

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)**ScienceDirect**

Procedia Food Science 5 (2015) 203 – 206

**Procedia**  
Food Science

International 58th Meat Industry Conference “Meat Safety and Quality: Where it goes?”

## Meat safety in the climate change context

Ivan Nastasijevic<sup>a,\*</sup>, Brankica Lakicevic<sup>a</sup>, Vlado Teodorovic<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup>*Institute of Meat Hygiene and Technology, Kacanskog 13, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia*<sup>b</sup>*University of Belgrade, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Bulevar oslobođenja 18, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia*

---

### Abstract

Climate change is a global phenomenon in the 21<sup>st</sup> century which may have impact on the occurrence of meatborne hazards at multiple points in the meat chain continuum, from the primary production through to consumption. The assurance of meat safety is a complex task, which requires strong inter-sectoral cooperation between relevant stakeholders such as Competent Authority, Academia, Food Industry and Consumers. The emerging food safety risks due to climate change may pose a serious challenge to the meat safety control system. Therefore, a better understanding of anticipated changes would be of the utmost importance for governments to ensure preparedness.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Peer-review under responsibility of scientific committee of The 58th International Meat Industry Conference (MeatCon2015)

*Keywords:* climate change; meat safety; meatborne hazards; pathogen emergence; preparedness

---

### 1. Introduction

Climate change is a global phenomenon in the 21<sup>st</sup> century which may have both direct and indirect impact on the occurrence of meatborne hazards at multiple points in the meat chain continuum, from the primary production through to consumption<sup>1</sup>. Assuring the meat safety is a complex task, which requires an active involvement of the major stakeholders: Competent Authorities, Academia, Food Industry and Consumers. The modern, risk-based meat safety management system relies upon integrated, synergistic and coordinated controls at major stages/modules

---

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +381 64 2181 654; fax: +381 11 2651 655.  
E-mail address: [ivann@inmesbgd.com](mailto:ivann@inmesbgd.com)

along the meat chain, 'from farm-to-fork' (Table 1). However, emerging food (meat) safety risks due to climate change may still pose a serious challenge to the meat safety control system, in terms of industry food safety management programmes, public sector food safety activities (environmental/veterinary/food/health authorities) and risk assessors.

Table 1. A 'modern' - integrated meat safety management system.

Meat chain – modules	Risk management
I On-farm	Animal welfare
	Animal Health Status/Heard Health Surveillance Programme (HHSP)
	Biosecurity
	Feed
	Vaccination
	Waste management
II Transportation	Pathogen-free farms
	Animal welfare
	Stress prevention
	Prevention of cross-contamination between animals
Livestock market	Logistic separation of animals from different farms
Collection centre	
Lairage	
III Slaughter	Training
Meat processing	GHP/GMP
	SSOP
	HACCP
	Microbiological criteria (process hygiene and food safety)
IV Storage	Good Warehousing practice (GWP)
Distribution	Good Distribution Practice (GDP)
Retail	Good Retail Practice (GRP)
	Prevention of cross-contamination during handling
V Consumer	Proper cooking
	Prevention of post-cooking re-contamination

A better understanding of changes that might arise in the close future is an essential first step to ensuring preparedness for those changes. It would be, therefore, of utmost importance for governments to be prepared for those anticipated events.

## 2. Meatborne disease agents

Evidence of the impact of climate change on the transmission and incidence of foodborne diseases comes from a number of sources, e.g. seasonality of foodborne and diarrheal disease, changes in disease patterns that occur as a consequence of a temperature<sup>2</sup>, and association between increased incidence of foodborne illness and severe weather events (e.g. floods, draughts, storms)<sup>3,4</sup>. Extreme climate events may alter the risk of pathogen infections and diseases in both animals and plants, modifying the host–pathogen dynamics in a wide range of species. Valuable information on complex interactions that occur between hosts (meat animals), pathogens, and the environment, is needed in order to pave the way for predictive models and ultimately, early and efficient response to disease threats<sup>5</sup>. For instance, the change of local climatic conditions may influence local vegetation and crop production which, in turn, may affect the quality/safety of animal feed and provoke changes in food (meat) animal epidemiology<sup>6</sup>. Furthermore, insects and other vectors may carry foodborne pathogens and enter new ecological zones. Therefore, farmers may need to adjust the timing of on-farm pest control as pest life cycles also respond to climate change.

The changes between hosts, pathogens, and the environment due to changed climatic conditions may result in increased susceptibility of animals and subsequent (intermittent) fecal shedding of meatborne pathogens (e.g. *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter*, pathogenic VTEC, *Yersinia*) which may cross-contaminate the hides/skins of animals, e.g. cattle, pigs (on-farm, during transport, in-lairage) and cross-contaminate the carcasses at slaughter-to-dressing<sup>7</sup>. The contaminated carcasses/meat may then pose a food safety risk to consumers, in particular to vulnerable groups, e.g. YOPI (young, old, pregnant, immunocompromised).

### 2.1. Sources and modes of transmission of meatborne pathogens

The microbiota of meat consists of microorganisms (including the pathogens) associated with the raw material, acquired during handling/processing and surviving the preservation and storage. The general scenarios by which meat become contaminated with pathogenic bacteria, viruses and parasites include: i) contact with human/animal sewage/feces; ii) contact with infected meat handlers, e.g. ubiquitous bacteria on the skin, nose and throat of healthy individuals; iii) environmental contamination (airborne, water, food contact surfaces), e.g. pathogens ubiquitous in nature. Such contamination may arise at any point along the meat chain continuum, 'from farm to fork' (meat chain modules I-V, Table 1) and may originate from any number of sources.

## 3. The impact of climatic factors on meat safety

Weather, which is impacted by the climate, affects the timing and intensity of foodborne outbreaks<sup>8</sup>. The most important factors influencing the ecology of food(meat)borne pathogens are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. The general influence of climatic factors on food/meat safety.

Climatic factors	Impact on food/meat safety
Seasonality (temperature, humidity)	Pathogens' survival (stress response) Pathogen emergence (microbial evolution, gene transfer) Population susceptibility (YOPI)
Extreme weather events (flooding, drought, hurricanes)	Increased susceptibility of affected population (refugees in close quarters) Increased susceptibility of meat animals (ingestion of spores via water, soil and feed - <i>Cl. botulinum</i> ) Changes in water availability Increased severity of disease

The elevated temperature may speed up the pathogen proliferation along the meat chain. For these reasons, animal health and interaction of meat animals with weather conditions should be monitored carefully, as this may be related with increased susceptibility of animals to zoonotic agents, including meatborne pathogens (Table 3)<sup>1</sup>.

Table 3. Examples of some meatborne zoonotic agents that are expected to be affected by climate change and their mode of transmission.

Meatborne agents		
Bacterium	Host	Mode of transmission
<i>Salmonella</i>	Poultry and pigs	Faecal-oral
<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	Cattle and small ruminants	Faecal-oral
<i>Campylobacter</i>	Poultry	Faecal-oral
<i>Yersinia</i>	Pigs – a major livestock reservoir; Birds and rodents	Handling pigs at slaughter is a risk to humans
Anaerobic spore forming Bacteria (e.g. <i>Clostridium</i> )	Birds, mammals and livestock	Ingestion of spores through environmental routes, water, soil and feeds

<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	Livestock	A seasonal occurrence in livestock associated with feeding of silage
<u>Protozoan</u>	<u>Host</u>	<u>Mode of transmission</u>
<i>Toxoplasma gondii</i>	Cats, pigs, sheep	Cat faeces are a major source of infection; handling and consuming raw meat from infected sheep and pigs pose a zoonotic risk
<i>Cyptosporidium parvum</i>	Cattle, sheep	Faecal-oral transmission; oocysts are highly infectious and with high loadings, livestock faeces pose a risk to meat animal handlers
<i>Giardia intestinalis</i>		
<u>Parasite</u>	<u>Host</u>	<u>Mode of transmission</u>
Tapeworm:	Cattle, pigs	Faecal-oral
<i>Cysticercus bovis</i>		
<i>Cysticercus cellulosae</i>		
Liver fluke:	Sheep, cattle	Eggs are excreted in faeces, and life cycle involves snail hosts; human cases generally associated with the ingestion of marsh plants (e.g. watercress)
<i>Fasciola hepatica</i>		

#### 4. Conclusion

Pathogens rarely emerge without a reason. The emergence and/or re-emergence of zoonotic food(meat)borne pathogens usually occurs as a consequence of a combination of two or more specific factors. The major drivers for the pathogen emergence are associated with changes in the following sectors: (i) ecology and agriculture; (ii) technology and industry; (iii) globalization; (iv) human behaviour and demographics; (v) epidemiological surveillance; and (vi) microbial adaptation<sup>9</sup>. Further, emergence may not necessarily be predictable. For example, the climate-driven changes in the movement of animal and human populations may facilitate the spread of a pathogen which previously was of a low prevalence or little consequence.

Therefore, the early identification and prioritization of the climate-induced emerging biological risks and their interactions between meat animal, plant and public health must be better understood by the governments<sup>10</sup>. It will require a proactive approach and coordinated, inter-sectoral cooperation between environmental, veterinary, food and health authorities, to ensure preparedness for the anticipated changes.

#### References

1. FAO. Climate change: implications to food safety. 2008; <ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/010/i0195e/i0195e00.pdf> (assessed on 18<sup>th</sup> June 2015).
2. Gregory NG. How climatic changes could affect meat quality. *Food Res Int* 2010;**43**:1866-73.
3. Miraglia M, Marvin HJP, Kleter GA, Battilani P, Brera C, Coni C, Cubadda F, Croci L, De Santis B, Dekkers S, Filippi L, Hutjes RWA, Noordam MY, Pisante M, Piva G, Prandini A, Toti L, van den Born GJ, Vespermann A. Climate change and food safety: An emerging issue with special focus on Europe. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2009;**47**:1009-21.
4. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Assessing the potential impacts of climate change on food- and waterborne diseases in Europe. ECDC 2012; ISBN 978-92-9193-339-6.
5. Morley NJ, Lewis JW. Extreme climatic events and host-pathogen interactions: The impact of the 1976 drought in the UK. *Ecol Complex* 2014;**17**:1-19.
6. Stewart LD, Elliott CT. The impact of climate change on existing and emerging microbial threats across the food chain: an island of Ireland perspective. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 2015;**44**:11-20.
7. Nastasijevic I, Mitrovic R, Jankovic V. STEC in the beef chain – One Health Approach. *Tehn mesa* 2014;**55**(2):93-116.
8. Tirado MC, Clarke R, Jaykus LA, McQuatters-Gollop A, Frank JM. Climate change and food safety: A review. *Food Res Int* 2010;**43**:1745-65.
9. Tauxe RV. Emerging foodborne pathogens. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2002;**78**:31-41.
10. European Food Safety Authority. Drivers of emerging risks and their interactions in the domain of biological risks to animal, plant and public health: a pilot study. EFSA Supporting publication 2014:EN-588.