

MARCHING TO DIFFERENT DRUM BEATS: A TEMPORAL PERSPECTIVE ON COORDINATING OCCUPATIONAL WORK

In this paper, we contribute a temporal perspective on work coordination across collaborating occupations. Drawing on an ethnographic study of medical specialists – surgeons, pathologists, oncologists and radiologists – we examine how their temporal orientations are shaped through the temporal structuring of occupational work. Our findings show that temporal structuring of occupational practices develop in relation to the contingencies and materialities of their work, and that this shapes, and is shaped by, specialists' temporal orientations. Further, we show that differences in occupations' temporal orientation have important implications for coordinating work. More specifically, our study reveals how the domination of one temporal orientation can lead to recurrent strain, promoting a competitive trade-off between the different temporal orientations in guiding interaction. This temporal orientation domination is accompanied by a persistent emotional strain and potential conflict. Finally, we suggest that, alternatively, different temporal orientations can be resourced in solving coordination challenges through three inter-related mechanisms, namely *juxtaposing*, *temporal working*, and *mutual adjusting*. In so doing, we show how temporal resourcing can be productive in coordinating work.

Key words: Time, temporality, temporal orientation, coordination, collaboration, occupational work, resourcing, practice, healthcare

Eivor Oborn, Warwick Business School, University of Warwick Coventry UK,
eivor.oborn@wbs.ac.uk (Contact author)

Michael Barrett, Cambridge Judge Business School, University of Cambridge, Cambridge UK, AND
Stockholm School of Economics, Stockholm Sweden. m.barrett@jbs.cam.ac.uk

Acknowledgements:

The authors are also grateful for the valuable guidance provided by Martha Feldman and the anonymous review team. We would also like to thank several colleagues for their feedback on the paper during its development: Sandra Dawson, Samer Faraj, Bob Hinings, Kate Kellogg, Anna Kim, Wanda Orlikowski, Magnus Mähring and participants in seminar series at McGill University and Stockholm School of Economics.

Eivor Oborn is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care West Midlands. This paper presents independent research and the views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

Accepted for publication in *Organization Science* June 2020, paper forthcoming.

INTRODUCTION

Coordinating work between occupational groups remains a formidable management challenge. Challenge arises in part because coordinating such work requires task integration and knowledge collaboration across occupational domains, as workers' diverse expertise and understanding are all important in accomplishing the work (Okhuysen and Bechky 2009). For example, academic faculty need to coordinate with university administrators (Huising and Silbey 2013), lawyers need to coordinate work with clinicians (Kellogg 2014), computer scientists with physicists (Venters, Oborn and Barrett 2014) and safety experts need to coordinate work with scientists (Silbey, Huising and Coslovsky 2009).

Further, these coordination efforts are costly since significant work is required at the boundary of the occupational domains (Bailey and Barley 2011; Kellogg 2014; Bruns 2013). In part, these challenges arise from a lack of shared knowledge and a dearth of common understanding between groups, which can lead to knowledge boundaries (Carlile 2004). Coordination challenges can therefore arise because occupations draw on different assumptions and schemas (Dougherty 1992; Dougherty and Dunne 2012), which can direct actions in diverging ways (Michel 2014). Additionally, challenges of coordination may arise due to power struggles, competing priorities or jurisdictional conflict (Truelove and Kellogg 2015). Thus, while the need for coordinating cross-occupational work is well established, understanding how best to manage and support the coordination process remains elusive (Anteby, Curtis, DiBenigno 2016; Okhuysen and Bechky 2009).

Work coordination was initially conceived as an organizational design problem, and foregrounded formal processes of control, such as schedules, rules and resources (Okhuysen and Bechky 2009; Faraj and Xiao 2006). However, knowledge work in modern organizations 'principally takes place in work groups where coordination is less dependent on structural arrangements' (Faraj and Xiao 2006). This insight highlights the importance of focusing on the dynamic and emergent nature of work coordination. Transcending the assumptions of planned approaches, recent scholarship has thus focused on what people actually *do* to coordinate collective work in carrying out specific tasks (Bechky 2006; Gkeredakis 2014). This more emergent approach focuses on the relational challenges in performing particular coordination

tasks (Anteby et al 2016), while anticipating the nature of tasks associated with specific occupational roles (Bechky 2006), and how explicit linkages between different tasks are established (Kellogg et al 2006). These forms of coordination can entail developing new means of sharing knowledge, for example through gestures (Bechky 2003), harmonizing joint assessments (Bruns 2013) or integrating roles (Bechky 2006). Understanding cross-occupational work in this manner also suggests that occupational members' socialization regarding use of tools, schedules and resources, which also shape action, might influence the coordination of collective work, though scholars have not yet examined this directly.

The literature has implicitly recognized the importance of time in coordination processes (Okhuysen and Bechky 2009) as it recognizes that coordination relies on sequencing actions and points to the synchronisation required through schedules and timetables. However, there has been little work that explicitly adopts a broader view of temporality in understanding work coordination. Yet, Orlikowski and Yates (2002) have suggested that organizational practices are temporally structured in diverse ways and that this can lead to the development of distinct temporal rhythms, such as academic entities being organized around semester terms and teaching schedules (Orlikowski and Yates 2002). Other literature has focused on how temporal dynamics of collaborative work can direct social interactions in conflicting ways, for example with some entities being short term focused whilst others take longer term views (Kim, Bansal and Haugh 2019; Reinecke and Ansari 2015). Our paper examines the diverse temporal structuring of occupational groups and how this influences work coordination. We link their temporal structuring to the material resources and tools used in practice and their ongoing occupational socialization. In so doing, we add new insight regarding the challenges that occupations encounter when coordinating joint work, and offer a broader set of explanations for how coordination challenges can be resolved.

Our ethnographic study shows how the temporal structuring of work across different occupations – radiology, pathology, surgery and oncology – shapes their particular temporal orientations, with consequences for how they coordinate their joint work. We demonstrate how the diverse temporal orientations of occupations lead them to work at different rhythms and to use coordination devices (e.g.

schedules, plans and lists) in different ways. Our paper offers three contributions. First, we show that the temporal structuring of occupational practices develops in relation to the contingencies, including materialities, of work and the way these shape, and are shaped by members' temporal orientations. Second, we show how occupations with different temporal orientations may resource conflict and strain in their ongoing work coordination. Third, we show how, with some effort, occupational members may productively resource temporal orientations in developing solutions to challenges in work coordination.

In the following sections, we review relevant literature on work coordination and temporality. We then describe our research setting and methods before elaborating our findings from an empirical study on coordinating specialists' work in hospitals. In our discussion, we develop our key contributions to the literature concerning temporal resourcing and work coordination and conclude with implications for other contexts.

LITERATURE

Coordination of Work

Coordination has been defined as the process of interaction that integrates a collective set of interdependent tasks across a work activity (Okhuysen and Bechky 2009; Gkeredakis 2014). At a basic level, the requirement for coordination arises due to the division of work and the need to fit together the different strands of compartmentalised activity (Mintzberg 1987; Okhuysen and Bechky 2009). Early literature emphasized the role of formal coordination devices and mechanisms, such as schedules, plans and resources as central to work coordination (Galbraith 1974; Chandler 1962). Time and timing were fundamental to coordination with timetables and schedules foregrounded as critical tools for integrating tasks efficiently with minimal delays. This view on coordination also examined how resources were managed to account for interdependencies between activities.

More recently, the literature has focused on emergent actions in coordinating tasks (Kellogg et al 2006; Bechky 2006; Gkeredakis 2014; Jarzabkowski, Le, and Feldman 2012). This literature frequently characterizes work as requiring interaction between several occupational groups. An occupation is defined as 'socially constructed entities that include a category of work', where the actors are

practitioners of this work (Anteby et al 2016:187). An implicit assumption of this tradition is that the knowledge boundaries which hinder coordination are constituted as social boundaries or cognitive boundaries that exist between occupations. In studying cross-occupational work in teams, Faraj and colleagues (Faraj and Sproull 2000; Faraj and Xiao 2006) develop the concept of expertise coordination to show how common mental models can lead to enhanced performance, and point to the importance of shared goals to integrate knowledge. Thus, effective performance often requires timely and adaptive execution (Kellogg et al 2006) as individuals adjust the timing and pacing of their work in adapting to others (Leroy, Shipp, Blount and Licht 2015).

Further, Bechky (2003) has shown how deeply embedded occupational practices influence how individuals work together, and how knowledge sharing is dependent on the materiality of work. Here an implicit assumption is that the material nature of work shapes the social processes of occupational members. Bechky (2006) reveals how occupational roles function to coordinate work by guiding heedful interrelating across occupational groups, as roles can maintain patterns of interaction (Heaphy 2013). Deviation from set roles can lead to breaches, which challenge ongoing work (Heaphy 2013). In this sense, roles can function as schemas that occupations draw on to enact their work practices. Scholars (Jarzabkowski et al 2012; Gkeredakis 2014) have also pointed out how wider organizational objectives can mould coordination processes more generally and that these might be used as situated schemas to help frame and direct action. These insights point to the importance of emerging action and structures in understanding the situated nature of coordination.

Resourcing and Situated Coordination

We connect scholarship on coordination with the resourcing literature which posits that all action is shaped by, and in turn shapes, schema, whether formal ones, such as roles and timetables, or informal schema such as occupational norms (Feldman 2004). The nascent resourcing perspective (Feldman 2004, Howard-Grenville et al 2011, Sonenshein 2014, Weidner et al 2017), defines resources as ‘the creation in practice of assets’ that allows actors to accomplish schema (Feldman 2004; 296). In resourcing for coordination, anything can become a resource, including intangibles, if these are used to enact specific

activities as people make sense of, and react to, coordination of tasks. Coordination scholars have pointed out how individuals may use devices for coordination (such as schedules, timetables etc.) as resources that are drawn on in practice (Okhuysen and Bechky 2009). Yet, resourcing further emphasizes skilful use, rather than the mere presence of potential resources, in understanding the accomplishment of action, including for coordination. Thus, a resourcing perspective on coordination leaves open that individuals may use designated coordination devices, such as schedules, in different ways to synchronize activity.

Feldman and Worline (2011) highlight a number of different mechanisms for resourcing which are commonly available in organizations. For example, mutual adjusting is a resourcing mechanism that helps illuminate the link between resources in use and frameworks for organizing by showing how potential resources and an individuals' framework become adjusted, through action, to one another. Juxtaposing (Howard-Grenville et al. 2011) is another important means by which actors in organizations create resources and energize frameworks, for example in facilitating cultural change. Specifically, organizational events can become a resource, as action is taken to juxtapose (i.e. "to place close together or side by side") the old and the new. We suggest that linking resourcing with coordination is important as it foregrounds generative possibilities for action. As explained by Feldman and Worline (2011) generative action can be enabled through positive and ampliative spirals as well as by desirable outcomes.

In particular, new schema may be resourced either through current practices or may become available through new practices, often in a way that recognizes and challenges longstanding assumptions (Feldman and Worline 2016). In this way we highlight the importance of focusing on both the negative consequences of coordinating occupational work as well as more positive possibilities for improved coordination.

A Temporal Perspective on Coordinating Occupational Work

While time and timing have been recognized as fundamental to coordination, there has been little focus on how occupational work might shape the way individuals orientate to time or the manner in which temporality influences their coordinating of work with others. Additionally, there has been little attention on how the unique contingencies of occupational work might influence the norms of an occupation. This

is both important and surprising given the deep-rooted way that occupational work shapes individuals (Pratt, Rockmann, and Kaufmann 2006; Kellogg 2009; Michel 2011; 2014). Anteby et al (2016) suggest that this ‘becoming’ aspect of occupational work inducts newcomers into shared norms (e.g. Van Maanen & Schein, 1977; Becker et al 1961) and reinforces social boundaries between occupations. A focus of the socialisation literature has been on how status (Freidson 1972; 1988), evolving identities (Becker et al 1961; Pratt et al 2006) and skills become tacit (Beane 2019; Kellogg 2009) as newcomers seek entry into a profession (Anteby et al 2016). The explicit assumption in this literature is that social action is not only shaped in and by the emerging situation but have also been shaped by their history as social habits form. For example, Ho (2009) shows how job insecurity was ingrained into Wall Street bankers and structured their interaction with clients. Thus, shared patterns of knowing also link to shared patterns of actions, which reproduce over time as habitual action tendencies. As such, action tendencies of organizational members can orient them to respond quickly to organizational change initiatives (Michel 2014).

The temporal structuring of organizational practices gives rhythm and form to everyday action, often subconsciously (Orlikowski and Yates 2002). Temporal structures not only influence the pace of organizational life, but also shape what we pay attention to (Reddy and Dourish 2002; Reinecke and Anasari 2015). For example, shift work and ward rounds in a hospital provides a distinct rhythm for assessing patients and sequencing one’s work tasks. Temporal structuring, which has been defined as the social structures that shape people’s temporal practices, is here understood as both shaping and being shaped by ongoing human action as people organize their ongoing work (Orlikowski and Yates 2002; Kaplan and Orlikowski 2013; Reinecke and Ansari 2015).

The literature on temporality in organisations leaves open the notion that structuring of practices held by diverse occupational groups may temporally orientate occupations in different ways, with consequences for work coordination as people adapt to the needs that emerge during interaction. An explicit focus is to examine how diverse temporal orientations of occupational groups might influence collaborating occupations and their coordination processes. In particular, how work practices are accomplished may be related to how agents are being temporally orientated to the past, present or future

(Kim, Bansal and Haugh 2019; Kaplan and Orlikowski 2013; Emirbayer and Mische 1998). Orlikowski and Yates (2002:261) suggest that temporal orientation is “an emergent property of the temporal structures” being enacted at a given moment. Further, the temporal structuring of an occupation’s practice will seem normal and taken for granted, whether working apart in the silos of their communities or together with other groups. Therefore, being directed to work at a different rhythm or pace can become a breach in their expectations, an interrupted social order (Heaphy 2013) and may lead to relational strain in ongoing social interaction.

We draw on these theoretical developments in building our temporal perspective, and adopt a situated approach to examine two inter-related questions: *In what ways are occupational members’ contingencies, including their materialities, related to their temporal orientations? What coordination challenges emerge from these different temporal orientations and how may they be productively drawn on as potential solutions to these challenges?* To start with, our approach examines how the various material elements - such as microscopes, scalpels, and hair loss – of situated work practices shape a worker’s temporal orientation. We then examine situations where the resourcing of temporal orientations shape emerging coordination of joint tasks lead to strain and conflict in cross-occupational work. Finally, we examine situations where agents are able to productively resource the differences of temporal orientations as they address cross-occupational coordination challenges.

METHODS

Research setting and case context

This research was undertaken within multidisciplinary cancer teams (MDTs) in two UK tertiary care university hospitals. The team’s clinical focus was breast cancer in one hospital and in the other it was urological cancers; both were considered high performing teams in terms of their clinical outcomes. We collected data that focused on the diverse independent work practices of surgeons, oncologists, pathologists and radiologists and how they coordinated their work in delivering interdependent patient care activities.

To understand work coordination, we collected data on multidisciplinary team meetings and joint clinics, the primary areas where the collaborating occupations were required to coordinate their work jointly. The joint meetings (called ‘MDTs’) were held weekly and entailed discussing and concluding on the patient diagnosis and outlining subsequent treatment. The joint clinics, also held weekly, entailed assessing patients and discussing treatment options with them. In these clinics, sometimes referred to as ‘one stop clinics’, several occupational members (surgeons, oncologists and radiologists) were seeing hospital outpatients in parallel in the same geographic space. For example, women with suspected breast cancer could see a surgeon, then a radiologist and subsequently an oncologist, as indicated by the presenting symptoms or disease. These clinics minimized delays between referring specialists and ongoing care. To understand the various occupational practices observations were made by spending time in specialist work areas, namely the pathology lab, radiology rooms, oncology clinics and surgical theatres.

Field site access

The first author was the primary fieldworker and received access as an honorary team member for the purposes of this research for 18 months duration. Access was given for observation and interviews as well as inspection of various materials such as texts and graphs. The fieldworker has a background as a physical therapist, though no longer practices this having become an academic with training in ethnographic observation. Thus, she had a good understanding of hospital culture. However, she had no specific knowledge related to cancer care and was unfamiliar with the clinic and meeting processes. Four interviews were undertaken by the second author, who also attended a few MDT meetings at one of the tertiary hospital sites.

Observation of occupational practices allowed us unique insight into the clinical practice; for example, what details were being attended to on screens, how schedules were used to structure the timing of their activity and how tools and technologies were used. Changes over time were also noted. Observation of the meetings and clinics that required occupations to work jointly and coordinate their action provided us with insight into the relational dynamics between the different occupations, as well as how they were

oriented to each other. These aspects of coordinating work were further teased out during formal interviews as well as informal discussions. Textbooks and research papers were important in showing the historical materiality of practices, different instruments used and the range of technologies used in diagnosis.

Data collection

Data that focused on understanding coordination processes across occupational groups included the regular observation of MDTs (55), other meetings (22), clinics (23), informal discussions and semi-structured interviews (40) with members of the team. In addition to interviews with team members, we gained insight into how work was coordinated from interviews with team nurses, visiting doctors, medical secretaries and ancillary staff (15). All the individuals we interviewed (and observed) were connected in some way to the cancer teams we were studying. The interview protocol is provided in Appendix A. The fieldworker took ethnographic notes amounting to a total of more than 900 pages during meetings, clinics and corridor interactions. Increasing numbers of informal discussions with key informants were held over the 18 month period. Most interviews were recorded and transcribed, though in some cases notes were taken as interviewees were not comfortable with a recorded interview.

Please insert Table 1 about here

Data was also collected to gain insight into the practices of the four occupations related to patient diagnosis and treatment. Here the purpose was to understand the tools, artefacts, knowledge and rhythm structuring their independent working. While occupational members coordinated interdependent working at meetings and some clinics, most of their work was carried out in the silos of their departments. Thus, the fieldworker immersed herself in the practices of the 4 occupations, spending time in each of their departments, observing and informally discussing the activities. In Table 1, we provide an overview of where *observations* were made, a breakdown of *formal interviews*, examples of where *informal discussions* were held and the *texts* that were important artefacts for the various occupational practices. For example, oncologists' texts related to treatment tables and published research trials (RCTs) were examined as data artefacts.

The multiple primary and secondary data sources were gathered to provide richness and multiple insights (Denzin and Lincoln 1998). They were used to increase study rigor and as a form of cross validation (Langley 1999). When findings regarding specific practices were found at one site (for example oncologists' high level of interest in clinical trials and research) these were counterbalanced by probing the same issue at the other site. Whilst many site features varied between hospitals (for example IT use, trainee supervision and size of team) these were not the focus of analysis in the current paper.

Data analysis

We analysed the data in five stages, as illustrated in Figure 1, and drew on three of the sensemaking strategies for qualitative data as suggested by Langley (1999), namely narrative, grounded approach and alternative templates analyses. The first stage comprised of open thematic coding during the data collection process. During this stage we kept the data from the two sites separate with no expectation that the themes across sites would overlap. This ongoing iterative analysis provided grounded approach to conceptual development (Golden Biddle and Locke 2009). We gave careful attention to examining the range of data types (e.g. meetings, interviews, observation, texts) and to triangulate findings between sources.

In the second stage, we developed narrative descriptions of medical groups (Langley 1999, Golden Biddle and Locke 2009). At this point strong similarities between sites emerged, and in particular with relation to coordinating action within and across occupational groups. Thus, we comparatively analyzed the data between sites according to occupational groups. We examined the way occupational groups routinized their practices and how they tended to respond to emerging work. In so doing we compared several alternative templates for making sense of interaction, including their orientation to time, socialization and knowledge. Drawing iteratively on relevant literatures, we developed short, focused, narrative stories characterizing their practices, including their key tools and technologies, and how they were orientated to temporal artefacts in practice.

The third stage was closely intertwined with our evolving narratives in stage two. Our purpose in this stage was to check with the occupational groups involved to see if the narratives and accompanying

descriptions resonated with their own perception and experience. In this way we obtained feedback on the narratives. In addition to informal feedback, we held four formal feedback sessions. We held the first two with members at each of the research sites towards the end of the fieldwork. The third we held with a group of unrelated medical specialists; this group was chosen from a number of medical clinicians participating in a university-based management course and who we asked to give reflections and feedback on the descriptions as a case study. We chose the fourth group similarly from senior cancer clinicians participating in an executive management class.

Following the above iterative feedback, we focused analytic attention on the situated integration of knowledge and action across groups. We reanalyzed and categorized data segments regarding approaches disciplinary groups had in coordinating actions. We inductively compared groups and contrasted their temporal orientation, working closely and iteratively with the coordination literature. We constructed a data table that linked the different data sources (observation, interview, informal discussion and texts) about the material elements of occupational practices with the temporal structures of the practices. In Table 2 we provide examples from each of the occupational groups that are linked to each of the data sources (observation, interview etc) to show how and where material dimensions of practice are evidenced through our data. In Table 2, we show, for example, how oncologists indicate that they are concerned about the future, long term outcomes, and patient longevity in their quotes and discussion. On the other hand, surgeons show their focus on the immediate present, what time will the meeting end, are the patients sitting waiting. Thus both these groups are focused, for example, on patients, but one is orientated to where will patient be in several years, and the other where is the patient now.

Please insert Table 2 about here

During our final stage of analysis, we examined data segments illustrating generative and positive action that enabled coordination. Examples across the four occupations of generative action (generative in that positive influences on cross occupational coordination were noted) were compared in relation to coordination issues. Thus, there was a coordination issue between surgeons (who remove body part) and pathology (who examine the cell tissue of removed parts) in how to manage the labelling of the body

tissue, so that for example, the left and right side of the removed tissue can be identified clearly and correctly. Pathologists developed a quick way of checking the macroscopic structure of tissue immediately on receipt from surgeons. Our insights were iteratively developed through engagement with themes from the resourcing literature. Figure 1 provides a schematic overview of our analytic process.

The vignettes presented in our findings were based on actual events and were typical occurrences in meetings and clinics where tasks were being coordinated. Further, through our coding process, we identified particular occasions where positive action in response to the differences in temporal orientations which were causing strain successfully enabled work coordination.

FINDINGS

*At 7:30 am, in a dark room, a surgeon starts the meeting by asking the oncologists for their views on treatment for an emergency patient that arrived yesterday. One oncologist outlines a number of studies on outcomes. Another oncologist cites statistics from recent publication and they both discuss. Another oncologist recommends a suitable research trial. A second surgeon interjects impatiently leaning forward, 'So what are we going to **do**?' He goes on to suggest that the tumour looks accessible from a left side approach and he can fit the operation onto tomorrow's list. The other surgeons nod. They begin discussing the next patient. (Fieldnotes)*

The data from this MDT meeting highlights a key coordination challenge between surgeons and oncologists in their joint organizing of patient care, which could potentially have important consequences. The coordination of the patient's care is situated within a team meeting in which the patient is not present, as is common for much of hospital work that is accomplished behind the scene of patients. While the oncologists discuss various options and associated research, the surgeons are impatient to make a quick decision and move to action. Whilst a decision is made in the above scenario, coordination that integrates the expertise between groups is lacking. To examine this challenge, the first part of our findings starts by unpacking the temporal structuring of specialist practices. We show how occupational members' temporal orientations are mutually constituted and honed through practice so that the occupations subconsciously work in temporally distinct ways when responding to the emerging situation. The second section of our findings goes on to show how differences in temporal orientations may lead to strain when joint

occupational coordination is required, such as in joint clinics and meetings. The third section shows how cross occupational coordination is achieved by productively resourcing temporal orientations.

Temporal Orientations and Occupational Practice

Surgical practice

In providing patient treatment, surgical practice entails the use of material artefacts for cutting a live human body. Surgery is undertaken on an anaesthetized patient using a series of sharp knives as retracting devices to hold back the layers of body tissue and to expose the body part needing surgical attention. An array of implements, also handled by assistants and nurses, is used to slow down the leakage of blood including needles to suture and ‘close the wound’. The operative procedures are timed and documented in surgical notes; longer times can have adverse consequences on patient outcomes. Surgery is thus a risky craft that can be devastating to the patient; for example the patient’s nerves can be accidentally cut causing irreparable damage, blood vessels can be suddenly ‘nicked’ by a razor knife causing blood loss.

Regularly, the exposed organs reveal the unexpected, such as ischemic tissue or blockages, forcing the surgeon to improvise and giving intensity to the present. Their procedures are frequently referred to as ‘*salvage*’ and focus on heroic ‘saving’ of lives.

The material realities of surgery shape the temporal structuring of surgical practice as their situated actions are routed in the immediacy of emerging practice. Whilst watching a surgeon in the operating theatre, an assisting surgeon (as surgeons seldom operate alone) commented to the fieldworker that ‘*the hardest part of being an excellent surgeon is learning how to get out of a tight spot*’. The challenge of mastery was not so much to learn doing the surgical procedure, but rather how to adapt a procedure quickly to unanticipated situations, thereby shaping the surgeon’s temporal orientation for quick decision making and improvising. In this sense the surgical skill is less focused on *what* to do but rather on *how* to do it in the emerging present situation.

The surgeon’s temporal orientation is focused on the present. They tend to be impatient if they perceive that time is being wasted, as the immediate present is precious. During field observation in an operating theatre staff lounge, a surgeon explained ‘*surgeons are very different from [other doctors]....*

*this is reinforced during training. As surgeons we really like to get in there. That is where the action is; they want to **do** something’.* The other surgeons in the room nodded in agreement.

The temporal structuring of the surgical practice was to be as swift as possible. The rhythm and pace of their practice was marked by ‘beating the clock’; ideally they wanted to keep ahead of the schedule and the clock. One surgeon explained;

‘I am very conscious of time. It has to finish at 9am for me. You know if a meeting is to go to 9 that is it, I don’t care how big it is; and if it finishes earlier, so much the better, you know so it keeps the thing moving on.’

Thus, in meetings, surgeons tended to discuss only as much as they felt was necessary to inform their next action, which was whether or not to cut, and how invasive the cutting should be. Their temporal orientation to make quick decisions was ascribed, by surgeons and non-surgeons, to the immediacy of the surgical task and the high stakes for making mistakes. One surgeon highlighted that surgery is not for ‘*the feeble minded*’, as you have got confidently (and literally) ‘*to get your hands right in there*’ which again highlights the intensity of their present.

Oncology practice

The oncology practice of patient treatment entails either using radiation to burn targeted cancer cells or administer through drip stands toxic chemicals to poison body tissues. Treatments are given to patients in predetermined stages of months with a goal of shrinking the tumour. As explained by one oncologist, the work entails following protocols and monitoring. ‘*[Clinic] is just the process of putting the patients through the treatment. It is not the decision making process.*’ The treatments usually cause unpleasant side effects (morbidity) for patients, which can last for months or years. Oncology patients have high levels of mortality, as all patients have some form of cancer; thus in their treatment of patients, oncologists are constantly exposed to death and situations where patients are afraid of suffering and dying. The cycles of treatments allow for ongoing patient contact and rapport as they ponder the patient’s progress. The materiality of their practice was rooted in long-term follow up clinics, research trials, chemical toxins delivered over several months and nauseous, teary patients. This temporally structured

their practice to be future directed as oncologists stretched time to accommodate the work in their situated actions.

Given the patients' suffering and anxiety, oncologists tended to take as much time as was needed when they were meeting patients in clinic. The long-term suffering and empathizing contributes to their temporal orientation for deliberating in their situated action; as repeated frequently by a surgeon '*We make clear decisions; [oncologists] think about possibilities.*' An oncologist explained '*The consultation of the oncologists go more in-depth and actually take longer than most of the other physicians Because there are a lot of indications about the patient for the treatment we give in terms of toxicity and how long it is going to take*'. Another oncologist said, '*it is difficult to know how long (an assessment) is going to take*' thus making it difficult to stick to a schedule. Rather than limiting their discussion or assessment by the scheduled time, the pace and rhythm was set by the situations surrounding the patient's emerging illness and what the best options were. Unlike surgeons, their focus was on *what* to do, rather than *how* to do the treatment.

New drugs and new trials are constantly on the horizon. This temporal structuring of the practice further worked to orientate oncologists in their situated actions toward a hopeful future with better cures. An important material aspect of practice is to support new treatments through clinical trials and to engage in research studies. One oncologist explained: '*There is a lot more emphasis on clinical trials that people are going into because of the type of work we do.* She pointed out that oncologists were very aware that many of their patient treatments had poor outcomes '*and that is why there is room for lots of trials... because in the future we will have many new treatments and better outcomes*'.

Pathology practice

Pathology practice revolves around obtaining an accurate diagnosis (event) by examining the patient's cells. The pathologist's diagnosis entails attending to a massive amount of minute detail. This practice is conducted in a laboratory, often located in a basement, and typically removed from the hustle and bustle of the hospital activities. Using different microscopes, small glass covered slides and diverse laboratory equipment, pathologists work with tiny pieces of tissue samples that are cut and prepared in the lab after

having been taken from the patient's body by a surgeon. A pathologist may spend an hour examining slides taken from one biopsy specimen. The material elements of the slides contain samples of tissue, micro millimetres in size, that have been stained bright colours to highlight cell morphology and which provide the cellular basis for discussions about patient diagnosis. The pathology practice is methodical, temporally structured to keeping fixed clock times rooted in the present. In the isolated pathology laboratory, disruptions are rare as multiple steps run in parallel and it is difficult to change one step without affecting the other steps.

Being accustomed to paying close, and uninterrupted, attention to small details and being relied on for accuracy, a pathologist is oriented to push for precision. A pathologist summarized the rigid importance of accuracy; *'we try to be very precise. We do. We push ourselves very hard to try and be precise....it is important.'* A radiologist explained *'We call pathology the palace of truths'* and offered that this was because *'no one is going to argue with the pathologist about their diagnosis, no one in that room knows as much about it as she does.'* As such, pathologists' temporal orientation is to be fixed and rigidly detailed around the diagnosis event, aligning with the methodical scheduling of practices. They were frequently referred to as being *'stuck behind their microscope'* by both surgeons and oncologists, emphasizing their temporal orientation to being 'stuck' and inflexible with schedules in their emerging action. The temporal orientation, rooted in the present, is focused on what needs to be done next in a stable sequence of events. They were acutely aware that patients were anxious to have current clarity; *'patients want an answer, is it yes or no, doctor'* a pathologist explained.

Radiology practice

Radiology practice can be flexibly specialized either around body organs, such as lungs; or by technical modality, such as using the MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) or CT (computerized tomography) scanners. The practice entails making a diagnosis based on visual artefacts captured on film, which is particularly important when tissue (pathology) diagnosis is unavailable. The practice materially involves dark rooms filled with complex machine technologies and precise methods of positioning patients and body parts to get accurate views and multiple images. In the darkened room, radiologists and

their technicians strain to see the buttons and equipment pieces whilst manoeuvring the patient. Most graph series can be digitized or captured on paper like sheets of film which are viewed on brightly lit monitors. The temporal structuring of radiology practice is rooted to present and past, comparing organ features on graphs across time. As such, the practice is system focused and wholistic in displaying the relationships between bodily entities. A radiologist explained:

'[Surgeons] have seen a lot of cystoscopies. But I have seen more of them... You can say there are 2 roles here. One is... to sieve through the normals and the normal variance... Second is to look a bit more generally and try to think outside their domain. All they are going to think about is their kidneys or prostate...I look around outside and try to see other areas that might be causing the problems, taking a wider look.'

New technologies are also rapidly emerging, temporally structuring the practice around ongoing change. The temporal structuring of radiology practice is flexible, as their practice is marked primarily by the availability of varying visual pictures of body systems and organs. If more information is needed patients can be brought in for a repeat scan or an alternative machine procedure, further linking the materiality of the practice with flexible temporal structuring. In addition, radiologists can take pictures of other parts of the body to compare, for example contrasting left and right sides.

Having had to adapt to rapidly changing diagnostic machines, radiologists are temporally orientated to being flexible and adaptable to the emerging situation, shaping their role over time to accommodate new technologies. One radiologist emphasized, *'it's important to build in dynamism into the work routine'* notably linking change with ongoing routine. Even during the period of study, radiologists took on new procedures and roles, performed whilst working closely with other specialists, such as surgeons.

*'Radiologists, historically, they used to be back sitting in a dark room- sitting with their glasses on. They didn't speak to people. But actually **now**, they are rather the hub of the hospital and have to be great communicators. (Radiologist)'*

The temporal orientation of radiologists is rooted to present and past, as they compare organ features on graphs across time. This orientation to shifting across time complements their tendency towards flexibility and accommodating their roles to the emerging situation.

Summary

Our findings across the four occupational practices highlight how the temporal structuring of occupational practices is formed in part through the different materialities of their work. Table 3 provides a summary of the distinct material and temporal elements of each occupational practice. Each occupational practice has a unique temporal structuring which shapes its rhythm and pace as well as the temporal orientation of those regularly enacting the practice within the silos of their communities. Diverse occupations are thus orientated differently to time, such as being focused more on the present or future. The temporal structuring of occupational practice shapes the temporal orientation to be more (or less) rooted in a particular dynamic, such as keeping work fixed to clock time or by being slow and considered, thereby stretching out time around the work.

[Insert Table 3 here]

Fracturing the Coordination Processes of Occupational Work

Our findings indicate how ongoing challenges between occupational groups were rooted in their markedly different temporal orientations, leading to the fracturing of coordination processes. Our analysis below highlights how the persistent challenges emerged from the collective work.

Vignette 1A Coordinating care in multidisciplinary clinic

A surgeon viewed the schedule of patients on the white board and glanced impatiently at his watch. He looked visibly annoyed, muttering about the clinic running very late, as influenced by his impatient temporal orientation. He comments to another surgical colleague and then the clinic nurse that three oncology patients are waiting to be seen. 'One patient has been there over an hour, waiting', he remarks. The surgeon explains to the clinic nurse that he needs to discuss a patient care challenge with the oncologist and asks her where the oncologist is. 'He is with a patient' she replies. 'Oh, I thought he was lost' he returns sarcastically. The oncologist has been with a patient – and thus absent from clinic meeting room – for almost an hour, reflecting his deliberative temporal orientation. [Vignette based on field notes]

Vignette 1A highlights the temporal orientation clash between surgeons and oncologists, echoing strains from the opening description on coordinating care. The surgeon is expecting to complete the clinic on time and to avoid long wait times for patients. He moves in and out of the patient assessment rooms with a quick step and tempo, every ten minutes, keeping to, or ahead of, the schedule. A nurse explained that the surgeons are 'very clear on their boundaries' and thus 'seldom side tracked' in their discussions.

On the other hand, the oncologist's temporal expectation is that the clinic needs to take the time required to deliberate over the patient's needs, focusing on their situations and not the clinic schedule. The board outlining the scheduling of patients, along with their waiting times, is clearly displayed, thus the oncologist is aware of the delays but ignores the schedule.

The surgeon in Vignette 1A needs to discuss a patient issue with the oncologist, as care planning was interdependent on the specialists' views and communicating with each other between patients. Frustration related to the clashes in temporal orientations were heightened by the surgeon's sense that the rhythm of the oncologists' temporal structuring was dominating, forcing the surgeon to work more slowly and behind schedule. In Vignette 1A, the temporal structure of coordinating clinic discussions was primarily being set by the oncologist, who ignored the visible clinic schedule, which they considered as a guideline rather than strict rule. The surgeon's sense of emotional frustration is evident in the comment about the oncologist being lost – as he knew very well where the oncologist was.

In the above task, the surgeon's temporal orientation towards impatience is further pressed by being made to wait. As the oncologists calmly and thoughtfully took their time, the surgeon grew increasingly impatient at their lack of control over the process. In the vignette, the temporal orientation of the oncologist was dominating, forcing the surgeons to align their work to the oncologist's cadence, a cadence that was out of step with the surgeon's temporal orientation. As parts of the task (e.g. patient consultation) are completed independently, the specialists could enact part of their work in their normal rhythm, as guided by their occupational work schema, while other parts needed to be synchronized around the dominant rhythm and flow of another group. The strain that resulted had unintended consequences of unresolved work challenges emerging from the situation. For example, late clinics had ramifications, such as delays to subsequent clinics, ensuing ward rounds or operating schedules, which adversely impacted on patients' waiting lists. Occasionally, surgeons chose to discharge patients before they had finalized their visit, which included meeting up with the oncologist. In these cases, patients may miss important communications that might benefit them.

The cycle of clashes in temporal orientations was ongoing, with domination by one particular orientation influencing the rhythm and flow of the emerging situation, leading to unresolved aspects of joint working. This was a recursive process emerging across multiple points of the groups' conjoined work. Thus, there was fracturing of task coordination that was not only located at the level of a specific task, but became manifest as a pervasive strain to the ongoing work between specialists with schisms and conflict unfolding between occupations a common issue. With remarkable consistency, such fracturing of the coordination process related to different temporal orientations arises in other tasks, as illustrated in Vignette 2.

Vignette 2 Planning patient treatment in MDT (multidisciplinary team) meetings

As the MDT meeting begins the lead surgeon announces that he has had to improvise and add an extra patient onto the list. The radiologist deftly pulls up several digital images and elaborates on the tumour and surrounding organs noting a large mass, which has grown since a previous scan 6 months earlier. The surgeon comments that the best way forward really then depends on the histology. The pathologist reads out the cell types from a printed sheet and says she believes the most aggressive cells were in the core of the tumour and thinks the edges were less aggressive. 'I didn't have time to get ready, as the patient was only put on my list yesterday.' Her voice shows marked irritation. The surgeon thanks the pathologist 'for keeping it to the point' and turns to oncologists, asking for their views on best treatment course. Considerable discussion arises regarding treatment protocols and research trials that the patient might enrol into. Glancing at his surgical colleague, a surgeon interjects impatiently, 'we sit here talking while the cancer is growing! Best just to take it [organ] out.'

Vignette 2 again highlights a temporal orientation clash between several groups. The pathologist and surgeon clash regarding their expectations around the histology results of the patient who was added late to the list, as the pathologist did not have (or improvised) a procedure for impromptu access to results yet wanted to be able to provide full details. The surgeon on the other hand was quite pleased by the brief report, thanking her for 'keeping it to the point.' As highlighted by a pathologist on interview '*surgeons only want what they need to know to make a decision*' and pathologists found the surgeons' lack of general methodical structure frustrating. One pathologist refused to come to MDT meetings for this reason. Surgeons and oncologists also had a temporal clash in the expected length of time needed to discuss patient treatment options. This persistent strain was further embellished by the lead surgeon who commented after one meeting that he was '*not sure if bringing the oncologists to the meeting [helps] as they only talk about trials*' rather than specifying the treatment plan. During one interview, an oncologist

offered *'there is no use discussing a fancy trial with [the surgeons] because they are not interested'* .'

Another oncologist commented about the MDT *'if you want your results in 10 years' time, you had better start now.'* On the other hand, a surgeon commented *'if we are going to take it out, [then] no discussion is needed.'*

A sense of impatience and dominance of surgeons' temporal orientation could be inferred from the knowing glances between them whilst oncologists deliberated. The atmosphere grew tense as the surgeons sought to maintain control of the flow and temporal structuring of the meeting, closing down side discussions. During interview, oncologists commented *'there is a dominance of surgical opinion'* and *'I see my role [as] throwing in the latest data, saying what about this [and] that, ... knowing the reaction will be 'oh no, here she goes again', you know, because what you see them do is hurry the discussion along'*. The persisting emotional challenge to the oncologist feeling pressured to work at the surgeon's pace is evident in the quote, as she articulates that the surgeons' thinking *'here she goes again'* forces her to expedite her discussions. The pathologist was also audibly frustrated as indicated in her emphatic comment about not *'having enough time'* in Vignette 2. A pathologist explained in interview *'I used to present the macroscopic parts- because we thought that was important... They don't want that anymore. They just want (information) for what they are going to decide.'* Her quote shows her preference for systematic detail and scheduled timing. In sum, by resourcing their temporal orientation, surgeons pressed the whole MDT group to enact a schema that fits the temporal structuring of their occupation, and this subsequently led to conflict and fracturing of the coordination process.

Vignette 2 shows that the MDT was able to resource productive task coordination through synchronizing to the rhythm of one dominating temporal orientation, namely – that of the surgeons. Thus, surgeons' quick temporal pace, where extra patients could be squeezed onto the list prevailed over the pathologist's preference for a strict pre-scheduling of lists and structured discussion of cell morphology. The radiologist was able to adjust by quickly bringing up patient history details, whilst the pathologist's discussion was curtailed. And while the oncologists did take extra time to discuss possible treatment, this was interrupted, and the surgeons pushed to finish the meeting on time. The strain manifest between the

occupational groups in vignette 2 indicates the pervasiveness of the fracturing of work coordination. However, the predominant tension was not one of blame but one of realization that they were wanting to complete the work at a different pace, yet were needing to enact the coordination of their collective work at a pace commensurate with the surgeons. One surgeon commented '*we are like different tribes of Indians... and each is moving along at a different pace*'. We also note that the radiologists were consistently flexible in coordinating their work with other occupations across their situated actions. Thus radiologists seemed seldom challenged in adapting their flexible temporal orientation but rather could flexibly enact emerging situations.

Resourcing the strain inherent in the fracturing of the coordination process by the dominating temporal orientation allowed for successful task completion while leaving several aspects of the MDT work unresolved. From the pathologist's perspective, this would be evident that her lack of thoroughness might lead to poor decisions being made. Though rushing the findings for this particular patient is justified by the urgency of the situation, a more systematic method would provide the team with better insight. A pathologist explained that rushing could lead to mistakes, '*I think for a few patients, it might make a difference; because if you never discuss [the case properly] then you are going to miss the occasional cases.*' Furthermore, the details of the ideal oncology treatment in Vignette 2, including which trials might be suitable, are not agreed upon by the group. Whilst the patient would still be referred to oncology following surgery if deemed necessary, this aspect of the treatment would not get due consideration from a multidisciplinary perspective, only internally and separately by oncologists. Surgeons pointed out during interview that this left oncology treatment less exposed to wider peer scrutiny. Importantly, this could potentially be problematic for those patients where it was unclear whether surgery or oncology was the best first line of treatment, as surgeons often step in to make decisions more quickly than oncologists and take charge with a surgical option. While our focus in this vignette is on the different temporal orientations across a wider group (MDT team), our findings would also suggest that coordination was more conflictual when the clashing temporal orientations were particularly incongruous, such as when one was orientated towards brevity and another towards stretching out time. Further, in situations where

temporal orientations could be flexibly enacted (for example as by the radiologist) the coordination challenges were less problematic.

Summary

In sum, the problems associated with the fracturing of coordination in both vignettes were common across interdependent tasks. The micro-level dynamics we observed were pervasive, persistent and consistent across tasks and different activities. Their temporal differences can engender conflict given the preferred temporal rhythms for coordinating tasks with one occupational group having quicker or slower temporal orientations in comparison with another group. These differences can render common coordinating devices, such as schedules and plans, ineffective as they are drawn on in different ways through competing schemas. In some situations, schedules may be rigidly adhered to, easily improvised or readily dismissed. Further, there is temporal orientation domination as the conjoint situated activity is controlled through one dominating rhythm that is used in resourcing task coordination. This temporal orientation domination is accompanied by a persistent emotional strain and potential conflict, which may leave consequential aspects of work unresolved.

Resourcing temporal orientations generatively in coordinating occupational work

Whilst strain and fracturing persisted in the coordination processes, we also found that there were situations where the different temporal orientations were resourced in a generative capacity to coordinate work. The following three vignettes (1B, 3, 4) show how resourcing temporal orientations of other occupations provided solutions to situated coordination challenges. A summary of the findings is provided in Table 4.

Vignette 1B (continuing from 1A) Resourcing different temporal orientations to develop new practices

The surgeon walks over to a radiologist in the room and asks 'do you have 10 minutes?' The radiologist nods explaining he has a few minutes before his next biopsy patient is prepped. 'Can you see this patient for [the oncologist]' and explains the clinic is delayed and there is a backlog of patients – (as a result of being dominated by the temporal orientation of the oncologists' slower pace). The radiologist knows the patient, having taken her biopsy two weeks ago and thus has rapport. He is pleased to hear that the mass

is benign. 'All she wants is to go home and celebrate,' explains the surgeon. 'Can you talk to her so she doesn't have to wait?' The radiologist agrees, glances through the notes and x-rays then goes to tell the patient about her diagnosis. 40 min later he goes to speak to another patient regarding her benign result, helping to clear straightforward patients through the delayed clinic. The radiologist comments 'the clinics are chaotic, people have such different ways of working that it was hard to put it all together. We need to be flexible in how we do things.' Though oncologists were initially unhappy with the change, they did agree that the radiologists counselled the patients well.

Returning to the scenario in Vignette 1A, Vignette 1B shows how the surgeon mobilizes the radiologist's temporal orientation for being flexible, to adapt roles and schedules in the clinic to solve a coordination challenge. In Vignette 1A, the surgeon was persistently aware of the lateness of the clinic. In Vignette 1B he mobilizes the flexible temporal orientation of the radiologist to improvise and find an alternative, quicker way of coordinating patient care which no longer solely depends on the oncologist but on the more available and flexible radiologist. In this way, both slower deliberative and flexible temporal rhythms continue to be harnessed in coordinating the clinic.

As noted in Vignette 1B, the surgeon juxtaposes the radiologist's flexible temporal orientation with the dominating temporal orientation of slow deliberation. Their mutual awareness of the situation – late clinic, easy diagnosis, known patient – enabled the surgeon to devise, and the radiologist to execute, a synergistic coordination pattern, one that they then resorted to on other occasions under similar circumstances. This reorders the past way of working as radiologists do not normally counsel patients about their diagnosis and envisions a different present. The specialists mutually adjusted to the evolving situations and continued working in a way that minimized relational challenge and helped achieve task coordination. In so doing, they were able to enact a new practice to support coordination, as surgeons improvised in resourcing the temporal orientation from another occupation.

This process of resourcing others' temporal orientations can be an important and effective way to facilitate cross-occupational collaboration and coordinating work. Specialists' common goal of optimal patient treatment and service facilitated a relatively straightforward negotiation of task coordination. This involved a new practice, enacted through the radiologists' temporal rhythm, despite patient consultation being a distinctly new role for the radiologist. In Vignette 1B, the initial adjustment is successful and is enabled by the synergy between temporal orientations towards improvisation (surgeon) and flexibility

(radiologist) in coordinating patient care. The outcome is a positive one as it helps enable the clinic to finish in a timely manner and with less patients kept waiting. Furthermore, the ongoing coordination of the clinic was shaped by both the flexible orientation of radiologists to speed up waiting patients as well as allowing for the slow deliberation of oncologists, so that both temporal orientations were integral in coordinating work.

Vignette 3 Resourcing through the new appropriation of patient lists (as coordination device)

The pathologist thoughtfully notes all the patient lab results received since the last MDT meeting. Last week a patient had been forgotten and she had had to remind the surgeons about this patient so he would not 'slip through the cracks'. The surgeons had appreciated her timely, trusted intervention. She keeps two running lists to organize patient details for this meeting; one list for specimens and requests coming in, and the other for results that have been processed and are outgoing to referring doctor. Each week she meticulously cross checks both lists to ensure they are the same, and no one has been missed. She then compares these lists with the list of patients scheduled for the MDT meeting to ensure all relevant patients are included. Having seen too many patients get missed with consequential delays to their treatment, she has volunteered to take charge of a Master List. This would entail ongoing cross checking (detailed fixed temporal orientation) between various lists for the MDT meetings on behalf of surgeons (with dominant temporal orientation of speed and improvisation in running MDT) who do not routinely scrutinize and cross check their lists. She could then forward this list to the lead radiologist (being flexible) so he can organize radiology scans in advance of the meeting.

In Vignette 3, a pathologist has developed a new process to structure the discussion for MDT meetings in a way that ensures patients are not lost in the system, possibly missing treatment. A pathologist commented, that '*surgeons are hopeless at getting [list] details right*' and indicated that it was '*not wise to trust them*' with that task. As noted from Vignette 2, surgeons readily improvise these lists as patients cancel, get rearranged or turn up as emergencies and this results in discrepancies arising as they do not always cross check the various lists.

The temporal orientation of the pathologist's list checking revolves around a key event, namely getting tissue diagnosis for patients, which anchors the subsequent treatment planning activities of other specialists. Mobilizing the surgeons' temporal orientation for improvising, the pathologist is able to apply a more structured approach in developing the 'Master' patient list. By harnessing different temporal orientations (rigid and improvised scheduling) the pathologist breaks from the past schema and envisions MDT meetings using schedules and cross-checking lists for coordinating in a new way. The pathologist was therefore able to resource two different temporal orientations for enacting a new schema for the

practice. The new schema helped to coordinate the organization of the MDT list in a manner that could accommodate ad hoc and late referrals.

Vignette 3 shows how juxtaposing two different temporal orientations to address the coordination challenge enables a new way of organising patient lists in the clinic. Her temporal orientation focuses her gaze on the lack of rigid detail and fixed method to generating the MDT list. She is able to be generative in reimagining a different future by devising a detailed yet improvised approach to task coordination. In so doing, the MDT list becomes an important coordinating (boundary object) device, which enables the team to mutually adjust to the new schema. Recognizing the useful solution and trusted relationship, surgeons as the dominant group, were open to adjusting and helped accommodate the meeting to allow for improvisation but in a structured manner. Both surgeons and oncologists commented that with these changes they were ‘*very surprised at how few patients get missed*’ and that patients slipping through the cracks now ‘was very rare’. This was felt to be quite remarkable given the coordination challenge of communicating across departments in structuring the list.

Vignette 4 Resourcing to stretch time and coordinate work in the present for the future

During an MDT meeting, an oncologist noted that common disease profiles were recurring across the discussion of different patients, such that the content of these discussions was overlapping for patients with similar profiles. Frustrated at how this curtailed the ability to discuss adequately and effectively each patient (being enacted through the dominant surgical temporal orientation), the oncologist suggests, ‘let’s make a protocol for this type of patient’. He reasoned that if the team ‘hashed out the evidence around these common types of patients’ now, then it would be quicker to coordinate their care in the future when such patients came to clinic. Others agreed to try this and regular ‘protocol development’ sessions were set up. The protocols worked across specialist groups. The protocols also flagged patients into relevant research trials which they all noted would be a good way to integrate research more systematically over the long term.

In this vignette, planning protocols were introduced as a new practice so that when relevant patients were discussed, someone could signal that an existing protocol would cover treatment schedule and relevant trials, with little need for deliberation over evidence and fit. Protocols, used frequently by oncologists, are able to organize future activity, by apportioning earlier the group’s critical thinking needed to structure future actions. Protocols involve careful development of the decision trees around typical cases. Thus, creating protocols required a lengthy meeting with relevant occupations (now, in the

present), which would then form the basis for decisions related to future patients. In this way, the MDT discussion could be sped up by stretching the work coordination across time through protocols, and drew on a new schema for meetings. Less time would be required in the MDT to discuss those patients who could be more simply allocated to a protocol, with core treatment decisions already in place. This would also mean that there was more time in the meeting for unusual patients who did not easily fit a protocol, a move welcomed by the oncologists. Given the improved potential to support research, surgeons as the dominant group were open to empowering oncologists and the team to try the new approach.

Oncologists, aware of task coordination challenges and strain, mobilised the temporal orientation of the surgeons to envision a faster way of running the meetings. Juxtaposing the two temporal orientations, they envisioned a schema for stretching (present) time to coordinate in the emerging present for the future. The oncologists juxtaposed their future orientated approach to decision making, with the surgeons' orientation to brevity and problem solving in the present to reconsider the present challenges of the meetings. Thus, the team's new practice organized patient types into standard protocols in advance of seeing actual patients and in this way had much more time to sift carefully through the evidence across the range of specialist groups and confirm agreement for various courses of action. Whilst some patients did not neatly fit into any standard protocol, those who did could be swiftly acted upon, with several months of treatment plans set up in advance. Further, the vignette shows that the schedules and plans as important coordination devices were not necessarily externally pre-developed and imposed, but rather emerged from a new schema and developed through mutual adjusting of meetings in the present in anticipation of future needs.

Summary

In sum, our findings highlight that there were generative situations where discrepancies in temporal orientations were resourced productively. In these situations, the dominant group empowered others to participate in solutions to coordination challenges. We refer to this productive resourcing of temporal orientations as *temporal resourcing*, whereby occupational members are empowered to enact new schema and new practices as solutions to coordination challenges. By resourcing different temporal orientations to

facilitate cross-occupational collaboration, members become aware of how resourcing mechanisms could productively harness differences in temporal orientations. Resourcing approaches involve juxtaposing two or more temporal orientations to understand the temporal dynamics underlying the coordination challenge in developing a new schema for the existing activity, or a new practice altogether. It may convene around an action that the person is directly involved with in the current situation, such as the radiologist taking on a new role in the late clinics. Alternatively, it could involve empowering a wider collective level of action, such as the overall process of MDT decision making and how future coordination could be supported through making protocols in advance. Mechanisms of mutual adjusting take place by dominant occupational members recognizing and empowering others in developing new practices to achieve new or existing schemas. These require reconsidering present concerns and linking them to a different future breaking from the past as they envision improved coordination.

DISCUSSION

Temporal Structuring and the Materiality of Occupational Work

Earlier literature has recognized that time is structured through ordinary activities and people's everyday engagement in the world (Orlikowski and Yates 2002; Reddy et al 2006). This perspective emphasizes that organizational time is shaped by people's practices (Orlikowski and Yates 2002), with consequences for organizational efficiency and processes of organizational control (Reddy and Dourish 2002; Reinecke and Ansari 2015). We build on this literature by showing how and why *occupations* develop their own unique temporal structuring which deeply affects the dynamics of how they coordinate work. The temporal structuring of occupational practices not only involves specific tasks of organizational work (e.g. units and departments) and broader temporal rhythms (Zerubavel 1977, Reddy and Dourish 2002) but also how occupational members respond to, and the urgency with which they temporally orient themselves to, the complexities and emerging situations of organisational life. The temporal structuring of their occupational work shapes the reality of how these occupations experience knowing (Polanyi 1958) and predisposes them to have a certain cadence in their actions without

perceiving them as conscious choices. These temporal distinctions sharpen the boundaries between occupational groups as they perceive differences in how each other respond to emerging situations. We suggest that differences in temporal orientations contribute to forming and maintaining occupational boundaries, adding to the hitherto focus on social distinctions between occupational groups. This deeper understanding of occupational work is important because occupational categories of work retain significant prominence in the modern labour market (Barley and Kunda 2004; Gorman and Sandefur 2011), and their practices often span multiple organisational entities (Orlikowski 2002; Beane 2019).

As a related contribution, we add to the literature which highlights how the temporal complexity in many organizational contexts makes it challenging to reconcile the multiple rhythms ordering daily work activities (Reddy and Dourish 2002; Reddy et al 2006; Lindley 2015). For example, diverse orientations to time have been noted in relation to the urgency of the specific task at hand as well as the temporal horizons around which an individual's activity is arranged, such as the length of an individual's shift (Reddy, Dourish and Pratt 2006). Our findings contribute by showing that the temporal structuring of some occupations' work can orientate members to be more adaptable to the emerging temporal needs entailed in coordinating cross-occupational work. Their conscious monitoring of external cues in others' practices can play an important role in how flexible these occupational members are in coordinating work. For example, occupations may (e.g. radiologists in our study) have a flexible temporal orientation to time, developed through the temporal structuring of their particular practices. As these occupational members make sense of the cues in emerging work coordination, their temporal orientation can enable them to synchronize with others more easily and support the entrainment of collaborative activities. However, for other occupations, their members may be more rigid in their temporal orientation, with their temporal structuring oriented to being fixed to clock time, and thereby having more difficulty accommodating sudden changes to their schedule. Such occupational workers may therefore be less able to accommodate multiple temporal rhythms in coordinating cross-occupational work.

Further, recent work has called for a deeper understanding of how objects and materiality influence occupational practices (Orlikowski 2007; Nicolini, Mengis and Swan 2013). For example, diverse

materialities can shape dispositional habits so as to exert a form of control over the worker (Michel 2011; 2014). We build on these insights by highlighting explicitly how the distinct materialities of occupational practices shape, and are shaped by, their orientation to time as occupational members are socialized and subsequently work in silos over extended periods of time. These mundane aspects of everyday work are not necessarily limited to select objects (Carlile 2002) or specific occupations (Sennett 2008) but are integral to all occupational practices. Material artefacts such as tools, equipment and technologies can become extensions of the body (Tsoukas 2005, Chia and Holt 2006) influencing how knowing and actions become temporally connected as materials are used pre-reflexively during ongoing practice. In this way the history of how the array of material artefacts have been used in past practice form a continuity for how occupational members orientate to time in the present and future. This continuity of material artefacts shapes how ongoing situated practices are enacted in everyday occupational life and rhythms of work.

Temporal Orientations and the Fracturing of Coordination

Previous literature has emphasized how coordination challenges persist in work that spans across multiple occupational groups (Anteby et al. 2016; Kellogg 2014; Barrett and Oborn 2010; Okhuysen and Bechky 2009). A particular focus to date has been on how a lack of cognitive understanding, interpretive insight or shared meaning (Bechky 2003; Carlile 2004) can hinder knowledge integration and contribute to challenges of boundary work. This type of disruption to coordination is particularly pervasive in knowledge intensive work (Okhuysen and Bechky 2009), where insight into meaning is fundamental to integrating a holistic understanding of work (Bruns 2013).

Our insight of fracturing coordination goes beyond a cognitive or knowledge focus in contributing to why coordination is challenging across occupational boundaries. Specifically, we show how and why differences in occupations' temporal orientations influence relational dynamics in a way that reinforces tension and social boundaries between occupational group members. In coordinating work, individuals are challenged to adapt their workflows to the pacing and timing of others. The ongoing interactions of interdependent collaborative work bring to the fore recurrent clashes and potential conflict. In these

cases, one temporal rhythm dominates and takes over so that tasks can be synchronised and coordinated. Emotional strain can arise from the sense of domination by one occupational member's temporal rhythm as well as from the various aspects of work that are left unresolved as a result of the disruptions and breakdowns.

The fracturing of coordination arises from the recurrent strain and potential conflict between occupational groups engaged in coordinating collaborative work. These negative coordination dynamics can manifest in ongoing relational strain between the occupational groups and may contribute to conflict as frequently observed empirically in cross occupational work (cf Kellogg 2014; Anteby et al 2016; Nicolini et al 2013; Venters et al 2014). Our findings highlight that this ongoing recurrent strain may be a consequence of temporal orientation dominance involving a co-opting of one occupational rhythm, without broader awareness of how other temporal orientations might provide insight into a different way of enacting the situation. During this fracturing process, the domination of one temporal orientation arises from the powerful occupational group retaining control of the rhythm of the joint work, having the power to ensure their schema for the task at hand is enacted by all. Such domination ensures a narrow focus on the task being completed with little deviation from the current practice, rather than engendering wider circumspection for accomplishing the joint work in another way.

Our insights on differences in temporal orientation and fracturing of coordination contributes also to our understanding as to how breaches occur between occupational groups. Specifically, we show how, as a consequence of, an occupation's dominant temporal orientation setting the pace for task coordination, breaches in expectations related to a preferred pace and rhythm of work recur during work coordination. In addition to breaches being linked to specific role based activities (Heaphy 2013), our findings show that breaches can also occur when coordination of a work activity is fractured through temporal dimensions of agency (Emirbayer and Mische 1998). That is, coordination in the emerging work situation is necessarily occurring in the present, as ongoing adjustments are needed to synchronize activities. Yet, the 'present' is not experienced in the same way for all occupations involved in the wider collaborative effort as reinforced by their distinctive temporal orientations. The potential rifts caused by these

differences in how temporality is experienced can raise breaches in expectations as to how activity is best accomplished. For surgeons, the present was very precious and should not 'be wasted'; their impatience was linked to this precious and intense view of the present. Our insights on impatience connects temporality with a dimension of emotions inherent in strain during cross-occupational work. Impatience arose out of their sense of responsibility because they perceived the (precious) present to be closely linked to the life and death of their patients. Therefore, being directed to work at a different rhythm or pace can become a breach in their expectations arising from their own temporal orientation. This becomes an interrupted social order, which may lead to relational strain in ongoing social interaction.

Relatedly, our findings suggest that unpacking the temporal complexity of how the 'present' can be experienced in situations adds further insight on the role of temporality in coordinating work. We build on recent research which has emphasized the significance of understanding the emerging present as being richer than a compressed 'moment' between an expansive past and future (Kim et al. 2019). We highlight the importance of how temporal differences in the emerging present is perceived and enacted by different occupational members. We suggest that fracturing of coordination may arise from a focus on interactions around a compressed present, as the dominating temporal orientation constrains the possible experiences of time into a single uniform pattern and may tend to close off alternative schemas for organising. In these situations, a negative spiral of strain develops with the failure to resource the diversity of temporal orientations in how the present situation was being experienced. Specifically, it does not allow for an expanded and stretched depth of the 'present' to widen the scope of possibilities for coordinating the emerging situation.

For example, both vignettes 1 and 2 demonstrate a competitive trade off approach to a dominant temporal orientation guiding interaction. Thus, there was a perceived trade-off between the surgeons' pragmatic, hurried approach to running the MDT planning meeting, or the oncologists' more protracted and lengthy approach. However, as we discuss below, this trade off may not be necessary. During situations where there is a productive resourcing of diverse temporal orientations for work coordination, the temporal work involved serves to extend the 'present' into the future, what Kim et al (2019) call a

‘long present’. In this way, the current concerns can be reconsidered in the light of a different possible future opening up potential insight into a new schema and new practice. Instead of a competitive ‘trade-off’, coordination may be achieved through an integrative ‘both-and’ approach. Extending the present enables the individuals concerned to apprehend new resources that might be used to enact the same practice in a new way, or enact a new practice.

Temporal Orientations and the Productive Coordination of Work

Previous literature has focused extensively on the negative relational dynamics between occupations and how these contribute to the difficulty of coordinating across groups (Anteby et al. 2016, Kellogg et al. 2006, Okhuysen and Bechky 2009). These coordination challenges can be mitigated through the use of objects (Bechky 2003, Carlile 2002) or by attending to the common priorities of conjoint activities (Reddy et al 2006; Xiao and Faraj 2006).

We contribute new understanding as to how to address these challenges through the productive resourcing of temporal orientations. We develop a temporal dimension to the resourcing lens (Feldman 2004) in providing insight into potential problem solving around processes of disruption. The resourcing lens (Feldman and Worline 2016) is particularly valuable in recognizing and challenging longstanding assumptions. Resourcing can be generative in focusing on how new ways of working arise. More specifically, we demonstrate how temporal resourcing entails *taking action* that turns time orientations into a resource for productive collaboration. Thus, rather than focusing on current strain, resourcing foregrounds how new practices and new schemas become possible. For example, Feldman and Worline (2016) show how longstanding assumptions about how financially viable loans to poor people become challenged. While the current practice assumed that the poor are unable to access financial loans because they lack material collateral, resourcing can spur access to new schema which allow for the creation of groups as a community of social collateral whose members are accountable for one another’s loans. In our collaborative MDT case, occupational members may become increasingly cognizant of the disruption related to their different temporal orientations and the ongoing coordination of their collective work. Awareness of disruption related to the fracturing of coordination provides an opportunity for members to

consider new schemas or frameworks for action. Through productive temporal resourcing, different temporal orientations can be harnessed using three inter-related mechanisms, namely *juxtaposing*, *temporal working*, and *mutual adjusting*.

New schemas are made possible through the *juxtaposing* of temporal orientations by members in addressing the breakdown of coordination. Juxtaposing, which involves placing “close together or side by side” (Feldman and Worline 2011), can enable a member of one occupational group to mobilize the temporal orientation of another occupation group in resourcing a new schema. In providing a mechanism for resourcing an alternative way of coordinating work, the dominant rhythm synchronizing the coordination effort is not only challenged (as in vignette 3) but may alternatively be complemented by augmenting one or more rhythms running in parallel (as in vignette 1B).

Second, temporal orientations are important to consider as potential resources, because they draw from the past (being formed in and through extensive learning), in the emerging present situation to envision a possible future. Means by which actors (re)construct connections between the past, present and future is a form of temporal work (Kaplan and Orlikowski 2013). Such *temporal working* provides another mechanism for resourcing work coordination. Specifically, by resourcing the discrepancies between the temporal orientations of occupations working together, the dominant temporal orientation is necessarily challenged. This allows for a new schema for the emerging situation to emerge. Thus, not only does the emerging situation provide new resourcing possibilities, but temporal working makes new connections between the past practices, present concerns and a reimagined future to render possible an alternative schema for work coordination. In so doing, strain caused by the disruptions can be alleviated. In this sense, the temporal working enables inventiveness and reflective choice in relation to possible schemas for action. Further, as our case shows with the resourcing of protocols by oncologists, the coordination of work can become stretched across time so that future work can become partially coordinated in the present.

Finally, *mutual adjusting* is an important mechanism by which the wider team of occupational members adjust their actions to accommodate a new schema for coordinating work and cross-

occupational collaboration. Developing new coordination devices (such as lists or schedules) or using existing ones in new ways can help support the ongoing adjustments needed for coordination. In this way, our temporal perspective foregrounds not only the recursive interplay of resources in use and the new schema but highlights the importance of understanding the wider set of actors involved in the subsequent mutual adjusting to the new schema. Collectively and at different times, they are able to question the current schema for activity and re-imagine how work might be coordinated differently. Tuned to other possibilities, therefore, actors can be empowered to take concerted action and enact a new way of coordinating work through an alternative temporal perspective to that of temporal brokerage (Reineke and Ansari 2015). Instead of focusing solely on mediating between conflicting temporal orientations, attention is paid as to how the recomposing and switching between temporal orientations provides, in and of itself, a resource for coordinating work.

CONCLUSIONS

Our paper contributes a temporal perspective to the coordination of cross-occupational work . We add an understanding of how the temporal structuring of occupational practices and their temporal orientation is shaped in part through the different materialities used in the occupation's work. We highlight the varying, and competing, temporal structures of occupational practices and how these can shape temporal orientations with consequences for work coordination. Whilst the resourcing of occupational members' diverse temporal orientations can constrain how collaborative work is done and lead to what we call the fracturing of coordination , our study highlights also the potential to resource different temporal orientations to generatively develop solutions to coordination challenges.

Our findings have important implications for management. First, they suggest that those responsible for supporting work in contexts that require coordination between different occupational groups should be aware of how their various temporal dynamics may influence task coordination. Specifically, managers could usefully develop a nuanced understanding of occupations' temporal orientations in their particular context, and how the resourcing of their differences may influence coordination processes. Second, they

might also consider the temporal orientations of workers when selecting members of an inter-occupational team. For example, they can be attuned to the potential clashes in orientations to time and how this can influence team dynamics. Additionally, managers can consider individuals' different temporal orientations when apportioning group specific tasks; for example, those entrusted to specific tasks (such as reinforcing deadlines) should ideally be from an occupation with temporal orientations appropriate to the tasks at hand.

In closing, we note that while our study is based on an in-depth case in the healthcare context, future research on temporal perspectives in coordinating cross occupational work could be usefully expanded to other professional or craft based contexts of work. In particular, we suggest that it would be fruitful to study other ways in which differences in temporal orientation can be resourced, and how power structures between occupations may shape temporal dynamics of coordination. Furthermore, our study provides some preliminary links between temporality and emotions, such as those discussed around impatience or being hopeful of the future. Further research could usefully develop our understanding of this relationship and its consequences for coordination.

While the usual caution of generalizability from a single case study holds in our case, we suggest that our insights on a temporal perspective of coordination in cross-occupational contexts may be transferrable to other contexts. For example, in an academic context, these concepts and theoretical developments may be useful to sensitize individuals to the differences in temporal orientations between administrative staff and faculty. Appropriate training on a temporal perspective could be usefully developed to enhance cross-occupational work coordination by understanding different temporal orientations and resourcing mechanisms of *juxtaposing*, *temporal working*, and *mutual adjusting*. Relatedly, the call for impact and responsible research may be better achieved through a nuanced understanding of how differences in temporal orientation can be resourced along with an understanding of power relations between academics, practitioners and policymakers. These developments can contribute to more effective cross-occupational working, together with engendering improved coordination within our own academic field.

REFERENCES

- Anteby M, Curtis K, DiBenigno J. 2016. Three lenses on occupations and professions in organizations: Becoming, doing, and relating. *Academy of Management Annals*, 10(1) 183-244.
- Bailey, D.E. and Barley, S.R., 2011. Teaching-learning ecologies: Mapping the environment to structure through action. *Organization Science*, 22(1), 262-285.
- Barley S. 1986. Technology as an occasion for structuring: Evidence from observations of CT scanners and the social order of radiology departments. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 31:1; 78-108.
- Barley S. 1990. The alignment of technology and structure through roles and networks. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35 61-103.
- Barrett, M., Oborn, E. 2010. Boundary Object Use in Cross-Cultural Software Development Teams. *Human Relations*, 63(8) 1199-1221.
- Beane, M., 2019. Shadow learning: Building robotic surgical skill when approved means fail. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 64(1), pp.87-123.
- Bechky B. 2003. Sharing meaning across occupational communities: the transformation of understanding on a production floor. *Organization Science*, 14;312-330.
- Bechky, B. 2006. Gaffers, gofers, and grips: Role-based coordination in temporary organizations. *Organization science*, 17(1) 3-21.
- Becker, H.S., Geer, B. and Hughes, E.C., 81. Strauss (1961) Boys in White: Student Culture in Medical School. *Chicago: University of Chicago Press*.
- Bourdieu, P. 1990. *The Logic of Practice*. Polity Press.
- Bruns, H.C., 2013. Working alone together: Coordination in collaboration across domains of expertise. *Academy of Management journal*, 56(1) 62-83.
- Carlile P. 2002. A pragmatic view of knowledge and boundaries: boundary objects in new product development, *Organization Science*, 13; 442-455.
- Chandler, A.D. 1962. *Strategy and structure: Chapters in history of the industrial enterprise*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chia R, Holt R. 2006. Strategy as practical coping: A Heideggerian perspective. *Organization Studies*, 27(5) 635–655.
- Denzin N, Lincoln Y. 1998. *The Landscape of Qualitative Research*. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks CA.
- Emirbayer M, Mische A. 1998. What is agency? *American Journal of Sociology*. 103(4) 962–1023.
- Faraj, S., Sproull, L. 2000. Coordinating expertise in software development teams. *Management science*, 46(12) 1554-1568.
- Faraj, S. and Xiao, Y. 2006. Coordination in fast-response organizations. *Management science*, 52(8) 1155-1169.
- Feldman, M.S. 2004. Resources in emerging structures and processes of change. *Organization Science*, 15(3) 295-309.
- Feldman M, Worline M. 2011. Resources, Resourcing, and Ampliative Cycles in Organizations, In *The Oxford Handbook of Positive Organizational Scholarship* (eds) GM Spreitzer and KS Cameron. Oxford University Press, Oxford UK.
- Feldman M, Orlikowski W. 2011. Theorizing practice and practicing theory. *Organization Science*, 22 1240–1253.
- Freidson, E. 1972. *Profession Of Medicine: A Study Of The Sociology Of Applied Knowledge*. Dodd Mead and Co, New York.
- Freidson, E. 1988 *Professional Powers: A Study of the Institutionalization of Formal Knowledge*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Galbraith, J.R., 1974. Organization design: An information processing view. *Interfaces*, 4(3), pp.28-36.

- Gkeredakis, E., 2014. The constitutive role of conventions in accomplishing coordination: insights from a complex contract award project. *Organization Studies*, 35(10), 1473-1505.
- Golden-Biddle K, Locke K. 2009. *Composing Qualitative Research*. Sage: Thousand Oaks CA.
- Heaphy E. 2013. Repairing breaches with rules: maintaining institutions in the face of everyday disruptions. *Organization Science*, 24(5) 1291-1315.
- Howard-Grenville, J., Golden-Biddle, K., Irwin, J., & Mao, J. 2011. Liminality as cultural process for cultural change. *Organization Science*, 22(2): 522–539.
- Ho K. 2009. *Liquidated: An Ethnography of Wall Street*. Duke University Press, Durham, NC.
- Jarzabkowski PA, Lê JK, Feldman MS. 2012. Toward a theory of coordinating: Creating coordinating mechanisms in practice. *Organization Science*. 23(4) 907-27.
- Kaplan S, Orlikowski W. 2013. Temporal work in strategy making. *Organization Science*, 24(4) 965-995.
- Kellogg KC. 2009. Operating room: Relational spaces and microinstitutional change in surgery. *American Journal of Sociology*, 115(3) 657–711.
- Kellogg, KC. 2014. Brokerage professions and implementing reform in an age of experts. *American Sociological Review*, 79(5) 912-941.
- Kellogg K, Orlikowski W, Yates J. 2006. Life in the trading zone: Structuring coordination across boundaries in postbureaucratic organizations. *Organization Science*, (17:1) 22-44.
- Kim, A., Bansal, P. and Haugh, H.M., No Time Like the Present: How a Present Time Perspective Can Foster Sustainable Development. *Academy of Management Journal*, (forthcoming).
- Langley A. 1999. Strategies for theorizing from process data. *Academy Management Review*. 24 691-710.
- Leroy, S., Shipp, A.J., Blount, S. and Licht, J.G., 2015. Synchrony preference: Why some people go with the flow and some don't. *Personnel Psychology*, 68(4) 759-809.
- Lindley, S. 2015. Making time. CSCW- ACM March 14-18; 1442-1452.
- March, J.G., & Simon, H.A. 1958. *Organizations*. New York: John Wiley.
- Michel A. 2011. Transcending socialization: A nine-year ethnography of the body's role in organizational control and knowledge workers' transformation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 56: 325–368.
- Michel A. 2014. The mutual constitution of persons and organizations: An ontological perspective on organizational change. *Organization Science*, 25(4) 1082-1110.
- Mintzberg, H. (1989). *Mintzberg on management: Inside our strange world of organizations*. New York: The Free Press.
- Nicolini, D., Mengis, J., Swan, J. 2012. Understanding the role of objects in cross-disciplinary collaboration. *Organization Science*, Volume 23; 612-629.
- Orlikowski W, Yates J. 2002. It's about time: Temporal structuring in organizations. *Organization Science*, 13:6; 684-700.
- Okhuysen GA, Bechky BA. 2009. Coordination in organizations: An integrative perspective. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 3 (1), 463-502.
- Polanyi, M. 1958. *Personal Knowledge*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Pratt, M.G. Rockmann, K.W., Kaufmann, J.B. 2006. Constructing professional identity: The role of work and identity learning cycles in the customization of identity among medical residents. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(2) 235-262.
- Reddy M., Dourish, P. 2002. A finger on the pulse: Temporal rhythms and information seeking in medical work. CSCW- ACM Nov 16-22; 344-352.
- Reddy M., Dourish, P., Pratt, W. 2006. Temporality in medical work: Time also matters. CSCW 15 29-53.
- Reinecke, J., Ansari, S. 2015. When times collide: Temporal brokerage at the intersection of markets and developments. *Academy of Management Journal*, 58(2) 618-648.

- Sennett, R., 2008. *The craftsman*. Yale University Press.
- Sonenshein, S., 2014. How organizations foster the creative use of resources. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(3) 814-848.
- Truelove, E., Kellogg, K. 2015. The radical flank effect and cross-occupational collaboration for Technology development during a power shift. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 61(4) 662–701.
- Tsoukas, H., 2005. *Complex knowledge: Studies in organizational epistemology*. Oxford University Press.
- Tsoukas, H. 2009. A dialogical approach to the creation of new knowledge in organizations. *Organization Science*, 20(6), 941-957.
- Van Maanen, J., Schein, E. 1977. Toward a theory of organizational socialization. *Research in organizational behavior*.
- Venters, W., Oborn, E. and Barrett, M., 2014. A trichordal temporal approach to digital coordination: the sociomaterial mangling of the CERN grid. *MIS Quarterly*, 38 927-949.
- Zerubavel, E. 1977. The French Republican Calendar: A Case Study in the Sociology of Time. *American Sociological Review*, 42(6) 868-877.

Table 1. Overview of the data collected according to occupational group

| Data | Pathology | Radiology | Surgery | Oncology |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Observation, 18 months honorary contract | - 55 multidisciplinary team (MDT) (1-2 hour each) meetings -4 days in pathology lab to observe the pathology preparation and diagnosis process | -19 joint clinics (held with surgeons and oncologists); -55 MDT meetings -2 days in radiology area - shadowing radiology trainee learning biopsy process | -23 joint clinics (4 joint with oncologists, 19 held with oncologists and radiologists); -55 MDT meetings - 2 days in operating theatre; - 4 grand rounds and 5 surgery clinics attended; - attended research lectures on surgical trials and surgical audit meetings | -23 joint clinics (4 held jointly with surgeons, 19 held with surgeons and radiologists); -55 MDT meetings -3 day in oncology areas, including chemotherapy and outpatient clinics; oncology library -attended oncology research lectures |
| Formal Interviews | 7 consultants (<i>consultant</i> is term used in UK for most senior level of doctors, both physicians and surgeons) | 7 consultants 2 registrars (senior trainees) | 10 consultants 6 registrars | 8 consultants |
| Key areas of informal discussion | - hallway meetings with pathologists before MDTs -meetings over coffee in lab with pathology team; -discussion over informal dinner | -discussions with radiologists whilst they interpreted images and findings; -informal conversations in coffee shops | -discussion over informal dinner; -hung out in surgical coffee lounge with surgeons between operations | -regular informal discussion at the weekly meetings and joint clinics; -lunch with oncologist |
| Texts | -Medical textbooks on pathology with cellular conditions, normal and abnormal cells; -Lists of laboratory protocols. | -Studied the research papers produced by team and read two text books; examined radiology IT database; training protocols | -Surgical medical record forms; local audit reports; theatre checklist of instruments for operations; studied anatomy texts | -Examined academic articles, local protocols, treatment tables and ‘prognostic indicators’ |

Table 2: Data samples for material elements and temporal structuring of practices

| Occupational Group | Formal interviews | Informal discussions | Observation | Documents and texts |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Surgeon: Material elements of practice | <p>‘Before decisions rested largely on previous experience, not taking notice of what is happening in the research and literature.</p> <p>‘Some of the things we have to do are truly dreadful’</p> <p>‘when I was a student, the thoracic surgeon used to throw instruments across the theatre’</p> <p>‘Today in the general surgery theatre, I saw some more lumps and bumps.’</p> <p>‘Surgeons will have very little debate, very little academia, it is just cut it out, cut it out, cut it out, cut it out.’ (Oncologist)</p> <p>‘sentinel node biopsy... an attempt to minimize the number of patients who undergo axillary clearance... The disease travels from the breast tissue to the lymph nodes via a few select ‘sentinel nodes’ which are located midway between breast and axilla.’</p> | <p>‘So the guy with the knife, who is replacing your heart, or fixing your heart valve, or doing your brain surgery ...- he’s the only one for 100 mile radius who can do it. Then there’s much more of a hero, untouchable, king’ (Oncologist);</p> <p>‘The surgeon is the cutter- that is what they are... They see themselves as the most important part’ (Oncologist)</p> <p>The [surgeons] emphasized the point that they would not accept unclear margins. Before they were happy with margins that were maybe 1mm from the edge. They were a bit sloppy about how close they got to getting all the tumour out. The idea was that it would get mopped up in the aftermath [end part of surgery] ...very important to scrap the axilla out well.</p> | <p>‘You just tickle them with a few drugs. I have to carve them up.’ (Surgeon says to oncologists at MDT);</p> <p>Initial assessments of diverse examinations of body parts, palpation of lumps, comparing bilaterally, inspecting skin, scanning body parts. Post surgery, wound inspections, check for skin quality, infection, blood count. Prescribe meds, especially pain control (analgesics);</p> <p>-[Surgeon explaining to trainee]‘There are two methods [to identify nodes], either a isotope or a blue dye can be used, and some have now found it best to use both.</p> <p>-Use of many instruments in theatre;</p> <p>Sudden shift in operation process as patient’s blood pressure unexpectedly drops and anaesthetist increases control of the operation process. Surgeon repeatedly checks with anaesthetist on patient status (theatre notes)</p> | <p>Text book of anatomy, full of pictures of body parts, some schematic, some of live persons, some of cadaver / specimens morphology;</p> <p>Protocols of prostate biopsy procedure (which differed between hospitals, and surgeons);</p> <p>Screen seen of ‘Da Vinci’ robot, depicting surgical view of operation.</p> |
| Surgeon: Temporal structuring of practice | <p>‘They sit and talk...while the cancer is growing. [It is best] just to cut it out.’</p> <p>-[I have found looking at pathology slides interesting] If they don’t dwell too much on it. (S)</p> <p>-More colorectal cancers present as emergencies first, many of,</p> | <p>‘I don’t want to see every core biopsy, which has cancer in it...what I would like to see is the one that is [equivocal]... cause I think it gives me a greater understanding of how to approach the patient.’ (Surgeon)</p> <p>- [In context of high patient volume] I suggest that they would</p> | <p>‘This operation would have been a difficult one... But [surgeon] used his method, which he sticks to and works around the difficulties. And he has still finished up in [very fast time].’ (Visiting surgeon commenting, fieldnotes in theatre)</p> <p>-(Fieldnote in clinic) 9:05 a.m. First surgeon has ticked the board to show</p> | <p>Surgeons’ preference for filling in simple, quick ‘tick’ boxes on medical notes</p> <p>-Paperwork kept brief and minimal;</p> |

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | <p><i>a third of them, are operated before, you know, you've got to operate on them quickly. So there are certain clinical conditions at play here.</i></p> <p><i>[When we refer patient on] you don't see them anymore. You're not really asked if there is something further you can do... [though] they may be referred back a bit too late.</i></p> <p><i>'The surgeons...have a very clear cut approach...They are very organized, highly efficient. They are caring ...but very clear on their boundaries' (Nurse)</i></p> | <p>perhaps not be able to meet the 2 week wait performance criteria and he says quickly, 'oh but they have to meet that [deadline]' (Fieldnotes)</p> <p>S comments after a meeting that was held very quickly due to shortage of staff, 'I hate to say it, but I think we may find these truncated meetings are actually better.'</p> <p>(At research meeting, Surgeons explaining a technique:)</p> <p>'get the SLN [body part] and get out of there, ... I would consider checking out the axilla...'</p> | <p>patient being seen and is in with first patient... They are almost always first to see patients as clinic starts.</p> <p>(MDT) R gives his opinion regarding a film being viewed, [the ureter] looks cancerous. S1 responds swiftly, then we had better take [it] out S2 asks S1 if he can do it. S1 promptly replies, yes Tuesday [next week] there is time. S2 walks over to pass on the notes and the decision to operate and when to operate have been completed in a matter of minutes. They move to discussing the next patient.</p> | <p>-Extra operating lists and clinic sessions were drawn up when there was sudden increase in patient volumes and wait lists were getting too long.</p> |
| Oncology: Material elements of the practice | <p><i>There is a lot more emphasis on clinical trial that people are going into because of the type of work we do. (Oncol)</i></p> <p><i>-[We] train to deliver chemotherapy and in the future biological therapies, or systemic therapies.</i></p> <p><i>-Radiation tries to fry the tumour up a bit.... Physics and Complex machinery to fry bits of people.</i></p> <p><i>-Since the 1970s we saw the advancement of medical oncology which was a very research orientated specialty from the beginning ... so were interested in the research side of things. (Oncologist)</i></p> <p><i>-'if you want good clinical info that can support research then you need a robust IT system' Oncologist</i></p> <p><i>-'[Surgeons] chose to be doctors [to help keep people alive], but I chose oncology knowing that the outcomes are poor.' (Oncologist)</i></p> | <p><i>In clinic I had one lady today and I showed her this and explained that the chemo would only give her another 2.5% improved chance of survival while hormonal benefit was about 8%. I mean I didn't think that was very much and if it had been me, I would have said I would take my chances. But she said, no doctor I want it all.' (Oncologist speaking)</i></p> <p><i>-'there are clearly big holes in what we are trying to do, and that is why there is room for lots of trials' (Oncl)</i></p> <p><i>- In oncology they are concerned about having lots of accurate outcome data, especially because they like to draw on it for research. (radiologist)</i></p> <p><i>-I tried to show (a patient) her the various options ... She did not want to see this chart (pointing to the top line on the [database] which indicates her expected 10 yr survival was 79%.</i></p> | <p>Oncologist 1 suggests the figures indicating prognosis. Oncologist 2 says; 3.4 did you say. I guess we should offer her that. Is she eligible for the tango trial do you think? (fieldnotes of MDT)</p> <p>(O) demonstrates the database to me, shows me how by putting various parameters in the life expectancy and prognosis of benefit of treatment is displayed, with chemotherapy and with hormonal therapy (e.g. Tamoxifen) and combined treatment. He explains the studies on which the algorithm is based.... Basically it sets the prognosis of patients into 5 categories (the algorithm is on the handouts that [colleague] gives me. (fieldnotes)</p> <p><i>- 'I was just feeling so awful... I really just wanted someone to listen and understand ... cause my husband couldn't cope with me telling him.'</i> (Notes from discussion with patient)</p> | <p>-Folders on one shelf contain details of current studies.</p> <p>-Patient notes on standard proforma sheet (filled in by clinic nurse or medic) asks for details on hair loss, nausea, pain levels, skin sores, ulcers.</p> <p>-Information notes in cancer clinic beside chemotherapy drip stand provides schedule for dosage and where to insert lines (tubes being inserted into body through needles)</p> |
| Oncologist: Temporal structuring of practice | <p><i>-'Oncologists [are] dynamic people who [are] moving forward'</i></p> <p><i>-'Oncology has flourished because the future in cancer treatment is going to be in systemic therapy</i></p> <p><i>-Re trials: 'the disease has such an attenuating course, you don't get your results promptly, so if you want your results in 10 years' time, you had better start now. Because that is built in to every, um every study. You can't get to end points as quick'</i></p> <p><i>-'[Gene therapy] is the way of the future...we need [to] support this research. (Oncologist)</i></p> <p><i>-Those trials need to be tied to tissue collection, storage of the right data so you have got all of the core clinical data... Tie it up and say, 'ah well actually this particular ray profile correlates in responsiveness to this therapy' so that in the future, we go back to MDTs and inform them, in the next 5 to 10 years. You are doing it for the future. (Oncologist)</i></p> | <p><i>- [oncologist] explained that yes, once they have metastases then patients want to know how much time they have.</i></p> <p><i>-Re protocols in oncology 'We used to sit around and discuss should we offer chemo, should we recommend a treatment and we would go around a bit. Now we have a set way of doing it. We used to offer 3 months of chemo to patients in the 2-3% range (which is half of the normal chemotherapy package) but after reviewing the studies and looking at the data, we decided that we really were better off doing the whole 7 months if it was worth doing at all. And the American data suggests that 50 % of women choose to add chemo to their treatment if it adds 2-3 % improved life expectancy, so we feel more confident now [about]the data.</i></p> | <p><i>Surgeon asks Oncologist 'do you follow up a teritoma for ever?' O replies, 'yes after the data you presented that suggests a 10% reoccurrence.' S returns 'well I guess it lets you keep the data going'.</i></p> <p><i>-'Clinic at 920 [Nurse] comes in and says that [oncologist] is going to be late, he was drawing up a treatment elsewhere...better go and tell the lady who is waiting.</i></p> <p><i>-During a meeting about patient treatment an oncologist discussed a patient they were followed indefinitely with no plans for discharge from clinic. A surgeon commented with incredulity 'you mean you keep following them forever?'</i> Several oncologists laughed and looked at each other. (fieldnotes)</p> <p><i>-Oncologist explained to surgeons in a meeting in response to being asked about their long follow up on patients 'nowadays there are more chemos being given, with new side effects and these need to be monitored'.</i></p> | <p>-Power point slides from Oncologist presentation on current trial being run at local clinic. These outline survival rates and prognosis for first 6 months, 1 year, 5 and 10 years.</p> <p>-Patient notes contain follow up recordings of patient visits for ongoing annual check ups following 'discharge' from active treatment.</p> <p>-Stacks of journals were scattered on shelves reporting on various research trials. Outcome data reported for 10 year survival rates.</p> |
| Pathologist: Material elements of practice | <p><i>'A pathologist may typically may spend 60 minutes going over 60</i></p> | <p><i>-P1-Histo-pathology is a very labour intensive path or process.</i></p> <p><i>P2-absolutely</i></p> | <p><i>[Pathologist] palpates the tumour and cuts the tissue into unsevered portions so that he can visualise the tumour and</i></p> | <p>-Thick textbooks show pictures of various</p> |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | <p><i>different slides taken from one small biopsy' (pathologist)</i></p> <p><i>'Patients are anxious to know their diagnosis as soon as possible; patients want an answer, is it yes or no, doctor' (pathologist)</i></p> <p><i>'no one is going to argue with the pathologist about their diagnosis, no one in that room knows as much about it as she does.'</i> (Oncologist)</p> <p><i>'The specimen is sent up and we then slice it and put it onto a slide and that is then processed. And then we look at those nodes. 4 lymph nodes and we had 8 slides ... It requires 2 consultants, we recon it took us 45 minutes, handing around doing, it, reporting, for those 4 lymph nodes... because (sentinel node) is a procedure - we have a set protocol and it is double reading.</i></p> <p><i>'As I report a case, I put it on a meeting list...but the fact that all MDT meetings rely on pathology is not really right...[but] I track all cytology and histology results on all these patients..... I really want it to work- in my heart of heart (she puts hand to chest).'</i> (Pathologist)</p> | <p><i>P1 –compared to the surgery, the clinic and whatever the oncologists are doing.</i></p> <p><i>-there are grey areas for instance between atypical and insitu carcinoma. And you get somebody like [Pathologist] looking at GI stuff and she will have a smaller number of grey areas, that she can't decide which is which, perhaps fewer insitus and more atypias or vice versa. And the same is true of breast. It comes down to how much experience you have. But you never – I mean there are grey areas that you will never eliminate. In pathology . because there is a gradation. I mean if something (here she starts drawing for me on a napkin) starts to become malignant, you don't all of a sudden start seeing a cancer like that. A Normal cell. So if you have normal cells, a normal duct with normal epithelial cells, that one cell presumably undergoes a mutation and starts to change. (discussion with pathologist)</i></p> | <p><i>surrounding tissue... These are frozen in liquid nitrogen in a nearby canister. [Another pathologist] assists him with getting containers opened, holding paint jars and writing down estimated tumour size... [In another] room [another] stage of the process occurs, a room full of small washing type machines. In here trays of specimens are given certain cycles of treatment from chemicals such as xylene, using a set range of computerized programs, in order to extract the water from the specimen and replace it with wax. The specimens are left in the machine for the duration of the cycles and then dipped into hot wax. The hot wax then is quickly cooled on a cold tray, making sure that the specimen stuck to the bottom of the tray. Once cooled the specimen could be cut. (Fieldnotes)</i></p> <p><i>-The slides contain small samples of body tissue, micro millimetres in size that have been stained pink and blue to highlight cell morphology. Slides on 'bench area' (where some 30 people worked on hundreds of slides daily) (Fieldnotes)</i></p> | <p>ways to stain cells and indicate what the different stains represent. The pictures contain illustrations of cell nuclei, cellular walls, various transport mechanisms, fluid, blood vesicles etc.</p> <p>-Each machine in the laboratory is accompanied by a detailed manual of how to operate and how to care for the machine.</p> <p>- shelf of journal articles in histology lab contain detailed illustration of variations between cells and how cell morphology changes over time in response to various treatments.</p> |
| <p>Pathologist: Temporal structuring of practice</p> | <p><i>P1- you might sit in a meeting and say '4 of the lymph nodes are negative' in seconds but- (P3 interrupts to finish the sentence)</i></p> <p><i>P2-but that might have been an hour and half of work- 2 hours!'</i></p> <p><i>'I was really stressed because it was supposed to come up at 10 AM, there were supposed to be 2 consultants on hand ready when they came and I had spoken to [another consultant]... We then got a phone call at 10 AM to say (surgeons) had been delayed until 11AM. It finally arrived at 1 o'clock and [the other pathologist] wasn't around...so I had to find someone else.... The second [specimen] arrived after 4PM and [other consultant] had now gone [home] ...and I had planned to leave at 4PM [but couldn't]'</i></p> <p><i>Those meetings can be very frustrating because [Surgeons want] what they need to treat [patients] and move on to the next case. We want to discuss [details] more.. we do not have time to go into any detail about it and we have to move on...'</i> (Pathol)</p> | <p><i>'[Pathologist explains the] routinized processes and protocols need to be followed in order to produce the slide accurately. It is this protocol and procedure that is most negatively affected by the request for a 'rush' delivery that often renders the final product less than optimal or at times un- usable. The specimens comes out of sequence and does not allow for all the steps to occur in full.'</i></p> <p><i>-It would take a while to do the whole case properly ...instead of just banging off a list of figures...' a pathologist explain when referring to the 'MDT'.</i></p> <p><i>-P1 -the time pressure is such (in MDT) You just fly through it, just the basics.</i></p> <p><i>P2 yes you just fly through-</i></p> <p><i>P3 you show them just the tissues that they need, They apply the appropriate formula with what they need to treat them with and we move on to the next case. That is a time factor thing, really.</i></p> | <p><i>'certain cellular preparations took 72 hours to process from start to finish, needing set time periods before each step could be undertaken. Other tests took less time to prepare but given the high volumes were organised in a staged fashion so that all readings would be ready for the next relevant reporting meeting. They rely heavily on protocol otherwise the lab would be in chaos. (Fieldnotes, from Path lab)</i></p> <p><i>-The pathologist explains to me that the tissue must be brought for staining, which is an automated device that dips the tissue into various chemicals...</i></p> <p><i>These different tests and staining take considerable time. The immuno-staining takes around 8 hours and is carried out in a separate lab across the hallway. ' (field notes, from path lab)</i></p> <p><i>-The pathologist make several comments re their concern that the new techniques which will be requiring a different form of analysis will be time consuming, and that they will need to be standardized along with the surgical procedure. (Notes, from inter-occupational research meeting)</i></p> | <p>Binder in pathology lab wrote out the detailed steps for staining tissue sample. Some steps are detailed in seconds, some in minutes, some hours.</p> <p>Typed notes beside the 'Centrifugal machine' notes the times in seconds it takes to separate out various cell features.</p> <p>Various timing devices are spread across the lab for accurate recording and ready to hand.</p> |
| <p>Radiology: material elements of practice</p> | <p><i>'with all the very early disease, the screen detected disease, there is a lot of liaison [with] the surgeons ... Because they are having to arrange their guide wire localization, putting the guide wire into the tumor under mammographic control, under [xray] control, prior to the patient going off to surgery. (Radiologist, discussing a new technique he had started to do)</i></p> <p><i>'Where the patient had a number of neurological signs and I'd just get up and walk across the corridor and</i></p> | <p>Radiologist explains when trainees who have little experience with the equipment dome in it is often tricky because you need to juggle their needs, teach them and also minimize any discomfort or misunderstanding the patient might have, who is listening to anything being said. The trainee are often straining to get the handle of the buttons and controls of the equipment and thus frown.</p> | <p><i>'there is a suspicious area but you can't be conclusive.... There seems to have been a repeat ultrasound done on this person which is important'. (Fieldnotes MDT)</i></p> <p><i>-Radiologist refers back to the new treatments they have started doing. These had been agreed upon and involves doing papillary lesions and other small radiological suction type removals of lesions that used to be done in theatre by surgeons. Radiologists are going to start this procedure in theatre with surgeons.</i></p> | <p>MRIs, CT, x rays, PET images, black and white images with etchings and shadows, generally taken by technician. These are sitting within paper folder copies of all the patient notes. These films are regularly removed and viewed</p> |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | <p>say "look we're going to want an MRI done now." And he [ie other radiologist who is across corridor] says "well we've got to finish [current procedure], but we'll do it". And then he will, the radiologist, will bring the films over and say "okay well there's a problem there and that's okay, that's okay but I think you know... let's have a look at some more pictures" (Rad)</p> | <p>- '[We thought] well the radiologists, they just take the pictures...but there he was actually giving her the diagnosis...something that I would feel was more part of what I do, break bad news, you know.' (oncologist)</p> <p>- 'It is very convenient to be able to look up the screen and find out about previous chemo treatment, and to quickly scan it. It is hard to locate this in the notes, if you can find the notes.'</p> | <p>Radiology was taking this role away from surgeons. (Discussion, coffee room)</p> <p>-Radiologist shows me two different views of an abdomen, one from CT scan and other from MRI. She highlights the different features that the two scans reveal, and explains why I am seeing different things. She then takes out a PET scan, which has bright 'hot spots' and contrasts a very different view of the body. This is telling me where there is very high metabolic activity, for example if the cancer cells are very active... important to compare the scans. (Clinic)</p> | <p>by one or more radiologists on bright screen monitors as they point to various structures and discuss in groups.</p> |
| <p>Radiologist: Temporal structuring of practice</p> | <p>- 'It is very convenient to be able to look up the screen and find out about previous chemo treatment, and to quickly scan it.' Radiologist</p> <p>'There has been a fundamental change in my practice, over the last 6 months. I used to tick the boxes on the [assessment form] and throw it away on the chart- the secretary would type it up. Now that a new IT system has been set up, I actually have to sit down and think about what I want to say...less meaning gets lost. Interestingly, the other groups have not changed their practice much...'</p> <p>'We should use the [new IT system] more in the [meetings]. ...[We could] then pull up the required picture, move out [and view] another file. ... and [then] the patient assessment and a surgical notes can be drawn in, and perhaps an ultrasound view. we could program the system to display the images in this pre-set order [so we can move between them easily] able to have the access to the file as needed.</p> | <p>A pathologist suggests after a patient meeting (MDT) that they often find the radiologist spent too much time reporting about the past treatment of the patient, eg, for post op patients, 'why do we discuss the initial assessment and then the biopsy... should skip some of that introduction' (Pathologist comments to fieldworker.)</p> <p>- '[There are] times when you can't correlate the radiology and pathology. There are times when they (radiologists) go back and do another biopsy ... if they are really concerned with the radiological features not matching what we found.' (Pathol)</p> <p>-[In]a hospital ... people [can get] get static in their job. ... doing the same treatment with the same colleagues. This is not a good situation, ever. They need to change something, ..it's important to build in dynamism into the work routine, then change of practice [would] be more acceptable. (Radiologist)</p> <p>'You need to be able to fall in line, otherwise you wouldn't be able to work in this kind of place' (Radiol)</p> | <p>Pointing to the CT graph [radiologist] says 'there is a suspicious area but you can't be conclusive.... There seems to have been a repeat US done on this person which is important (fieldnotes)... [Later, regarding the next patient] he comments 'there is no large change between early and repeat films' (notes on comments during patient meeting)</p> <p>Radiologist (during patient MDT meeting) says 'there is no large change between early and repeat films..' (Fieldnotes)</p> <p>- 'This blob [on CT scan] has just caught my eye, and there is probably nothing to it, it has probably been there years and it looks like a blob of fatty tissue. But if you should come across more of these [in next scan], then keep this spot in mind...' (Notes of radiologist speaking to others in a patient meeting)</p> <p>-[Radiologist] puts up 3 different images of the [kidney] showing one from previous visit 2 years ago, one from the recent clinic and one from yesterday. He points out various features associated with the changes across these images as he explains his assessment to the surgeon. (Notes on patient assessment meeting)</p> | <p>-Text book showing radiology images of tumour growth show progression of changes over 6 monthly intervals, with tumor view getting bigger and changing its contours.</p> <p>-Research paper shows changes in bone density over time in patients receiving a particular chemotherapy treatment.</p> <p>-Patient medical notes (digitized) contain folders for series of CT scans and MRI scans from previous decade at several intervals.</p> |

Table 3: Temporal orientation and structuring of occupational practice

| | <i>Surgical Practice</i> | <i>Oncology Practice</i> | <i>Pathology Practice</i> | <i>Radiology Practice</i> |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Material elements of practice | <p>Artefacts: Knives, blood, anaesthetics, retractors, tables, scalpels;</p> <p>Patient work focus: salvage (life saving) operations</p> | <p>Artefacts: Toxic chemicals, protocols, drip stands, clinical trials</p> <p>Patient work focus: teary patients, afraid of dying</p> | <p>Artefacts: Microscope, chemicals, slides, stains, laboratories;</p> <p>Patient work focus: cells, bits of removed tissue</p> | <p>Artefacts: Dark rooms, images, data files, scanning technologies;</p> <p>Patient work focus: body parts and organ systems</p> |
| Temporal structuring of practice | <p>Rhythm of work: Swift, beating the clock;</p> <p>Time focus: the present</p> | <p>Rhythm of work: Stretching time to accommodate work;</p> <p>Time focus: the future</p> | <p>Rhythm of work: Keeping clock time;</p> <p>Time focus: the present</p> | <p>Rhythm of work: Changes across time;</p> <p>Time focus: the past and present</p> |
| Temporal orientation of occupational members | <p>Quick decision making, impatient, improvising</p> | <p>Deliberating, hopeful for the future</p> | <p>Rigidly detailed, fixed</p> | <p>Flexible, accommodating</p> |

Table 4. Resourcing temporal orientations productively for cross-occupational coordination

| Vignette | Events | Steps for resourcing |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| <p>1B Surgeon and Radiologist</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Surgeon aware of the challenge of late clinic, enacted through oncologists’ dominating temporal orientation, and strain being caused - The schema for action is that solely clinicians familiar with patients diagnoses provide counsel - Surgeon juxtaposes surgical and radiology temporal orientations - Surgeon reconsiders present concerns while reimagining a future perfect clinic by harnessing the radiologist’s flexible temporal orientation -Mutual adjustment through empowering relations among occupational team members to organise clinic using a new practice with an expanded role for radiologists, since they are also clinicians familiar with patient -overall, more than one temporal orientation are being used to coordinate the emerging clinic as the radiologist flexibly speeds up the flow for some patients and the oncologists maintain their slow deliberation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Awareness of coordination breakdown; -Juxtapose different temporal orientation as potential resource -Reconsider working in the present by reimagining how flexibility can be engendered in clinics breaking with past roles -Mutual adjusting by occupations to accomplish a new practice |
| <p>3 Pathologist</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pathologist aware of coordination challenge of running the team meeting whereby patients were potentially being missed as different lists became used - Juxtaposing two temporal orientations (rigid and improvised scheduling) to envision a new schema for coordinating work -Schema shifts from responsible clinicians making sure ‘their’ patients are on the list, to pathology taking charge according to all the biopsies they receive - Harnessing surgeon’s temporal orientation in improvising meeting schedule to restructure future schedules to become anchored around key pathology events (eg lab work requests, tissue diagnosis) in resourcing tighter scheduling in meetings; - Mutual adjustment of wider team to new schema’s coordination process - overall team members take up the MDT list in a new way as it now becomes an entity whereby several relevant lists become cross checked | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Awareness of coordination breakdown; Juxtapose two temporal orientations for resourcing MDT meeting; -Envisioning possible future meetings using new schema, different from the past schema - Mutually adjust through structured schedule in taking action in enacting a new schema |
| <p>4 Oncologist</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Aware of the groups own frustration for not being able to adequately discuss each case, given pace of surgeons; - Schema shifts from discussing all patient scenarios in MDT meeting to only discussing those who don’t fit any agreed protocol - Juxtapose two temporal orientations (keeping brisk pace that extends over to future patients) to envision new coordination process -Mutual adjustment between team members to empower integration of protocols in MDT meetings and a new practice of protocol meetings - overall they take action by stretching the timing of discussion into different segments so that future coordination could occur through protocols, thus reorganising the sequence and timing of events | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Awareness of coordination breakdown; -Juxtapose two temporal orientations; -Shifting focus from the present cases to reimagine possible future MDT; - Mutual adjustment as team take action to enact new schema |




Figure 1 Schema of analytic process

Appendix 1

Interview Questions- Template used in fieldwork (emphasis in questioning varied according to timing in field, and interviewee)

- 1 Discuss purpose of field work and confidentiality
- 2 Professional practice: (in varied order)
 - How do you perceive your role on the team? What do you do as a [radiologist]? How did you learn [radiology practice] and what makes a [good radiologist]?
 - What is the most important part of your work? Are there particular roles/actions that patients (or other) perceive are expected or required?
 - How are [other professional group] different from [your professional group]? Why? Where do these differences come from?
3. Multidisciplinary team (MDT):
 - What is the purpose of the MDT? Goals? Who do you work the most closely with?
 - Which specialities do you work the least with? What boundaries are most evident in this practice / team?
 - What are the key difficulties with multi-disciplinary teamwork? How would you change this MDT?
- 4 How has the team changed since its inception (Historical reconstruction of team development, and critical incidents)
- 5 How is knowledge shared between disciplines? What impact has teamwork made on your knowledge and learning?
- 6 Collaboration in putting together a patient treatment plan and further aspects of knowledgeable practice
 - What hinders good collaboration between professionals on the team? What enables good collaboration between professionals on the team?
 - How do you know if a clinician in another specialty is a good one, and is effective in their work? How does the MDT affect your [radiology] practice?
 - How involved is the whole team in decision making?