

109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

DOI: 10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Publisher Rights CC BY-NC-ND

University of Bath

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

- 1 A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann
- 2 Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal
- 3 conductivity of composite material
- 4 Xinyuan Ke¹* Yu Duan²
- 5 1. Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering, University of Bath, Bath BA2
- 6 7AY, United Kingdom
- 7 2. Department of Mechanical Engineering, Imperial College London, London, UK
- 8 *Corresponding author. E-mail: <u>x.ke@bath.ac.uk</u>, Tel: +44 (0) 1225 384020

9 Highlights

- An in-house coded SVRT-LBM solver for predicting effective thermal
 conductivity
- 12 An optimized method to choose spatially varying relaxation parameters
- Taking into consideration contact resistance, filler geometry and size
 distribution

15

16 Graphic abstract



17

18 A SVRP-LBM solver for predicting the effective thermal conductivities of composite

19 materials with varying fillers size distributions and geometries.

20

21 Abstract

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

22 Functional filler-reinforced composite materials play critical roles in thermal management in various engineering applications. In this study, an in-house coded 23 spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) 24 solver has been developed for predicting the effective thermal conductivity (ETC) of 25 simulated composite materials. A randomly dispersed filler generator (RDFG) 26 27 incorporating Monte Carlo random sampling method has been developed for reconstructing the microstructure of composite materials. The artificial composite 28 materials with functional fillers of different geometries and particle size are studied. 29 The SVRP-LBM is validated against FVM perditions and theoretical models. The 30 spatially-varying relaxation parameters method has been used to reflect materials with 31 different thermophysical properties, including the interfacial contact resistance 32 between the matrix-filler interfaces. It is demonstrated that the lowest relaxation 33 parameters should be around 1.0 in order to achieve a higher accuracy of LBM 34 35 predictions. The effects of filler geometry and particle sizes on the ETC are also assessed. The shape and orientation of the anisotropic filler have strong effects on the 36 ETC. After the geometry of the filler in the numerical models being adjusted 37 accordingly to the real fillers, the predictions show good agreement with experimental 38 39 data. All in all, the SVRP-LBM solver has shown good capability and accuracy for predicting the ETC of composite material. 40

41 *Keywords:*

- 42 Lattice Boltzmann
- 43 Spatially-varying relaxation parameters
- 44 Numerical prediction
- 45 Composite materials
- 46 Effective thermal conductivity
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

58 Nomenclature

Letters

- Pseudo sound speed (m/s) c_s
- $\vec{c_i}$ Discrete lattice speed at direction i (m/s)
- d Dimensionless LBM diffusion coefficient
- Distribution function
- $f_i \\ f_i^{eq}$ Equilibrium distribution function
- D2Q9 LBM velocity direction (i=0~8) i
- k Thermal conductivity $(W/m \cdot K)$
- Effective thermal conductivity $(W/m \cdot K)$ *k_{eff}*
- Heat flux (W/m^2) $\frac{q}{\hat{r}}$
- Position vector (m)
- t Time (s)
- Dimensionless LBM time step t_{LBM}
- Weighting factor at direction *i* W_i
- Composite cross-sectional area (m²) Α
- Specific thermal capacity (J/kg·K) C_p
- Thermal diffusivity (m^2/s) D_{diff}
- Composite thickness (m) L
- Ν Total number of lattice
- Т Temperature (K)
- ΔT Temperature difference (K)

Greek symbols

- Density (kg/m^3) ρ
- Dimensionless relaxation time τ
- ξ Average particle size (mean value)
- σ^2 Variance
- Filler volume fraction φ
- Volume fraction of phase n (n=1, 2, 3...) φ_n
- Dimensionless relaxation parameter ω
- Collision operator at direction *i* Ω_i

59

60

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

62 1 Introduction

In recent decades, there has been rapid progress in the synthesis and processing of 63 composite materials enhanced with functional fillers [1-3]. These functional filler-64 reinforced composite materials are widely used for thermal management in various 65 applications, such as energy storage [4], electrolyte fuel cell [5], small electronic 66 67 devices [6, 7], and thermal insulation in buildings [8]. As these materials play more 68 and more important roles in our everyday life, there have been increasing demands for better scientific understanding of the heat transfer process within these materials at 69 microscopic and mesoscopic scales [9]. 70

Thermal conduction is the main heat transfer mechanism that occurs in composite 71 materials [10, 11]. The thermal conductivity of composite material plays critical roles 72 in assessing its thermal performances, such as thermal insulation and heat dissipation 73 74 [11-13]. The effective thermal conductivity (ETC) is the most commonly used parameter for characterising the thermal performance of composite materials [11, 14]. 75 The ETC of a composite material is determined by many factors, including the 76 thermophysical properties of both the matrix and filler materials, volume fractions, 77 geometries and distributions of the functional fillers [10, 13, 15]. Both experimental 78 methods and modelling methods can be used to assess the ETC values. The 79 experimental methods include steady-state methods, such as guarded hot plate method, 80 axial flow method, and heat flow meter method; and transient methods, such as flash 81 82 method, transient hot-wire method, and transient plane source method [16]. The modelling methods include theoretical modelling and numerical modelling. The 83 theoretical models for composite materials can be categorized into two classes, the 84 85 effective medium approximation (EMA) and the micromechanics method. The EMA 86 methods include the Maxwell-Eucken model [17] and its extensions, while the micromechanics method includes Mori-Tanaka (M-T) model [18] and Benvensite's 87 model [17]. A summary of these existing theoretical models for predicting ETC of 88 polymer-based composite material can be found in Zhai et al. [14]. 89

90 Owing to the recent development of computational techniques, numerical simulation methods have attracted growing attention as powerful tools to predict the 91 92 ETC of composite materials at multiple scales [14]. The finite-difference methods (FDM) and finite volume method (FVM) are widely used for the macroscale (>1mm) 93 94 thermal performance modelling [19, 20]. In comparison, the Lattice Boltzmann Method (LBM) shows better precision and faster time evolution when dealing with 95 96 heat transfer at mesoscales (1µm to 1mm) [21-23]. However, when the nanomaterials (1nm to 1µm) are used as functional fillers, such as 2D graphene sheets, molecular 97 dynamic (MD) models are often used to reconstruct their nanoscale features [24]. For 98 functional composite materials, the scales of the fillers, such as metals [1, 25], 99 functional ceramics [6, 7], and graphite [2, 26], are normally around few micrometres, 100 101 making the LBM a better method to simulate the thermal performance of these materials. 102

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

103 The Lattice Boltzmann Method (LBM), originated from the lattice gas automata (LGA) method and developed based on the Boltzmann kinetic equation is a powerful 104 mesoscopic approach. The method can cooperate with the complex geometry 105 boundary conditions and various interactions between particles [14, 21]. Wang et al. 106 [27] used LBM to predict the ETC of a random open foam porous material. Lu et al. 107 [28] studied the conjugate heat transfer phenomena at the solid-liquid interfaces using 108 LBM. Fang et al. [29] used LBM to predict the thermal conductivity of braided fabric 109 composites. And, Li et al. [7] used the same method to study the effects of fillers size 110 on the ETC of thermal interfacial material for the heat dissipation in LED. 111

Despite the recent progress in using LBM to predict the ETC of composite 112 materials, tuning of relaxation parameters in order to achieve stable and accurate 113 simulations remains as a challenge [30]. In general, the choice of suitable relaxation 114 parameter (ω) is crucial for the accuracy and stableness of the LBM simulation [23, 115 30]. Wang et al. [31] suggested that the ω should be between 0.5 to 2 to ensure 116 117 stableness for the simulation of the conjugate heat transfer at the solid-liquid interfaces. Walther et al. [23] suggested that for the ionic diffusion process in two-118 phase materials with large diffusivity ratios, stable LBM simulation results can only 119 be achieved when the ω for both materials is within 0.1 and 1.0. However, the effect 120 121 of ω values on simulation accuracy has not yet been discussed.

The Monte Carlo random sampling method has been applied for generating of 122 123 microstructures which is representative of laboratory synthesised materials [22, 32]. Zhou et al. [22] used randomly dispersed fillers with uniformly distributed filler sizes. 124 This treatment has its limitation to represent the actual microstructure of composite 125 126 materials, as particle distributions similar to the normal distribution were often 127 observed in experiments [6]. Deng et al. [33] used statistical self-similarity fractal geometry to reconstruct the self-similar random porous structure; however, the fixed 128 filler location was adapted which was not ideal to represent the composite materials 129 reinforced by randomly dispersed fillers. It remains unclear how the distribution of 130 particle sizes will affect the thermal performance of a composite. The shape of the 131 particles impacts the macroscale thermal properties of the composite material, and 132 should not be neglected [10]. Moreover, the effects of the orientation of fillers need to 133 be better understood, if the filler particles possess the anisotropic shape. In addition, 134 the heat conduction occurred at the interfaces between the functional filler and the 135 matrix material also plays critical roles in the overall thermal performance of the 136 137 composite material [34-36]. The main physical phenomenon to consider here is interfacial contact resistance due to imperfect contact (or roughness) between the 138 surfaces that leads to large phonon scattering and temperature differences [37]. It 139 would be necessary to test the ability of the LBM solver to reflect this physical 140 phenomenon. 141

In this study, an in-house coded spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice
Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) solver has been developed for predicting the ETC
of various composite materials. The paper is organised as follows: a brief introduction

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

to the LBM method and randomly dispersed filler generator are included in section 2.

Section 3 is contributed to discuss the benchmark study as well as the effect of the spatially varying relaxation parameters on the stableness and accuracy of the LBM simulation. In section 4, the effect of the random features of the fillers, distribution of filler size and location and orientation of the anisotropic fillers, the thermal conduction behaviours between the filler and matrix interfaces are discussed, as well

as validation of the SVRP-LBM solver with respect to the real composite materials.

152

153 **2** Methodologies

- 154 2.1 Governing equations
- 155 The heat conduction in the lattice domain is governed by equation (1),

$$\rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = k \nabla^2 T \tag{1}$$

where ρ , C_p and k are the density, specific thermal capacity, and thermal conductivity of the material, respectively.

158 2.2. Lattice Boltzmann method

In this paper, the single-relaxation-time D2Q9 LBM is used. We will give a brief introduction to the methodology in this section. For readers' interested in this method, please refer to [30, 38] for more information.

162 The kinetic LB equation can be written as,

$$\frac{\partial f_i(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} + \vec{c}_i \cdot \frac{\partial f_i(\vec{r},t)}{\partial \vec{r}} = \Omega_i$$
(2)

163 where $f_i(\vec{r}, t)$ represents the probability distribution function in direction *i* (i=0~8,

164 Figure 1) at location \vec{r} at time *t*. Ω_i is the collision operator.

165



167 Figure 1 Schematic diagram of D2Q9 velocity directions model.

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

168

In this study, the Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) collision approximation is used,which can be written as [39],

$$\Omega_i = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left[f_i(\vec{r}, t) - f_i^{eq}(\vec{r}, t) \right]$$
(3)

$$\Delta \vec{r} = \Delta t \cdot \vec{c}_i \tag{4}$$

where f_i^{eq} is the equilibrium distribution function and τ is the relaxation time. \vec{c}_i is the lattice speed at direction *i*.

173 The discretization of equation (2) to (4) can be written as following,

$$f_i(\vec{r} + \Delta t \cdot \vec{c}_i, \ t + \Delta t) - f_i(\vec{r}, t) = -\omega \cdot [f_i(\vec{r}, t) - f_i^{eq}(\vec{r}, t)]$$
(5)
$$\Delta t$$

$$\omega = \frac{\Delta t}{\tau} \tag{6}$$

174 where ω is the relaxation parameter.

175 The bulk properties of density and temperature are obtained by equation (7),

$$T(\vec{r}, t) = \sum_{0}^{8} f_{i}(\vec{r}, t)$$
(7)

176 where *T* represents the macroscopic temperature.

177 The macroscopic temperature distribution correlates with the mesoscopic178 equilibrium distribution function via equation (8) and (9),

$$f_{i}^{eq} = w_{i} \cdot T(\vec{r}, t)$$

$$w_{i} := \begin{cases} \frac{4}{9} & i = 0 \\ \frac{1}{9} & i = 1 \sim 4 \\ \frac{1}{36} & i = 5 \sim 8 \end{cases}$$
(8)
(9)

179 where w_i is the weighting factor for direction *i* (Figure 1).

180 The discrete lattice velocity \vec{c}_i is defined as,

$$\vec{c}_{i} := \begin{cases} 0 \quad i = 0 \\ (\cos\theta_{i}, \sin\theta_{i}) \cdot c_{s}, \quad \theta_{i} = (i-1)\frac{\pi}{2} \quad i = 1 \sim 4 \\ \sqrt{2}(\cos\theta_{i}, \sin\theta_{i}) \cdot c_{s}, \quad \theta_{i} = (i-5)\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \quad i = 5 \sim 8 \end{cases}$$
(10)

181 where c_s is the pseudo sound speed.

According to the Chapman-Enskog Expansion [38], the relaxation parameter ω is related to the thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity (D_{diff}) of the material via equations (11) and (12),

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

$$\frac{k}{\rho c_p} = \frac{c_s^2 \cdot \Delta t}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\omega} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{11}$$

$$D_{diff} = \frac{k}{\rho c_p} \tag{12}$$

185 where $0 \le \omega \le 2$.

In this study, spatially varying relaxation parameters (SVRP) are used to reflect the
heterogeneous thermophysical properties of composite materials at mesoscale [31].
For composite materials composed of two materials, their thermophysical properties
are related to the relaxation parameters as:

$$\frac{D_1}{D_2} = \frac{k_1}{k_2} \times \frac{(\rho C_p)_2}{(\rho C_p)_1} = \frac{(\frac{1}{\omega_1} - \frac{1}{2})}{(\frac{1}{\omega_2} - \frac{1}{2})}$$
(13)

190

191 After the system reaches its equilibrium final state, the effective thermal 192 conductivity (ETC) is then calculated using equation (14),

$$k_{eff} = \frac{L \cdot \int q \cdot dA}{\Delta T \cdot \int dA} \tag{14}$$

where q is the steady state heat flux, ΔT is the temperature difference along the heat flux direction over a distance of L, and A is the cross-sectional area.

195 2.2 Boundary conditions

196 The insulated boundaries are treated as adiabatic. The isothermal boundary 197 condition follows the Zou and He bounce-back rule [40], which can be expressed as:

$$f_{\alpha} - f_{\alpha}^{eq} = -(f_{\beta} - f_{\beta}^{eq}) \tag{15}$$

198 where α and β represent the two opposite directions.

Equation (16) is used to approximate the heat flux at the constant heat flux boundary condition.

$$q' = -k_{phase} \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial \vec{r}} \tag{16}$$

201

202 2.3 Randomly dispersed filler generator (RDFG)

In this study, a randomly dispersed filler generator (RDFG) has been developed to mimic microstructures of artificial composite materials. In the RDFG, the scale of the fillers are governed by the normal distribution $f(x|\mu, \sigma^2)$, which expressed by equation (17). Meanwhile, the location of the fillers in the domain follows the uniform distribution. Overlapping of the located fillers is not allowed in this practice. The contact between the fillers (sharing of the same node) is only allowed when the total filler fraction is higher than 0.3.

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

$$f(x|\xi,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\xi)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(17)

where ξ is the average particle size and σ^2 is the variance, 210

211 For the RDFG developed in this study, the average particle size and variance are provided by the users as initial inputs, as well as the total filler volume fraction. For 212 any given number of total fillers, the scalers following the normal distribution as 213 shown in equation (17) will be generated, randomly allocated to the lattice domain 214 215 and output as black (filler) and white (matrix) images. The total filler volume fraction will be calculated by counting the percentage of black pixels in the entire lattice 216 domain. To achieve a designated total volume fraction, an initial filler number is 217 estimated using the average particle size provided by the user, which will be adjusted 218 stepwise until reaching the designated total filler volume. Since the least 219 220 increment/decrement possible is depending on the smallest fillers generated following the normal distribution, a deviation below 1.0 % is considered acceptable by the 221 RDFG. 222

Figure 2 gives a view of four simulated artificial composite materials 223 microstructure generated using RDFG with different input parameters, according to 224 the scanning electron microscopy (SEM), or transmission electron microscopy (TEM) 225 images reported in the literature [41-44]. Figure 3 and Figure 4 show examples of 226 simulated microstructure of composite material consist of fillers (spherical or 227 elliptical) with varying sizes. And, sizes of the particles in each composite follow the 228 229 normal distribution.

230



Figure 2 (a) to (d), schematics of the generated composite material microstructure 233 with fillers of different sizes, geometries and volume fractions using the described 234

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

RDFG computational method (200x200), and comparison with the microstructure of
real composite materials. (e) SEM image of Cu/D composite, adapted from [41] with
permission from Elsevier; (f) BSE image of carbon fibre reinforced bulk metallic
glass composite, reproduced from [42], with the permission of AIP Publishing; (g)
TEM image of a typical PC/PBT/Talc composite, adapted from [43] with permission
from Elsevier; (h) TEM image of PLA/talc composite, adapted from [44] with
permission from John Wiley and Sons;



242

Figure 3 Schematic diagram of the microstructure with spherical fillers of normally distributed particle sizes.



245

Figure 4 Schematic diagram of the microstructure with elliptical fillers of normally distributed particle sizes. The values of semi-major axis of the ellipses were used for plotting the particle size distribution.

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

250 **3 Benchmark Studies**

251 3.1 Comparison with the finite volume method

Before applying the in-house developed LBM in the further application, the code is 252 first validated against a simulation based on the finite volume method (FVM) in this 253 section. It is worth to mention that the LBM code and RDFG are developed using 254 Python 3, while the FVM is a Fortran code. In the FVM code, the heat conduction 255 equation is discretized using the 2^{nd} order central differencing scheme. Two codes are 256 applied to simulate the heat conduction via a plate which is made from two materials, 257 Material I and II. The geometry of the validation case is illustrated in Figure 5. Both 258 materials possess different thermal conductivities and diffusivities. The dimensionless 259 conductivity and diffusivity of Material I are k₁=1.0 and D₁=0.05, respectively, while 260 these two properties (dimensionless) of Material II are $k_2 = 10.0$, $D_2 = 0.25$. The 261 Material II occupies the bottom left quarter, while elsewhere is filled with Material I. 262 The dimensionless temperature on the west and south wall are set as T=1, while the 263 264 dimensionless temperature on the other two walls are set as T=0.



265

Figure 5 Schematic diagram of 2-D problem with dimensionless boundary conditions and thermophysical properties of different materials. The length along x-axis and y axis both have been set to 1(dimensionless).

269

For the LBM simulation, a lattice domain of 200×200 is used. The relaxation parameter ω_1 and ω_2 of material I and II are determined according to equation (13). The ω_1 and ω_2 in the current case are set as 1.67 and 1.00, respectively. In the FVM simulation, the domain is discretized using the 80×80 mesh. The mesh independent is achieved in the FVM simulation.

The temperature outputs at the equilibrium state of both LBM and FVM simulations are compared in Figure 6. The temperature contours (Figure 6a) of both simulations show good agreement between two methods. To exam the results with more details, the vertical temperature profile at x = 0.265 and x = 0.760 are compared in Figure 6b. At x = 0.265, where the line crosses only the region of Material I, the

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

280 temperature profiles by both methods overlap with each other. At x = 0.760, where the line crosses Material I and Material II, there shows an observable difference 281 between the outputs of two methods. However, differences only appear around the 282 interfaces between two materials. This inconsistency lies in the fundamental 283 difference of two methods in the treatment of heat transfer around the interfaces. In 284 the FVM, the heat transfer around the interfaces of two materials is approximated 285 using the information from the cells at both sides of the interface because of the 286 numerical scheme. In the LBM, different relaxation parameters are assigned to the 287 lattice nodes within different materials, and no special treatment at the interfaces is 288 made. But such difference is deemed to be ignorable as suggested in [45]. 289

290



291

Figure 6 (a) Comparison between the LBM (solid lines) and FVM (dashed lines) prediction at the equilibrium steady state. (b) Comparison between the LBM (solid lines) and FVM (dashed lines) at x=0.265 and x=0.760.

295

296 3.2 Sensitivity study of relaxation parameters for high diffusivity ratios

In this section, the effects of the relaxation parameters on the accuracy and 297 efficiency of the LBM simulation are discussed. As suggested by equation (13), the 298 299 relaxation parameters ω_1 and ω_2 are related to the diffusivity ratio of two materials (D_1/D_2) . Figure 7 shows the correlations between ω_1 and ω_2 when the D_1/D_2 ratio 300 ranges from 0.001 to 1000. As illustrated in the figure, the values of ω_1 and ω_2 are 301 positively correlated for a fixed D_1/D_2 ratio. When $0.01 < D_1/D_2 < 100$, it is easy to 302 set one relaxation parameter to 1 while letting the other relaxation parameter to be 303 slightly above 1 (over-relaxation). In the cases of large diffusivity ratios $(D_1/D_2 > D_2)$ 304 305 100 or $D_1/D_2 < 0.01$), one of the relaxation parameters has to be closer to the lower (0.0) or upper limit (2.0) of the allowed value, regardless of the other relaxation 306 parameter, which might cause significant numerical instability [23]. 307

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080



Figure 7 Relation between ω_1 and ω_2 when the diffusivity ratio D_1/D_2 ranges from 0.001 to 1000.

312

309

The LBM time step is related to the real-time scale via equation (18) and (19) [23, 314 38].

$$\frac{t}{t_{LBM}} = \frac{d \times L^2}{D \times N^2} \tag{18}$$

$$d = c_s^2 \left(\frac{1}{\omega} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
(19)

315 , where *t*, *D* and *L* represent the real-time, diffusion coefficient (m^2/s) and length 316 scale, while t_{LBM} , *d* and *N* represent the dimensionless LBM time step, LBM diffusion 317 coefficient and total number of lattice.

Figure 8 shows the correlations between the chosen relaxation parameters and the time evolution scale per LBM time step at the diffusivity ratio (D_1/D_2) of 100, whilst N is 200, L is 10^{-3} m, and D_2 is 1.0×10^{-6} m²/s. As shown in the figure, the time evolution per LBM time step will always decrease as the selected relaxation parameter values increases, while the absolute value of the time evolution per iteration is dependent on the thermal property of the material and the resolution of the lattice.

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080



325

Figure 8 Relation between relaxation parameter ω_1 and ω_2 at the diffusivity ratio (D₁/D₂) of 100 (black line with diamond markers), and the time evolution per LBM time step (t/t_{LBM}) under the corresponded chosen relaxation parameter ω_1 and ω_2 (grey line with star markers).

330



331

Figure 9 Schematic diagram of a periodically dispersed spheres model with boundaryconditions

334

A case with periodically distributed spherical particles in the lattice domain is created to investigate the effect of the relaxation parameters on the simulation accuracy. A view of the geometry can be found in Figure 9. The conductivities of the spherical fillers and the main matrix are 1 W/m·K and 100 W/m·K, respectively. The heat flux on the left wall is set as 2000 W/m² and the temperature on the right wall is fixed at 20.0 °C while the other two walls are treated as adiabatic. The volume fraction of the fillers shown in Figure 9 is 20.6%.

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

342 Figure 10 compares the predicted ETCs of the simulated composite using different relaxation parameters for these two materials. The least numbers of LBM time steps 343 required for each case to reach the equilibrium final states are also plotted in Figure 344 10, together with the correlated real-time evolution based on the correlation shown in 345 Figure 8. The predicted ETCs using different relaxation parameters are compared to 346 the prediction using the Maxwell-Eucken model (equation (22)). More discussions 347 about the Maxwell-Eucken model will be included in the next section. As the 348 relaxation parameters increase, the accuracy of the LBM prediction (in comparison 349 with theoretical values) increases, as well as the number of LBM time steps required 350 for reaching the equilibrium state. The correlated real-time evolution at initial 351 equilibrium decreased from 0.110s to 0.004s, as ω_1 increased from 0.005 to 0.660. 352 However, after ω_1 being increased from 0.660 to 0.790, the ETC remains similar, 353 while the total number of iteration required for reaching the equilibrium final state 354 increases by approximately three times. The slight increase in the correlated time 355 evolution when ω_1 is set to 0.79 is likely due to the nearly tripled LBM time steps 356 required for the equilibrium state. The result here suggests that for a system with high 357 358 diffusivity ratios, when the lower ω value approaches 1, the accuracy of the prediction increases as well as the LBM time steps required for the equilibrium state. In practical, 359 360 a trade-off between prediction accuracy and simulation time needs to be considered.



361

Figure 10 Evolution of calculated effective thermal conductivity (k_{eff}) versus LBM time steps, comparing the use of different relaxation times.

364

365 3.3 Comparison with Maxwell-Eucken model

The Maxwell-Eucken model, derived from the effective electric resistivity of a sphere containing N spherical particles based on the theory of electric potential satisfying the Laplace equation, is one of the most commonly used effective medium approximation (EMA) approach for predicting the ETCs of composites [46]. The Maxwell-Eucken model can be expressed as

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

371

$$k_{eff} = k_1 \cdot \frac{2k_1 + k_2 + 2\varphi_2 \cdot (k_2 - k_1)}{2k_1 + k_2 - \varphi_2 \cdot (k_2 - k_1)}$$
(22)

372 , where k_1 and k_2 represent the thermal conductivities of the matrix and periodically 373 dispersed spherical fillers, and φ_2 represent the volume fraction of the filler.

The Maxwell-Eucken model is particularly effective for predicting the ETC of 374 composite materials reinforced by low volume fraction well-dispersed particles. A 375 view of the simulated case is included in Figure 9. The spherical fillers in the lattice 376 domain distribute periodically and non-interacting. The volume heat capacity (ρC_p) of 377 these artificial materials are set to be unity [21], which means $D_1/D_2 = k_1/k_2$. The 378 thermal conductivity of the matrix is k_1 while the thermal conductivity of the filler is 379 k_2 . Under different k_1/k_2 ratios, k_1 is varying from 0.01 W/m·K to 100 W/m·K, while 380 k_2 is fixed as 1 W/m·K. Hence, the D_1/D_2 ranges from 0.01 to 100. The relaxation 381 parameters chosen for each material are selected according to the observations in 382 section 3.2. More LBM time steps are required for reaching the equilibrium state 383 when the k_1/k_2 becomes much larger (or smaller) than 1. Figure 11 compares the 384 ETCs of artificial composites containing periodically dispersed spheres using LBM 385 and Maxwell-Eucken model under different k_1/k_2 ratios. Well agreement between the 386 theoretical Maxwell-Eucken model prediction and LBM prediction. The deviations 387 388 between the predictions and the theoretical models are below 2.0%, the accuracy of which is sufficient enough for this application [27, 47]. This proves that the LBM 389 algorithm developed in this study is valid for predicting the ETCs of composite 390 materials reinforced by periodically dispersed spherical fillers under a wide range of 391 k_1/k_2 values. 392

393



Figure 11 Predicted effective thermal conductivities of under different k_1/k_2 .

396

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

397 3.4 Comparison with serial and parallel models

Series and parallel models, with the interfaces of two materials either 398 perpendicular or parallel to the heat flux direction (Figure 12a and c), are proposed to 399 be used as the simplified representation of the complicated composite material 400 microstructure [48]. The layers-in-series and the layers-in-parallel models are 401 simplified representations of the highest and lowest estimated values in a two-phase 402 composite material [1]. These two models also play very important roles in studying 403 the interfacial effect between the two materials [28]. The theoretical models for 404 predicting the ETCs of series and parallel models were derived based on circuit 405 network of conductors [48], which can be expressed as equation (20) and (21), 406 respectively. 407

$$k_{eff} = \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2}{\varphi_2 \cdot k_1 + \varphi_1 \cdot k_2} \tag{20}$$

$$k_{eff} = \varphi_1 \cdot k_1 + \varphi_2 \cdot k_2 \tag{21}$$

408 , in which ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 represent the volume fraction of materials with thermal 409 conductivity of k_1 and k_2 .

410 Again, ρC_p of these artificial materials are set to be unity [21], and the relaxation parameters for each material are selected as in the previous section. The k₂ value is 411 kept at 1.0 while the k_1 value varies from 0.01 to 100. Figure 12 (b) and (d) compares 412 the predicted ETCs using the LBM solver and the theoretical models. The results by 413 the LBM solver show good agreement with theoretical values. The deviations 414 between numerical outputs and theoretical values are within 1.0% for both series and 415 parallel geometries. This again approves the good capability of this in-house LBM 416 417 solver.



Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080



Figure 12 Schematic diagram of (a) periodic series model, and (b) predicted effective thermal conductivities with different k_1/k_2 ratios; (c) periodic parallel model with boundary conditions, and (d) predicted effective thermal conductivities with different k_1/k_2 ratios. The k_2 value is kept as 1 W/m·K in all cases.

422 **4** ETC of composite with randomly distributed fillers

423 4.1 Effect of shape and size of artificial representatives

The RDFG is used to generate the simulated composite materials reinforced by randomly dispersed fillers. Firstly, the effects of filler geometry (orientation and shape) on the thermal conduction of simulated composite materials are discussed (Figure 13 and Figure 14). Then the effects of filler size variation on the ETC are studied using spherical fillers following different size distribution (Figure 15).

429 To study the effects of orientations of anisotropic fillers, elliptical fillers with the aspect ratio of 3:1 and the minor axis value of 50 µm are generated, with their major 430 axis either perpendicular (Figure 13A) or parallel to the heat flux direction (Figure 431 13C). These two orientations are chosen to represent the two extreme scenarios of the 432 433 elliptical filler geometry in a composite material [49]. For studying the effect of filler 434 shapes, spherical fillers of diameter 50 µm (Figure 13B) are generated as the comparison with the elliptical fillers. Spherical fillers of larger diameters, 70 µm 435 (Figure 13D), are generated to studying the size effect. Three total filler volume 436 fractions, 6.4%, 13.0% and 26.3%, are considered for each type of filler. In all of 437 these cases, the thermal conductivity of the matrix materials is 0.2 W/m·K (k_1) and the 438 thermal conductivity of the fillers (k_2) is 20.0 W/m·K. The volume heat capacities 439 (ρC_p) of these artificial materials are set to be 1. The heat flux on the left wall (x=0) is 440 2000 W/m² and the temperature on the right wall (x = 1000 μ m) is 20.0 °C while the 441 other two walls (y=0 and $y=1000 \mu m$) are treated as adiabatic. 442

The temperature contour plots of these simulated composite materials (with total volume fraction of $26.3 \pm 0.4\%$) at the equilibrium states are shown in Figure 13. As expected, the temperature fields are influenced by the conductivities of the local

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

446 materials, and the non-uniform microstructure leads to the variation of temperature distribution perpendicular to the heat flux direction. According to the Fourier's law 447 for heat conduction, the local temperature distribution within the sample matrix is 448 positively correlated to the thermal conductivity of the material filled within the 449 450 location. The local heat transfer would be more efficient (lower temperature gradient) within the highly thermal conductive filler materials than that within the matrix 451 materials. This might explain the correlation between the filler geometry and the 452 temperature distribution within the lattice domain as shown in Figure 13. Based on the 453 temperature contours in the Figure 13, the highest temperature drop across the domain 454 is observed in the case that the elliptical fillers are perpendicular to the heat flux 455 direction (Figure 13A), while the lowest temperature gradient is observed from where 456 the elliptical fillers are parallel to the heat flux direction (Figure 13C). In the case of 457 spherical fillers, seeing Figure 13B and Figure 13D, a higher temperature gradient is 458 shown in the lattice domain reinforced with smaller fillers. 459



Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

462 Figure 13 Temperature contour plots at the equilibrium final state of simulated

463 composite material reinforced by (A) elliptical fillers vertical to the heat flux direction,

464 (B) spherical fillers with diameter of 50 μ m, (C) elliptical fillers parallel to the heat

465 flux direction, and (D) spherical fillers with diameter of 70 μ m. The total filler

466 volume fractions in all simulated materials were the same, $26.3 \pm 0.4\%$.

467

The ETCs of simulated composite materials are plotted as a function of filler 468 volume fraction (ϕ) in Figure 14. The ETC values are calculated according to 469 equation (14). The results show that the predicted ETC values always increase as the 470 simulated filler volume fraction increase, same as what normally been observed from 471 polymer composite reinforced by conductive fillers [6, 7, 50]. At the same filler 472 volume fraction, the shape of the filler and its orientation show the dominate effect on 473 the ETC, seeing Figure 14A. For materials reinforced by elliptical fillers with a long 474 axis perpendicular to the heat flux direction, the predicted ETCs are much higher than 475 that reinforced by spherical fillers. The lowest ETC is predicted as the composite is 476 enforced by the elliptical filler with the long axis parallel to the heat flux direction. 477 The differences between predicted ETC values between using elliptical fillers and 478 spherical fillers increase as the filler volume fraction increases. The higher ETC of the 479 480 composite containing elliptical fillers parallel to the heat flux direction is likely due to the enhanced thermal conductive path along the heat flux direction [16]. When the 481 elliptical fillers are perpendicular to the heat flux, the thermal conductive path is 482 enhanced along the y-axis (the major axis of the filler), however, the ETC is measured 483 along the heat flux direction (x-direction). Since the minor axis of the elliptical filler 484 485 is set to equal to the diameter of the spherical fillers, therefore at the same filler volume fraction, the filler volume density along the heat flux direction is higher in 486 spherical filler geometry (Figure 13B) than that in the vertical elliptical fillers (Figure 487 13A). This might explain the lower ETC in the vertical elliptical fillers geometries 488 than that in the dispersed spherical filler geometry. 489

490 Figure 14B compares the effect of filler scale on the ETC. The sphere filler is adopted in this study. The results show that simulated composite materials with larger 491 particle sizes have higher ETC as predicted by LBM. This result is in good agreement 492 with experimental observations [6, 50]. The similar trend was also reported by Zhou 493 et al. [22] and Li et al. [7] using LBM methods, although different interfacial 494 treatments between the filler and the composite matrix are applied in our study. As 495 discussed previously, the efficiency of heat transfer within the sample domain would 496 be slowed down when the heat flux goes from the highly conductive filler to the low 497 conductivity matrix. Comparing with large filler particles, the small particles have 498 higher specific surface area. Therefore, at the same total filler volume fraction, the 499 number of lattice nodes experiencing this "slow down" process would be higher in 500 simulated composite with smaller filler sizes. 501

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080



Figure 14 Comparison of effects of A) particle shapes and orientations, B) particle sizes on the predicted effective thermal conductivities using LBM at different filler volume fractions, where $k_1/k_2=0.01$, $k_1=0.2$ W/m·K.

508

Although the (diameter) size of the functional fillers is in positive correlation with 509 510 the thermal conduction efficiency in composite materials containing uni-sized fillers, as suggested in Figure 14B and also supported by experimental observations [6, 50], 511 this positive correlation is nonlinear and controlled by varies factors [51]. One of 512 them is the varying filler size. Figure 15 compares the temperature contours in three 513 composite materials reinforced by spherical fillers with varying sizes. It should be 514 515 noted that the total filler volume fraction is fixed as 26.3±0.8%. The distribution of the filler size follows the normal distribution, as defined in equation (17). In these 516 three cases, the average filler diameters are the same (50 µm), but the standard 517 deviations are 10.7 µm, 19.5 µm and 28.3 µm, respectively. Figure 15E shows the 518

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

fitted filler size distribution curve. Similar to that shown in Figure 13, the temperature 519 field is influenced by the local microstructures, where much significant heterogeneous 520 temperature distribution can be observed from the materials containing fillers of 521 larger variance. Figure 15D summaries the predicted ETC of these three 522 microstructures in comparison with fillers of uniform diameters (50um). Although the 523 average diameters are the same, the filler size variations result in enhancement of 524 ETC of the matrix. As suggested by Li et al. [7], the filler size effect is particularly 525 significant on ETC at higher filler volume fraction (>20%). This is because the 526 composite containing fillers of larger size variation might be able to achieve more 527 efficient filler packing, thus enhancing the thermal conduction path and improving the 528 thermal conductivity. This matches with experimental observations where hybrid 529 fillers can often achieve better enhanced ETC due to the enhanced thermal conduction 530 path through the sample [50, 52]. 531



Figure 15 Temperature contour plots at the equilibrium final state of simulated composite material reinforced by spherical fillers of the different size distribution, (A) σ =10.7, (B) σ =19.5, (C) σ =28.3. For all these three simulated materials, the mean filler diameter is 50 µm and the total filler volume fractions are 26.3±0.8%. (D) The predicted ETC values and (E) the fitted filler size distribution curves, for each simulated microstructure.

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

539

540 4.2 Thermal conduction between the filler and matrix interfaces

541 In this section, the thermal conduction between the filler and matrix interfaces in composite material with/without the presence of contact resistance is studied. When 542 simulating the effect of contact resistance in composite materials, it is often practical 543 to use one or two lattices to represent the interfacial region [7], without having to 544 545 reflect the actual geometry of the rough interfaces. This suggests that instead of using the thermal conductivity of air at the interfacial region, the ETC at the near interfacial 546 region (matrix-gap-filler) should be used. Since the interfacial contact resistance is 547 affected by various properties of the materials used, such as surface roughness, 548 particle sizes and geometries [53], the ETC value of the interfacial region can be 549 estimated either by either using theoretical ETC models [22, 45] or fitting of 550 experimental data [7]. 551

However in this study, for the purpose of demonstrating the capability of this 552 SVRP-LBM solver to reflect the interfacial contact resistance, a simplified 2-D 553 geometry shown in Figure 16 is used, and the interfacial region is considered to be 554 filled with dry air. Three different types of interfacial treatments are studied, the 555 geometry CR=0 represents the perfect contact between filler and matrix interfaces, 556 while geometry CR=1 and CR=2 represent the scenarios of different contact 557 resistances due to the imperfect contact between the filler and matrix interfaces. The 558 559 comparison of temperature profiles at steady states between these three geometries will be able to demonstrate the ability of this SVRP-LBM code to take into account 560 the effect of interfacial contact resistance where applicable. 561

562



Figure 16 Schematic diagram of a 2-D geometry for study the effects of interfacial contact resistance at the matrix-filler interfaces. Three different interfacial geometries are used, replacing 0 (CR=0), 1 (CR=1) and 2 (CR=2) lattices at the matrix-filler interfaces with air, where $k_1=0.2$ W/m·K (thermal conductivity of artificial matrix),

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

conductivity of dry air at 25 °C). The LBM lattice number of 404x50 is used for

570 CR=0 and CR=2, while lattice number of 402x50 is used for CR=1.

571

The predicted ETC values of geometry CR=0, CR=1 and CR=2 are 0.39 W/m·K, 572 0.36 W/m·K, 0.34 W/m·K respectively, consistent with experimental observations 573 where higher contact resistance resulted in lower thermal conductivities [36, 53, 54]. 574 Figure 17 shows the temperature profiles of these three geometries at steady state 575 along the heat flux direction. Among these three geometries, the temperature 576 gradients within the same material (matrix or filler) are the same at steady state, 577 following the Fourier's law for heat conduction. However, across the entire lattice 578 domain, the temperature differences between the heat source and the isothermal side 579 are higher in geometry CR=1 and CR=2 than CR=0. This is primarily due to the 580 581 significant temperature drop in the air-filled region. Figure 17B-1 and Figure 17B-2 show more detailed comparison of the multi-phases regions between the filler-matrix 582 interfaces. The simulation results show a larger temperature drop in geometry CR=2 583 584 than geometry CR=1 due to the wider air gap, reflecting a higher contact resistance.

585



586

Figure 17 Temperature profiles of geometry CR=0, CR=1 and CR=2 at steady state along the heat flux direction. (A) Horizontally cross the entire geometry; and selected regions (B-1) from 150 μ m to 550 μ m; (B-2) from 1450 μ m to 1550 μ m.

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

591 For the experimentally prepared composite materials, a larger air gap in between the matrix-filler interfaces can be caused by higher surface roughness. The absolute 592 temperature drop at the matrix-to-filler (Figure 17B-1) and the filler-to-matrix (Figure 593 17B-2) interfaces are the same. This is because the three geometries described in 594 Figure 16 are isotropic in the y-axis direction. At the interfacial region, the heat flux 595 596 flow along the x-axis direction from the matrix-to-filler and from filler-to-matrix are the same. However, in the case of actual composite materials, the geometries can be 597 much more complex with non-isotropic local microstructures. The heat flux entering 598 and leaving the filler particle might not be the same, leading to different temperature 599 differences [33]. The results shown in Figure 17 prove that this SVRP-LBM solver 600 has the ability to reflect the effect of interfacial contact resistance in composite 601 materials, although geometry configurations need to be considered according to 602 different materials. 603

604

605 4.3 ETCs of real composite material

Before further discussion, we would like to highlight here that the experimental data used in this section for case studies were measured using the guarded hot plate method according to ASTM D5470-17 [55]. This method measures the ETC via monitoring the temperature changes through a thin layer of the composite materials, which is suitable to be simulated via a 2D geometry [22]. The accuracy of this experimental method is about $\pm 2\%$ when the thermal conductivity of the measured material is above 0.1 W/mK [47].

Figure 18 compares the measured ETC [25] and LBM predictions of solder 613 composite reinforced by copper spheres at different filler volume fractions. The 614 thermal physical properties of the matrix and the fillers are listed in Table 1. The 615 616 random composite microstructure is again simulated using the RDFG method. The average filler diameter matches with the actual experimental value (500 µm). No filler 617 size variation is applied according to [25]. Different numbers of fillers are included in 618 the lattice domain in order to simulate filler volume fractions varying from 0.016 to 619 620 0.296. As shown in Figure 18, the LBM predicted ETC agrees well with the measurements, as well as the theoretical predictions using the Maxwell-Eucken model. 621 It suggests that the LBM algorithm developed in this study can accurately predict the 622 ETC of real composite material reinforced by well-dispersed sphere fillers. 623

- 624
- 625
- 626
- 627
- 628

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

	Thermal diffusivity coefficient D (m ² /s)	Thermal conductivity K (W/(m·K)	Density p· (kg/m ³)	Specific thermal capacity Cp (J/(kg·K)	Ref
Coppe	r 116.00×10^{-6}	398.00	8940	384	[25]
Solder	r 34.80×10^{-6}	78.10	7360	305	[25]
Silicon ru	bber 0.10×10^{-6}	0.15	980	1590	[6]
Al_2O_3	8.74×10^{-6}	30.00	3900	880	[6]

629	Table 1	Thermophysical	properties	of materials	chosen for	case studies.

630



631

Figure 18 Comparison between experimental data and LBM predicted values ofsolder composite reinforced with copper spheres [25].

634

635 Figure 19 shows the measured ETC of silicon rubber reinforced by Al₂O₃ fillers of four different particle sizes sourced from the experimental data shown in [6, 12], and 636 the corresponded LBM results using either spherical or elliptical fillers. The 637 calculation using different empirical models are presented in the figure. The thermal 638 physical properties used for simulations can also be found in Table 1. The mean 639 diameter sizes of both spherical and elliptical fillers are set as equal to the mean 640 particle size reported in [6, 12] (d=75 µm, 35 µm, 10 µm or 3 µm). A relative standard 641 deviation (σ/ξ) of 0.1 is applied to all LBM cases to reflect the filler size variation, as 642 approximated from particle size distribution reported in the literature [6]. The aspect 643 ratio of the elliptic filler is fixed as 3:1. 644

As illustrated in the Figure 19 (A-C), when the average filler sizes are larger than 10 μ m and the filler volume fraction is higher than 0.1, both the Maxwell-Eucken model and the LBM model with spherical filler under-predict the ETC. Moreover, the

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

648 predicted ETC using spherical fillers follows the Maxwell-Eucken prediction at lower 649 filler volume fraction (<0.2), while at higher filler volume fraction, the LBM 650 predictions using spherical fillers are slightly higher than the Maxwell-Eucken 651 prediction. The same phenomenon has been observed from the mass diffusion process 652 in porous media, where the LBM prediction is always higher than the Maxwell-653 Eucken model prediction in high porosity media [56]. The positive contribution of the 654 particle size variation as discussed in section 4.1 may be one of the reasons.

When using ellipses fillers with an aspect ratio of 3:1, positioned parallel to the 655 heat flux direction, the predicted ETC using the elliptical fillers showed good 656 agreement with the experimental results when the average filler sizes are above 10 µm. 657 658 The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the Al₂O₃ filler particles used for preparing this composite material showed plate-shaped geometry [12, 57]. The shape-659 effect of the filler, as discussed in the previous section, might explain the good 660 performance of the LBM model with ellipses filler, which might also explain the 661 662 under-prediction of the Maxwell-Eucken model and the LBM model with spherical filler. 663

Additionally, Xu et al [58] proposed a reconstructed Maxwell-Eucken model to fit 664 the experimental data, contributing the higher ETC to the contact resistance between 665 the particles. The reconstructed model fitted to these experimental data has also been 666 plotted in Figure 19. In comparison with the reconstructed Maxwell-Eucken model, 667 the LBM prediction using elliptical fillers also shows better agreement with 668 experimental data (for filler size above 10 µm). The reconstructed model proposed by 669 Xu et al. [58] is based on the assumption that every two particles will be connected 670 together (with additional contact resistance between the two particles), which shares 671 some similarities with the elliptical fillers. 672

In the cases of the smallest filler (ξ =3 µm Figure 19D), the LBM model with 673 elliptical fillers leads to over-prediction of ETC; while the use of spherical fillers 674 results in closer predictions. For commonly used non-spherical filler particles, such as 675 Al₂O₃, ZnO, TiB₂, SiC and talc, the same material with very small particle sizes often 676 possess the sphere-like feature [12, 43, 57], while larger particles often possess the 677 non-spherical feature This is similar to that has been observed from carbon nanotube 678 (CNT) reinforced composite, where larger CNT showed higher aspect ratio and led to 679 higher ETC [59, 60]. This further supports the assumptions that the geometry effect 680 681 could be one of the main factors that led to the greater enhanced ETCs of larger Al₂O₃ particles reinforced composite materials at high filler volume fraction. 682

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080



685

Figure 19 Experimental data, LBM prediction and empirical model output of ETCs of
silicon rubber reinforced with Al₂O₃ fillers [6, 12].

688

689 **5** Conclusion

The in-house coded spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann 690 Method (SVRP-LBM) solver has been validated for predicting the effective thermal 691 conductivity (ETC) of various composite materials, including simulating the 692 interfacial contact resistance. It is found that higher prediction accuracy can be 693 achieved when the lowest chosen relaxation parameter approaches 1.0, for composite 694 materials with large thermal diffusivity ratios. The predictions also showed good 695 agreement with experiments data when choosing the right representative filler 696 geometries. 697

The effects of filler geometry (orientation and shape) and filler size variation on
the thermal conduction behaviour of simulated composite material are assessed using
SVRP-LBM solver. The predicted ETC increases as the filler volume fraction

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

increases. At the same filler volume fraction, the elliptical fillers parallel to the heat
flow direction achieved the highest ETC, possibly attributed by the enhanced thermal
conduction path along the heat flux direction. Higher filler size variance can result in
higher ETC, likely due to the improved filler packing efficiency.

The SVRP-LBM solver developed in this study can be used to design filler reinforced composite material with targeted ETC and local temperature distribution requirement. As the initial development of a predictive design tool for functional composite materials, an extension of this method to the three-dimensional solver and quantification of uncertainties brought by the random location effect will further improve the accuracy of this model, which will be discussed in future studies.

711

712 Acknowledgement

713 The participation of XK was sponsored by University of Bath Prize Fellowship.

714 Data Availability

The raw and processed data required to reproduce these findings cannot be shared at this time as the data also forms part of an ongoing study.

717 **References**

718	1.	Mamunya, Y.P., et al., <i>Electrical and thermal conductivity of polymers filled</i>
719		with metal powders. European Polymer Journal, 2002. 38 (9): p. 1887-1897.
720	2.	Fu, YX., et al., Thermal conductivity enhancement of epoxy adhesive using
721		graphene sheets as additives. International Journal of Thermal Sciences, 2014.
722		86 : p. 276-283.
723	3.	Tong, X.C., Advanced Materials for Thermal Management of Electronic
724		Packaging. Vol. 30. 2011: Springer-Verlag New York. 618.
725	4.	Agyenim, F., et al., A review of materials, heat transfer and phase change
726		problem formulation for latent heat thermal energy storage systems (LHTESS).
727		Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2010. 14(2): p. 615-628.
728	5.	Mukherjee, P.P., Q. Kang, and CY. Wang, Pore-scale modeling of two-phase
729		transport in polymer electrolyte fuel cells—progress and perspective. Energy
730		& Environmental Science, 2011. 4(2): p. 346-369.
731	6.	Gao, B.Z., et al., Experimental and theoretical studies of effective thermal
732		conductivity of composites made of silicone rubber and Al2O3 particles.
733		Thermochimica Acta, 2015. 614: p. 1-8.
734	7.	Li, L., et al., Study on effective thermal conductivity of silicone/phosphor
735		composite and its size effect by Lattice Boltzmann method. Heat and Mass
736		Transfer, 2016. 52 (12): p. 2813-2821.
737	8.	Sengul, O., et al., Effect of expanded perlite on the mechanical properties and
738		thermal conductivity of lightweight concrete. Energy and Buildings, 2011.
739		43 (2): p. 671-676.

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

740 741	9.	Cahill, D.G., et al., <i>Nanoscale thermal transport</i> . Journal of Applied Physics, 2003. 93 (2): p. 793-818.
742	10.	Burger, N., et al., Review of thermal conductivity in composites: Mechanisms,
743		parameters and theory. Progress in Polymer Science, 2016. 61: p. 1-28.
744	11.	Hussain, A.R.J., et al., <i>Review of polymers for heat exchanger applications:</i>
745		<i>Factors concerning thermal conductivity.</i> Applied Thermal Engineering, 2017.
746		113 : p. 1118-1127.
747	12.	Sim, L.C., et al., Thermal characterization of Al2O3 and ZnO reinforced
748		silicone rubber as thermal pads for heat dissipation purposes. Thermochimica
749		Acta, 2005. 430 (1): p. 155-165.
750	13.	Fu, YX., et al., Thermal conductivity enhancement with different fillers for
751		epoxy resin adhesives. Applied Thermal Engineering, 2014. 66(1): p. 493-498.
752	14.	Zhai, S., et al., <i>Effective thermal conductivity of polymer composites:</i>
753		Theoretical models and simulation models. International Journal of Heat and
754		Mass Transfer, 2018. 117: p. 358-374.
755	15.	Tekce, H.S., D. Kumlutas, and I.H. Tavman, <i>Effect of Particle Shape on</i>
756		Thermal Conductivity of Copper Reinforced Polymer Composites. Journal of
757		Reinforced Plastics and Composites, 2007. 26 (1): p. 113-121.
758	16.	Chen. H., et al., Thermal conductivity of polymer-based composites:
759		Fundamentals and applications. Progress in Polymer Science, 2016. 59: p. 41-
760		85.
761	17.	Benveniste, Y., Effective thermal conductivity of composites with a thermal
762		contact resistance between the constituents: Nondilute case. Journal of
763		Applied Physics, 1987, 61 (8): p. 2840-2843.
764	18.	Mori. T. and K. Tanaka. Average stress in matrix and average elastic energy
765	101	of materials with misfitting inclusions. Acta Metallurgica, 1973, 21 (5): p. 571-
766		574.
767	19.	Azadi, P., R. Farnood, and N. Yan, <i>FEM–DEM modeling of thermal</i>
768		conductivity of porous nigmented coatings. Computational Materials Science
769		2010. 49 (2): n. 392-399.
770	20.	Duan, Y., et al., Analysis of the horizontal flow in the advanced gas-cooled
771	_0.	<i>reactor</i> . Nuclear Engineering and Design, 2014, 272 ; p. 53-64.
772	21.	Wang, M., et al., Mesoscopic predictions of the effective thermal conductivity
773		for microscale random porous media. Physical Review E. 2007. 75(3): p
774		036702
775	22	Zhou, F and G Cheng Lattice Boltzmann model for predicting effective
776		thermal conductivity of composite with randomly distributed particles:
777		Considering effect of interactions between particles and matrix
778		Computational Materials Science 2014 92 : n 157-165
779	23	Walther F R Bennacer and C De Sa Lattice Boltzmann Method and
780	23.	diffusion in materials with large diffusivity ratios. Thermal science 2017
781		21 (3): n 1173–1182
782	24	Cao A and I Ou Size dependent thermal conductivity of single-walled
783	<i>2</i> 1.	carbon nanotubes Journal of Applied Physics 2012 112 (1): p 013503
784	25	Lee H I and R E Taylor Thermal diffusivity of dispersed composites Journal
785	23.	of Applied Physics 1976 47 (1): p 148-151
786	26	Sanada K Y Tada and Y Shindo Thermal conductivity of polymer
787	20.	composites with close-packed structure of nano and micro fillers Composites
788		Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing 2009 40 (6): p 724-730
,00		$1 \text{ array reprint Solution and manufacturing, 2007. \mathbf{H}(0). p. 721 750.$

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

789	27.	Wang, M. and N. Pan, Modeling and prediction of the effective thermal
790		conductivity of random open-cell porous foams. International Journal of Heat
791		and Mass Transfer, 2008. 51 (5): p. 1325-1331.
792	28.	Lu, J.H., H.Y. Lei, and C.S. Dai, A lattice Boltzmann algorithm for simulating
793		conjugate heat transfer through virtual heat capacity correction. International
794		Journal of Thermal Sciences, 2017. 116 : p. 22-31.
795	29.	Fang, WZ., et al., Predictions of effective thermal conductivities for three-
796		dimensional four-directional braided composites using the lattice Boltzmann
797		method. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 2016. 92: p. 120-130.
798	30.	Krüger, T., et al., The Lattice Boltzmann Method: Principles and Practice.
799		2016: Springer International Publishing.
800	31.	Wang, J., M. Wang, and Z. Li, A lattice Boltzmann algorithm for fluid-solid
801		conjugate heat transfer. International Journal of Thermal Sciences, 2007.
802		46 (3): p. 228-234.
803	32.	Evans, W., et al., Effect of aggregation and interfacial thermal resistance on
804		thermal conductivity of nanocomposites and colloidal nanofluids. International
805		Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 2008. 51 (5-6): p. 1431-1438.
806	33.	Deng, Z., et al., Heat Conduction in Porous Media Characterized by Fractal
807		<i>Geometry</i> . Energies, 2017. 10 (8): p. 1230.
808	34.	Pollack, G.L., <i>Kapitza Resistance</i> . Reviews of Modern Physics, 1969. 41 (1): p.
809		48-81.
810	35.	Nan, CW., et al., Interface effect on thermal conductivity of carbon nanotube
811		<i>composites</i> . Applied Physics Letters, 2004. 85 (16): p. 3549-3551.
812	36.	Gharagozloo-Hubmann, K., et al., Filler geometry and interface resistance of
813		carbon nanofibres: Key parameters in thermally conductive polymer
814		<i>composites</i> . Applied Physics Letters, 2013. 102 (21): p. 213103.
815	37.	Wang, Z.L., et al., Thermal boundary resistance and temperature dependent
816		phonon conduction in CNT array multilayer structure. International Journal of
817		Thermal Sciences, 2013. 74 : p. 53-62.
818	38.	Mohamad, A., Lattice Boltzmann Method Fundamentals and Engineering
819		Applications with Computer Codes. 2011.
820	39.	Bhatnagar, P.L., E.P. Gross, and M. Krook, A Model for Collision Processes
821		in Gases. I. Small Amplitude Processes in Charged and Neutral One-
822		Component Systems. Physical Review, 1954. 94(3): p. 511-525.
823	40.	Zou, Q. and X. He, On pressure and velocity boundary conditions for the
824		lattice Boltzmann BGK model. Physics of Fluids, 1997. 9(6): p. 1591-1598.
825	41.	Zain-ul-abdein, M., et al., Numerical investigation of the effect of interfacial
826		thermal resistance upon the thermal conductivity of copper/diamond
827		composites. Materials & Design, 2015. 86: p. 248-258.
828	42.	Kim, C.P., et al., Processing of carbon-fiber-reinforced
829		Zr41.2Ti13.8Cu12.5Ni10.0Be22.5 bulk metallic glass composites. Applied
830		Physics Letters, 2001. 79 (10): p. 1456-1458.
831	43.	Guise, O., C. Strom, and N. Preschilla, STEM-in-SEM method for morphology
832		analysis of polymer systems. Polymer, 2011. 52(5): p. 1278-1285.
833	44.	Shakoor, A. and N.L. Thomas, <i>Talc as a nucleating agent and reinforcing</i>
834		filler in poly(lactic acid) composites. Polymer Engineering & Science, 2014.
835		54 (1): p. 64-70.
836	45.	Mohamad, A.A., et al., Treatment of Transport at the Interface Between
837		Multilayers via the Lattice Boltzmann Method. Numerical Heat Transfer, Part

B: Fundamentals, 2015. **67**(2): p. 124-134.

Ke, X.; Duan, Y., A spatially-varying relaxation parameter Lattice Boltzmann Method (SVRP-LBM) for predicting the effective thermal conductivity of composite material. 2019, 169, 109080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2019.109080

839	46.	Maxwell, J.C., A treatise on electricity and magnetism. 1881: Clarendon Press.
840	47.	Hammerschmidt, U., Guarded Hot-Plate (GHP) Method: Uncertainty
841		Assessment. International Journal of Thermophysics, 2002. 23(6): p. 1551-
842		1570.
843	48.	Deissler, R.G. and J.S. Boegli, An investigation of effective thermal
844		conductivities of powders in various gases. Trans. Am. Soc. Mech. Engrs.,
845		1958: p. Medium: X; Size: Pages: 1417-25.
846	49.	Xu, Y. and K. Yagi, Automatic FEM model generation for evaluating thermal
847		conductivity of composite with random materials arrangement. Computational
848		Materials Science, 2004. 30 (3): p. 242-250.
849	50.	Mu, Q., S. Feng, and G. Diao, <i>Thermal conductivity of silicone rubber filled</i>
850		with ZnO. Polymer Composites, 2007. 28(2): p. 125-130.
851	51.	Nan, CW., et al., Effective thermal conductivity of particulate composites
852		with interfacial thermal resistance. Journal of Applied Physics, 1997. 81(10):
853		p. 6692-6699.
854	52.	Choi, S. and J. Kim, Thermal conductivity of epoxy composites with a binary-
855		particle system of aluminum oxide and aluminum nitride fillers. Composites
856		Part B: Engineering, 2013. 51: p. 140-147.
857	53.	Zhang, P., Q. Li, and Y. Xuan, Thermal contact resistance of epoxy
858		composites incorporated with nano-copper particles and the multi-walled
859		carbon nanotubes. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing,
860		2014. 57 : p. 1-7.
861	54.	Sadeghi, E., S. Hsieh, and M. Bahrami, Thermal conductivity and contact
862		resistance of metal foams. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 2011.
863		44 (12): p. 125406.
864	55.	ASTM, D5470-17, in Standard Test Method for Thermal Transmission
865		Properties of Thermally Conductive Electrical Insulation Materials. 2017,
866		ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA.
867	56.	Xuan, Y.M., K. Zhao, and Q. Li, Investigation on mass diffusion process in
868		porous media based on Lattice Boltzmann method. Heat and Mass Transfer,
869		2010. 46 (10): p. 1039-1051.
870	57.	Hill, R.F. and P.H. Supancic, <i>Thermal Conductivity of Platelet-Filled Polymer</i>
871		Composites. Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 2002. 85(4): p. 851-
872		857.
873	58.	Xu, J.Z., B.Z. Gao, and F.Y. Kang, A reconstruction of Maxwell model for
874		effective thermal conductivity of composite materials. Applied Thermal
875		Engineering, 2016. 102: p. 972-979.
876	59.	Deng, F., et al., Effects of anisotropy, aspect ratio, and nonstraightness of
877		carbon nanotubes on thermal conductivity of carbon nanotube composites.
878		Applied Physics Letters, 2007. 90(2): p. 021914.
879	60.	Li, J., et al., Correlations between Percolation Threshold, Dispersion State,
880		and Aspect Ratio of Carbon Nanotubes. Advanced Functional Materials, 2007.
881		17 (16): p. 3207-3215.