat, Human Rights. Between Idealism and

<sup>61</sup> This paper does not address the extra-territorial applicability of human

rights obligations.

62 MALCOLM N. SHAW, INTERNATIONAL LAW 268 (Cambridge University world with regard to assumed by States with regard to those within their jurisdiction, this is not a matter of claims limiting the power of government over the governed." It is submitted civil and political rights of individuals, that is to say those rights that take the form international human rights law in general terms has tended to emphasise the basic transcends the ideological boundary.). of a Western perspective; it is a matter of basic legal methodology and as such that, in so far as we are dealing with the nature of the obligation, i.e. an obligation

2009]

THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

argued that human rights can, or should have, horizontal application, i.e., that individual A may owe human rights obligations to individual B. This, it is suggested, is conceptually flawed but also unnecessary. It is conceptually flawed because it fails to take account of the history and purpose of human rights, so neatly expressed by Tomuschat above. The essence of human rights law is that it makes the State accountable for failing to protect rights which it has the power and obligation to protect, such as the prohibition on slavery: the State is accountable for the acts and omissions of its own agents, not for those of individuals acting in a private capacity. That apparently limited obligation, however, extends to a duty of protection, for instance by having in place appropriate laws designed to prevent practices such as slavery, laws that can be enforced. This point has been addressed by the United Nations Human Rights Committee in its General Comment No.31:

The ... obligations are binding on States [Parties] and do not, as such, have direct horizontal effect as a matter of international law .... However the positive obligations on States Parties to ensure Covenant rights will only be fully discharged if individuals are protected by the State, not just against violations of Covenant rights by its agents, but also against acts committed by private persons or entities that would impair the enjoyment of Covenant rights in so far as they are amenable to application between private persons or entities.<sup>65</sup>

Are such rights ever amenable to application between private persons and entities? If they are, then there is an element of horizontal effect. However, the Committee's statement suggests that this is not actually so; it sees the matter rather in terms of the duty of the State "to take appropriate measures or to exercise due diligence to prevent, punish, investigate or redress the harm caused by such acts by private persons or entities." Accordingly, States must "take appropriate measures to ensure that private persons or entities do not inflict torture or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment on others within their power." In other words, torture (or trafficking) by the State, or failure to take appropriate measures to address it, is a violation of human rights; torture (or trafficking) committed by a private individual is a crime.

To argue that human rights obligations can exist horizontally becomes then meaningless, because individuals have neither the power nor the duty to respect and protect such rights. The consequences for breach of human rights lie with the State rather than the perpetrator, whose accountability remains confined to criminal law and, sometimes, to tort. Of course, an employer may have an obligation not to discriminate on grounds of race or sex against potential employees but that obligation derives from domestic legislation, and may only be enforced by the individual against the employer domestically. If the State fails to enforce the legislation it (the State) may be responsible for a human rights violation; not the employer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>03</sup> See Siobhan Mc Inerney, The European Convention on Human Rights and the Evolution of Fundamental Rights in the Private Domain, in RENEGOTIATING WESTPHALIA 277, 307-315 (Christopher Harding & C.L. Lim eds., 1999); see also, Szablewska, supra note 43, at 352-355; see also Tom Obokata, Smuggling of Human Beings from a Human Rights Perspective: Obligations of Non-State and State Actors under International Human Rights Law, 17 INT'L J. REFUGEE L. 394, 403-405 (2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> MC v. Bulgaria, 15 Eur. Ct. H.R. 627 (2003) (holding that failure to discharge the duty to protect from slavery resulted in Bulgaria being found in breach of the ECHR, Articles 3 and 8).

<sup>65</sup> CCPR Human Rights Comm., General Comment No. 31: The Nature of the General Legal Obligation Imposed on States Parties to the Covenant ¶ 8, U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.13 (May 24, 2006) [hereinafter GC 31].

Human Rights in the *Velasquez Rodriguez* case, Judgment of 29 July 1988, (1988) 9 HUM. RTS. L.J. 212, ¶ 172: "[A]n illegal act which violates human rights and which is initially not directly imputable to a State (for example, because it is the act of a private person or because the person responsible has not been identified) because of the lack of due diligence to prevent the violation or to respond to it as required by the Convention". The point is that the State is responsible for a human original harm. Indeed it is debatable whether the court was correct even to refer to the fault of the State and not the individual perpetrator.

67 GC 31, supra note 65, at ¶ 8.

considers horizontal application in the context of the European Convention on Human Rights, concluding: individuals towards each other, not just by the State. The Report owed horizontally as well as vertically: that is, they may be owed by such in the Convention, is that human rights obligations may be explanation for so qualifying THB, and therefore for its treatment as already apparent from a number of important instruments.71 The points out that the recognition of THB as a human rights violation is Explanatory Report accompanying the Convention.<sup>70</sup> The Report of human rights."69 against Trafficking in Human Beings describes THB as "a violation As already noted, the Council of Europe Convention on Action The human rights dimension is addressed in the

contracting states for acts committed by individuals or sense that the Court has recognized the liability of group of individuals when these States failed to take the ECHR to relations between private individuals in the contains clear indications in favour of the applicability of [T]he case law of the European Court of Human Rights

# THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

appropriate measures of protection

2009]

engaged."73 observance of...[an] obligation in the enactment of domestic legislation, the responsibility of the state for that violation is European Convention on Human Rights] is the result of nonviolation of one of these rights and freedoms [those contained in the necessary protections for the victims. As the Report states, "if a that is, legislation that effectively criminalizes THB and provides for THB, that would include the enactment of appropriate legislation, the State's obligations to be respected and fulfilled. With regard to protection. However, there must be in place a system that allows for is nowhere expected that the State owes an absolute obligation of extent to which States have taken appropriate protection measures. It of the State for failure to ensure protection. The issue is therefore the still to rely on vertical application by insisting on the responsibility This is not horizontal application. In fact, the Report appears

Horizontal application, in other words, does not necessarily

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> "[T]he philosophical ought must be distinguished from the legal ought." TOMUSCHAT, supra note 60, at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Europe Convention, supra note 30, at Preamble.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking In Human Beings, Explanatory Report, May 3, 2005, C.E.T.S. 197.

<sup>71</sup> Id. ¶ 42.

at para. 185. Nevertheless the actual rape was not claimed by the applicant to be a punishing all forms of rape and sexual abuse was a violation of its obligations any, by the State lies in the failure to provide effective protection. Whether ought to have had knowledge" (emphasis added). In other words, the breach, if take measures designed to ensure that individuals within their jurisdiction are not subjected to torture or inhuman or degrading treatment, including such illunder Articles 3 and 8: Judgment of 4 December 2003, Application No. 39272/98, failure of Bulgaria to establish and apply effectively a criminal-law system absolute obligation. More recently, in M.C. v. Bulgaria, the Court has held that the protection is effective will depend on the circumstances but does not extend to an include reasonable steps to prevent ill-treatment of which the authorities had or effective protection, in particular, of children and other vulnerable persons and treatment administered by private individuals. These measures should provide prohibits in absolute terms torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or Article 3 enshrines one of the most fundamental values of democratic society. It of 10 May 2001, Application No. 29392/95, at ¶ 73: "The Court reiterates that defined in the Convention, taken in conjunction with Article 3, requires States to Convention to secure to everyone within their jurisdiction the rights and freedoms punishment. The obligation on High Contracting Parties under Article 1 of the 73 *Id*. 72 Id. ¶ 44 [emphasis added]; see Z and others v. United Kingdom, Judgment

197

having such legislation in place, it is not in fact effectively legislation capable of addressing that threat, or elsewhere, even might include the exposure of the victim to inhuman or degrading secure the rights and freedoms that are guaranteed. With THB, this treatment or punishment by traffickers, where the state lacks trafficked. There must also be some failure on the part of the State to mean that the State is in breach just because a person has been

committed and the perpetrator(s) are accountable under criminal law (just as she might have her car stolen). For sure, a crime has been might be trafficked without any culpability on the part of the State respect or ensure respect for the rights supposed to be guaranteed. something, or omitted to do something, that amounts to a failure to can.74 And States will only be held accountable if they have done Article 4 of the Miami Declaration says: That applies as much to THB as to any other practice. A person be held to account before any human rights tribunal; only States responsible. Traffickers, as private individuals, by definition cannot violation will have to be made against the State allegedly What can we conclude? Any claim that THB is a human rights

dignity, fairness and respect for their human rights Victims of trafficking in persons are to be treated with

## 2009] THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

representation; the right to be heard in court; the right to compensation for damages; the right to medical assistance; privacy, the right to information; the right to legal and the right to return to their country of origin.75 the right to social assistance; the right to seek residence; Among these rights are: the right to safety; the right to

only be the State, and it is furthermore an assertion of an obligation consider with regard to whom these obligations are asserted: it can such obligations exist under positive international law, it is useful to perpetrators. obligations to assist victims, within their capacity and competence trafficker who tried to respect the terms of Article 4 would not be to deal with the consequences of THB, not THB itself. As for accountability for the trafficking itself, that remains with the trafficking. Clearly it can only refer to the obligations of the State, Leaving aside potential ambiguities about the extent to which

## V. The Human Rights Dimension

or are at risk of being trafficked in the future. significant impact on the welfare of those who have been trafficked mean that human rights law has no role to play. In fact it can have a Even if THB is not a violation of human rights, that does not

about protecting those who have been trafficked or are at risk of it in criticism of the Palermo Protocol is that it says virtually nothing will only be responsible to the extent of its commitment. Indeed, one of the direct link between it and the individual. However, the State corresponding obligations on the part of the State concerned because provide rights for migrant workers, for instance, will create direct rights for trafficked people then, manifestly, they must abide by them. Freely assumed obligations to prevent discrimination, or to Where States have assumed human rights obligations that create

Nevertheless, human rights, it is suggested, have a crucial role

business enterprises, John Ruggie, U.N. Doc. A/HRC/8/5 (Apr. 7, 2008). of the Secretary General, Report of the Special Representative of the Secretaryrights obligations for companies equal to that of States. See Special Representative be within the realm of human rights, but it shies away from any assertion of human employees, responsibilities that may be related to issues that are also considered to General on the issue of human rights and transnational corporations and other demonstrating how companies may have responsibilities for the welfare of their the "legal compliance" of a State with "the broader scope of the responsibility to "responsibilities", rather than duties, of corporations. At ¶54, the report contrasts the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on the issue of human rights respect", which is incumbent upon companies." The Report goes far in Cultural Rights, Including the Right to Development ("Ruggie Report"), written by and Protection of all Human Rights, Civil, Political, Economic, Social and business enterprises with regard to the human rights of their employees. Promotion State actors are evident also in recent attempts to address possible duties of transnational corporations, refers deliberately The problems attaching to the notion of human rights obligations of nonto human rights

<sup>75</sup> Id. at ¶ 39

THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

recognised in the Palermo Protocol itself, Article 14(1) of which clear and identifiable risk for the individual concerned. This is to play in protecting people from being trafficked where there is

principle of non-refoulement as contained therein. Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees and the where applicable, the 1951 Convention and the 1967 and international human rights law and, in particular international law, including international humanitarian law and responsibilities of States and individuals under Nothing in this Protocol shall affect the rights, obligations

highly problematic because it is difficult to say that potential victims of trafficking are a particular social group. 77 What connects them? persecution or the risk of it in future, is that they possess a particular region and fear returning there because of the risk of reparticular social group of people who have been trafficked from a acknowledges that such persons may sometimes be entitled to There has been some recognition that in fact there may be a to refugee status on the part of some potential victims of trafficking refugee status. This is primarily because there may be an entitlement being trafficked should they return home. have an entitlement to remain in another State because of the risk of because of their membership of a particular social group. 76 This is The point is that people who are outside their own country may The factor that connects them, beyond the fact of Article 14(1)

their home State, see Ryszard Piotrowicz, Victims of People Trafficking and Entitlement to International Protection, 24 AUSTL. Y.B. INT'L L. 159, 162-71 Trafficking Victims Under Australia's Migration and Refugee Law, 9 MELBOURNE Foster, Obstacles on the Road to Protection: Assessing the Treatment of Sex-(2005); see also Edwards, supra note 2, at 36-8; and Anna Dorevitch & Michelle <sup>76</sup> On the entitlement to refugee status of those who fear being trafficked in

J. INT'L L. 1, 15 (2008).

77 See, e.g., T. Alexander Aleinikoff, Protected characteristics and social group,' in Refugee Protection in International Law: UNHCR's Global perceptions: an analysis of the meaning of 'membership of a particular social REFUGEE IN INTERNATIONAL LAW 73-86 (3rd ed. 2007). University Press 2003); see also GUY S. GOODWIN-GILL & JANE MCADAM, THE CONSULTATIONS ON INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION 263 (Cambridge, Cambridge

> individual's own State is unable or unwilling to offer effective protection.<sup>80</sup> trafficking. 78 The UNHCR has also recognised that the some people at risk of trafficking in future may qualify as refugees. 79 Of course, because of certain threats posed by non-State actors where the accepted that States may have international protection obligations happens to come from non-State actors; second, it is now widely obligation of the State to prevent future exposure to a risk, which suggested that this is not the case: first, we are dealing with an may arise because of the actions of non-State actors. But it is obligations (refugee status or, at least, protection from refoulement) recognition of such a threat in this context might seem to contradict the general argument of this article as it suggests that human rights cannot be changed, which exposes them to the risk of future the threat here is almost certainly from non-State actors. The trafficked in the past and, as such, this is a historical fact, one that left-handed, for instance; in this case it is that they have been characteristic that cannot be changed (it could be that they are all

Article 14 parties would of course be bound by their obligations Convention, there is also a wider protection obligation in play here. law, so clearly we can look elsewhere (though, in fact, even without Indeed, Article 14 refers to obligations of States under international While the Palermo Protocol refers specifically to the Refugees

Being Trafficked, U.N. Doc. HCR/GIP/06/07 (Apr. 7, 2006).

80 See, e.g., UNHCR, Handbook on Procedures and Criteria for Determining relating to the Status of Refugees to Victims of Trafficking and Persons at Risk of Application of Article 1A(2) of the 1951 Convention and/or Its 1967 Protocol 79 Office of the UNHCR, Guidelines on International Protection: The

Refugee Status, page 65, U.N. Doc. HCR/IP/4/Eng/REV.1 (January 1992); see also EU Council Directive 2004/83/EC, 2004 O.J. (L304) 12; see also Roz GEMOV & (Ursula Fraser & Colin Harvey ed., Institute of Public Administration 2003). SANCTUARY IN IRELAND, PERSPECTIVES ON ASYLUM LAW AND POLICY 51 et seq. the European Convention on Human Rights on Irish Asylum Law and Policy, in Press, 2003); see also Suzanne Egan, Sanctuary in Strasbourg: the Implications of FRANCESCO MOTTA, REFUGEE LAW IN AUSTRALIA 216-20 (Oxford University

supra note 76, at 28-36 (providing additional analysis of recent case law in several jurisdictions on the matter). elsewhere. see Piotrowicz, supra note 76, at 167-70; see also Dorevitch & Foster, 78 The arguments to justify such an assertion have been well explored

under treaty and customary international law).

The scope of

international protection has come a long way since the Refugees

2009]

and development more successfully. as well as focussing resources for, and efforts towards, law reform what is going on, we can perhaps use existing law more effectively one, why we should treat THB primarily as a crime. By recognising reason alone is sufficient justification for reconsidering how we, as crime, just like murder, theft and speeding. I would suggest that that none appears to overcome the dilemma that we are dealing with a vehemently and persuasively that THB breaches human rights but victims, is a breach of human rights if it is not. Many writers argue lawyers, perceive THB. But there is another reason, a very pragmatic occurs. The first is the conceptual one. It is undesirable to assert as clear as possible about what, legally, is happening when THB that a particular practice, however obnoxious and damaging to its I suggest that there are two very good reasons why we should be

crime and, as such, a threat to the State, or else a violation of the upon human rights law to make this leap. criminals. But what is wrong with treating them as victims of crime, victims' rights. States do have to stop treating trafficked people like not need to be predicated on the acceptance that THB is either a response to THB. But such a holistic approach, to be effective, does be placed on the victims, who should not be treated like criminals. control. On the other hand, goes the criticism, more emphasis should on THB as a crime as well as a threat to State security, particularly indeed victims of very serious crime? It does not require a reliance There have been many calls for a holistic legal approach and because of the perceived challenge to border security and migration Protocol and other anti-THB instruments are criticised for focussing people are perceived, and the way the law is analyzed. There currently exists a clear dichotomy in the way trafficked

Nor can, or should, we ignore human rights, and indeed other

obligation is one of the State to act within its competence and power

with regard to THB. Even in this case, however, the human rights independently of the various instruments adopted in the last ten years future to grant them international protection. That obligation exists have obligations to those who are vulnerable to trafficking in the exploit their labor.

recruitment, harboring and transport of people with the intention to

So there is a human rights dimension to THB. States clearly

involved in the process of trafficking are considered to be traffickers before she has necessarily reached the intended destination: all those context it should be recalled that a person is being trafficked even degrading treatment and even, in some cases, to torture. In this harms, it is apparent that THB could easily amount to inhuman and when being trafficked, including physical, sexual and psychological

- recognition of the fact that several actors may be involved in the

to protect; it is not an obligation on the traffickers themselves

State but also at the hands of non-State actors within the home State. accepted that this threat may exist not only at the hands of the home

When one considers the types of harm that might happen to a person

inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. Furthermore, it is State where there is a real risk that she may be exposed to torture or accepted that a State may not oblige an alien to return to her home

the home State. This has been recognized in the Council of Europe, the European Union and beyond. <sup>81</sup> In particular, it is now well

refugee status, cannot be obliged to return to their state of citizenship

protection accorded to individuals who, while they do not qualify for not universally, recognised. Subsidiary protection is the international subsidiary, or complementary, protection has become widely, though Convention was adopted in 1951. Most notably, the concept of

because of a real threat of a serious breach of their human rights in

This appears to be the approach of Edwards: "Trafficking in human beings

at 46-49; While she is correct to admit the relevance of the wider legal framework. considers the relevance of human rights law, asylum/migration and labour law. Id is undoubtedly a question of criminal law." Edwards, supra note 2, at 43; She then

<sup>&</sup>amp; COMP. L. Q. 107 (2004); Hugo Storey, EU Refugee Qualification Directive: A Brave New World, 20 INT'L J. REFUGEE L. 1 (2008) Piotrowicz & Carina van Eck, Subsidiary Protection and Primary Rights, 53 INT'L 81 GOODWIN-GILL & MCADAM, supra note 77, at 285-354; Ryszard

VI. Why Does This Matter?

areas of law, where they are relevant. 82 Clearly with THB the

breach of the prohibition on discrimination, for instance, and even may cause it to breach its obligations towards the victim through carries out the criminal process, including the treatment of victims) the prohibition on inhuman and degrading treatment in some cases. individual victims: the State's response (for instance, in the way it address the crime. This may extend to the immediate treatment of victims of THB seriously, including taking appropriate measures to criminal laws that enable them to fulfil this duty under human rights duty to treat all those within its jurisdiction in accordance with its law. Otherwise they may be in breach. Furthermore, the State's respect for human rights. Accordingly, States must have and use to do so may amount to a breach of the general obligation to ensure system that is able to address the threats posed by THB. That human rights obligations can include a duty to take the plight of the includes attaching sufficient resources to enforce these laws. Failure challenge for States is to ensure that they have in place a legal

### VII. Conclusion

crucial. States have an obligation not to pick and choose the rules to bear of effective pressure upon States to carry out their destinations States where the THB is transnational, and the bringing enforce them, better cooperation amongst source, transit and international protection obligations in good faith. the adoption of effective criminal laws and adequate resources to protection of victims and potential victims, can best be achieved by be addressed by other means. The prevention of THB, and the seems, is none. obligations are breached when a person is trafficked? The answer, it being weakened by the mis-focussing of attention on matters that can Apart from a State's failure to act, which human rights The protection of fundamental human rights risks This last part is

it is my suggestion that it is necessary to acknowledge the fundamentally and primarily criminal nature of THB in order effectively to address THB. Some States they do not exist. See generally, Edwards, supra note 2. suggested, is to call States to account rather than try to find human rights where ignore the reality; rather, it forces us to confront the legal challenge, which, it is the welfare of the victims, but such an orientation is not a sufficient justification to may well prefer to focus on this to the detriment of other considerations, such as

## 2009] THE LEGAL NATURE OF TRAFFICKING

203

control they want. They are entitled to control access to their towards trafficked people once they are there. territories, but they are not entitled to ignore their own obligations that suit them, especially those that promote the kind of migration