# brought to you by CORE

1	Sustainable packaged food and beverage consumption transition in Indonesia: persuasive
2	communication to affect consumer behavior
3	
4	Ming-Lang Tseng
5	<ul> <li>Institute of Innovation and Circular Economy, Asia University, Taiwan</li> </ul>
6	• Department of Medical, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University,
7	Taiwan
8	Faculty of Economics and Management, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia
9	E-mail: <u>tsengminglang@asia.edu.tw; tsengminglang@gmail.com</u>
10	E-mail: <u>Tsengminglang@gmail.com</u>
11	
12	Raditia Yudistira Sujanto
13	<ul> <li>Department of Business Administration, Asia University, Taiwan</li> </ul>
14	<ul> <li>Department of Communication, Universitas 'Aisyiyah Yogyakarta, Indonesia</li> </ul>
15	Email: <u>sujanto.raditia@gmail.com</u>
16	
17	Mohammad Iranmanesh
18	• Senior Lecturer, School of Business and Law, Edith Cowan University, 6027, ECU,
19	Joondalup, WA, Australia
20	E-mail: <u>m.iranmanesh@ecu.edu.au</u>
21	
22	Kimhua Tan
23	<ul> <li>School of Business, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom</li> </ul>
24	E-mail: <u>kim.tan@nottingham.ac.uk</u>
25	
26	Anthony SF Chiu
27	Department of Industrial Engineering, De La Salle University, Manila, Philippines
28	E-mail: <u>anthony.chiu@disu.edu.ph</u>

#### 32 Sustainable packaged food and beverage consumption transition in Indonesia: persuasive 33 communication to affect consumer behavior

- 34
- 35
- 36

## 37 Abstract

38 Sustainable consumption transition in relation to consumers' environmental behavior and 39 manufacturers' governance of sustainability and persuasive communication has not been 40 adequately addressed by prior studies. This study presents theory on ecological 41 modernization, transition management and persuasive communication to address 42 sustainable consumption transition. This study proposes a valid set of four aspects and 43 fourteen criteria using the Delphi method. The valid attributes are analyzed using fuzzy set 44 theory and decision-making trial and evaluation together to handle the qualitative 45 information and interrelationships among the attributes. This procedure converts qualitative 46 information into numerical data to create a diagram showing the interrelationships among 47 the attributes. This study found that persuasive communication is the most effective factor in 48 convincing consumers to transition to sustainable consumption. Other key factors for this 49 transition include educating consumers, augmenting their knowledge and altering their 50 attitudes toward sustainable consumption. Being environmentally friendly, product labeling, 51 offering an authenticity argument, and reusing and recycling products are the solutions found 52 in this study. 53

54 Keywords: sustainable consumption transition; ecological modernization theory; transition 55 management theory; persuasive communication; decision-making trial and evaluation 56 laboratory

#### 58 Sustainable packaged food and beverage consumption transition in Indonesia: persuasive 59 communication to affect consumer behavior

60

## 61 **1.** Introduction

62 Sustainable consumption transition (SCT) is a process of transitioning from being 63 unsustainable to being sustainable that involves a change in views, positions and tactics by 64 consumers and regulatory authorities while simultaneously focusing on quality of life 65 (Spaargaren et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2019; Tseng et al., 2020a;b). SCT is complex and remains a 66 problem in the consumption process due to the nature of consumer behavior, which would 67 ideally be environmentally friendly (Dong et al., 2020). Nevertheless, SCT is difficult to achieve: 68 the need for a transition by reducing the negative impacts on the environment from the 69 postconsumption waste of products has been highlighted. For instance, Notarnicola (2017) 70 noted that consumption is showing an ongoing trend toward unsustainability due to a loss of 71 focus on attention to consumer behavior. Prior studies have indicated that changing 72 consumers' behaviors is key to fostering transitions toward sustainable consumption 73 practices (Crivits and Paredis, 2013; O'Rourke and Lollo, 2015). However, changing 74 consumers' behavior is not an easy task. For instance, Vega-Zamora et al. (2019) found that 75 consumers have a lack of trust in and knowledge about sustainable products. To address this 76 issue, some manufacturers have developed sustainable products and packaging, and the 77 government has implemented environmental policies and regulations. Whether sustainable 78 products and packaging reach consumers depends considerably on the process from buying 79 the right products to governing their environmental consciousness. Hence, this study 80 proposes a set of valid attributes to justify SCT.

81 This study focuses on attributes such as consumer behavior, environmental 82 governance, and the role of persuasive communication (Wu et al., 2016; Janßen and Langen, 2017). For instance, Vittersø and Tangeland (2015) found that the main success attributes 83 84 with the transition are related to consumers' perception about the sustainable benefits of 85 their behavior, manufacturers' actions and the government's policy toward SCT. Ely et al. 86 (2016) presented SCT as being related to societal activities through behavior, to 87 manufacturers through their actions and to the government as regulators, where each 88 stakeholder interacts with one another through the media and social networks. Interaction 89 with respect to sustainable information among the involved stakeholders is significant for SCT 90 improvement (Magnier and Schoormans, 2015; Lombardi et al., 2017). Vega-Zamora et al. 91 (2019) stressed that the failure to deliver sustainable information has a negative impact on 92 successful transitions. The transition process faces a barrier of the ineffective spread of 93 information regarding the potential benefits, including financial benefits, from policy makers 94 to consumers, which affects the confidence level consumers have in these products (Nikolaou 95 and Kazantzidis, 2016; Mulcahy et al., 2020). Sustainable information and communication 96 among stakeholders are important components of SCT failure or success. 97 Moreover, SCT attributes, such as firm operations, policy makers and consumers, could be

the main solution to achieve SCT. SCT is an upstream and downstream process in terms of environmental governance in ecological modernization theory. Manufacturers are responsible for the upstream process, whereas consumers are involved in the downstream process (Spaargaren and van Koppen, 2009; Tseng et al., 2020a). In terms of perspectives, the niche level is for firm activities, the regime level is for government governance, and the landscape level for society is used to address the dynamics of the transition (Grin, 2012). Nevertheless, a key issue is located on the niche level. There are interrelationships among the 105 firm, industry and government activities. Environmental dynamics are difficult to solve by 106 focusing on only current policies but must be addressed by restructuring the societal system, 107 as explained by transition management theory (Vittersø and Tangeland, 2015). Indeed, the 108 landscape level is related to consumers' information and confidence, and many people have 109 never purchased sustainable food due to a lack of knowledge about its benefits. This study 110 proposes persuasive communication from ecological modernization and transition 111 management theories to address the barriers to achieving successful SCT at the landscape 112 level.

113 In addition, Vittersø and Tangeland (2015) identified a need for consumer information and 114 confidence in persuasive communication and suggested a reconstruction of the societal 115 system to achieve SCT. Moreover, Vega-Zamora et al. (2019) noted that persuasive 116 communication in SCT impacts consumers' level of knowledge about, confidence in, and trust 117 in sustainable products. The considered attributes are taken from transition management 118 theory and ecological modernization theory and include consumer behavior and upstream 119 and downstream processes of environmental governance. Consumer behavior includes 120 sustainable knowledge, confidence and attitude, and the downstream processes of 121 environmental governance focus on consumers' decisions to buy, recycle and reuse products. 122 In addition, information from external stakeholders affects consumer knowledge, confidence, 123 attitudes and decisions to act.

124 The SCT attributes are judged in terms of consumer preferences, and the preferences 125 provide a measure of qualitative information. Hence, this study proposes applying the fuzzy 126 Delphi method (FDM) to obtain a set of valid attributes. Consumer preferences must be 127 transformed into crisp values for comparison, and fuzzy set theory is proposed to transform 128 linguistic preferences into crisp values. Moreover, complicated interrelationships exist among 129 manufacturers, industries and government; hence, this study uses the decision-making trial 130 and evaluation laboratory (DEMATEL) method to handle qualitative attributes and 131 interrelationships and to investigate complex and intertwined groups (Fontela and Gabus, 132 1976; Tseng et al., 2017; Yeh et al., 2020). The DEMATEL method translates the causal 133 interrelationships among the attributes into a visual interrelationship map; in addition, the 134 improvement criteria are justified in practice. Hence, the objectives of this study to assess 135 attributes are as follows:

- To develop a set of valid SCT attributes in terms of qualitative information.
- To identify the causal interrelationships among the attributes with linguistic preferences.
- 139 To justify the practical improvement criteria under uncertainty.

This study contributes to both the theory and the industry of SCT. The contributions include (1) providing a set of valid SCT attributes, (2) addressing the causal interrelationships among the attributes, and (3) providing practical means of improvement for the packaged food and beverage industry in Indonesia. This study enables stakeholders to identify the causes of issues in the transition process and to eliminate problems to improve SCT.

This study is organized as follows. Section 1 discusses the gaps and study objectives. Section 2 reviews the literature on SCT, including theories and attributes. Section 3 explains the method and data analysis. Section 4 discusses the results and presents figures for the analyzed attributes. Section 5 presents the contributions of the study for both theory and practice. Finally, Section 6 presents a conclusion and the study's limitations.

151 **2.** Literature Review

136

137

138

150

152 This section reviews the theoretical perspectives on SCT, including the proposed 153 method and measures.

154

## 155 2.1 Theoretical framework

Ecological modernization theory (EMT) offers governing processes for the 156 157 environment, including upstream processes and downstream processes (Spaargaren and van 158 Koppen, 2009). The upstream processes include the practices of manufacturers such as 159 processing, storing, transporting, and distributing. Meanwhile, downstream processes 160 include the activities performed by consumers, such as buying, reusing, and recycling. EMT is 161 based on the assumption that the government, through its policies, provides solutions for 162 problems in the environment, economy and society at large (Spaargaren et al., 2012; Lin et 163 al., 2019). The problem with such an assumption is that the policies are not the best 164 representation of reality in terms of the effectiveness of communicating these policies to the 165 involved stakeholders and the understanding of consumer behavior. Moreover, Vittersø and 166 Tangeland (2015) argued that there is a lack of direct correlation between the policies 167 implemented by the manufacturers and consumers' motivation to consume products. 168 Consumers need more motivation or encouragement than just policies in order to decide to 169 act toward SCT.

170 Transition management theory (TMT) provides an explanation for the evolution of the 171 economy, culture, technology, environment and institutions taking place at different levels 172 (Rotmans and Loorbach, 2009). Grin (2012) categorizes the different levels as the niche level, 173 regime level, and landscape level, which correspond to innovative practices by the firm or 174 industry, structural changes by the regulatory authorities, and long-term consumer trends, 175 respectively. Overall, the problem in SCT is often at the landscape level, where there is a 176 failure of the societal system that cannot be solved simply by the reinforcement of policies 177 (Vittersø and Tangeland, 2015; Yeh et al., 2020). Specifically, the problem at the landscape 178 level is with consumer behavior, including using products in an environmentally friendly way 179 (Dong et al., 2020). The role of the consumers in the transition process is ineffective and 180 unsuccessfully implemented at the landscape level due to the manufacturers' policies and the 181 government. To fill these gaps, this study integrates these theories into SCT. This study 182 assesses the transitional gap, which is the imbalance between what manufacturers want and 183 how consumers act, which depends on the policies and activities of manufacturers to provide 184 and facilitate sustainable products for consumers.

185

# 186 2.2 Sustainable consumption transition

187 SCT is a complex process of transitioning from unsustainable consumption to 188 sustainable consumption to ensure environmental friendliness while maintaining and 189 enhancing the quality of life for future generations (Ahamad and Ariffin, 2018; Tseng et al., 190 2020b). Traditionally, sustainable consumption is the fulfillment of basic human needs 191 through the use of goods and services without harming the environment with waste and 192 pollution (Oslo Symposium, 1994). Spaargaren et al. (2012) described SCT as a process of 193 medium- to long-term change that has effects on the rules of consumption and production. 194 SCT is a time-consuming process of improving sustainable consumption and production that 195 involves different groups of stakeholders. Grabs et al. (2016) noted that the stakeholders 196 involved in the processes range from manufacturers and providers to consumers, with the 197 government providing infrastructure. Prior studies found a growing role of policies that are 198 consumer-oriented and a role of consumers themselves in the success of SCT, despite the

complexities related to implementing these policies (de Koning et al., 2016; Echegaray, 2016;Wu et al., 2016).

201 Watkins et al. (2016) linked SCT to the importance of emphasizing the building of a 202 moral foundation among consumers because it is proven to affect their orientation and desire 203 for change, especially in regard to sustainable consumption. Li et al. (2016) suggested that 204 the instruments of transition include the policies, governance, infrastructure, and business 205 models of manufacturers and consumer motivation. However, policies alone are not the key 206 to achieving SCT (Vittersø and Tangeland, 2015; Tseng et al., 2020b). The role of consumers 207 is significant, especially in the process of making consumption decisions. Joerß et al. (2017) 208 defined sustainably consuming consumers as those who make consumption and purchase 209 decisions based on these decisions' benefits for and harm to the environment. Additionally, 210 Quoquab and Mohammad (2017) noted that sustainable consumers take short-term and 211 long-term consequences into consideration when deciding what to consume or what to 212 purchase. SCT involves not a single stakeholder but a collaboration among many stakeholders, 213 including the government through relevant programs, manufacturers through their sense of 214 responsibility from their activities, and consumers (Ely et al., 2016; Tseng et al., 2020a).

215 Although SCT is complex, the main stakeholders are consumers, manufacturers, and 216 the government. Vainio et al. (2020) noted that consumers are the key to the success of SCT. 217 Moreover, the future considerations of each consumer affect the transition. The role of 218 consumers in the transition is through their sustainable awareness and behavior in 219 consumption (Echegaray, 2016; Li et al., 2016; Tseng et al., 2019). Spaargaren and van Koppen 220 (2009) found that the environmental governance by manufacturers in attempting to change 221 practices toward SCT includes storage, transportation, and distribution activities. Vittersø and 222 Tangeland (2015) emphasized that the role of the government is significant in terms of 223 providing policies or regulations related to SCT, although policies or regulations alone are not 224 sufficient. Nikolaou and Kazantzidis (2016) found that insufficient communication about 225 sustainable information causes the failure of SCT due to insufficient knowledge. Annunziata 226 et al. (2019) noted that the communication of sustainable information by manufacturers has 227 a positive impact on SCT because it augments consumers' knowledge. Moreover, low 228 confidence and trust are caused by insufficient knowledge due to ineffective communication 229 or inadequate interaction between manufacturers and the government (Gadema and 230 Oglethorpe, 2011; Hartikainen et al., 2014; Vega-Zamora et al., 2019).

In sum, SCT has problems with respect to consumer communication. Inadequately
 communicated information causes the transition to be unsuccessful due to consumers'
 misunderstanding of their potential role in sustainable consumption. This study enriches the
 literature on SCT by exploring EMT and TMT and persuasive communication.

235

# 236 2.3 Proposed measures

This study considers TMT and EMT. Despite the adequacy of these two theories to cover the discussion of SCT, there is room for enhancement by adding additional attributes from other theories. This study emphasizes attributes that include 4 aspects and 14 criteria. The aspects consist of consumer behavior (A1), upstream processes of environmental governance (A2), downstream processes of environmental governance (A3), and persuasive communication (A4), as shown in Table 1.

243 Consumer confidence (C1) in the claims about a product shows differences with 244 respect to determination. Consumers with a lack of confidence have different consumption 245 patterns than those with a high level of confidence in a sustainable product (Vermeir and Verbeke, 2008). Consumer behavior toward SCT includes being efficient in the use of resources (C2) and having the attitude that being environmentally friendly (C3) helps to achieve SCT (Wu et al., 2016). Finally, consumer behavior involves consumers' dependence on the traditional market (C4) with reduced packaging use, which leads to a reduction in packaging waste (Zhang et al., 2016). Products in traditional markets are sold without manufactured packaging.

252 Upstream processes in environmental governance consist of manufacturers' activities. 253 Manufacturers create a product with a certain design (C5) that sends a sustainable message 254 to consumers. Contextually, the design includes the use of leftover material from production 255 instead of postconsumer waste (Ordonez and Rahe, 2013; Singh and Ordonez, 2016). 256 Moreover, Tseng (2017) suggested that the sustainable design of a product potentially 257 reduces its negative impacts on the environment. Another attribute is the material of the 258 product packaging (C6), which focuses on the packaging and is not necessarily based on 259 leftover material but can be any sustainable material that affects the perception of the SCT 260 (Boesen et al., 2019; Steenis et al., 2019). For instance, product labeling (C7) is a major tool 261 for communicating the performance of products (Janßen and Langen, 2017; Zhao et al., 2018). 262 Pancer et al. (2015) found that product labels including verbal and nonverbal features are 263 effective communication tools.

264 In contrast, downstream processes are activities performed by consumers in terms of 265 environmental governance. Product buying (C8) is influenced by the consumer's level of 266 information about the product and trust in the product (de Koning et al., 2016). In general, a 267 consumer's product purchase decision is personal and related to the consumer's level of 268 knowledge about SCT. After making a purchase, the product is reused or recycled. Product 269 reuse (C9) and product recycling (C10) are activities related to the governance of the 270 environment from the individual perspective of each consumer, who must be educated about 271 how to reuse and recycle used packaging or leftover products (Spaargaren and van Koppen, 272 2009; Spaargaren, 2016).

273 Sustainability information must be communicated among stakeholders and is vital to 274 achieving SCT because it affects consumers' confidence and trust in the product or firm 275 (Magnier and Schoormans, 2015; Lombardi et al., 2017; Tseng et al., 2019). A health argument 276 (C11) is a statement or information from health experts about the health benefits of a product 277 (Lopez-Azpiazu et al., 2001; Vega-Zamora, 2019). Additionally, authenticity arguments (C12) 278 are transmitted by a union or association of manufacturers or the industry (Vega-Zamora, 279 2019). Janssen and Hamm (2012) explained that when manufacturers provide clear 280 information about their product through a union or association, more trust is generated 281 among consumers. Another source of communication is elites (C13). An elitist argument is a 282 statement by or information from a well-trusted practitioner or expert in the industry, for 283 example, a famous chef (Vega-Zamora, 2019). Finally, social arguments (C14) are transmitted 284 by a public authority (Vega-Zamora, 2019; Tseng et al., 2020b), such as a government agency 285 that has the authority to issue regulations.

In conclusion, SCT is explained by several attributes, and prior studies have used
 different sets of attributes to explain SCT. To achieve the objectives of this study, a new set
 of attributes is proposed by combining EMT and TMT and including additional attributes.

289

290 (INSERT TABLE 1 here)

291

**3. Method** 

#### 293 3.1 Industrial background

294 In general, one of the direct effects of unsustainable consumption is the production of 295 waste. In Indonesia, 64 million tons of waste are produced annually; 60% is biodegradable 296 organic waste, 14% are plastics, and 9% is paper (Jain, 2017). Purwaningrum (2016) found 297 that food and beverage packaging contributes to 30.19% of nonbiodegradable waste in 298 Indonesia. Packaging consumption has outnumbered other types of consumption, including 299 electronics, automotive and building (Hidayat et al., 2019). More specifically, packaged food 300 and beverages in Indonesia have contributed substantial amounts of waste to landfills in the 301 past three years. During the 2016-2019 period, fast-moving consumer goods manufacturers 302 in the food and beverage industry contributed 75% of all waste to landfills, becoming the 303 main contributor (Greenpeace Indonesia, 2019). This indicates a failure of SCT because the 304 volume of waste did not decrease. The problem with the transition is related to 305 manufacturers and consumers. Despite the communication efforts by manufacturers via 306 sustainability labeling, consumers are still not well informed about what to do with waste. 307 The SCT indicates a problem in the disconnectedness between the sustainable messages that 308 the manufacturers want to deliver and the information consumers receive, perceive and react 309 to. Manufacturers fail to create accurate perceptions among consumers during the transition 310 process. Consequently, consumers do not have the knowledge, attitude and behavior that 311 the manufacturers may expect based on their communication through labeling.

312 Stakeholders at different levels, including the niche and regime levels, have developed 313 sustainable policies and infrastructure but often fail to communicate information to 314 consumers. This transitional gap, where consumers respond to sustainable products by 315 behaving unsustainably, is located at the landscape level. For instance, consumers are not 316 well informed about and skilled in determining which products are sustainable to purchase 317 and what is done to give waste another life through reuse and recycling. Achieving SCT affirms 318 the stakeholders' roles. However, this study highlights the importance of effectively 319 communicating information about SCT to consumers. Persuasive communication from 320 industry experts, including health, authenticity, elitist and social perspectives, is considered 321 to be an attribute. This style of communication is unbiased toward a specific firm or brand; 322 therefore, it is believed to be more persuasive. This attribute is considered as a 323 communication strategy by the different levels of stakeholders involved in the transition 324 process.

- 325
- 326 3.2 Analytical method
- 327

## 328 1. Delphi method

329 The Delphi method was applied to validate the SCT attributes. This study involved 13 330 experts with profiles ranging from CEOs of food manufacturers to managers in the retail 331 industry. The experts evaluated the attributes' importance levels using a five-point Likert 332 scale. The Delphi procedure involved the following steps: (1) gathering experts' evaluation 333 scores for the level of importance of each criterion using a Likert scale and calculating the 334 central tendency and standard deviation of the responses for each criterion; (2) checking if 335 expert consensus is reached for each criterion by fulfilling the consensus threshold given in 336 Table 2; and (3) revising the attributes according to the consensus level, considering the 337 experts' comments, and deleting unaccepted attributes (Tseng et al., 2019).

- 338
- 339 2. Fuzzy DEMATEL

This study applied fuzzy set theory to collect linguistic preferences and transform them into triangular fuzzy numbers (TFNs), as shown in Table 2. The normalization, aggregation, and defuzzification were conducted by means of the following equations.

## 343 (INSERT TABLE 2 here)

344

The decision matrix assumes that there are *x* attributes to be assessed against y attributes. n is the number of decision makers; therefore, the decision-maker vector is denoted by  $\tilde{D}_n$ using linguistic preferences represented as  $(g\tilde{d}_L^n, g\tilde{d}_M^n, g\tilde{d}_U^n)$  (Lan et al., 2019; Tseng et al., 2019; Tseng et al., 2020a)

349

350

$$\widetilde{D}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{d}_{L1j}^{1y}, \widetilde{d}_{M1j}^{1y}, \widetilde{d}_{L1j}^{1y} & \cdots & \widetilde{d}_{Li1}^{1y}, \widetilde{d}_{Mij}^{1y}, \widetilde{d}_{Lij}^{1y} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{d}_{L1j}^{x1}, \widetilde{d}_{M1j}^{x1}, \widetilde{d}_{L1j}^{x1} & \cdots & \widetilde{d}_{Lij}^{xy}, \widetilde{d}_{Mij}^{xy}, \widetilde{d}_{Lij}^{xy} \end{bmatrix}_{xy}, n=1,2,...,n$$
(1)

The fuzzy numbers are then normalized. If a decision group comprises n members, let  $\tilde{d}_{ij}^n$ represent the fuzzy weight of the effects of the *i*<sup>th</sup> attribute on the *j*<sup>th</sup> attribute as assessed by n decision makers.

- 354
- $355 \qquad \mathsf{D} = \left(g\tilde{d}_{\mathit{Lij}}^{\mathit{n}}, g\tilde{d}_{\mathit{Mij}}^{\mathit{n}}, g\tilde{d}_{\mathit{Lij}}^{\mathit{n}}\right) =$

356  $[(\tilde{d}_{Lij}^{n} - min\tilde{d}_{Lij}^{n})/(max\tilde{d}_{Lij}^{n} - min\tilde{d}_{Lij}^{n}), (\tilde{d}_{Mij}^{n} - min\tilde{d}_{Mij}^{n})/(max\tilde{d}_{Mij}^{n} - min\tilde{d}_{Mij}^{n}), (\tilde{d}_{Uij}^{n} - min\tilde{d}_{Uij}^{n})/(max\tilde{d}_{Uij}^{n} - min\tilde{d}_{Uij}^{n})]$ (2) 357 where  $(g\tilde{d}_{Lij}^{n}, g\tilde{d}_{Mij}^{n}, g\tilde{d}_{Uij}^{n})$  is represented as a triangular fuzzy number with normalized 358 values.

359

The left and right normalized values acquired by Equation (2), the total normalized crisp values using Equation (3), and crisp values applied Equation (4) are then computed.

$$362 \qquad (D\tilde{d}_{LTij}^{n}, D\tilde{d}_{RTij}^{n}) = \left[g\tilde{d}_{Mij}^{n} / (1 + g\tilde{d}_{Mij}^{n} - g\tilde{d}_{Lij}^{n}), g\tilde{d}_{Uij}^{n} / (1 + g\tilde{d}_{Uij}^{n} - g\tilde{d}_{Mij}^{n}) \right]$$

$$363 \qquad D\tilde{d}_{ij}^{n} = \left[\frac{\left(D\tilde{d}_{LTij}^{n} (1 - /D\tilde{d}_{LTij}^{n}) + \left(D\tilde{d}_{RTij}^{n}\right)^{2}\right)}{(1 - D\tilde{d}_{I}^{n} + D\tilde{d}_{I}^{n} + 1)}\right] \qquad (4)$$

$$364 \qquad d\widetilde{w}_{ij}^n = \min g\widetilde{d}_{Lij}^n + D\widetilde{d}_{ij}^n \left(\max g\widetilde{d}_{Uij}^n - \min g\widetilde{d}_{Lij}^n\right)$$
$$365 \qquad (5)$$

366

An initial direct relation matrix (IDRM) is defined to aggregate the subjective judgments of n evaluators; the synthetic value is obtained using Equation (5). In IDRM,  $w_{ij}$  denotes the degree to which criterion i affects criterion j.

370 
$$w_{ij}^n = (\widetilde{w}_{ij}^1 + \widetilde{w}_{ij}^2 + \widetilde{w}_{ij}^3 \dots + \widetilde{w}_{ij}^n)/n$$
(6)
371

The IDRM is standardized to generate the normalized direct relationship matrix (NDM). NDM = s \* IDRM (7) where  $s = max(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{ii}^{n}) f$  or all i from 1 to n.

After obtaining the total relation matrix, NDM is used to calculate the total interrelationshipmatrix Y.

 $378 \quad TM = NDM(I - NDM)^{-1}$ 

379 (8)

380 where I is an identity matrix.

382 383	A causal diagram is then drawn: the sum of rows is denoted by vector $\alpha$ , and vector $\beta$ represents the sum of columns. The horizontal axis ( $\alpha$ + $\beta$ ) is "prominence" and represents the
384	importance. The vertical axis ( $\alpha$ - $\beta$ ) is "relation" and denotes the causal attributes. When the
385	value of $(\alpha - \beta)$ is negative, the aspect or criterion is in the effect group, and when the sum of
386	$(\alpha - \beta)$ is positive, it falls into the cause group.
387	$\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{n} NDM_{ij}$ , for all j from 1 to n
388	(9)
389	$eta = \sum_{j=1}^n NDM_{ij}$ , for all i from 1 to n
390	(10)
391	
392	3.3 Analytical steps
393	This study implements five analytical steps.
394	1. Applying the Delphi method to remove the less important SCT attributes.
395	2. The Fuzzy DEMATEL survey instrument was used to collect the experts' linguistic
396	preferences with qualitative information using Equation (1).
397	3. Converting linguistic preferences into TFNs according to Equation (2) and transforming
398	the TFNs into crisp values via Equations (3)-(5).
399	4. The crisp values are integrated into a relationship matrix using Equation (6).
400	5. Mapping the cause-effect relationship diagram via Equations (7)-(10).
401	
402	4. Results
403	1. The Delphi method is used to remove the less important attributes. The valid attributes
404	are presented in Table 1.
405	
406	2. The respondents follow $\widetilde{D}_n$ to compose the matrix for the linguistic preferences of each
407	respondent. The linguistic preference is taken from Table 3 with the scale ranging from
408	VLI for very low influence to VHI for very high influence.
409	(INSERT TABLE 3 here)
410	
411	3. Converting linguistic preferences into TFNs
412	The linguistic preference is referred from Table 2 using TFNs $(g \tilde{d}_L^n, g \tilde{d}_M^n, g \tilde{d}_U^n)$ to
413	transform the results in matrix D. The TFNs are converted into crisp values $(w_{ij}^n)$ using
414	Equations (2)-(5). Table 4 presents the computational process.
415	(INSERT TABLE 4 here)
416	
417	4. The n respondents are integrated via weights into the IDRM using Equation (6).
418	Table 5 presents the TFNs transformed into crisp values. All the $d\widetilde{w}_{ii}^n$ crisp values from the
419	respondents are integrated and averaged into the IDRM.
420	(INSERT TABLE 5 here)
421	
422	5. The IDRM is standardized to the NDM using Equation (7), and the NDM is used to obtain
423	the TM via Equation (8).
424	(INSERT TABLE 6 here)
425	
426	Table 6 presents the TM. The horizontal axis ( $\alpha$ + $\beta$ ) is "prominence", and the vertical axis
427	$(\alpha$ - $\beta)$ is "relation". The cause-effect diagram of the aspects is drawn based on $(\alpha$ + $\beta)$ and $(\alpha$ -
428	β) using Equations (9) and (10).

- 429 This analytical step is repeated. Table 7 presents the IDRM obtained from integrating the 430 crisp values.
- 431 (INSERT TABLE 7 here)
- 432

432 Table 8 is the TM used to add the raw values into  $\alpha$  and sum the column values into  $\beta$ .

- 434 (INSERT TABLE 8 here)
- 435

Figure 1 shows that the aspects of upstream environmental processes (A2) and persuasive communication (A4) belong to the cause group, whereas consumer behavior (A1) and environmental processes (A3) fall into the effect group. The relationships among the aspects are shown in Figure 1. A2 has a medium effect on A1 and A3. Meanwhile, A4 has strong effects on A1 and A3, indicating that A4 is an important aspect to focus on. The results show that A1 and A3 do not affect A2 and A4. However, A1 shows a strong effect toward A3, whereas A3 to A1 does not have a strong effect.

- 443 444
- Figure 2 shows that the main criteria for providing SCT solutions lie within the cause group, including health arguments (C11), authenticity arguments (C12), elitist arguments (C13), product recycling (C10), product reuse (C9), product labeling (C7), and environmentally friendly attitudes (C3). These criteria are important for the industry in terms of solutions for sustainable food consumption transition.
- 450 (INSERT Figure 2 here)

(INSERT Figure 1 here)

451

# 452 **5.** Implications

# 453 5.1 Theoretical implications

454 The cause aspects are persuasive communication (A4) and upstream processes of 455 environmental governance (A2). SCT has problems communicating what the manufacturers 456 want to achieve with their sustainable products with how consumers react to them. This 457 disconnect causes an unsuccessful transition due to nonenvironmentally friendly behavior by 458 consumers. Communication is vital and must be done by the appropriate group of 459 stakeholders. In addition to communication, the behavior of the manufacturers in governing 460 the environment also plays a role in achieving SCT. Providing sustainable products and 461 information are the basic responsibilities to sustain the transition process.

462 Prior studies highlighted the importance of communication, where the role of persuasive 463 communication involves building awareness and increasing knowledge by stressing who 464 delivers the message (Annunziata et al., 2019; Vega-Zamora et al., 2019). Unsuccessful 465 communication results in insufficient information or knowledge about SCT received by 466 consumers, which affects behavior. Consumer behavior is the main indicator of the 467 effectiveness of communication. Well-communicated information enhances SCT knowledge 468 (Nikolaou and Kazantzidis, 2016). Persuasive communication has a strong effect on how 469 consumers govern their environment and behave sustainably. Persuasiveness is achieved 470 through the right choice of communicator, that is, who delivers the information. In regard to 471 choosing the communicator, it is not about sending the person who has the main position in 472 the firm or institution to the front but selecting the best person to deliver the information. 473 The level of awareness and knowledge is effectively increased through the right choice of 474 communicator. Increased knowledge correlates with sustainable consumer behavior.

475 Upstream processes of environmental governance affect the downstream processes 476 and sustainable behavior of consumers. Contextually, the upstream processes include 477 activities by the firm, such as designing products, using sustainable material for packaging, 478 and labeling, in an attempt to reduce the negative environmental impact (Spaargaren and van 479 Koppen, 2009). Manufacturers have the responsibility to ensure that products are sustainably 480 processed in terms of production and marketing in order to convince consumers. The 481 sustainable activities by the manufacturers, especially in the production and marketing 482 processes of products, affect how consumers govern their environment and behave 483 sustainably, which begins from the purchasing decision and ends at reusing or recycling waste 484 in the postconsumption stage. High awareness among consumers is key to the success of SCT 485 (Echegaray, 2016; Li et al., 2016; Dong et al., 2020). For instance, the effects of higher 486 awareness and better knowledge on consumer attitudes and behavior improve SCT by 487 reducing waste production and making littered waste safer for the environment.

488

#### 489 5.2 Industrial implications

490 This study provides practical solutions for the food and beverage industry in Indonesia to 491 improve the process of achieving SCT. Environmentally friendly attitude (C3) plays a role in 492 achieving SCT in terms of how consumers in general act sustainably in all their activities, not 493 only when consuming products but also managing waste in the postconsumption stage and 494 taking care of the environment around them. Manufacturers should focus their sustainability 495 programs on how to change consumers' attitudes because sustainable policies and products 496 alone are not sufficient to achieve SCT if consumers do not maintain an environmentally 497 friendly attitude. For instance, social marketing programs should be effective because they 498 involve direct and physical participation by consumers, even though such programs may take 499 a long time and involve considerable effort. The effect of such an approach is persistent in 500 the memory of the participants because they have been personally in touch with the 501 activities. By means of a combination of physical activities with the consumers or community 502 and advertising campaigns, manufacturers should eventually be able to change consumer 503 attitudes toward being more environmentally friendly.

504 Product labeling (C7) is a proactive action by manufacturers to improve SCT by 505 informing consumers about the products that they choose to consume. Consumers' 506 understanding of what they consume plays a role in the transition process. For instance, a 507 product that does not come with sustainability labeling may cause consumers to act 508 unsustainably due to a lack of information about the product. The information on the 509 packaging helps consumers to know what they are about to buy or use and whether their 510 decision to consume the product has a positive or negative impact on the environment. 511 Moreover, a niche community of consumers chooses to buy and use only the products that 512 are the least harmful to the environment. This group represents an opportunity for 513 manufacturers to take action to educate consumers while simultaneously appearing to be 514 responsible for the environment. Sustainability labeling is presented in the form of either 515 verbal or nonverbal information. The former includes a textual description, while the latter 516 includes logos, images, and use of color associated with sustainability. Such labeling must be 517 easy to read and to understand.

518 *Authenticity arguments* (C12) increase consumers' trust in sustainable products. An 519 authenticity argument is a statement from an association or union representing the industry. 520 This type of argument is used as part of a communication strategy to convince consumers 521 about SCT. The choice of communicator should be based on the expected effect of the 522 communication process. An association or union of an industry or manufacturers is an 523 effective means of persuading consumers because it is not biased toward a specific firm, 524 brand or product but rather focuses on the whole industry. In other words, the argument is 525 not attached to promoting a specific firm but to responding to an issue affecting the entire 526 industry. Such an unbiased argument is persuasive because it is free from commercial 527 purposes or causes. If a firm publicly communicates about its sustainable products, it will be 528 considered an advertisement or biased promotion, which is unlikely to occur when an 529 association or union that represents the industry does the communication.

530 Product reuse (C9) is a downstream process of governing the environment by 531 consumers. Reusing a product after consumption means not having to perform any kind of 532 treatment to transform the product, in contrast to product recycling. Thus, consumers' 533 product reuse appears to be more sustainable than recycling because it indicates that 534 consumers understand the effect of not producing waste. Less waste goes unused; thus, less 535 harm is done to the environment. The problem in industry is that considerable waste has 536 been produced and left unused. Stakeholders, including manufacturers, government and 537 communities concerned about social and environmental issues, must take actions to 538 encourage consumers who do not yet know how to properly handle their waste. Product 539 reuse can also be encouraged through participatory programs initiated by manufacturers. For 540 instance, a product that has been used by a consumer is returned to the firm via a special box 541 placed in a public space or returned directly to the store, and in exchange, a reward is given. 542 SCT is more effective because the used product does not go to waste but is reused for either 543 refilling or other purposes.

544 Product recycling (C10) is initiated by consumers. Recycling a product is relatively less 545 sustainable than reusing one since it requires more energy and resources to transform the 546 product into a raw material for future use. One indicator of success is low waste production. 547 By means of product recycling, waste is reduced, and the use of first-use raw material is 548 suppressed. However, consumers' acquisition of the knowledge and skills required to 549 participate in recycling may represent their own problem, although product recycling 550 contributes to SCT. Relevant stakeholders should play active roles. For instance, social 551 marketing programs should be initiated by manufacturers in collaboration with the 552 government and environment-focused community. A series of workshops could be 553 implemented to change how consumers interact with waste in their daily lives. Some 554 communities in Indonesia have set a working example by collectively recycling plastic 555 packaging into useful and commercial items, such as shopping bags and purses. This phase of 556 transition works even better when the recycled products are valuable and thus benefit the 557 community through sales profits.

558 Five solutions are presented to solve the problems often found in SCT. Manufacturers 559 in the packaged food and beverage industry should consider these solutions as part of their 560 long-term sustainability strategy. Achieving a successful SCT is not the responsibility of a 561 single stakeholder but of all stakeholders. Consumers play a role in the transition process 562 because there is a continuation of sustainable vision delivery from upstream to downstream. 563 The successful implementation of this set of solutions should eliminate the problems related 564 to SCT, especially in the packaged food and beverage industry in Indonesia.

#### 566 **6.** Conclusions

565

567 The transition from unsustainable consumption to sustainable consumption is the focal 568 point of SCT. Problems in achieving a successful transition are found not only at the niche and 569 regime levels but also often at the landscape level. To explore the transition process and find 570 solutions to these problems, this study assesses a set of attributes that include consumer 571 behavior, upstream and downstream processes of environmental governance, and 572 persuasive communication. From a theoretical perspective, EMT and TMT are used to solve 573 problems found in the transition process. In return, the findings of this study contribute to 574 theory and practice in the form of knowledge and practical suggestions. The DEMATEL 575 method is used to determine the interrelationships among the attributes. This method is used 576 because it enables qualitative information to be assessed by transforming it into quantitative 577 data. The qualitative information is obtained from experts. The interrelationships of the 578 attributes represent the contribution of this study to the theory and practice focused on 579 determining and finding solutions to SCT, especially in Indonesia.

580 Manufacturers are responsible not only for producing sustainable products but also for 581 educating consumers to be environmentally friendly, which has long-lasting effects on SCT. 582 Manufacturers inform consumers via product sustainability labeling to augment consumers' 583 awareness of the sustainability of the products they buy and consume. Another way to 584 educate consumers about SCT is through authenticity arguments, which are not solely the 585 responsibility of the firm but rather that of an association or union of the industry. This 586 approach to communication is considered persuasive because it is unbiased to a certain firm 587 or brand, in contrast to advertisements or advertorials. Consumers can perform product 588 reuse and product recycling to reduce waste. Manufacturers, government and social 589 organizations are responsible for educating consumers about these strategies. Regular 590 workshops should be conducted. Recycling is relatively less responsible than reusing due to 591 the use of energy and resources to transform the waste back into raw materials. If 592 strategically implemented, this set of solutions should improve the SCT in the food and 593 beverage industry in Indonesia.

594 The interrelationships of the aspects in this study enrich SCT from a theoretical 595 perspective. These aspects include persuasive communication, upstream and downstream 596 processes in governing the environment, and consumer behavior. Problems with SCT are 597 related to how consumers do not behave sustainably in their consumption. This study found 598 that persuasive communication, especially from a union or association of manufacturers or 599 industry, improves consumer behavior and governance of the environment. Arguments from 600 experts other than manufacturers are also persuasive to educate or convince consumers 601 about the importance of being sustainable. The upstream processes of environmental 602 governance also impact the affected aspects. For instance, manufacturers should improve the 603 sustainability labeling of packaging. Labels are sometimes either unnoticeable or difficult to 604 understand by consumers with low knowledge of the differences in sustainability labels and 605 logos. The use of sustainable terms or designs should also consider consumers' ease of 606 understanding. When consumers find it easy to understand sustainable information on a 607 product, SCT improves.

608 The limitations of this study include the number of attributes, the scope of industry, 609 and the number of respondents included. The number of aspects is limited to five, and there 610 are fourteen criteria. This study considered 13 experts in the packaged food and beverage 611 industry in Indonesia; therefore, the results may not be generalizable to other industries and 612 countries. Future studies may consider a larger number of attributes to obtain more detailed 613 interrelationships among the aspects and a set of main criteria. Future studies may involve 614 more experts from the industry. Future studies exploring SCT should enrich the theory and 615 provide better solutions to the problems in the industry.

## 616 Acknowledgement

617 This study is partially supported by Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan 108-2221-E-468
 618 -004 -MY2

619

#### 620 References

- Ahamad, N. R., Ariffin, M., 2018. Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice towards
   sustainable consumption among university students in Selangor, Malaysia. Sustainable
   Production and Consumption 16, 88-98.
- Annunziata, A., Mariani, A., Vecchio, R., 2019. Effectiveness of sustainability labels in guiding food choices: Analysis of visibility and understanding among young adults.
   Sustainable Production and Consumption 17, 108-115
- Boesen, S., Bey, N., Niero, M., 2019. Environmental sustainability of liquid food
  packaging: Is there a gap between Danish consumers' perception and learnings from life
  cycle assessment? Journal of Cleaner Production 210, 1193-1206.
- 630
  4. Crivits, M., Paredis, E., 2013. Designing an explanatory practice framework: local food
  631 systems as a case. Journal of Consumer Culture 13, 306-336.
- 632 5. de Koning, J.I.J.C., Ta, H.T., Crul, M.R.M., Wever, R., Brezet, J.C., 2016. GetGreen Vietnam:
  633 towards more sustainable behaviour among the urban middle class. Journals of Cleaner
  634 Production 134, 178-190.
- 6. Dong, X., Liu, S., Li, H., Yang, Z., Liang, S., Deng, N., 2020. Love of nature as a mediator
  between connectedness to nature and sustainable consumption behavior. Journal of
  Cleaner Production 242, 118451.
- 638 7. Echegaray, F., 2016. Corporate mobilization of political consumerism in developing
   639 societies. Journal of Cleaner Production 134, 124-136.
- 640 8. Ely, A., Geall, S., Song, Y., 2016. Sustainable maize production and consumption in China:
  641 practices and politics in transition. Journal of Cleaner Production 134, 259-268.
- 642 9. Fontela, E., Gabus, A, 1976. *The Dematel Observer, Dematel 1976 Report*. Battelle Geneva
  643 Research Center: Geneva, Switzerland.
- 644 10. Gadema, Z., Oglethorpe, D., 2011. The use and usefulness of carbon labelling food: a
   645 policy perspective from a survey of UK supermarket shoppers. Food Policy 36, 815-822.
- Grabs, J., Langen, N., Maschkowski, G., Schapke, N., 2016. Understanding role models for
   change: a multilevel analysis of success factors of grassroots initiatives for sustainable
   consumption. Journal of Cleaner Production 134, 98-111.
- 649 12. Greenpeace Indonesia, 2019. Sampah Kemasan Makanan dan Minuman Mendominasi.
   650 Retrieved from <u>https://www.greenpeace.org/indonesia/cerita/4238/sampah-kemasan-</u>
   651 <u>makanan-dan-minuman-mendominasi/</u> (accessed 24 December 2019).
- Grin, J., 2012. Changing governments, kitchens; supermarkets, firms and farms: the
  governance of transitions between societal practices and supply systems. In: Spaargaren,
  G., Oosterveer, P., Loeber, A. (Eds.), Food Practices in Transition: Changing Food
  Consumption, Retail and Production in the Age of Reflexive Modernity. Routledge, New
  York London.
- 14. Hartikainen, H., Roininen, T., Katajajuuri, J. M., Pulkkinen, H., 2014. Finnish consumers
  perception of carbon footprints and carbon labelling of food products. Journal of Cleaner
  Production 73, 285-293.
- Hidayat, Y.A., Kiranamahsa, S., Zamal, M.A., 2019. A study of plastic waste management
   effectiveness in Indonesia industries. AIMS Energy 7, 350-370.

- 16. Jain, A., 2017. Waste management in ASEAN countries: United Nations environment
   summary reports. United Nations. <u>http://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/21134</u>
   (accessed 25 March 2020).
- 17. Janssen, M., Hamm, U., 2012. Product labelling in the market for organic food: Consumer
   preferences and willingness-to-pay for different organic certification logos. Food Quality
   and Preference 25, 9-22.
- 18. Janßen, D., Langen, N., 2017. The bunch of sustainability labels do consumers
  differentiate? Journal of Cleaner Production 143, 1233-1245.
- 670 19. Joerß, T., Akbar, P., Mai, R., Hoffmann, S., 2017. Conceptualizing sustainability from a
   671 consumer perspective. UmwealtWirtschaffsForum (1-9).
- 20. Lan, S.L., Yang, C., Tseng, M.L., 2019. Corporate sustainability on causal financial report
   model in a hierarchical structure under uncertainties. Journal of Cleaner Production 237,
   117769
- 21. Li, Y., Lu, Y., Zhang, X., Liu, L, Wang, M., Jiang, X., 2016. Prosperity of green consumption
  behaviors in representative cities in China. Journal of Cleaner Production 133, 1328-1336.
- Lin, C.W.R., Jeng, S.Y., Tseng, M.L. 2019. Sustainable development on a zero-wastewater discharge reproduction planning under quantitative and qualitative information.
   Management for Environmental Quality: an International Journal 30(5), 1114-1131
- 23. Lombardi, G. V., Berni, R., Rocchi, B., 2017. Environmental friendly food. Choice
  experiment to assess consumer's attitude toward "climate neutral" milk: the role of
  communication. Journal of Cleaner Production 142, 257-262.
- 683 24. Lopez-Azpiazu, I., Martinez-Gonzalez, M.A., Leon-Mateos, A., Martinez, J.A., Kearney, J.,
  684 Gibney, M., 2001. Sources of information on healthy eating in a Mediterranean country
  685 and the level of trust in them: A national sample in a pan-European survey. Rev. Med.
  686 Uni. Navarra 45, 14-23.
- 687 25. Magnier, L., Schoormans, J., 2015. Consumer relations to sustainable packaging: the
   688 interplay of visual appearance, verbal claim and environmental concern. Journal of
   689 Environmental Psychology 44, 53-62.
- 690 26. Mulcahy, R., Russell-Bennett, R., Iacobucci, D., 2020. Designing gamified apps for
  691 sustainable consumption: A field study. Journal of Business Research 106, 377-387.
- 692 27. Nikolaou, I. E., Kazantzidis, L., 2016. A sustainable consumption index/label to reduce
   693 information asymmetry among consumers and producers. Sustainable Production and
   694 Consumption 6, 51-61.
- 8. Notarnicola, B., Sala, S., Anton, A., McLaren, S.J., Saouter, E., Sonesson, U., 2017. The role
  of life cycle assessment in supporting sustainable agri-food systems: a review of the
  challenges. Journal of Cleaner Production 140, 399-409.
- 698 29. Ordonez, I., Rahe, U., 2013. Collaboration between design and waste management: can
  699 it help close the material loop? Resources, Conservation and Recycling 72, 108-117.
- 30. O'Rourke, D., Lollo, N., 2015. Transforming consumption: from decoupling, to behavior
   change, to system changes for sustainable consumption. Annual Review of Environment
   and Resources 40, 233-259.
- 31. Oslo Symposium, 1994. Oslo Roundtable on Sustainable Consumption and Production.
   Retrieved from http://enb.iisd.org/consume/oslo004.html. (accessed 25 November
   2019).
- 706 32. Pancer, E., McShane, L., Noseworthy, T. J., 2015. Isolated environmental cues and
   707 product efficacy penalties: the color green and eco-labels. Journal of Business Ethics.

- 708 33. Purwaningrum, P., 2016. Upaya Mengurangi Timbunan Sampah Plastik di Lingkungan.
   709 Indonesian Journal of Urban and Environmental Technology 8, 141-147.
- 34. Quoquab, F., Mohammad, J., 2017. Managing sustainable consumption: is it a problem
  or a panacea? Sustainable Economic Development, World Sustainability Series, 115-125.
- 712 35. Rotmans, J., Loorbach, D., 2009. Complexity and transition management. Journal of
   713 Industrial Ecology 13, 184-196.
- Singh, J., Ordonez, I., 2016. Resource recovery from post-consumer waste: important
   lessons for the upcoming circular economy. Journal of Cleaner Production 134, 342-353.
- 37. Spaargaren, G., van Koppen, C.S.A., 2009. Provider strategies and the greening of
  consumption. In: Lange, H., Meier, L. (Eds.), Globalizing Lifestyles, Consumerism, and
  Environmental Concern—The Case of the New Middle Classes. Springer, Dordrecht, The
  Netherlands.
- 38. Spaargaren, G., Oosterveer, P., Loeber, A., 2012. Food practices in Transition: Changing
   Food Consumption, Retail and Production in the Age of Reflexive Modernity. Routledge,
   New York London.
- 39. Spangenberg, J., 2016. The Corporate Human Development Index CHDI: a tool for
   corporate social sustainability management and reporting. Journal of Cleaner Production
   134, 414-424.
- 40. Steenis, N. D., van Herpen, E., van der Lans, I. A., Ligthart, T. N., van Trijp, H. C. M., 2017.
  Consumer response to packaging design: The role of packaging materials and graphics in
  sustainability perceptions and product evaluations. Journal of Cleaner Production 162,
  286-298.
- 41. Tseng, M.-L., 2017. Using social media and qualitative and quantitative information scales
  to benchmark corporate sustainability. Journal of Cleaner Production 142, 727-738.
- 42. Tseng, ML., Chiu, ASF., Liu, G. Jantaralolica, T. 2020a. Circular economy enables
  sustainable consumption and production to lead economic growth. Resources,
  Conservation and Recycling 154, 104601
- Tseng, M.L., Wu, K.J., Lim, MK., Wong, WP. 2019. Data-driven sustainable supply chain
   management performance: a hierarchical structure assessment Journal of Cleaner
   Production 227, 760-771
- 44. Tseng, M.L., Chen, CC., Wu, KJ., Tan, R.R. 2020b. Eco-efficient sustainable service supply
  chain management hierarchical model in qualitative information and quantitative data.
  Management of Environment Quality: an international journal (Article in Press)
- 45. Vainio A., Pulkka, A., Paloniemi, R., Varho, V., Tapio, P., 2020. Citizens' sustainable,
  future-oriented energy behaviours in energy transition. Journal of Cleaner Production
  245, 118801.
- 46. Vega-Zamora, M., Torres-Ruiz, F. J., Parras-Rosa, M., 2019. Towards sustainable
  consumption: Keys to communication for improving trust in organic foods. Journal of
  Cleaner Production 216, 511-519.
- 47. Vermeir, I., Verbeke, W., 2006. Sustainable food consumption: Exploring the consumer
  "attitude-behavioral intention" gap. Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics 19,
  169-194.
- 48. Vittersø, G., Tangeland, T., 2015. The role of consumers in transitions towards sustainable
  consumption. The case of organic food in Norway. Journal of Cleaner Production 92, 9199.

- 49. Watkins, L., Aitken, R., Mather, D., 2016. Conscientious consumers: a relationship
  between moral foundations, political orientation and sustainable consumption. Journal
  of Cleaner Production 134, 137-146.
- 50. Wu, C., Zhou, X., Song, M., 2016. Sustainable consumer behavior in China: an empirical
  analysis from the Midwest regions. Journal of Cleaner Production 134, 147-165.
- 758 51. Yeh, L.T., Tseng, M.L., Lim, M. 2020. Assessing the carry-over effects of both human
   759 capital and organizational forgetting on sustainability performance using dynamic data
   760 envelopment analysis. Journal of Cleaner Production 250, 119584
- 52. Zhang, L., Xu, Y., Oosterveer, P., Mol, A.P.J., 2016. Consumer trust in different food
  provisioning schemes: evidence from Beijing, China. Journal of Cleaner Production 134,
  269-279.
- 53. Zhao, R., Geng, Y., Liu, Y., Tao, X., Xue, B., 2018. Consumers' perception, purchase
  intention, and willingness to pay for carbon-labeled products: a case study of Chengdu in
  China. Journal of Cleaner Production 171, 1664-1671.
- 767 768