

# LJMU Research Online

Dhandapani, S and Evers, SL

Oil palm 'slash-and-burn' practice increases post-fire greenhouse gas emissions and nutrient concentrations in burnt regions of an agricultural tropical peatland

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/13240/

Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Dhandapani, S and Evers, SL (2020) Oil palm 'slash-and-burn' practice increases post-fire greenhouse gas emissions and nutrient concentrations in burnt regions of an agricultural tropical peatland. Science of the Total Environment. ISSN 0048-9697

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact <a href="mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk">researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk</a>

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1	Oil palm 'slash-and-burn' practice increases post-fire greenhouse gas emissions and
2	nutrient concentrations in burnt regions of an agricultural tropical peatland
3	
4	Selvakumar Dhandapani <sup>a,b(#)</sup> , Stephanie Evers <sup>a,b,c</sup> .
5	
6	
7	Affiliations
8	<sup>a</sup> School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University,
9	Liverpool, UK.
10	<sup>b</sup> Tropical Catchment Research Initiative (TROCARI), Malaysia.
11	<sup>c</sup> School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus, Semenyih, Malaysia.
12	
13	
14	(#) Corresponding author: Selvakumar Dhandapani,
15	Postal address: The Birmingham Institute of Forest Research (BIFoR), The University of
16	Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT.
17	<b>Telephone:</b> +44 7413649444
18	
19	Email address: <a href="mailto:sccalva@gmail.com">sccalva@gmail.com</a>
20	

### 21 Abstract

22 Fire is one of the major issues facing Southeast Asian peatlands causing socio-economic, 23 human health and climate crises. Many of these fires in the region are associated with land 24 clearing or management practices for oil palm plantations. Here we study the direct post-fire 25 impacts of slash-and-burn oil palm agriculture on greenhouse gas emissions, peat physico-26 chemical properties and nutrient concentrations. Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions were 27 measured using Los Gatos ultraportable greenhouse gas analyser one month after a fire in dry 28 season and five months after the fire event, in wet season. Surface soil samples were collected 29 from each individual GHG measurement points, along with 50 cm cores from both burnt and 30 non-burnt control areas for lab analyses. As an immediate post-fire impact, carbon dioxide 31 (CO<sub>2</sub>) and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions, pH, electrical conductivity, and all macronutrient concentrations except nitrogen (N) were increased multi-fold, while the redox potential, carbon 32 33 (C) and N content were greatly reduced in the burnt region. While some of the properties such 34 as CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and electrical conductivity reverted to normal after five months, other properties such as CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, pH and nutrient concentrations remained high in the burnt 35 36 region. This study also found very high loss of surface peat C content in the burnt region post 37 fire, which is irreversible. The results also show that surface peat layers up to 20 cm depth were 38 affected the most by slash-and-burn activity in oil palm agriculture, however the intensity of 39 fire can vary widely between different oil palm management and needs further research to fully 40 understand the long term and regional impacts of such slash-and-burn activity in tropical 41 peatlands.

42 Keywords: tropical peat fire; oil palm; carbon dioxide; methane; land use change; burnt
43 peatlands.

- 44
- 45

## 46 **1. Introduction**

One of the most fundamental challenges of the 21<sup>st</sup> century is to meet the global food and 47 energy needs of the growing population, whilst conserving nature and soil carbon (C) storage 48 49 to mitigate climate change (Godfray et al., 2010). Oil palm is considered as a crop for the future 50 to serve these global needs, owing to its high productivity and versatility in usage (Abdullah et 51 al., 2009; Corley, 2009; Tan et al., 2009). Oil palm has become the most consumed vegetable 52 oil in the world over the past decade, and already represents 30% of the global usage (Lam et 53 al., 2019). However, as oil palm is an equatorial crop, this has come at the expense of some of 54 the world's most biodiverse and C rich ecosystems (Corley, 2009; Koh and Wilcove, 2008; 55 Murdiyarso et al., 2010; Murdiyarso et al., 2019). For the same reason, oil palm has a higher C footprint than most other vegetable oil crops (Schmidt, 2015), with its expansion into tropical 56 57 peatlands further increasing its C footprint (Reijnders and Huijbregts, 2008).

58 Oil palm is native to West Africa, and was first introduced to Malaysia as an ornamental plant 59 in 1875 (Abdullah et al., 2009) and then commercially as a crop of choice for agricultural 60 diversification in 1917 (Rashid et al., 2013). The initial expansion of oil palm plantations was 61 in mineral soil at the expense of other agricultural plantations such as cocoa, rubber and coconut 62 (Basiron, 2007; Dhandapani, 2015). Since then, oil palm has rapidly expanded in Southeast Asia, greatly expanding into peatlands from early 1990s (Miettinen et al., 2012; Shevade and 63 Loboda, 2019). As a consequence, Malaysia had the highest 21<sup>st</sup> century deforestation rate 64 65 globally (Hansen et al., 2013). A forested land should be completely cleared of vegetation, drained and levelled to establish an oil palm plantation (Luskin and Potts, 2011). The lowering 66 67 of water table in peatlands make them highly susceptible for fire, as the dry peat itself is highly 68 flammable (Posa et al., 2011). Unlike contexts involving mineral soils, peat fires can sustain 69 both above and below ground, profoundly affecting surface and subsurface biota and seed bank, damaging the vegetation structure (Posa et al., 2011; Turetsky et al., 2015; Uda et al., 2017). 70

71 The forest fires in Southeast Asia are often associated with land clearing for oil palm 72 plantations (Chazdon, 1998; Dennis et al., 2005). An estimated 60,000 peatland fires have 73 occurred in Indonesia between 1997 and 2007, causing harmful haze (Tan et al., 2009). About 74 2.6 million hectares of land was burned in Indonesia between June and October of 2015, which 75 led Indonesian president to cancel existing concession on agricultural expansion and make 76 conversion of peatlands to agricultural land illegal (World Bank, 2016). However, despite this, 77 fires and the use of fire in peatland agriculture persists in both Malaysia and Indonesia. 78 Furthermore, the use of fire is not just confined to the initial conversion and establishment 79 stage, it is also commonly used in 'slash-and-burn' to burn the waste from previous generation 80 oil palms to clear land for the next generation of crops. Slash-and-burn practice has been used 81 all round the world as a quick short term activity to shift agriculture or for land clearing 82 (Myllyntaus et al., 2002). Even though under certain circumstances in some ecosystems, slash-83 and-burn practice can be sustainable (Kleinman et al., 1995; Myllyntaus et al., 2002), it is 84 certainly not sustainable in tropical peatlands in any circumstance, as dried peat itself is highly 85 flammable, and fundamentally any agriculture involving drainage itself in tropical peatlands is 86 not sustainable (Evers et al., 2017).

87 Fires in such tropical peatlands can convert large amount of C stored in peat for millennia into 88 carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  and cause socio-economic, human health, pollution and climate crises 89 (Cheong et al., 2019; Page et al., 2002; Turetsky et al., 2015; Wiggins et al., 2018). Tropical 90 peatlands in their natural undisturbed state are fire resistant, and historically fire had not played 91 any significant role in tropical peatland ecology (Turetsky et al., 2015). Most of these fires 92 have anthropogenic origin such as clearing of forest land for oil palm or similar agricultural 93 use, and slash-and-burn agricultural practices (Page et al., 2002). As tropical peatlands are 94 naturally acidic, this deliberate use of fire for agricultural practices brings short-term advantages such as increase in pH and reduced cost for land conversion (Islam et al., 2016). 95

96 Though there were some research and estimates of direct emission from fire as smoke, haze or
97 CO<sub>2</sub> (Cheong et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2018; Islam et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2018;
98 Varkkey, 2013; Varkkey, 2016; Wiggins et al., 2018), the subsequent impact of fire on peat
99 physico-chemical properties and long term peat surface GHG emissions are not well
100 documented.

101 Peat fires can continue for a long time, lasting for months in the form of smouldering, persistent 102 flameless low-heat combustion of organic matter (Ohlemiller, 1985; Rein, 2013). Smouldering 103 in peatlands can be a complex process with interactions between aerobic and anaerobic 104 conditions, where pyrolysis of porous fuel occurs in high temperature at anaerobic conditions, 105 producing char (Ohlemiller, 1985; Rein, 2013) and the produced char is then oxidised when it 106 comes in contact with atmospheric oxygen, simultaneously producing and burning char during 107 the process (Rein, 2013). These complex interactions of aerobic and anaerobic conditions 108 along with other variations in intensity of fire and peat moisture levels can greatly impact peat 109 physico-chemical properties such as pH, electrical conductivity and redox potential, yet these 110 properties are not well documented for fire affected tropical peatlands.

111 The limited number of studies that do exist, have shown that peat fire significantly reduces the 112 organic matter content of the peat soil (Sazawa et al., 2018), as that very organic matter is lost 113 as C gases during the fire (Wiggins et al., 2018). Sazawa et al. (2018) also found that fire and 114 resultant heat dehydrates and denatures organic matter, which leaves fire affected peat more 115 susceptible to greater C losses, either through oxidation or repeated fire. Fire is generally found 116 to increase both total and available nutrient concentrations in mineral soils due to disintegration 117 of complex forms held in plants, and ash addition (Giardina et al., 2000; Van Reuler and 118 Janssen, 1993). This increase in available nutrients make soils more fertile, which is another 119 motive for the practice of slash-and-burn agriculture (Giardina et al., 2000). There are also 120 instances when total nutrient concentrations increased immediately after fire and then levelled 121 off after few months (Kutiel and Naveh, 1987). The decrease in nutrient concentrations after 122 few months may be because some of the nutrients are highly prone to leaching (Beliveau et al., 123 2015; Ulery et al., 1993). Similar increase immediately after a fire was also observed for other 124 physico-chemical characteristics such as pH and electrical conductivity because of the addition 125 of ash (Bang-Andreasen et al., 2017; Gay-Des-Combes et al., 2017), before reverting back to 126 normal over time (Kutiel and Naveh, 1987). Fire may also effect increased mineralisation 127 indirectly through soil microbial processes (Gay-Des-Combes et al., 2017). This is especially 128 important in nutrient poor and acidic tropical peatlands, where fire is seen as a short-term and 129 cost-effective solution to make peat more cultivable. Naturally, tropical peat is a very complex 130 soil system and many of the environmental and microbiological interactions are understudied 131 and not well known (Dhandapani et al., 2019c). The interactions of fire in such a complex soil 132 system that is, in itself flammable (Rein, 2013; Uda et al., 2017), needs a more intensive and 133 greater number of research with varying environmental parameters to comprehend and infer a 134 cause and effect pattern.

In this study, we aim to evaluate the direct post-fire impact of slash-and-burn agriculture on peat physico-chemical properties, nutrient concentrations and GHG emissions. We hypothesise that fire increases both CO<sub>2</sub> and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emission as an immediate post-fire effect. We also hypothesise that fire significantly affects peat physico-chemical properties and nutrient concentrations at surface layers, driven by high heat denaturing organic matter and the addition of ash increasing pH and nutrient concentrations. We postulate that changes in peat physicochemical properties exhibit significant correlation with GHG emissions.

142

## 2. Materials and Methods

143 **2.1. Study site and sampling strategy** 

The study site (3°25'17.7"N 101°18'44.4"E) is located at Kampong Raja Musa village in North 144 145 Selangor peatlands, Malaysia (Fig 1). The climatic conditions of the site is tropical, and the 146 soil classification comes under Histosols. The North Selangor peatland complex is the second 147 largest area of peatland in Peninsular Malaysia, and is located adjacent to Thennamaram region, 148 where oil palm was first commercially planted in Malaysia. The site is bordered with oil palm 149 monocropping, polyculture consisting of oil palm, yam and pineapple, pineapple 150 monocropping under an electric pylon trail, and a gravel road on the fourth side. The study site 151 and all the neighbouring land-use type blocks are roughly 2 ha in size each, consistent with 152 other small-holdings in the village (Dhandapani et al., 2019a,b). Two drainage ditches run as 153 two parallel borders with the oil palm monoculture, and the oil palm, yam and pineapple 154 polyculture. There is no drainage ditch within the site itself (Fig 2). The previous generation of 155 oil palm monoculture was cleared and the waste such as dead wood and fronds were stacked 156 in two parallel lines within the site. The stacked piles were burnt on June 2018, but with the 157 fire front extending into the peat itself, and smoke still visible from smouldering of the peat 158 surface for few weeks. The depth of burn was uneven throughout the burnt region, with surface 159 reaching mineral layer in some parts, and some other parts still containing peat to a depth of 160 atleast 50 cm from surface. New young oil palm rows were planted in non-burnt area in July 161 2018. Alongside this, a pineapple crop was planted in all open area in the non-burnt region and 162 a banana crop was planted in rows in the burnt area, in between dry season (July 2018) and wet 163 season (December 2018) sampling. Complete random sampling was carried out with 20 GHG 164 measurements and surface peat (0-5 cm) collection each for burnt and non-burnt region during 165 August 2018 dry season.

All sampling points were at least 1 metre away from each other. The same method was used for December 2018 wet season sampling with reduced number of measurements to 10 each for burnt and non-burnt region. The dry season measurement points were not the exact 169 measurement points used for the wet season, however they are in the same general area of burnt 170 and non-burnt locations used for the wet season measurements. The measurement points from 171 the first sampling were not marked with collars in order to minimise the disturbances from 172 disturbance from our field measurements, and hence a different set of random measurement 173 points in the same region were used for wet season sampling. Weather information for each 174 sampling period is given in Table 1. During the dry season sampling, 3 peat cores to a depth of 175 50 cm were collected for each burnt and non-burnt region. In some areas of burnt area peat was 176 completely burnt and mineral layers was reached at the surface. Selective sampling was carried 177 out for core collection, unlike complete random sampling for GHG measurements and 178 associated surface peat collection. The 3 peat cores for burnt region were collected from burnt 179 areas with peat remaining and not the areas with surface mineral exposure, to characterise the 180 impact on leftover peat through the peat depth profile.

181

### 2.2. Greenhouse gas emissions

182 CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the peat surface were measured using a Los Gatos (San Jose, 183 California, USA) ultraportable greenhouse gas analyser as described in Dhandapani et al. 184 (2019a,b,c). The gas measurements were made using closed dynamic chamber method with an 185 inlet and outlet connecting to the gas analyser. The dynamic chamber was inserted up to 1 cm 186 into the peat during each measurement, to seal the chamber to the surface. The chamber was 187 15 cm high with 27 cm diameter. The Los Gatos gas analyser was set to record the gas flux 188 changes within the chamber every 20 seconds, and measurement was made at each sampling 189 point for 3 minutes, where the measurements from the first minute were ignored allowing the 190 gas concentrations inside the chamber to settle down after initial disturbance. The gas concentrations were then converted to mg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup> and µg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup> for CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> 191 192 respectively, as described in Dhandapani et al. (2019a,b,c).

### 193 **2.3. Peat analyses**

The procedure used for peat analyses were based on Dhandapani et al., (2019a,b,c). Peat
temperature was measured *in situ*, using a digital thermometer Cosmark PDT300 (Norwich,
UK). Peat samples were collected for measuring gravimetric moisture. For this, fresh peat was

197 dried in an oven at 105°C for 48 hours. The gravimetric moisture was calculated as follows:

198 Gravimetric moisture (%) = Mass of the water lost in oven drying/ mass of oven dried peat

For pH, redox and electric conductivity measurements, 5 mL volume of peat sample was diluted in 10 mL deionised water in a centrifuge tube and shaken on a table shaker for 2 hours. The pH of the supernatant was then measured using a Eutech pH700 pH meter supplied by Thermo scientific (Loughborough, UK). The redox potential and electrical conductivity were measured using Eutech Ion 2100 (Thermo scientific, Loughborough, UK) and Groline HI98331 probe (Hanna, Leighton Buzzard, UK), respectively.

For analysing total C and nitrogen (N) content, all samples were oven dried (105°C for 48 h) and finely ground using a Fritsch mortar grinder pulveristte 2 (Brackley, UK). Approximately 70 mg of sample was weighed into a Skalar ceramic crucible and the exact weight was recorded. The samples were then transferred to an auto sampler in Skalar primacs series SNC100 TC TN analyser (Breda, The Netherlands) and analysed for C and N content.

210 **2.4. Nutrient analyses** 

Total nutrient concentrations of phosphorus (P), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sulphur (S)
and potassium (K) in peat were analysed using inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy
(ICP-MS). For this, approximately 0.15 g of oven dried (105°C for 48 h) and ground peat were
weighed in microwave digestion tubes (MARSXpress vessels, CEM Microwave Technology
Ltd., Buckingham, UK.). The digestion tubes are sealed with a stopper and a screw lid, after
adding 10 mL of nitric acid to each sample. The digestion tubes were then placed in a

MARSXpress microwave (CEM Microwave Technology Ltd., Buckingham, UK.) and run at 1600 W & 100% power with a ramp for 20 minutes and held for 20 minutes at 170°C. The tubes were left overnight in the microwave to cool down. The digested samples were then filtered and made up to 30 mL using milliQ water. Then, 1 mL of each sample were transferred in to 10 mL tube and further diluted with 9 mL of milliQ water. The samples were then analysed using 'Agilent Technologies (Milton Keynes, UK) 7900 ICP-MS' fitted with 'SPS 4' autosampler.

# 224 **2.5. Statistical analyses**

All the statistical analyses were carried out using Genstat<sup>®</sup> 17th edition (VSN international, 225 226 2017). The significance of differences between sites for greenhouse gas emissions, nutrient 227 concentrations and other physico-chemical properties were evaluated using linear mixed 228 models with restricted maximum likelihood (REML) incorporating conditions (burnt or non-229 burnt) and season (August and December 2018) as fixed affects. Similar REML was also 230 performed incorporating condition and depth as fixed effects, to identify the changes with 231 depth. For the data sets that were not normally distributed, the data were log transformed. For 232 data that did not meet normality assumption after log transformation, Boxcox transformation 233 was used. Normality was assessed by visual examination of 4 different residual plots, namely 234 histogram of residuals, fitted-value plot, normal plot and half-normal plot. Backward stepwise 235 multiple regression was performed with CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> as response variables and nutrient 236 concentration and other physico-chemical properties as fitted terms. Statistical significance 237 was assessed at p < 0.05 for all analyses.

**3. Results** 

## **3.1. Greenhouse gas emissions**

 $CO_2$  emissions in the burnt region were twice as high as the  $CO_2$  emissions from the non-burnt region in the dry season one month after the fire incident (Fig 3a; Table 2). In wet season, five months after the fire incident,  $CO_2$  emissions in the burnt region levelled off to the same level as non-burnt region. The difference between the seasons were significant, while the interactions between regions and season were not significant (Table 2).

CH<sub>4</sub> emissions also varied significantly between the burnt and non-burnt regions (Fig 3b; Table
246 2). CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were multi-fold higher in the burnt region than in the non-burnt region for
both seasons, while the seasonal variations and interaction terms were not significant (Table
248 2).

# 249 **3.2. Surface peat/soil properties**

Peat surface temperature did not significantly differ between burnt and non-burnt regions, with 250 251 significantly higher temperature in dry season than in wet season (Fig 4a; Table 2). The wet 252 season temperature was slightly yet significantly different between the regions, resulting in 253 significant interactions terms. Gravimetric moisture did not significantly vary between the 254 burnt and non-burnt regions, while both regions had significantly higher gravimetric moisture 255 content in wet season than in dry season with no significant interaction between region and 256 season (Fig 4b; Table 2). During the dry season, electrical conductivity was more than 3 times 257 higher in the burnt region than in the non-burnt region resulting in significant difference 258 between the regions. Electrical conductivity was greatly reduced in wet season compared to 259 that of the dry season, resulting in significant seasonal variation (Fig 4c; Table 2). During the 260 wet season there was no significant difference in electrical conductivity between the two 261 regions while the difference was significant in dry season, resulting in significant interactions (Fig 4c; Table 2). Both redox potential and pH were significantly higher in the burnt region 262

than in the non-burnt region, with no significant difference between seasons nor significantinteractions (Fig 4d,e; Table 2).

265

# **3.3. Surface peat/soil C and nutrient content**

C content in the non-burnt region was more than double the content in the burnt region, with 266 267 no significant difference between seasons or interactions (Fig 5a; Table 2). N content was also 268 significantly higher in the non-burnt region than in the burnt region, and both regions had 269 significantly higher N content in wet season than in the dry season, with no significant 270 interactions between region and season (Fig 5b; Table 2). The C:N ratio was higher in the non-271 burnt region during the dry season and the ratio was higher in the non-burnt region for wet 272 season, resulting in significant seasonal variations and significant interaction terms (Fig 5c; 273 Table 2).

All the rest of the macronutrients except N and S were greater in the burnt region than in the non-burnt region and only S and K varied significantly between seasons with lower concentrations for the burnt region in wet season (Fig 5d-h; Table 2). All macronutrients except K exhibited significant interactions between seasons, as most nutrient concentrations did not significantly differ between seasons in the non-burnt region (Fig 5d-h; Table 2).

279

# **3.4.** Changes with peat depth

Electrical conductivity and pH were significantly higher in the burnt than in non-burnt region, while the variations with depth and the interactions were not significant (Fig 6a,c; Table 3). Gravimetric moisture in the non-burnt region was significantly higher than that of burnt region in all depths (fig 6b,c; Table 3). The gravimetric moisture increased up to 20-30 cm and 30-40 cm for burnt and non-burnt region respectively (fig 6b; Table 3) and then showed a slight decrease in deepest layers. Redox potential was significantly lower in the burnt region than in the non-burnt region in the top 3 surface layers upto the depth of 30 cm (Fig 6d; Table 3). Redox potential increased with depth in the burnt region while the redox potential in the surface
was slightly lowered in 10-20 cm and stayed at the same level in the deeper layers, resulting in
significant seasonal variations and interaction terms (Fig 6d; Table 3).

C content was significantly greater in the non-burnt region than in the burnt region with no significant variations with depth nor interactions (Fig 7a; Table 3). N content and C:N ratio did not significantly vary between regions or depth, with no significant interactions between region and depth (fig 7b,c; Table 3).

All macronutrient concentrations except S and N were significantly greater in the burnt than in the non-burnt region (Fig 7d-h; Table 3). Mg, P and Ca concentrations significantly decreased with depth, which is more pronounced in the burnt region (Table 3). Mg and Ca exhibited significant interactions between region and depth, as the concentration in the burnt region decreased with depth whilst the concentrations in the non-burnt region stayed at the same level throughout the depths. K and S did not show any significant variations with depth, nor any significant interaction between region and depth (Fig 7f,g; Table 3).

301

## 3.5. GHG emissions and environmental controls

302 Backward stepwise multiple regression showed that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were positively correlated 303 with pH and electrical conductivity and negatively correlated with P and K concentrations 304 (Table 4).

305 Similar regression for  $CH_4$  emissions showed that the  $CH_4$  emissions were negatively 306 correlated with pH and P concentrations, and positively correlated with Ca concentrations 307 (Table 4).

**4. Discussion** 

309 Drainage for agriculture in peatlands were known to increase the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions with exposure 310 of peat to aerobic decomposition (Couwenberg et al., 2010; Dhandapani et al., 2019a; 2019c), 311 this study shows that the use of fire in management practices results in further increase in CO<sub>2</sub> 312 emissions post fire (Fig 3a). There are several factors that may have played a part in this 313 increase in emissions in fire affected region, such as increased pH and increased concentrations 314 of macronutrients. It should be noted that the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions decreased and levelled off with 315 that of non-burnt region after few months in the wet season, while the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the 316 non-burnt region did not significantly vary between the seasons, suggesting that this artefact 317 was not just seasonal variations of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, but rather a reverting of emissions along a 318 temporal gradient since the fire. However, while CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of pristine, secondary peat 319 forests and first generation oil palm showing no significant seasonal variations, seasonal 320 variation have been observed in second generation agricultural systems in the area (Dhandapani et al., unpublished; Dhandapani et al., 2019a; 2019c; 2020). 321

322 The observed increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions post fire may be due to intense disturbance during fire 323 and subsequent changes in peat physicochemical properties such as increased pH, electrical 324 conductivity and nutrient concentrations (Fig 4c-e; Fig 5d-h), along with breakdown of 325 complex organic matter due to fire and new exposure of deeper peat layers to aerobic conditions 326 (Sazawa et al., 2018). However, this increase is short lived as the surface conditions are 327 stabilised over time and also possibly because of greater loss of newly acquired nutrients over 328 time (Fig 4c; Fig 5d-h). This trend of initial increase and consequent decline in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions post fire was previously observed by Astiani et al. (2018) in West Kalimantan peatlands. It 329 should be noted that in Astiani et al. (2018)'s study, the peak in emission was observed in the 330 9<sup>th</sup> month post fire, and emissions stabilising at the 11<sup>th</sup> month post fire. The stabilisation of 331 emissions in 5<sup>th</sup> month post fire (Fig 3a) in our study may be due to a lower intensity localised 332 333 fire in our agricultural study site, compared to high intensity fire burning much greater forest

biomass in Astiani et al. (2018)'s study that would likely result in greater disturbance, greater
nutrient addition from resultant ash, and thus would require greater time to recover and
stabilise.

337 CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were also significantly higher in the burnt region than in the non-burnt region 338 and stayed at similar higher levels even into the wet-season, five months after the fire event 339 (Fig 3b). It was previously observed that fire would make the peat dry and effect lower CH<sub>4</sub> 340 emissions from the burnt areas because of the lack of moisture (Davidson et al., 2019), but this 341 study has shown that at similar moisture levels, burnt peat has a potential for higher CH<sub>4</sub> 342 emissions than the non-burnt peat. This higher CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in the fire-affected region may 343 be due to the availability of more labile C due to the denaturation of peat by fire and resultant 344 heat (Sazawa et al., 2018). Once the moisture level for anaerobic conditions are met, CH<sub>4</sub> 345 emissions are dependent on the availability of labile C in peatlands (Couwenberg, 2009). Fire 346 in peatlands are also known to reduce methanotrophic activity (Danilova et al., 2015), further 347 helping the increase in CH<sub>4</sub> concentration in the burnt areas. However, it should be noted that CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are very low overall, with mean values under 0.1 mg m<sup>-2</sup>hr<sup>-1</sup>, which is in line 348 349 with the lower near zero fluxes observed in different oil palm agricultural plantations in the 350 region. Southeast Asian peatlands are naturally low methane emitting landscapes (Dhandapani 351 et al., 2019c; Hatano et al., 2016) compared to peatlands in other regions such as neotropics 352 (Wright et al., 2013), boreal (Kettunen et al., 1996) or temperate regions (Abdalla et al., 2016). 353 This validates our first hypothesis that greenhouse gas emissions are significantly increased in 354 the fire affected burnt region in relation to the non-burnt region.

The surface peat temperature and moisture did not significantly vary between the burnt and non-burnt region, possibly because both regions are open with no ground cover to provide shade and cool down surface peat (Dhandapani et al., 2019a). The significant seasonal changes in both these properties were as expected (Dhandapani et al., 2019a), with higher moisture and 359 lower temperature in both regions during wet season, because of increased rainfall in wet 360 season compared to the dry season (Global Environmental Centre, 2014). Similarly, higher 361 moisture with increasing depth were also as expected, as the top layers in these agricultural peatlands are actively drained, and the surface layers are exposed to the sun, further facilitating 362 363 increased temperature and evaporation in the surface layers. Most other physico-chemical 364 properties and nutrient concentrations showed that the surface layers such as 0-10cm and 10-365 20cm showed significant difference between burnt and non-burnt regions before gradually narrowing in on the difference, to the same level in deeper layers starting from 20-30cm layer. 366

367 Redox potential is an important soil property which shows the electron exchange capacity for 368 reduction and oxidation reactions that have great impact on nutrient availability and dynamics 369 in soil by changing their electric charges (Niedermeier and Robinson, 2007; Søndergaard, 370 2009). The reduction in redox potential in the burnt region shows the possible lack of oxidants 371 in the burnt area (Fiedler, 2000). This is likely to be caused by fire, as this difference is observed 372 only in the surface layers, with deeper layers having same level of redox potential in the deepest 373 layers for both burnt and non-burnt regions. Fire were known to accumulate new particulate C 374 forms in mineral soil humus layers that are highly resistant to redox reactions (González-Pérez 375 et al., 2004), a similar trend is observed here in peat soil where fire affected surface layers have 376 low redox potential.

Electrical conductivity and pH increased one month after fire, however electrical conductivity levelled off with that of non-burnt region during wet season, five months after the fire activity. The increase in pH after fire has been widely reported in different soil environments (Chungu et al., 2019; Heydari et al., 2017; Kennard and Gholz, 2001; Scharenbroch et al., 2012; Zaccone et al., 2014), that also holds true for highly acidic tropical peatlands where pH was almost twice as high in the burnt region compared to non-burnt region (Fig 4e). This increase may be directly related to the addition of ash from burning (Bang-Andreasen et al., 2017; Zaccone et al., 2014), 384 as shown by the significant increase only in the top 20 cm surface layers. Electrical conductivity 385 exhibited very similar trend of change with depth as pH, possibly because of the same influence 386 of ash addition in the top layers (Bang-Andreasen et al., 2017; Zaccone et al., 2014). Electrical 387 conductivity indicates the salt content and is considered a rough estimate of soil nutrients that 388 influence important soil processes such as GHG emissions (Visconti and De Paz, 2016). The 389 significant reduction of electrical conductivity and levelling off during the wet season possibly 390 shows that many of the nutrients that are made available after fire (Beest et al., 2019), were 391 leached off in the proceeding few months (Beliveau et al., 2015), aided by heavy rainfall during 392 the wet season (Global Environmental Centre, 2014). This is also evident in reduced 393 concentration of all macronutrients in the wet season (Fig 5).

394 All of the macronutrients except N increased in the burnt region one month after fire and 395 slightly decreased over time, yet remained higher than the concentrations in the non-burnt 396 region throughout the seasons, except for S that had lower concentration in the burnt region 397 than in the non-burnt region in wet season. The nutrients that are strongly basic and form 398 important salts such as Mg and Ca (Visconti and De Paz, 2016), explicably exhibited the same 399 trend of change with depth as pH and electrical conductivity. P is also widely reported to increase in concentration in burnt peat and soil, as fire causes the release of P from organic 400 401 materials (Beest et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2015). The increase in Ca, Mg and K concentrations 402 in the burnt region can also be attributed to high content of these nutrient in wood ash that 403 accumulated in the region as a result of burning previous generation oil palm stems (Kennard 404 and Gholz, 2001). These nutrients are also found to be highly susceptible to leaching (Kennard 405 and Gholz, 2001), explaining the lower concentrations in wet season sampling, few months 406 after the fire event. C content was reduced to half in the surface layers, because of the C lost 407 on the burning of organic peat on the surface (Wiggins et al., 2018). The peat cores were 408 selectively collected in areas where the peat is not completely burned off, thus the difference

in C content between the surface layers in the cores and high replicate surface samples
associated with GHG emission measurements (Fig 5a and 7a). However, it should be noted that
lower number of replicate peat cores may also be a factor limiting the usability of these results.
This validates our second hypothesis that peat physico-chemical properties and nutrient
concentrations were significantly affected by fire, and most of these properties showed
significant variations with depth.

415 These changes in physico-chemical properties were significantly correlated with CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> 416 emissions, most visibly CO<sub>2</sub> emissions exhibited the same pattern of change as electrical 417 conductivity and pH of surface peat. Multiple regression analyses showed that peat physico-418 chemical properties and total nutrient concentrations were strong predictors of change in CO<sub>2</sub> 419 and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Table 4). CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from tropical peat of different land uses are 420 known to exhibit positive correlations with pH (Dhandapani et al., 2019a) and our results show 421 that the fire event resulting in sudden and steep increase in pH does not override this 422 relationship. Inversely, CH<sub>4</sub> was negatively related with pH, this negative correlation has also 423 been observed in northern peatlands (Weslien et al., 2009). Acidic condition is a prerequisite 424 to functionalise precursors such as H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub>, acetic acid, formic acid, methanol and 425 methylamine, for CH<sub>4</sub> production (Qing-Yu et al., 2019), thus explaining this functional 426 relationship between pH and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in the field.

The positive relationship between electrical conductivity or salinity and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions has been observed in other ecosystems (Capooci et al., 2019), however this is the first time such relationship is explored and reported in tropical peatlands. Though very high or excess salinity can be toxic and can negatively impact microbial activity and reduce CO<sub>2</sub> production (Setia et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2015), tropical peatlands are naturally nutrient poor (Sjögersten et al., 2011) and this increase in electrical conductivity due to fire boosted CO<sub>2</sub> production (Table 4). P concentrations were negatively related with both CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. Additionally, CO<sub>2</sub> 434 emissions were negatively related with K, and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were positively related with Ca. 435 Nutrient dynamics (with the exception of N) and their interactions with other peat processes such as C cycling were not well documented in agricultural tropical peatlands. Addition of 436 437 manures containing these macronutrients had different effects on GHG emissions in different 438 soil types (Ren et al., 2017), and their cause and effect pattern in tropical peatlands need more 439 in situ research coupled with controlled experiments to understand the interactions, especially 440 considering that they exhibit significant functional correlations with GHG emissions. However, 441 P is known to support methane oxidation reactions in soil (Veraart et al., 2015) and similarly 442 limited addition of Ca compounds were known to support methane production in varied set ups 443 (Khor et al., 2015; Lar et al., 2010), suggesting similar functional relationship in tropical 444 peatlands. In line with this, Murakami et al. (2005) also found CH<sub>4</sub> potential increased with 445 liming (CaCO<sub>3</sub> addition) in agricultural tropical peatlands. This validates our third hypothesis 446 that the changes in soil physico-chemical properties and nutrient concentrations correlate with 447 changes in GHG emissions.

448 As oil palm is a high biomass crop, there is a potential alternative for the biomass removed 449 from previous generation to be used for biochar production, which then could be used to enrich, 450 and return C back to agricultural peatlands, potentially retaining some of the benefits of slash-451 and-burn practice such as increased pH and nutrient concentrations (Bista et al., 2019; Kong et 452 al., 2014; Liew et al., 2018). Such biochar can be produced using methods ranging from 453 constructing a conical soil pit in the ground, and simple conical or retort kilns to modern 454 microwave pyrolysis equipment (Liew et al., 2018; Arafat Hossain et al., 2017). However 455 socio-economic feasibility, and practicality of such alternatives for biomass management are 456 yet to be explored and researched. There is a need for increased research in understanding the 457 holistic environmental and socio-economic impacts of slash-and-burn practice in tropical peatlands, and other alternative practices for land clearing and biomass management between 458

oil palm generations. This study is a step towards such understanding of the impacts of different
practices. Further research addressing these issues will help us make informed suggestions on
land use policies and management practices, for rapidly increasing area of agricultural tropical
peatlands.

## 463 **5.** Conclusion

464 The use of fire for slash-and-burn management practice severely alters peat physico-chemical properties and increases post-fire greenhouse gas emissions and peat nutrient concentrations. 465 While some of the properties such as CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and electrical conductivity reverted back 466 467 to normal level after few months, other properties such as CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, pH and nutrient 468 concentrations remained high in the burnt region, though nutrient concentrations were 469 significantly reduced over time, possibly due to leaching. This study has shown very high loss 470 of surface peat C content due to fire, which is irreversible. This has important implications if 471 such a loss occurred in all agricultural peatlands in the region through slash-and-burn activity. 472 The practice of intercropping was previously known to prolong defining peat properties and 473 ameliorate environmental impacts of oil palm agriculture in peat, but the current results show 474 that use of fire as a management practice overrides any such benefits from intercropping, 475 because of high C loss. The peat surface physico-chemical properties and nutrient 476 concentrations also exhibited functional correlations with GHG emissions, providing new insights into complex interactions between different biogeochemical processes in tropical 477 478 peatlands. The results also show that peat layers from surface to 20 cm depth were most 479 affected by this particular slash-and-burn activity in oil palm agriculture. However, the 480 intensity of fire can vary widely between different oil palm management and needs further 481 research to fully understand the long term and regional impacts of such slash-and-burn activity 482 in tropical peatlands.

### 483 Acknowledgements

First and foremost thanks to welcoming people of Kampong Raja Musa village and Kuala Selangor, Malaysia. The fieldtrips were supported by Liverpool John Moores University (Faculty of Science) Early Career Fellowship awarded to Stephanie Evers. The lab analyses were supported by The School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University. We are also thankful to Phil Salmon and other technicians who assisted us with lab instrumentation in Liverpool John Moores University.

490

## 491 **6. References**

- Abdalla M, Hastings A, Truu J, Espenberg M, Mander Ü, Smith P. Emissions of methane from
  northern peatlands: a review of management impacts and implications for future
  management options. Ecology and Evolution 2016; 6: 7080-7102.
- Abdullah AZ, Salamatinia B, Mootabadi H, Bhatia S. Current status and policies on biodiesel
  industry in Malaysia as the world's leading producer of palm oil. Energy Policy 2009;
  37: 5440-5448.
- 498 Arafat Hossain M, Ganesan P, Jewaratnam J, Chinna K. Optimization of process parameters
- for microwave pyrolysis of oil palm fiber (OPF) for hydrogen and biochar production.
  Energy Conversion and Management 2017; 133: 349-362.
- 501 Astiani D, Curran LM, Burhanuddin, Taherzadeh M, Mujiman, Hatta M, et al. Fire-driven
- biomass and peat carbon losses and post-fire soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission in a West Kalimantan
  peatland forest. Journal of Tropical Forest Science 2018; 30: 570-575.
- Bang-Andreasen T, Nielsen JT, Voriskova J, Heise J, Rønn R, Kjøller R, et al. Wood Ash
  Induced pH Changes Strongly Affect Soil Bacterial Numbers and Community
  Composition. Frontiers in Microbiology 2017; 8.

- 507 Basiron Y. Palm oil production through sustainable plantations. Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.
  508 2007; 109: 289-295.
- 509 Beest, Petrone, Nwaishi, Waddington, Macrae. Increased peatland nutrient availability
  510 following the Fort McMurray Horse River wildfire. Diversity 2019; 11: 142.
- 511 Beliveau A, Davidson R, Lucotte M, Do Canto Lopes LO, Paquet S, Vasseur C. Early effects
  512 of slash-and-burn cultivation on soil physicochemical properties of small-scale farms
- 513 in the Tapajós region, Brazilian Amazon. The Journal of Agricultural Science 2015;
  514 153: 205-221.
- 515 Bista P, Ghimire R, Machado S, Pritchett L. Biochar Effects on Soil Properties and Wheat
  516 Biomass vary with Fertility Management. Agronomy 2019; 9: 623.
- 517 Capooci M, Barba J, Seyfferth AL, Vargas R. Experimental influence of storm-surge salinity
  518 on soil greenhouse gas emissions from a tidal salt marsh. Science of The Total
  519 Environment 2019; 686: 1164-1172.
- 520 Chazdon RL. Ecology Tropical forests Log 'em or leave 'em? Science 1998; 281: 1295521 1296.
- 522 Cheong KH, Ngiam NJ, Morgan GG, Pek PP, Tan BYQ, Lai JW, et al. Acute Health Impacts
  523 of the Southeast Asian Transboundary Haze Problem-A Review. International Journal
  524 of Environmental Research and Public Health 2019; 16: 18.
- 525 Chungu D, Ng'andwe P, Mubanga H, Chileshe F. Fire alters the availability of soil nutrients
  526 and accelerates growth of Eucalyptus grandis in Zambia. Journal of Forestry Research
  527 2019.
- 528 Corley RHV. How much palm oil do we need? Environmental Science & Policy 2009; 12: 134529 139.
- Couwenberg J. Methane emissions from peat soils (organic soils, histosols) Facts, MRVability, emission factors., Bonn, Germany, 2009.

- 532 Couwenberg J, Dommain R, Joosten H. Greenhouse gas fluxes from tropical peatlands in
  533 south-east Asia. Global Change Biology 2010; 16: 1715-1732.
- Danilova OV, Belova SE, Kulichevskaya IS, Dedysh SN. Decline of activity and shifts in the
  methanotrophic community structure of an ombrotrophic peat bog after wildfire.
  Microbiology 2015; 84: 624-629.
- 537 Davidson SJ, Van Beest C, Petrone R, Strack M. Wildfire overrides hydrological controls on
  538 boreal peatland methane emissions. Biogeosciences 2019; 16: 2651-2660.
- Dennis RA, Mayer J, Applegate G, Chokkalingam U, Colfer CJP, Kurniawan I, et al. Fire,
  people and pixels: Linking social science and remote sensing to understand underlying
  causes and impacts of fires in Indonesia. Human Ecology 2005; 33: 465-504.
- 542 Dhandapani S. Biodiversity Loss Associated with Oil Palm Plantations in Malaysia: Serving
  543 the Need versus Saving the Nature. 4th International Conference On Biodiversity. 4:3.
  544 Journal of Ecosystem and Ecography, Las Vegas, USA, 2015.
- 545 Dhandapani S, Girkin NT, Evers S, Ritz K, Sjögersten S. Is intercropping an environmentally546 wise alternative to established oil palm monoculture in tropical peatlands? Frontiers in
  547 Forests and Global Change 2020; 3:70.
- 548 Dhandapani S, Ritz K, Evers S, Sjögersten S. Environmental impacts as affected by different
  549 oil palm cropping systems in tropical peatlands. Agriculture, Ecosystems &
  550 Environment 2019a; 276: 8-20.
- 551 Dhandapani S, Ritz K, Evers S, Sjögersten S. GHG emission under different cropping systems
  552 in some Histosols of Malaysia. Geoderma Regional 2019b; 18: e00229.
- Dhandapani S, Ritz K, Evers S, Yule CM, Sjögersten S. Are secondary forests second-rate?
   Comparing peatland greenhouse gas emissions, chemical and microbial community
   properties between primary and secondary forests in Peninsular Malaysia. Science of
- 556 The Total Environment 2019c; 655: 220-231.
  - 23

- Evers S, Yule CM, Padfield R, O'Reilly P, Varkkey H. Keep wetlands wet: the myth of
  sustainable development of tropical peatlands implications for policies and
  management. Global Change Biology 2017; 23: 534-549.
- Fiedler S. In Situ Long-Term-Measurement of Redox Potential in Redoximorphic Soils. In:
  Schüring J, Schulz HD, Fischer WR, Böttcher J, Duijnisveld WHM, editors. Redox:
  Fundamentals, Processes and Applications. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin,
  Heidelberg, 2000, pp. 81-94.
- Gay-Des-Combes JM, Sanz Carrillo C, Robroek BJM, Jassey VEJ, Mills RTE, Arif MS, et al.
  Tropical soils degraded by slash-and-burn cultivation can be recultivated when
  amended with ashes and compost. Ecology and Evolution 2017; 7: 5378-5388.
- Giardina CP, Sanford RL, Døckersmith IC, Jaramillo VJ. The effects of slash burning on
  ecosystem nutrients during the land preparation phase of shifting cultivation. Plant and
  Soil 2000; 220: 247-260.
- Global Environmental Centre G. Integrated Management Plan for North Selangor Peat Swamp
   Forest 2014-2023 for Selangor State Forestry Department, 2014, pp. 183.
- Godfray HCJ, Beddington JR, Crute IR, Haddad L, Lawrence D, Muir JF, et al. Food Security:
  The Challenge of Feeding 9 Billion People. Science 2010; 327: 812-818.
- 574 González-Pérez JA, González-Vila FJ, Almendros G, Knicker H. The effect of fire on soil 575 organic matter—a review. Environment International 2004; 30: 855-870.
- Hansen MC, Potapov PV, Moore R, Hancher M, Turubanova SA, Tyukavina A, et al. HighResolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change. Science 2013; 342:
  850-853.
- Hatano R, Toma Y, Hamada Y, Arai H, Susilawati HL, Inubushi K. Methane and nitrous oxide
  emissions from tropical peat soil. In: Osaki M, Tsuji N, editors. Tropical Peatland
  Ecosystems. Springer, Tokyo, 2016.

582	Heydari M, Rostamy A, Najafi F, Dey DC. Effect of fire severity on physical and biochemical
583	soil properties in Zagros oak (Quercus brantii Lindl.) forests in Iran. Journal of Forestry
584	Research 2017; 28: 95-104.

- Hu Y, Christensen EG, Amin HMF, Smith TEL, Rein G. Experimental study of moisture
  content effects on the transient gas and particle emissions from peat fires. Combustion
  and Flame 2019; 209: 408-417.
- Hu YQ, Fernandez-Anez N, Smith TEL, Rein G. Review of emissions from smouldering peat
  fires and their contribution to regional haze episodes. International Journal of Wildland
  Fire 2018; 27: 293-312.
- Islam MS, Pei YH, Mangharam S. Trans-Boundary Haze Pollution in Southeast Asia:
  Sustainability through Plural Environmental Governance. Sustainability 2016; 8.
- Kennard DK, Gholz HL. Effects of high- and low-intensity fires on soil properties and plant
  growth in a Bolivian dry forest. Plant and Soil 2001; 234: 119-129.
- Kettunen A, Kaitala V, Alm J, Silvola J, Nykänen H, Martikainen PJ. Cross-correlation
  analysis of the dynamics of methane emissions from a boreal peatland. Global
  Biogeochemical Cycles 1996; 10: 457-471.
- Khor WC, Rabaey K, Vervaeren H. Low temperature calcium hydroxide treatment enhances
  anaerobic methane production from (extruded) biomass. Bioresource Technology
  2015; 176: 181-188.
- Kleinman PJA, Pimentel D, Bryant RB. The ecological sustainability of slash-and-burn
   agriculture. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 1995; 52: 235-249.
- Koh LP, Wilcove DS. Is oil palm agriculture really destroying tropical biodiversity? Conserv.
- 605 Lett. 2008; 1: 60-64.

- Kong S-H, Loh S-K, Bachmann RT, Rahim SA, Salimon J. Biochar from oil palm biomass: A
  review of its potential and challenges. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews
  2014; 39: 729-739.
- Kutiel P, Naveh Z. The effect of fire on nutrients in a pine forest soil. Plant and Soil 1987; 104:
  269-274.
- Lam WY, Kulak M, Sim S, King H, Huijbregts MAJ, Chaplin-Kramer R. Greenhouse gas
  footprints of palm oil production in Indonesia over space and time. Science of the Total
  Environment 2019; 688: 827-837.
- Lar JS, Li R, Li X. The Influence of Calcium and Iron Supplementation on the Methane Yield
  of Biogas Treating Dairy Manure. Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and
  Environmental Effects 2010; 32: 1651-1658.
- Liew RK, Nam WL, Chong MY, Phang XY, Su MH, Yek PNY, et al. Oil palm waste: An
  abundant and promising feedstock for microwave pyrolysis conversion into good
  quality biochar with potential multi-applications. Process Safety and Environmental
  Protection 2018; 115: 57-69.
- Luskin MS, Potts MD. Microclimate and habitat heterogeneity through the oil palm lifecycle.
  Basic and Applied Ecology 2011; 12: 540-551.
- Miettinen J, Hooijer A, Tollenaar D, Malins C, Vernimmen R, Shi C, et al. Historical Analysis
  and Projection of Oil Palm Plantation Expansion on Peatland in Southeast Asia.
  International Council on Clean Transportation, Washington DC, 2012.
- Murakami M, Furukawa Y, Inubushi K. Methane production after liming to tropical acid peat
  soil. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 2005; 51: 697-699.
- Murdiyarso D, Hergoualc'h K, Verchot LV. Opportunities for reducing greenhouse gas
  emissions in tropical peatlands. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
  the United States of America 2010; 107: 19655-19660.

- Murdiyarso D, Lilleskov E, Kolka R. Tropical peatlands under siege: the need for evidencebased policies and strategies. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change
  2019; 24: 493-505.
- Myllyntaus T, Minna H, Jan K. Sustainability in Danger?: Slash-and-Burn Cultivation in
  Nineteenth-Century Finland and Twentieth-Century Southeast Asia. Environmental
  History 2002; 7: 267-302.
- Niedermeier A, Robinson JS. Hydrological controls on soil redox dynamics in a peat-based,
  restored wetland. Geoderma 2007; 137: 318-326.
- 639 Ohlemiller TJ. Modeling of smoldering combustion propagation. Progress in Energy and
  640 Combustion Science 1985; 11: 277-310.
- Page SE, Siegert F, Rieley JO, Boehm HDV, Jaya A, Limin S. The amount of carbon released
  from peat and forest fires in Indonesia during 1997. Nature 2002; 420: 61-65.
- Posa MRC, Wijedasa LS, Corlett RT. Biodiversity and Conservation of Tropical Peat Swamp
  Forests. BioScience 2011; 61: 49-57.
- Qing-Yu J, Wen-Ying Y, Li Z, Ri-Hong W, Yan-bing X, Ni-Na C. Methane emissions from
  typical paddy fields in Liaohe Plain and Sanjiang Plain, Northeast China.
  Environmental Research Communications 2019; 1: 011006.
- Rashid AHAM, Hamzah KA, Joseph KT. Land use change in Malaysia. Reports from the
  technical panels of the 2nd green house gas. Roundtable on Sustainable palm oil, 2013.
- 650 Reijnders L, Huijbregts MAJ. Palm oil and the emission of carbon-based greenhouse gases.
- Journal of Cleaner Production 2008; 16: 477-482.
- Rein G. Smouldering Fires and Natural Fuels. Fire Phenomena and the Earth System: An
  Interdisciplinary Guide to Fire Science, 2013, pp. 15-33.

- Ren F, Zhang X, Liu J, Sun N, Wu L, Li Z, et al. A synthetic analysis of greenhouse gas
  emissions from manure amended agricultural soils in China. Scientific Reports 2017;
  7: 8123.
- 657 Sazawa K, Wakimoto T, Fukushima M, Yustiawati Y, Syawal MS, Hata N, et al. Impact of
  658 Peat Fire on the Soil and Export of Dissolved Organic Carbon in Tropical Peat Soil,
  659 Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. ACS Earth and Space Chemistry 2018; 2: 692-701.
- Scharenbroch BC, Nix B, Jacobs KA, Bowles ML. Two decades of low-severity prescribed
  fire increases soil nutrient availability in a Midwestern, USA oak (Quercus) forest.
  Geoderma 2012; 183-184: 80-91.
- Schmidt JH. Life cycle assessment of five vegetable oils. Journal of Cleaner Production 2015;
  87: 130-138.
- Setia R, Gottschalk P, Smith P, Marschner P, Baldock J, Setia D, et al. Soil salinity decreases
  global soil organic carbon stocks. Science of The Total Environment 2013; 465: 267272.
- Shevade VS, Loboda TV. Oil palm plantations in Peninsular Malaysia: Determinants and
   constraints on expansion. PLOS ONE 2019; 14: e0210628.
- Sjögersten S, Cheesman AW, Lopez O, Turner BL. Biogeochemical processes along a nutrient
  gradient in a tropical ombrotrophic peatland. Biogeochemistry 2011; 104: 147-163.
- Smith TEL, Evers S, Yule CM, Gan JY. In Situ tropical peatland fire emission factors and their
  variability, as determined by field measurements in Peninsula Malaysia. Global
  Biogeochemical Cycles 2018; 32: 18-31.
- 675 Søndergaard M. Redox Potential. In: Likens GE, editor. Encyclopedia of Inland Waters.
  676 Academic Press, Oxford, 2009, pp. 852-859.
- Tan KT, Lee KT, Mohamed AR, Bhatia S. Palm oil: Addressing issues and towards sustainable
  development. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2009; 13: 420-427.

- Turetsky MR, Benscoter B, Page S, Rein G, van der Werf GR, Watts A. Global vulnerability
  of peatlands to fire and carbon loss. Nature Geoscience 2015; 8: 11-14.
- Uda SK, Hein L, Sumarga E. Towards sustainable management of Indonesian tropical
  peatlands. Wetlands Ecology and Management 2017; 25: 683-701.
- Ulery AL, Graham RC, Amrhein C. Wood-ash composition and soil pH following intense
  burning. Soil Science 1993; 156: 358-364.
- Van Reuler H, Janssen BH. Nutrient fluxes in the shifting cultivation system of south-west
  Côte d'Ivoire. Plant and Soil 1993; 154: 169-177.
- 687 Varkkey H. Patronage politics, plantation fires and transboundary haze. Environmental
  688 Hazards 2013; 12: 200-217.
- 689 Varkkey H. The haze problem in Southeast Asia: palm oil and patronage. Abingdon:690 Routledge, 2016.
- Veraart AJ, Steenbergh AK, Ho A, Kim SY, Bodelier PLE. Beyond nitrogen: The importance
  of phosphorus for CH4 oxidation in soils and sediments. Geoderma 2015; 259-260:
  337-346.
- 694 Visconti F, De Paz JM. Electrical Conductivity Measurements in Agriculture: The Assessment
  695 of Soil Salinity. InTech, 2016.
- Wang G, Yu X, Bao K, Xing W, Gao C, Lin Q, et al. Effect of fire on phosphorus forms in
  Sphagnum moss and peat soils of ombrotrophic bogs. Chemosphere 2015; 119: 13291334.
- Weslien P, Kasimir Klemedtsson Å, Börjesson G, Klemedtsson L. Strong pH influence on N2O
  and CH4 fluxes from forested organic soils. European Journal of Soil Science 2009;
  60: 311-320.
- Wiggins EB, Czimczik CI, Santos GM, Chen Y, Xu XM, Holden SR, et al. Smoke radiocarbon
   measurements from Indonesian fires provide evidence for burning of millennia-aged

- peat. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
  2018; 115: 12419-12424.
- World Bank. The cost of fire : an economic analysis of Indonesia's 2015 fire crisis (English)
  Indonesia sustainable landscapes knowledge; note no. 1. World Bank Group,
  Washington D.C., 2016.
- Wright EL, Black CR, Turner BL, Sjögersten S. Environmental controls of temporal and spatial
  variability in CO2 and CH4 fluxes in a neotropical peatland. Global Change Biology
  2013; 19: 3775-3789.
- Yan N, Marschner P, Cao W, Zuo C, Qin W. Influence of salinity and water content on soil
  microorganisms. International Soil and Water Conservation Research 2015; 3: 316323.
- Zaccone C, Rein G, D'Orazio V, Hadden RM, Belcher CM, Miano TM. Smouldering fire
  signatures in peat and their implications for palaeoenvironmental reconstructions.
  Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 2014; 137: 134-146.
- 718
- 719

720 Figure Captions

721 **Figure 1:** Location of the study site.

Figure 2: Site pictures - a) picture of the study site during smouldering fire July 2018 b) picture
of the site during the dry season measurements, one month after the fire event, in August 2018
c) picture of the site during the wet season measurements, five months after the fire event, in
December 2018.

**Figure 3:** Effect of burnt and non-burnt region, and season upon a)  $CO_2$  emissions b) CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, during dry and wet season. Bars denote mean values (for dry season n=20 each region; for wet season n=10 each region). Whiskers denote standard errors.

Figure 4: Effect of burnt and non-burnt region, and season upon a) Peat surface temperature
b) gravimetric moisture c) electrical conductivity d) redox potential e) pH during dry and wet
season. Bars denote mean values (for dry season n=20 each region; for wet season n=10 each
region). Whiskers denote standard errors.

Figure 5: Effect of burnt and non-burnt region, and season upon peat a) C content b) N content

c) C:N ratio d) Mg concentrations e) P concentrations f) S concentrations f) K concentrations

g) Ca concentrations during dry and wet season. Bars denote mean values (for dry season n=20

each region; for wet season n=10 each region). Whiskers denote standard errors.

Figure 6: Effect of burnt and non-burnt region, and depth upon a) pH b) gravimetric moisture
c) electrical conductivity d) redox potential during dry season. Points denote mean values (n=3
for each region). Whiskers denote standard errors.

Figure 7: Effect of burnt and non-burnt region, and depth upon peat a) C content b) N content
c) C:N ratio d) Mg concentrations e) P concentrations f) S concentrations f) K concentrations
g) Ca concentrations during dry season. Bars denote mean values (n=3 for each region).
Whiskers denote standard errors.

744

745

746

747