1	Targeted genotype analyses of GWAS-derived lean body mass and handgrip
2	strength-associated single nucleotide polymorphisms in elite masters athletes
3	
4	Hannah Crossland ¹ , Jessica Piasecki ² , Daniel McCormick ¹ , Bethan E Phillips ¹ , Daniel J
5	Wilkinson ¹ , Kenneth Smith ¹ , Jamie S McPhee ³ , Mathew Piasecki ¹ *, Philip J Atherton ¹ *
6	
7	¹ MRC Versus Arthritis Centre for Musculoskeletal Ageing Research & NIHR Nottingham
8	Biomedical Research Centre, University of Nottingham, Royal Derby Hospital Centre, DE22
9	3DT, UK; ² Musculoskeletal Physiology Research Group, Sport, Health and Performance
10	Enhancement Research Centre, School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent
11	University, Nottingham, UK; ³ Department of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Faculty of
12	Science and Engineering. Manchester Metropolitan University, UK
13	
14	*Joint senior co-authors
15	Running head: Elite master athlete genotype
16	
17	Corresponding author:
18	Professor Philip J Atherton
19	MRC-ARUK Centre for Musculoskeletal Ageing Research,
20	National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre,
21	School of Medicine,
22	University of Nottingham,
23	Derby, DE22 3DT, UK.
24	Email: <u>Philip.Atherton@nottingham.ac.uk</u>
25	
26	

27 Abstract

28 Recent large genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have independently identified a set of 29 genetic loci associated with lean body mass (LBM) and handgrip strength (HGS). Evaluation 30 of these candidate single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) may be useful to investigate 31 genetic traits of populations at higher or lower risk of muscle dysfunction. As such, we 32 investigated associations between six SNPs linked to LBM or HGS, in a population of elite 33 master athletes (MA), and age-matched controls, as a representative population of older 34 individuals with variable maintenance of muscle mass and function. Genomic DNA was 35 isolated from buffy coat samples of 96 individuals (consisting of 48 MA (71±6yrs; age-36 graded performance $83\pm9\%$) and 48 older controls (75±6yrs)). SNP validation and sample 37 genotyping was conducted using the tetra-primer amplification refractory mutation system 38 (ARMS). For the 3 SNPs analysed that were previously associated with LBM (FTO, IRS1 39 and ADAMTSL3), multinomial logistic regression revealed a significant association of the 40 ADAMTSL3 genotype with %LBM ($P \le 0.01$). For the three HGS-linked SNPs, neither GBF1 41 nor GLIS1 showed any association with HGS, but for TGFA, multinomial logistic regression 42 revealed a significant association of genotype with HGS (P < 0.05). For ADAMTSL3, there 43 was an enrichment of the effect allele in the MA (P < 0.05; Fisher's exact test). Collectively, 44 of the six SNPs analysed, ADAMTSL3 and TGFA showed significant associations with LBM 45 and HGS, respectively. The functional relevance of the ADAMTSL3 SNP in body 46 composition, and of TGFA in strength, may highlight a genetic component of the elite MA 47 phenotype.

49 Key words: muscle; handgrip strength; lean mass; elite athletes

50 Introduction

51

52 Lean body mass (LBM) plays an important role in metabolic function, mobility and healthy 53 ageing, where progressive declines in LBM and concurrent increases in lipid infiltration can 54 have detrimental impacts related to functional impairments and disability (13, 14, 18, 37). 55 Similarly, declines in muscle strength with ageing are associated with impaired quality-of-life 56 in older adults and increased risk of frailty and hospitalizations (2, 34). Reflecting this, 57 handgrip strength (HGS) is a widely used marker of frailty, and a strong predictor of 58 morbidities and survival (21, 38). The heritability of muscle strength has been estimated to be 59 between 30-65% (22, 35), with the heritability of the LBM phenotype estimated to be 52-60 60% (1, 12). To date, few studies have robustly identified candidate genes associated with 61 LBM or HGS on a genome-wide level.

62

63 A recent study identified and replicated a set of five loci for total lean body mass (42). Three 64 of these SNPs (near/in genes for IRS1, ADAMTSL3 and VCAN) were also successfully 65 replicated for appendicular lean mass. Further analyses reported that for a subset of these 66 SNPs, LBM increasing alleles were associated with adverse metabolic profiles (such as the Alpha-Ketoglutarate Dependent Dioxygenase (FTO) SNP rs9936385), whereas some were 67 68 associated with metabolic protection (e.g. the rs2287926 SNP associated with the versican 69 (VCAN) gene) (17). Similarly, a number of recent GWAS have reported multiple loci 70 associated with HGS (23, 39). Analyses by Matteini et al. (2016) identified one significant 71 genome-wide association of an intergenic SNP located in a chromosomal region that 72 regulates muscle repair and differentiation. In a study by Willems et al. (2017), a number of 73 loci out of the 16 SNPs identified were related to genes involved in muscle 74 structure/function; (ACTG1), neurotrophic regulation (TGFA) and excitation-contraction 75 coupling (SLC8A1). Others were identified with less understood roles in muscle function, 76 such as Golgi Brefeldin A Resistant Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Factor 1 (GBF1), a 77 guanine nucleotide exchange factor, and GLIS Family Zinc Finger 1 (GLIS1), Kruppel-like 78 zinc finger protein that regulates transcription. Thus, further investigation into understanding 79 the roles of these genes in the context of genetic variability of muscle strength is required. Despite the growing number of GWAS linking candidate genetic loci to skeletal muscle-80 81 related traits in humans, further validation/replication of these SNPs in independent cohorts 82 has not previously been evaluated, while issues surrounding their reproducibility have also 83 been highlighted (11).

84

85 Heritable phenotypical traits such as strength and lean mass are undoubtedly associated with 86 physical performance and thus contribute to elite athletic status (6). Specifically, elite master 87 athletes (MA; >65yrs) represent a population in which the effects of age may be addressed 88 independently of the often accompanying disuse (19), and in many cases have displayed 89 greater neuromuscular function than their age-matched inactive counterparts (24, 27, 29). 90 However, there are little data available relating genotype to phenotype in these unique 91 cohorts. In the current study, we first aimed to determine whether associations of SNPs 92 linked to either LBM or HGS in previous GWAS analyses could be replicated in a smaller 93 cohort comprising of a mixed population of elite master athletes (MA; both sprint and 94 endurance) and age-matched non-athlete controls. Secondly, we aimed to compare 95 allele/genotype frequencies between these two populations in order to gain further insight 96 into the aforementioned differences in muscular strength and mass between older elite 97 athletes and their age-matched controls. We hypothesized that the population of MA would 98 demonstrate greater enrichments in SNPs associated with higher LBM and/or HGS. To 99 perform targeted genotyping, we used tetra-primer amplification refractory mutation system

- 100 (ARMS) PCR, which has been reported as a rapid, low-cost and reliable method for SNP
- 101 genotyping (26, 40).

102 Materials and Methods

103

104 Participants and ethical approval

105 The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, except for 106 registration in a database. The study was approved by the University Research Ethics 107 Committee and the National Research Ethics Service Committee Northwest (14/NW0275) 108 and (15/NW/0426). All participants provided written informed consent. The control group 109 (n=48) were aged 75.3±6.0yrs and were recruited from the local community. The masters 110 athletes (n=48) were aged 70.6 ± 5.9 yrs and were recruited from athletics clubs, from an 111 advertisement placed in a national athletics magazine, and from two national masters athletics 112 competitions as part of the wider Vertical Impact of Bone Health in Elderly (VIBE) multiple 113 cohort study (5, 28). All masters athletes were actively competing in their respective 114 disciplines, and all completed more than 5 hours of specific training per week at the time of 115 testing. MAs were classified as sprinters (n=12) if competing in events less than 800 m in 116 distance, or endurance athletes (n=36) if competing in events greater than or equal to 800 m 117 in distance.

118

119 The age-graded performance (AGP) of a master athlete allows a comparison of current 120 performance against world record performance in the same discipline, distance and age-121 group. Mean age-graded performance (AGP) was determined by taking the athlete's highest 122 ranked performance within the last year and expressing it as a percentage of the world record 123 for that age and distance. The mean AGP of this athletic cohort was $83.4 \pm 8.6\%$. For 124 example, a 21 min and 20 sec 5000m for a 70-year-old man gives an age-graded performance 125 of 83%. All males were chosen for the current analysis in order to avoid influences of sex-126 specific hormones.

128 DXA Scans

129 Standing height was measured to the nearest millimeter and body mass was measured to the 130 nearest 0.1 kg. Whole body, total hip and lumbar spine dual energy X-ray absorptiometry 131 (DXA: Lunar Prodigy Advanced, GE Healthcare, encore version 10.50.086, London, UK) 132 scans were performed while the participant lay supine wearing a light cotton t-shirt to reduce 133 measurement errors due to clothing absorption. Lean mass was taken from results of total 134 body scans and regional analysis of legs and arms. All measurements were recorded after 135 manual adjustment of the regions of interest. Repeat total body scans were performed in 8 136 participants within one month of the first scan. Using these repeat scans, the short-term error 137 for our laboratory was 0.01% for whole body lean mass.

138

139 Muscle function

140 The investigators provided verbal instructions and a physical demonstration of the muscle 141 function tests. Participants were allowed one practice immediately before the actual assessed 142 trials, which acted as a specific warm up and also confirmed that the instructions were 143 understood. In all cases, the muscle function tests were completed between 10am and 3pm.

144

Hand grip strength was measured using the Jamar dynamometer handle (Sammons Preston Inc, Bolingbrook, IL, USA) as previously described (10). The width of the dynamometer was adjusted for each participant separately. Participants were instructed to stand upright with the arm fully extended along the body, maintaining approximately 5 cm gap between the wrist and the hip or upper leg (so that the hand was not rested against the body). Participants were instructed to squeeze against the handle as hard possible for three seconds. Grip strength was

- 151 measured three times and recorded in kilograms to the nearest 0.1 kg. For the purpose of this 152 study, the best of three attempts was included in further analysis.
- 153

154 A Leonardo Jump Mechanography Platform (Leonardo Software version 4.2: Novotiec 155 Medical GmbH, Pforzheim, Germany) was used to assess lower limb muscle power during a 156 countermovement vertical jump, as described previously (10). Results for both absolute (W) 157 and relative (W/kg) power were recorded. Briefly, a two-footed countermovement jump was 158 performed starting with feet approximately 30 cm apart (slightly narrower than shoulder 159 width) and standing upright on the force plates. Force was sampled at 800 Hz. Participants 160 flexed at the knees before extending as forcefully as possible to take off for the jump. Jumps 161 were performed with a trained research assistant in close proximity to intervene in case of a 162 trip or fall. Each participant repeated the jump sequence three times, with approximately 60 163 seconds rest between efforts. The jump with the highest value for power was used for 164 statistical analysis.

165

166 Genomic DNA Extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from buffy coat samples (200 μl) using the QIAamp blood mini
DNA kit (Qiagen, UK), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Isolated DNA was
quantified on the NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK).

170

171 SNP selection and primer design

172 A set of SNPs were selected, chosen from SNPs previously linked with LBM (42) and HGS

173 in humans (39). SNPs with very low/high effect allele frequencies (EAFs) in the original

174 GWAS studies (e.g. VCAN, KANSL1 and POLD3) were avoided due to expected difficulties

175 in detecting them in relatively low sample sizes. Primer design was performed using the

PRIMER1 program: <u>http://primer1.soton.ac.uk/primer1.html</u>, using the default primer design settings. SNPs that yielded primers with very high GC content were avoided due to anticipated difficulties during amplification, as well as primer sets with very distinct melting temperatures. A total of 15 SNPs were initially tested for validation, however technical difficulties meant that a number could not be assessed with the tetra-primer ARMS PCR method, and the final set of six SNPs, three predicted to be associated with LBM and three with HGS, are presented in Table 1.

183

184 Tetra-primer ARMS PCR and gel electrophoresis

185 Validation of SNP primers and genotyping was performed using the tetra-primer ARMS PCR 186 technique (40). The sequences of primers used for the genotyping of the selected SNPs are 187 shown in Table 1. SNP primers were initially validated and optimised using the guidelines set 188 out in (26). Initially, amplification was performed using the outer primers only, using a 189 gradient annealing temperature PCR to determine the optimal annealing temperature for each 190 primer set. Subsequent validation involved incorporating the inner primers in varying 191 amounts to produce detectable bands for each allele-specific amplicon via agarose gel 192 electrophoresis (see below). PCR reactions with a final volume of 18 µl including 30 ng 193 genomic DNA, SYBR[™] Select Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and primers in ratios 194 according to Table 1. Amplification was performed using a ViiaTM 7 real-time PCR machine 195 (Applied Biosystems), using the following cycling conditions: 1 cycle of initial denaturation 196 at 95°C, 2 min; 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30s, annealing at 61-62°C (see Table 1 197 for SNP-specific annealing temperature) for 45s and extension at 72°C for 45s, with a final 198 extension for 5 min at 72°C on standard cycling conditions. PCR products were mixed with 4 199 µl gel loading buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) and 10 µl was electrophoresed on 3% (w/v) 200 agarose gels for 120 min at 80V.

202 Statistical analyses

203 Multinomial logistic regression was performed in R (version 3.6.1) using the nnet package 204 (16) to examine associations between GBF1, GLIS1 and TGFA genotypes and maximal grip 205 strength, and to examine associations between IRS1, FTO and ADAMTSL3 and total lean 206 mass, appendicular lean mass, and percentage body lean mass. Strength of associations were 207 assessed by p values calculated from z values provided from the regression model 208 coefficients and standard errors for each predictor variable. Fisher's exact test was used for 209 comparison of allele distributions and genotype distributions between MA and control 210 groups, while one-way ANOVA was used for multi-group comparisons, with Tukey's test to 211 correct for multiple comparisons. Comparisons between two groups were made using 212 unpaired t tests. P<0.05 was taken to be statistically significant. Data were analysed using 213 GraphPad Prism software version 7.0.

214 **Results**

215

216 Associations between genotype and functional parameters in MA and non-athletes

217 We first aimed to identify any associations of the selected SNP genotypes with lean mass or 218 HGS in a mixed population of older MA and non-athletes (i.e., irrespective of groupings). 219 Within this cohort, total LBM ranged from 36.6 to 69.4 kg, while HGS ranged from 20.6 to 220 54.7 kg. In relation to the SNPs previously linked to HGS (GBF1 (rs2273555; effect allele 221 A), GLIS1 (rs4926611; effect allele C) and Transforming Growth Factor Alpha (TGFA; 222 rs958685; effect allele A)), there was no significant association of either *GBF1* or *GLIS1* 223 genotype with HGS (Figure 1), but with TGFA, there was a significant association between 224 HGS and genotype (mean difference between AA and CC 6.32; 95% CI 0.43-12.1; P<0.05, Figure 1A), with the AA genotype (A being the effect allele) having higher HGS. In relation 225 226 to SNPs that were previously associated with LBM, multinomial logistic regression showed 227 no significant association of total lean mass, % LBM or appendicular lean mass with insulin 228 receptor substrate 1 (IRS1; rs2943656; effect allele A), FTO (rs9936385; effect allele T) or A 229 Disintegrin-Like And Metalloprotease Domain With Thrombospondin Type I Motifs-Like 3 230 (ADAMTSL3; rs4842924; effect allele T) genotypes (Figures 2-4). For ADAMTSL3 however, 231 there was a significant association with % LBM (mean difference between TT and CC 5.36; 232 95% CI 1.38-9.34; P<0.01; Figure 4A), where the TT genotype was associated with higher % 233 LBM. Since LBM and HGS are biologically closely related, we also determined whether any 234 of the LBM-associated SNPs were linked to HGS, and vice-versa. However, none of the 235 HGS-associated SNPs were significantly associated with LBM (TGFA; β =-4.88, p=0.305 236 GLIS1; β =-18.64, p=0.641, GBF1; β =2.433, p=0.354), and none of the LBM-associated SNPs 237 were associated with HGS (*FTO*; β =-1.716, p=0.354, *IRS1*; β =-3.242, p=0.059, *ADAMTSL3*; 238 β =-1.432, p=0.378). There were also no genotype associations of any of the SNPs measured 239 with muscle power measurements (maximum power relative to body weight, Pmax rel)

240 (*TGFA*; β=-0.079, p=0.714, *GLIS1*; β=-0.002, p=0.911, *GBF1*; β=-0.006, p=0.891, *FTO*; β=-

241 0.021, p=0.358, *IRS1*; β=-0.002, p=0.905, *ADAMTSL3*; β=0.015, p=0.423).

242

243 Allele frequencies in individuals grouped according to the highest and lowest quartile for %

LBM or HGS.

245 Following on from this, we aimed to determine whether there were any differences in allele 246 frequencies in individuals that had been grouped according to the highest and lowest quartiles 247 for % LBM or HGS. Comparing the upper and lower quartiles for %LBM (irrespective of 248 groupings) there was no difference in allele frequency for the IRS1 or FTO SNPs (Table 2). 249 For ADAMTSL3, comparing the upper and lower quartiles for %LBM (irrespective of 250 groupings), there was an enrichment in the effect allele in the upper quartile for %LBM 251 (P < 0.05; Fisher's exact test) (Table 2). For TGFA, comparing the upper and lower quartiles 252 for HGS (irrespective of groupings), there was an enrichment in the effect allele in the upper 253 quartile for HGS ($P \le 0.05$; Fisher's exact test) (Table 2). There were no significant 254 differences in either GBF1 or GLIS1 alleles between the upper and lower quartiles for HGS 255 (Table 2).

256

257 Allele/genotype distributions for LBM or HGS-associated SNPs in MA versus non-athletes

In subsequent analyses, we sought to compare allele/genotype distributions for the LBM and HGS-associated SNPs between the elite MA and older non-athlete groups, first comparing participant muscle-related characteristics between MA and control groups. Since multiple group analyses were limited by the relatively low number of available samples from participants in the sprint category (n=12), sprint and endurance MA were grouped for the majority of our analyses. While total lean mass and appendicular lean mass (ALM) was not different across groups (Figure 5A & B), LBM as a percentage of total body weight (%LBM) was significantly lower in controls than MA (P<0.001 by unpaired t test; Figure 5C). Likewise, percentage fat mass was significantly higher (P<0.001 by unpaired t test) in controls than MA (Figure 5D). HGS and Pmax rel were no different between MA and controls (Figure 5E and 5F).

269

270 Genotype distributions for 3 SNPs that were previously associated with LBM (IRS1, FTO 271 and ADAMTSL3) and 3 SNPs that were previously associated with HGS (TGFA, GBF1 and 272 GLIS1) were analysed in the 48 MA and 48 older controls. For the SNP associated with the 273 ADAMTLS3 gene, genotype distributions were significantly different between MA and 274 controls (P < 0.05; Fisher's exact test; Figure 6). For the SNPs associated with IRS1, FTO, 275 TGFA, GLIS1 and GBF1, there was no difference in genotype frequencies between MA and 276 control groups (Figure 6). While analyses focused on the master athletes as a group, 277 compared to non-athlete control, we also assessed allele distributions for the 6 SNPs between 278 sprint and endurance MA relative to controls (Table 3). Similar to the genotype distributions 279 between MA and controls, allele distributions for the SNPs associated with IRS1, FTO, 280 TGFA, GLIS1 and GBF1 were not significantly different between groups, while for 281 ADAMTSL3, there was an enrichment in the effect allele for both sprint and endurance 282 athletes, relative to non-athlete controls ($P \le 0.05$ vs. Control (Fisher's exact test); Table 3).

286 While LBM and HGS represent two highly heritable traits in humans (1, 22, 35), only 287 recently have studies begun to explore the specific genes that contribute to the underlying 288 inter-individual variability in skeletal muscle traits such as these (30, 39, 42). Evaluation of 289 these candidate SNPs could prove useful in investigating underlying genetic traits of 290 individuals at variable risk of muscle dysfunction. In the present study, our aim was to 291 determine whether SNPs linked to either LBM or HGS in previous GWAS analyses could be 292 replicated in a smaller cohort comprising of elite MA and age-matched controls. We also 293 aimed to determine whether genotype/allele distributions for these SNPs were different 294 between elite MA in comparison to age-matched non-exercising controls, as a representative 295 population of older individuals with greater maintenance of muscle mass and function. By 296 comparing allele/genotype frequencies between these two populations using the tetra-primer 297 ARMS technique we aimed to gain greater insights into the underlying genetic component of 298 the MA muscle phenotype.

299

300 We chose to use the tetra-primer ARMS technique as a rapid approach to SNP genotyping as 301 it provides a cost-effective and accurate methodology, (40) but alternative methods are 302 available. The restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) typing method involves 303 restriction endonuclease digestion of PCR products to discriminate between alleles (25), 304 while microarray approaches (32) and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-305 flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (8) allow high-throughput genotyping. We found 306 the tetra-primer ARMS technique robust, but requiring substantial optimisation, and some 307 primer sets for SNPs could not be validated; potentially due to the SNPs loci i.e. in a high 308 GC-rich region, giving rise to difficulties due to incomplete denaturation of DNA and less 309 than optimal primer annealing (26).

310

311 We began by investigating associations between genotype and functional parameters in older 312 MA and non-athletes as a collective cohort. In terms of their predicted associations with 313 either LBM or HGS, out of the six SNPs analysed, four failed to show any significant 314 association with LBM or HGS (even when analysing those individuals with the highest and 315 lowest quartiles for %LBM or HGS). In contrast, the SNP associated with TGFA showed 316 significant associations with HGS, while the SNP linked with ADAMTSL3 was associated 317 with LBM (independent of exercise discipline), as predicted by the original GWAS'. These 318 findings provide further support to the previous data indicating the potential importance of 319 the TGFA SNP in muscle strength, and of ADAMTSL3 in body composition. Interestingly, we 320 found that none of the HGS-associated SNPs were associated with LBM, and vice-versa, nor 321 were there any significant associations with Pmax rel. The reason for this lack of overlap is 322 not clear and requires further investigation of the potential roles of these genes in muscle 323 function. For the SNP associated with TGFA, there was an association between HGS and 324 genotype, with the AA genotype (A being the effect allele promoting increased HGS), having 325 a significantly higher HGS. The consequence of the polymorphism with rs958685 is an intron 326 variant. The potential functional relevance of the TGFA in muscular strength remains to be 327 evaluated, but other intronic SNPs have been shown to be associated with functional 328 elements, including intron splicing enhancers/silencers that regulate alternative splicing 329 events as well as other transcriptional regulatory elements (4). The TGFA gene encodes a 330 growth factor which plays a key role in cellular proliferation, differentiation and development 331 (33). TGF- α also plays a neurotrophic role and promotes neuronal survival during acute 332 injury of motor neurons (15, 20).

334 A further important finding was that for rs4842924, the SNP related to the ADAMTSL3 gene, 335 the TT genotype was associated with higher %LBM amongst all volunteers. Initial analyses 336 aimed to replicate the original GWAS (42), which identified SNPs associated with total 337 LBM, with subsequent analysis demonstrating higher associations when adjusting for total fat 338 mass (17). We found instead that for ADAMTSL3 (and other SNPs), there was no association 339 to LBM in either unadjusted or after adjusting for fat mass or for height. We also found no 340 associations of any of the SNPs to appendicular lean mass. There was, however, a significant 341 association of the ADAMTSL3 genotype to LBM as a percentage of whole-body mass, 342 demonstrating it may have importance in terms of body composition. As with TGFA, the 343 consequence of the ADAMTSL3 SNP is an intron variant, and the functional effect (if any) on 344 gene expression is not currently known. Little is understood about the biological functions of 345 ADAMTSL3, but it is a glycoprotein that is related to the ADAMTS family of 346 metalloproteases, that may have functions in extracellular matrix regulation (9). The 347 ADAMTSL3 gene has also consistently been linked to height (36) in genome-wide association 348 analyses. Further in vitro experiments will be required to understand the mechanisms 349 underlying ADAMTSL3 gene variants in muscle physiology, and relation to LBM in vivo.

350

We next investigated allele/genotype distributions for LBM or HGS-associated SNPs in MA versus non-athletes. Elite MA represent a unique population of individuals that in general display greater maintenance of neuromuscular function than age-matched inactive populations (24), and while undoubtedly environmental factors play a large role in the MA phenotype (7), there are little conclusive data available related to any underlying genetic components. Whether the high-functioning characteristics of master athletes is more influenced by heritable factors regulating muscle composition/performance, or whether the 358 environmental component (i.e. continued high levels of training over the years) is more 359 important for the master athlete phenotype, remains to be fully understood. For the present 360 group of individuals studied, while total LBM or ALM were not different between MA and 361 controls, %LBM was significantly higher in the MA population. While HGS or Pmax Rel 362 were not different between MA and non-athlete controls, this is likely due to the fact that the 363 majority of the cohort were endurance athletes, which is in line with previous observations 364 with regards to strength differences in endurance versus power MA (24). Although HGS does 365 not always correlate with strength of other functionally important muscle groups such as the 366 quadriceps (41), it is a useful predictor of a number of health outcomes in middle to older age 367 (3), including all cause mortality (31). In the present study, of the six SNPs measured, five 368 were not different between MA and control; however, for ADAMTSL3, there was an 369 enrichment of the effect allele (T) in the group of MA. Further work investigating these 370 candidate SNPs, and the mechanisms by which they may influence muscle function, could 371 prove useful in understanding the genetic basis of populations with increased/decreased 372 susceptibility of muscle dysfunction (such as frailty and sarcopenia).

373

374 Perspectives and Significance

375 While there are difficulties associated with studying a cohort such as that of the MA in terms 376 of gaining sufficient sample numbers, clearly larger MA sample sizes will be needed to 377 explore MA, on a genome-wide basis, or in a targeted fashion. Indeed, the lack of individuals 378 with the GG genotype for *IRS1* in the present study is also a limitation in the context of the 379 relatively small sample size of this study. There is also a potential that the lack of replication 380 for some of the SNPs analysed in the present study was partly due to the the elite athletes 381 having a different phenotype to those of the general population (as used in the original 382 GWAS analyses). Additionally, effect sizes in the original analyses would be viewed as being 383 small, with standardized beta of -0.12 - 0.14 for LBM and 0.13 - 0.16 for HGS. More work 384 is required to determine the biological significance of these SNPs in LBM and/or muscular 385 strength across different populations of individuals. Nonetheless, in a targeted fashion, we 386 demonstrate that a SNP related to the ADAMTSL3 gene was enriched in elite MA and had 387 significant associations with % LBM. We also confirmed data from previous GWAS' of an 388 association of the TGFA SNP with HGS. Future work elucidating the mechanisms by which 389 these gene variants influence muscle mass and function are required to facilitate our 390 understanding of the genetic basis of, not only the MA phenotype, but also the genetic basis 391 underlying a range of conditions such as frailty and sarcopenia.

393 Acknowledgements

- 394 The authors would like to thank the participants for their involvement in this study. This
- 395 work was supported by funding from the UK Medical Research Council as part of the Life
- Long health and Wellbeing initiative (MR/K025252/1). This work was supported by the
- 397 Medical Research Council [grant number MR/P021220/1] as part of the MRC-ARUK Centre
- 398 for Musculoskeletal Ageing Research awarded to the Universities of Nottingham and
- 399 Birmingham, and supported by the NIHR Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre. The
- 400 views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or
- 401 the Department of Health and Social Care.
- 402

403 Disclosures

- 404 The authors declare they have no competing interests.
- 405

407 **References**

1.	Arden NK, Spector TD. Genetic influences on muscle strength, lean body mass, and
	bone mineral density: A twin study. J Bone Miner Res 12: 2076–2081, 1997.
2.	Bohannon RW. Hand-grip dynamometry predicts future outcomes in aging adults. J
	<i>Geriatr Phys Ther</i> 31: 3–10, 2008.
3.	Celis-Morales CA, Welsh P, Lyall DM, Steell L, Petermann F, Anderson J,
	lliodromiti S, Sillars A, Graham N, MacKay DF, Pell JP, Gill JMR, Sattar N,
	Gray SR. Associations of grip strength with cardiovascular, respiratory, and cancer
	outcomes and all cause mortality: Prospective cohort study of half a million UK
	Biobank participants. BMJ (2018). doi: 10.1136/bmj.k1651.
4.	Cooper DN. Functional intronic polymorphisms: Buried treasure awaiting discovery
	within our genes. Hum Genomics 4: 284–288, 2010.
5.	Deere KC, Hannam K, Coulson J, Ireland A, McPhee JS, Moss C, Edwards MH,
	Dennison E, Cooper C, Sayers A, Lipperts M, Grimm B, Tobias JH. Quantifying
	habitual levels of physical activity according to impact in older people: Accelerometry
	protocol for the VIBE study. J Aging Phys Act 24: 290-295, 2016.
6.	Eynon N, Ruiz JR, Oliveira J, Duarte JA, Birk R, Lucia A. Genes and elite
	athletes: A roadmap for future research. J. Physiol. 589: 3063-3070, 2011.
7.	Georgiades E, Klissouras V, Baulch J, Wang G, Pitsiladis Y. Why nature prevails
	over nurture in the making of the elite athlete. BMC Genomics 18: 2017.
8.	Griffin TJ, Smith LM. Single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis by MALDI-TOF
	mass spectrometry. Trends Biotechnol. 18: 77-84, 2000.
9.	Hall NG, Klenotic P, Anand-Apte B, Apte SS. ADAMTSL-3/punctin-2, a novel
	glycoprotein in extracellular matrix related to the ADAMTS family of
	metalloproteases. Matrix Biol 22: 501–510, 2003.
	 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.

432	10.	Hannam K, Hartley A, Clark EM, Sayer AA, Tobias JH, Gregson CL. Feasibility
433		and acceptability of using jumping mechanography to detect early components of
434		sarcopenia in community-dwelling older women. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact
435		17: 246–257, 2017.
436	11.	Hirschhorn JN, Lohmueller K, Byrne E, Hirschhorn K. A comprehensive review
437		of genetic association studies. Genet. Med. 4: 45-61, 2002.
438	12.	Hsu FC, Lenchik L, Nicklas BJ, Lohman K, Register TC, Mychaleckyj J,
439		Langefeld CD, Freedman BI, Bowden DW, Carr JJ. Heritability of body
440		composition measured by DXA in the Diabetes Heart Study. Obes Res 13: 312-319,
441		2005.
442	13.	Janssen I. Influence of sarcopenia on the development of physical disability: The
443		cardiovascular health study. J Am Geriatr Soc 54: 56-62, 2006.
444	14.	Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Ross R. Low relative skeletal muscle mass (sarcopenia) in
445		older persons is associated with functional impairment and physical disability. J Am
446		<i>Geriatr Soc</i> 50: 889–896, 2002.
447	15.	Junier MP . What role(s) for TGF α in the central nervous system? <i>Prog. Neurobiol.</i>
448		62: 443–473, 2000.
449	16.	Kafadar K, Koehler JR, Venables WN, Ripley BD. Modern Applied Statistics with
450		S-Plus. 1999.
451	17.	Karasik D, Zillikens MC, Hsu YH, Aghdassi A, Akesson K, Amin N, Barroso I,
452		Bennett DA, Bertram L, Bochud M, Borecki IB, Broer L, Buchman AS, Byberg
453		L, Campbell H, Campos-Obando N, Cauley JA, Cawthon PM, Chambers JC,
454		Chen Z, Cho NH, Choi HJ, Chou WC, Cummings SR, De Groot LCPGM, De
455		Jager PL, Demuth I, Diatchenko L, Econs MJ, Eiriksdottir G, Enneman AW,
456		Eriksson J, Eriksson JG, Estrada K, Evans DS, Feitosa MF, Fu M, Gieger C,

457		Grallert H, Gudnason V, Lenore LJ, Hayward C, Hofman A, Homuth G,
458		Huffman KM, Husted LB, Illig T, Ingelsson E, Ittermann T, Jansson JO, Johnson
459		T, Biffar R, Jordan JM, Jula A, Karlsson M, Khaw KT, Kilpeläinen TO, Klopp
460		N, Kloth JSL, Koller DL, Kooner JS, Kraus WE, Kritchevsky S, Kutalik Z,
461		Kuulasmaa T, Kuusisto J, Laakso M, Lahti J, Lang T, Langdahl BL, Lerch MM,
462		Lewis JR, Lill C, Lind L, Lindgren C, Liu Y, Livshits G, Ljunggren Ö, Loos RJF,
463		Lorentzon M, Luan J, Luben RN, Malkin I, McGuigan FE, Medina-Gomez C,
464		Meitinger T, Melhus H, Mellström D, Michaëlsson K, Mitchell BD, Morris AP,
465		Mosekilde L, Nethander M, Newman AB, Oconnell JR, Oostra BA, Orwoll ES,
466		Palotie A, Peacock M, Perola M, Peters A, Prince RL, Psaty BM, Räikkönen K,
467		Ralston SH, Ripatti S, Rivadeneira F, Robbins JA, Rotter JI, Rudan I, Salomaa
468		V, Satterfield S, Schipf S, Shin CS, Smith A V., Smith SB, Soranzo N, Spector
469		TD, StanÄ Áková A, Stefansson K, Steinhagen-Thiessen E, Stolk L, Streeten EA,
470		Styrkarsdottir U, Swart KMA, Thompson P, Thomson CA, Thorleifsson G,
471		Thorsteinsdottir U, Tikkanen E, Tranah GJ, Uitterlinden AG, Van Duijn CM,
472		Van Schoor NM, Vandenput L, Vollenweider P, Völzke H, Wactawski-Wende J,
473		Walker M, J Wareham N, Waterworth D, Weedon MN, Wichmann HE, Widen
474		E, Williams FMK, Wilson JF, Wright NC, Yerges-Armstrong LM, Yu L, Zhang
475		W, Zhao JH, Zhou Y, Nielson CM, Harris TB, Demissie S, Kiel DP, Ohlsson C.
476		Disentangling the genetics of lean mass. Am J Clin Nutr 109: 276–278, 2019.
477	18.	Lauretani F, Russo CR, Bandinelli S, Bartali B, Cavazzini C, Di Iorio A, Corsi
478		AM, Rantanen T, Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L. Age-associated changes in skeletal
479		muscles and their effect on mobility: An operational diagnosis of sarcopenia. J Appl
480		<i>Physiol</i> 95: 1851–1860, 2003.
481	19.	Lazarus NR, Lord JM, Harridge SDR. The relationships and interactions between

482		age, exercise and physiological function. J. Physiol. 597: 1299-1309, 2019.
483	20.	Lisovoski F, Blot S, Lacombe C, Bellier JP, Dreyfus PA, Junier MP. Transforming
484		growth factor α expression as a response of murine motor neurons to axonal injury and
485		mutation-induced degeneration. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 56: 459-471, 1997.
486	21.	Marsh AP, Rejeski WJ, Espeland MA, Miller ME, Church TS, Fielding RA, Gill
487		TM, Guralnik JM, Newman AB, Pahor M. Muscle strength and BMI as predictors
488		of major mobility disability in the lifestyle interventions and independence for elders
489		pilot (LIFE-P). Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci 66 A: 1376–1383, 2011.
490	22.	Matteini AM, Fallin MD, Kammerer CM, Schupf N, Yashin AI, Christensen K,
491		Arbeev KG, Barr G, Mayeux R, Newman AB, Walston JD. Heritability estimates
492		of endophenotypes of long and health life: The long life family study. Journals
493		Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci 65 A: 1375–1379, 2010.
494	23.	Matteini AM, Tanaka T, Karasik D, Atzmon G, Chou WC, Eicher JD, Johnson
495		AD, Arnold AM, Callisaya ML, Davies G, Evans DS, Holtfreter B, Lohman K,
496		Lunetta KL, Mangino M, Smith A V., Smith JA, Teumer A, Yu L, Arking DE,
497		Buchman AS, Chibinik LB, DeJager PL, Evans DA, Faul JD, Garcia ME,
498		Gillham-Nasenya I, Gudnason V, Hofman A, Hsu YH, Ittermann T, Lahousse L,
499		Liewald DC, Liu Y, Lopez L, Rivadeneira F, Rotter JI, Siggeirsdottir K, Starr
500		JM, Thomson R, Tranah GJ, Uitterlinden AG, Völker U, Völzke H, Weir DR,
501		Yaffe K, Zhao W, Zhuang WV, Zmuda JM, Bennett DA, Cummings SR, Deary
502		IJ, Ferrucci L, Harris TB, Kardia SLR, Kocher T, Kritchevsky SB, Psaty BM,
503		Seshadri S, Spector TD, Srikanth VK, Windham BG, Zillikens MC, Newman AB,
504		Walston JD, Kiel DP, Murabito JM. GWAS analysis of handgrip and lower body
505		strength in older adults in the CHARGE consortium. Aging Cell 15: 792-800, 2016.
506	24.	Mckendry J, Breen L, Shad BJ, Greig CA. Muscle morphology and performance in

- 507 master athletes: A systematic review and meta-analyses. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 45: 62–82,
 508 2018.
- 509 25. Medrano JF, Aguilar-Cordova E. Polymerase Chain Reaction Amplification of 510 Bovine β -Lactoglobulin Genomic Sequences and Identification of Genetic Variants by 511 Relp Analysis. Anim Biotechnol 1: 73-77, 1990. 512 26. Medrano RFV, De Oliveira CA. Guidelines for the tetra-primer ARMS-PCR 513 technique development. Mol Biotechnol 56: 599-608, 2014. 514 27. Piasecki J, Ireland A, Piasecki M, Deere K, Hannam K, Tobias J, McPhee JS. 515 Comparison of muscle function, bone mineral density and body composition of early 516 starting and later starting older masters athletes. Front Physiol 10, 2019. 517 28. Piasecki J, McPhee JS, Hannam K, Deere KC, Elhakeem A, Piasecki M, Degens 518 H, Tobias JH, Ireland A. Hip and spine bone mineral density are greater in master 519 sprinters, but not endurance runners compared with non-athletic controls. Arch 520 Osteoporos 13, 2018. 521 29. Piasecki M, Ireland A, Piasecki J, Degens H, Stashuk DW, Swiecicka A, Rutter 522 MK, Jones DA, McPhee JS. Long-term endurance and power training may facilitate 523 motor unit size expansion to compensate for declining motor unit numbers in older 524 age. Front Physiol 10, 2019. 525 30. Roth SM. Genetic aspects of skeletal muscle strength and mass with relevance to 526 sarcopenia. Bonekey Rep 1, 2012. 527 31. Sasaki H, Kasagi F, Yamada M, Fujita S. Grip Strength Predicts Cause-Specific 528 Mortality in Middle-Aged and Elderly Persons. Am. J. Med. (2007). doi: 529 10.1016/j.amjmed.2006.04.018. 530 32. Shen R, Fan JB, Campbell D, Chang W, Chen J, Doucet D, Yeakley J, Bibikova
 - 24

M, Garcia EW, McBride C, Steemers F, Garcia F, Kermani BG, Gunderson K,

532		Oliphant A. High-throughput SNP genotyping on universal bead arrays. Mutat. Res
533		Fundam. Mol. Mech. Mutagen. 573: 70–82, 2005.
534	33.	Singh B, Coffey RJ. From wavy hair to naked proteins: The role of transforming
535		growth factor alpha in health and disease. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 28: 12-21, 2014.
536	34.	Taekema DG, Gussekloo J, Maier AB, Westendorp RGJ, de Craen AJM.
537		Handgrip strength as a predictor of functional, psychological and social health. A
538		prospective population-based study among the oldest old. Age Ageing 39: 331-337,
539		2010.
540	35.	Tiainen K, Sipilä S, Alen M, Heikkinen E, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, Tolvanen A,
541		Pajala S, Rantanen T. Heritability of maximal isometric muscle strength in older
542		female twins. J Appl Physiol 96: 173–180, 2004.
543	36.	Weedon MN, Lango H, Lindgren CM, Wallace C, Evans DM, Mangino M,
544		Freathy RM, Perry JRB, Stevens S, Hall AS, Samani NJ, Shields B, Prokopenko
545		I, Farrall M, Dominiczak A, Johnson T, Bergmann S, Beckmann JS,
546		Vollenweider P, Waterworth DM, Mooser V, Palmer CNA, Morris AD,
547		Ouwehand WH, Zhao JH, Li S, Loos RJF, Barroso I, Deloukas P, Sandhu MS,
548		Wheeler E, Soranzo N, Inouye M, Wareham NJ, Caulfield M, Munroe PB,
549		Hattersley AT, McCarthy MI, Frayling TM. Genome-wide association analysis
550		identifies 20 loci that influence adult height. Nat Genet 40: 575-583, 2008.
551	37.	Wilkinson DJ, Piasecki M, Atherton PJ. The age-related loss of skeletal muscle
552		mass and function: Measurement and physiology of muscle fibre atrophy and muscle
553		fibre loss in humans. Ageing Res Rev 47: 123–132, 2018.
554	38.	Willcox BJ, He Q, Chen R, Yano K, Masaki KH, Grove JS, Donlon TA, Willcox
555		DC, Curb JD. Midlife risk factors and healthy survival in men. J Am Med Assoc 296:
556		2343–2350, 2006.

557	39.	Willems SM, Wright DJ, Day FR, Trajanoska K, Joshi PK, Morris JA, Matteini
558		AM, Garton FC, Grarup N, Oskolkov N, Thalamuthu A, Mangino M, Liu J,
559		Demirkan A, Lek M, Xu L, Wang G, Oldmeadow C, Gaulton KJ, Lotta LA,
560		Miyamoto-Mikami E, Rivas MA, White T, Loh PR, Aadahl M, Amin N, Attia JR,
561		Austin K, Benyamin B, Brage S, Cheng YC, Cięszczyk P, Derave W, Eriksson
562		KF, Eynon N, Linneberg A, Lucia A, Massidda M, Mitchell BD, Miyachi M,
563		Murakami H, Padmanabhan S, Pandey A, Papadimitriou I, Rajpal DK, Sale C,
564		Schnurr TM, Sessa F, Shrine N, Tobin MD, Varley I, Wain L V., Wray NR,
565		Lindgren CM, MacArthur DG, Waterworth DM, McCarthy MI, Pedersen O,
566		Khaw KT, Kiel DP, Pitsiladis Y, Fuku N, Franks PW, North KN, Van Duijn CM,
567		Mather KA, Hansen T, Hansson O, Spector T, Murabito JM, Richards JB,
568		Rivadeneira F, Langenberg C, Perry JRB, Wareham NJ, Scott RA, Oei L, Zheng
569		HF, Forgetta V, Leong A, Ahmad OS, Laurin C, Mokry LE, Ross S, Elks CE,
570		Bowden J, Warrington NM, Murray A, Ruth KS, Tsilidis KK, Medina-Gómez C,
571		Estrada K, Bis JC, Chasman DI, Demissie S, Enneman AW, Hsu YH, Ingvarsson
572		T, Kähönen M, Kammerer C, Lacroix AZ, Li G, Liu CT, Liu Y, Lorentzon M,
573		Mägi R, Mihailov E, Milani L, Moayyeri A, Nielson CM, Sham PC, Siggeirsdotir
574		K, Sigurdsson G, Stefansson K, Trompet S, Thorleifsson G, Vandenput L, Van
575		Der Velde N, Viikari J, Xiao SM, Zhao JH, Evans DS, Cummings SR, Cauley J,
576		Duncan EL, De Groot LCPGM, Esko T, Gudnason V, Harris TB, Jackson RD,
577		Jukema JW, Ikram AMA, Karasik D, Kaptoge S, Kung AWC, Lehtimäki T,
578		Lyytikäinen LP, Lips P, Luben R, Metspalu A, Van Meurs JBJ, Minster RL,
579		Orwoll E, Oei E, Psaty BM, Raitakari OT, Ralston SW, Ridker PM, Robbins JA,
580		Smith A V., Styrkarsdottir U, Tranah GJ, Thorstensdottir U, Uitterlinden AG,
581		Zmuda J, Zillikens MC, Ntzani EE, Evangelou E, Ioannidis JPA, Evans DM,

582		Ohlsson C. Large-scale GWAS identifies multiple loci for hand grip strength
583		providing biological insights into muscular fitness. Nat Commun 8, 2017.
584	40.	Ye S. An efficient procedure for genotyping single nucleotide polymorphisms. Nucleic
585		Acids Res 29: 88e – 88, 2001.
586	41.	Yeung SSY, Reijnierse EM, Trappenburg MC, Hogrel JY, McPhee JS, Piasecki
587		M, Sipila S, Salpakoski A, Butler-Browne G, Pääsuke M, Gapeyeva H, Narici M
588		V., Meskers CGM, Maier AB. Handgrip Strength Cannot Be Assumed a Proxy for
589		Overall Muscle Strength. J Am Med Dir Assoc 19: 703–709, 2018.
590	42.	Zillikens MC, Demissie S, Hsu YH, Yerges-Armstrong LM, Chou WC, Stolk L,
591		Livshits G, Broer L, Johnson T, Koller DL, Kutalik Z, Luan J, Malkin I, Ried JS,
592		Smith A V., Thorleifsson G, Vandenput L, Hua Zhao J, Zhang W, Aghdassi A,
593		Åkesson K, Amin N, Baier LJ, Barroso I, Bennett DA, Bertram L, Biffar R,
594		Bochud M, Boehnke M, Borecki IB, Buchman AS, Byberg L, Campbell H,
595		Campos Obanda N, Cauley JA, Cawthon PM, Cederberg H, Chen Z, Cho NH,
596		Jin Choi H, Claussnitzer M, Collins F, Cummings SR, De Jager PL, Demuth I,
597		Dhonukshe-Rutten RAM, Diatchenko L, Eiriksdottir G, Enneman AW, Erdos M,
598		Eriksson JG, Eriksson J, Estrada K, Evans DS, Feitosa MF, Fu M, Garcia M,
599		Gieger C, Girke T, Glazer NL, Grallert H, Grewal J, Han BG, Hanson RL,
600		Hayward C, Hofman A, Hoffman EP, Homuth G, Hsueh WC, Hubal MJ,
601		Hubbard A, Huffman KM, Husted LB, Illig T, Ingelsson E, Ittermann T, Jansson
602		JO, Jordan JM, Jula A, Karlsson M, Khaw KT, Kilpeläinen TO, Klopp N, Kloth
603		JSL, Koistinen HA, Kraus WE, Kritchevsky S, Kuulasmaa T, Kuusisto J, Laakso
604		M, Lahti J, Lang T, Langdahl BL, Launer LJ, Lee JY, Lerch MM, Lewis JR,
605		Lind L, Lindgren C, Liu Y, Liu T, Liu Y, Ljunggren Ö, Lorentzon M, Luben RN,
606		Maixner W, McGuigan FE, Medina-Gomez C, Meitinger T, Melhus H, Mellström

607	D, Melov S, Michaëlsson K, Mitchell BD, Morris AP, Mosekilde L, Newman A,
608	Nielson CM, O'Connell JR, Oostra BA, Orwoll ES, Palotie A, Parker SCJ,
609	Peacock M, Perola M, Peters A, Polasek O, Prince RL, Räikkönen K, Ralston SH,
610	Ripatti S, Robbins JA, Rotter JI, Rudan I, Salomaa V, Satterfield S, Schadt EE,
611	Schipf S, Scott L, Sehmi J, Shen J, Soo Shin C, Sigurdsson G, Smith S, Soranzo
612	N, Stančáková A, Steinhagen-Thiessen E, Streeten EA, Styrkarsdottir U, Swart
613	KMA, Tan ST, Tarnopolsky MA, Thompson P, Thomson CA, Thorsteinsdottir U,
614	Tikkanen E, Tranah GJ, Tuomilehto J, van Schoor NM, Verma A, Vollenweider
615	P, Völzke H, Wactawski-Wende J, Walker M, Weedon MN, Welch R, Wichmann
616	HE, Widen E, Williams FMK, Wilson JF, Wright NC, Xie W, Yu L, Zhou Y,
617	Chambers JC, Döring A, van Duijn CM, Econs MJ, Gudnason V, Kooner JS,
618	Psaty BM, Spector TD, Stefansson K, Rivadeneira F, Uitterlinden AG, Wareham
619	NJ, Ossowski V, Waterworth D, Loos RJF, Karasik D, Harris TB, Ohlsson C,
620	Kiel DP. Erratum: Large meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies
621	five loci for lean body mass. Nat Commun 8: 1414, 2017.
622	

• - •	
625	Figure 1. Genotype versus Grip Strength for <i>TGFA</i> (rs958685; effect allele = A), <i>GLIS1</i>
626	(rs4926611; effect allele = C) and <i>GBF1</i> (rs2273555; effect allele = A) in a mixed
627	population of older elite athletes (sprint and endurance) and non-athletes. Grip strength
628	according to genotype for (A) TGFA, (B) GLIS1 and (C) GBF1 (irrespective of groupings).
629	*=P<0.05 versus AA (multinomial logistic regression analysis).
630	
631	Figure 2. Genotype versus Total Lean Mass for <i>ADAMTSL3</i> (rs4842924; effect allele =
632	T), <i>IRS1</i> (rs2943656; effect allele = A) and <i>FTO</i> (rs9936385; effect allele = T) in a mixed
633	population of older elite athletes (sprint and endurance) and non-athletes. Total Lean
634	Mass according to genotype for ADAMTSL3 (A), IRS1 (B) and FTO (C; irrespective of
635	groupings).
636	
637	Figure 3. Genotype versus Appendicular Lean Mass for ADAMTSL3 (rs4842924; effect
638	allele = T), <i>IRS1</i> (rs2943656; effect allele = A) and <i>FTO</i> (rs9936385; effect allele = T) in
639	a mixed population of older elite athletes (sprint and endurance) and non-athletes.
640	Appendicular Lean Mass according to genotype for ADAMTSL3 (A), IRS1 (B) and FTO (C;
641	irrespective of groupings).
642	

Figure 4. Genotype versus Percentage Lean Mass for *ADAMTSL3* (rs4842924; effect allele = T), *IRS1* (rs2943656; effect allele = A) and *FTO* (rs9936385; effect allele = T) in a mixed population of older elite athletes (sprint and endurance) and non-athletes. Percentage Lean Mass according to genotype for *ADAMTSL3* (A), *IRS1* (B) and *FTO* (C; irrespective of groupings). **=P<0.01 versus CC (multinomial logistic regression analysis).

649 Figure 5. Phenotype characteristics of older elite athlete (sprint and endurance) and 650 non-athlete (Control) populations. Total lean mass (A), appendicular lean mass (ALM; B), 651 % lean mass (C), % fat mass (D), grip strength (E) and maximum power relative to body 652 weight (F) in master athletes and non-athlete controls. ***=P<0.001 (unpaired t test). 653 654 Figure 6. Genotype distributions of selected single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) 655 previously associated with lean body mass or grip strength in master athlete (MA) and 656 non-athlete (Ctrl) populations. Balloon plot displaying frequencies of genotypes for three 657 lean mass-associated SNPs (IRS-1, FTO and ADAMTSL3) and three grip strength-associated 658 SNPs (TGFA, GLIS1 and GBF1) between elite older athletes and non-athlete controls. 659 *=P<0.05 (Fisher's exact test). 660



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5

Figure 6



SNP	Closest Gene	Allele 1/2	EAF	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Annealing temperature	Ratio of FO:RO:RI:FI
rs2943656	IRS1	A/G	0.38	FO: CTGAGAGCCTGCTCCTTACTCTTGTCTT RO: CGGCATGTTGGAGAGTTACTCTACATGT FI: TTCACCTAAAATTCTCCTCTAAAAACACAG RI: CTCTCTCCATCACCATGGCTTCACCT	62°C	1:1:3:3
rs4842924	ADAMTSL3	T/C	0.52	FO: CAGTTGGAGTACTGAGAATGAGACAGGG RO: AGTCTTAGGACTCAGACTTGCCATCACA FI: GGAAAGGATAAGGATGTTGTGAGCGT RI: GAATAGGCAATAGCTTCCTATGTGAGCG	61°C	2:1:6:2
rs9936385	FTO	T/C	0.61	FO: TGTGTGACCAGCCTCAATAGATTTTATTCA RO: CCATCCTATCAAAAACAGCACTCTCACC FI: TGCATATGAAGAGGGGATTTTTTTGCATC RI: TACTGGGAATATGCAGTGAACCACGA	62°C	1:1:3:3
rs958685	TGFA	A/C	0.52	FO: TCCACCCTTAGGAAAAAATGCTTCCTCT RO: TCACATCTTTGTCATGGGACATAGTCCC FI: TTTTTTCATCGGCAGTTTGCAGATACC RI: AGGAGTATCCTTCTTCCACCCACGCT	62°C	1:2:2:6
rs2273555	GBF1	A/G	0.61	FO: CACAACCACAATGTTCGTAAACAGAATG RO: TCTAAAAACTGGGAAAGGAAGCAATGTG FI: TTTCCTAAGTCCTATTTACTGAAAACCAAG RI: ACACTGAAGCCCCACCTAAGGAACGCT	61°C	1:1:3:3
rs4926611	GLIS1	C/T	0.64	FO: GCAGAGCTGGATTTTCAAGAGTCTACCT RO: TTCATCCCTGCTTACCCACTAGAGGTAA FI: TAGAGACACCTGCAACATCCAGCAAAAT RI: CTGAGATTTGCTTTTTAAATTCAGCAGTG	61°C	1:2:3:6

Table 1: Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and primer information for tetra-primer ARMS PCR.

Table 2. Allele frequencies of selected single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) previously associated with lean body mass or grip strength in individuals grouped according to the highest and lowest quartile for % LBM or HGS.

SNP	Closest	Allele	Lowest Hi Quartile for Qu %LBM %		Highes Quarti %LBM	st ile for	SNP	Closest	Allele	Lowest Quartile for HGS		Highest Quartile for HGS	
	Gene	1/2	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1	Allele 2		Gene	1/2	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1	Allele 2
rs29436	56 IRS1	A/G	28	20	28	20	rs958685	TGFA	A/C	19	29	30	18*
rs48429	24 ADAMTSL3	T/C	15	33	27	21*	rs2273555	GBF1	A/G	22	26	21	27
rs99363	85 <i>FTO</i>	T/C	34	14	29	19	rs4926611	GLIS1	C/T	28	20	34	14

Frequencies of alleles for three lean mass-associated SNPs (IRS-1, FTO and ADAMTSL3) between the highest and lowest quartile for LBM (irrespective of groupings), and three grip strength-associated SNPs (TGFA, GLIS1 and GBF1) between the highest and lowest quartile for HGS (irrespective of groupings). *=P<0.05 vs. Lowest Quartile (Fisher's exact test).

Table 3. Allele frequencies of selected single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) previously associated with lean body mass or grip strength in elite athletes (sprint and endurance) versus non-athlete controls.

SNP	Closest Gene	Allele 1/2	Control (n=48)		Sprint (n=12)		Endurance (n=36)	
			Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1	Allele 2
rs2943656	IRS1	A/G	57 (59%)	39 (41%)	13 (54%)	11 (46%)	42 (58%)	30 (42%)
rs4842924	ADAMTSL3	T/C	38 (40%)	58 (60%)	15 (62%)*	9 (38%)*	42 (58%)*	30 (42%)*
rs9936385	FTO	T/C	60 (62%)	36 (38%)	10 (42%)	14 (58%)	51 (71%)	21 (29%)
rs958685	TGFA	A/C	51 (53%)	45 (47%)	13 (54%)	11 (46%)	39 (54%)	33 (46%)
rs2273555	GBF1	A/G	44 (46%)	52 (54%)	7 (29%)	17 (71%)	31 (43%)	41 (57%)
rs4926611	GLIS1	C/T	68 (71%)	28 (29%)	15 (62%)	9 (38%)	46 (64%)	26 (36%)

Frequencies of alleles for three lean mass-associated SNPs (IRS-1, FTO and ADAMTSL3) and three grip strength-associated SNPs (TGFA, GLIS1 and GBF1) between non-athlete controls and elite athletes (split into sprint and endurance types). *=*P*<0.05 vs. Control (Fisher's exact test).