

1 **Inflammatory Signals induce AT2 Cell-Derived Damage-Associated Transient**
2 **Progenitors that Mediate Alveolar Regeneration**

3

4 Jinwook Choi¹, Jong-Eun Park², Georgia Tsagkogeorga^{3, 4}, Motoko Yanagita⁵, Bon-Kyoung
5 Koo⁶, Namshik Han³, and Joo-Hyeon Lee^{1, 7, *}

6

7 ¹Wellcome-MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

8 ²Wellcome Sanger institute, Cambridge, UK

9 ³Milner Therapeutics Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

10 ⁴STORM Therapeutics Ltd., Cambridge, UK

11 ⁵Department of Nephrology, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan

12 ⁶Institute of Molecular Biotechnology of the Austrian Academy of Science (IMBA), Vienna,
13 Austria

14 ⁷Department of Physiology, Development and Neurobiology, University of Cambridge,
15 Cambridge, UK

16 *Corresponding author

17

18

19

20 Correspondence contact:

21 Joo-Hyeon Lee, jhl62@cam.ac.uk

22

23

24

25

1 **Summary**

2 Tissue regeneration is a multi-step process mediated by diverse cellular hierarchies and states
3 that are also implicated in tissue dysfunction and pathogenesis. Here, we leveraged single-cell
4 RNA sequencing in combination with *in vivo* lineage tracing and organoid models to finely
5 map the trajectories of alveolar lineage cells during injury repair and lung regeneration. We
6 identified a distinct AT2-lineage population, Damage-Associated Transient Progenitors
7 (DATPs), that arises during alveolar regeneration. We found that interstitial macrophage-
8 derived IL-1 β primes a subset of AT2 cells expressing *Il1r1* for conversion into DATPs via a
9 *HIF1 α* -mediated glycolysis pathway, which is required for mature AT1 cell differentiation.
10 Importantly, chronic inflammation mediated by IL-1 β prevents AT1 differentiation, leading to
11 aberrant accumulation of DATPs and impaired alveolar regeneration. Together, this step-wise
12 mapping to cell fate transitions shows how an inflammatory niche impairs alveolar
13 regeneration by controlling stem cell fate and behavior.

14

15 **Key words**

16 Lung stem cells, Regeneration, Lineage differentiation, Inflammation, Stem cell niche, IL1R1
17 and IL-1 β , Damage-associated transient progenitors, Stem cell fate

18

19 **Running Title**

20 The Inflammatory Niche Directs Alveolar Regeneration during injury repair

21

22

23

1 **Introduction**

2 Maintenance of tissue homeostasis and repair following injury relies on the function of adult
3 stem cells (Hogan et al., 2014; Li and Clevers, 2010; Wagers and Weissman, 2004). In the lung,
4 barrier integrity of the epithelium is essential for protection against infection and efficient gas
5 exchange. Lung tissue shows a slow cell turnover at steady state, but harbors regional-specific
6 stem cells that quickly mobilize after tissue injury to replenish the epithelium (Hogan et al.,
7 2014). In the alveoli, alveolar type 2 (AT2) cells maintain lung homeostasis and enable
8 regeneration after injury by proliferating and differentiating into new alveolar type 1 (AT1)
9 cells specialized for gas exchange (Adamson and Bowden, 1974; Barkauskas et al., 2013; Rock
10 et al., 2011). Given the importance of AT2 cells, their self-renewal and differentiation must be
11 tightly coordinated to maintain tissue integrity and efficient repair. Disruption of this balance
12 can lead to life-threatening lung diseases (Hogan et al., 2014; Kotton and Morrisey, 2014).
13 Recent studies have begun to suggest signaling pathways involved in the regulation of
14 proliferation and differentiation of AT2 cells (Finn et al., 2019; Riemondy et al., 2019).
15 However, it remains unclear which factors driven by injury trigger the activation of quiescent
16 AT2 cells to differentiate towards AT1 cell fate and which differentiation trajectory they follow
17 during lung regeneration.

18
19 Tissue repair is a complex process that involves dynamic crosstalk between stem cells and their
20 respective niches. Physiological insults, such as a viral infection, are well known to instigate
21 inflammation by triggering the activation or recruitment of immune cells to the affected tissue
22 site (Medzhitov, 2008). In solid tissues, diverse immune cells of innate or adaptive immunity
23 are even integral components of the niche, where they contribute to immune defence against
24 infection and can sense environmental stimuli (Naik et al., 2018). Beyond the ability to clear
25 pathogens, recent studies highlight how restoration of barrier integrity in epithelial organs such
26 as the skin, gut, and lung after destruction is critically dependent on the immune system (Hsu
27 et al., 2014; Klose and Artis, 2016; Lindemans et al., 2015; Naik et al., 2017). Lung epithelium
28 is especially vulnerable to injury, as its surface is exposed to the external environment. In line
29 with this, immune cells have been reported to be involved in lung homeostasis and restoration
30 (Chen et al., 2012; Lechner et al., 2017; Westphalen et al., 2014). Recent advances have
31 increased our insight into the critical role of paracrine niche-generated signals as key
32 modulators of stem cell behaviors. In the distal lung, Pdgfra⁺ mesenchymal cells and vascular
33 endothelial cells were identified as supportive niche cells (Barkauskas et al., 2013; Lee et al.,
34 2014). More recently, mesenchymal cell subtypes including Wnt-responding and Wnt-

1 producing fibroblasts were suggested to regulate stem cell properties and the cellular identity
2 of AT2 cells (Lee et al., 2017; Nabhan et al., 2018; Zepp et al., 2017). However, our knowledge
3 about the specific crosstalk between inflammatory cells and AT2 cells in regeneration remains
4 limited. In particular, a fundamental question yet to be investigated is how the chronic
5 inflammation impacts on tissue destruction, as it is likely caused by impaired stem cell function
6 or regeneration process after injury, both processes that are poorly understood.

7
8 Here we set out to identify the lineage trajectory from AT2 toward AT1 cells during alveolar
9 regeneration after injury. Single cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) analysis of *in vivo* AT2
10 lineage-labeled cells and *ex vivo* AT2 cell-derived organoids allowed us to delineate a precise
11 differentiation trajectory in which AT2 cells adopt a ‘priming’ state followed by transition into
12 ‘Damage-Associated Transition Progenitors (DATPs)’ prior to conversion into terminally
13 differentiated AT1 cells. Importantly, we demonstrate that inflammatory niches driven by IL-
14 1β and Hif1a signaling pathways orchestrate the regeneration process by triggering state-
15 specific differentiation programs of AT2-lineage cells. Overall, our study reveals essential
16 functions of inflammation in alveolar regeneration, providing new insights into how chronic
17 inflammation impairs tissue restoration and leads to lung diseases.

18 19 **Results**

20 21 **Reprogramming of AT2 cells during alveolar regeneration after tissue injury**

22 To define molecular identities and states of AT2 lineage cells responding to injury and
23 undergoing regeneration, we treated AT2 reporter mice (*SPC-Cre^{ERT2};R26R^{tdTomato}*) with
24 tamoxifen, exposed them to PBS (control, homeostasis) or bleomycin (injury), and isolated
25 lineage-labeled cells for scRNA-seq analysis at day 14 (acute injury) or 28 (resolution of injury)
26 (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1A). Based on the expression of canonical AT1 and AT2 cell markers, we
27 uncovered five distinct cell populations (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1B). Distribution of each cluster
28 across time points allowed us to assess how AT2 cells changed during injury response and
29 repair (Fig. 1C).

30 As expected, lineage-labeled cells in uninjured mice, comprised mainly of AT2 cells
31 (cluster 1) expressing canonical AT2 markers such as surfactant proteins (*Sftpc*, *Sftpa1*) (Fig. 1,
32 C and D). At day 14 post injury, three additional distinct populations had emerged while this
33 AT2 cluster had become dramatically reduced (Fig. 1, C and D). Approximately 6% of lineage-
34 labeled cells expressed proliferation/cell-cycle markers such as *Cdk1*, *Mki67*, and *Cenpa*,

1 corresponding to Cycling AT2 cells (cAT2, cluster 3) (Fig. 1D and Fig. S1C). A second AT2-
2 like state was highly prominent at this stage (cluster 2). This cluster showed similar expression
3 levels of canonical AT2 markers including *Sftpc* but lower expression of genes that are
4 involved in the lipid metabolism shown in homeostatic AT2 cells (hAT2, cluster 1) such as
5 *Acly*, *Hmgcr*, and *Hmgcs1* (Fig. 1D and Fig. S1C). We also found enriched expression of genes
6 induced by an inflammatory response such as *Ptges*, *Lcn1*, *Orm1*, *Tmem173*, and *Ifitm2/3* in
7 this cluster (Fig. 1D and Fig. S1C) (Fortier et al., 2008; Kuriakose and Kanneganti, 2018;
8 Ligresti et al., 2012). Remarkably, essential regulators for AT2 lineage specification such as
9 *Etv5*, *Abca3*, and *Cebpa* were also downregulated, suggesting that this population had lost AT2
10 identity (Fig. 1D and Fig. S1C) (Martis et al., 2006; Rindler et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017),
11 suggesting ‘primed AT2 state (pAT2)’. In addition, cluster 2 cells had a transcriptional
12 signature similar to that of cAT2 cells with the exception of cell cycle-related genes. We also
13 identified an uncharacterized cellular subset of cluster 4 which we named ‘Damage-Associated
14 Transient Progenitors (DATPs)’. DATPs expressed specific markers such as *Cldn4*, *Krt8*,
15 *Ndr1*, *Spr1a*, and *AW112010* (Fig. 1D and Fig. S1C). Overall, DATPs shared features of the
16 AT1 lineage transcription signature, but showed much lower expression of canonical AT1
17 markers including *Pdpn*, *Hopx*, and *Cav-1* (Fig. 1D and Fig. S1C). Analysis of Gene Ontology
18 (GO) terms further revealed that DATPs were characterized by increased expression of genes
19 associated with p53 signaling (e.g. *Trp53*, *Mdm2*, *Ccnd1*, *Gdf15*), inhibition of proliferation
20 (e.g. *Cdkn1a*, *Cdkn2a*), hypoxia (*Hif1a*, *Ndr1*), and the interferon-gamma signaling pathway
21 (e.g. *Ifngr1*, *Ly6a/Sca-1*, *Irf7*, *Cxcl16*) (Fig. S1D).

22 As expected, at day 28 post-injury, we observed substantial increases in the mature AT1
23 and hAT2 populations while cAT2, pAT2, and DATPs were diminished, reflecting return to
24 alveolar homeostasis after injury (Fig. 1C). To better understand the differentiation paths of
25 AT2 cells to AT1 cells during regeneration, we applied partition-based graph abstraction
26 (PAGA, Fig. 1E) and characterized transcriptional programs ordered along pseudotemporal
27 trajectories (Fig. 1F) (Wolf et al., 2019). PAGA shows that AT2 and AT1 cells are connected
28 via a trajectory that includes pAT2 cells and DATPs (Fig. 1E). cAT2 cells were assigned as
29 the population most close to pAT2 cells, suggesting that priming of naïve AT2 cells prior to
30 initiation of differentiation is closely related with a cell cycle event. After excluding cAT2 cells,
31 pseudotime analysis showed that AT2 transitions into AT1 cells via pAT2 cells and DATPs,
32 similar to that what we observed in PAGA (Fig. 1F) (Haghverdi et al., 2016). Taken together,
33 these findings revealed a differentiation trajectory towards AT1 cell fate acquisition that passes
34 through distinct pAT2 and DATP cell states during regeneration.

1 **IL-1 β secreted from interstitial macrophages triggers reprogramming of AT2 cells**

2 Given our data showing increased expression of genes associated with the immune response
3 signatures in pAT2 cells, we next asked whether bleomycin injury resulted in inflammation
4 (Fig. S2A). By flow cytometry analysis, we found dynamic changes in macrophage behaviors
5 across injury response and regeneration. At day 7 post injury, the number and frequency of
6 interstitial macrophages (IMs) were significantly increased, whereas the number and frequency
7 of alveolar macrophages (AMs) were decreased (Fig. S2, B-D). These changes were restored
8 to homeostatic levels by day 28, indicating resolution of acute inflammation. Because
9 macrophages localized near AT2 lineage-labeled cells during acute injury (Fig. S2E), we
10 hypothesized that macrophages may affect the behavior of lineage-labeled cells in response to
11 injury. Importantly, we observed that 3D organoid co-cultures in which AT2 cells were
12 cultured together with IMs in the presence of stromal cells revealed more and larger organoid
13 formation than when they were co-cultured with AMs (Fig. 2A-C) (Lee et al., 2014). To further
14 address the contribution of macrophages in alveolar regeneration after injury, we analyzed
15 scRNA-seq of non-lineage-labeled cells from *SPC-Cre^{ERT2};R26R^{tdTomato}* mice, including
16 immune cells, isolated in parallel with samples (PBS, D14 and D28) in Fig. 1 (Fig. S2, F-H).
17 The expression level of *IL-1 β* , which is specifically detected in macrophages, was increased at
18 day 14 post injury and decreased to homeostatic levels at day 28 (Fig. S2, H and I). Quantitative
19 PCR (qPCR) analysis on isolated AMs and IMs from uninjured lungs revealed that *IL-1 β* is
20 highly and specifically expressed in IMs while *IL-18* is enriched in AMs, consistent with
21 previous reports (Fig. S2J) (Misharin et al., 2017). Furthermore, GM-CSF activation
22 specifically augmented *IL-1 β* expression in IMs but did not affect *IL-18* expression in AMs
23 (Fig. S2J). Notably, bleomycin injury stimulated *IL-1 β* expression in IMs *in vivo* (Fig. S2K).
24 IL-1 β treatment was also sufficient to increase the number and size of organoids formed by
25 AT2 cells (Fig. 2, D and E).

26 To further ask how IL-1 β affects the cellular and molecular behaviors of AT2 cells, we
27 performed scRNA-seq of control and IL-1 β -treated organoids. Based on the marker gene
28 expression, we identified five distinctive clusters (AT2, pAT2, cAT2, DATPs, and AT1 cells)
29 similar to those we had seen in AT2 lineage-labeled cells (Fig. 2F and Fig. S3, A-C). In control
30 organoids, most cell types corresponded to AT2 and AT1 cells alongside smaller pAT2 and
31 DATPs clusters (Fig. 2G). In contrast, IL-1 β -treatment increased the pAT2 fraction to ~77%
32 of pAT2 cells, classified by low expression of genes, such as *Etv5*, *Abca3*, and *Cebpa*,
33 suggesting that IL-1 β triggers AT2 cells to enter a primed state (Fig. 2G). The DATP

1 population was also increased by IL-1 β treatment (Fig. 2G). Pseudotime and PAGA analysis
2 of the scRNA-seq data showed that IL-1 β -treated organoids skew differentiation of AT2 cells
3 towards AT1 fate (Fig. 2H and Fig. S3D), by enhancing differentiation into pAT2 and DATP
4 states similar to those of regenerating AT2 cells *in vivo* (Fig. S3, E and F). To investigate if IL-
5 1 β directly influences AT2 cell fate transitions, we examined cellular states at day 6 and 14,
6 two key differentiation time points across organoid formation. At day 6, qPCR analysis of IL-
7 1 β -treated organoids showed an enriched transcriptional signature of pAT2-state relative to
8 control organoids (Fig. 2I). In addition, day 14 immunostaining and flow cytometry analysis
9 for DATP markers, such as Krt8 and Cldn4, confirmed that DATPs were significantly
10 increased in IL-1 β -treated organoids (Fig. 2, J and K). These data show that IL-1 β treatment
11 in AT2 organoids recapitulate key aspects of *in vivo* lung regeneration. Taken together, our
12 data demonstrate that an IL-1 β -mediated inflammatory niche triggers AT2 mediated injury
13 response during alveolar regeneration via proceeding differentiation programs to generate
14 DATPs.

15

16 **DATPs differentiate into AT1 and AT2 cells during alveolar regeneration after injury**

17 Our scRNA-seq analysis revealed the previously unknown AT2-lineage derived DATP
18 population emerging during alveolar regeneration and in organoids stimulated with IL-1 β .
19 Using AT2 reporter mice (*SPC-Cre^{ERT2};R26R^{tdTomato}*), we found that approximately 10% of
20 AT2 lineage-labeled cells express Krt8 at 14 days after bleomycin injury, confirming that
21 DATPs originate directly from AT2 cells (Fig. 3, A-C). Importantly, neither the AT2 marker
22 SPC, nor the AT1 marker Pdpn were detected in this population (Fig. 3, B and D). To further
23 assess functional contributions of DATPs to alveolar regeneration, we established lineage
24 reporter mice for N-Myc Downstream Regulated 1 (*Ndrg1*) which is uniquely expressed in
25 DATPs during alveolar regeneration (*Ndrg1-Cre^{ERT2};R26R^{tdTomato}*) (Figs. 1D and 3E). We did
26 not detect any expression of *Ndrg1* in airway epithelial cells with or without injury (Fig. 3F).
27 Consistent with our scRNA-seq data, neither AT2 and AT1 cells were labeled by *Ndrg1*
28 expression in PBS control mice (Fig. 3G). However, at 9 days after bleomycin injury, *Ndrg1*
29 lineage-labeled cells emerged with a majority of cells positive for Krt8 in the alveolar region
30 (Fig. 3, H and I). At day 28, we found that approximately 30% of AT1 cells were lineage-
31 labeled by *Ndrg1* with AT1 cell morphology (Fig. 3, J and K). We also confirmed the
32 contribution of DATPs in AT1 cell generation with lineage-tracing analysis using *Krt8* reporter
33 mice (*Krt8-Cre^{ERT2};R26R^{tdTomato}*) (Fig. S4A). Consistent with *Ndrg1* lineage-labeled cells,

1 neither AT2 nor AT1 cells were labeled in uninjured lungs (Fig. S4B). *Krt8* expression was
2 only detected in *Cldn4*⁺ DATPs at day 9 in the alveolar region post injury, but was then
3 prominent in *Pdpn*⁺ AT1 cells at day 28 post injury (Fig. S4, C-F).

4 We also observed that a significant number of *SPC*⁺ AT2 cells were lineage-labeled by
5 *Ndrp1* and *Krt8* at day 28 post bleomycin injury (Fig. 3, J and L and Fig. S4, G and H). To
6 confirm that DATPs possessed capacity of dedifferentiating into AT2 cells, we isolated AT2
7 cells (*CD31*⁻*CD45*⁻*EpCAM*⁺*MHCII*⁺) (Hasegawa et al., 2017) from *Krt8* reporter mice and
8 performed organoid cultures in the presence of IL-1 β (Fig. S4I). At day 14 in culture, we added
9 4-OH tamoxifen to label *Krt8*-expressing DATPs. Consistent with immunostaining for *Krt8* in
10 organoids (Fig. 2J), we detected *Tomato*⁺ cells (*Krt8*⁺ DATPs) in the inner part of organoids,
11 which segregated distinctly from *Tomato*⁻*MHCII*⁺ AT2 cells by flow cytometric analysis (Fig.
12 S4, J and K). Furthermore, *Krt8*⁺ DATPs (*Tomato*⁺*MHCII*⁻) isolated from organoids were
13 capable of forming organoids composed of DATPs and *SPC*⁺ AT2 cells (Fig. S4, K-M).

15 **IL-1 β signaling is required for cell fate conversion into DATPs during alveolar** 16 **regeneration**

17 Given that IL-1 β treatment increased generation of DATPs in organoids, we next asked
18 whether IL-1 β signaling is required for differentiation into DATPs *in vivo*. To answer this
19 question, we generated *Il1r1*^{fllox/fllox};*SPC-Cre*^{ERT2};*R26R*^{tdTomato} mice to deplete *Il1r1*, a
20 functional receptor for IL-1 β , specifically in AT2 cells (Fig. 4A). The proliferative activity of
21 *Il1r1*-deficient AT2 cells was comparable to that of *Il1r1*- haplodeficient AT2 cells post injury
22 (Fig. S5A). As IL-1 β treatment increased organoid size and forming efficiency (Fig. 2, D and
23 E), we carefully examined AT2 cell proliferation by EdU incorporation assays at early time
24 point (day 4) in organoid cultures. Although IL-1 β -treated organoids revealed increases in EdU
25 incorporation rates relative to control, notably, *Il1r1*-deficient AT2 cells also showed a similar
26 rate of EdU incorporation, indicating that IL-1 β does not directly influence on AT2 cell
27 proliferation (Fig. S5B). Given that differential expressions of growth factors regulating AT2
28 cell proliferation in IL-1 β -treated stromal cells co-cultured with AT2 cells in organoids (Fig.
29 S5, C-E), it is highly likely that IL-1 β enhances AT2 cell proliferation via modulating
30 surrounding cells rather than direct effects on AT2 cells.

31 We then further analyzed cAT2 subsets (derived from AT2 lineage-labeled cells post
32 injury, Fig. 1B), which showed step-wise cell cycle transitions based on expression of cell cycle
33 phase-specific genes (Fig. S5F). We discovered that AT2 cells acquired transcriptional

1 signatures of pAT2 cells during the transition from S to G2/M phase in the cell cycle (Fig.
2 S5G). During this transition, the expression of naïve AT2 cell markers including *Abca3* was
3 downregulated while the expression of genes associated with inflammatory response including
4 *Ptges* was induced. Remarkably, *Il1r1* expression was upregulated specifically in G2/M phase
5 (Fig. S5G). Importantly, we found that *Il1r1*-deficient AT2 cells failed to differentiate into
6 DATPs at day 10 post injury (Fig. 4, B and C). Subsequently, lineage-labeled AT1 cells were
7 significantly decreased at day 21 post injury, indicating impaired differentiation of AT2 cells
8 into AT1 cells in the absence of IL-1 β signaling (Fig. 4, D and E). Overall, these findings
9 suggest that IL-1 β does not directly influence proliferative properties of AT2 cells, but instead
10 primes AT2 cells to initiate cell fate transition into DATPs during alveolar regeneration.

11

12 **Hif1a signaling is integral for DATP cell conversion and AT1 differentiation**

13 In our next set of experiments, we asked which downstream targets/factors driven by IL-1 β are
14 required for DATP differentiation. Upon further analysis of our *in vivo* and *in vitro* scRNA-
15 seq data, we discovered a unique metabolic signature with higher expression of genes involved
16 in glycolysis pathway such as *Pgk1*, *Pkm*, and *Slc16a3* (Fig. 4F). By measuring the
17 extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) in organoids, we found that IL-1 β enhanced the
18 glycolysis metabolism (Fig. S6, A and B). IL-1 β -treated organoids also showed higher rates of
19 glucose uptake compared to control (Fig. S6C). Notably, expression of *Hif1a*, a critical
20 regulator for aerobic glycolysis metabolism, was enriched in DATPs (Fig. 4F) (Dang et al.,
21 2008; Semenza, 2012). To determine whether Hif1a signaling is required for the transition into
22 DATPs, we treated AT2 organoids with digoxin, a potent inhibitor of Hif1a activity, in the
23 presence of IL-1 β (Fig. 4G). At day 6 in culture, when higher gene signatures of pAT2 cells
24 were detected, digoxin-treated organoids showed impaired generation of DATPs and AT1 cells
25 (Fig. 4, H and I). We next deleted *Hif1a* specifically on AT2 cells using *Hif1a*^{fl α /fl α} ; *SPC*-
26 *Cre*^{ERT2}; *R26R*^{tdTomato} mice (Fig. S6D). Consistent with our observations in organoid results,
27 *Hif1a*-deficient AT2 cells failed to generate DATPs at day 10 post injury (Fig. S6, E and F).
28 Similarly to *Il1r1*-deficient AT2 cells, AT2 cells lacking *Hif1a* failed to differentiate into AT1
29 cells (Fig. S6, G and H). Taken together, these results demonstrate that IL-1 β enhances Hif1a-
30 mediated glycolysis metabolic changes which are integral for the transition into DATPs and
31 subsequent differentiation into AT1 cells during injury repair.

32

1 ***Il1r1*⁺AT2 cells are functionally and epigenetically distinct subsets that generate DATPs**
2 **by IL-1 β signals in alveolar regeneration**

3 Given the importance of IL-1 β signaling in alveolar regeneration, we asked whether all AT2
4 cells are equally capable of responding to IL-1 β inflammatory signals. To answer this question,
5 we generated *Il1r1* reporter mice (*Il1r1-Cre*^{ERT2};*R26R*^{tdTomato}) and treated them with tamoxifen
6 to lineage trace *Il1r1*-expressing cells (Fig. 5, A and B). We found that *Il1r1* was expressed in
7 airway ciliated cells and small subsets of mesenchyme cells in uninjured lungs (Fig. 5C).
8 Remarkably, approximately 15% of AT2 cells were lineage-labeled in the uninjured lung, (Fig.
9 5, D and E). However, bleomycin injury significantly increased the population of lineage-
10 labeled AT2 cells up to ~60% at day 14 post injury (Fig. 5, D and E). *Il1r1* lineage-labeled
11 AT2 cells were also more proliferative than unlabeled AT2 cells (Fig. 5, F and G).
12 Approximately 80% of DATPs were lineage-labeled by *Il1r1*, suggesting that DATPs are
13 mainly originating from *Il1r1*⁺AT2 cells (Fig. 5, H and I). At day 28 post injury, lineage-
14 labeled AT1 cells were nicely observed (Fig. 5J).

15 We posited that epigenetic mechanisms might shape the active response of *Il1r1*⁺AT2
16 cells and next performed ATAC-seq (Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin with high-
17 throughput sequencing). Although most gene including AT2 markers and general
18 housekeeping genes showed similar chromatin accessibility patterns, notable differences were
19 present in the open chromatin states in *Il1r1*⁺AT2 cells relative to bulk AT2 cells (Fig. 6, A
20 and B and Fig. S7, A-G). Analysis for Gene Ontology (GO) terms distribution of highlighted
21 genes revealed that epigenetic regulation and inflammation-associated pathways including
22 Interleukin-1 signaling were enriched in *Il1r1*⁺AT2 cells (Fig. 6, C and D). Motif analysis of
23 DNA binding-site showed that *Il1r1*⁺AT2-enriched chromatin contains motifs for key
24 transcriptional factors associated with inflammation such as AP-1, CREB, NF-kB and Rorc,
25 while shared genes were enriched in motifs for key lung development factors as Nkx2.1 and
26 Cebp (Fig. 6E) (Martis et al., 2006; Minoo et al., 1999; Miossec and Kolls, 2012; Schonhaler
27 et al., 2011). Taken together, these results demonstrate that *Il1r1* marks epigenetically distinct
28 AT2 cell subtypes with capacity for rapid expansion and subsequent differentiation into AT1
29 cells during injury response.

30

31 **Chronic inflammation mediated by sustained IL-1 β levels stalls transition of DATPs into**
32 **mature AT1 cells**

1 Although expression levels of early AT1 markers such as *Lmo7*, *Pdprn*, and *Hopx* were
2 comparable in control and IL-1 β -treated organoids (Fig. 7A), we found that AT1-like cells
3 present in IL-1 β -treated organoids failed to upregulate mature AT1 markers highly expressed
4 in control AT1 cells such as *Aqp5*, *Vegfa*, *Cav-1*, and *Spock2* (Fig. 7B). Instead, AT1-like
5 populations in IL-1 β -treated organoids highly expressed DATP-associated genes including
6 *Cldn4*, *AW112010*, and *Lhfp* (Fig. 7C), indicating that sustained IL-1 β treatment in AT2
7 organoids causes accumulation of DATPs and prevents terminally differentiation into mature
8 AT1 cells. We then asked whether the stalled transition to mature AT1 cells could be rescued
9 by relieving IL-1 β -mediated inflammation. We cultured AT2 organoids with IL-1 β for 14 days
10 and maintained them for an additional 7 days without IL-1 β treatment (Fig. 7D). Indeed, we
11 found that expression of late AT1 markers became significantly upregulated upon IL-1 β
12 withdrawal, concomitant with downregulation of DATP markers and expression of *Hif1a* and
13 other glycolysis pathway genes (Fig. 7E). These findings prompted us ask whether inhibition
14 of glycolysis in stalled DATPs might facilitate AT1 cell maturation. To this end, we treated
15 AT2 organoids with IL-1 β for 14 days and then with the glycolysis inhibitor 2-deoxyglucose
16 (2-DG, a glucose analogue that causes hexokinase inhibition and disruption of glycolysis) in
17 the continued presence of IL-1 β for additional 4 days (Fig. 7F). Notably, inhibition of high
18 glucose metabolism significantly upregulated expression of mature AT1 makers (Fig. 7G).
19 With immunostaining, we confirmed that AT2 cells with persistent IL-1 β treatment failed to
20 generate mature AT1 cells expressing *Cav-1*, a late AT1 cell marker, whereas the expression
21 level of *Hopx*, an early AT1 cell marker, was comparable to that seen in control (Fig. 7H).
22 Importantly, 2-DG-treated organoids rescued the impaired maturation of AT1 cells even in the
23 presence of IL-1 β (Fig. 7H).

24 We hypothesized that a chronic inflammatory environment will lead to a gradual
25 accumulation of DATPs and eventually defective differentiation and declined lung
26 regeneration. Recent studies using a high-resolution scRNA-seq analysis reported that a
27 transcriptionally distinct KRT17⁺ population aberrantly accumulates in a non-permissive
28 pathologic environment such as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) (Adams et al., 2019;
29 Habermann et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020). Consistent with recent study (Kobayashi et al., 2019),
30 we also found that most markers that are specific to KRT17⁺ cells were also highly expressed
31 in DATPs (Fig. S7H). Indeed, we observed abundant KRT8⁺CLDN4⁺ DATPs-like cells next
32 to HTII-280⁺ AT2 cells in alveolar regions of IPF patient tissue samples, but not within the
33 alveoli of normal donor lung (Fig. 7, I-K). In addition, given the close relationship between

1 chronic inflammation and lung cancer, and recent reports suggesting transcriptional features of
2 injury responses in lung tumor cells, we also found that KRT8⁺CLDN4⁺ DATPs-like cells are
3 observed within the tumor in patient tissue samples of lung adenocarcinoma (Fig. S7I)
4 (Conway et al., 2016; Mantovani et al., 2008; Maynard et al., 2019; Moll et al., 2018). Taken
5 together, these findings demonstrate that chronic inflammatory signals cause dysregulation of
6 DATPs, which leads to development and/or progression of human lung diseases.

7 8 **Discussion**

9
10 Effectively coordinated tissue repair is critical for maintenance of tissue integrity and function.
11 In responding to environmental assault, the ability to sense physiological changes is essential
12 for stem cells to initiate repair and resolve damage. Here, we focused on how inflammatory
13 stimuli direct the cell fate behavior of AT2 stem cells during lung injury repair. Our data reveals
14 the detailed step-wise differentiation trajectories of AT2 cells, which are regulated by IL-1 β -
15 mediated inflammatory signals during the regeneration process. Significantly, we identified
16 *Il1r1*⁺AT2 cells and Damage-Associated Transient Progenitors (DATPs) as two classes of
17 regenerative cell populations dedicated to lung injury repair. Our findings bring new insight
18 into how unresolved inflammation mediated by persistent IL-1 β signals prevents cell fate
19 transitions, resulting in impaired regeneration and eventually leading to lung diseases.

20 Although mechanisms underlying alveolar regeneration are complex, our scRNA-seq
21 analysis of *in vivo* AT2 lineage-labeled cells and AT2 cell-derived organoids defines the
22 precise reprogramming of AT2 cells into AT1 cells during injury repair. We discovered two
23 distinct populations, pAT2 cells and DATPs, as intermediaries between quiescent AT2 and
24 terminally differentiated AT1 lineages. pAT2 cells highly express genes that respond to
25 inflammation (e.g. *Pteges*, *Orml1*, *Zbp1*), are involved in promoting angiogenesis (e.g. *Lrg1*,
26 *Cxcl17*, and *Egfl6/7*) and reduce reactive oxidative species (ROS) (e.g. *Glrx*, *Prdx4*, and
27 *Gstk1/2*). These properties suggest that pAT2 cells actively respond to inflammatory stimuli,
28 reshaping reciprocal interactions between epithelial cells and their niches during tissue repair.
29 pAT2 cells display much lower expression of genes that are essential for AT2 identity and
30 maintenance such as *Etv5* and *Abca3*, while still expressing comparable levels of canonical
31 AT2 markers such as *Sftpc* and *Lyz2*. These transcriptional signatures were also seen in IL-1 β
32 -treated AT2 cells, leading us to classify pAT2 cells as a population that is skewed towards the
33 AT1 cell fate.

1 Our data reveal that pAT2 cells share a transcriptional program resembling that of cAT2
2 cells but with lower expression levels of cell cycle genes (e.g. *MKi67*, *Cdk1*). Interestingly, we
3 found that transcriptional signatures of pAT2 cells were upregulated during the transition from
4 S to G2/M phase in the cell cycle, suggesting the possibility of entering primed states after
5 exiting proliferation states although further validation studies such as genetic tracing of cAT2
6 or pAT2 cells are needed to provide the delineated sequence of trajectory between these two
7 states. In addition, at variance with a previous study in *Il1r1*^{-/-} mice (Katsura et al., 2019), we
8 found that proliferative activity of AT2 cells is not directly altered by *Il1r1* depletion in AT2
9 cells. Instead, our findings in organoid co-culture experiments revealed that stromal cells
10 responding to IL-1 β likely support AT2 cell proliferation. scRNA-seq analysis of stromal cells
11 co-cultured with AT2 cells showed that expression of growth factors facilitating AT2 cell
12 proliferation, such as EGFR ligands (e.g. *Ereg*), *Spp1*, and *Hgf* (Ganguly et al., 2014; Zeng
13 et al., 2016) was dramatically increased in IL-1 β -treated stromal cells, whereas *Bmp4*
14 (Weaver et al., 2000), which is known to inhibit AT2 cell proliferation was significantly
15 reduced. The negative regulators for Bmp4 signaling such as *Grem1/2* were increased in IL-
16 1 β -treated stromal cells. Notably, cAT2 cells acquire transcriptional characteristics of pAT2
17 cells coupled with upregulation of *Il1r1* expression at the transition from S to G2/M phase.
18 These data suggest that IL-1 β directly reprograms daughter AT2 cells to enter primed states
19 during the G2/M phase to initiate cell fate transitions without direct influences on cell
20 proliferation. How IL-1 β signaling triggers priming of AT2 cells to initiate the differentiation
21 progress remains unknown. Recently, Wnt signaling was reported to prevent reprogramming of
22 AT2 cells into AT1 cells (Nabhan et al., 2018), suggesting that crosstalk between IL-1 β and
23 Wnt signaling underlies control of cell fate transitions from naïve AT2 to primed cell states.

24 We discovered a previously unidentified DATP population as an intermediate plastic
25 subpopulation between pAT2 and AT1 cell differentiation states. DATPs expressing *Ndr1*,
26 *Cldn4* and *Krt8* are extremely rare at steady-state, yet are significantly induced after injury by
27 IL-1 β -mediated inflammatory signaling. Lineage-tracing analysis demonstrated their capacity
28 to give rise to new AT1 cells during alveolar regeneration after injury. Specifically, we
29 determined that IL-1 β -driven inflammation and regulation of the *Hif1a* signaling pathway is
30 essential for DATPs generation. Specific deletion of *Hif1a* in AT2 cells impaired this
31 progression, resulting in deficient production of new AT1 cells. In addition, we also defined
32 that reduction of IL-1 β -driven glycolysis is required for transition of DATPs towards initiating
33 AT1 lineage differentiation. This finding suggests that IL-1 β -mediated inflammation and
34 transient glycolytic metabolism by generating DATPs may establish a checkpoint determining

1 entry into mature AT1 cell differentiation programs. Of note, DATPs reveal quiescent
2 characteristics represented by expression of cell cycle inhibition, p53 signaling, and senescence
3 marker genes. In addition, emerging evidence supported by a high-resolution scRNA-seq
4 technology suggests an essential role of ‘intermediates’ during developmental process in
5 governing cell fate choices (Olsson et al., 2016). Interestingly, we also found that DATPs may
6 have the plasticity required to revert to the AT2 lineage, in addition to proceeding towards AT1
7 differentiation.

8 By combining lineage-tracing and ATAC-seq analysis we uncovered that *Il1r1*⁺AT2
9 cells take on distinct epigenetic state as they efficiently replenish damaged alveolar lineages in
10 response to IL-1 β inflammatory signals. Specific open-chromatin states in regions recognized
11 by epigenetic regulators, including chromatin remodellers (e.g. Ino80) and epigenetic
12 modifiers (e.g. Hat1), allow for their rapid and organized responsiveness to injury during the
13 regeneration process. Significantly, we found that DATPs are mainly arising from *Il1r1*⁺AT2
14 cells in response to IL-1 β signaling after injury. Recently, *Axin2*⁺AT2 cells have been identified
15 as a distinct subset of AT2 cells (Nabhan et al., 2018; Zacharias et al., 2018). Related with the
16 potential role of interconnectivity between IL-1 β and Wnt signaling in fate decision of AT2
17 cells, comparison between *Il1r1*⁺AT2 and *Axin2*⁺AT2 cells will be helpful to understand their
18 relationships during alveolar regeneration.

19 Resolution of inflammation is a coordinated and active process aimed at restoration of
20 tissue integrity and function. Our data highlight the importance of macrophage activation in
21 the transient inflammatory niche after tissue injury. The increased number of IMs and level of
22 IL-1 β peaked at day 14 and resolved to the homeostatic level at day 28 after injury. Analysis
23 of lineage-tracing and scRNA-seq data also revealed that pAT2 cells and DATPs appearing
24 after injury become dramatically reduced as tissue returns to homeostasis. However,
25 significantly, we found that sustained IL-1 β signaling causes the defects in the transition from
26 DATPs to terminal differentiation to AT1 lineage, which results in the impaired regeneration.
27 Our finding reveals the cellular and molecular mechanisms how chronic inflammation is
28 implicated in the tissue dysfunction and pathogenesis. Two recent studies showed fibrosis-
29 specific KRT17⁺ cell populations in patient tissues of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF)
30 (Adams et al., 2019; Habermann et al., 2019). Here, we find that these populations and DATPs
31 have similar transcriptional signatures, also supported by a recent preprint showing the
32 enriched signatures of *Cldn4*⁺ pre-AT1 transitional state in these KRT17⁺ populations in IPF
33 tissues (Kobayashi et al., 2019). Furthermore, we detected KRT8⁺CLDN4⁺ DATPs-like cells
34 in the alveolar regions of IPF tissue samples. In addition, several studies have revealed that

1 mechanisms underlying cancer development co-opt regeneration programs to drive tumoral
2 cellular heterogeneity (Maynard et al., 2019; Moll et al., 2018). Congruent with this work, we
3 also observed DATP-like cells in tissue samples of human lung adenocarcinoma. Our results
4 strongly suggest that fine modulation of DATPs by IL-1 β -mediated transient inflammatory
5 niche during injury repair is critical for effective lung restoration and is a potential therapeutic
6 adjunct for treating lung diseases.

7 **Limitations of the Study**

8 Our study identified subsets of *Il1r1*⁺AT2 cells having distinctive epigenetic signatures and
9 quickly responding to injury-induced inflammation for efficient AT1 cell generation. Despite
10 it is clear that only a subset of AT2 cells expressed *Il1r1* and expanded up to 60% of total AT2
11 cells during injury repair, we cannot completely rule out the possibility of stochastic expression
12 of cre recombination for *Il1r1* expression during repair process due to the remained tamoxifen
13 activity. Longer wash out periods than 16 days may provide clearer evidences to further define
14 the functionally distinctive subsets of *Il1r1*⁺AT2 cells during injury repair.

15

16

1 **Acknowledgement**

2 We would like to thank Emma Rawlins (University of Cambridge, UK) for valuable scientific
3 discussions and sharing the mouse lines; We would like to thank Randall Johnson (University
4 of Cambridge, UK) for sharing *Hif1a^{flox/flox}* mouse line; Nisha Narayan and Brian Huntly for
5 sharing materials and discussion on glycolysis experiments; Irina Pshenichnaya (Histology),
6 Maïke Paramor (NGS library), Peter Humphreys (Imaging), Andy Riddell (Flow cytometry),
7 Simon McCallum (Flow cytometry, Cambridge NIHR BRC Cell Phenotyping Hub), Katarzyna
8 Kania (single cell sequencing at Cancer Research UK), and Cambridge Stem Cell Institute core
9 facilities for technical assistance; Papworth Hospital Research Tissue Bank for providing tissue
10 samples from IPF and lung adenocarcinoma (T02233); Kelly Evans for sharing histology
11 samples of human lung tissue samples; Seungmin Han and Woochang Hwang for discussion
12 on the scRNA-seq analysis; Life Science Editors for editorial assistance; All Lee Lab members
13 for helpful discussion. This work was supported by Wellcome and the Royal Society
14 (107633/Z/15/Z) and European Research Council Starting Grant (679411). J.C. was supported
15 by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education
16 (2017R1A6A3A03005399).

17

18 **Author contribution:** J.C. and J.-H.L. designed the experiments, interpreted the data, and
19 wrote the manuscript; J.C. performed most experiments and data analysis; J.-E.P. performed
20 and analyzed scRNA-seq data; G.T. and N.H. analyzed ATAC-seq data; M.Y. shared *Ndrp1-*
21 *Cre^{ERT2}* mouse line; B.-K.K. helped the generation of *Il1r1-Cre^{ERT2}* mouse line.

22

23 **Declaration of interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

24

25

26

1 **STAR METHODS**

2 **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies (Flow cytometry)		
CD45 (30-F11)-APC	BD Biosciences	Cat #: 559864
CD31 (MEC13.3)-APC	BD Biosciences	Cat #:551262
Biotin- conjugated mouse lineage (Lin) panel	Biolegend	Cat #:13307
EpCAM (G8.8)-PE-Cy7	BioLegend	Cat #:118216
Sca-1 (Ly-6A/E, D7)–APC-Cy7	BioLegend	Cat #:560654
MHC-II (I-A/I-E, M5)-FITC	ebioscience	Cat #:11-5321-81
CD64 (X54-5/7.1)-PeCy7	BioLegend	Cat #:139313
CD24(M1/69)-APC	ebioscience	Cat #:101813
Siglec-F(E50-2440)-PE	BD Bioscience	Cat #:562068
Antibodies (Immunofluorescence)		
Goat anti-SP-C	Santa Cruz	Cat #: sc-7706
Rabbit pro-SP-C	Millipore	Cat #: AB3786
Rabbit anti-Ki67	A. Menarini	Cat #: MP-325-CRM1
Rat anti-Ki67	Biolegend	Cat #: A16A8
Rabbit anti-RFP	Rockland	Cat #: 600–401379
Hamster anti-PDPN (T1 α)	DSHB	Cat #: 8.1.1
Rat anti-Cytokeratin-8	DSHB	Cat #: TROMA-I
Rabbit anti-Claudin-4	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: 36-4800
Rabbit anti-Hopx	Santa Cruz	Cat #: sc-30216
Rabbit anti-Aqp5	Alomone Labs	Cat #: AQP5-005
Rabbit anti-Caveolin-1	Cell Signaling	Cat #: 3267
Mouse anti-Acetyl Tub	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat: # T7451
Mouse anti-HTII-280	Terrace Biotechnology	TB-27AHT2-280
Alexa Fluor 647 donkey anti-mouse IgG (H+L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-31571
Alexa Fluor 647 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-31571
Alexa Fluor 647 donkey anti-goat IgG (H+L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-31573
Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-rat IgG (H+L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-21208
Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-mouse IgG (H+L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-21202
Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-21206
Alexa Fluor 555 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-31572
Alexa Fluor 555 donkey anti-rat IgG (H+L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-21434
Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti-hamster IgG (H+L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-21451
Alexa Fluor 488 Donkey anti-hamster IgG (H+L)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: A-21110
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Tamoxifen	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat #: T5648-1G
Corn Oil	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat #: C8267-500ML
Bleomycin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat #: B5507-15UN
Growth factor-reduced (GFR) Matrigel (10ml)	Corning	Cat #: 356231
Dispase (50U/ml)	Corning	Cat #: 354235
Collagenase/dispase	Roche	Cat #: 10269638001
DNase I	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat #: D4527-10KU
TrypLE Express	Gibco	Cat #: 12604021
2-NDBG	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: N13195
ITS	Corning	Cat #: 25-800-CR
D-Glucose	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat #: G8270
2-Deoxy Glucose	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat #: D8375
Digoxin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat #: D6003

DAPI	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat #: D9542
ROCK inhibitor Y-27632	Cambridge bioscience	Cat #: SM02-100
murine IL-1 β	Peptotech	Cat #: 211-11B
murine IL-1 α	Peptotech	Cat #: 211-11A
murine GM-CSF	Peptotech	Cat #: 315-03-5
human IL-18	R&D system	Cat #: 9124-IL
Critical Commercial Assays		
Click-iT [®] EdU Imaging Kits	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat #: C10640, C10337
Seahorse glycolysis stress test kit	Agilent Technologies	Cat #: 103020-100
Superscript IV cDNA synthesis kit	Invitrogen	Cat #: 18090050
Deposited Data		
scRNA-sequencing for <i>ex vivo</i> organoids treated with PBS or IL-1 β	This Paper	GEO: GSE144468
scRNA-sequencing for <i>in vivo</i> AT2-lineage tracing	This Paper	GEO: GSE145031
ATAC-sequencing for bulk AT2 cells and <i>Il1r1</i> ⁺ AT2 cells	This Paper	GEO: GSE144598
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
Mouse: <i>SPC-Cre</i> ^{ERT2}	Barkauskas et al., 2013	Jackson Laboratory: Stock number: 028054
Mouse: <i>Ndrp1-Cre</i> ^{ERT2}	<i>Endo et al., 2015</i>	Contact: Dr. Motoko Yanagita (Kyoto University, JP)
Mouse: <i>Krt8-Cre</i> ^{ERT2}	<i>Van Keymeulen et al., 2011</i>	Jackson Laboratory: Stock number: 017947
Mouse: <i>Hi1fa</i> ^{flox/flox}	<i>Garayoa et al., 2000</i>	Jackson Laboratory: Stock number: 007561
Mouse: <i>Il1r1</i> ^{flox/flox}	<i>Robson et al., 2016</i>	Jackson Laboratory: Stock number: 028398
Mouse: <i>Rosa26-lox-stop-lox-tdTomato</i>	<i>Madisen et al., 2010</i>	Jackson Laboratory: Stock number: 007914
Mouse: <i>Il1r1-Cre</i> ^{ERT2}	This paper	N/A
Oligonucleotides		
Taqman probe for murine Ager	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Mm_00545815_m1
Taqman probe for murine Pdpn	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Mm_00494716_m1
Taqman probe for murine Aqp5r	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Mm_00437578_m1
Taqman probe for murine Gapdh	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Mm_00805216_m1
Primer for qPCR of SYBR Green	See the method section of RT-PCR	
Software and Algorithms		
FlowJo software	Tree Star	https://www.flowjo.com
Prism software package version 7.0	GraphPad	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/
Fiji software		https://imagej.net/Fiji
HOMER software	Heinz et al., 2010	http://homer.ucsd.edu/homer/
ChIPseeker R/Bioconductor package	Yu et al., 2015	https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/ChIPseeker.html
deepTools2	Ramirez et al., 2016	https://deeptools.readthedocs.io/en/develop/index.html
MACS2 callpeak	Feng et al., 2012	https://github.com/taoliu/MACS/

Cell Ranger Software Suite (version 2.0.2)	10x Genomics Inc	https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-gene-expression/software/downloads/latest
Scanpy: python package (version 1.3.6)	Wolf et al., 2018	https://icb-scanpy.readthedocs-hosted.com/en/stable/
Seurat v2.0	Butler et al., 2018	https://satijalab.org/seurat/
Other		
24-well Transwell insert with a 0.4- μ m pore	Corning	Cat #: 3470
μ -Slide 8 wells	ibidi	Cat #: 80826

1

2 Resource Availability

3

4 Lead Contact

5 Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be
6 fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Dr. Joo-Hyeon Lee (jhl62@cam.ac.uk).

7 Materials Availability

8 Mouse lines are available upon request.

9 Data and code Availability

10 The datasets of scRNA-seq and ATAC-seq analysis generated during this study are available
11 at GEO: GSE145031 (scRNA-seq of AT2 lineage-tracing), GSE144468 (scRNA-seq of
12 organoids), and GSE144598 (ATAC-seq). Software used to analyze the data are either freely
13 or commercially available.

14

15 Experimental Model and Subject Details

16 Mouse Models.

17 *SPC-Cre^{ERT2}* (Barkauskas et al., 2013), *Rosa26-lox-stop-lox-tdTomato* (Madisen et al.,
18 2010), *Ndr1-Cre^{ERT2}* (Endo et al., 2015), *Krt8-Cre^{ERT2}* (Van Keymeulen et al., 2011),
19 *Hilfa^{lox/lox}* (Garayoa et al., 2000), and *Il1r1^{lox/lox}* (Robson et al., 2016) mice have been
20 described and are available from Jackson Laboratory. *Il1r1-P2A-eGFP-IRES-Cre^{ERT2}* (*Il1r1-*
21 *Cre^{ERT2}*) mice were generated in our laboratory. Mice for the lineage tracing and injury
22 experiments were on a C57BL/6 background and 6-10 weeks old mice were used for most of
23 the experiments described in this study. Experiments were approved by local ethical review

1 committees and conducted according to UK Home Office project license PC7F8AE82. Mice
2 were bred and maintained under specific-pathogen-free conditions at the Cambridge Stem Cell
3 Institute and Gurdon Institute of University of Cambridge.

5 **Primary 3D Lung organoid co-culture.**

6 Lung organoids were established following the previous report (Lee et al., 2014). Briefly,
7 freshly sorted lineage-labeled cells were resuspended in 3D basic media (DMEM/F12 (Gibco)
8 supplemented with 10% FBS. (Gibco) and ITS (Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium, Corning)), and
9 mixed with cultured lung stromal cells, followed by resuspension in growth factor-reduced
10 Matrigel (BD Biosciences) at a ratio of 1:5. A 100 µl mixture was placed in a 24-well Transwell
11 insert with a 0.4-µm pore (Corning). Approximately 5×10^3 *SPC*⁺ cells were seeded in each
12 insert. 500 µL of 3D basic media was placed in the lower chamber, and medium was changed
13 every other day with or without IL-1β (20ng/ml, Peprotech), Digoxin (50µM, Sigma), and 2-
14 deoxyglucose (5mM, Sigma). ROCK inhibitor Y27632 (10uM, Sigma) was added in the
15 medium for the first 2 days of culture. For isolation of stroma cells, cells negatively isolated
16 by CD31 via MACS column were further negatively sorted by CD326 (EpCAM) and CD45
17 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech). For co-culture with macrophages, sorted interstitial or alveolar
18 macrophages were added to organoids with lineage-labeled *SPC*⁺ cells at a ratio of 1:6 in the
19 presence of lung stromal cells. GM-CSF (20ng/ml, Peprotech) was included in some cultures.
20 Analysis of colony forming efficiency (C.F.U) and size of organoids were at 14 days after
21 plating if there is no specific description. For organoid culture of DATPs, AT2 cells (CD31⁻
22 CD45⁻EpCAM⁺MHCII⁺) isolated from *Krt8-Cre^{ERT2};R26^{tdTomato}* were cultured with for 14
23 days with IL-1β (20ng/ml, Peprotech). Then, 4-OH tamoxifen was added at day14 and day16
24 in culture to label *Krt8*-expressing cells. Organoids were cultured with EpCAM⁺MHCII⁻
25 Tomato⁺ DATPs isolated by flow cytometry.

27 **Primary Macrophage culture *in vitro*.**

28 Interstitial macrophages (CD45⁺CD64⁺Siglec-F⁻CD11b^{high}) or alveolar macrophages
29 (CD45⁺CD64⁺Siglec-F⁺CD11b^{low}) were isolated from C57BL/6 by MOFLO system (Beckman
30 Coulter). Isolated macrophages were cultured for 24 hrs in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10%
31 FBS and 50µM 2-mercaptoethanol with or without GM-CSF (10 ng/ml).

33 **Human Adult Lung Tissue.**

1 Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust (Research Tissue Bank Generic REC approval,
2 Tissue Bank Project number T02233) provided deidentified lung samples obtained from IPF
3 patients at the time of transplantation, normal background lung tissue from adult donor lungs
4 that were deemed unsuitable for transplant, and lung adenocarcinoma tissues from lobectomies.
5 Fresh tissues were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight at 4°C and paraffin
6 sections (7µm) were used for immunofluorescent (IF) analysis.

7

8 **Methods Details**

9 **Tamoxifen administration.** Tamoxifen (Sigma) was dissolved in Mazola corn oil (Sigma) in
10 a 20mg/ml stock solution. 0.2mg/g body weight tamoxifen was given via intraperitoneal (IP)
11 injection. The numbers and date of treatment are indicated in the individual figures of
12 experimental scheme.

13

14 **Bleomycin Administration.** 6-10 week mice were anaesthetised via inhalation of isoflurane for
15 approximately 3 mins. The mice were positioned on the intratracheal intubation stand, and
16 1.25U/kg of bleomycin, or PBS control, were delivered intratracheally by a catheter (22G).
17 During the procedure anaesthesia was maintained by isoflurane and oxygen delivery.

18 **Lung tissue dissociation and flow cytometry.** Lung tissues were dissociated with a
19 collagenase/dispase solution as previously described. Briefly, after lungs were cleared by
20 perfusion with cold PBS through the right ventricle, 2 mL of dispase (BD Biosciences, 50 U/ml)
21 was instilled into the lungs through the trachea until the lungs inflated, followed by instillation
22 of 1% low melting agarose (BioRad) through the trachea to prevent leakage of dispase. Each
23 lobe was dissected and minced into small pieces in a conical tube containing 3 ml of PBS,
24 60 µL of collagenase/dispase (Roche), and 7.5 µL of 1% DNase I (Sigma) followed by rotating
25 incubation for 45 min at 37°C. The cells were then filtered sequentially through 100- and 40-
26 µm strainers and centrifuged at 1000rpm for 5 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was resuspended in
27 1ml of ACK lysis buffer (0.15 M NH₄Cl, 10mM KHCO₃, 0.1 mM EDTA) and lysed for 90 s
28 at room temperature. 6 ml basic F12 media (GIBCO) was added and 500 µl of FBS (Hyclone)
29 was slowly added in the bottom of tube. Cells were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min at 4°C.
30 The cell pellet was resuspended in PF10 buffer (PBS with 10% FBS) for further staining. The
31 antibodies used were as follows: CD45 (30-F11)-APC or -APC-Cy7 (BD Biosciences), CD31
32 (MEC13.3)-APC (BD Biosciences), Biotin- conjugated mouse lineage (Lin) panel that
33 contains anti-B220 (RA3-6B2), -CD3ε(145-2C11), -Gr-1 (RB6-8C5), -CD11b (Mac-1, M1/70),

1 -Ter-119 antibodies (Biolegend), EpCAM (G8.8)-PE-Cy7 or FITC (BioLegend), Sca-1 (Ly-
2 6A/E, D7)-APC-Cy7 (BD Bioscience), MHC-II (I-A/I-E, M5)-FITC (eBioscience), CD64
3 (X54-5/7.1)-PeCy7 (Biolegend), CD24(M1/69)-APC (eBioscience), and Siglec-F(E50-2440)-
4 PE (BD Bioscience). 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Sigma) was used to eliminate
5 dead cells. Data were acquired on LSRII analyzer (BD Bioscience) and then analyzed with
6 FlowJo software (Tree Star). MOFLO system (Beckman Coulter) was used for the sorting at
7 Wellcome-MRC Stem Cell Institute Flow Cytometry Facility.

8
9 **EdU incorporation Assays in organoids.** Lineage-labeled AT2 cells from
10 *Il1r1^{flox/+};R26R^{tdTomato}* or *Il1r1^{flox/flox};R26R^{tdTomato}* mice given by two doses of tamoxifen were
11 isolated at day 4 post final injection. Organoids established in 8 well chamber slides (μ -Slide
12 8 wells, ibidi) were treated with EdU (10 μ M) at day 4 for 4 hrs. EdU staining was performed
13 according to manufacturer's instructions (Click-iT[®] EdU Imaging Kits, Thermo Fisher
14 Scientific).

15
16 **Measurement of Extracellular Acidification Rate (ECAR).** ECAR of organoids was
17 measured using a XF94 analyzer (Seahorse Bioscience). Seahorse plates were pre-coated with
18 10% Matrigel in PBS for 1hr at 37°C. Organoids treated with PBS control or IL-1 β were added
19 with dispase to remove Matrigel and washed twice with XF Base Medium (DME, pH 7.4)
20 supplemented with 1mM glutamine (Seahorse Bioscience). 30,000 cells were seeded on each
21 well and incubated for 1hr at 37°C in non-CO₂ incubator before measurement. Three
22 components were injected automatically during the assay to achieve the following final
23 concentrations: Glucose (10mM), Oligomycin (1 μ M), and 2-Deoxy Glucose (2-DG, 50mM).
24 ECAR were normalized to the cell numbers of each wells.

25
26 **Glucose Uptake (2-NBDG incorporation) assays.** Organoids at day 14 were washed twice
27 with PBS and incubated with glucose-free medium supplemented with 10% FBS and GlutaMax
28 (Gibco) for 1hr. 200 μ M of 2-NBDG (Life Technologies) were subsequently added for 1hr.
29 Organoids were dissociated into single cells with tryPLE Express (Gibco) and cells were
30 harvested for flow cytometry. A control sample lacking 2-NBDG was used to set the flow
31 cytometer compensation and gate parameters for 2-NBDG positive events.

32
33 **Quantitative RT-PCR.** Total RNA was isolated using TRI- reagent (Molecular Research
34 Center) or using a Qiagen RNeasy Micro Kit according the manufacturer's instructions.

1 Equivalent quantities of total RNA were reverse-transcribed with SuperScript cDNA synthesis
2 kit (Life Technology) or QuantiTect (Qiagen). Diluted cDNA was analyzed by real-time PCR
3 (StepOnePlus; Applied Biosystem). Pre-designed probe sets and TaqMan universal PCR
4 Master Mix (2x, Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used as follows: Ager (Mm_00545815_m1),
5 Pdpn (Mm_00494716_m1), Aqp5 (Mm_00437578_m1). Gapdh expression
6 (Mm_00805216_m1) was used to normalise samples using the Δ Ct method. Sybr green assays
7 were also used with SYBR Green Master Mix (2x, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Primer sequences
8 are as follows:

9
10 Gapdh: F-AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG, R-TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGGTCA
11 Vegfa: F-CCGGTTTAAATCCTGGAGCG, R-TTAACTCAAGCTGCCTCGC
12 Clic5: F-ATGACGGACTCAGCGACAAC, R-GTAGATCGGCTGGCTTTCTTTT
13 Cav-1: F-TGAGAAGCAAGTGTATGACGC, R-CTTCCAGATGCCGTCGAAAC
14 Aqp5: F-TCTTGTGGGGATCTACTTCACC, R-TGAGAGGGGCTGAACCGAT
15 Sdpr: F-GCTGCACAGGCAGAAAAGTTC, R-GTGACAGCATTACCTGCG
16 Spock2: F-ACCCCCGGCAATTCATGG, R-TGTCTTCCCAGCTCTTGATGTAA
17 Limch2: F-AAAGGCCCTTCAGATACGGTC, R-TACTCGTGCTCTCTGCGTCAT
18 Etv5: F-TCAGTCTGATAACTTGGTGCTTC, R-GGCTTCCTATCGTAGGCACAA
19 Abca3: F-CAGCTCACCCTCCTACTCTG, R-ACTGGATCTTCAAGCGAAGCC
20 Lpcat1: F-GGCTCCTGTTCGCTGCTTT, R-TTCACAGCTACACGGTGGAAG
21 Itga7: F-CTGCTGTGGAAGCTGGGATTC, R-CTCCTCCTTGAAGTGTGTCG
22 Lrg1: F-TTGGCAGCATCAAGGAAGC, R-CAGATGGACAGTGTGCGCA
23 Orm1: F-CGAGTACAGGCAGGCAATTCA, R-ACCTATTGTTTGAGACTCCCGA
24 Slc2a1: F-CAGTTCGGCTATAACACTGGTG, R-GCCCCCGACAGAGAAGATG
25 Slc16a3: F-TCACGGGTTTCTCCTACGC, R-GCCAAAGCGGTTACACAC
26 Cldn4: F-GTCCTGGGAATCTCCTTGGC, R-TCTGTGCCGTGACGATGTTG
27 Hif1a: F-ACCTTCATCGGAAACTCCAAAG, R-ACTGTTAGGCTCAGGTGAACT
28 IL-1 β : F-GCAACTGTTCTGAACTCAACT, R-ATCTTTTGGGGTCCGTCAACT
29 IL-13: F-CCTGGGCTCTTGTCTGCCTT, R-GGTCTTGTTGATGTTGCTCA
30 IL-18: F-GACTCTTGCGTCAACTTCAAGG, R-CAGGCTGTCTTTTGTCAACGA
31 IL-22: F-ATGAGTTTTTCCCTTATGGGGAC, R-GCTGGAAGTTGGACACCTCAA
32 IL-33: F-TCCAACCTCAAGATTTCCCG, R-CATGCAGTAGACATGGCAGAA
33 Fgf7: F-TTTGGAAAGAGCGACGACTT, R-GGCAGGATCCGTGTCAGTAT

1 IL-6: F-TCTATACCACTTCACAAGTCGGA, R-GAATTGCCATTGCACAACCTCTTT

2
3 **Histology and Immunohistochemistry.** Mouse lung tissues were routinely perfused, inflated,
4 and fixed with 4% PFA for 4-6 hrs at 4 degrees and cryosections (8um) and paraffin sections
5 (7um) were used for histology and IF analysis. Cultured colonies from organoids were fixed
6 with 4% PFA for 2-4 hrs at room temperature followed by immobilization with Histogel
7 (Thermo Scientific) for paraffin embedding. Sectioned lung tissues or colonies were stained
8 with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) or immunostained: after antigen retrieval with citric acid
9 (0.01M, pH 6.0), blocking was performed with 5% normal donkey serum in 0.2% Triton-
10 X/PBS at room temperature for 1hr. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C at the
11 indicated dilutions: goat anti-SP-C (1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., sc-7706), pro-SP-
12 C (1:300, Millipore, AB3786), rabbit anti-Ki67 (1:250, A. Menarini, MP-325-CRM1), rat anti-
13 Ki67 (1:200, Biolegend, A16A8), rabbit anti-RFP (1:250, Rockland, 600-401379), hamster
14 anti-PDPN (1:1000, DSHB, 8.1.1), rat anti-Cytokeratin-8 (1:300, DSHB, TROMA-I), rabbit
15 anti-Claudin-4 (1:200, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 36-4800), rabbit anti-Hopx (1:100, Santa
16 Cruz Biotechnology Inc., sc-30216), rabbit anti-Aqp5 (1:200, Alomone Labs, AQP5-005),
17 rabbit anti-Caveolin-1 (1:500, Cell Signaling, #3267), and mouse anti-HTII-280 (1:200,
18 Terrace Biotechnology, TB-27AHT2-280). Alexa Fluor-coupled secondary antibodies (1:500,
19 Invitrogen) were incubated at room temperature for 60 min. After antibody staining, nuclei
20 were stained with DAPI (1:1000, Sigma) and sections were embedded in Vectashield (Vector
21 Labs). Fluorescence images were acquired using a confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP5). All
22 the images were further processed with Fiji software.

23
24 **ATAC-seq analysis.** The ATAC-seq assay was performed on 50,000 FACS-purified cells as
25 previously described (Buenrostro et al., 2015). In brief, two biological independent samples
26 were used for ATAC-seq experiment. 5 mice were pooled for *Il1r1*⁺AT2 cells and 1 mouse
27 was used for bulk AT2 cells per group. Purified cells were lysed in ATAC lysis buffer for 5
28 min to get nuclei and then transposed with Tn5 transposase (Illumina) for 30 min. Fractionated
29 DNA was used for amplification and library preparation according to manufacturer's
30 guidelines (Illumina) and 150 bp-paired end sequencing was performed by pooling two
31 samples of *Il1r1*⁺AT2 and bulk AT2 cells, respectively, in one lane of the Illumina HiSeq 4000
32 platform. The quality of the generated sequencing data was checked using the FastQC program,
33 followed by filtering of adaptor and/or overrepresented sequences using Trimmomatic (Bolger
34 et al., 2014). Filtered reads were next mapped to the mouse primary genome assembly

1 (mm9/GRCm38) using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013), with parameters –
2 outFilterMatchNminOverLread 0.4 –outFilterScoreMinOverLread 0.4, and a GTF annotation
3 file of the latest mouse assembly (GCA_000001635.8) downloaded from ENSEMBL ftp.
4 Duplicate reads were flagged and removed using MarkDuplicates from Picard tools.
5 MACS2(Feng et al., 2012) callpeak was used for ATAC-seq peak calling of the *Il1rl1*⁺AT2 and
6 bulk AT2 samples, using the options –nomodel –shift -100 –extsize 200. Differentially enriched
7 peaks in *Il1rl1*⁺AT2 and bulk AT2 populations were next inferred using the MACS2 bdgdiff
8 with a log10 likelihood ratio score cutoff of 10. ATAC-seq heatmaps were plotted using
9 deepTools2 (Ramirez et al., 2016). Annotation of ATAC-seq enriched peaks overlapping with
10 promoter and other gene regions was performed using the CHIPseeker R/Bioconductor package,
11 together with GO enrichment and pathway analyzes (Yu et al., 2015). Finally, motif
12 identification was performed using the findMotifsGenome.pl program of the HOMER software
13 (Heinz et al., 2010).

14

15 **scRNA-seq Library Preparation and Sequencing.** Established organoids of control or IL-
16 1 β -treatment were incubated with dispase (BD Bioscience) for 30-60min. Then, cells were
17 dissociated with TripLE (Gibco) for 5min, followed by washing with buffer (PBS/0.01% BSA).
18 For *SPC lineage*-labeled cells, CD45⁻CD31⁻EpCAM⁺Tomato⁺ cells were sorted at specific
19 time points (at day 14 and day 28 post damage) from PBS or Bleomycin-treated mice (2 mice
20 were pooled for each experiment). For non-lineage-labeled cells isolated from *SPC*-
21 *Cre*^{ERT2};*R26R*^{tdTomato} mice in parallel with experiment of *SPC* lineage-labeled cells, we
22 combined the cells of EpCAM⁺Tomato⁻ and EpCAM⁻ population with a ratio of 2:1,
23 respectively. The resulting cell suspension (~110,000 cells each) were submitted as separate
24 samples to be barcoded for the droplet-encapsulation single- cell RNA-seq experiments using
25 the Chromium Controller (10X Genomics). Single cell cDNA synthesis, amplification and
26 sequencing libraries were generated using the Single Cell 3' Reagent Kit as per the 10x
27 Genomics protocol. Libraries were multiplexed so that 2 libraries were sequenced per single
28 lane of HiSeq 4000 using the following parameters: Read1: 26 cycles, i7: 8 cycles, i5: 0 cycles;
29 Read2: 98 cycles to generate 75bp paired end reads.

30

31 **Alignment, quantification and quality control of single cell RNA sequencing data.**
32 Droplet-based sequencing data was aligned and quantified using the Cell Ranger Single-Cell
33 Software Suite (version 2.0.2, 10x Genomics Inc) using the *Mus musculus* genome (GRCm38)
34 (official Cell Ranger reference, version 1.2.0). Cells were filtered by custom cutoff (more than

1 500 and less than 7000 detected genes, more than 2000 UMI count) to remove potential empty
2 droplets and doublets. Downstream analysis included data normalisation, highly variable gene
3 detection, log transformation, principal component analysis, neighbourhood graph generation
4 and Louvain graph-based clustering, which was done by python package scanpy (version 1.3.6)
5 (Wolf et al., 2018) using default parameters.

6 **Excluding stromal cells and contaminated cells in scRNA-seq analysis of organoids and**

7 **SPC lineage-tracing after bleomycin injury.** For scRNA-seq analysis of organoids, we
8 excluded the cluster of EpCAM⁻ cells of stromal cells we put together with AT2 cells in culture.
9 For *in vivo* scRNA-seq analysis of AT2 cells after bleomycin injury, we excluded non-
10 epithelial cells and ciliated cells based on marker gene expression. Although cells were sorted
11 based on the expression of EpCAM, CD31, CD45, and Tomato before scRNA-seq, 255
12 contaminating cells among 12514 cells captured were observed in the initial droplet dataset.
13 These comprised: 214 ciliated cells expressing *Foxj1*, *Wnt7b*, and *Cd24a*; 16 mesenchyme cells
14 expressing *Vcam1*, *Acta2*, *Des*, and *Pdgfra*; 25 immune cells expressing *Ptprc* (CD45), *Tyrobp*,
15 *Il2rg*, and *Lck*. Each of these cell populations was identified by an initial round of unsupervised
16 Louvain graph-based clustering analysis as they formed extremely distinct clusters and then
17 removed. For scRNA-seq analysis of *in vivo* non-lineage-labeled cells, we excluded the doublet
18 cluster of cells expressing both EpCAM⁺CD45⁺ (1125 cells among 14017 cells).

19
20
21 **Doublet Exclusion.** To exclude doublets from single-cell RNA sequencing data, we applied
22 scrublet algorithm per sample to calculate scrublet-predicted doublet score per cell with
23 following parameters: `sim_doublet_ratio = 2`; `n_neighbors=30`; `expected_doublet_rate= 0.1`.
24 Any cell with scrublet score > 0.7 was flagged as doublet. To propagate the doublet detection
25 into potential false-negatives from scrublet analysis, we over-clustered the dataset
26 (*sc.tl.louvain* function from scanpy package version 1.3.4; resolution = 20), and calculated the
27 average doublet score within each cluster. Any cluster with averaged scrublet score > 0.6 was
28 flagged as a doublet cluster. All remaining cell clusters were further examined to detect
29 potential false-negatives from scrublet analysis according to the following criteria: (1)
30 Expression of marker genes from two distinct cell types which are unlikely according to prior
31 knowledge, (2) higher number of UMI counts.

32
33 **Pseudotime Analysis.** All data contained within our processed Seurat object for the wildtype
34 data set was converted to the AnnData format for pseudotime analysis in Scanpy (version

1 1.3.6). We recalculated k -nearest neighbors at $k = 15$. Pseudotime was calculated using
2 Scanpy's partitioned-based graph abstraction function, PAGA. Diffusion pseudotime was
3 performed using Scanpy's DPT function with default parameters.

4 5 **Quantification and Statistical Analysis.**

6 Sections included in cell scoring analysis for lung tissue were acquired using Leica TCS SP5
7 confocal microscope. At least five different sections including at least 10 alveolar regions from
8 three individual mice per group were used. Cell counts were performed on ImageJ using the
9 'Cell Counter' plug-in and the performer was blinded to the specimen genotype and condition.
10 At least two step sections (30um apart) per individual well were used for quantification of AT1
11 or AT2 cells. Statistical methods relevant to each figure are outlined in the figure legend.
12 Statistical analyzes were performed with Prism software package version 7.0
13 (GraphPad). P values were calculated using two-tailed unpaired or paired Student's t test.
14 Sample size for animal experiments was determined based upon pilot experiments. Mice cohort
15 size was designed to be sufficient to enable accurate determination of statistical significance.
16 No animals were excluded from the statistical analysis. Mice were randomly assigned to
17 treatment or control groups, while ensuring inclusion criteria based on gender and age. Animal
18 studies were not performed in a blinded fashion. The number of animals shown in each
19 figure is indicated in the legends as $n = x$ mice per group. Data shown are either representative
20 of three or more independent experiments, or combined from three or more independent
21 experiments as noted and analyzed as mean \pm SEM.

22
23

1 **References**

- 2 Adams, T.S., Schupp, J.C., Poli, S., Ayaub, E.A., Neumark, N., Ahangari, F., Chu, S.G.,
3 Raby, B.A., DeLuliis, G., Januszyk, M., *et al.* (2019). Single Cell RNA-seq reveals ectopic
4 and aberrant lung resident cell populations in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. bioRxiv
5 <https://doi.org/10.1101/759902>.
- 6 Adamson, I.Y., and Bowden, D.H. (1974). The type 2 cell as progenitor of alveolar epithelial
7 regeneration. A cytodynamic study in mice after exposure to oxygen. *Lab Invest* 30, 35-42.
- 8 Barkauskas, C.E., Crouse, M.J., Rackley, C.R., Bowie, E.J., Keene, D.R., Stripp, B.R.,
9 Randell, S.H., Noble, P.W., and Hogan, B.L. (2013). Type 2 alveolar cells are stem cells in
10 adult lung. *J Clin Invest* 123, 3025-3036.
- 11 Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for
12 Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114-2120.
- 13 Buenrostro, J.D., Wu, B., Chang, H.Y., and Greenleaf, W.J. (2015). ATAC-seq: A Method
14 for Assaying Chromatin Accessibility Genome-Wide. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol* 109, 21 29 21-
15 29.
- 16 Chen, F., Liu, Z., Wu, W., Rozo, C., Bowdridge, S., Millman, A., Van Rooijen, N., Urban,
17 J.F., Jr., Wynn, T.A., and Gause, W.C. (2012). An essential role for TH2-type responses in
18 limiting acute tissue damage during experimental helminth infection. *Nat Med* 18, 260-266.
- 19 Conway, E.M., Pikor, L.A., Kung, S.H., Hamilton, M.J., Lam, S., Lam, W.L., and
20 Bennewith, K.L. (2016). Macrophages, Inflammation, and Lung Cancer. *Am J Respir Crit*
21 *Care Med* 193, 116-130.
- 22 Dang, C.V., Kim, J.W., Gao, P., and Yuste, J. (2008). The interplay between MYC and HIF
23 in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 8, 51-56.
- 24 Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson,
25 M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*
26 29, 15-21.
- 27 Endo, T., Nakamura, J., Sato, Y., Asada, M., Yamada, R., Takase, M., Takaori, K., Oguchi,
28 A., Iguchi, T., Higashi, A.Y., *et al.* (2015). Exploring the origin and limitations of kidney
29 regeneration. *J Pathol* 236, 251-263.
- 30 Feng, J., Liu, T., Qin, B., Zhang, Y., and Liu, X.S. (2012). Identifying ChIP-seq enrichment
31 using MACS. *Nat Protoc* 7, 1728-1740.
- 32 Finn, J., Sottoriva, K., Pajcini, K.V., Kitajewski, J.K., Chen, C., Zhang, W., Malik, A.B., and
33 Liu, Y. (2019). Dlk1-Mediated Temporal Regulation of Notch Signaling Is Required for

1 Differentiation of Alveolar Type II to Type I Cells during Repair. *Cell Rep* 26, 2942-2954
2 e2945.

3 Fortier, M.A., Krishnaswamy, K., Danyod, G., Boucher-Kovalik, S., and Chapdalaine, P.
4 (2008). A postgenomic integrated view of prostaglandins in reproduction: implications for
5 other body systems. *J Physiol Pharmacol* 59 *Suppl 1*, 65-89.

6 Ganguly, K., Martin, T.M., Concel, V.J., Upadhyay, S., Bein, K., Brant, K.A., George, L.,
7 Mitra, A., Thimraj, T.A., Fabisiak, J.P., *et al.* (2014). Secreted phosphoprotein 1 is a
8 determinant of lung function development in mice. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 51, 637-651.

9 Garayoa, M., Martinez, A., Lee, S., Pio, R., An, W.G., Neckers, L., Trepel, J., Montuenga,
10 L.M., Ryan, H., Johnson, R., *et al.* (2000). Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) up-regulates
11 adrenomedullin expression in human tumor cell lines during oxygen deprivation: a possible
12 promotion mechanism of carcinogenesis. *Mol Endocrinol* 14, 848-862.

13 Habermann, A.C., Gutierrez, A.J., Bui, L.T., Yahn, S.L., Winters, L.I., Calvi, C.L., Peter, L.,
14 Chung, M.I., Taylor, C.J., Jetter, C., *et al.* (2019). Single-cell RNA-sequencing reveals
15 profibrotic roles of distinct epithelial and mesenchymal lineages in pulmonary fibrosis.
16 bioRxiv <https://doi.org/10.1101/753806>.

17 Haghverdi, L., Buttner, M., Wolf, F.A., Buettner, F., and Theis, F.J. (2016). Diffusion
18 pseudotime robustly reconstructs lineage branching. *Nat Methods* 13, 845-848.

19 Hasegawa, K., Sato, A., Tanimura, K., Uemasu, K., Hamakawa, Y., Fuseya, Y., Sato, S.,
20 Muro, S., and Hirai, T. (2017). Fraction of MHCII and EpCAM expression characterizes
21 distal lung epithelial cells for alveolar type 2 cell isolation. *Respir Res* 18, 150.

22 Heinz, S., Benner, C., Spann, N., Bertolino, E., Lin, Y.C., Laslo, P., Cheng, J.X., Murre, C.,
23 Singh, H., and Glass, C.K. (2010). Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription
24 factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol Cell*
25 38, 576-589.

26 Hogan, B.L., Barkauskas, C.E., Chapman, H.A., Epstein, J.A., Jain, R., Hsia, C.C., Niklason,
27 L., Calle, E., Le, A., Randell, S.H., *et al.* (2014). Repair and regeneration of the respiratory
28 system: complexity, plasticity, and mechanisms of lung stem cell function. *Cell Stem Cell* 15,
29 123-138.

30 Hsu, Y.C., Li, L., and Fuchs, E. (2014). Emerging interactions between skin stem cells and
31 their niches. *Nat Med* 20, 847-856.

32 Katsura, H., Kobayashi, Y., Tata, P.R., and Hogan, B.L.M. (2019). IL-1 and TNFalpha
33 Contribute to the Inflammatory Niche to Enhance Alveolar Regeneration. *Stem Cell Reports*
34 12, 657-666.

1 Klose, C.S., and Artis, D. (2016). Innate lymphoid cells as regulators of immunity,
2 inflammation and tissue homeostasis. *Nat Immunol* *17*, 765-774.

3 Kobayashi, Y., Tata, A., Konkimalla, A., Katsura, H., Lee, F.R., Ou, J., Banovich, E.N.,
4 Kropski, A.J., and Tata, R.P. (2019). Persistence of a novel regeneration-associated
5 transitional cell state in pulmonary fibrosis. *BioRxiv* <https://doi.org/10.1101/855155>.

6 Kotton, D.N., and Morrisey, E.E. (2014). Lung regeneration: mechanisms, applications and
7 emerging stem cell populations. *Nat Med* *20*, 822-832.

8 Kuriakose, T., and Kanneganti, T.D. (2018). ZBP1: Innate Sensor Regulating Cell Death and
9 Inflammation. *Trends Immunol* *39*, 123-134.

10 Lechner, A.J., Driver, I.H., Lee, J., Conroy, C.M., Nagle, A., Locksley, R.M., and Rock, J.R.
11 (2017). Recruited Monocytes and Type 2 Immunity Promote Lung Regeneration following
12 Pneumectomy. *Cell Stem Cell* *21*, 120-134 e127.

13 Lee, J.H., Bhang, D.H., Beede, A., Huang, T.L., Stripp, B.R., Bloch, K.D., Wagers, A.J.,
14 Tseng, Y.H., Ryeom, S., and Kim, C.F. (2014). Lung stem cell differentiation in mice
15 directed by endothelial cells via a BMP4-NFATc1-thrombospondin-1 axis. *Cell* *156*, 440-
16 455.

17 Lee, J.H., Tammela, T., Hofree, M., Choi, J., Marjanovic, N.D., Han, S., Canner, D., Wu, K.,
18 Paschini, M., Bhang, D.H., *et al.* (2017). Anatomically and Functionally Distinct Lung
19 Mesenchymal Populations Marked by *Lgr5* and *Lgr6*. *Cell* *170*, 1149-1163 e1112.

20 Li, L., and Clevers, H. (2010). Coexistence of quiescent and active adult stem cells in
21 mammals. *Science* *327*, 542-545.

22 Ligresti, G., Aplin, A.C., Dunn, B.E., Morishita, A., and Nicosia, R.F. (2012). The acute
23 phase reactant orosomucoid-1 is a bimodal regulator of angiogenesis with time- and context-
24 dependent inhibitory and stimulatory properties. *PLoS One* *7*, e41387.

25 Lindemans, C.A., Calafiore, M., Mertelsmann, A.M., O'Connor, M.H., Dudakov, J.A., Jenq,
26 R.R., Velardi, E., Young, L.F., Smith, O.M., Lawrence, G., *et al.* (2015). Interleukin-22
27 promotes intestinal-stem-cell-mediated epithelial regeneration. *Nature* *528*, 560-564.

28 Madisen, L., Zwingman, T.A., Sunkin, S.M., Oh, S.W., Zariwala, H.A., Gu, H., Ng, L.L.,
29 Palmiter, R.D., Hawrylycz, M.J., Jones, A.R., *et al.* (2010). A robust and high-throughput Cre
30 reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. *Nat Neurosci* *13*, 133-140.

31 Mantovani, A., Allavena, P., Sica, A., and Balkwill, F. (2008). Cancer-related inflammation.
32 *Nature* *454*, 436-444.

33 Artis, P.C., Whitsett, J.A., Xu, Y., Perl, A.K., Wan, H., and Ikegami, M. (2006).
34 C/EBPalpha is required for lung maturation at birth. *Development* *133*, 1155-1164.

1 Maynard, A., McCoach, C.E., Julia K. Rotow, L.H., Haderk, F., Kerr, L., Yu, E.A., Schenk,
2 E.L., Tan, W., Zee, A., Tan, M., *et al.* (2019). Heterogeneity and targeted therapy-induced
3 adaptations in lung cancer revealed by longitudinal single-cell RNA sequencing. bioRxiv doi:
4 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2019.12.08.868828>.

5 Medzhitov, R. (2008). Origin and physiological roles of inflammation. *Nature* 454, 428-435.

6 Minoo, P., Su, G., Drum, H., Bringas, P., and Kimura, S. (1999). Defects in
7 tracheoesophageal and lung morphogenesis in Nkx2.1(-/-) mouse embryos. *Dev Biol* 209, 60-
8 71.

9 Miossec, P., and Kolls, J.K. (2012). Targeting IL-17 and TH17 cells in chronic inflammation.
10 *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 11, 763-776.

11 Misharin, A.V., Morales-Nebreda, L., Reyfman, P.A., Cuda, C.M., Walter, J.M., McQuattie-
12 Pimentel, A.C., Chen, C.I., Anekalla, K.R., Joshi, N., Williams, K.J.N., *et al.* (2017).
13 Monocyte-derived alveolar macrophages drive lung fibrosis and persist in the lung over the
14 life span. *J Exp Med* 214, 2387-2404.

15 Moll, H.P., Pranz, K., Musteanu, M., Grabner, B., Hruschka, N., Mohrherr, J., Aigner, P.,
16 Stiedl, P., Brcic, L., Laszlo, V., *et al.* (2018). Afatinib restrains K-RAS-driven lung
17 tumorigenesis. *Sci Transl Med* 10.

18 Nabhan, A.N., Brownfield, D.G., Harbury, P.B., Krasnow, M.A., and Desai, T.J. (2018).
19 Single-cell Wnt signaling niches maintain stemness of alveolar type 2 cells. *Science* 359,
20 1118-1123.

21 Naik, S., Larsen, S.B., Cowley, C.J., and Fuchs, E. (2018). Two to Tango: Dialog between
22 Immunity and Stem Cells in Health and Disease. *Cell* 175, 908-920.

23 Naik, S., Larsen, S.B., Gomez, N.C., Alaverdyan, K., Sendoel, A., Yuan, S., Polak, L.,
24 Kulukian, A., Chai, S., and Fuchs, E. (2017). Inflammatory memory sensitizes skin epithelial
25 stem cells to tissue damage. *Nature* 550, 475-480.

26 Olsson, A., Venkatasubramanian, M., Chaudhri, V.K., Aronow, B.J., Salomonis, N., Singh,
27 H., and Grimes, H.L. (2016). Single-cell analysis of mixed-lineage states leading to a binary
28 cell fate choice. *Nature* 537, 698-702.

29 Ramirez, F., Ryan, D.P., Gruning, B., Bhardwaj, V., Kilpert, F., Richter, A.S., Heyne, S.,
30 Dundar, F., and Manke, T. (2016). deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-
31 sequencing data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44, W160-165.

32 Riemondy, K.A., Jansing, N.L., Jiang, P., Redente, E.F., Gillen, A.E., Fu, R., Miller, A.J.,
33 Spence, J.R., Gerber, A.N., Hesselberth, J.R., *et al.* (2019). Single cell RNA sequencing

1 identifies TGFbeta as a key regenerative cue following LPS-induced lung injury. JCI Insight
2 5.

3 Rindler, T.N., Stockman, C.A., Filuta, A.L., Brown, K.M., Snowball, J.M., Zhou, W.,
4 Veldhuizen, R., Zink, E.M., Dautel, S.E., Clair, G., *et al.* (2017). Alveolar injury and
5 regeneration following deletion of ABCA3. JCI Insight 2.

6 Robson, M.J., Zhu, C.B., Quinlan, M.A., Botschner, D.A., Baganz, N.L., Lindler, K.M.,
7 Thome, J.G., Hewlett, W.A., and Blakely, R.D. (2016). Generation and Characterization of
8 Mice Expressing a Conditional Allele of the Interleukin-1 Receptor Type 1. PLoS One 11,
9 e0150068.

10 Rock, J.R., Barkauskas, C.E., Cronce, M.J., Xue, Y., Harris, J.R., Liang, J., Noble, P.W., and
11 Hogan, B.L. (2011). Multiple stromal populations contribute to pulmonary fibrosis without
12 evidence for epithelial to mesenchymal transition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108, E1475-
13 1483.

14 Schonhaler, H.B., Guinea-Viniegra, J., and Wagner, E.F. (2011). Targeting inflammation by
15 modulating the Jun/AP-1 pathway. Ann Rheum Dis 70 Suppl 1, i109-112.

16 Semenza, G.L. (2012). Hypoxia-inducible factors in physiology and medicine. Cell 148, 399-
17 408.

18 Van Keymeulen, A., Rocha, A.S., Ousset, M., Beck, B., Bouvencourt, G., Rock, J., Sharma,
19 N., Dekoninck, S., and Blanpain, C. (2011). Distinct stem cells contribute to mammary gland
20 development and maintenance. Nature 479, 189-193.

21 Wagers, A.J., and Weissman, I.L. (2004). Plasticity of adult stem cells. Cell 116, 639-648.

22 Weaver, M., Dunn, N.R., and Hogan, B.L. (2000). Bmp4 and Fgf10 play opposing roles
23 during lung bud morphogenesis. Development 127, 2695-2704.

24 Westphalen, K., Gusarova, G.A., Islam, M.N., Subramanian, M., Cohen, T.S., Prince, A.S.,
25 and Bhattacharya, J. (2014). Sessile alveolar macrophages communicate with alveolar
26 epithelium to modulate immunity. Nature 506, 503-506.

27 Wolf, F.A., Angerer, P., and Theis, F.J. (2018). SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene
28 expression data analysis. Genome Biol 19, 15.

29 Wolf, F.A., Hamey, F.K., Plass, M., Solana, J., Dahlin, J.S., Gottgens, B., Rajewsky, N.,
30 Simon, L., and Theis, F.J. (2019). PAGA: graph abstraction reconciles clustering with
31 trajectory inference through a topology preserving map of single cells. Genome Biol 20, 59.

32 Wu, H., Yu, Y., Huang, H., Hu, Y., Fu, S., Wang, Z., Shi, M., Zhao, X., Yuan, J., Li, J., *et al.*
33 (2020). Progressive Pulmonary Fibrosis Is Caused by Elevated Mechanical Tension on
34 Alveolar Stem Cells. Cell 180, 107-121 e117.

1 Yu, G., Wang, L.G., and He, Q.Y. (2015). ChIPseeker: an R/Bioconductor package for ChIP
2 peak annotation, comparison and visualization. *Bioinformatics* 31, 2382-2383.

3 Zacharias, W.J., Frank, D.B., Zepp, J.A., Morley, M.P., Alkhaleel, F.A., Kong, J., Zhou, S.,
4 Cantu, E., and Morrisey, E.E. (2018). Regeneration of the lung alveolus by an evolutionarily
5 conserved epithelial progenitor. *Nature* 555, 251-255.

6 Zeng, L., Yang, X.T., Li, H.S., Li, Y., Yang, C., Gu, W., Zhou, Y.H., Du, J., Wang, H.Y.,
7 Sun, J.H., *et al.* (2016). The cellular kinetics of lung alveolar epithelial cells and its
8 relationship with lung tissue repair after acute lung injury. *Respir Res* 17, 164.

9 Zepp, J.A., Zacharias, W.J., Frank, D.B., Cavanaugh, C.A., Zhou, S., Morley, M.P., and
10 Morrisey, E.E. (2017). Distinct Mesenchymal Lineages and Niches Promote Epithelial Self-
11 Renewal and Myofibrogenesis in the Lung. *Cell* 170, 1134-1148 e1110.

12 Zhang, Z., Newton, K., Kummerfeld, S.K., Webster, J., Kirkpatrick, D.S., Phu, L., Eastham-
13 Anderson, J., Liu, J., Lee, W.P., Wu, J., *et al.* (2017). Transcription factor Etv5 is essential
14 for the maintenance of alveolar type II cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114, 3903-3908.

15

16

17

1 **Figure Legends**

2

3 **Figure 1. scRNA-seq reveals a dynamic lineage trajectory from AT2 cells to AT1 cells**
4 **during alveolar regeneration after injury.**

5 (A) Schematics of experimental design for *SPC* lineage-labeled single cell isolation at indicated
6 time points after bleomycin injury.

7 (B) Clusters of *SPC* lineage-labeled alveolar cells (12,086) from 10xGenomics 3' single-cell
8 RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) analysis visualized by UMAP, assigned by specific colors.
9 Number of cells in the individual cluster is depicted in the figure.

10 (C) Distribution of each cluster across indicated time points after injury.

11 (D) Gene expression of key markers in each distinctive cluster.

12 (E) Network topology among clusters from single cell data revealed by Partition-based graph
13 abstraction (PAGA). Colors indicate the proportion of each cluster by time point. Each node in
14 the PAGA graph represents a cluster and the weight of the lines represents the statistical
15 measure of connectivity between clusters.

16 (F) Heat map of gene expression profiles according to pseudotime trajectory. Lower color bars
17 indicate cell types (upper panel) and actual time (bottom panel). **See also Fig. S1.**

18

19 **Figure 2. IL-1 β signaling directly promotes reprogramming of AT2 cells.**

20 (A) Schematics of organoid co-culture of *SPC* lineage-labeled AT2 cells (*SPC*⁺Tomato⁺) with
21 interstitial (IMs) or alveolar macrophages (AMs) isolated from wildtype lung tissues in the
22 presence of stromal cells. **See also Fig. S2.**

23 (B) Representative fluorescent images (left and middle) and H&E (right) staining of AT2
24 organoids. GM-CSF was added to activate macrophages. Scale bar, 1,000 μ m (left) and 50 μ m
25 (right).

26 (C) Statistical quantification of colony forming efficiency and size of organoids. Each
27 individual dot represents one experiment from one mouse and data are presented as mean and
28 SEM. *** $p < 0.001$.

29 (D) Representative fluorescent images (top) and H&E staining (bottom) of primary organoids
30 derived from *SPC* lineage-labeled AT2 cells (*SPC*⁺Tomato⁺) treated with vehicle (PBS), IL-
31 1 β or IL-18. Scale bar, 1,000 μ m (top) and 50 μ m (bottom).

32 (E) Quantification of colony forming efficiency and size. Data are presented as mean and SEM.

1 (F) UMAP visualization of cell clusters from scRNA-seq analysis of epithelial cells from
2 control (1,286 cells) or IL-1 β -treated organoids (10 ng/ml, 2,584 cells). Cells were isolated at
3 day 21 in organoid culture. Colors indicate samples and distinct cell types. Number of cells in
4 the individual cluster is depicted in the figure. **See also Fig. S3.**

5 (G) The percentage of each cluster in total cells of control or IL-1 β -treated organoids.

6 (H) Diffusion map according to diffusion pseudotime (DPT, left) order colored by samples
7 (right).

8 (I) qPCR analysis of genes that are upregulated (*Itga7*, *Lrg1*, *Orml1*) or downregulated (*Etv5*,
9 *Abca3*, *Lpcat1*, *Fgfr2*, and *Acly*) in Primed AT2 cells. EpCAM⁺ epithelial cells were isolated
10 from organoids treated with PBS or IL-1 β at day 6 in AT2 organoid culture. Each individual
11 dot represents one experiment and data are presented as mean \pm SEM. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

12 (J) Representative IF images showing the generation of DATPs marked by Cldn4 and Krt8
13 expression in AT2 organoids treated with IL-1 β : SPC (white), Cldn4 (red), Krt8 (green) and
14 DAPI (blue). Scale bars, 50 μ m.

15 (K) Flow cytometry analysis of DATPs by gating with Cldn4 and EpCAM. Data are presented
16 as mean \pm SEM (n=5). ***p<0.001.

17

18 **Figure 3. Injury response-specific DATPs are derived from AT2 cells and mediate AT1**
19 **lineage differentiation.**

20 (A) Schematics of experimental design for SPC lineage-tracing analysis using SPC-
21 *Cre^{ERT2};R26^{tdTomato}* mice at indicated time points after bleomycin injury.

22 (B) Representative IF images showing the derivation of DATPs from AT2 lineage-labeled cells
23 at day 14 post injury: Tomato (red), SPC (white), and Krt8 (green). White boxed insets are
24 shown on the right. Arrowhead points to lineage-labeled *Krt8*⁺DATPs that do not express AT2
25 marker SPC. Scale bar, 50 μ m.

26 (C) Quantification of lineage-labeled SPC⁺ AT2 cells or *Krt8*⁺ DATPs at day 14 post injury.
27 Each individual dot represents one section and data are presented as mean \pm SEM with three
28 independent experiments (n=4).

29 (D) Representative IF images showing the derivation of DATPs from AT2 lineage-labeled cells
30 at day 14 post injury: Tomato (red), Pdpn (white). Arrowhead points to lineage-labeled *Krt8*⁺
31 DATPs that do not express AT1 marker Pdpn. Scale bar, 10 μ m.

1 (E) Experimental design for *Ndrgr1* lineage-tracing analysis using *Ndrgr1-Cre^{ERT2};R26R^{tdTomato}*
2 mice after bleomycin injury. Specific time points for tamoxifen injection and analysis are
3 indicated.

4 (F) Representative IF images show that airway cells are not marked by *Ndrgr1* expression at
5 day 9 post PBS (left) or Bleomycin (right) treatment: Tomato (for *Ndrgr1* lineage, red), CC10
6 (green, secretory cells), Acetyl-Tub (white, ciliated cells), and DAPI (blue). Insets (denoted as
7 number 1, 2, and 3) show high-power view.

8 (G) Representative IF images show that *Ndrgr1* expression does not label KRT8⁺ DATPs, SPC⁺
9 AT2 cells, and AGER⁺ AT1 cells at day 9 (top and middle) and day 28 (bottom) post PBS
10 treatment: Tomato (for *Ndrgr1* lineage, red), Pdpn (white, top), SPC (white, middle and bottom),
11 Krt8 (green, top and middle) and Ager (green, bottom). Scale bar, 50 μ m.

12 (H) Representative IF images showing the derivation of *Ndrgr1* lineage-labeled DATPs that are
13 negative for AT1 or AT2 markers but positive for Krt8 at day 9 post injury: Tomato (red), Pdpn
14 (white, top), SPC (white, bottom), Krt8 (green), and DAPI (blue). Arrowhead points to lineage-
15 labeled DATPs. Scale bar, 50 μ m.

16 (I) Statistical quantification of Krt8⁺Tomato⁺ cells at indicated time points post PBS or
17 bleomycin injury. Each individual dot represents one section and data are presented as mean \pm
18 SEM (n=2 PBS control, n=3 for bleomycin). ***p<0.001.

19 (J) Representative IF images showing the differentiation of *Ndrgr1* lineage-labeled AT1 and
20 AT2 cells at day 28 after injury: Tomato (red), SPC (white), Ager (green), and DAPI (blue).
21 Arrowhead points to lineage-labeled Ager⁺ AT1 cells and arrow points to lineage-labeled SPC⁺
22 AT2 cells. White boxed insets (left) are shown on the right. Scale bar, 50 μ m (left) and 10 μ m
23 (right).

24 (K) Statistical quantification of lineage-labeled Ager⁺Tomato⁺ AT1 cells at indicated time
25 points post PBS or bleomycin injury. Each individual dot represents one section and data are
26 presented as mean \pm SEM (n=2 PBS control, n=3 bleomycin). ***p<0.001.

27 (L) Statistical quantification of lineage-labeled SPC⁺Tomato⁺ AT2 cells at indicated time
28 points post PBS or bleomycin injury. Each individual dot represents one section and data are
29 presented as mean \pm SEM (n=2 PBS control, n=3 bleomycin). ***p<0.001.

30 **See also Fig. S4.**

31

32 **Figure 4. DATPs induced by IL-1 β -driven Hif1a signaling are essential mediators for**
33 **alveolar regeneration.**

- 1 (A) Experimental design for lineage tracing of *Il1rl1*- haplodeficient or deficient AT2 cells post
2 bleomycin administration.
- 3 (B) Representative IF images showing DATPs generation from *SPC* lineage-labeled cells at
4 day 10 post injury in the indicated genotype: Tomato (for *SPC* lineage, red), *SPC* (white), *Krt8*
5 (green), and DAPI (blue). Scale bars, 50 μ m.
- 6 (C) Quantification of lineage-labeled *Krt8*⁺ DATPs at day 10 post injury. Each individual dot
7 represents one section and data are presented as mean \pm SEM (n=3).
- 8 (D) Representative IF images showing AT1 cell differentiation from *SPC* lineage-labeled cells
9 at day 21 post injury in the indicated genotype: Tomato (for *SPC* lineage, red), *Pdpn* (white),
10 and DAPI (blue). Scale bars, 50 μ m. **See also Fig. S5.**
- 11 (E) Quantification of lineage-labeled *Pdpn*⁺ AT1 cells at day 21 post injury. Each individual
12 dot represents one section and data are presented as mean \pm SEM (n=6).
- 13 (F) Heat map of the transcriptional profiles of genes that are associated with Hif1 α -mediated
14 signaling including glycolysis pathway in the subset of clusters.
- 15 (G) Schematic of AT2 organoid culture treated with digoxin in the presence of IL-1 β .
- 16 (H) Representative IF images showing the impaired generation of DATPs and AT1 lineage in
17 digoxin-treated organoids: *SPC* (white), *Krt8* (top, green), *Hopx* (bottom, red), and DAPI
18 (blue). Scale bar, 50 μ m. **See also Fig. S6.**
- 19 (I) Quantification of the frequency of AT2 (*SPC*⁺) or AT1 (*Hopx*⁺) cells (left) and the ratio of
20 AT1/AT2 (right). Each individual dot represents one experiment and data are presented as
21 mean \pm SEM. ***p<0.001.

22

23 **Figure 5. *Il1rl1*⁺AT2 cells are distinct subsets that generate DATPs during alveolar**
24 **regeneration after injury.**

- 25 (A) Schematic of *Il1rl1-Cre*^{ERT2} mice.
- 26 (B) Experimental design for lineage tracing. Date for analysis is as indicated.
- 27 (C) Representative IF images showing *Il1rl1* lineage-labeled cells only in ciliated cells (top)
28 not in club cells (bottom) in uninjured airways at day 14 post two doses of tamoxifen injection:
29 Tomato (for *Il1rl1* lineage, red), Acetyl-Tub (white), CC10 (white), and DAPI (blue). Scale
30 bars: 50 μ m.
- 31 (D) Representative IF images showing *Il1rl1* lineage-labeled AT2 cells in the lung of mice
32 treated with control (PBS) or bleomycin at day 14 post injury: Tomato (for *Il1rl1* lineage, red),

1 SPC (white), and DAPI (blue). Arrowhead points to *Illr1* lineage-labeled SPC⁺AT2 cells.
2 Scale bars, 50 μ m.

3 **(E)** Quantification of *Illr1* lineage-labeled SPC⁺ AT2 cells in (C). Each individual dot
4 represents one section and data are presented as mean \pm SEM with three independent
5 experiments. *** $p < 0.001$.

6 **(F)** Representative IF images showing Ki67⁺ cells in lineage-labeled or –unlabeled SPC⁺ AT2
7 cells at day 14 post injury: Tomato (for *Illr1* lineage, red), SPC (white), Ki67 (green), and
8 DAPI (blue). Arrowhead points to *Illr1* lineage-labeled proliferating AT2 cells. Scale bars, 50
9 μ m.

10 **(G)** Quantification of Ki67⁺ AT2 cells in lineage-labeled or -unlabeled SPC⁺ cells. Each
11 individual dot represents one section and data are presented as mean \pm SEM with three
12 independent experiments. *** $p < 0.001$.

13 **(H)** Representative IF images showing *Illr1* lineage-labeled DATPs at day 14 post injury:
14 Tomato (for *Illr1* lineage, red), Krt8 (green), and DAPI (blue). Arrowhead points to *Illr1*
15 lineage-labeled DATPs. Insets (left) show high-power view (right top). Scale bars, 50 μ m.

16 **(I)** Quantification of *Illr1* lineage-labeled DATPs at day 14 post bleomycin injury. Each
17 individual dot represents one section and data are presented as mean \pm SEM with three
18 independent experiments.

19 **(J)** Representative IF images showing *Illr1* lineage-labeled AT1 cells at day 28 post injury:
20 Tomato (for *Illr1* lineage, red), SPC (white), Ager (green), and DAPI (blue). Scale bars, 50 μ m.
21

22 **Figure 6. *Illr1*⁺AT2 cells possess a chromatin architecture that enables a rapid response**
23 **to injury.**

24 **(A)** ATAC-seq heat map (Top) and Venn diagrams (bottom) showing genome-wide regions of
25 differential open chromatin peaks in *Illr1*⁺AT2 versus bulk AT2 cells in duplicates. The values
26 correspond to the peak signal distribution around TSS (Transcription Start Sites). Number of
27 nearest neighbour genes covered by peaks are indicated on diagrams.

28 **(B)** GO enrichment analysis of the nearest neighbour genes in the vicinity of peaks shared
29 between *Illr1*⁺AT2 and bulk AT2 cells.

30 **(C)** GO enrichment analysis of the nearest neighbour genes in the vicinity of *Illr1*⁺AT2 peaks.

31 **(D)** Snapshots of genomic loci in which the chromatin-accessible peaks are specifically opened
32 in *Illr1*⁺AT2 cells identified by GO enrichment analysis shown in (C).

33 **(E)** Transcription factor motif enrichment within *Illr1*⁺AT2-specific peaks or peaks shared
34 between *Illr1*⁺AT2 and bulk AT2 cells. **See also Fig. S7.**

1 **Figure 7. Glycolysis pathway driven by IL-1 β prevents DATPs from converting into**
2 **terminally mature AT1 cells.**

3 **(A-C)** Violin plots showing the log-transformed ($\log_{10}(\text{TPM}+1)$), normalized expression levels
4 of early AT1 **(A)**, late AT1 **(B)**, and DATP **(C)** marker genes in DATPs, control or IL-1 β -
5 treated AT1 cells revealed by scRNA-seq analysis of organoids.

6 **(D)** Schematic of AT2 organoid culture treated with or without IL-1 β .

7 **(E)** qPCR analysis for mature AT1 markers on isolated epithelial cells from AT2 organoids.
8 Data are presented as mean \pm SEM of four biological replicates from two-independent
9 experiments. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

10 **(F)** Schematic of AT2 organoid culture treated with or without 2-deoxy glucose (2-DG) in the
11 presence of IL-1 β .

12 **(G)** qPCR analysis for mature AT1 markers on isolated epithelial cells from AT2 organoids.
13 Each individual dot represents one experiment and data are presented as mean \pm SEM. * $p < 0.05$,
14 ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

15 **(H)** Representative IF images showing the rescued maturation of AT1 cells in 2-DG treated
16 organoids in the presence of IL-1 β : SPC (white), Hopx (top, red), Cav-1 (bottom, red) and
17 DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 50 μm .

18 **(I)** Representative IF images of KRT8⁺CLDN4⁺ DATPs-like population in the lung from
19 normal donors (n=3). HTII-280 (red), CLDN4 (white), KRT8 (green) and DAPI (blue). Scale
20 bar, 50 μm . **See also Fig. S7.**

21 **(J)** Representative IF images of KRT8⁺CLDN4⁺ DATPs-like population in the lung from IPF
22 patients (n=5). HTII-280 (red), CLDN4 (white), KRT8 (green) and DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 50
23 μm .

24 **(K)** High-power view of white boxed insets in Fig. 7J. HTII-280 (red), CLDN4 (white), KRT8
25 (green) and DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 50 μm .

26
27