

# **Efficiency tests for automatic homogenization methods of monthly temperature and precipitation series “MULTITEST”**

**Peter Domonkos<sup>1</sup> and José A. Guijarro<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Climate Change, University Rovira i Virgili,  
Tortosa, Spain, e-mail:dpeterfree@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Spanish Meteorological Agency (AEMET)

# Aims of MULTITEST

- Testing of monthly homogenization softwares with large test datasets of varied climatic and inhomogeneity properties and identifying the best performing methods;
- Clarifying the relations between efficiencies and test dataset characteristics;
- Finding the minimum conditions for automatic methods in terms of the number of comparable time series, their length and their spatial correlations;
- Providing a large size benchmark dataset for the climatological community characterizing the observed climate of various geographical regions;

# Scope of MULTITEST

- Efficiency tests for the homogenization of monthly temperature and monthly precipitation datasets;
- Only automatic methods or semiautomatic methods with default parameterization will be tested;
- Wide range of test dataset properties:
  - climate,
  - network density,
  - inhomogeneity properties,
  - length of time series,
  - missing data fields.

# Important and timely (?)

- Variability of monthly and annual means is still an important issue;
- Methodology is better developed for monthly and annual scale data and the potential improvement of data quality is the clearest with the homogenization of annual and monthly data;
- The HOME benchmark with its 15 networks was too small and could not include the examination of the impact of various dataset properties;
- There are new softwares, which should be tested;
- Most inhomogeneities cannot be quantified with parallel measurements.

# Evaluation of efficiency

- Centred RMSE of monthly values;
- Centred RMSE of annual values;
- RMSE of trend bias;
- RMSE of network mean trend bias.

# Principles of methodology

- Parent networks of at least 100, spatially well correlating time series are built, then subsets of pre-set size are randomly selected;
- Both real data based and synthetic test datasets are used;
- Forms of inhomogeneities: shift, trend, platform;
- True frequency of inhomogeneities is usually higher than that of the detected frequency;
- Inhomogeneity properties are widely varied.

# Homogeneous benchmark

- Regional differences of climate is more important for precipitation than for temperature;
- Real data based section of benchmark:
  - advantage: it characterizes best the spatial – temporal structures of observed data;
  - drawback: presence of residual inhomogeneities;
- Synthetic section:
  - advantage: fully homogeneous;
  - drawback: imperfect spatial-temporal structures

# Homogeneous benchmark

- Temperature, real data based section
  - USA data, Rachel Warren's dataset
  - Spanish data (AEMET)
- Temperature, synthetic section
  - Spatially correlated white noise, 3 versions of predominating spatial correlations



# Homogeneous benchmark

- Precipitation, real data based section
  - Mediterranean climate: Mallorca (AEMET)
  - oceanic climate: Ireland (Met Éireann)
  - continental climate: CARPATCLIM gridded observational data ([www.carpatclim-eu.org](http://www.carpatclim-eu.org))
- Precipitation, synthetic section
  - Climate of northern Spain, two versions of predominant spatial correlations
  - Monsoon climate, modelling climate of India, two versions of predominant spatial correlations

# Parameterization

- Length of time series: 30yr, 60yr, 120yr
  - Number of time series in network: 4, **5**, 7, **10**, **25**, 40
  - Missing data: **0%**, 10%, 30%; 25 series & 70% missing data
  - Form of inhomogeneities: shift, trend, platform
  - Three kinds of standard dev. of inhomogeneities (low, medium, high)
- |                          |                 |                        |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| • Frequency in 100yr     | Temperature     | Precipitation          |
| • Frequency of shift     | 3 <b>5</b> 7    | 1 <b>3</b>             |
| • Frequency of trend     | 1               | 1                      |
| • Frequency of platform  | 1 3 10          | 1 3                    |
| • Seasonality of biases: | - semi-sinusoid | - no seasonality       |
|                          | - other         | - winter biases differ |

# Interactive contact and transparency

- New softwares are accepted for testing until the end of 2016
- Parent benchmark will be published at the beginning of 2017
- Datasets of selected experiments will be published

Thank you for your  
attention!

