



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

LINE-1 Evasion of Epigenetic Repression in Humans

Citation for published version:

Sanchez-Luque, FJ, Kempen, M-JHC, Gerdes, P, Vargas-Landin, DB, Richardson, SR, Troskie, R-L, Jesuadian, JS, Cheetham, SW, Carreira, PE, Salvador-Palomeque, C, García-Cañadas, M, Muñoz-Lopez, M, Sanchez, L, Lundberg, M, Macia, A, Heras, SR, Brennan, PM, Lister, R, Garcia-Perez, JL, Ewing, AD & Faulkner, GJ 2019, 'LINE-1 Evasion of Epigenetic Repression in Humans', *Molecular Cell*, vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 590-604.e12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.05.024>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.molcel.2019.05.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.05.024)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Molecular Cell

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the authors' peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



LINE-1 evasion of epigenetic repression in humans

Francisco J. Sanchez-Luque^{1,2,*}, Marie-Jeanne H.C. Kempen^{1,3}, Patricia Gerdes¹, Dulce B. Vargas-Landin^{4,5}, Sandra R. Richardson¹, Robin-Lee Troskie¹, J. Samuel Jesuadian¹, Seth W. Cheetham¹, Patricia E. Carreira¹, Carmen Salvador-Palomeque¹, Marta García-Cañadas², Martin Muñoz-Lopez², Laura Sanchez², Mischa Lundberg¹, Angela Macia⁶, Sara R. Heras^{2,7}, Paul M. Brennan⁸, Ryan Lister^{4,5}, Jose L. Garcia-Perez^{2,3}, Adam D. Ewing¹ and Geoffrey J. Faulkner^{1,9,10,*}

¹Mater Research Institute - University of Queensland, TRI Building, Woolloongabba QLD 4102, Australia.

²GENYO. Centre for Genomics and Oncological Research: Pfizer-University of Granada-Andalusian Regional Government. Avda Ilustración, 114, PTS Granada, 18016, Spain.

³MRC Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine (IGMM), University of Edinburgh, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, United Kingdom.

⁴Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence in Plant Energy Biology, School of Molecular Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Perth WA 6009, Australia.

⁵Harry Perkins Institute of Medical Research, Perth, WA, 6009, Australia

⁶Department of Pediatrics/Rady Children's Hospital San Diego, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.

⁷Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology II, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Granada, Campus Universitario de Cartuja, 18071 Granada, Spain.

⁸Edinburgh Cancer Research Centre, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, EH4 2XR, UK

⁹Queensland Brain Institute, University of Queensland, Brisbane QLD 4072, Australia.

¹⁰Lead contact.

*Correspondence: F.J.S-L. (francisco.sanchezluque@mater.uq.edu.au) and G.J.F. (faulknergj@gmail.com)

28 **SUMMARY**

29 Epigenetic silencing defends against LINE-1 (L1) retrotransposition in mammalian cells.
30 However, the mechanisms that repress young L1 families, and how L1 escapes to cause somatic
31 genome mosaicism in the brain, remain unclear. Here we report that a conserved Yin Yang 1 (YY1)
32 transcription factor binding site mediates L1 promoter DNA methylation in pluripotent and
33 differentiated cells. By analyzing 24 hippocampal neurons with three distinct single-cell genomic
34 approaches, we characterized and validated a somatic L1 insertion bearing a 3' transduction. The
35 source (donor) L1 for this insertion was slightly 5' truncated, lacked the YY1 binding site, and was
36 highly mobile when tested *in vitro*. Locus-specific bisulfite sequencing revealed the donor L1, and
37 other young L1s with mutated YY1 binding sites, were hypomethylated in embryonic stem cells,
38 during neurodifferentiation, and in liver and brain tissue. These results explain how L1 can evade
39 repression and retrotranspose in the human body.

40

41 **HIGHLIGHTS**

- 42 • Single-cell genomic analysis of hippocampal neurons revealed a somatic L1 insertion.
- 43 • The donor L1 was slightly 5' truncated and lacked a conserved YY1 binding site.
- 44 • Young L1s with truncated or mutated YY1 binding sites are hypomethylated.
- 45 • L1 is able to mobilize in the brain due to locus-specific exceptions to repression.

46

47 **INTRODUCTION**

48 Retrotransposons are mobile genetic elements that must evade host genome defenses to replicate
49 and survive (Kazazian and Moran, 2017). Long interspersed element 1 (LINE-1, or L1) is the only
50 extant autonomous human retrotransposon (Mills et al., 2007). A full-length L1 mRNA is ~6kb
51 long, polyadenylated, and encodes two proteins (ORF1p and ORF2p) that catalyze
52 retrotransposition via target-primed reverse transcription (TPRT) (Feng et al., 1996; Luan et al.,
53 1993; Moran et al., 1996) (Figure 1A). Nearly all L1 copies are immobile due to 5' truncation and
54 ORF-disabling mutations. Of 500,000 reference genome L1s, only ~100 are full-length with intact
55 ORFs, and fewer than 10 per individual hold significant retrotransposition potential (Beck et al.,
56 2010; Brouha et al., 2003). These “hot” donor (source) L1s are almost all members of the L1-Ta
57 family and together generate one new germline insertion per ~150 births (Brouha et al., 2003;
58 Ewing and Kazazian, 2010). Heritable L1 insertions arise in the early embryo or germline, and can

59 cause sporadic genetic disease (Richardson et al., 2017; van den Hurk et al., 2007). Somatic L1
60 retrotransposition has been observed in the neuronal lineage (Baillie et al., 2011; Coufal et al.,
61 2009; Erwin et al., 2016; Evrony et al., 2012; Evrony et al., 2015; Macia et al., 2017; Muotri et al.,
62 2005; Upton et al., 2015) and in tumor cells (Evrony et al., 2012; Ewing et al., 2015; Iskow et al.,
63 2010; Nguyen et al., 2018; Scott et al., 2016; Tubio et al., 2014) but is of unresolved biological
64 significance (Burns, 2017; Faulkner and Garcia-Perez, 2017; Scott and Devine, 2017).

65 Epigenetic and transcriptional silencing guard against L1-mediated mutagenesis (Castro-
66 Diaz et al., 2014; de la Rica et al., 2016; Muotri et al., 2010; Walter et al., 2016), causing L1 to
67 engage in an evolutionary arms race with repressive host factors (Goodier, 2016; Jacobs et al.,
68 2014). The L1 5'UTR is pivotal in this conflict. Its initial 100nt contains an internal promoter
69 driving L1 mRNA transcription initiation (Swergold, 1990). DNA methylation of an adjacent CpG
70 island regulates this promoter (Hata and Sakaki, 1997; Muotri et al., 2010), as do various
71 transcription factors, including YY1, RUNX3 and SOX2 (Athaniyar et al., 2004; Coufal et al.,
72 2009; Yang et al., 2003). L1 methylation is established during embryogenesis (Castro-Diaz et al.,
73 2014; de la Rica et al., 2016) and is strongly maintained in somatic tissues (Coufal et al., 2009;
74 Macia et al., 2017; Schauer et al., 2018; Shukla et al., 2013). Given this repression, it is unclear
75 how L1 achieves retrotransposition in the neuronal lineage.

76 Here we find that a highly conserved YY1 binding site mediates L1 promoter DNA
77 methylation. Exceptions to this repression during neurodifferentiation and in mature tissues appear
78 to govern which L1s mobilize in the brain. Our results suggest the YY1 binding site has guarded
79 against L1 retrotransposition over at least the last 70 million years of human evolution.

80

81 **RESULTS**

82 **An integrated single-cell genomic analysis of human hippocampal neurons**

83 To identify somatic L1 insertions, we isolated 24 single NeuN⁺ neuronal nuclei from the post-
84 mortem hippocampus of an individual (female, 18yrs) without evidence of neurological disease
85 (CTRL-36). For each nucleus, we then performed whole genome amplification (WGA) via
86 multiple displacement amplification (MDA), followed by ~47× Illumina whole genome
87 sequencing (WGS), retrotransposon capture sequencing (RC-seq) and L1 insertion profiling (L1-
88 IP) (Table S1). RC-seq employs sequence capture to enrich Illumina libraries for reads spanning
89 L1-Ta 5' and 3' genomic junctions, while L1-IP uses PCR to amplify the 3' genomic flank of L1-

90 Ta copies prior to Illumina library preparation (Evrony et al., 2012; Ewing and Kazazian, 2010;
91 Upton et al., 2015). Bulk hippocampus and liver genomic DNA from CTRL-36 were analyzed
92 with 94× and 49× WGS, respectively, as well as with RC-seq and L1-IP. Candidate L1 insertions
93 robustly identified by WGS, RC-seq and L1-IP in at least one neuron, but absent from liver, were
94 annotated as somatic events (Figure 1B). Following these requirements, we detected one somatic
95 L1 insertion, on chromosome 3 in neuron-#15 (Figure 1C, Table S2). Capillary sequencing of the
96 entire integration site revealed a 5.4Kb L1-Ta insertion, with a 5' inversion/deletion (Ostertag and
97 Kazazian, 2001), and carrying a 24nt 3' transduction (Goodier et al., 2000; Moran et al., 1999;
98 Pickeral et al., 2000) followed by a >140nt pure polyA tract (Figure 1D). The insertion presented
99 a degenerate L1 endonuclease cleavage site (5'-CTTT/CC) and yielded a 20nt target site
100 duplication (TSD). These features were consistent with TPRT-mediated L1 retrotransposition
101 (Jurka, 1997; Luan et al., 1993).

102 We next attempted to PCR amplify and capillary sequence the entire somatic L1 insertion
103 (empty/filled site reaction), its 5' L1-genome junction, and its 3' transduction-genome junction
104 (Figure S1A) in an extended panel of CTRL-36 hippocampal neurons. In the 24 MDA-amplified
105 neurons subjected to genomic analysis, the filled site was only detected in neuron-#15, while the
106 3' junction was detected in 4 additional neurons (Figure S1B-D). In an additional 24 MDA-
107 amplified neurons, the 5' and 3' junctions were each found only in neuron-#36 (Figure S1B-D). In
108 a third set of 24 neurons, amplified via the MALBAC protocol (Zong et al., 2012), either the 5' or
109 3' junction was found in 5 neurons (Figure S1E). The L1 insertion polyA tract length varied among
110 the neurons where it was detected, and followed a bimodal distribution, clustering around ~130nt
111 and ~65nt (Figure S1C, E and F), corroborating reports of L1 polyA tract shortening during cell
112 division (Evrony et al., 2015; Grandi et al., 2013; Richardson et al., 2017). The somatic L1
113 insertion was therefore present in many CTRL-36 hippocampal neurons and likely arose in a
114 neuronal progenitor cell.

115 To assess the sensitivity of our single-cell genomic analysis, we capillary sequenced the 3'
116 junction of 42 heterozygous germline L1s carried by CTRL-36 (Figure S1G,J, Table S2). We
117 observed a paucity of long, pure polyA tracts (Figure S1H) that usually accompany new L1
118 insertions (Evrony et al., 2015; Richardson et al., 2017; Scott et al., 2016). On average, 71.8% and
119 22.2% of the heterozygous L1s were detected by WGS applied to bulk liver and each single neuron,
120 respectively (Figure S1I), at the detection thresholds we applied to call somatic L1 insertions (≥ 8

121 reads at each 5' and 3' L1-genome junction). Those heterozygous L1s with pure polyA tracts were
122 only detected by single-cell WGS with an average sensitivity of 15.3%, a rate significantly lower
123 than for the remaining heterozygous L1s (24.1%) ($P < 0.0055$, Fisher's exact test). Single-cell WGA
124 and, to a lesser extent, pure polyA tracts, could influence detection sensitivity for somatic L1
125 insertions. As well, while the 3' junction of the heterozygous L1 with the longest (90nt) polyA
126 tract could be PCR amplified in ~80% of the expanded panel of 48 MDA-amplified neurons
127 (Figure S1J), the filled site was detected in only ~33% of the MDA-amplified neurons (Figure
128 S1K). The false negative rate of detection and PCR validation at this standard of evidence may
129 therefore be relatively high. Overall, the somatic L1 insertion was detected and empty/filled site
130 PCR validated in neuron-#15, but was likely present in ~25% of the hippocampal neurons analyzed
131 with our integrated single-cell genomic approach.

132

133 **A somatically-active hot donor L1**

134 We traced the somatic L1 insertion 3' transduction to an intergenic L1-Ta located on chromosome
135 13 and 5' truncated by 31nt (Figure 1D). Strikingly, this donor L1 (named here Chr13 Δ 31_{L1}) gave
136 rise to a somatic L1 insertion found in the cortex of another individual (Evrony et al., 2015) and
137 was inactive when previously tested for retrotransposition *in vitro* (Brouha et al., 2003). Among
138 CTRL-36 and 7 other unrelated people, we characterized three allelic variants (numbered 1-3) of
139 Chr13 Δ 31_{L1} (Figures 2A and S2A). Chr13 Δ 31_{L1} was present in 7/8 individuals (Figure 2A).
140 CTRL-36 was heterozygous for Chr13 Δ 31_{L1}, and carried only allele 1. Allele 1 encoded intact
141 ORF1 and ORF2 sequences, whereas alleles 2 and 3 respectively carried stop codon
142 (C5164T/Q1059 \emptyset) and missense (A2036G/N16S) mutations likely to disable ORF2p activity
143 (Moran et al., 1996; Weichenrieder et al., 2004).

144 To test the retrotransposition efficiency of each Chr13 Δ 31_{L1} allele, we employed two L1
145 reporter assays based on the activation of an antibiotic resistance or fluorescence cassette upon
146 retrotransposition, with L1 transcription driven by its native promoter or a cytomegalovirus
147 promoter (CMVp) (Moran et al., 1996; Ostertag et al., 2000). In these assays, Chr13 Δ 31_{L1} alleles
148 2 and 3 were totally or nearly immobile, while allele 1 retrotransposed at ~40% and ~20% of a hot
149 L1 (L1.3) positive control (Sassaman et al., 1997) in HeLa and HEK239T cells, respectively
150 (Figures 2B and S2B). Restoration of the 31nt 5' truncated sequence to allele 1 elevated its activity
151 above that of L1.3, as did the presence of CMVp (Figure 2B). We then tested each Chr13 Δ 31_{L1}

152 allele in PA-1 embryonic carcinoma cells, which silence newly mobilized L1 reporter cassettes
153 unless treated with trichostatin A (Garcia-Perez et al., 2010). Allele 1 was not active in PA-1 cells
154 unless the 31nt truncated sequence was restored (Figure 2C). Consistently, a luciferase promoter
155 reporter assay indicated all three Chr13Δ31_{L1} alleles were transcriptionally active in HeLa and
156 HEK293T cells, and not in PA-1 cells (Figures 2D and S2C). The endogenous Chr13Δ31_{L1}
157 promoter was thus active in some cell types despite its 5' truncation, providing potential for the
158 retrotransposition competent allele 1 to mobilize *in vivo*.

159

160 **Slightly 5' truncated L1s evade DNA methylation**

161 We hypothesized that incomplete epigenetic repression enabled Chr13Δ31_{L1} somatic
162 retrotransposition. We therefore developed a PCR-free bisulfite sequencing strategy to measure
163 L1 locus-specific DNA methylation, as well as L1-Ta family methylation genome-wide (Figure
164 3A). Paired-end 300mer Illumina sequencing allowed higher throughput and wider resolution of
165 the L1 5'UTR CpG island compared to prior approaches (Coufal et al., 2009; Klawitter et al., 2016;
166 Scott et al., 2016; Tubio et al., 2014; Wissing et al., 2012). We found 90.0% and 78.2% L1-Ta
167 family methylation in CTRL-36 hippocampus and liver, respectively (Figure 3B). By contrast, the
168 Chr13Δ31_{L1} promoter was 39.3% and 19.5% methylated in hippocampus and liver, respectively,
169 with numerous fully demethylated sequences in each tissue (Figure 3B). The only two other
170 CTRL-36 germline L1-Ta copies (Chr5Δ31_{L1} and Chr6Δ31_{L1}) 5' truncated by 31nt were almost
171 entirely demethylated (Chr5Δ31_{L1}) or fully demethylated in 5-10% of cells (Chr6Δ31_{L1}) (Figures
172 3B and S3E, Table S2). However, two heterozygous, intergenic full-length germline L1-Ta
173 insertions (Chr6FL_{L1} and Chr2Δ2_{L1}) were almost completely methylated (Figures 3B and S3E).
174 We also observed this contrasting pattern in hippocampus, liver and, where available, cortex tissue
175 obtained from the remainder of our cohort (Figure S3A-J). Chr13Δ31_{L1} was strongly (P<0.0001,
176 one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test) demethylated compared to the L1-Ta
177 family in all 7 carrier individuals (Figures 3C and S3B,E). These results suggested 5' truncated
178 L1s were hypomethylated in mature human tissues.

179 Embryonic development witnesses dramatic increases in genome-wide L1 DNA methylation
180 (Castro-Diaz et al., 2014; Coufal et al., 2009; de la Rica et al., 2016; Macia et al., 2017; Walter et
181 al., 2016). To assess Chr13Δ31_{L1} methylation during neurodevelopment *in vitro*, we conducted L1
182 bisulfite sequencing on pluripotent H1 human embryonic stem cells (hESCs), as well as H1-

183 derived neuronal progenitor cells (NPCs) and neurons. Genotyping via 43× WGS (Table S1)
184 revealed that Chr13Δ31_{L1}, Chr6FL_{L1}, Chr2Δ2_{L1} and Chr6Δ31_{L1} were heterozygous in H1 cells,
185 whereas Chr5Δ31_{L1} was absent (Table S2). Overall, the L1-Ta family was 72.1% methylated in
186 hESCs, and more strongly methylated in neurons (82.6%), as expected (Coufal et al., 2009; Macia
187 et al., 2017) (Figures 3B,D and S3K). The full-length elements Chr6FL_{L1} and Chr2Δ2_{L1} were
188 ~90% methylated in hESCs and during neurodifferentiation (Figure 3B). By contrast, the 5'
189 truncated elements Chr13Δ31_{L1} and Chr6Δ31_{L1} were 1.7% and 14.9% methylated, respectively, in
190 hESCs and only partially remethylated (~60%) in neuronal cells (Figure 3B). Both DNA strands
191 of the Chr13Δ31_{L1} promoter remained fully unmethylated in ~5% of neurons (Figures 3B and
192 S3L). Next, we identified a single nucleotide polymorphism (rs9508517) only present in the 5'
193 genomic flank of each Chr13Δ31_{L1} allele. Bisulfite sequencing of this flank, and regions further
194 upstream, indicated it was highly methylated in NPCs, neurons and brain tissue, regardless of
195 whether Chr13Δ31_{L1} was present (Figures 3B and S3C,D,M). In hESCs, moderate demethylation
196 of the flanking region extended up to 500bp away from Chr13Δ31_{L1}, when the L1 was present,
197 and formed a methylation “sloping shore” (Figures 3B and S3M) previously observed adjacent to
198 retrotransposed CpG islands (Grandi et al., 2015). Overall, these data depicted an element-specific
199 failure to repress Chr13Δ31_{L1} in mature tissues and during neurodevelopment.

200

201 **A YY1 binding site enables L1 locus-specific promoter methylation**

202 Provided the distinct but consistent DNA methylation patterns observed for full-length and 31nt
203 5' truncated L1s, we investigated the degree of 5' truncation required for L1 hypomethylation. We
204 assembled a panel of 28 germline L1-Ta insertions that were full-length or 5' truncated up to 31nt,
205 and present in CTRL-36 or the H1 genome (Table S2). We then performed L1 bisulfite sequencing
206 using genomic DNA from CTRL-36 liver and the H1 neurodifferentiation time course. At least
207 ~60%, but generally more than 80%, methylation was observed for the L1s that were full-length
208 or truncated by <14nt (Figures 4A,B and S4A,B). Among this group, three highly active full-length
209 L1s, Chr22FL_{L1}-L1.2, ChrXFL_{L1} and Chr22FL_{L1}-TTC28, tended to be the least methylated,
210 consistent with prior results (Philippe et al., 2016; Tubio et al., 2014; Wissing et al., 2012).
211 Conversely, of the L1s truncated by ≥14nt, all apart from Chr6Δ31_{L1} were <20% methylated in
212 liver tissue (Figure 4A) and all except Chr1Δ21_{L1}-LRE2 were <15% methylated in hESCs (Figure
213 4B). Almost every fully or near-fully unmethylated sequence was found in elements truncated by

214 ≥ 14 nt (Figure 4A,B), and even Chr1 Δ 21_{L1}-LRE2 was fully unmethylated in some hESCs, in line
215 with its capacity to mobilize in the germline (Holmes et al., 1994). Further examination revealed
216 frequent non-canonical CpH (H=A/C/T) methylation in hESCs at L1-Ta position +44 (Figures 4C
217 and S4C) in sequences exhibiting high CpG methylation, consistent with *de novo* DNA
218 methyltransferase activity (Gowher and Jeltsch, 2001; Liao et al., 2015). A 5' truncation of ≥ 14 nt
219 thus demarcated methylated and hypomethylated L1s.

220 YY1 is a zinc finger protein (ZFP) that has been shown biochemically to bind L1-Ta
221 positions +12 to +20 and direct transcription initiation to position +1 (Athanikar et al., 2004;
222 Becker et al., 1993). L1s truncated by ≥ 14 nt therefore lacked at least three nucleotides of this YY1
223 binding site (Figure 4B), which is conserved in almost all primate L1 lineages found in the human
224 genome (Table S3) (Khan et al., 2006). To assess the potential impact of YY1 site sequence
225 variation, we used L1 bisulfite sequencing to analyze methylation of full-length L1-Ta and L1PA2
226 elements, the latter family becoming only recently immobile in humans (Mills et al., 2007), that
227 carried point mutations in their YY1 motif. Likely due to YY1 site conservation, few such
228 examples were available. However, an L1PA2 copy on chromosome 17 that harbored two YY1
229 site mutations was found to be far less methylated in hESCs and during neurodifferentiation than
230 the L1PA2 family overall (Figures 4D and S4D). We also found fully unmethylated promoter
231 sequences for two L1PA2 and L1-Ta elements, located respectively on chromosomes 5 and 1,
232 carrying single YY1 site mutations (Figure S4D). These examples, alongside our other results,
233 suggested YY1 binding site perturbation via either point mutation or 5' truncation coincided with
234 L1 hypomethylation.

235

236 **Genome-wide young L1 repression mediated by YY1**

237 Distinct regulatory programs may repress newly emerged and older L1 families. For example,
238 KAP1 (TRIM28) binds L1 in hESCs (Figure 5A) and particularly limits expression of the older
239 primate-specific families L1PA3-L1PA6 (Castro-Diaz et al., 2014; Jacobs et al., 2014). YY1
240 binding, by contrast, is pronounced at the 5' end of the young L1-Ta and L1PA2 families (Figure
241 5A) (Sun et al., 2018), despite conservation of the YY1 motif in older L1 families (Table S3), and
242 is strongly anticorrelated with KAP1 binding ($r=-0.93$, Pearson). As expected, we found L1-Ta
243 and L1PA2 elements 5' truncated by ≥ 14 nt were far less bound by YY1 in hESCs than full-length
244 L1s, whereas no difference in KAP1 binding was observed (Figure 5A). Full-length L1s carrying

245 YY1 motif point mutations were also less likely to bind YY1 than elements with an intact binding
246 site (Figure 5A). We then analyzed published data obtained from HEK293 cells engineered to
247 express GFP-tagged YY1 protein (Schmitges et al., 2016) and again we found YY1 was heavily
248 bound to L1-Ta and L1PA2 elements (Figure S5A). Consistently, YY1 overexpression in HEK293
249 cells significantly ($P < 0.05$, two-tailed t-test) reduced transcription from only these young L1
250 families (Figure S5A). These results suggested, if YY1 mediated L1 promoter methylation, loss
251 of its binding site would principally impact young L1 families.

252 To test this possibility genome-wide, we performed $\sim 33\times$ whole-genome bisulfite
253 sequencing (WGBS) on neuronal nuclei isolated from CTRL-36 hippocampal tissue. This analysis
254 encompassed only the initial 300nt of germline L1s found in the reference genome, where
255 methylation was typically higher than further 3' in individual L1 promoters (Figure 3B), and
256 offered lower resolution than our locus-specific approach. Nonetheless, we determined that full-
257 length members of each L1 family were $>90\%$ methylated (Figure 5B), in agreement with prior
258 results (de la Rica et al., 2016). By contrast, L1-Ta and L1PA2 elements truncated by ≥ 14 nt were
259 significantly less methylated than full-length L1s from the same families ($P < 0.001$, one-way
260 ANOVA with Dunn's multiple comparison test), while older truncated L1s were not
261 hypomethylated (Figure 5B). Repeating this analysis using published H1 hESC WGBS data
262 (ENCODE Project Consortium, 2012), we again observed widespread methylation of full-length
263 L1s and significant hypomethylation ($P < 0.001$) of only ≥ 14 nt truncated L1-Ta and L1PA2
264 sequences (Figure 5B). As bisulfite sequencing cannot distinguish methylcytosine and
265 hydroxymethylcytosine (hmC), we also analyzed published genome-wide hmC data from H1 cells
266 obtained via Tet-assisted bisulfite sequencing (TAB-seq) (Yu et al., 2012). As reported elsewhere
267 (de la Rica et al., 2016), hmC was low (less than $\sim 10\%$) among each L1 family. The level of hmC
268 was not significantly different among ≥ 14 nt truncated and full-length L1-Ta copies (Figure 5C),
269 and did not exceed 25% for any individual L1-Ta promoter. Overall, DNA hypomethylation of
270 young L1s with mutant YY1 sites was detected by locus-specific and genome-wide analyses, and
271 primarily reflected reduced methylcytosine levels.

272

273 **Chr13 Δ 31_{L1} transcription during neurodifferentiation**

274 Promoter hypomethylation alone does not demonstrate transcription, and mRNAs transcribed by
275 members of a young L1 family, such as L1-Ta, are difficult to link to a specific L1 copy. However,

276 an antisense promoter (ASP) located at +600 to +400 in the L1 5'UTR can generate chimeric L1
277 transcripts incorporating unique upstream sequences (Denli et al., 2015; Faulkner et al., 2009;
278 Speek, 2001). L1 ASP activity may therefore serve as a proxy for transcription from the canonical
279 L1 sense promoter (Macia et al., 2011). To assess Chr13Δ31_{L1} ASP activity, we designed primers
280 to target an annotated RNA (NR_135320) antisense to Chr13Δ31_{L1}, as well as RNAs initiated from
281 the Chr13Δ31_{L1} ASP and spliced into exons more than 30kb away (Figure S5B). Using RT-PCR
282 and RNA extracted from differentiating PA-1 cells, we identified various transcripts initiated by
283 the Chr13Δ31_{L1} ASP (Figure S5B,C). We then targeted a commonly-used splice junction and
284 detected Chr13Δ31_{L1} antisense transcripts expressed in hippocampus or liver tissue from each
285 Chr13Δ31_{L1} carrier in our cohort (Figure S5D). TaqMan RT-qPCR indicated Chr13Δ31_{L1}
286 antisense transcript abundance and DNA methylation were inversely correlated during hESC
287 neurodifferentiation *in vitro*, including a ~10-fold reduction in expression upon differentiation to
288 NPCs (Figures 5D and S5E). These experiments demonstrated Chr13Δ31_{L1} expression coincident
289 hypomethylation of its promoter in mature tissues, in hESCs, and during neurodifferentiation *in*
290 *vitro*.

291

292 **Locus-specific mechanisms of L1 repression and escape**

293 Our analyses suggested a YY1 binding site was generally required for L1-Ta promoter methylation
294 *in vivo*. However, we observed locus-specific exceptions to this pattern. First, a near full-length
295 L1-Ta (Chr8Δ3_{L1}) located intronic to the *KCBN2* gene was earlier identified as the source of a
296 cortical neuron somatic L1 insertion that carried a 101nt 5' transduction (Evrony et al., 2012;
297 Evrony et al., 2015). In our cohort, Chr8Δ3_{L1} was present only in CTRL-28 and CTRL-42, and as
298 a heterozygous polymorphism in each individual. Locus-specific L1 bisulfite sequencing indicated
299 Chr8Δ3_{L1} was almost completely methylated in brain and liver tissues (Figures 6A and S6A),
300 consistent with its intact YY1 binding site. *KCNB2* is specifically expressed in the brain (Figure
301 S6B) and was detected here by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) applied to hippocampal tissue (Figure
302 S6C). Bisulfite analysis indicated the region upstream of Chr8Δ3_{L1} was heavily demethylated in
303 brain tissue, but not liver (Figures 6A and S6D). A transcript (DA461809) spliced shortly upstream
304 of the Chr8Δ3_{L1} 5' end is likely initiated from an annotated promoter (Forrest et al., 2014) in the
305 demethylated flanking region. Crucially, the DA461809 splice junction was used to generate the
306 template RNA for the 5' transduction carried by the cortical L1 insertion traced to Chr8Δ3_{L1}

307 (Figure 6A). We therefore propose the genomic location of Chr8Δ3_{L1}, in a gene expressed in brain
308 and downstream of a strong promoter element, enabled transcription and retrotransposition of a
309 chimeric DA461809-Chr8Δ3_{L1} mRNA, despite methylation of the Chr8Δ3_{L1} promoter.

310 Another element, Chr22FL_{L1}-TTC28, is a fixed germline L1-Ta (Gardner et al., 2017)
311 located antisense to the first intron of *TTC28*, which is highly expressed in many tissues (Figure
312 S6E). In our cohort, Chr22FL_{L1}-TTC28 was methylated in brain tissues but, despite its intact YY1
313 binding site, was fully demethylated in a subset of hepatic cells (Figures 6B and S6F).
314 Reciprocally, locus-specific repression may influence young L1s lacking a YY1 binding site. For
315 example, Chr1Δ21_{L1}-LRE2 was abnormally methylated in hESCs and neuronal cells, compared to
316 the remaining 5' truncated L1s (Figures 4B and S4B). An L1PA13 element was located ~2.7kb
317 upstream of Chr1Δ21_{L1}-LRE2, and incorporated a YY1 binding site (Figure 6C). Methylation of
318 this L1PA13 was complete in hESCs and maintained throughout neurodifferentiation (Figure 6C).
319 We speculate that methylation spreading from the L1PA13 may explain the unusual repression of
320 Chr1Δ21_{L1}-LRE2 (Figure 4B and S4B). Together, Chr8Δ3_{L1}, Chr22FL_{L1}-TTC28 and Chr1Δ21_{L1}-
321 LRE2 highlight how YY1-mediated repression may be supplanted occasionally by locus-specific
322 regulatory mechanisms.

323

324 **DISCUSSION**

325 Our experiments indicate a highly conserved YY1 binding site is central to L1 repression in
326 pluripotent and differentiated human cells. It is possible that YY1 recruits DNA methyltransferases
327 directly to silence members of the L1-Ta and L1PA2 families (Castro-Diaz et al., 2014; Hervouet
328 et al., 2009; Schlesinger et al., 2013). Genome-wide analyses suggest YY1 and KAP1 bind distinct
329 L1 families (Castro-Diaz et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2018). KAP1 silences older L1s and other
330 transposable elements by recruiting histone-modifying factors (Castro-Diaz et al., 2014; Ecco et
331 al., 2016; Imbeault et al., 2017; Rowe et al., 2010; Turelli et al., 2014; Wolf et al., 2015; Yang et
332 al., 2017). KAP1 knockdown in hESCs does not significantly alter L1-Ta or L1PA2 expression,
333 whereas knockdown of DNA methyltransferases increases expression of these young L1s (Castro-
334 Diaz et al., 2014). A general lack of KAP1-associated deposition of repressive H3K9me3 upon
335 young L1 families (Castro-Diaz et al., 2014), may explain why YY1 can only access its binding
336 site and mediate DNA methylation of young L1s. Alternative inhibitory pathways (e.g. piRNAs)
337 may also target the YY1 motif (Aravin et al., 2008; Castro-Diaz et al., 2014; Marchetto et al.,

338 2013). These scenarios are not exclusive, and each involve YY1-dependent DNA methylation.

339 YY1 facilitates full-length L1 transcription, and nearly all L1 families active over the last 70
340 million years of human evolution present a YY1 binding site at their 5' end (Athanihar et al., 2004;
341 Khan et al., 2006). As an activator and repressor, YY1 (Yin Yang 1) is an enduring modulator of
342 L1 activity. In turn, L1 is engaged in an evolutionary arms race with host genome defenses. Almost
343 all human L1s have lost this conflict, are immobile, and are controlled by KAP1 and other factors
344 (Imbeault et al., 2017; Jacobs et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2018; Robbez-Masson et al., 2018). Sequence
345 divergence is likely pivotal in L1 eluding complete repression. For example, loss of a 5'UTR
346 binding site for the repressor ZNF93 ~12.5 million years ago enabled L1PA3 and younger L1
347 families to escape from ZNF93 restriction at the cost of a weakened promoter (Jacobs et al., 2014).
348 It is striking then that absence of the YY1 site from Chr13Δ31_{L1} reduces but does not abolish its
349 promoter activity. Numerous L1s lacking the YY1 site may have escaped repression and
350 retrotransposed, as achieved by L1PA3 millions of years ago, and yet failed to spread further in
351 the germline without the YY1 site to provide their progeny with a functional 5' sense promoter.
352 Given enrichment of YY1 bound to young L1 families, despite conservation of the YY1 binding
353 site among much older L1s, we speculate that YY1 has sequentially repressed each new mobile
354 L1 family that has emerged during human evolution, with control passing to KAP1 or other factors
355 as these new L1 families grow older and less likely to mobilize.

356 Numerous retrotransposition-competent L1s without an intact YY1 binding site could exist
357 in the global population. That Chr13Δ31_{L1} allele 1 was found in 3/8 members of our cohort, as
358 well as another individual where it generated a cortical neuron L1 insertion (Evrony et al., 2015),
359 suggests many people carry this hot L1 allele, and that it is recurrently mobile in the neuronal
360 lineage. Another element lacking a YY1 binding site due to 5' truncation, Chr1Δ21_{L1}-LRE2, was
361 discovered as the source of a pathogenic 3' transduction-carrying L1 insertion (Holmes et al.,
362 1994), and is mobile in the germline and tumors (Gardner et al., 2017; Tubio et al., 2014). It is
363 likely that further retrotransposition of Chr13Δ31_{L1}, Chr1Δ21_{L1}-LRE2 and other slightly 5'
364 truncated L1s will be reported in the future. Full-length L1s with intact YY1 binding sites may
365 also escape repression, by exception, due to their genomic location. For example, the heavily
366 methylated element Chr8Δ3_{L1} mobilized in brain (Evrony et al., 2012) with the assistance of an
367 upstream promoter. Another full-length element, Chr22FL_{L1}-TTC28 is highly mobile and
368 hypomethylated in tumors (Nguyen et al., 2018; Schauer et al., 2018; Tubio et al., 2014). As we

369 found here, Chr22FL_{L1}-TTC28 was also unmethylated in many hepatic cells, perhaps due to its
370 location intronic to a highly expressed gene. It is plausible that full-length and 5' truncated donor
371 L1s employ context-specific routes to evade YY1-mediated methylation and retrotranspose in both
372 neural and non-neural somatic cells (Doucet-O'Hare et al., 2016; Shukla et al., 2013), generating
373 L1 mosaicism beyond the brain.

374 Including this study, three somatic L1 insertions have been identified in neurons by single-
375 cell WGS and PCR amplified across their entire length (Evrony et al., 2015). Each carried a 5' or
376 3' transduction, which otherwise flank a minority of *de novo* L1 insertions. It is unclear whether
377 WGA favors recovery of these events. That all three somatic L1 insertions were present in multiple
378 neurons suggests they arose in a neuronal lineage progenitor cell. However, owing to the false
379 negative rate of the approach and ascertainment bias, we cannot resolve the predominant
380 neurogenic timing of L1 mobilization. Somatic L1 insertions arising during embryogenesis have
381 however been detected in mouse brain, without genomic analysis requiring WGA, suggesting early
382 neurodevelopment is a source of neuronal L1 mosaicism in mammals (Richardson et al., 2017).
383 The probability of a somatic L1 insertion influencing phenotype presumably scales with the
384 number of neurons carrying that event. However, a functional impact is yet to be discerned for any
385 neuronal L1 insertion detected to date, and it remains to be seen whether donor L1s mobile in the
386 brain are genetically associated with human neurological traits. Our discovery of three Chr13Δ31_{L1}
387 alleles resolves a prior discrepancy whereby an L1 insertion was detected *in vivo* (Evrony et al.,
388 2015) and arose from a donor L1 considered immobile *in vitro* (Brouha et al., 2003). It is almost
389 certain that different Chr13Δ31_{L1} alleles were assayed in these two studies (Brouha et al., 2003;
390 Evrony et al., 2015), highlighting a need to distinguish mobile and immobile donor L1 alleles
391 found at the same genomic location.

392 To build a consensus view of somatic retrotransposition in the hippocampus, we applied
393 WGS, RC-seq and L1-IP to MDA-amplified neurons. The proportion of neurons found to harbor
394 a somatic L1 insertion resembled prior estimates based on WGS and targeted L1 sequencing of
395 MDA-amplified cortical (Evrony et al., 2012; Evrony et al., 2015) and hippocampal neurons
396 (Erwin et al., 2016), and is lower than that of a previous RC-seq analysis of MALBAC-amplified
397 hippocampal neurons (Upton et al., 2015). False positives can occur in single-cell analyses of L1
398 insertions and other genomic variants (Faulkner and Garcia-Perez, 2017; McConnell et al., 2017).
399 False negatives are, by contrast, harder to assess. We and others have previously assumed

400 sensitivity for heterozygous germline and somatic L1 insertions is similar in single-cell genomic
401 analyses (Erwin et al., 2016; Evrony et al., 2012; Evrony et al., 2015; Upton et al., 2015). Notably,
402 somatic L1 insertions carry long, pure polyA tails, while heterozygous L1s rarely do. Despite deep
403 (47×) single-cell WGS, our sensitivity for somatic L1 insertions was, at most, ~15%, even without
404 accounting for PCR validation false negatives. These considerations preclude an accurate
405 calculation of L1 mobilization rate. Our results nonetheless demonstrate L1 mosaicism in
406 hippocampal neurons at the most conservative standard of genomic analysis and PCR validation,
407 as shown elsewhere in cortex (Evrony et al., 2015). More importantly, elucidation of YY1-
408 mediated L1 repression, and routes by which it is avoided, provides a mechanistic explanation for
409 L1 retrotransposition during neurodevelopment, and positions YY1 as a major regulator of L1
410 activity over the course of human evolution.

411

412 **STAR METHODS**

413 Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper.

414

415 **ACCESSION NUMBERS**

416 WGS, RC-seq, L1-IP, WGBS, and RNA-seq data are available from the European Nucleotide
417 Archive (ENA) using the identifier PRJEB24579.

418

419 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

420 F.J.S-L., M-J.H.C.K., P.G., D.B.V-L., S.R.R., R-L.T., J.S.J., P.E.C., C.S-P., M.G-C., M.M-L.,
421 L.S., A.M. and S.R.H. performed experiments. P.M.B., R.L., J.L.G-P. and G.J.F. provided
422 resources. D.B.V-L., S.W.C., M.L., A.D.E. and G.J.F. performed bioinformatic analyses. F.J.S-L.
423 and G.J.F. conceived the study, designed experiments, generated figures and wrote the manuscript.
424 G.J.F. directed the study. All authors commented on the manuscript.

425

426 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

427 The authors thank the anonymous human subjects of this research for donating their postmortem
428 tissues to the MRC Edinburgh Brain and Tissue Bank. The authors also thank the Translational
429 Research Institute Flow Cytometry Facility, Alicia Barroso-delJesus, Pablo Tristan-Ramos and
430 Rabina Giri for technical assistance. This study was supported by the People Programme (Marie

431 Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under
432 REA grant agreement PEOF-GA-2013-623324 awarded to F.J.S-L., and Australian Research
433 Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (DE150101117) and Discovery Project
434 (DP170101198) grants awarded to A.D.E.. J.L.G-P. acknowledges funding from CICE-FEDER-
435 P12-CTS-2256, Plan Nacional de I+D+I 2013-2016 (FIS-FEDER-PI14/02152), PCIN-2014-115-
436 ERA-NET NEURON II, the European Research Council (ERC-Consolidator ERC-STG-2012-
437 233764), an International Early Career Scientist grant from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute
438 (IECS-55007420) and The Wellcome Trust-University of Edinburgh Institutional Strategic
439 Support Fund (ISFF2). G.J.F. acknowledges the support of the Mater Foundation, a CSL
440 Centenary Fellowship and NHMRC Project Grants (GNT1106206, GNT1125645, GNT1126393,
441 GNT1138795).

442

443 REFERENCES

444 Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-
445 throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 31, 166-169.

446 Aravin, A.A., Sachidanandam, R., Bourc'his, D., Schaefer, C., Pezic, D., Toth, K.F., Bestor, T.,
447 and Hannon, G.J. (2008). A piRNA pathway primed by individual transposons is linked to de novo
448 DNA methylation in mice. *Mol Cell* 31, 785-799.

449 Athanikar, J.N., Badge, R.M., and Moran, J.V. (2004). A YY1-binding site is required for accurate
450 human LINE-1 transcription initiation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32, 3846-3855.

451 Baillie, J.K., Barnett, M.W., Upton, K.R., Gerhardt, D.J., Richmond, T.A., De Sapio, F., Brennan,
452 P.M., Rizzu, P., Smith, S., Fell, M., *et al.* (2011). Somatic retrotransposition alters the genetic
453 landscape of the human brain. *Nature* 479, 534-537.

454 Beck, C.R., Collier, P., Macfarlane, C., Malig, M., Kidd, J.M., Eichler, E.E., Badge, R.M., and
455 Moran, J.V. (2010). LINE-1 retrotransposition activity in human genomes. *Cell* 141, 1159-1170.

456 Becker, K.G., Swergold, G.D., Ozato, K., and Thayer, R.E. (1993). Binding of the ubiquitous
457 nuclear transcription factor YY1 to a cis regulatory sequence in the human LINE-1 transposable
458 element. *Hum Mol Genet* 2, 1697-1702.

459 Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
460 sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114-2120.

461 Brouha, B., Schustak, J., Badge, R.M., Lutz-Prigge, S., Farley, A.H., Moran, J.V., and Kazazian,
462 H.H., Jr. (2003). Hot L1s account for the bulk of retrotransposition in the human population. *Proc*
463 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100, 5280-5285.

464 Burns, K.H. (2017). Transposable elements in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 17, 415-424.

465 Castro-Diaz, N., Ecco, G., Coluccio, A., Kapopoulou, A., Yazdanpanah, B., Friedli, M., Duc, J.,
466 Jang, S.M., Turelli, P., and Trono, D. (2014). Evolutionally dynamic L1 regulation in embryonic
467 stem cells. *Genes Dev* 28, 1397-1409.

468 Coufal, N.G., Garcia-Perez, J.L., Peng, G.E., Yeo, G.W., Mu, Y., Lovci, M.T., Morell, M., O'Shea,
469 K.S., Moran, J.V., and Gage, F.H. (2009). L1 retrotransposition in human neural progenitor cells.
470 *Nature* 460, 1127-1131.

471 de la Rica, L., Deniz, O., Cheng, K.C., Todd, C.D., Cruz, C., Houseley, J., and Branco, M.R.
472 (2016). TET-dependent regulation of retrotransposable elements in mouse embryonic stem cells.
473 *Genome Biol* 17, 234.

474 DeLuca, D.S., Levin, J.Z., Sivachenko, A., Fennell, T., Nazaire, M.D., Williams, C., Reich, M.,
475 Winckler, W., and Getz, G. (2012). RNA-SeQC: RNA-seq metrics for quality control and process
476 optimization. *Bioinformatics* 28, 1530-1532.

477 Denli, A.M., Narvaiza, I., Kerman, B.E., Pena, M., Benner, C., Marchetto, M.C., Diedrich, J.K.,
478 Aslanian, A., Ma, J., Moresco, J.J., *et al.* (2015). Primate-specific ORF0 contributes to
479 retrotransposon-mediated diversity. *Cell* 163, 583-593.

480 Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M.,
481 and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29, 15-21.

482 Dombroski, B.A., Scott, A.F., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr. (1993). Two additional potential
483 retrotransposons isolated from a human L1 subfamily that contains an active retrotransposable
484 element. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 90, 6513-6517.

485 Doucet-O'Hare, T.T., Sharma, R., Rodic, N., Anders, R.A., Burns, K.H., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr.
486 (2016). Somatically Acquired LINE-1 Insertions in Normal Esophagus Undergo Clonal Expansion
487 in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Hum Mutat* 37, 942-954.

488 Ecco, G., Cassano, M., Kauzlaric, A., Duc, J., Coluccio, A., Offner, S., Imbeault, M., Rowe, H.M.,
489 Turelli, P., and Trono, D. (2016). Transposable Elements and Their KRAB-ZFP Controllers
490 Regulate Gene Expression in Adult Tissues. *Dev Cell* 36, 611-623.

491 ENCODE Project Consortium (2012). An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human
492 genome. *Nature* 489, 57-74.

493 Erwin, J.A., Paquola, A.C., Singer, T., Gallina, I., Novotny, M., Quayle, C., Bedrosian, T.A.,
494 Alves, F.I., Butcher, C.R., Herdy, J.R., *et al.* (2016). L1-associated genomic regions are deleted in
495 somatic cells of the healthy human brain. *Nat Neurosci* 19, 1583-1591.

496 Evrony, G.D., Cai, X., Lee, E., Hills, L.B., Elhosary, P.C., Lehmann, H.S., Parker, J.J., Atabay,
497 K.D., Gilmore, E.C., Poduri, A., *et al.* (2012). Single-neuron sequencing analysis of L1
498 retrotransposition and somatic mutation in the human brain. *Cell* 151, 483-496.

499 Evrony, G.D., Lee, E., Mehta, B.K., Benjamini, Y., Johnson, R.M., Cai, X., Yang, L., Haseley, P.,
500 Lehmann, H.S., Park, P.J., *et al.* (2015). Cell lineage analysis in human brain using endogenous
501 retroelements. *Neuron* 85, 49-59.

502 Ewing, A.D., Gacita, A., Wood, L.D., Ma, F., Xing, D., Kim, M.S., Manda, S.S., Abril, G., Pereira,
503 G., Makohon-Moore, A., *et al.* (2015). Widespread somatic L1 retrotransposition occurs early
504 during gastrointestinal cancer evolution. *Genome Res* 25, 1536-1545.

505 Ewing, A.D., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr. (2010). High-throughput sequencing reveals extensive
506 variation in human-specific L1 content in individual human genomes. *Genome Res* 20, 1262-1270.

507 Ewing, A.D., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr. (2011). Whole-genome resequencing allows detection of
508 many rare LINE-1 insertion alleles in humans. *Genome Res* 21, 985-990.

509 Faulkner, G.J., and Garcia-Perez, J.L. (2017). L1 Mosaicism in Mammals: Extent, Effects, and
510 Evolution. *Trends Genet* 33, 802-816.

511 Faulkner, G.J., Kimura, Y., Daub, C.O., Wani, S., Plessy, C., Irvine, K.M., Schroder, K., Cloonan,
512 N., Steptoe, A.L., Lassmann, T., *et al.* (2009). The regulated retrotransposon transcriptome of
513 mammalian cells. *Nat Genet* 41, 563-571.

514 Feng, Q., Moran, J.V., Kazazian, H.H., Jr., and Boeke, J.D. (1996). Human L1 retrotransposon
515 encodes a conserved endonuclease required for retrotransposition. *Cell* 87, 905-916.

516 Flicek, P., Amode, M.R., Barrell, D., Beal, K., Brent, S., Carvalho-Silva, D., Clapham, P., Coates,
517 G., Fairley, S., Fitzgerald, S., *et al.* (2012). Ensembl 2012. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40, D84-90.

518 Forrest, A.R., Kawaji, H., Rehli, M., Baillie, J.K., de Hoon, M.J., Haberle, V., Lassmann, T.,
519 Kulakovskiy, I.V., Lizio, M., Itoh, M., *et al.* (2014). A promoter-level mammalian expression
520 atlas. *Nature* 507, 462-470.

521 Garcia-Perez, J.L., Morell, M., Scheys, J.O., Kulpa, D.A., Morell, S., Carter, C.C., Hammer, G.D.,
522 Collins, K.L., O'Shea, K.S., Menendez, P., *et al.* (2010). Epigenetic silencing of engineered L1
523 retrotransposition events in human embryonic carcinoma cells. *Nature* 466, 769-773.

524 Gardner, E.J., Lam, V.K., Harris, D.N., Chuang, N.T., Scott, E.C., Pittard, W.S., Mills, R.E., The
525 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, and Devine, S.E. (2017). The Mobile Element Locator Tool
526 (MELT): population-scale mobile element discovery and biology. *Genome Res* 27, 1916-1929.

527 Goodier, J.L. (2016). Restricting retrotransposons: a review. *Mob DNA* 7, 16.

528 Goodier, J.L., Ostertag, E.M., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr. (2000). Transduction of 3'-flanking
529 sequences is common in L1 retrotransposition. *Hum Mol Genet* 9, 653-657.

530 Gowher, H., and Jeltsch, A. (2001). Enzymatic properties of recombinant Dnmt3a DNA
531 methyltransferase from mouse: the enzyme modifies DNA in a non-processive manner and also
532 methylates non-CpG [correction of non-CpA] sites. *J Mol Biol* 309, 1201-1208.

533 Grandi, F.C., Rosser, J.M., and An, W. (2013). LINE-1-derived poly(A) microsatellites undergo
534 rapid shortening and create somatic and germline mosaicism in mice. *Mol Biol Evol* 30, 503-512.

535 Grandi, F.C., Rosser, J.M., Newkirk, S.J., Yin, J., Jiang, X., Xing, Z., Whitmore, L., Bashir, S.,
536 Ivics, Z., Izsvak, Z., *et al.* (2015). Retrotransposition creates sloping shores: a graded influence of
537 hypomethylated CpG islands on flanking CpG sites. *Genome Res* 25, 1135-1146.

538 Guo, W., Fiziev, P., Yan, W., Cokus, S., Sun, X., Zhang, M.Q., Chen, P.Y., and Pellegrini, M.
539 (2013). BS-Seeker2: a versatile aligning pipeline for bisulfite sequencing data. *BMC Genomics*
540 14, 774.

541 Hata, K., and Sakaki, Y. (1997). Identification of critical CpG sites for repression of L1
542 transcription by DNA methylation. *Gene* 189, 227-234.

543 Helman, E., Lawrence, M.S., Stewart, C., Sougnez, C., Getz, G., and Meyerson, M. (2014).
544 Somatic retrotransposition in human cancer revealed by whole-genome and exome sequencing.
545 *Genome Res* 24, 1053-1063.

546 Heras, S.R., Macias, S., Plass, M., Fernandez, N., Cano, D., Eyraas, E., Garcia-Perez, J.L., and
547 Caceres, J.F. (2013). The Microprocessor controls the activity of mammalian retrotransposons.
548 *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 20, 1173-1181.

549 Hervouet, E., Vallette, F.M., and Cartron, P.F. (2009). Dnmt3/transcription factor interactions as
550 crucial players in targeted DNA methylation. *Epigenetics* 4, 487-499.

551 Holmes, S.E., Dombroski, B.A., Krebs, C.M., Boehm, C.D., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr. (1994). A
552 new retrotransposable human L1 element from the LRE2 locus on chromosome 1q produces a
553 chimaeric insertion. *Nat Genet* 7, 143-148.

554 Hormozdiari, F., Alkan, C., Ventura, M., Hajirasouliha, I., Malig, M., Hach, F., Yorukoglu, D.,
555 Dao, P., Bakhshi, M., Sahinalp, S.C., *et al.* (2011). Alu repeat discovery and characterization
556 within human genomes. *Genome Res* 21, 840-849.

557 Imbeault, M., Helleboid, P.Y., and Trono, D. (2017). KRAB zinc-finger proteins contribute to the
558 evolution of gene regulatory networks. *Nature* 543, 550-554.

559 Iskow, R.C., McCabe, M.T., Mills, R.E., Torene, S., Pittard, W.S., Neuwald, A.F., Van Meir, E.G.,
560 Vertino, P.M., and Devine, S.E. (2010). Natural mutagenesis of human genomes by endogenous
561 retrotransposons. *Cell* 141, 1253-1261.

562 Jacobs, F.M., Greenberg, D., Nguyen, N., Haeussler, M., Ewing, A.D., Katzman, S., Paten, B.,
563 Salama, S.R., and Haussler, D. (2014). An evolutionary arms race between KRAB zinc-finger
564 genes ZNF91/93 and SVA/L1 retrotransposons. *Nature* 516, 242-245.

565 Jurka, J. (1997). Sequence patterns indicate an enzymatic involvement in integration of
566 mammalian retrotransposons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 94, 1872-1877.

567 Kazazian, H.H., Jr., and Moran, J.V. (2017). Mobile DNA in Health and Disease. *N Engl J Med*
568 *377*, 361-370.

569 Khan, H., Smit, A., and Boissinot, S. (2006). Molecular evolution and tempo of amplification of
570 human LINE-1 retrotransposons since the origin of primates. *Genome Res* *16*, 78-87.

571 Kim, J., and Kim, J. (2009). YY1's longer DNA-binding motifs. *Genomics* *93*, 152-158.

572 Klawitter, S., Fuchs, N.V., Upton, K.R., Munoz-Lopez, M., Shukla, R., Wang, J., Garcia-Canadas,
573 M., Lopez-Ruiz, C., Gerhardt, D.J., Sebe, A., *et al.* (2016). Reprogramming triggers endogenous
574 L1 and Alu retrotransposition in human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Commun* *7*, 10286.

575 Kopera, H.C., Larson, P.A., Moldovan, J.B., Richardson, S.R., Liu, Y., and Moran, J.V. (2016).
576 LINE-1 Cultured Cell Retrotransposition Assay. In *Methods Mol Biol*, J.L. Garcia-Perez, ed.
577 (Humana Press, New York, NY), pp. 139-156.

578 Kuhn, A., Ong, Y.M., Cheng, C.Y., Wong, T.Y., Quake, S.R., and Burkholder, W.F. (2014).
579 Linkage disequilibrium and signatures of positive selection around LINE-1 retrotransposons in the
580 human genome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* *111*, 8131-8136.

581 Kumaki, Y., Oda, M., and Okano, M. (2008). QUMA: quantification tool for methylation analysis.
582 *Nucleic Acids Res* *36*, W170-175.

583 Li, H. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.
584 arXiv:13033997 [q-bioGN] *March*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997>.

585 Liao, J., Karnik, R., Gu, H., Ziller, M.J., Clement, K., Tsankov, A.M., Akopian, V., Gifford, C.A.,
586 Donaghey, J., Galonska, C., *et al.* (2015). Targeted disruption of DNMT1, DNMT3A and
587 DNMT3B in human embryonic stem cells. *Nat Genet* *47*, 469-478.

588 Liu, N., Lee, C.H., Swigut, T., Grow, E., Gu, B., Bassik, M.C., and Wysocka, J. (2018). Selective
589 silencing of euchromatic L1s revealed by genome-wide screens for L1 regulators. *Nature* *553*,
590 228-232.

591 Luan, D.D., Korman, M.H., Jakubczak, J.L., and Eickbush, T.H. (1993). Reverse transcription of
592 R2Bm RNA is primed by a nick at the chromosomal target site: a mechanism for non-LTR
593 retrotransposition. *Cell* *72*, 595-605.

594 Macia, A., Munoz-Lopez, M., Cortes, J.L., Hastings, R.K., Morell, S., Lucena-Aguilar, G.,
595 Marchal, J.A., Badge, R.M., and Garcia-Perez, J.L. (2011). Epigenetic control of retrotransposon
596 expression in human embryonic stem cells. *Mol Cell Biol* *31*, 300-316.

597 Macia, A., Widmann, T.J., Heras, S.R., Ayllon, V., Sanchez, L., Benkaddour-Boumzaouad, M.,
598 Munoz-Lopez, M., Rubio, A., Amador-Cubero, S., Blanco-Jimenez, E., *et al.* (2017). Engineered
599 LINE-1 retrotransposition in nondividing human neurons. *Genome Res* *27*, 335-348.

600 Magoc, T., and Salzberg, S.L. (2011). FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve
601 genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* *27*, 2957-2963.

602 Marchetto, M.C.N., Narvaiza, I., Denli, A.M., Benner, C., Lazzarini, T.A., Nathanson, J.L.,
603 Paquola, A.C.M., Desai, K.N., Herai, R.H., Weitzman, M.D., *et al.* (2013). Differential L1
604 regulation in pluripotent stem cells of humans and apes. *Nature* 503, 525-529.

605 McConnell, M.J., Moran, J.V., Abyzov, A., Akbarian, S., Bae, T., Cortes-Ciriano, I., Erwin, J.A.,
606 Fasching, L., Flasch, D.A., Freed, D., *et al.* (2017). Intersection of diverse neuronal genomes and
607 neuropsychiatric disease: The Brain Somatic Mosaicism Network. *Science* 356, eaal1641.

608 Mills, R.E., Bennett, E.A., Iskow, R.C., and Devine, S.E. (2007). Which transposable elements are
609 active in the human genome? *Trends Genet* 23, 183-191.

610 Moran, J.V., DeBerardinis, R.J., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr. (1999). Exon shuffling by L1
611 retrotransposition. *Science* 283, 1530-1534.

612 Moran, J.V., Holmes, S.E., Naas, T.P., DeBerardinis, R.J., Boeke, J.D., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr.
613 (1996). High frequency retrotransposition in cultured mammalian cells. *Cell* 87, 917-927.

614 Muotri, A.R., Chu, V.T., Marchetto, M.C., Deng, W., Moran, J.V., and Gage, F.H. (2005). Somatic
615 mosaicism in neuronal precursor cells mediated by L1 retrotransposition. *Nature* 435, 903-910.

616 Muotri, A.R., Marchetto, M.C., Coufal, N.G., Oefner, R., Yeo, G., Nakashima, K., and Gage, F.H.
617 (2010). L1 retrotransposition in neurons is modulated by MeCP2. *Nature* 468, 443-446.

618 Nguyen, T.H.M., Carreira, P.E., Sanchez-Luque, F.J., Schauer, S.N., Fagg, A.C., Richardson, S.R.,
619 Davies, C.M., Jesuadian, J.S., Kempen, M.H.C., Troskie, R.L., *et al.* (2018). L1 Retrotransposon
620 Heterogeneity in Ovarian Tumor Cell Evolution. *Cell Rep* 23, 3730-3740.

621 Ostertag, E.M., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr. (2001). Twin priming: a proposed mechanism for the
622 creation of inversions in L1 retrotransposition. *Genome Res* 11, 2059-2065.

623 Ostertag, E.M., Prak, E.T., DeBerardinis, R.J., Moran, J.V., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr. (2000).
624 Determination of L1 retrotransposition kinetics in cultured cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 28, 1418-
625 1423.

626 Philippe, C., Vargas-Landin, D.B., Doucet, A.J., van Essen, D., Vera-Otarola, J., Kuciak, M.,
627 Corbin, A., Nigumann, P., and Cristofari, G. (2016). Activation of individual L1 retrotransposon
628 instances is restricted to cell-type dependent permissive loci. *Elife* 5, e13926.

629 Pickeral, O.K., Makalowski, W., Boguski, M.S., and Boeke, J.D. (2000). Frequent human genomic
630 DNA transduction driven by LINE-1 retrotransposition. *Genome Res* 10, 411-415.

631 Richardson, S.R., Gerdes, P., Gerhardt, D.J., Sanchez-Luque, F.J., Bodea, G.O., Munoz-Lopez,
632 M., Jesuadian, J.S., Kempen, M.H.C., Carreira, P.E., Jeddloh, J.A., *et al.* (2017). Heritable L1
633 retrotransposition in the mouse primordial germline and early embryo. *Genome Res* 27, 1395-
634 1405.

635 Robbez-Masson, L., Tie, C.H.C., Conde, L., Tunbak, H., Husovsky, C., Tchasovnikarova, I.A.,
636 Timms, R.T., Herrero, J., Lehner, P.J., and Rowe, H.M. (2018). The HUSH complex cooperates
637 with TRIM28 to repress young retrotransposons and new genes. *Genome Res* 28, 836-845.

638 Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
639 differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139-140.

640 Rowe, H.M., Jakobsson, J., Mesnard, D., Rougemont, J., Reynard, S., Aktas, T., Maillard, P.V.,
641 Layard-Liesching, H., Verp, S., Marquis, J., *et al.* (2010). KAP1 controls endogenous retroviruses
642 in embryonic stem cells. *Nature* 463, 237-240.

643 Sanchez-Luque, F.J., Richardson, S.R., and Faulkner, G.J. (2017). Analysis of Somatic LINE-1
644 Insertions in Neurons. In *Genomic Mosaicism in Neurons and Other Cell Types*, J.M. Frade, and
645 F.H. Gage, eds. (Humana Press, New York, NY), pp. 219-251.

646 Sassaman, D.M., Dombroski, B.A., Moran, J.V., Kimberland, M.L., Naas, T.P., DeBerardinis,
647 R.J., Gabriel, A., Swergold, G.D., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr. (1997). Many human L1 elements are
648 capable of retrotransposition. *Nat Genet* 16, 37-43.

649 Schauer, S.N., Carreira, P.E., Shukla, R., Gerhardt, D.J., Gerdes, P., Sanchez-Luque, F.J., Nicoli,
650 P., Kindlova, M., Ghisletti, S., Santos, A.D., *et al.* (2018). L1 retrotransposition is a common
651 feature of mammalian hepatocarcinogenesis. *Genome Res* 28, 639-653.

652 Schlesinger, S., Lee, A.H., Wang, G.Z., Green, L., and Goff, S.P. (2013). Proviral silencing in
653 embryonic cells is regulated by Yin Yang 1. *Cell Rep* 4, 50-58.

654 Schmitges, F.W., Radovani, E., Najafabadi, H.S., Barazandeh, M., Campitelli, L.F., Yin, Y.,
655 Jolma, A., Zhong, G., Guo, H., Kanagalingam, T., *et al.* (2016). Multiparameter functional
656 diversity of human C2H2 zinc finger proteins. *Genome Res* 26, 1742-1752.

657 Scott, E.C., and Devine, S.E. (2017). The Role of Somatic L1 Retrotransposition in Human
658 Cancers. *Viruses* 9, 131.

659 Scott, E.C., Gardner, E.J., Masood, A., Chuang, N.T., Vertino, P.M., and Devine, S.E. (2016). A
660 hot L1 retrotransposon evades somatic repression and initiates human colorectal cancer. *Genome*
661 *Res* 26, 745-755.

662 Shukla, R., Upton, K.R., Munoz-Lopez, M., Gerhardt, D.J., Fisher, M.E., Nguyen, T., Brennan,
663 P.M., Baillie, J.K., Collino, A., Ghisletti, S., *et al.* (2013). Endogenous retrotransposition activates
664 oncogenic pathways in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell* 153, 101-111.

665 Speek, M. (2001). Antisense promoter of human L1 retrotransposon drives transcription of
666 adjacent cellular genes. *Mol Cell Biol* 21, 1973-1985.

667 Stewart, C., Kural, D., Stromberg, M.P., Walker, J.A., Konkel, M.K., Stutz, A.M., Urban, A.E.,
668 Grubert, F., Lam, H.Y., Lee, W.P., *et al.* (2011). A comprehensive map of mobile element insertion
669 polymorphisms in humans. *PLoS Genet* 7, e1002236.

670 Sudmant, P.H., Rausch, T., Gardner, E.J., Handsaker, R.E., Abyzov, A., Huddleston, J., Zhang,
671 Y., Ye, K., Jun, G., Fritz, M.H., *et al.* (2015). An integrated map of structural variation in 2,504
672 human genomes. *Nature* 526, 75-81.

673 Sun, X., Wang, X., Tang, Z., Grivainis, M., Kahler, D., Yun, C., Mita, P., Fenyo, D., and Boeke,
674 J.D. (2018). Transcription factor profiling reveals molecular choreography and key regulators of
675 human retrotransposon expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115, E5526-E5535.

676 Swergold, G.D. (1990). Identification, characterization, and cell specificity of a human LINE-1
677 promoter. *Mol Cell Biol* 10, 6718-6729.

678 Tarasov, A., Vilella, A.J., Cuppen, E., Nijman, I.J., and Prins, P. (2015). Sambamba: fast
679 processing of NGS alignment formats. *Bioinformatics* 31, 2032-2034.

680 Tubio, J.M.C., Li, Y., Ju, Y.S., Martincorena, I., Cooke, S.L., Tojo, M., Gundem, G., Pipinikas,
681 C.P., Zamora, J., Raine, K., *et al.* (2014). Mobile DNA in cancer. Extensive transduction of
682 nonrepetitive DNA mediated by L1 retrotransposition in cancer genomes. *Science* 345, 1251343.

683 Turelli, P., Castro-Diaz, N., Marzetta, F., Kapopoulou, A., Raclot, C., Duc, J., Tieng, V.,
684 Quenneville, S., and Trono, D. (2014). Interplay of TRIM28 and DNA methylation in controlling
685 human endogenous retroelements. *Genome Res* 24, 1260-1270.

686 Upton, K.R., Gerhardt, D.J., Jesuadian, J.S., Richardson, S.R., Sanchez-Luque, F.J., Bodea, G.O.,
687 Ewing, A.D., Salvador-Palomeque, C., van der Knaap, M.S., Brennan, P.M., *et al.* (2015).
688 Ubiquitous L1 mosaicism in hippocampal neurons. *Cell* 161, 228-239.

689 van den Hurk, J.A., Meij, I.C., Seleme, M.C., Kano, H., Nikopoulos, K., Hoefsloot, L.H.,
690 Sistermans, E.A., de Wijs, I.J., Mukhopadhyay, A., Plomp, A.S., *et al.* (2007). L1
691 retrotransposition can occur early in human embryonic development. *Hum Mol Genet* 16, 1587-
692 1592.

693 Walter, M., Teissandier, A., Perez-Palacios, R., and Bourc'his, D. (2016). An epigenetic switch
694 ensures transposon repression upon dynamic loss of DNA methylation in embryonic stem cells.
695 *Elife* 5, e11418.

696 Wang, J., Song, L., Grover, D., Azrak, S., Batzer, M.A., and Liang, P. (2006). dbRIP: a highly
697 integrated database of retrotransposon insertion polymorphisms in humans. *Hum Mutat* 27, 323-
698 329.

699 Weichenrieder, O., Repanas, K., and Perrakis, A. (2004). Crystal structure of the targeting
700 endonuclease of the human LINE-1 retrotransposon. *Structure* 12, 975-986.

701 Wissing, S., Munoz-Lopez, M., Macia, A., Yang, Z., Montano, M., Collins, W., Garcia-Perez, J.L.,
702 Moran, J.V., and Greene, W.C. (2012). Reprogramming somatic cells into iPS cells activates
703 LINE-1 retroelement mobility. *Hum Mol Genet* 21, 208-218.

704 Witherspoon, D.J., Xing, J., Zhang, Y., Watkins, W.S., Batzer, M.A., and Jorde, L.B. (2010).
705 Mobile element scanning (ME-Scan) by targeted high-throughput sequencing. *BMC Genomics*
706 *11*, 410.

707 Witherspoon, D.J., Zhang, Y., Xing, J., Watkins, W.S., Ha, H., Batzer, M.A., and Jorde, L.B.
708 (2013). Mobile element scanning (ME-Scan) identifies thousands of novel Alu insertions in
709 diverse human populations. *Genome Res* *23*, 1170-1181.

710 Wolf, G., Yang, P., Fuchtbauer, A.C., Fuchtbauer, E.M., Silva, A.M., Park, C., Wu, W., Nielsen,
711 A.L., Pedersen, F.S., and Macfarlan, T.S. (2015). The KRAB zinc finger protein ZFP809 is
712 required to initiate epigenetic silencing of endogenous retroviruses. *Genes Dev* *29*, 538-554.

713 Yang, N., Zhang, L., Zhang, Y., and Kazazian, H.H., Jr. (2003). An important role for RUNX3 in
714 human L1 transcription and retrotransposition. *Nucleic Acids Res* *31*, 4929-4940.

715 Yang, P., Wang, Y., and Macfarlan, T.S. (2017). The Role of KRAB-ZFPs in Transposable
716 Element Repression and Mammalian Evolution. *Trends Genet* *33*, 871-881.

717 Yu, M., Hon, G.C., Szulwach, K.E., Song, C.X., Zhang, L., Kim, A., Li, X., Dai, Q., Shen, Y.,
718 Park, B., *et al.* (2012). Base-resolution analysis of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in the mammalian
719 genome. *Cell* *149*, 1368-1380.

720 Zong, C., Lu, S., Chapman, A.R., and Xie, X.S. (2012). Genome-wide detection of single-
721 nucleotide and copy-number variations of a single human cell. *Science* *338*, 1622-1626.

722

723 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

724 **Figure 1. Somatic L1 insertion detection and characterization.**

725 **(A)** Human L1-Ta features. In the magnified 5'UTR view (bottom), SD1 and SD2 represent splice
726 donor sites within ORF0 that can splice into upstream antisense transcript exons. Transcription
727 factor binding sites are represented as boxes above (sense) or below (antisense) the 5'UTR. Solid
728 boxes represent experimentally validated sites. Orange strokes represent CpG dinucleotides.

729 **(B)** An integrated genomic approach to detect somatic L1 insertions in hippocampal neurons. Bulk
730 DNA from hippocampus and liver, and from 24 MDA-amplified hippocampal neurons, was
731 analyzed with Illumina WGS, RC-seq and L1-IP. A somatic L1 insertion was found on
732 chromosome 3 in neuron-#15 by each approach. Reads spanning the 5' or 3' L1-genome junctions
733 of this event are shown.

734 **(C)** PCR validation of a somatic L1 insertion found in CTRL-36 neuron-#15. Primers flanking the
735 L1 boundaries (symbols α , δ , γ and β) were used to amplify the L1 3' junction ($\delta+\beta$), 5' junction
736 ($\alpha+\gamma$) and complete sequence ($\alpha+\beta$). CTRL-36 templates included WGA material from neurons

737 #14-16, as well as bulk hippocampus (HIP) and liver (LIV) gDNA. Reactions involving CTRL-42
738 liver gDNA and no template control (NTC) were also performed.

739 **(D)** Complete characterization of the somatic L1 insertion via capillary sequencing. Integration
740 site nucleotides highlighted in red correspond to the target site duplication (TSD). The L1 was 5'
741 truncated with an inversion/deletion, as represented by L1-Ta consensus position numerals inside
742 the L1. A 3' transduction (brown box) indicated a donor L1 on chromosome 13 (Chr13Δ31_{L1}).
743 Please see Figure S1, and Tables S1 and S2 for further PCR validation details.

744

745 **Figure 2. Chr13Δ31_{L1} allele retrotransposition activity.**

746 **(A)** Chr13Δ31_{L1} genotype among 8 individuals (CTRL-#). Three Chr13Δ31_{L1} alleles in this cohort
747 were resolved by capillary sequencing. Their relationship based on sequence similarity is shown
748 in the cladogram. Nucleotide variants among the three alleles and the reference genome (REF)
749 allele, when compared to the L1-Ta consensus (top), are shown. Non-synonymous mutations are
750 highlighted in red.

751 **(B)** Chr13Δ31_{L1} alleles in a cultured HeLa cell retrotransposition assay (Moran et al., 1996).
752 Experimental approach involving neomycin (G418) selection is summarized at top (S, seeding; T,
753 transfection; M, change of media; R, result analysis; PA, polyadenylation signal; CMVp, CMV
754 promoter; numbers represent days of treatment with antibiotic). Elements were tested for
755 retrotransposition efficiency (RTSN), with and without CMVp, and included positive (L1.3) and
756 negative controls (L1.3 RT-), Chr13Δ31_{L1} alleles 1-3 (A1, A2 and A3), and allele 1 with its 5'
757 truncation restored (A1+31). Histogram values were normalized to L1.3 (+CMVp). Representative
758 well pictures, including an untransfected control, are shown.

759 **(C)** Chr13Δ31_{L1} allele retrotransposition, assayed as in (B) except using an EGFP-based reporter
760 system with puromycin selection (Ostertag et al., 2000), in differentiating and non-differentiating
761 PA-1 cells. Grey and white bars represent cells treated, or not treated, respectively, with
762 trichostatin A (TSA), which is known to release the EGFP reporter from silencing (Garcia-Perez
763 et al., 2010).

764 **(D)** Dual-luciferase promoter reporter assay for Chr13Δ31_{L1} alleles in sense and antisense
765 orientation, in HeLa and PA-1 cell lines. Histogram values were normalized to the positive control
766 enhanced SV40 promoter (eSV40p). EV, empty vector; A1, Chr13Δ31_{L1} allele 1; A2/3, alleles 2
767 and 3 (identical sequences); A1+31 and A2/3+31, alleles with 5' truncation restored.

768 Note: Panels (B-D) show mean values \pm SD (**, $p < 0.01$ and **** < 0.0001).
769 Please see Figure S2 for Chr13 Δ 31_{L1} genotyping, and L1 reporter assays in HEK293T cells.

770
771 **Figure 3. Chr13 Δ 31_{L1} is hypomethylated in human tissues and neuronal lineage cells.**
772 (A) Schematic illustration of the locus-specific, high-throughput analysis of L1 promoter CpG
773 methylation. For each L1, depicted on four different chromosomes, a bisulfite converted 5'UTR
774 and genomic flank is PCR amplified using a specific primer (α , β , γ or δ) matched with a common
775 L1 reverse primer (rev). Independent PCR products are combined into a barcoded Illumina library,
776 mixed with libraries similarly generated for other samples, and analyzed via 2 \times 300mer
777 sequencing. Note: genomic flanks are colored to match their chromosome of origin.

778 (B) Methylation of the overall L1-Ta family, Chr13 Δ 31_{L1}, two other 31nt 5' truncated elements
779 (Chr5 Δ 31_{L1} and Chr6 Δ 31_{L1}) and two full-length elements (Chr2 Δ 2_{L1} and Chr6FL_{L1}), in CTRL-36
780 hippocampus and liver tissues and H1 hESC neurodifferentiation. Each cartoon panel corresponds
781 to an amplicon and displays 50 non-identical sequences (black circle, methylated CpG; white
782 circle, unmethylated CpG; \times , mutated CpG) extracted at random from the corresponding and much
783 larger Illumina library. The percentage of methylated CpG is indicated in the lower right corner of
784 each cartoon. The Chr13 Δ 31_{L1} filled (FF) and empty (EF) allele genomic flanks were
785 discriminated by a linked SNP (rs9508517). Note: Chr5 Δ 31_{L1} was absent from the H1 genome.

786 (C) Methylation of the overall L1-Ta family and, where present, Chr13 Δ 31_{L1}, Chr5 Δ 31_{L1},
787 Chr6 Δ 31_{L1}, Chr2 Δ 2_{L1} and Chr6FL_{L1} in hippocampus and liver of 8 individuals. Data represent the
788 mean percentage methylation \pm SD obtained from 50 random sequences per amplicon and sample
789 (***, $p < 0.001$ and **** < 0.0001).

790 (D) Methylation of the overall L1-Ta family, Chr13 Δ 31_{L1}, Chr6 Δ 31_{L1}, Chr2 Δ 2_{L1} and Chr6FL_{L1}
791 during hESC neurodifferentiation, obtained by randomly sampling 1,000 sequences per amplicon
792 and sample.

793 Please see Figure S3 and Table S2 for additional methylation analysis information.

794
795 **Figure 4. A YY1 binding site mediates L1 promoter methylation.**
796 (A) Promoter CpG methylation (top graph) and proportion of unmethylated reads (bottom graph)
797 for a cohort of full-length and 5' truncated L1-Ta elements, and the overall L1-Ta family, in CTRL-
798 36 liver tissue. Data were obtained via the analysis of 50 non-identical random sequences per

799 amplicon. A dotted red line separates those L1s 5' truncated by $<14\text{nt}$ or $\geq 14\text{nt}$. The L1 5' end
800 sequence is displayed above the histograms and the YY1 binding site is shown in red. Chr11 Δ 14_{L1}
801 and Chr1 Δ 21_{L1}-LRE2 were not present in CTRL-36.

802 **(B)** As for (A), except displaying data obtained from H1 neurodifferentiation and using 1,000
803 randomly sampled reads per amplicon, with the exception of Chr22FL_{L1}-TTC28 and Chr1 Δ 21_{L1}-
804 LRE2, where are represented by 50 reads each. A sequence logo for the YY1 binding site (Kim
805 and Kim, 2009) is displayed along with the L1 5' end sequence above the histograms. Chr6 Δ 6_{L1},
806 Chr22 Δ 12_{L1} and Chr5 Δ 31_{L1} were not present in the H1 cell line.

807 **(C)** CpH methylation level at L1-Ta nucleotide +44 in the 28 L1-Ta elements analyzed in (B)
808 during H1 neurodifferentiation.

809 **(D)** Promoter CpG methylation level for the Chr17FL_{L1PA2} YY1 site double mutant, and the overall
810 L1PA2 family, during hESC neurodifferentiation and in CTRL-36 liver tissue. Each cartoon panel
811 displays 50 non-identical random sequences (black circle, methylated CpG; white circle,
812 unmethylated CpG; \times , mutated CpG) matching each amplicon. The percentage of methylated CpG
813 is indicated in the lower right corner of each cartoon.

814 Please see Figure 3, Figure S4 and Table S4 for supporting L1 methylation data.

815

816 **Figure 5. YY1 mediates methylation of young L1 families.**

817 **(A)** KAP1 binding was enriched across full-length members of older (L1PA3-L1PA6) L1 families,
818 whereas YY1 was bound more strongly to young (L1-Ta and L1PA2) families (left and middle).
819 YY1 binding was lower among 5' truncated young L1s, and full-length elements carrying YY1
820 site mutations, than for young L1s with intact YY1 sites (right). KAP1 and YY1 hESC ChIP-seq
821 data were obtained from prior studies (ENCODE Project Consortium, 2012; Turelli et al., 2014).

822 **(B)** Genome-wide methylcytosine (mC) and hydroxymethylcytosine (hmC) percentages for CpG
823 dinucleotides present in the first 300bp of L1-Ta and older L1 promoter sequences. Analyses were
824 performed for NeuN⁺ CTRL-36 hippocampal neurons (top) as well as using published H1 hESC
825 data (bottom) (ENCODE Project Consortium, 2012). Box plots indicate median, quartile and
826 extrema values for groups of elements 5' truncated by $<14\text{nt}$ or $\geq 14\text{nt}$ within each L1 family (***,
827 $p < 0.001$).

828 **(C)** As for (B), except displaying genome-wide hmC percentages obtained using published H1
829 hESC data (Yu et al., 2012) (**, $p < 0.01$ and ***, $p < 0.001$).

830 **(D)** Chr13Δ31_{L1} antisense transcript (NR_135320) expression during hESC neurodifferentiation
831 (left y-axis), normalized to *GAPDH* (blue) or *TBP* (red). The TaqMan primer/probe design used
832 to quantify NR_135320 abundance is shown above the graph. Primers (ε and δ) flank the probe,
833 which in turn spanned the (NR_135320) splice junction. Values represent the mean ± SD (**,
834 p<0.01, ***, p<0.001 and ****, p<0.0001). Chr13Δ31_{L1} methylation (green, right y-axis) was
835 determined by locus-specific bisulfite sequencing of DNA from the same samples.
836 Please see Figure S5 for additional analyses of Chr13Δ31_{L1} antisense transcription, and Figures 3
837 and S3 for Chr13Δ31_{L1} bisulfite sequencing results during H1 differentiation.

838

839 **Figure 6. Genomic environment influences donor L1 regulation.**

840 **(A)** Chr8Δ3_{L1} locus methylation. Top: an expressed sequence tag (EST: DA461809, GENCODE),
841 indicated an upstream RNA spliced into Chr8Δ3_{L1}, which coincided with a previously reported 5'
842 transduction in a somatic L1 insertion (Evrony et al., 2012). A potential transcription start site
843 (TSS) for the spliced and transduced RNA template was delineated by FANTOM5 (Forrest et al.,
844 2014). Middle: Chr8Δ3_{L1} promoter and upstream methylation cartoons displaying 50 random, non-
845 identical sequences (black circle, methylated CpG; white circle, unmethylated CpG; ×, mutated
846 CpG). The percentage of methylated CpG is indicated in the lower right corner of each cartoon.
847 Below: average Chr8Δ3_{L1} promoter methylation in hippocampus (HIP) and liver (LIV) tissue from
848 Chr8Δ3_{L1} carrier individuals CTRL-28 and CTRL-42, and the upstream region in all 8 individuals.
849 Values represent the mean methylation ± SD indicated by 50 random sequences corresponding to
850 each amplicon and sample. Statistical differences were analyzed pairwise between upstream CpG
851 dinucleotides (****, p<0.0001; ***, p<0.001, *, p<0.05).

852 **(B)** Chr22FL_{L1}-TTC28 promoter methylation in hippocampus (HIP) and liver (LIV) tissue. Values
853 represent the mean methylation ± SD in 8 individuals. Chr22FL_{L1}-TTC28 was significantly
854 hypomethylated in liver tissues (****, p<0.0001).

855 **(C)** Methylation of the Chr1Δ21_{L1}-LRE2 promoter and a ~2.7kb upstream L1PA13 copy during
856 hESC neurodifferentiation. As indicated, the L1PA13 sequence contains an intact YY1 binding
857 site utilized in H1 cells. Cartoon panels were generated as in (A).

858 Please see Figures S4 and S6 and Table S2 for supporting L1 methylation data.

859

860 (Anders et al., 2015) a (Bolger et al., 2014) a (DeLuca et al., 2012) a (Dobin et al., 2013) a
861 (Dombroski et al., 1993) a (Ewing et al., 2015) a (Ewing and Kazazian, 2011) a (Flicek et al.,
862 2012) a (Guo et al., 2013) a (Helman et al., 2014) a (Heras et al., 2013) a (Hormozdiari et al., 2011)
863 a (Kopera et al., 2016) a (Kuhn et al., 2014) a (Kumaki et al., 2008) a (Li, 2013) a (Magoc and
864 Salzberg, 2011) a (Robinson et al., 2010) a (Sanchez-Luque et al., 2017) a (Stewart et al., 2011) a
865 (Sudmant et al., 2015) a (Tarasov et al., 2015) a (Wang et al., 2006)a (Witherspoon et al., 2010) a
866 (Witherspoon et al., 2013)