

Repurposing factories with robotics in the face of COVID-19

Ali Ahmad Malik, Tariq Masood and Rehana Kousar
University of Southern Denmark, University of Cambridge and Cambridge Biomakespace

Accepted Manuscript (AM)



Highlights

- Can collaborative robots ramp up the production of medical ventilators?

This article has been published in Science Robotics on 17 June 2020, published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Citation details: Malik, A.A., Masood, T. and Kousar, R. (2020) "Repurposing factories with robotics in the face of COVID-19", Science Robotics, 5(43), eabc2782, DOI: 10.1126/scirobotics.abc2782, accepted manuscript (AM) version, first submitted 16/4/2020, accepted 21/5/2020, published 17/6/2020.

Repurposing factories with robotics in the face of COVID-19

Authors: Ali Ahmad Malik^{1*}, Tariq Masood^{2,3,4**} and Rehana Kousar^{4,5}.

Affiliations:

¹Mads Clausen Institute, University of Southern Denmark, Sønderborg, Denmark

²Institute for Manufacturing, Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, 17 Charles Babbage Road, Cambridge CB3 0FS, UK


³Engineering Design Centre, Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, CB2 1PZ, UK

⁴Cambridge Global Challenges Strategic Research Initiative, University of Cambridge, JJ Thompson Road, Cambridge CB3 0FS, UK

⁵Biomakespace, Cambridge University Biomedical Innovation Hub, Clifford Allbutt Building, Biomedical Campus, Hills Road, Cambridge, CB2 0AH, UK

*Correspondence to: *E: alimalik@mci.sdu.dk; **E: tm487@cam.ac.uk

Abstract: *Can collaborative robots ramp-up the production of medical ventilators?*



Movie 1: Human-robot teams to ramp-up production of ventilators (1:31).

COVID-19 has affected more than 4.5 million people (confirmed cases) with 307,565 confirmed casualties by 17 May 2020 [1]. The World Health Organisation (WHO) estimates that one in every six COVID-19 patients will need artificial breathing support through medical ventilators in intensive care units (ICUs). At the start of the pandemic there were 62,188 ventilating machines available in USA [2], this accounts to one ventilator for every 31 patients requiring ventilation support [3]. The EU average of available ICUs was 11.5 for every 100,000 population while this average was 3.7, 3.6 and 2.5 for India, Bangladesh and Pakistan respectively - the three most populated countries in South Asia comprising nearly one quarter of the global population [4]. Crucially, not all ICUs are equipped with mechanical ventilators. The situation is worse in Africa where 10 countries have no ventilators at all, while the WHO estimates that there are fewer than 2,000 working ventilators to serve 41 African countries [5].

Ramping-up the production of ventilators is clearly very high on many national agendas. Governments across the world have been encouraging medical and non-medical device manufacturers to repurpose their factories to increase the production of ventilators. Manufacturers such as JCB, Rolls Royce and others will need to take a range of actions from sourcing design and manufacturing information to tooling up production lines and training workers to assemble and test the ventilators, all of which may take months to complete.

Meeting this high demand is also challenging because existing manufacturing systems, aimed at mass production, are designed with automation solutions that are not flexible enough for large scale reconfigurations [6]. There is a lack of general-purpose automation solutions while many processes, such as assembly, require a high level of human involvement.

While conventional automation has been effective in substituting human efforts (relieving them from physical tasks and boosting production volume) it can't coexist with humans. Correspondingly, in the time of current pandemic, very few companies are equipped with sufficient technical and human resources that could help address the rising demands amid supply chain disruptions. Moreover, they are not specialised in producing ventilators.

In recent years there has been interest in deploying robots as co-workers, referring to them as collaborative robots (or cobots). Cobots have emerged as a new class in industrial robotics [7]. They don't require closed-off areas. Instead, by combining the flexibility of humans and strength of robots, they can cooperate or collaborate to share the workload. Furthermore, they are simple to program (or repurpose) and are more portable than existing approaches. Cobots

have been successfully integrated into several industrial applications in the past years from simple to complex tasks.

The cobots can help in a time of pandemic by:

- Ramping-up existing ventilator production by automating approximately 40% to 50% of assembly tasks (case studies of cobots in other production domains [8] exhibit high automation potential for pick and place, screw driving and gluing tasks that are also used in the production of medical ventilators)
- Repurposing existing non-ventilator (e.g. airplane, jet engine, car, digger or vacuum cleaner) production to ventilator production
- Curtailing person-hours by half will reduce the number of human operators required (the relieved operators can stay at home or do other essential duties)
- Maintaining social distancing in factories
- Providing a resilience measure for future production

Strict social distancing measures brought by COVID-19 not only reduce the number of people able to go out for work but also introduce challenges for those working in factories as well. Cobots, as machine-colleagues of humans, can support the social distancing strategies on the factory floor.

Re-purposing a factory requires adaptability to new tasks and requirements. A responsive and evolvable human-robot collaborative (HRC) system can be designed exhibiting a modest initial production capacity but with the ability to add additional capacity and functionality as the demand fluctuates [9].

Modularization can be an ideal solution for achieving reconfigurable HRC assembly systems. Fluctuations in demand can be addressed by adding general purpose, portable and ready to deploy hardware modules to the existing system, for example cobots with varying mechanical design, price, and safety features may be used to accomplish different types of tasks. The approach is analogous to the toy construction bricks. The concept of ‘ready to deploy’ hardware also exists in military settings and health service units, but it is currently limited in manufacturing because manufacturing systems are designed to have long lifecycle (> 20 years) and custom-built hardware.

A large part of the cobot programs can be reused to reprogram the cobot for new jobs assigned with variation in ventilator design or change in pick and place locations. Standard programming

templates for common robotic tasks (such as palletizing, pick and place, machine tending, screwing) can support faster integration and reconfiguration.

Maintaining a digital twin of the HRC system could also be useful for validation of future modifications. A digital twin as a data connected simulation can create insights into the operational behaviour allowing optimizations before bringing solutions into the real world [10].

Cobots in combination with modern digital manufacturing technologies can be useful for production ramp-up in emergency situations. Technological enablers such as modularization, ready to deploy hardware, software templates and digital twins can be combined for faster integration, reconfiguration, and safety validation [9]. However, safety of the coexisting humans remains a constant challenge. Joint efforts are needed to evolve standards to integrate robots as teammates.

Manufacturers hold a history of facing harsh market fluctuations in the face of socioeconomic crunches often requiring them to upscale or downscale their capacities or to make products they are not experienced with. Uncommon results are often delivered through unconventional measures that often become the new normal of producing things. The learnings from using cobots during a pandemic may potentially be a step forward towards human-robot teams in future factories, which are more resilient to pandemics.

References

- [1] World Health Organization, “Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), Situation Report – 135” (2020); www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situationreports/20200603-covid-19-sitrep-135.
- [2] L. Rubinson, F. Vaughn, S. Nelson, S. Giordano, T. Kallstrom, T. Buckley, T. Burney, N. Hupert, R. Mutter, M. Handrigan, Mechanical ventilators in US acute care hospitals. *Disaster medicine and public health preparedness*, **4**, 199–206 (2010).
- [3] R.D. Truog, C. Mitchell, G.Q. Daley, The toughest triage—allocating ventilators in a pandemic. *New England Journal of Medicine*. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp2005689 (2020).
- [4] J. Phua, M.O. Faruq, A.P. Kulkarni, Critical care bed capacity in Asian countries and regions. *Critical Care Medicine*. **48**, 654-662 (2020).
- [5] R. Maclean, S. Marks, “10 African Countries Have No Ventilators. That’s Only Part of the Problem. Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/18/world/africa/africa-coronavirus-ventilators.html> [Accessed May 19, 2020].

- [6] Y. Koren, M. Shpitalni, Design of reconfigurable manufacturing systems. *Journal of manufacturing systems*, **29**, 130–141 (2010).
- [7] L. Wang, R. Gao, J. Vancza, J. Krüger, X.V. Wang, S. Makris, G. Chryssolouris, Symbiotic human-robot collaborative assembly. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, **68**, 701–726 (2019).
- [8] A.A. Malik, A. Bilberg, Complexity-based task allocation in human-robot collaborative assembly. *Industrial Robot: the international journal of robotics research and application*, **46**, 471–480 (2019).
- [9] A.A. Malik, T. Masood, R. Kousar, Reconfiguring and ramping-up ventilator production in the face of COVID-19: Can robots help? *pre-print, arXiv*. 2004.07360 [eess.SY] (2020).
- [10] A. Bilberg, A.A. Malik, Digital twin driven human-robot collaborative assembly. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, **68**, 499–502 (2019).