TOBAIQY, M., MACLURE, K., RADWI, M., ALMALKI, A.M., ALHASAN, A.H., TANNOURY, M. and ATTIEH, Z. 2020. Parental experience of potential adverse drug reactions related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in Saudi Arabia. *Current therapeutic research* [online], In Press. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.curtheres.2020.100592</u>.

Parental experience of potential adverse drug reactions related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in Saudi Arabia.

TOBAIQY, M., MACLURE, K., RADWI, M., ALMALKI, A.M., ALHASAN, A.H., TANNOURY, M. and ATTIEH, Z.

2020



This document was downloaded from https://openair.rgu.ac.uk



Parental experience of potential adverse drug reactions related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in Saudi Arabia

Mansour Tobaiqy, Katie MacLure, Mansoor Radwi, Ashwaq M. Almalki, Ahmed Hassan Alhasan, Maya Tannoury, Zouhair Attieh

 PII:
 S0011-393X(20)30018-7

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.curtheres.2020.100592

 Reference:
 CUTHRE 100592



Received date:6 February 2020Accepted date:13 June 2020

Please cite this article as: Mansour Tobaiqy, Katie MacLure, Mansoor Radwi, Ashwaq M. Almalki, Ahmed Hassan Alhasan, Maya Tannoury, Zouhair Attieh, Parental experience of potential adverse drug reactions related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in Saudi Arabia, *Current Therapeutic Research* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.curtheres.2020.100592

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)



Current Therapeutic Research

Parental experience of potential adverse drug reactions related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in Saudi Arabia

Manuscript Number: CURTHERRES-D-20-00008R2 Article Type: Original Research Keywork: antipyretic analgesics, adverse drug reactions, children, parents, survey Corresponding Author: Minsour Tobaigy, PhD, MSc (Clin, Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert International Control (International Conternational Control (Internaticonal Control (Intern		
Article Type: Original Research Keywords: antipyretic analgesics, adverse drug reactions, children, parents, survey Corresponding Author: University of Jeddah Jiedah, makkah SAUDI ARABIA First Author: Mansour Tobaigy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Corder of Authors: Mansour Tobaigy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Katie MacLure Mansour Tobaigy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Katie MacLure Mansour Tobaigy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Massor Radwi Ashwaq M Almalki Anterory Zouhair Attieh Astwaq M Almalki Anmed Hassan Alhasan Maya Tannoury Zouhair Attieh Zouhair Attieh Sackground Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential abbre related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross-sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administrated an oral antipyretic analgesic sin children in the private there anothins. Data were entered an analyzed using SPES v 210 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Simple teachildren in the ADRs as Sight (71.5%, n=200/661), Parents (n=200/623), emotions. Tota were entered and analyzed sample. 208 parents had obserton ore more potent	Manuscript Number:	CURTHERRES-D-20-00008R2
Keywords: antipyretic analgesics, advarse drug reactions, children, parents, survey Corresponding Author: Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSC (Clin. Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Hirst Author: Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSC (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Order of Authors: Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Katie MacLure Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Katie MacLure Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Abstract: Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Abstract: Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Abstract: Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Abstract: Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Abstract: Abstract Antwaq M Almalki Abstract: Antwaq M Almalki Antwaq M Almalki Abstract: For this cross-sectional survey, a page-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross-sectional survey, a page-based questionnaire, consent form and information is heet were handed out to 1000 parents	Article Type:	Original Research
Corresponding Author: Mansour Tobaidy, PhD, MSc (Clin, Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm,PgCett Liniversity of Jeddah Mansour Tobaidy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm,PgCett Order of Authors: Mansour Tobaidy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm,PgCett Katie MacLure Mansour Tobaidy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm,PgCett Katie MacLure Mansour Tobaidy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm,PgCett Ashwaq M Almalki Ahmed Hassan Almasan Ahmed Hassan Almasan Maya Tannoury Zouhair Attieh Background Abstract: Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of proteinal ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross-sectional survey. Ja paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administration and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents. Who had administration and thical approvals had been gained. Results In March-April 2017. 661 parents agreed to parents and ethical approvals had been gained. Results In March-April 2017. 661 parents (n=208), monying to the child. (. 7.9%, n=65/470), significant and affecting ality kas' (3.6%, n=170/633), anonying to the child. (. 7.9%, n=65/470), significant and affecting ality kas' (3.6%, n=	Keywords:	antipyretic analgesics, adverse drug reactions, children, parents, survey
First Author: Mansour Tobaigy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm,PgCert Order of Authors: Mansour Tobaigy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm,PgCert Katle MacLure Mansoor Radwi Ashwaq M Almalki Ashwaq M Almalki Ashwaq M Almalki Mansoor Radwi Zouhair Attieh Ashwaq M Clinic Albasan Maya Tannoury Zouhair Attieh Abstract: Background Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross-sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral anti-pyretic analgesics to their children in the previous three months. Data were entered and a rai/zed using SPSS v.21.0 (BM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Simple descriptive and inferential statistics were used. Management and ethical approvals had beer gained. Results In March-April 2017, 661 parents agreed to participate giving a response rate of 66.1%. Of the surveyed sample, 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (n=53%), n=23/2476), annoying to the child. (7.9%, n=63/2476), insp	Corresponding Author:	Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSc (Clin. Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm,PgCert University of Jeddah jeddah, makkah SAUDI ARABIA
Order of Authors: Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert Katie MacLure Mansour Radwi Ashwaq M Almalki Ahmed Hassan Alhasan Maya Tannoury Zouhair Attieh Abstract: Background Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral antipyretic analgesics to their children in the previous three months. Data were entered and analyzed using SFSS v 21.0 (EMC Oroporaton, Somers, NY, USA). Simple descriptive and inferential statistics were used. Management and ethical approvals had being gained. Results In March-April 2017, 661 parents agreed to participate giving a response rate of 66.1%. Of the survey da sample, 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (15.%, n=208/661), n=202/30, and diarched (10.3%, n=54/822), and to inched (10.3%, n=64/822), and inched (10.3%, n=64/822), and to inched (10.3%, n=64/8223), and diarched (10.3%, n=64/8223), and diarched (10.3%, n=64/822476), erem vanish to pranetal secribed severity of the ADRs as 'slight' (71.8%, n=342/476), irannying to the child (7.9%, n=85/476), ignificant and affecti	First Author:	Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert
Katie MacLure Mansoor Radwi Ashwaq M Almalki Ahmed Hassan Alhasan Maya Tannoury Zouhair Attieh Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Wethods For this cross-sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral antipyretic analgesic to their children in the previous three months. Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS v.21.0 (BM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Simple Gescriptive and inferential statistics were used. Management and ethical approvals had bein gained. Results In March-April 2017, 661 parents agreed to participate giving a response rate of 61.% Of the surveyed sample, 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (31.5%, n=208/661). Parents (n=208), n=106/523), anonying to the child (7.9%, n=53/2476), isoficiant and flecting daily task' (3.6%, n=17/4671) and significant and led to the hospital' (6.1%, n=68/523), and diarrhas (10.3%, n=54/523). Parents described severity of the ADRs as 'slight' (71.8%, n=32/4761), indiced reason for using antipyretic analgesics (41.0%, n=72/1661), 100/8 and 'spicificant and led to the hospital' (6.7%, n=23/4761). Fever was the top ranked reason for using antipyretic analgesics (41.0%, n=72/1661), 100/8 and 'spicificant and led to the hospital (6.1%, n=73/4761), iollowed by toothache (25.0%, n=12/65/661) and tonsilitis/Raryngtits (24.7%, n=183/661). 34.7% of parents (n<56/661	Order of Authors:	Mansour Tobaiqy, PhD, MSc (Clinic Pharmacol), BSc, Dip Pharm, PgCert
Mansoor Radwi Ashwaq M Almalki Ahmed Hassan Alhasan Maya Tannoury Zouhair Attieh Abstract: Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross-sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral antipyretic analgesic to their children in the previous three months. Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS v.21.0 (BM Corporation. Somers, NY, USA). Simple Vescriptive and inferential statistics were used. Management and ethical approvals had bein gained. Results In March-April 2017, 661 parents agreed to participate giving a response rate of 66.1%. Of the surveyed sample. 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (31.5%, n=208/661). Parents (n=208), n=100f23), stomota charb (20.3%, n=106/523), end idmired (13%, n=63/622), and idmired (13%, n=63/622), and idmired (13%, n=63/622), and idmired (13%, n=63/623), mere (16/53%, n=10/6523), stomota charb (20.3%, n=10/6523), incloaded be consider whether es/5470, ingificant and alecting daily task? (3.5%, n=12/0476) and significant and led to the hospital (6.1%, n=23/476), indowed by toothache (2.50%, n=126/676) in and topsitilits/laryngtits (24.7%, n=183/661). 34.7% of parents (n=65/476) did not seek medical attention when a potential ADR occurred while 26.3% (n=125/476) of parents took their children to hospital (lincis. Conclusions Al		Katie MacLure
Ashwaq M Almalki Ahmed Hassan Alhasan Maya Tannoury Zouhair Attieh Abstract: Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross-sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral anti-yretic analgesic to their croil administered an oral anti-yretic analgesic to their croil statistics were used. Management and ethical approvals had been gained. Results In March-April 2017, 661 parents agreed to participate giving a response rate of 66 1%. Of the surveyed sample, 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (01-523) were: loss of appetite (23%, n=120/523), stomach ache (20.3%, n=106/523), abdominal colic (13%, n=68/520), and diarrhea (10.3%, n=64/520), mer 106/523), abdominal colic (13%, n=68/520), and beer was the top ranked reason for using antipyretic analgesics (41.0%, n=271/1661), followed by toothache (25.0%, n=165/661) and tonsilitis/laryngtis (24.7%, n=163/613). 34.7% of parents (n=165/476) did not seek medical attention when a potential ADR occurred while 26.3% (n=125/476) of parents took their children to hospital clinics. Condusions Although the majority of parentally reported. Future research should consider whether there is a role for physicians and pharmacists in educating parents in KSA, and perhaps more widely, about the optimal use of oral antipyretic analgesics in children. S		Mansoor Radwi
Ahmed Hassan Alhasan Maya Tannoury Zouhair Attieh Abstract: Background Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse of rug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross-sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral and analyzed using SPSS v.21.0 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Simple descriptive and inferential statistics were used. Management and ethical approvals had been gained. Results In March-April 2017, 661 parents agreed to participate giving a response rate of 66 1%. Of the surveyed sample, 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (31.5%, n=208/661). Parents (n=208) most commonly reported potential ADRs (01.5%, n=20476). "annoying to the child" (7.9%, n=85/476), significant and affecting daily tasks' (3.6%, n=174/562). The aim of using antipyretic analgesics (41.0%, n=247/1661). Sumach ache (20.3%, n=106/52), and too kill'all'arroying (24.7%, n=136/52). abdominal colic (13%, n=64/52). And and rehe should consider whether there is a role for physicians and pharmacists in educating parents in the optimal dates (10.3%, n=254/52). Parents (n=25.0%, n=126/561). and tonsillitic/lia/raynying (24.7%, n=136/561). Sumach ache (20.3%, n=106/52). abdominal colic (13%, n=64/52). medicainat net leed to the hospital (6.7%, n=234/76). rener was the top ranaked reason for using antipyretic analgesi		Ashwaq M Almalki
Maya Tannoury Zouhair Attieh Abstract: Background Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross-sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral anti-pretic analgesic to their children in the previous three months. Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS v.21.0 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Simple descriptive and inferential statistics were used. Management and ethical approvals had been gained. Results In March-April 2017, 661 parents agreed to participate giving a response rate of 66.1%. Of the surveyed sample, 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (31.5%, n=208/661). Parents (n=208), stomach ache (20.3%, n=106/523), abdominal colic (13%, n=204/661). Parents (sci.3%, n=106/523), stomach ache (20.3%, n=106/523), abdominal colic (13%, n=204/661), 24.7%, n=463/661), 34.7% of parents (sci.76%, n=85/476), 'significant and affecting daily tasks' (3.6%, n=174/76) and 'significant and led to the hospital' (6.7%, n=22/476), 'anonying to the child' (7.9%, n=85/476), 'significant and affecting daily tasks' (3.6%, n=174/76) and 'significant and led to the hospital' (6.7%, n=274/661), 54.7% of the acometic (n=165/476) did not seek medical attention when a potential ADR occurred while 26.3% (n=125/476) of parents took their children to hospital (24.7%, n=163/661), 34.7% of parents (sn=165/476) did not seek medical attention when a potential ADR occurred while 26.3% (n=125/476) of parents totok the		Ahmed Hassan Alhasan
Zouhair Attieh Abstract: Background Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross-sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral antipyretic analgesic to their children in the previous three months. Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS v.21.0 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Simple descriptive and inferential statistics were used. Management and ethical approvals had been gained. Results In March-April 2017, 661 parents agreed to participate giving a response rate of 66.1%. Of the surveyed sample, 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (31.5%, n=208/661). Parents (n=208) most commonly reported potential ADRs (31.5%, n=208/661). Parents (n=208) most commonly reported potential ADRs (31.5%, n=208/661). Parents (n=208) most commonly reported potential ADRs (31.6%, n=68/523), and diarrhea (10.3%, n=54/523). Parents described severity of the ADRs as 'slight' (71.8%, n=34/476), 'annoying to the child' (7.9%, n=85/476), 'significant and affecting daily tasks' (36.%, n=17/476) and 'significant and led to the hospital '(6.7%, n=32/476). Fever was the top ranked reason for using antipyretic analgesics (10.0%, n=271/1661), followed by toothache (25.0%, n=165/661) and tonsilitis/laryngitis (24.7%, n=163/661). 34.7% of parents (n=165/476) did not seek medical attention when a potential ADR occurred while 26.3% (n=125/476) of parents took their children to hospital clinics. Conclusions Although the majority of parent		Maya Tannoury
Abstract: Background Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross-sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral antipyretic analgesic to their children in the previous three months. Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS v.21.0 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Simple descriptive and inferential statistics were used. Management and ethical approvals had been gained. Results In March-April 2017, 661 parents agreed to participate giving a response rate of 66.1%. Of the surveyed sample, 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (n=523) were: loss of appetite (23%, n=120/523), stomach ache (20.3%, n=106/523), abdominal colic (13%, n=58/623), and diarrhea (10.3%, n=54/523). Parents described severity of the ADRs as 'slight' (71.8%, n=321/76), 'annoying to the child' (7.9%, n=85/476), 'significant and affecting daily tasks' (3.6%, n=171/476) and 'significant and led to the hospital (6.7%, n=321/476), 'son parents (n=165/476) did not seek medical attention when a potential ADR coursed while 26.3% (n=125/476) of parents took their children to hospital clinics. Conclusions Although the majority of parentally reported (but not proven) ADRs were mild, a number of significant ADRs were reported. Future research should consider whether there is a role for physicians and pharmacists in educating parents in KSA, and perhaps more widely, about the optimal use of oral antipyretics and analagesics in children.		Zouhair Attieh
Suggested Reviewers: Stephen Rappaport, Professor University of California Berkeley srappaport@berkeley.edu Gerhard Fortwengel	Abstract:	Background Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA). Methods For this cross-sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral antipyretic analgesic to their children in the previous three months. Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS v.21.0 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Simple descriptive and inferential statistics were used. Management and ethical approvals had been gained. Results In March-April 2017, 661 parents agreed to participate giving a response rate of 66.1%. Of the surveyed sample, 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (31.5%, n=208/661). Parents (n=208) most commonly reported potential ADRs (n=523) were: loss of appetite (23%, n=120/523), stomach ache (20.3%, n=106/523), abdominal colic (13%, n=68/523), and diarrhea (10.3%, n=54/523). Parents described severity of the ADRs as 'slight' (71.8%, n=342/476), 'annoying to the child' (7.9%, n=85/476), 'significant and affecting daily tasks' (3.6%, n=17/476) and 'significant and led to the hospital' (6.7%, n=271/661), followed by toothache (25.0%, n=165/661) and tonsilitis/laryngitis (24.7%, n=163/661). 34.7% of parents (n=165/476) did not seek medical attention when a potential ADR occurred while 26.3% (n=125/476) of parents took their children to hospital clinics. Conclusions Although the majority of parentally reported (but not proven) ADRs were mild, a number of significant ADRs were reported. Future research should consider whether there is a role for physicians and pharmacists in educating parents in KSA, and perhaps more widely, about the optimal use of oral antipyretics and analgesics in children.
	Suggested Reviewers:	Stephen Rappaport, Professor University of California Berkeley srappaport@berkeley.edu Gerhard Fortwengel

Powered by Editorial Manager® and ProduXion Manager® from Aries Systems Corporation

	gerhard.fortwengel@hs-hannover.de
Opposed Reviewers:	

Junalprendiction

Parental experience of potential adverse drug reactions related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in Saudi Arabia

Mansour Tobaiqy *1, Katie MacLure 2, Mansoor Radwi 3, Ashwaq M. Almalki 4, Ahmed Hassan Alhasan 5, Maya Tannoury 6 and Zouhair Attieh7

1,5 Department of Pharmacology, College of Medicine, University of Jeddah, KSA

2 School of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, UK

3 Department of Hematology, College of Medicine, University of Jeddah, KSA

4 Department of Ophthalmology, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Jeddah, KSA

6,7 Faculty of Health Science, American University of Sciences & Technology, Beirut, Lebanon

Corresponding Author: Dr. Mansour Tobaiqy mtobaiqy@uj.edu.sa

Dr. Mansour Tobaiqy BSc, MSc Clin. Pharmacol, PhD Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, College of Medicine University of Jeddah, Mobile No. 00966-547999700 ORCID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4292-0900</u> E-mail mtobaiqy@uj.edu.sa (Corresponding Author)

Dr. Katie MacLure

School of Pharmacy & Life Sciences Robert Gordon University

k.m.maclure1@rgu.ac.uk ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0686-948X

Dr. Mansoor Radwi

MD, MSc Assistant Professor and Chair of Department of Hematology Department of Hematology, College of Medicine, University of Jeddah, KSA <u>mradwi@uj.edu.sa</u> ORCID: <u>http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4402-4496</u>. Mobile No. 00966-550155185

Dr. Ashwaq M. Almalki

MD, Ministry of Health ashwaq_md@yahoo.com ORCID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6136-3310</u> 00966-566887200

Ahmed Hassan Alhasan

Medical Student College of Medicine, University of Jeddah Mobile No. 00966-545506618 E-mail <u>ahmed_med14x@outlook.sa</u> ORCID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1911-3625</u>

Maya Tannoury

MS

Faculty of Health Science, American University of Sciences & Technology, Beirut,

Lebanon

mtannoury@aust.edu.lb

Dr. Zouhair Attieh

PhD

Faculty of Health Science, American University of Sciences & Technology, Beirut,

Lebanon

zattieh@aust.edu.lb

Authors' Contributions

Mansour Tobaiqy conceived the study and, together with KM, MR, AMA, AHA, MT and ZA designed the questionnaire and performed the study. The manuscript was written by all authors.

Journal Pression

 Parental experience of potential adverse drug reactions related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Background

Oral antipyretic analgesics are commonly used medicines in children with the potential for adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA).

Methods

For this cross-sectional survey, a paper-based questionnaire, consent form and information sheet were handed out to 1000 parents who had administered an oral antipyretic analgesic to their children in the previous three months. Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS v.21.0 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Simple descriptive and inferential statistics were used. Management and ethical approvals had been gained.

Results

In March-April 2017, 661 parents agreed to participate giving a response rate of 66.1%. Of the surveyed sample, 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (31.5%, n=208/661). Parents (n=208) most commonly reported potential ADRs (n=523) were: loss of appetite (23%, n=120/523), stomach ache (20.3%, n=106/523), abdominal colic (13%, n=68/523), and diarrhea (10.3%, n=54/523). Parents described severity of the ADRs as 'slight' (71.8%, n=342/476), 'annoying to the child...' (7.9%, n=85/476), 'significant and affecting daily tasks' (3.6%, n=17/476) and 'significant and led to the hospital' (6.7%, n=32/476). Fever was the top ranked reason for using antipyretic analgesics (41.0%, n=271/661), followed by toothache (25.0%, n=165/661) and tonsillitis/laryngitis (24.7%, n=163/661). 34.7% of parents (n=165/476) did not seek medical attention when a potential ADR occurred while 26.3% (n=125/476) of parents took their children to hospital clinics.

Conclusions

Although the majority of parentally reported (but not proven) ADRs were mild, a number of significant ADRs were reported. Future research should consider whether there is a role for physicians and pharmacists in educating parents in KSA, and perhaps more widely, about the optimal use of oral antipyretics and analgesics in

children.

Keywords: antipyretic analgesics, adverse drug reactions, children, parents, survey

Junalprendiction

Introduction

Oral antipyretic analgesics including acetaminophen (paracetamol) and the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as ibuprofen, are commonly used medicines in children, with established evidence of efficacy and effectiveness [1-4]. Both are classified in many countries, including the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), as 'over-the-counter' (OTC) medicines with ease of availability and access to parents for oral administration to their children. Antipyretic analgesics are reported as being the most common OTC medicines purchased by the public in KSA and freely available on prescription from governmental hospitals or for a charge from private pharmacies. [5]. However, this ease of availability has led to cases of incorrect dose administration by parents with their children, resulting in potential adverse drug reactions (ADRs) [6]. ADR is defined by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) as 'an unwanted or harmful reaction which occurs after administration of a drug or drugs' [7].

Children are prone to issues of drug misuse and overdose of medicines [8, 9]. Described by Torissi et al. (2018) as a challenging to research population given that children are 'very sensitive to the undesirable effects of the drugs due to their physiological differences' [10]. ADRs account for between 4 and 6% of hospital admissions, with incorrect drug dosage constituting one of the leading causes for ADRs [7, 8]. Several studies reported ADRs in children when administered higher than recommended doses [1-6, 8-14]. These reactions included gastrointestinal, renal, and hepatic system ADRs. Several factors affect the exposure of children to antipyreuc analgesics rendering children more vulnerable to potential ADRs [8-10]. A major determinant was parental misconceptions about the potentially harmful effects of fever on their children. Hence the perceived need to act quickly in reducing a raised temperature by administering oral antipyretic analgesics [6]. Furthermore, as children are a diverse group in terms of weight and age they experience variable responses to drugs resulting in potential ADRs [8-10]. Li et al. (2011) reported that the highest percentage of drug overdose cases seen in hospital emergency departments were in children under the age of five years old [15].

Several factors contributed to the overdose and misuse of medicines which included parents' lack of knowledge and inexperience in how to correctly administer oral antipyretic analgesics [6, 15]. Miscalculation of the doses based on weight, individualized dosing and medication liquid formulation, can lead to greater risk of dosing errors in children [16, 17]. A study conducted to assess parental ability to correctly calculate the appropriate acetaminophen dose found that only 30 out of 100 parents were able to do so [18]. Inappropriate storage and disposal of medicines is another cause of misuse [19]. A large study comprising 1,641 households from 22 cities reported that 80% of Saudi homes had an average of at least two expired or unused medicines, with oral antipyretic analgesics constituting half of these medicines [20]. Recently, the Saudi Food and Drug Authority warned against overdosing children less than 12 years of age with paracetamol and there are ongoing concerns about the misuse of analgesics and other medicines by the public in KSA [21].

The aim of this study was to explore parental experiences of potential adverse drug reactions related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in Saudi Arabia.

Methods

Research Design

A cross-sectional survey using a paper-based questionnaire was handed out with an information sheet and consent form to the parents of children who had used an oral antipyretic analgesic, with or without a prescription, in the previous three months.

Setting and Recruitment Process

This study was conducted in March - April 2017 in pediatric outpatient clinics, pediatric emergency rooms and hospital waiting areas at four hospitals in Jeddah, KSA: the Maternity and Children's Hospital, the East Jeddah General Hospital, the King Abdul-Aziz Hospital and the Maternity and Children's Hospital Al-Aziziah. Parents were recruited by convenience sampling without any stratification. The researcher handing out the study materials offered assistance to any parents who needed help with completing the questionnaire.

Questionnaire

The questionnaire was based on a recent study of ADRs conducted by members of the research team [21, 22]. It included the following items: demographics (which parent filled in the questionnaire, age of child, gender, number of siblings, parents' levels of education), symptoms and frequency of any previously experienced ADRs, severity of each ADR, and if the child had previously suffered ADRs which parents believed were attributable to the use of antipyretic analgesics. The severity of the potential ADR was categorized by parent respondents as 'slight', or 'annoying to the child or an allergy but they were still able to perform their daily tasks', or 'significant and affecting daily tasks', or 'significant and led to the hospital', or lastly, 'fatal'. Other items collected in the questionnaire focused on parents' reasons for administering oral antipyretic analgesics, where parents would obtain these medicines, who parents would consult on use of these medicines, whether parents read the medicines information leaflet, under which circumstances parents would re-use remaining prescribed oral antipyretic analgesics.

The questionnaire was tested for face and content validity by a panel of 10 academic and healthcare staff and then piloted with 12 parents. No changes were made to the questionnaire post-pilot, so these responses were included in the final dataset. Completed questionnaires were collected by clinical staff and stored securely for research team collection.

Data Analysis

Questionnaire data were entered and analyzed using SPSS v.21.0 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA). Simple descriptive and inferential statistics were used in reporting the results.

Ethical approval

Management authorization had been gained from the Ministry of Health (M.O.H) Reference Number (892225) and ethical approval from the National Committee of Bio and Medical Ethics, Reference Number (872863).

Results

One-thousand information sheets, consent forms and questionnaires were handed out with 661 parents agreeing to participate in the survey, giving a response rate of 66.1%. Mothers accounted for 63.8% (n=422/661), and 27.8% (n=184/661) were fathers with the remainder (8.3%, n=55/661) not disclosed. Demographics and experience of administering antipyretic analgesics are reported in Table 1 with details of potential ADRs in Table 2. Parents' responses related more to sons (44.8%, n=296/661) than daughters (38.1%, n=252/661) with the remainder again not disclosed (17.1%, n=113/661). The children were aged below five years of age (29%, n=192/661), with 58.9% in the 5 years to under 10 years age group (n=389/661) while 12.1% of the children were 10 years or older (n=80/661). Most were from families of 2 to 5 children (57.5%, n=380/661). The majority of mothers (52.6%, n=348/661) and fathers 58.4% (n=386/661) had completed higher education. However, some mothers (15.1%, n=100/661) and fathers (3.8%, n=25/661) described themselves as 'illiterate'. Assistance was offered in completing the questionnaire.

Frequency of potential ADRs, severity and causality: Of the surveyed sample, 208 parents had observed one or more potential ADRs (31.5%, n=208/661). The most commonly reported potential ADRs (n=523) were: loss of appetite (23%, n=120/523), stomach ache (20.3%, n=106/523), abdominal colic (13%, n=68/523), diarrhea (10.3%, n=54/523), bloody stool (7.6%, n=40/523) and anxiety (5.4%, n=28/523). Less frequent potential ADRs were rash (5.4%, n=28/523) and weight loss (5.2%, n=27/523), feeling of isolation (5.0%, n=26/523, anemia (3.6%, n=19/523) and fatigue (1.3%, n=7/523). Regarding the severity of the potential ADRs, 71.8% (n=342/476) were perceived by parents as 'slight', 17.9% (n=85/476) perceived the

ADRs as 'annoying to the child or an allergy but they were still able to perform their daily tasks' or 'significant and affecting daily life tasks' (3.6%, n=17/476) when describing the potential ADR and 6.7% (n=32/476) perceived them as 'significant and led to the hospital'; none reported experiencing a fatal potential ADR. Respondents were either certain that the antipyretic analgesic had caused the adverse reaction (33.9%, n=224/661) or disagreed (28%, n=185/661) or were uncertain (38.1%, n=252/661). Only 40.4% of parents (n=84/208) were able to name the medication they believed had caused the potential ADR with acetaminophen (paracetamol) and or ibuprofen, carrying different brand names and concentrations.

Reasons to use antipyretic analgesics: Fever was the top ranked reason for using antipyretic analgesics (41.0%, n=271/661), followed by toothache (25.0%, n=165/661) and tonsillitis/laryngitis (24.7%, n=163/661).

Parents' knowledge of the correct dose of antipyretic analgesics: 71% (n=467/661) of all the respondents believed they were experienced in giving the right dose of medicines. However, 19.3% (n=128/661) stated they were not and 10.0% (n=66/661) indicated 'maybe'.

Consultation on use and purchasing of antipyretic analgesics: 64.7% of parents (n=427/661) consulted a doctor prior to using oral antipyretic analgesics, 19.8% consulted a pharmacist (n=131/661) while 12.6% consulted family members (n=83/661) and very few asked friends (1.8%, n=12/661) or searched on the Internet (1.2%, n=8/661). Most parents (80.2%, n=530/661) read the enclosed medication leaflet, however, 19.8% did not (n=131/661). Parents most commonly obtained oral

antipyretic analgesics through a physician's prescription (72.3%, n=478/661) and 26.5% purchased these medicines without a prescription (n=175/661). Very few bought directly from supermarkets (1.1%, n=7/661) or online (0.2%, n=1/661).

Re-use of previously prescribed antipyretic analgesics for their child for another illness without medical consultation: 57.7% of parents (n=381/661) stated that they would re-use an oral antipyretic analgesic previously prescribed for their child for another illness without medical consultation. The remainder would not re-use the medication (31.6%, n=209/661) or did not respond to this question (10.7%, n=71/661).

Parents' response following a potential ADR affecting their children: 34.7% of parents (n=165/476) stated that they did not seek medical attention when a potential ADR occurred while 26.3% of parents (n=125/476) took their children to hospital. The remainder either stopped giving the medicine (16.6%, n=79/476) or would take their child to the hospital emergency room (7.4%, n=35/476). When parents were asked whether they thought that the medication they gave to their children caused the potential ADR, responses were 'yes' (33.9%, n=224/661), 'no' (28%, n=185/661) and 'uncertain' (38.1%, n=252/661).

Discussion

This research study investigated parental experience of potential adverse drug reactions related to their oral administration of antipyretic analgesics in children in Saudi Arabia. The results show that some parents experienced their child suffering one or more potential ADRs, a small proportion of which were sufficiently severe the concerned parents took their child to hospital. Given that one in five parents admitted not reading the enclosed information leaflet, it can be concluded that they were either unsure or did not have the experience to provide the correct dose of oral antipyretic analgesic. Together with more than half of the parents re-using medications prescribed for a possibly different condition, it is incumbent on pharmacists to counsel thoroughly using short, clear, non-technical messages.

Parents reported common and less common ADRs associated with their child's antipyretic analgesic medicines use. Most were mild and commonly recognized in clinical trials and post-marketing surveillance studies [3, 4]. The most common potential ADRs reported by parents in this study were loss of appetite, stomach ache and abdominal colic. Less frequent potential ADRs were rash, weight loss and anemia. Even though rashes were reported in this study, nonetheless they are considered in the literature as rare potential ADRs in response to NSAIDs [23]. Of great concern were the two-hundred sixty-eight (n=51.2%) cases of gastrointestinal tract (GIT) ADRs with complications including rectal bleeding and anemia reported in this study (Table 2). GIT adverse effects, including peptic ulcer, bleeding and perforation are known to be associated with the use of NSAIDs occurring due to mucosal prostaglandin depletion and are considered as rare ADRs [24]. A population-based survey conducted in France to describe upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB)

reported in 177 children, aged between 2 months and 16 years, revealed that 83 children were prescribed at least one NSAID in the seven days preceding the admission and one-third of the UGIB were deemed attributable to use of ibuprofen or aspirin at analgesic or antipyretic dose [25].

Other determinants such as parental level of education clearly had an effect on the administration of oral antipyretic analgesics. Surprisingly in this study, parents with a high level of education, such as college or university degree, still did not read the medication leaflet and often consulted family members rather than medical professionals prior to administering the antipyretic analgesics. It would be expected that level of literacy would play an important role in understanding drug related instructions. However, parents could still be confused and miscalculate doses [26-28]. We suggest providing education and clear instructions to parents, as well as healthcare professionals, on the appropriate use of oral antipyretic analgesics in children. Fever is one of the most commonly consulted pediatric symptoms but there is a lack of consensus in the guidance available to parents on the optimal way to manage it [29-33]. Thus, appropriate counseling of the parents on fever, and the proper use of oral antipyretic analgesics to manage it, should be encouraged.

The majority of parents (58%) re-used medications previously prescribed for their child for another illness without medical consultation. This has been shown to be a major contributing factor for ADRs [34, 35]. Although we cannot be certain of any link between reported ADRs and the medicines under investigation, it is clear that the children's parents have made such a link to oral antipyretic analgesics. Educating the public on the importance of correctly disposing of unused medicines, as well as

consulting physicians prior to the re-use of any medicines, is crucial [31]. Schemes to dispose of unused medication have been successfully carried out in some countries [34, 35]. Previous studies found similar results about parental lack of knowledge regarding ADRs associated with medicines [36-38].

It is important for a physician to describe in appropriately measured language the correct use of antipyretic analgesics to parents whether or not they have previously been counselled on using similar medication. Additionally, there is a major role to be played by pharmacists, as they can provide appropriate advice on the management of fever and pain. Although ibuprofen and acetaminophen are generally regarded as well tolerated and effective when used appropriately for the management of fever and pain in children, they should be used cautiously in order to minimize the risk of ADRs [34-38].

A limitation of this study is the possibility of recall bias in parents' experience of oral antipyretic analgesics with their children which could affect the results. Also, it should be noted that some questionnaires were filled in by parents in outpatient clinics attending because of concerns about their child's health which could again have influenced their answers. With some parents identifying as 'illiterate' the administration of the survey by a researcher rather than self-completion may have introduced some inconsistency. A further limitation was not asking specifically whether the child was admitted to hospital as a consequence of any potential ADRs.

Conclusions

Not unexpectedly, parental experiences of potential ADRs related to their oral

administration of antipyretic analgesics to their children in Saudi Arabia were varied. Although the majority of parentally reported (but not proven) ADRs were mild, a significant number of severe ADRs were reported. Future research should consider whether there is a role for physicians and pharmacists in educating parents in KSA, and perhaps more widely, about the optimal use of oral antipyretics and analgesics in children.

outral provide

Acknowledgments

This study acknowledges the input of the Ministry of Health and the Department of Health Directorate Affairs in Jeddah, KSA, which reviewed and approved this research. Additionally, the authors are thankful to all of the parents who participated in this research and to the students who collected the data: Shaima Abdul Khahar, Abdulrahman Ahmed Aladnani, Asmahan Saeed Al Amoudi, Abrar Omar bin Rabbaa and Lamis Fahad Basaeed.

Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the

corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

No funding provided.

References

- Titchen T, Cranswick N, Beggs S. Adverse drug reactions to non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs, COX-2 inhibitors and acetaminophen in a paediatric hospital. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2005;59: 718–23.
- Maison P, Guillemot D, Vauzelle-Kervroedan F. Trends in aspirin, acetaminophen and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use in children between 1981 and 1992 in France. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1998; 54:659–664.
- Hay A, Costelloe C, Redmond N, Montgomery A, Fletcher M, Hollinghurst S, et al. Acetaminophen plus ibuprofen for the treatment of fever in children (PITCH): randomized controlled trial. BMJ. 2009;339: b3295.
- Kanabar D. A clinical and safety review of acetaminophen and ibuprofen in children. Inflammopharmacology.2017;25(1):1–9.
- Khan T, Ibrahim Y. A qualitative exploration of the non-prescription sale of drugs and incidence of adverse events in community pharmacy settings in the Eastern Province of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Eur J Hosp Pharm Sci Pract. 2012; 20:26-31.
- 6. De S, Tong A, Isaacs D, Craig JC. Parental perspectives on evaluation and management of fever in young infants: an interview study. Arch Dis Child. 2014;99(8): 717–23.
- The Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency. https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/medicines-and-healthcare-productsregulatory-agency. Last accessed on 15/01/2020.
- Clavenna A, Bonati M. Adverse drug reactions in childhood: a review of prospective studies and safety alerts. Arch Dis Child. 2009;94: 724–728.
- Kozer E, Greenberg R, Zimmerman DR, Berkovitch M. Repeated supratherapeutic doses of paracetamol in children--a literature review and suggested clinical approach. Acta Paediatr. 2006;95(10):1165- 1171. doi:10.1080/08035250600580503
- Torissi L, Soulaymani A, Mokhtari A, Soulaymani R. Cases of Adverse Drug Events in Pediatrics: Prospective Study at a Hospital in Rabat (Morocco). 2018;10(3):362-366.

	11.	Lizagana
1 2		Edged Sw
3 4 5		doi:10.10
6 7	12.	Sutherlan
8 9		drug relat
10 11		review. B
12 13	13.	Hawcutt I
14 15 16		adverse d
17 18		46. doi: 1
19 20	14.	Jimenez F
21 22		Children,
23 24 25		https://do
26 27	15.	Li C, Mar
28 29		overdoses
30 31		2011;20(8
32 33 34	16.	Buck M.
35 36		2000;34(3
37 38	17.	Ghaleb M
39 40		of medica
41 42 43		doi:10.13
44 45	18.	Al-Jaser I
46 47		and non-p
48 49		January 2
50 51 52	19.	Ozanne-S
53 54		Olds: Nat
55 56		Trial. Me
57 58	20.	Abou-Au
59 60 61		among fa
62		
63 64		

65

Elzagallaai AA, Greff M, Rieder MJ. Adverse Drug Reactions in Children: The Double-Edged Sword of Therapeutics. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2017;101(6):725-735. doi:10.1002/cpt.677

- Sutherland A, Phipps DL, Tomlin S, Ashcroft DM. Mapping the prevalence and nature of drug related problems among hospitalised children in the United Kingdom: a systematic review. BMC Pediatr. 2019 Dec 11;19(1):486. doi: 10.1186/s12887-019-1875-y.
- 3. Hawcutt DB, Mainie P, Riordan A, Smyth RL, Pirmohamed M. Reported paediatric adverse drug reactions in the UK 2000-2009. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2012 Mar;73(3):437-46. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2125.2011.04113.x.
- Jimenez R, Smith A and Carleton B. New Ways of Detecting ADRs in Neonates and Children, Current Pharmaceutical Design (2015) 21: 5643. https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612821666150901105555
- Li C, Martin B. Trends in emergency department visits attributable to acetaminophen overdoses in the United States: 1993-2007. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2011;20(8):810-818.
- Buck M. Preventing Acetaminophen Overdosage. The Annals of Pharmacotherapy. 2000;34(32-4).
- Ghaleb MA, Barber N, Franklin BD, Yeung VW, Khaki ZF, Wong IC. Systematic review of medication errors in pediatric patients. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2006;40(10):1766-1776. doi:10.1345/aph.1G717
- 18. Al-Jaser N, Epi M, Awofeso N. Epidemiology of patients diagnosed with prescription and non-prescription drug overdose at the Riyadh Security Forces Hospital between January 2007 and December 2011. In Current topics in public health. InTech, 2013.
- 19. Ozanne-Smith J, Routley V, Scott I, Scott G. Pharmaceutical Poisoning to 0-19 Year Olds: National Public Health Partnership Public Health Planning and Practice Framework Trial. Melbourne, Australia: Monash University Accident Research Centre. 2002.
- Abou-Auda H. An economic assessment of the extent of medication use and wastage among families in Saudi Arabia and Arabian Gulf countries. Clin Ther. 2003;25(4):1276-

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	.7
	8 0
1	9
1	1
1	1 2
1	2
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	б
2	7
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	л Т
3	⊿ ว
2	5 Л
2	т 5
2	5
ר ג	7
3	, 8
3	9
4	0
4	1
4	2
4	3
4	4
4	5
4	б
4	7
4	8
4	9
5	0
5	1
5	2
5	3
5	4
5	5 6
5	o 7
5	/ 0
с С	o a
כ ה	ע ר
с К	1
б	2
6	3

64 65 92.

- Tobaiqy, M., Radwi, M., Alhasan, A.H. *et al.* General public's perspectives of issues relating to misuse of medicines: a cross-sectional survey in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Int J Clin Pharm* 41, 1148–1151 (2019) doi:10.1007/s11096-019-00893-5.
- 22. Tobaiqy M, Stewart D, Helms PJ, Williams J, Crum J, Steer C, McLay J. Parental reporting of adverse drug reactions associated with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medications in children attending specialist paediatric clinics in the UK. Drug Saf. 2011 Mar 1;34(3):211-9
- Jara M D, Montero A P, Bara M T G, Cabrerizo S, Zapatero L, Molero M I M. Allergic reactions due to ibuprofen in children. Pediatr Dermatol 2001;18:66–67.
- 24. Wolfe M M, Lichtenstein D R, Singh G. Gastrointestinal toxicity of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. N Engl J Med. 1999;340: 1888–1899.
- 25. Grimaldi-Bensouda L, Abenhaim L, Michaud L, Mouterde O, Jonville-Béra AP, Giraudeau B, David B, Autret-Leca E. Pediatric Gastroesophageal Reflux Clinical Practice Guidelines: Joint Recommendations of the North American Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2010; 66(8):831-7.
- 26. Wolf M, Davis T, Tilson H, Bass P, Parker R. Misunderstanding of prescription drug warning labels among patients with low literacy. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2006;63(11):1048–1055.
- Babakor S, Ghamdi M. Prevalence and Determinants of Over-the-Counter Analgesics Usage among Patients attending Primary Health Care Centers in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. J Young Pharm. 2018;10(1):91-7.
- Apa Li SF, Lacher B, Crain E. Acetaminophen and ibuprofen dosing by parents. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2000; 16(6):394-397
- Eskerud J, Laerum E, Fagerthun H, Lunde P, Naess A. Fever in general practice I. Frequency and diagnoses. Fam Pract 1992;9:263-9.
- 30. Impicciatore P, Pandolfini C, Casella N, Bonati M. Reliability of health information for the public on the World Wide Web: systematic survey of advice on managing fever in

 children at home. Bmj. 1997 Jun 28;314(7098):1875.

- Meremikwu MM, Oyo- Ita A. Physical methods versus drug placebo or no treatment for managing fever in children. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2003(2).
- 32. Fallis D, Frické M. Indicators of accuracy of consumer health information on the Internet: a study of indicators relating to information for managing fever in children in the home. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association. 2002 Jan 1;9(1):73-9.
- Greisman L, Mackowiak P. Fever: beneficial and detrimental effects of antipyretics. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2002;15(3):241–245.
- 34. Smolen A. Role of the Pharmacist in Proper Medication Disposal. US Pharm. 2011;36 (7):52-55
- O'Driscoll D, Ryan J, Brogan C, Henman M, The DUMP Campaign, Irish Pharmacist. 11,
 2009: 19–22
- 36. Lokker N, Sanders L, Perrin E, Kumar D, Finkle J, Franco V, et al. Parental misinterpretations of over-the-counter pediatric cough and cold medication labels. Pediatrics. 2009;123(6):1464-1471.
- McD Taylor D, Robinson J, MacLeod D, MacBean CE, Braitberg G. Therapeutic errors among children in the community setting: nature, causes and outcomes. J Paediatr Child Health. 2009;45(5): 304–9.
- Tzimenatos L, Bond G R. Severe injury or death in young children from therapeutic errors: a summary of 238 cases from the American Association of Poison Control Centers. ClinToxicol. 2009;47(4):348–54.

Demographics	Number of parents]
n=661	n (%)	
Mothers education		
Illiterate	100 (15.1%)	
Primary	4 (0.6%)	
Intermediate	8 (1.2%)	-
Secondary	193 (29.2%)	
University	348 (52.6%)	
Higher Studies	8 (1.2%)	-
Fathers education		-
Illiterate	25 (3.8%)	
Primary	10 (1.5%)	
Intermediate	19 (2.9%)	
Secondary	212 (32.1%)	
University	386 (58.4%)	
Higher Studies	9 (1.4%)	
Age of child attending paediate	ric outpatient clinic	
< 5 years	192 (29.0%)	
5 and < 10 years	38 (58.9%)	
>= 10 years	80 12.1%)	
Do you have experience of prov	viding the correct dose of	
antipyretic analgesic?		
Yes	467 (70.7%)	
No	128 (19.3%)	
Maybe	66 (10.0%)	
Who did you consult before us	ing the antipyretic analgesic?	
Family	83 (12.6%)	
Physician	427 (64.6%)	
Pharmacist	131 (19.8%)	
Friend	12 (1.8%)	
Internet	8 (1.2%)	
Did you read the enclosed leafl	et attached to the antipyretic	
analgesic?		-
Yes	530 (80.2%)	-
No	131 (19.8%)	-
How did you obtain the antipy	retic analgesic?	-
Physician's prescription	478 (72.3%)	
Without prescription	175 (26.5%)	
The supermarket	7 (1.1%)	
From the internet	1 (0.2%)	
Would you reuse an antipyretic	c analgesic previously]
prescribed to your child for an	other illness without medical	
consultation?		
Yes	381 (57.7%)	
No	209 (31.6%)	
Maybe	71 (10.7%)	
Reasons for using antipyretic a	nalgesic for your child?	
Fever	271 (41.0%)	

Table 1. Demographics of participants and experience of administering oral antipyretic analgesic in children

Toothache	165 (25.0%)
Tonsillitis and laryngitis	163 (24.7%)
Earache	46 (7.0%)
After traumas, burns or rashes	6 (0.9%)
After trauma or bruises	3 (0.5%)
Other	7 (1.1%)

Table 2. Parents' experience of ADR following administration of oral antipyretic analgesic in children

ADRs observed by parents in t	heir children (n=523)*	
Appetite loss	120 (22.9%)	
Stomach ache	106 (20.3%)	
Abdominal Colic	68 (13.0%)	
Diarrhea	54 (10.3%)	
Blood in stool	40 (7.6%)	
Anxiety	28 (5.4%)	
Rash	28 (5.4%)	
Weight loss	27 (5.2%)	
Isolation	26 (5.0%)	
Anemia	19 (3.6%)	
Fatigue	7 (1.3%)	
Severity of the ADRs affecting	the children (n=476)*	
Slight	342 (71.8%)	
Annoying to the child or an		
allergy but they were still able	85 (17.9%)	
to perform their daily tasks		
Significant and affecting daily	17 (3.6%)	
life tasks	17 (3.070)	
Significant and led to the	32 (6 7%)	
hospital	52 (0.170)	
Fatal	0 (0.0%)	
Do you think that the antipyret	ic analgesic used caused	
these adverse effects? (n=661)*		
Yes	224 (33.9%)	
No	185 (28.0%)	
Uncertain	252 (38.1%)	
What was your decision when a child? (n=476)*	an ADR occurred with your	
I didn't do anything	165 (34 7%)	
I went to the hospital clinic	125 (26 3%)	
I went to the FR	35 (7.4%)	
I stopped the medication	79 (16 6%)	
I consulted a pharmacist	11 (2 3%)	
I consulted a physician	48 (10.1%)	
The physician changed the	10 (10.170)	
medication	2 (0.4%)	
The physician lowered the dose	8 (1.7%)	
Other	3 (0.6%)	
*not all parents observed an Al	DR;	

some parents observed multiple ADRs