

1 This is a peer-reviewed, accepted author manuscript of the following research article: Robinson, A., Galbraith, I.,
2 & Carrick, L. (Accepted/In press). Practitioner experience of the impact of humanistic methods on autism practice.
3 A preliminary study. *Advances in Autism*.

4 Abstract

5
6 **Purpose:** Autistic people are subject to having their behaviour shaped from a variety of practitioners
7
8 predominantly using behaviourist methodologies. Little is known about how learning alternative
9
10 humanistic methodologies impacts practitioner experiences of relational encounters with autistic
11
12 people. This exploratory study sought to develop an understanding of practitioner experiences of using
13
14 person-centred counselling (PCC) skills and contact reflections (CR) when engaging with autistic
15
16 people.
17
18

19
20 **Design/methodology/approach:** This qualitative study employed an interpretive approach to help
21
22 elucidate perceptions of changing practice. It involved a framework analysis of 20 practitioner's
23
24 experiential case study accounts.
25
26

27
28 **Findings:** An overarching theme emerged: subtle transformations resulted from shifting practice
29
30 paradigms. Four broad themes were identified: 'A different way of being'; 'Opening heightened
31
32 channels of receptivity'; 'Trust in self-actualizing growth' and 'Expanding relational ripples'. Our findings
33
34 suggest that person-centred counselling and contact reflections skills training shows promise in
35
36 providing practitioners with a different way of being with autistic people that enhances their capacity
37
38 towards neurotypical-neurodivergent intersubjectivity.
39
40

41
42 **Originality/value:** This is the first study to provide a qualitative account of autism practitioner
43
44 reflections following training in humanistic methodologies. It challenges the concept of *autism expertise*,
45
46 guided by a pathologizing model, focused on fixing a problem located in the person, which conceals the
47
48 removal of personhood.
49

50
51 **Social implications:** We speculate on the power dynamics of care relationships and those who may
52
53 identify as possessing autism expertise. We are curious as to whether this humanistic skills training can
54
55 truly penetrate practitioner core values and we see this as a fundamental issue which requires further
56
57 investigation.
58
59
60

Introduction

Within the medical model of disability, Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is defined as a pervasive lifelong neurodevelopmental condition characterised by persistent qualitative impairments in social interactions, verbal and non-verbal communications, as well as restricted and repetitive thinking and behaviours (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Autistic people may experience difficulty adapting to what are considered 'social norms' and as such many have experienced isolation and mental health issues, leading to poorer quality of life outcomes (Heijst & Geurts, 2015). Empathy has been considered a core mechanism to explain these difficulties and cognitive empathy has been found to be impaired in autistic people (Mazza et al. 2014; Rueda et al. 2015).

Autistic scholars are challenging this narrative through positioning alternative explanations, such as the double empathy problem (Milton, 2012) which recognises that autistic people have difficulty connecting with others; but instead of explaining this as a problem residing in the person, it locates the problem more at the level of society. It supposes that in a similar way to autistic people having difficulty understanding neurotypical people, neurotypical people have difficulty understanding autistic people, as a consequence of different perceptions and experiences. Milton (2012) proposes that locating problems of intersubjective engagement within the autistic person has led to unhelpful claims that autistic people are socially unmotivated and they may not crave social connections. In contrast, research indicates that autistic people do experience feelings of loneliness (Hedley et al., 2018), and an important aspect of personal wellbeing is a sense of belonging through meaningful relational connections (Milton & Sims, 2016). Further, Milton (2014) proposes that being autistic is a necessary condition in understanding autistic bodily states and experiences, and as such postulates that interpersonal engagement between autistic and non-autistic people will always be constrained.

Treatment methodologies for autistic people tend to use behaviourist formulations, such as Applied Behaviour Analysis (ABA) for early interventions with young children and cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) for older youth and adults (see Appendix 1 for Table of abbreviated terms). Currently,

1
2
3 ABA approaches have the largest evidence base, but critics have argued that the intense nature of
4 these types of interventions can be psychologically damaging, through the erosion of ones sense of self
5 (Sandoval-Norton & Shkedy, 2019). Furthermore, these interventions dismiss certain behaviours as
6 they are viewed through a lens of 'challenging behaviour' and as a consequence silence and or remove
7 voice (Bascom, 2012). Others view the same behaviours as self-stimulatory, providing a calming effect
8 (Kirkham, 2017) and this non-acceptance of differing forms of communication exposes autistic people
9 to harmful experiences, which enhances vulnerability. Controversy surrounds the use of ABA in the
10 treatment of ASD (Kirkham, 2017) with calls for a focus on other less intrusive treatment methods. One
11 such approach, is Intensive Interaction (II) (Nind & Hewitt, 1994) developed to teach communication
12 and social interaction to those who experienced severe difficulties in learning and in relating to others
13 (Nind, 1996). Based upon pre-expressive communication principles, it adopts a paradigm of Infant-
14 Mother interaction, in which the infant initiates a sound or movement or rhythm and the mother
15 responds in an imitative way (Caldwell, 2008). For decades, studies of Intensive Interaction with autistic
16 people report positive results in enhancing communication and social interaction (Nind, 1996; Zeedyk,
17 Caldwell, & Davies, 2009; Argyropoulou & Papoudi, 2012). However, a recent systematic review found
18 limitations of methodology and study design, along with the small numbers of participants, prevent any
19 conclusions being made regarding its efficacy with autistic people (Hutchinson & Bodicoat, 2014).

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The use of Cognitive Behaviour Therapy (CBT) as a treatment for autistic individuals has been
explored, offering positive outcomes (Spain et al., 2017). A recent randomised controlled trial compared
the use of CBT against person-centered counselling (PCC) for anxiety in 36 young autistic people and
findings suggested that CBT is superior to no treatment or treatment as usual, but neither therapy was
superior to the other to a significant degree (Murphy et al., 2017). Person-Centered Therapy (PCT)
takes a non-pathologizing approach that moves away from a focus on diagnosis and emphasises that
clients themselves (through self-actualising) have, in this process, the ability to reflect, assess, and
ultimately determine any changes needed to better their own lives (Cooper, 2013). In PCT the first

1
2
3 condition of therapeutic change is that two persons are in psychological contact with each other
4
5 (Rogers, 1957). It is the counsellor's role to nurture psychological contact (Joseph, 2004). For those
6
7 autistic people who do not have a co-occurring intellectual disability, they have agency in making
8
9 choices in their psychological treatment and PCT accepts the person and trusts the choices they make
10
11 for their own lives. However, if neurotypical people have difficulty understanding autistic people this
12
13 may present a challenge to offering empathy as a core condition in PCT.
14
15
16

17 Further, a subset of autistic people who do have an additional intellectual disability with
18
19 complex communication needs may be seen as persons who are deemed 'contact impaired' (Prouty,
20
21 Werde & Pörtner, 2002). Previously in PCT, these individuals, as well as those with psychosis were
22
23 deemed to be unreachable or 'not capable of contact' (Portner, 2000). People who move back and
24
25 forth, in and out of, contact was first described by Van Werde (2005) as 'grey zone' clients. Similarly to
26
27 Intensive Interaction, pre-therapy is designed to enable contact with people who appear profoundly
28
29 isolated and withdrawn from others (Prouty, Werde & Pörtner, 2002). The degree of contact an
30
31 individual has will vary and pre-therapy has been seen as an effective method which seeks to include
32
33 those often described as 'grey zone' clients (Meaden and Fox, 2015).
34
35
36

37 Prouty's principles of pre-therapy take the form of 'contact work' through contact reflections for
38
39 gaining psychological contact with those moving between expressive and pre-expressive states
40
41 (Prouty, 1976). The ability to teach non-counselling specialists working within the field of autism to use
42
43 aspects of the person-centered approach has been reported (Carrick & McKenzie, 2011). The authors
44
45 reported two case study vignettes of the process and impact of learning PCT and pre-therapy skills. To
46
47 date, there has been no qualitative enquiry into worker characteristics of practitioners' (who are non-
48
49 counselling specialists) reflective experiences of their practice pre-post Person-Centered Therapy and
50
51 pre-therapy skills training on their practice. The present qualitative investigation set out to fill this gap.
52
53 We conducted a small scale exploratory study that sought to develop an understanding of practitioners'
54
55 characteristics and reflective experiences of using person-centered counselling skills and contact
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 reflections (contact work) when relating to autistic individuals who are described as being without or
4
5 with an intellectual disability and with complex communication needs. Our hypothesis was two-fold: we
6
7 hypothesised first that practitioners would lack awareness of using a pathologizing lens in their practice
8
9 as their dominant relational style with autistic people, and second that our Person-Centered
10
11
12 Therapy/Pre-Therapy training would challenge this, resulting in transformative changes to practice.
13

14 **Methods**

15 **Participants**

16
17
18
19 Participants (see Table 1 for participant information) were 20 practitioners from a range of disciplines
20
21 reporting experiences of using person-centered counselling/Pre-Therapy skills with a person on the
22
23 autistic spectrum. Inclusion criteria were: 1) practitioners who had completed the Counselling Skills in
24
25 Autism module; and 2) had engaged with an autistic person using person-centered counselling (PCC)
26
27 skills or contact reflections (CR) for a minimum of 9 sessions; 3) additionally, they had engaged with a
28
29 person who had a diagnosis of autism described as with or without an additional learning disability.
30
31
32
33
34

35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
TABLE 1 Characteristics of Practitioners, Clients and Therapeutic Skills Applied in Practice

41 **Procedure**

42
43 Practitioners working in education, health, social care sector were recruited after completion of a 20-
44
45 credit Masters module in Counselling Skills in Autism (for information see
46
47 <https://www.strath.ac.uk/courses/postgraduatetaught/autismmsc/#coursecontent>) which included
48
49 person-centered (Rogerian) and Pre-therapy (Prouty) theory and experiential skills practice during the
50
51 training (see Table 2 for contact reflections with example). Following a marking process, we made an
52
53 open request to all students who had completed the module, seeking consent to use anonymous
54
55 experiential case study accounts for analysis in a research study on exploring practitioners experiences
56
57 of applying person-centered and pre-therapy counselling skills. Participants were invited to submit a
58
59
60

1
2
3 blind experiential case study for analysis in our research study. Twenty participants gave informed
4
5 consent to use their anonymous experiential case study account.
6
7
8
9

10 Table 2 Prouty's Five Contact Reflections with Explanation and Illustration
11
12
13
14
15

16 **Analysis**

17
18 All experiential case studies were initially reviewed to extract theory-only content. All remaining text
19
20 contained 'therapist' 'client' verbatim interactions and practitioner reflections on applying person-
21
22 centered counselling (PCC) and contact work (CW) skills. A Framework Analysis was applied to the
23
24 data (Ritchie et al. 2003). This method involves following a structured sequence of steps in order to
25
26 systematically identify themes within qualitative data. Framework Analysis was chosen as it is widely
27
28 used as a transparent method of qualitative analysis that allows researchers to generate new theory
29
30 from data whilst focusing their inquiries on pre-determined research objectives. We adhered to
31
32 guidelines for good practice in qualitative research (Mays and Pope, 2000) by conducting the following
33
34 credibility checks, to ensure that interpretations of the data were sound. First, to avoid relying upon a
35
36 single researcher's perspective, a consensus approach (Barker and Pistrang, 2015) was employed with
37
38 two of the authors: IG took the lead in analysis but regularly discussed themes with AR until, eventually,
39
40 they derived a final set of themes and subthemes. Second, during this process, AR audited the
41
42 framework against transcript data (Elliott et al., 1999). Finally, respondent credibility checks were
43
44 conducted to promote testimonial validity (Barker and Pistrang, 2015), whereby the framework was sent
45
46 to participants who were willing to provide their feedback, to ensure it reflected their experiences.
47
48
49
50
51

52 **Results**

53
54 Relevant data in the case studies were organised into themes and subthemes, with the final
55
56 thematic analysis presented in Table 3. An overarching theme emerged: subtle transformations
57
58 resulted from shifting practice paradigms. Four themes, comprising eleven subthemes, were identified.
59
60

1
2
3 The four major identified themes are as follows: (1) 'A different way of being', which recognises
4 tensions experienced when implementing PCT/CR skills; (2) 'Opening heightened channels of
5 receptivity' which explores the impact of non-counselling practitioners using all of their senses within
6 relational encounters; (3) 'Trust in self-actualising growth' which recognises the emergence of self-
7 agency when you are present and alongside; and (4) 'Expanding relational ripples' which recognises
8 observations that are beyond the dyadic relationship. The themes and subthemes are expanded with
9 participant illustrations.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Table 3 Thematic Analysis of Practitioners Experiences of Using Person-centered Counselling Skills
24 and Contact Reflections
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Theme 1: A Different way of Being**

34
35 This theme encapsulates how practitioners responded to a new way of making relational contact with
36 autistic people, and it contained three subthemes. The majority spoke of these new skills confronting
37 their cherished beliefs which were grounded in autism theory and resulted in a sense of feeling
38 deskilled. This led to a period of testing out their competency, with a further realisation that they needed
39 to create new opportunities to allow this new approach to occur.
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Subtheme 1.1: Challenge to my role as fixer**

47
48 The majority expressed facing challenges to their cherished belief that their role was to problem-solve
49 and find solutions to fix numerous problems. Most expressed role conflicts when implementing
50 counselling skills emerging from both personal as well as professional standpoints. Parents expressed
51 how they felt conflicted in their role of *parent as repairer*
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *"I am aware that our relationship needs to develop and include more empathic listening to*
4 *reflect my belief that my child has the ability to 'self-actualise' and 'self-heal'"*
5
6
7

8 This conflict to role was also expressed by *teacher as director*

9
10 *"trying to understand and control behaviours was a fundamental mistake. Allowing him [the*
11 *client] to express and sharing with him, accepting rather than correcting him, led to a viable*
12 *change in his body language"*
13
14
15
16

17 The majority of practitioners within the care sector when implementing counselling skills (n =8) faced a
18 challenge to their role. Support workers and practitioners working in the care setting expressed how
19 most who work in this field agree that they believe they are there to offer various solutions. Participants
20 in a caring role expressed that this new approach posed a conflict to their view of *carer as fixer* and
21 shifting from offering direct solutions
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 *"Do you think you'd be happier at a different college?"*

29
30 Moving towards a realisation that they needed to question their approach

31
32 *"when he expressed his emotions I responded with a clarifying question. It would have been*
33 *more effective to acknowledge this specific feeling and ask a bit more about his experience of*
34 *this"*
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Subtheme 1.2: Practising with tentative steps**

41
42 This theme encapsulates how practitioners experienced a loss of skills when beginning their practice in
43 this new way. The majority of participants (n= 15) expressed that they faced feelings of being deskilled,
44 with many lacking confidence at first
45
46
47

48 *"this is a completely new way of thinking and something which I am not used to. The process*
49 *was extremely challenging to me"*
50
51
52

53 This lack of confidence resulted in some being reluctant to practise

54
55 *"I strongly believe that I need more practical opportunities in order to implement counselling*
56 *skills effectively"*
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Other participants reflected their initial reservations in implementing counselling skills and contact
4 reflections as

5
6
7 *“I felt that I wasn’t ‘qualified’ to deliver such ways of relating”*

8
9
10 Others were sceptical as to how this approach could work

11
12 *“initially I was sceptical as to how effective such an approach would be as I have had no*
13 *previous training”*

14
15
16
17 Many reflected the importance of practical experiential learning, stating that it took time and a number
18 of sessions before they were able to feel comfortable in their new role as therapist

19
20
21 *“I had found taking on the role of the therapist difficult but over time I became more comfortable*
22 *with this”*

23
24
25
26 Although many were initially reluctant to engage in this new way

27
28 *“I felt that I lacked the skills needed to carry out the session”*

29
30 participants expressed gaining confidence in their ability as they engaged in more sessions

31
32
33 *“as I saw results emerge from the client I began to feel more comfortable in my ability to relate*
34 *in this way”*

35
36
37 others reflected on the impact this new approach had for them, and ultimately for those who they
38 engaged with

39
40
41
42 *“It was very intense and eye-opening. I was shocked at how different and powerful counselling*
43 *skills are”*

44 45 46 **Subtheme 1.3: Creating a new space**

47
48
49 This subtheme relates to the where and when highlighted by various participants (n=9) and the difficulty
50 practitioners had in finding an appropriate space in which to implement counselling skills and contact
51 reflections. This was a common finding across all fields. All teachers (n=6) reported issues with both
52 finding the time and space to implement counselling skills into their teaching or non-contact schedules.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *“The biggest challenge for me in my work environment is having the time to spend with*
4
5 *someone one-on-one”*
6

7
8 Similarly those in the care sector also noted this issue

9
10 *“My role often does not allow me to work with individuals one on one, therefore facilitating this*
11
12 *would be the biggest challenge”*
13

14 15 **Theme 2: Opening Heightened Channels of Receptivity**

16
17 This theme encapsulates how practitioners viewed enhanced experiences as a result of changes in
18
19 their typical way of relating and contained three subthemes. These relational changes related to
20
21 participants opening up various modes of receiving the person so they could be more receptive and
22
23 less directive. Reflections within this theme were based upon using counselling and contact reflection
24
25 skills to be present within the relationship, and meant changing practice as well as a move away from
26
27 previous interventions and approaches which were less client driven.
28
29

30 31 **Subtheme 2.1: The transformative power of the unspoken**

32
33 This subtheme referred to practitioners' shift in how they viewed silence within the relationship
34
35 accompanied by a move towards creating space so they could be present. Some of the participants
36
37 (n=6) reported that counselling skills and contact reflections allowed them to relate in a way that clients
38
39 could find their voice, and as such they began to see behaviours as a means of communicating
40
41

42 *“by just listening, it appeared that they responded and really opened up”*
43

44
45 Others expressed that by simply allowing space to talk without interruption opened up more meaningful
46
47 insights into their emotional state

48
49 *“by not interjecting this gave him the time needed to tell me more about his feelings and*
50
51 *experiences”*
52

53
54 Whilst when reflecting upon changing their approach, some participants expressed that they gained
55
56 meaningful responses
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *“adapting my approach and learning to truly empathise rather than sympathise or advise.*

4
5 *Simply just listening, saw great responses”*

8 **Subtheme 2.2: Seeing for the first time**

9
10 Some of the participants began to open all of their senses to what was happening in the sessions and
11
12 began to see certain behaviours in a new way which were previously closed to them. This opening up
13
14 allowed them to be more receptive and see things in new ways

15
16
17 *“Using body reflections quickly brought success in making contact with Jon (pseudonym). He*
18
19 *seemed to be surprised that no one was dictating how he should behave and responded*
20
21 *positively”*

22
23 Some participants acknowledged that using the contact reflections allowed them to be more accepting
24
25 of behaviours they could see without interpretation or judgement

26
27
28 *“utilising body reflections meant I was able to feel greater empathy towards him without judging*
29
30 *what they were doing”*

31
32 Similarly, being present in the moment brought about changes

33
34
35 *“allowing myself to sit with her, without analysing, sharing in the moment saw dramatic results”*

36
37 Whilst some reflected that using body reflections in pre-therapy skills helped them to make contact with
38
39 the client

40
41
42 *“body reflections quickly brought success in making contact with him”*

43 44 **Subtheme 2.3: Putting judgements aside**

45
46 Reflections from participants stressed the significance of listening without judgement or demonstrating
47
48 Unconditional Positive Regard (UPR) which could be difficult to achieve

49
50
51 *“the biggest challenge was getting outside my head, not judging”*

52
53 and for some posed a challenge

54
55
56 *“demonstrating UPR does not come naturally and needs to be practised over time”*

57
58 but was seen as bringing about change in the client
59
60

1
2
3 *“He seemed to be surprised that no one was dictating how he should behave and responded*
4
5 *positively”*
6
7

8 **Theme 3: Self-Actualising Growth Tendency**

9

10 This theme encapsulates how practitioners came to realise that each person had an internal drive that
11 propelled them forward in a positive direction, and this contained three subthemes. The subthemes
12 refer to how practitioners came to recognise that the changes they made in using person-centered
13 counselling skills and contact reflections helped them to trust the person’s capacity, which in turn
14 unlocked the power within the person, resulting in positive growth.
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Subtheme 3.1: Freedom to just be**

21

22 Some reflections from practitioners demonstrated the insight that changing their behaviour to a more
23 accepting stance appeared to have a positive affirming effect, bringing closer relational connections
24
25
26

27 *“just allowing him to be himself with people, actually listening and accepting him, seemed to*
28 *make him feel less on the ‘outside’”*
29
30
31

32 when practitioners changed their practice to a more person-centered stance they could also see the
33 powerful impact on the person feeling accepted and valued
34
35
36

37 *“it seemed to me that relating in a person-centered way meant he felt accepted and not judged*
38 *for his actions”*
39
40
41

42 **Subtheme 3.2: Openness to own misinterpretations**

43

44 Some reflections from practitioners demonstrated a growing realisation that their own relational practice
45 was dominated by an interpretive lens
46
47
48

49 *“I was unsure of the correct response or reflection in this instance”*
50
51

52 later reflecting on how this relational style resulted in them misinterpreting exchanges, which was
53 particularly apparent when relating with those who have complex communication needs, which led
54 practitioners to realise that this may not be the best or only way to relate
55
56
57

58 *“ I may have been overanalysing behaviours rather than just being with or responding”*
59
60

1
2
3 With an emerging openness in allowing the person to tell their own story
4

5 *"I initially found it difficult to understand Sam and what he was trying to communicate to me, but*

6
7 *I just stayed with him as he continued to expressed himself"*
8
9

10 **Subtheme 3.3: Trusting acceptance triggers relational connections**

11
12 Some practitioners expressed how they began to develop a trusting acceptance of the client, which in
13
14 turn triggered enhanced relational connections. First, practitioners spoke about

15
16
17 *"the biggest challenge was to gain her (the client's) trust"*

18
19 and how

20
21 *"building trust was key to him opening up to me"*
22

23
24 For some practitioners this developing trust in the person gave them an

25
26 *"...understanding that they themselves have the answers themselves....and this is powerful"*

27
28 and allowed them to discover more about the person which was previously unknown

29
30 *"the connections allowed us to discover that he could spell, knew his name, recognised many*
31
32 *words and could ask for a hug"*
33

34
35 some practitioners came to the realisation that they need to maintain this level of trust

36
37 *"when I was able to remain consistent with my practice of PCT and pre-therapy skills I felt the*
38
39 *responses were greater"*
40

41 42 **Theme 4: Expanding Relational Ripples**

43
44 This theme encapsulates relational impacts beyond immediate practitioner and client relationships,
45
46 which contained two subthemes. These subthemes referred to an increase in relational interactions
47
48 reported by others and to the practitioners' desire to influence the practice of others stemming from
49
50 their belief in the approach.
51

52 53 **Subtheme 4.1: Positive responses voiced by others** 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

1
2
3 Some practitioners reported that person-centered counselling skills and contact reflections enabled
4 increased interactions to take place with clients (n=8) and this was evidenced by reports coming from
5 other people, which they felt corroborated their own experience
6
7
8

9
10 *“staff have noticed an increase in contact and interactions with him since sessions using*
11 *contact reflections began”*
12
13

14 These reports were not only made by staff, but also from significant people in his personal life, who
15 reported changes in their emotional state as the sessions advanced
16
17

18
19 *“mum was impressed how she has become calmer, less distressed and more engaged with the*
20 *progression of the sessions”*
21
22

23 Other practitioners reported positive feedback from parents who reflected upon what appeared like
24 small but meaningful changes due to the sessions
25
26

27
28 *“the child’s parent was amazed at his development, that her child had engaged in direct eye*
29 *contact, and appeared to be interested in interacting”*
30
31

32 33 **Subtheme 4.2: Sharing experiences to influence others**

34
35 As a result of experiencing such encouraging and positive findings, some participants sought to share
36 their practice after completion of sessions (n=7). This sharing of practice took the form of explaining
37 principles so others could replicate these
38
39

40
41
42 *“I have managed to explain the principles of what I was doing and how they [staff] could utilise*
43 *some of the techniques”*
44
45

46 Similarly, other practitioners demonstrated contact reflections so staff teams could implement this in
47 their practice, with strategic aims to train others within an organisation
48
49

50
51 *“the contact work has had a profound effect on the whole staff team working with child A and I*
52 *am looking at offering training to other members of staff”*
53
54

55 56 57 **Discussion**

58
59
60

1
2
3 The purpose of the study was to explore relational experiences of practitioners' (who were non-
4 counselling specialists) ability to use person-centered counselling (PCC) skills and contact reflections
5 (CR) to engage with autistic people. We hypothesised that practitioners would be engaging with autistic
6 people from a diagnostic informed relational stance, not by a person-centered paradigm. The
7 overarching theme to emerge from our data showed that person-centered counselling skills and pre-
8 therapy (contact reflections) training challenges practitioners' strongly held beliefs, resulting in subtle
9 transformations resulted from shifting practice paradigms. Our first main theme to emerge showed how
10 practitioners recognised that training in person-centered counselling skills and pre-therapy offered them
11 an alternative approach which we referred to as *a different way of being*. Our findings showed that
12 practitioners in all contexts, including partners and parents, took on the role of what we describe as
13 'fixer'. Person-centered skills training presented them with a challenge to this preferred dominant
14 relational style. We propose this supports concepts such as ableism (viewing those with a disability as
15 less than) in how practitioners perceive their role, by taking the stance of fixing the problems of the
16 other, by othering or pathologizing the behaviours of other. The carer knows best. Social care settings
17 may exacerbate this through an absence of alternative role models promoting a reflective role
18 expectation. We are in accordance with Hodge (2013) that counsellors need to be curious and willing to
19 learn new ways of being, and open to challenging their own beliefs and values. Although our
20 participants were not counsellors we found that practitioners were open to challenging their own
21 strongly held practice beliefs and were able to shift their relational stance.

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47 Our study found that the person-centered counselling skills training triggered a significant
48 change in practitioners' relational style with their 'clients', that we referred to as opening heightened
49 channels of receptivity. We argue that this opening up of practitioner channels of receptivity may lend
50 support to Milton's concept of a double empathy problem (Milton, 2012). We found neurotypical–
51 neurodivergent encounters manifest this double empathy problem, with practitioners displaying limited
52 capacity for neurodivergent intersubjectivity leading to misempathy and lack of relational depth.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 However, once practitioners began to relate using person-centered principles and/or with contact
4 reflections, they experienced their own increased capacity to interpersonally connect. This enabled
5 them to open up, be receptive and free to experience their clients in differing ways. These findings lend
6 support to Rogers' (1957) suggestion that psychological contact is the first condition of a therapeutic
7 relationship. We propose that neurotypical-neurodivergent relational encounters may lack this
8 necessary psychological contact for a therapeutic relationship, but person-centered counselling skills
9 and contact reflection training can enhance neurotypical practitioners' capacity to empathise with
10 neurodivergent intersubjectivity.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 This different way of being adds further support to earlier observations of a powerful dyadic
22 change process occurring in non-counselling specialists following person-centered counselling and pre-
23 therapy skills training (Carrick & McKenzie, 2011). Although the authors did not describe this in relation
24 to neurodivergence, we propose that these earlier findings support our hypothesis that practitioners are
25 engaging with autistic people through a deficit lens, resulting in misempathy. Empathy is a vital
26 condition for the therapist to be able to establish contact with a person whose contact functions are
27 limited (Prouty et al., 2005). Our findings suggest that training in person-centered counselling skills and
28 contact work provides practitioners with an enhanced capacity for neurodivergent intersubjectivity. This
29 is in accordance with previous research where students reported experiencing themselves as being
30 closer to their clients, felt more empathy and felt less conditional in their responses (Carrick and
31 McKenzie, 2011). Similarly, our findings support experience of developing empathic contact with the
32 client's frame of reference, which has in turn led to a more unconditional, accepting and positive way of
33 viewing them. We discovered that practitioners reported feeling greater empathy towards their clients,
34 with fewer judgements and increased sense of psychological contact when using body reflections.
35 Body reflections are used to help the client remain embodied within the contact.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 We found that practitioners were able to use the person-centered skills and contact reflections
56 to reach a level of therapeutic contact or enhanced engagement with their clients. When they were able
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to open up their channels of receptivity, this enabled them to trust in the power of self-actualising
4
5 growth. They spoke of the transformative power of the unspoken; being next to, present and beside the
6
7 person as opposed to leading, directing or shaping what the person is or should be doing. Practitioners
8
9 experienced seeing the person for the first time by putting judgements aside and by being open to their
10
11 own misinterpretations. We agree with Prouty's (1994) call for the necessity of 'existential empathy'
12
13 (stepping out of one's own frame of reference to vicariously experience another's out-of-contact state of
14
15 being) to enhance our capacity in offering humbleness and unconditional regard. We found that
16
17 acceptance was key to triggering deeper relational connections within the process of relational contact.
18
19 Therefore, supporting Prouty's claim that contact reflections promote contact with the world, self and
20
21 others.
22
23
24
25

26 This study lends support to the argument that sometimes disabled people become positioned
27
28 as 'problems' and their personhood is then lost (Hodge, 2015). We propose a similar concern that,
29
30 when practitioners take on the role of 'autism expert', they position autism as the 'problem' needing to
31
32 be corrected, often losing all sense of the person. Therefore, the label becomes central and this in turn
33
34 pervades their sense of self as a practitioner. We found that, unwittingly, practitioners operated from
35
36 this frame of reference, working with 'the autism' as opposed to the person. The juxtaposition of the
37
38 person-centered approach was effective in bringing about practitioner change as trainers embody these
39
40 principles and accepting these challenges about their own beliefs and values. The power of such
41
42 training is that practitioners discover for themselves that they should focus on the client as an individual
43
44 rather than as the label of his disability. Although a positive core value shift created relational ripples
45
46 that extended beyond the relational dyad, it brought into question whether this truly penetrated core
47
48 values or merely shifted into a different form of 'practitioner expertise'. This issue emerged as a number
49
50 of practitioners discussed training others or planning to train staff teams. Although practitioners wanting
51
52 to share the philosophy of the person-centered approach with others around them is a positive
53
54 outcome, it brings into sharp focus a concerning issues related to practice. How can a brief training,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 leading to feelings of loss of skills to practising with tentative steps, be followed by practitioners
4
5 positioning themselves as ‘trainers’? We speculate that this may be indicative of the problem around
6
7 power dynamics in care which requires further research.
8
9

10 There were, however, some limitations of the study. One possible problem in this study was the
11 influence of the social positionality and view of the researchers on the interpretation of the data.
12
13 Further, the first and third authors are person-centered counsellors and were the trainers and as such it
14 could be argued were invested in portraying only positive experiences from the impact of person-
15 centered approach. However, all experiences reported by practitioners were included in the analysis
16 and recommended qualitative trustworthiness checks were followed. This study has demonstrated a
17 need for less focus on remediation and greater focus on shifting practitioner capacity for humanistic
18 relating. To this end, further research investigating these preliminary findings of our training employing
19 robust measures, such as treatment as usual and pre-post measures is required. As well as testing
20 replication, we intend to explore the impact our training has on complex concepts such as practitioner
21 understanding of the dynamics of care relations in contributing to spoiled identities (Goffman, 1963) and
22 autistic-neurotypical trauma-related experiences (Robinson, 2018).
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 References

41
42 American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental*
43 *Disorders* (5th ed.). Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association.

44
45
46 Argyropoulou Z. & Papoudi D. (2012) The training of a child with autism in a Greek preschool
47 inclusive class through intensive interaction: a case study. *European Journal of Special Needs*
48 *Education*, 27, 99–114.

49
50
51 Barker, C., & Pistrang, N. (2015). *Research Methods in Clinical Psychology: An Introduction for*
52 *Students and Practitioners*. John Wiley & Sons.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Bascom, J. (2012) 'Quiet Hands', in J. Bascom (ed.) Loud Hands: Autistic People, Speaking.
4
5 Washington, DC: The Autistic Press, pp. 177–83.

6
7 Hutchinson, N. & Bodicoat, A. (2014). The Effectiveness of Intensive Interaction, A Systematic
8
9 Literature Review. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 28, 437–454.

10
11 Caldwell, P. (2008) *Intensive Interaction: Getting in Touch with a Child with Severe Autism*, in
12
13 Zeedyk, S. Ed. 'Promoting Social Integration for Individuals with Communicative Impairments' Jessica
14
15 Kingsley Publishers

16
17 Carrick, L. & Mc Kenzie, S. (2011). A heuristic examination of the application of Pre-Therapy
18
19 skills and the person-centered approach in the field of autism. *Person-Centered and Experiential*
20
21 *Psychotherapies*, 10, 73-88.

22
23 Cooper, M (2013). *The Handbook of Person-Centred Psychotherapy and Counselling*. 2nd ed.
24
25 United Kingdom: Palgrave Macmillan.

26
27 Elliott, R., Fischer, C. T., & Rennie, D. L. (1999). Evolving guidelines for publication of
28
29 qualitative research studies in psychology and related fields. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 38
30
31 (3), 215–229. doi:10.1348/014466599162782.

32
33 Goffman, E. (1963). *Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity*. Englewood Cliffs,
34
35 N.J: Prentice-Hall.

36
37 Hedley, D., Uljarevic, M., Wilmot, M., Richdale, A. & Dissanayake, C. (2018) Understanding
38
39 depression and thoughts of self-harm in autism: a potential mechanism involving loneliness. *Research*
40
41 *in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 46:1–7.

42
43 Heijst, B. F., & Geurts, H. M. (2015). Quality of life in autism across the life span: A meta-
44
45 analysis. *Autism*, 19(2), 158–167. doi:10.1177/1362361313517053.

46
47 Hodge, N (2013). Counselling, autism and the problem of empathy. *British Journal of Guidance*
48
49 *& Counselling*, 41, 105-116.

1
2
3 Hodge, N. (2015). Protecting the rights of pupils with autism when meeting the challenge of
4 behaviour. *British Journal Of Learning Disabilities*, 43 (3), 194-200.

5
6
7 Joseph S. (2004). Client-centred therapy, post-traumatic stress disorder and post traumatic
8 growth: Theoretical perspectives and practical implications. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory,*
9
10
11
12
13 *Research and Practice*, 77:101–119.

14
15 Kirkham, P. (2017). 'The line between intervention and abuse' – autism and applied behaviour
16 analysis. *History of the Human Sciences*, 30(2), 107-126. doi:10.1177/095269511770257.

17
18
19 Mays, N., & Pope, C. (2000). Qualitative research in health care: Assessing quality in
20 qualitative research. *British Medical Journal*, 320(7226), 50–52.

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Mazza, M., Pino, M. C., Mariano, M., Tempesta, D., Ferrara, M., De Berardis, D., et al. (2014).
Affective and cognitive empathy in adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *Frontiers in Human*
Neuroscience, 8(791), 1–6. <https://doi-org.proxy.lib.strath.ac.uk/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00791>.

Milton, D. (2012) On the ontological status of autism: The double empathy problem. *Disability*
and Society, 27:883–87.

Milton, D (2014). Autistic expertise: a critical reflection on the production of knowledge in
autism studies. *Autism*, 18 (7): 794-802.

Milton, D. & Sims, T. (2016) How is a sense of well-being and belonging constructed in the
accounts of autistic adults? *Disability & Society*, 31(4):520–34,

Moore, R. (2013). Pre-Therapy and Contact Work - Rediscovering humanity in working with
persons with learning disabilities and/or mental health challenges. *Person-Centred Quarterly*, 2013(1),
18-23.

Murphy, S.M., Chowdhury, U., White, S.W. et al. (2017). Cognitive Behaviour Therapy Versus
a Counselling Intervention for Anxiety in Young People with High-Functioning Autism Spectrum
Disorders: A Pilot Randomised Controlled Trial. *Journal of Autism Developmental Disorders*, 47: 3446.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3252-8>

1
2
3 Nind, M. (1996) 'Efficacy of Intensive Interaction: Developing sociability and communication in
4 people with severe and complex learning difficulties using an approach based on the caregiver-infant
5 interaction'. *European Journal of Special educational Needs* ,11, 1, 48-66.
6
7

8
9
10 Nind, M. & Hewitt, D. (1994). *Access to Communication: Developing the Basics of*
11
12 *Communication with People with Severe Learning Difficulties through Intensive Interaction*. London:
13
14 David Fulton.

15
16
17 Prouty, G. (1976). Pre-therapy – a method for treating pre-expressive psychotic and retarded
18 patients. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice*, 13(3), 290–294.
19

20
21 Prouty, G. (1994). Theoretical evolutions in person-centered/experiential therapy. Applications
22 to schizophrenic and retarded psychoses. London: Praeger.
23

24
25
26 Prouty, G., Van Werde, D., & Portner, M. (2005). Pre-therapy. Establishing and maintaining
27 contact with clients with communication difficulties. Praha: Portál.
28

29
30 Ritchie, J., Spencer, L., & O'Connor, W. (2003). Carrying out qualitative analysis. In *Qualitative*
31 *Research (Ed.), Practice: A Guide for Social Science Students and Researchers*. London: Sage.
32

33
34
35 Robinson, A. (2018). Emotion-focused therapy for autism spectrum disorder: a case
36 conceptualization model for trauma-related experiences. *Journal of Contemporary Psychotherapy*,
37 48(3), 133–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10879-018-9383-1>
38
39

40
41
42 Rogers C. R. (1957). The necessary and sufficient conditions of therapeutic personality
43 change. *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 21: 95-103.
44

45
46
47 Rueda, P., Fernández-Berrocal, P., & Baron-Cohen, S. (2015). Dissociation between cognitive
48 and affective empathy in youth with Asperger Syndrome. *European Journal of Developmental*
49 *Psychology*, 12(1), 85–98.
50

51
52
53 Sandoval-Norton, A.H. & Shkedy, G. (2019). How much compliance is too much compliance:
54 Is long-term ABA therapy abuse? *Cogent Psychology* 6: 1641258
55

56
57
58 <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311908.2019.1641258>
59
60

1
2
3 Spain, D., Sin, J., Harwood, L., Mendez, M.A. & Happé, F. (2017). Cognitive behaviour therapy
4 for social anxiety in autism spectrum disorder: a systematic review. *Advances in Autism*, 3, 1, pp. 34-
5
6
7
8 46. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AIA-07-2016-0020>
9

10 Van Werde, D. (2005) *Facing psychotic functioning: Person-centred contact work in residential*
11 *psychiatric care*. In S. Joseph and R. Worsley *Person-Centred Psychopathology: A Positive Psychology*
12 *of Mental Health*. PSSC BOOKS: Ross on Wye
13
14
15

16
17 Zeedyk, S. M., Caldwell, P. & Davies, C.E. (2009). How rapidly does Intensive Interaction
18 promote social engagement for adults with profound learning disabilities? *European Journal of Special*
19 *Needs Education*, 24:2, 119-137, DOI: 10.1080/08856250902793545
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Practitioners, Clients and Therapeutic Skills Applied in Practice

Role (Professional/Personal)	Gender of Client	Client Age Range	Diagnosis	Type of therapy used
Teacher	Male	C/YP	Autism (+ ID) (+ CC)	Contact Reflections
Teacher	Male	C/YP	Autism (+ ID) (+ CC)	Contact Reflections
Teacher	Male	C/YP	Autism (+ ID) (+ CC)	Contact Reflections
Teacher	Male	C/YP	Autism (+ ID) (+ CC)	Contact Reflections
Learning Support Teacher	Male	C/YP	Autism (+ ID) (+ CC)	Contact Reflections
Support Worker	Male	C/YP	Autism (+ ID) (+ CC)	Contact Reflections
Support Worker	Male	C/YP	Autism (+ ID) (+ CC)	Contact Reflections
Support Worker	Female	C/YP	Autism (+ ID) (+ CC)	Contact Reflections
Support Worker	Female	A	Autism (+ ID) (+ CC)	Contact Reflections
Support Worker	Male	A	Autism (+ ID) (+ CC)	Contact Reflections
Speech and Language Therapist	Male	C/YP	Autism (+ ID) (+ CC)	Contact Reflections
Support Worker	Female	A	Autism	PCT Skills
Support Worker	Female	A	Autism	PCT Skills
Support Worker	Female	A	Autism	PCT Skills
Support Worker	Male	A	Autism	PCT Skills
Autism Practitioner	Female	A	Autism	PCT Skills
Teacher	Male	C/YP	Autism	PCT Skills
Parent	Male	C/YP	Autism	PCT Skills
Parent	Male	C/YP	Autism	PCT Skills
Wife	Male	A	Autism	PCT Skills

Key: C = Child; YP = Young Person; A = Adult; ID = Intellectual Disability; CC = Complex Communication; PCT = Person-Centred Therapy

Table 2 Prouty's Five Contact Reflections with Explanation and Illustration

Contact Reflection	Explanation	Illustration
Situational reflections (SR)	<i>Reality contact:</i> reflecting aspects of the shared environment (people, places, things)	Client sits beside therapist staring at the floor. Therapist: We are sitting in the green room with the brown carpet.
Facial reflections (FR)	<i>Affective contact:</i> reflecting verbally or mimicking the facial expressions of the client	Client looks fleetingly at therapist and smiles Therapist (smiling): You smile...Jenny smiles at Joan...
Body reflections (BR)	<i>Body-self/here-and-now contact:</i> reflecting verbally or with postures the gestures, movements and postures of the client	Client stands by the window swaying Therapist stands silently next to John (follows his gaze) and sways from side to side
Word-for-word reflections (WWR)	<i>Communicative contact:</i> repeating back what the client says word for word	Client says loudly: "Mary gone, Mary gone." Therapist: (repeats after client, matching volume and urgency in voice) "Mary gone, Mary gone. . . ."
Reiterative reflections (RR)	<i>Re-contact:</i> remaking contact by repetition of previous reflections that had an effect	Client sits silently and appears to have dropped out of contact. Therapist: (reiterates verbal or contact expression from an earlier part of the session) Sami said "I see Molly." (This is a repetition of the exact words spoken by Sami earlier.)

Table 3 Thematic Analysis of Practitioners Experiences of Using Person-Centred Counselling Skills and Contact Reflections

SUBTLE TRANSFORMATIONS RESULTED FROM SHIFTING PRACTICE PARADIGMS		
Theme	Subtheme	Example
1. A DIFFERENT WAY OF BEING	1.1 Challenge to my role as fixer	<i>"I am aware that our relationship needs to develop and include more empathic listening to reflect my belief that my child has the ability to 'self-actualise' and 'self-heal'"</i>
	1.2 Practising with tentative steps	<i>"as I saw results emerge from her I began to feel more comfortable in my ability to relate in this way"</i>
	1.3 Creating a new space	<i>"the biggest challenge for me in my work environment is having the time to spend with someone one-on-one"</i>
2. OPENING HEIGHTENED CHANNELS OF RECEPTIVITY	2.1 The transformative power of the unspoken	<i>"by not interjecting, this gave him the time needed to tell me more about his feelings and experiences"</i>
	2.2 Seeing for the first time	<i>"utilising body reflections meant I was able to feel greater empathy towards him without judging what he was doing"</i>
	2.3 Putting judgements aside	<i>"the biggest challenge was getting outside my head, not judging"</i>
3. TRUST IN SELF-ACTUALISING GROWTH	3.1 Freedom to just be	<i>"just allowing him to be himself with people, actually listening and accepting him, seemed to make him feel less on the 'outside'"</i>
	3.2 Openness to own misinterpretations	<i>"I may have been overanalysing behaviours rather than feeling and responding"</i>
	3.3 Acceptance trigger relational connections	<i>"the connections allowed us to discover that he could spell, knew his name, recognised many words and could ask for a hug"</i>
4. EXPANDING RELATIONAL RIPPLES	4.1 Positive responses voiced by others	<i>"staff have noticed an increase in contact and interactions with him since sessions using contact reflections began"</i>
	4.2. Sharing experiences to influence others	<i>"the contact work has had a profound effect on the whole staff team working with child A and I am looking at offering training to other members of staff"</i>

Appendix 1

Table 4 Legend of Full Terms with Abbreviated Acronym

Term	Acronym
Applied Behaviour Analysis	ABA
Cognitive Behaviour Analysis	CBT
Intensive Interaction	II
Person-Centered Counselling	PCC
Person-Centered Therapy	PCT
Pre-Therapy	PT
Intellectual Disability	ID
Complex Communication	CC
Unconditional Positive Regard	UPR
Contact Work	CW
Contact Reflections	CR
Situational reflections	SR
Facial reflections	FR
Body reflections	BR
Word-for-word reflections	WWR
Reiterative reflections	RR