

Institutional Repository - Research Portal Dépôt Institutionnel - Portail de la Recherche

researchportal.unamur.be

RESEARCH OUTPUTS / RÉSULTATS DE RECHERCHE

Contrasting indirect effects of an ant host on prey-predator interactions of symbiotic arthropods

Parmentier, T.; De Laender, F.; Wenseleers, T.; Bonte, D.

Published in: Oecologia

DOI: 10.1007/s00442-018-4280-6

Publication date: 2018

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (HARVARD): Parmentier, T, De Laender, F, Wenseleers, T & Bonte, D 2018, 'Contrasting indirect effects of an ant host on prey-predator interactions of symbiotic arthropods', Oecologia, vol. 188, no. 4, pp. 1145-1153. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-018-4280-6

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Contrasting indirect effects of an ant host					
2 3	on prey-predator interactions of symbiotic arthropods					
4	Parmentier T ^{1,2,3} , De Laender F ³ , Wenseleers T ² , Bonte D ¹					
5						
6	¹ Terrestrial Ecology Unit (TEREC), Department of Biology, Ghent University, K.L.					
7	Ledeganckstraat 35, B-9000 Gent, Belgium					
8						
9	² Laboratory of Socioecology and Socioevolution, KU Leuven, Naamsestraat 59, B-3000					
10	Leuven, Belgium					
11						
12	³ Research Unit of Environmental and Evolutionary Biology, Namur Institute of Complex					
13	Systems, and Institute of Life, Earth, and the Environment, University of Namur, Rue de					
14	Bruxelles 61, 5000 Namur, Belgium					
15						
16	*Correspondence: Thomas.Parmentier@ugent.be					
17	Key words: competition, host-mediated, myrmecophile, red wood ant, trait-mediated					
18						
19	Author Contributions: TP conceived, designed and performed the experiments. TP, FL, TW					
20	and DB analyzed the data. TP wrote the manuscript; other authors provided editorial advice.					
21						

22 Abstract

Indirect interactions occur when a species affects another species by altering the density (density-mediated interactions) or influencing traits (trait-mediated interactions) of a third species. We studied variation in these two types of indirect interactions in a network of red wood ants and symbiotic arthropods living in their nests. We tested whether the ant workers indirectly affected survival of a symbiotic prey species (*Cyphoderus albinus*) by changing the density and/or traits of three symbiotic predators, i.e. *Mastigusa arietina*, *Thyreosthenius biovatus* and *Stenus aterrimus*, provoking respectively low, medium and high ant aggression.

An ant nest is highly heterogeneous in ant worker density and the number of aggressive interactions towards symbionts increase with worker density. We therefore hypothesized that varying ant density could indirectly impact prey-predator interactions of the associated symbiont community.

Ants caused trait-mediated indirect effects in all three prey-predator interactions, by affecting the prey-capture rate of the symbiotic predators at different worker densities. Prey capture rate of the highly and moderately aggressed spider predators *M. arietina* and *T. biovatus* decreased with ant density, whereas the prey capture rate of the weakly aggressed beetle predator *S. aterrimus* increased. Ants also induced density-mediated indirect interactions as high worker densities decreased the survival rate of the two predatory spider species.

These results demonstrate for the first time that a host can indirectly mediate the trophic interactions between associated symbionts. In addition, we show that a single host can induce opposing indirect effects depending on its degree of aggression towards the symbionts.

43

44

45 Introduction

Community dynamics are propelled by a complex set of antagonistic, neutral and mutualistic 46 interactions. Direct interactions as found in symbiosis and predation have been traditionally 47 studied to understand the structuring of communities. However, theoretical and empirical 48 evidence increasingly show that indirect interactions are abundant and strongly shape 49 50 community dynamics as well (Strauss 1991; Wootton 1994; Guimarães et al. 2017). Indirect interactions between two species arise when the effect of one species on another is mediated 51 by a third species. Indirect effects can be subdivided into two categories. Density-mediated 52 indirect effects arise when a species indirectly affects another by changing the survival of a 53 54 third species (Abrams et al. 1996). A typical example is a predator that indirectly promotes the growth of plants by decreasing the density of herbivores (Hebblewhite et al. 2005). Trait-55 mediated indirect effects, on the other hand, emerge when a species affects another species 56 by altering the foraging behavior, refuge use, physiology or other traits of a third species 57 (Abrams et al. 1996; Werner and Peacor 2003). 58

59

Indirect interactions are at the heart of food web dynamics by mediating both consumptive and competitive interactions (Abrams et al. 1996; Werner and Peacor 2003). A diverse array of key ecological processes such as trophic cascades, trait-mediated cascades and competition are induced by indirect interactions (Abrams et al. 1996; Levine et al. 2017). Ultimately, these types of interactions have a structuring role in communities and may promote species coexistence (van Veen et al. 2005; Levine et al. 2017).

66

While the study of indirect interactions is tightly linked with food web networks, a cumulative number of studies also recorded them in host-symbiont networks (Dunn et al. 2012; Guimarães et al. 2017). Symbionts are species that live in close association with a host on which they may have beneficial, neutral or adverse effects. Indirect effects between species may govern to a large degree coevolution in mutualistic networks (Guimarães et al. 2017). Guimarães et al. 72 (2017) showed that large and nested mutualistic networks are expected to have more indirect 73 effects than small and modular networks shaped by specialized mutualisms. Empirical studies on indirect interactions in host-symbiont networks typically reported that these interactions 74 75 could alter the competitive outcome of two susceptible hosts (Hambäck et al. 2006; van Nouhuys and Kraft 2012) or of two competing parasites (Waage and Davies 1986; Bush and 76 77 Malenke 2008; Lebrun et al. 2009). Host-mediated competition between two parasites was for example found in two feather-feeding lice in which the magnitude of competition was 78 dependent on the defense (preening) of the host bird (Bush and Malenke 2008). Indirect effects 79 80 can also mediate trophic interactions rather than competitive interactions in this type of 81 networks as demonstrated in symbiont communities associated with pitcher plants (terHorst 82 2010).

83

Red wood ants (Formica rufa group) also form such host-symbiont networks. These 84 widespread ants are keystone arthropods in European forest ecosystems (Gösswald 1989; 85 Stockan et al. 2016). They exert wide-range effects on the forest fauna and flora and drive 86 87 biogeochemical processes (Wardle et al. 2011). The above ground part of a red wood ant nest is a conspicuous mound of organic thatch (Rosengren et al. 1987), which is tightly regulated 88 and provides an ideal habitat for a diverse community of associated symbionts, known as 89 myrmecophiles (Parmentier et al. 2014). The majority of these red wood ant myrmecophiles 90 91 directly interact with their host by feeding on their eggs and larvae and stealing prey carried 92 into the nest (Parmentier et al. 2016a). In addition, many red wood ant myrmecophiles hunt on smaller myrmecophiles in the red wood ant nest microcommunity (Parmentier et al. 2016a). 93 94 Ants also directly interact with their myrmecophile guests. If detected, ants try to deter or hurt 95 them by showing aggression. Red wood ants show a highly variable degree of aggression 96 towards myrmecophiles ranging from almost complete ignorance to heavy persecution, depending on the identity of the myrmecophile species (Parmentier et al. 2016b). In addition, 97 98 the aggression response will be more prominent in densely crowded chambers in the nest, 99 such as the chambers with brood, compared to the nest periphery, because of an increase in

aggressive events and fewer opportunities to hide. Interestingly, myrmecophiles show
preferences for particular nest locations and corresponding worker densities (Parmentier et al.
2016b), likely reflecting their tolerance to different degrees of ant aggression.

103 We thus anticipate the density of red wood ant workers to indirectly affect the survival of a myrmecophilous prey species by altering the behavior or survival of its myrmecophilous 104 105 predator. Red wood ants vary greatly in their degree of aggression towards different myrmecophilous predators. The prey capture rate of those predators is as a result expected to 106 change differently with increasing worker densities. We compared the effect of worker density 107 on the prey capture rate among three different myrmecophilous predators, i.e. the rove beetle 108 109 Stenus aterrimus Erichson, 1839 and the spiders Thyreosthenius biovatus (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1875) and Mastigusa arietina (Thorell, 1871). They provoke respectively low, 110 111 medium and high levels of ant aggression (Parmentier et al. 2016b). The myrmecophilous springtail Cyphoderus albinus Nicolet, 1842 was used as the shared prey of the three 112 myrmecophilous predators (Fig. 1). The increase of aggressive events caused by increased 113 114 worker densities will likely change the behavior of persecuted myrmecophilous predators, whereas the behavior of myrmecophilous predators that provoke little or no aggression is 115 expected not to alter (Fig. 1). Therefore we hypothesized that increasing worker densities 116 would have the strongest negative effect on the prey capture rate of the myrmecophilous 117 predator that provoked the highest degree of aggression. 118

119 Material & Methods

120 Study system and sampling

121 Myrmecophiles, ant workers, and organic nest material were repeatedly collected in a colony of the red wood ant Formica rufa Linnaeus, 1761 in Boeschepe, France, from June until 122 123 September 2017. This supercolony was headed by many queens (polygynous) and consisted of multiple mounds which constantly exchanged workers and resources (polydomous). 124 125 Myrmecophiles were collected by spreading out nest material into a large plastic tray. The white springtail Cyphoderus albinus is an obligate ant symbiont that may reach high densities 126 127 in ant nests (Parmentier et al. 2015). Ant workers pay little or no attention to its presence (Parmentier et al. 2016b). This springtail is likely to be the principal prey for many 128 myrmecophilous predators and was therefore used as the myrmecophilous prey in the 129 130 experiment (Parmentier et al. 2016a). We selected three predators which were obligate ant 131 symbionts as well. Stenus aterrimus is a rove beetle specialized to capture springtails (Koerner et al. 2012). Ants show weak rates of aggression (aggression in 13% of encounters; see 132 Parmentier et al. 2016a) towards this species and are distributed throughout the nest 133 (Parmentier et al. 2016b). The two other selected predators were the spiders *Thyreosthenius* 134 135 biovatus and Mastigusa arietina. The former can be found throughout the nest and is occasionally attacked (aggression in 24% of encounters), whereas the latter is strongly 136 persecuted (aggression in 73% of encounters) and is restricted to the sparsely occupied 137 periphery of the nest (Parmentier et al. 2016b). The three tested myrmecophilous predators 138 139 predate on the springtail and interact with their host by kleptoparasitism and brood predation 140 (so far only recorded for *T. biovatus* and *M. arietina*, Parmentier et al. 2016a). The ants do not 141 predate on the myrmecophilous predators and prey, but only negatively interact with them by an aggression response. 142

Ant workers were kept in a container with a plaster-filled bottom and given access to water and sugar water ad libitum prior to the experiment. The myrmecophilous prey *C. albinus* was cultured in a container with organic nest material and some hundreds of workers of the

supercolony. Myrmecophilous predators were individually placed in small snap-lid containers 146 147 (diameter 4.5 cm) filled with moistened plaster in the lab. Next, we offered to all predators three C. albinus prey to level out differences in feeding status. Subsequently, the beetle S. aterrimus 148 149 and the spider *M. arietina* were starved for a period of 4 days and the spider *T. biovatus* for 10 days. We opted for a longer starvation period in T. biovatus, as the proportion of surviving prey 150 in presence of T. biovatus starved for four days (mean = 0.92, N = 10) was close to prev 151 survival without predators. Starving T. biovatus for a period of 10 days considerably increased 152 153 its prey capture rate. Nest material was stored in a freezer (-21 °C) to kill tiny and hidden organisms such as mites, and which could potentially serve as additional prey for the 154 myrmecophilous predators. We reconciled the extensive search effort to find the relatively rare 155 predators with the need for a high number of replicates, by reusing the predators in subsequent 156 157 trials. Before using a predator again, it was first re-isolated, fed with three individuals of C. albinus prey and starved as before. All spider individuals were female. 158

159 Experiment: Prey-predator interactions under different worker densities

We aimed with this experiment to test the effect of increasing density of ant workers on the 160 prey capture success of symbiotic predators (Fig. 1). The experiment was done in plastic 161 162 containers (diameter 5 cm, height = 5.5 cm) with a 1-cm bottom of plaster of Paris. The side of 163 the container was coated with fluon to prevent ants and myrmecophiles to climb on. The 164 container was closed with a lid in which there was a hole (diameter 2 cm) covered with fine 165 mesh. This prevented myrmecophiles from escaping by rare flying (S. aterrimus) or jumping 166 events (C. albinus). Approximately 5 mL of the defrosted organic material was then added to 167 the container together with 5 fully grown individuals of *C. albinus* collected in the stock colony. 168 The nest material and plaster was moistened. As we wanted to test prey-predation under differing worker densities, we assigned 0 (0W: control), 5 (5W: low density), 15 (15W: medium 169 170 density) or 45 (45W: high density) ant workers to a container. Finally, one starved predator individual of S. aterrimus, T. biovatus or M. arietina was introduced (Fig. 2). The introduction 171 of the predator in the container was approximately 30 min. later than the prey giving the latter 172

time to hide. After 48h, the number of surviving prey individuals out of 5 was recorded. To test 173 174 the effect of predation, we need to control for natural mortality of the prey. Therefore we counted the number of prey out of 5 that survived without predators under the 4 levels of worker 175 176 density (number of replicates: 0W = 37, 5W = 39, 15W = 37, 45W = 40). All containers were kept at 20-22 °C in complete darkness. The experiment was conducted from July until October 177 2017. The number of trials for each treatment is summarized in Table 1. We did not test the 178 effect of 45 workers on the prey capture rate and survival of *M. arietina*. In 4 preliminary tests 179 with this species, all individuals died within a couple of hours. The spider is expected not to 180 survive these stressful conditions, which was already suggested by another lab experiment 181 (Parmentier et al. 2016b). As it was difficult to obtain large numbers of *M. arietina*, we chose 182 to allocate the spiders only to 0W, 5W and 15W to assess their prey capture rate. 183

184

185 Statistical analyses

186 Myrmecophile survival under different levels of worker density

187 In some trials, predators were dead before the end of the 48h test period. This could be explained by natural mortality, starvation, through increased stress or inflicted injuries by the 188 ants (Parmentier 2016). The effect of ant worker density on predator survival was compared 189 190 by calculating the proportion of trials in which the predator survived. Here, we only based on 191 trials in which the predator was used for the first time so that the exposure time to the ants was comparable. We compared pairwise the effect of different levels of ant density on predator 192 193 survival by employing Fisher exact tests and subsequently controlled the false discovery rate of the corresponding P-values using the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure (Benjamini and 194 Hochberg 1995). 195

196 To test the effect of ant density on the proportion of surviving *Cyphoderus albinus* in absence 197 of predators, a quasibinomial error distributed (to account for overdispersion) GLM was used with logit link function. We assessed the significance of these baseline data with a likelihoodratio test using the 'Anova' function of the R-package car (Fox and Weisberg 2011).

200 Prey-predator interactions under different worker densities

201 Capture success under different worker densities was based on trials where the predators 202 survived until the end. One trial was excluded, because the predator was visibly hurt at the end and which may have affected its prey capture success. In all other trials, predators showed 203 204 normal behavior at the end. For each predator separately, we modelled the proportion of surviving prey under different densities of ant workers using a GLMM (generalized linear mixed 205 206 model) with binomial error distribution and logit link function. Treatment (= different levels of worker ant density: 0 workers, 5 workers, 15 workers and 45 workers) was included as a fixed 207 factor. To account for re-using predator individuals in subsequent trials (max. 4 trials per 208 209 individual), we included predator identity as a random factor. This factor was nested in 210 treatment as an individual was always exposed to the same treatment level (i.e. identical worker ant density) in all of its replicates. We also added an observation level random factor 211 to correct for overdispersion (Browne et al. 2005). The GLMMs were run using the 'glmer' 212 function in R-package Ime4 (Bates et al. 2015) and significance was tested using a likelihood-213 214 ratio test implemented in the 'Anova' function of the R-package car (Fox and Weisberg 2011). Subsequently, the different levels of ant density in these GLMM-models were pairwise 215 216 compared with the glht function of the 'multcomp' package (Hothorn et al. 2008). Within each 217 series of pairwise tests, we controlled the false discovery rate using the BH-procedure.

218

219 Results

220 Myrmecophile survival under different levels of worker density

221 The prey Cyphoderus albinus was rarely noticed by the host ant and freely foraged in the nest 222 material. Survival of this species was correspondingly very high after 48h across all treatments. 223 It was seemingly not affected by the presence of ants, ranging from low to high density of ant workers (quasibinomial GLM, Chisq = 3.60, P = 0.31; Fig. 3). Survival rate of the predator 224 225 Stenus aterrimus was high and equal across different levels of ant density (P-values of 6 BH corrected Fisher Exact tests P = 1.00, proportions survivals see Table 1). Survival of the 226 227 predator Thyreosthenius biovatus declined with increasing worker density (Table 1, Fig. 3), but the 6 pairwise BH corrected Fisher Exact tests were not significantly different. Survival of the 228 spider Mastigusa arietina steeply declined with increasing worker density (Table 1, Fig. 3). 229 230 Survival at medium worker densities (15 workers) was significantly lower than survival without 231 ants (BH corrected Fisher Exact test P = 0.002) and survival at low (5 workers) worker densities (BH corrected Fisher Exact test P = 0.029). 232

233

234 Prey-predator interactions under different worker densities

235 To compare prey capture rate among predators and worker densities, we here only included 236 trials in which the predator survived till the end (48h-period) (Table 1). Natural mortality was very low and constant across all 4 levels of ant density (see above). Therefore, we directly 237 238 compared the effect of the predator on the survival of the prev under different worker densities 239 without controlling for natural mortality. All three predators efficiently captured the prey C. 240 albinus in absence of ants. Indeed, the proportion of surviving prey C. albinus in absence of ants and predators was reduced from 0.97 ± 95% CI [0.94-0.99] to 0.46 [0.40-0.52], 0.54 [0.48-241 0.60], and 0.41 [0.35-0.47] in presence of S. aterrimus, T. biovatus, and M. arietina, resp. 242 Survival of *C. albinus* in presence of the spiders *T. biovatus* and *M. arietina* was significantly 243 higher with increasing ant density (GLMM_{T, biovatus}: Chisg = 28.27, P < 0.001; GLMM_{M, arietina}: 244

245 Chisq = 31.61, P < 0.001, indicating a lower capture rate of both spiders in higher ant densities. BH controlled post hoc tests on the survival of C. albinus with T. biovatus indicated 246 247 that *C. albinus* survival without ants and with low ant density was significantly higher than in the treatments with medium and high ant densities (Table 2). Higher worker density thus 248 negatively affected prey capture success of the two spiders (Fig. 3). In contrast, survival of C. 249 albinus with S. aterrimus was significantly higher in the absence and low densities of ants 250 251 compared to medium and high worker densities (GLMM: Chisq = 31.96, P < 0.001, Table 2), reflecting a higher prey capture rate of the beetle in medium and high worker densities. 252

253

254 Discussion

While it is widely accepted that symbionts can impose strong antagonistic to mutualistic direct 255 effects on their partners (Thrall et al. 2007) it is predicted that indirect interactions may be 256 equally pervasive in host-symbiont networks (e.g. Lebrun et al. 2009, van Nouhuys and Kraft 257 2012). These indirect effects may mediate competitive and trophic interactions between 258 259 different symbionts or change the competitive outcome of different hosts. Here, we showed that indirect effects can radically alter trophic interactions between symbionts in a host-ant 260 community. We surprisingly found that the host caused contrasting indirect effects on the 261 survival of the prey symbiont Cyphoderus albinus by inducing different responses in its 262 263 predators. The symbiotic predator species responded differently to increasing worker densities and their response was tightly linked with the level of aggression they provoked in the host 264 colony. The prey capture rate of the heavily persecuted predator Mastigusa arietina declined 265 sharply, the poorly attacked spider Thyreosthenius biovatus became moderately less 266 successful, whereas the tolerated rove beetle Stenus aterrimus even captured a higher 267 268 proportion of *C. albinus* prey with increasing worker densities of their red wood ant host.

269

270 Increasing ant densities differently altered the prey capture rate of the three symbiotic predators. The contrasting indirect effects of the ants on the symbiotic prey here are trait-271 mediated as they arise from changes in the behavior of the symbiotic predators, which in turn 272 affect the survival of the myrmecophilous prey. Worker density in ant nests is highly 273 274 heterogeneous, typically low at the periphery and high in the brood chambers (Hölldobler and 275 Wilson 1990). The frequency of aggressive interactions towards symbionts will be much higher in densely crowded chambers. Consequently, the center of the nest may not be a safe 276 microhabitat for the myrmecophilous predators T. biovatus and M. arietina, which is underlined 277 by their increased mortality risk in the crowded chambers. The increasing harassment with 278 279 higher ant densities is likely to promote hiding behavior in the two spiders, a typical behavior seen in many animals to avoid predation or injury risks (Blanchard et al. 1990, Cooper and 280

Blumstein 2015, see for myrmecophilous beetles in von Beeren et al. 2010), and will eventually 281 282 decrease their prey capture rate (Abrams et al. 1996; Preisser 2005; Cooper and Blumstein 2015). In line with the prediction that the defense behavior of animals will increase with higher 283 284 levels of risk (Lima and Bednekoff 1999), we found that negative effect of increasing worker density was more pronounced in the spider *M. arietina*. As this species provokes aggression 285 286 much more frequently and severely than T. biovatus, it is expected to show a stronger defense response and its foraging behavior will consequently be more affected. The reduced prev 287 capture rate observed in the spiders could also result from a more timid prey instead of a more 288 289 timid predator in presence of more ants. But this mechanism is unlikely because the prey's 290 foraging behavior and survival seemed not to be affected by ant density (pers. observations 291 TP). Stenus aterrimus is hardly noticed by the ants and could freely forage in the crowded nest 292 chambers. We did a priori not expect a change in its predation rate (Fig. 1), but our results 293 surprisingly showed that the beetle captured more prey in denser nest chambers. No 294 convincing explanation has yet been found to explain this pattern. It is possible that the increased prey capture rate of S. aterrimus in densely populated chambers could be driven by 295 296 a higher encounter rate between prey and predator. Higher ant densities may stimulate either the activity of the predator, prey or even both leading to more prey captures. 297

298

While trait-mediated effects were omniprevalent, we also found evidence of density-mediated 299 300 indirect effects, which are caused by a lethal effect of increasing ant density on two predators. 301 Survival of *M. arietina* was significantly lower in chambers with higher worker densities. A negative, yet non-significant, trend in survival was detected in T. biovatus. As in many other 302 303 networks, trait-mediated and density-mediated indirect effects act thus simultaneously in our 304 study system (Abrams et al. 1996; Banerji et al. 2015). Reduced survival of the spiders with 305 increasing ant density could be caused by starvation or higher levels of stress. Additionally, 306 crowded nest chambers offer fewer hiding places and could make the spiders more vulnerable 307 to lethal ant attacks. We found no evidence of reduced survival of the beetle S. aterrimus in 308 denser nest chambers. It should be noted that the 48h test period was relatively short and we

cannot exclude long-term detrimental effects of high worker densities on beetle fitness.
Nevertheless, aggression towards the beetles is extremely low, which hints that the long-term
impact of living in high worker densities is low for this species.

312

313 Indirect effects can have a major effect on competitive interactions. Plants are well known to 314 mediate competitive interactions between herbivores (Inbar and Gerling 2008; Utsumi et al. 2010). Typically, a herbivore induces morphological or chemical defences in the host plant 315 316 which in turn will hamper the fitness of a competing herbivore (Inbar and Gerling 2008). 317 Likewise, host-mediated interspecific competition in parasite communities has been reported 318 in multiple studies focusing both on micro- and macroparasites (Waage and Davies 1986; 319 Chilcutt and Tabashnik 1997; Bush and Malenke 2008; Lebrun et al. 2009; Ulrich and Schmid-320 Hempel 2012). Both theoretical (Levine et al. 2017) and empirical work (van Veen et al. 2005) 321 underline the role of indirect effects in host-symbiont network stability and shaping species coexistence. Multiple studies already reported niche partitioning in host-symbiont networks 322 (Proffit et al. 2007, Hechinger et al. 2009, von Beeren et al. 2010), but did not report indirect 323 324 effects as a mechanism of the symbiont community assembly so far. We suggest that indirect effects may shape the competitive interactions between the three symbiotic predators in our 325 study system. The host ant differently affected the prey capture rate of competing predators, 326 resulting in a competitive advantage for predators that induce lower aggression by the host 327 328 than their competitors. The springtail C. albinus is generally the most abundant 329 myrmecophilous prey in red wood ant nests. It can be found throughout the nest, but it reaches its highest densities in the center of the nest (Parmentier et al. 2016a, pers. observations TP). 330 331 Densities of other prey species are low in the core of the nest, but a group of facultative 332 symbionts can be found at the periphery of the nest (unpublished results). These species (mites, flies, spiders ...) mostly live away from ant nests (Robinson and Robinson 2013; 333 Parmentier et al. 2014), but may occupy the nest periphery in high numbers. The spider 334 335 Mastigusa arietina cannot compete with the other predators in the core of the nest. It strictly 336 lives at the periphery of the nest and it is readily killed in dense nest chambers (Parmentier et al. 2016b). *Mastigusa arietina* is likely to have a broader dietary niche than the two other predators as it may feed on the diverse community of facultative symbionts as well. The predators *S. aterrimus* and *T. biovatus*, in contrast to *M. arietina*, can be found throughout the nest (Parmentier et al. 2016b). Based on our results, the beetle *S. aterrimus* will have a strong competitive advantage to *T. biovatus* in the more crowded parts of the nest, because of higher tolerance to high worker densities and an increased predation response.

343

344

Our results contribute to a deeper understanding of the dynamics occurring in host-symbiont networks. We showed that variable conditions in a host environment determine interaction strength of associated symbionts by inducing density- and trait-mediated indirect effects. Fitness balances of the symbionts may shift with the variation in host conditions. Further research is needed to understand whether the interplay of indirect effects facilitate species coexistence and explain the spatial niche partitioning of the myrmecophile symbiont community.

352

353 Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Ugent (grant TP: Bijzonder Onderzoekfonds Ugent -BOF17/PDO/084) and the Kuleuven (grant TP: Postdoctoraal mandaat - 253 PDM/16/099).

References

- Abrams A, Menge B, Mittelbach G, Spiller DA, Yodzis P (1996) The role of indirect effects in food webs. In: Polis GA, Winemiller KO (eds) Food Webs: integration of patterns & dynamics. Chapman And Hall, Inc.: New York, pp 371-395
- Banerji A, Duncan AB, Griffin JS, Humphries S, Petchey OL, Kaltz O (2015) Density- and traitmediated effects of a parasite and a predator in a tri-trophic food web. J Anim Ecol 84:723–733
- Bates D, Machler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using Ime4. J Stat Softw 67:1–48
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc B 57:289–300
- Blanchard RJ, Blanchard DC, Rodgers J, Weiss SM (1990) The characterization and modelling of antipredator defensive behavior. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 14:463–472
- Browne WJ, Subramanian S V, Jones K, Goldstein H (2005) Variance partitioning in multilevel logistics models with over-dispersion. J R Stat Soc A 168:599–613
- Bush SA, Malenke JR (2008) Host defence mediates interspecific competition in ectoparasites. J Anim Ecol 77:558–564
- Chilcutt CF, Tabashnik BE (1997) Host-mediated competition between the pathogen *Bacillus thuringiensis* and the parasitoid *Cotesia plutellae* of the diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). Environ Entomol 26:38–45
- Cooper WE, Blumstein DT (2015) Escaping from predators: An integrative view of escape decisions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Dunn AM, Torchin ME, Hatcher MJ, et al (2012) Indirect effects of parasites in invasions. Funct Ecol 26:1262–1274
- Fox J, Weisberg S (2011) An {R} Companion to Applied Regression, Second Edition. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage. http://socserv.socsci.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion
- Gösswald K (1989) Die Waldameise Band 1 Biologische Grundlagen, Ökologie und Verhalten. Aula-Verlag, Wiesbaden

- Guimarães PR, Pires MM, Jordano P, Bacompte J, Thompson JN (2017) Indirect effects drive coevolution in mutualistic networks. Nature 550:511–514
- Hambäck PA, Stenberg JA, Ericson L (2006) Asymmetric indirect interactions mediated by a shared parasitoid: Connecting species traits and local distribution patterns for two chrysomelid beetles. Oecologia 148:475–481
- Hebblewhite M, White C, Nietvelt CG, McKenzie JA, Hurd TE, Fryxell JM, Bayley SE, Paquet P (2005) Human activity mediates a trophic cascade by wolves. Ecology 86:2135–2144
- Hechinger RF, Lafferty KD, Mancini FT, Warner RR, Kuris AM (2009) How large is the hand in the puppet? Ecological and evolutionary factors affecting body mass of 15 trematode parasitic castrators in their snail host. Evol Ecol 23:651–667
- Hölldobler B, Wilson EO (1990) The ants. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts
- Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P (2008) Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. Biometrical J 50:346–363
- Inbar M, Gerling D (2008) Plant-mediated interactions between whiteflies, herbivores, and natural enemies. Annu Rev Entomol 53:431–448
- Koerner L, Gorb SN, Betz O (2012) Functional morphology and adhesive performance of the stick-capture apparatus of the rove beetles *Stenus* spp. (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae). Zoology 115:117–27
- Lebrun EG, Plowes RM, Gilbert LE (2009) Indirect competition facilitates widespread displacement of one naturalized parasitoid of imported fire ants by another. Ecology 90:1184–1194
- Levine JM, Bascompte J, Adler PB, Allesina S (2017) Beyond pairwise mechanisms of species coexistence in complex communities. Nature 546:56–64
- Lima SL, Bednekoff PA (1999) Temporal variation in danger drives antipredator behavior: the predation risk allocation hypothesis. Am Nat 153:649–659
- Parmentier T, Dekoninck W, Wenseleers T (2014) A highly diverse microcosm in a hostile world: a review on the associates of red wood ants (*Formica rufa* group). Insectes Soc

61:229–237

- Parmentier T, Dekoninck W, Wenseleers T (2015) Metapopulation processes affecting diversity and distribution of myrmecophiles associated with red wood ants. Basic Appl Ecol 16:553–562
- Parmentier T (2016) Conflict and cooperation between ants and ant-associated arthropods. PhD Dissertation. Department of Biology, Kuleuven, Belgium
- Parmentier T, Bouillon S, Dekoninck W, Wenseleers T (2016a) Trophic interactions in an ant nest microcosm: a combined experimental and stable isotope (δ13C/δ15N) approach. Oikos 125:1182–1192
- Parmentier T, Dekoninck W, Wenseleers T (2016b) Do well-integrated species of an inquiline community have a lower brood predation tendency? A test using red wood ant myrmecophiles. BMC Evol Biol 16:12
- Preisser EL (2005) Scared to death? The effects of intimidation and consumption in predator - prey interactions. Ecology 86:501–509
- Proffit M, Schatz B, Borges RM, Hossaert-Mckey M (2007) Chemical mediation and niche partitioning in non-pollinating fig-wasp communities. J Anim Ecol 76:296–303
- Robinson NA, Robinson EJH (2013) Myrmecophiles and other invertebrate nest associates of the red wood ant *Formica rufa* (Hymenoptera Formicidae) in Northwest England. Br J Entomol Nat Hist 26:67–88
- Rosengren R, Fortelius W, Lindström K, Luther A (1987) Phenology and causation of nest heating and thermoregulation in red wood ants of the *Formica rufa* group studied in coniferous forest habitats in southern Finland. Ann Zool Fenn 24:147–155
- Stockan JA, Robinson EJH (2016) Wood ant ecology and conservation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Strauss SY (1991) Indirect effects in community ecology: Their definition, study and importance. Trends Ecol Evol 6:206–210
- Thrall PH, Hochberg ME, Burdon JJ, Bever JD (2007) Coevolution of symbiotic mutualists and parasites in a community context. Trends Ecol Evol 22:120–126

- Ulrich Y, Schmid-Hempel P (2012) Host modulation of parasite competition in multiple infections. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 279:2982–2989
- Utsumi S, Ando Y, Miki T (2010) Linkages among trait-mediated indirect effects: A new framework for the indirect interaction web. Popul Ecol 52:485–497
- van Nouhuys S, Kraft TS (2012) Indirect interaction between butterfly species mediated by a shared pupal parasitoid. Popul Ecol 54:251–260
- van Veen FJF, van Holland PD, Godfray HCJ (2005) Stable coexistence in insect communities due to density- and trait-mediated indirect effects. Ecology 86:3182–3189
- von Beeren C, Maruyama M, Hashim R, Witte V (2010) Differential host defense against multiple parasites in ants. Evol Ecol 25:259–276
- Waage JK, Davies CR (1986) Host-mediated competition in a bloodsucking insect community. J Anim Ecol 55:171–180
- Wardle D, Hyodo F, Bardgett R (2011) Long-term aboveground and belowground consequences of red wood ant exclusion in boreal forest. Ecology 92:645–656
- Werner EE, Peacor SD (2003) A review of trait- mediated indirect interactions in ecological communities. Ecology 84:1083–1100
- Wootton JT (1994) The nature and consequences of indirect effects in ecological communities. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 25:443–466

Tables

Table 1. Number of replicates and number of surviving predators for each treatment.

		0 workers	5 workers	15 workers	45 workers	
Stenus aterrimus						
	total different individuals tested	<i>N</i> = 16	N = 28	<i>N</i> = 18	N = 22	$N_{\rm tot} = 84$
	total predators survived in first replicate (dead)	16 (0)	26 (2)	17 (1)	21 (1)	
	total replicates predator survived (dead)	36 (8)	39 (5)	42 (3)	40 (6)	
Thyreosthenius biovatus						
	total different individuals tested	N = 24	N = 32	N = 34	N = 37	$N_{\rm tot} = 129$
	total predators survived in first replicate (dead)	23 (1)	26 (6)	27 (7)	27 (10)	
	total replicates predator survived (dead)	38 (3)	35 (9)	39 (11)	38 (13)	
Mastigusa arietina						
	total different individuals tested	<i>N</i> = 16	<i>N</i> = 24	N = 33		$N_{\rm tot} = 73$
	total predators survived in first replicate (dead)	16 (0)	21 (3)	19 (14)		
	total replicates predator survived (dead)	41 (1)	42 (5)	31 (20)		
	total different individuals tested total predators survived in first replicate (dead) total replicates predator survived (dead)	N = 16 16 (0) 41 (1)	N = 24 21 (3) 42 (5)	N = 33 19 (14) 31 (20)		N _{tot} = 73

 Table 2. Benjamini-Hochberg corrected post-hoc tests comparing pairwise the effect of different worker density levels on prey capture rate of the three predators: Stenus aterrimus, Thyreosthenius biovatus and Mastiguas arietina.

	Stenus aterrimus			Thyreosthenius biovatus			Mastigusa arietina	
	5 workers	15 workers	45 workers	5 workers	15 workers	45 workers	5 workers	15 workers
0 workers	0.654	<0.001	0.003	0.218	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001
5 workers	-	<0.001	<0.001	-	0.009	0.004	-	0.009
15 workers	-	-	0.319	-	-	0.697	-	-
45 workers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Figures

Fig. 1. Overview of the ant-symbiont and symbiont-symbiont interactions in the red wood ant microcosm. Black arrows depict prey-predator interactions between myrmecophiles, grey arrows denote aggressive interactions between ant and myrmecophiles. The thickness of the lines corresponds with the provoked level of aggression (proportion of aggressive interactions) as reported in Parmentier et al. (2016a). No effect on the prey capture rate of tolerated (ignored) predators is a priori expected. A medium negative effect on prey capture rate is expected in moderately attacked predators (thin red dotted line), a strong negative effect in heavily persecuted predators (thick red dotted line).

Fig. 2. A. Top view of a container used in the experiments. In this trial, the rove beetle *Stenus aterrimus* (indicated by arrow) is introduced in a container with 45 red wood ant workers. B. The springtail *Cyphoderus albinus* captured by *T. biovatus*. C. The springtail *Cyphoderus albinus* captured by *S. aterrimus*. D. Detailed view of a test container with *Mastigusa arietina* and *Cyphoderus albinus* (indicated by arrows). Note that all trials were conducted in complete darkness.

Fig. 3. Multipanel displaying at 4 densities (0, 5, 15 and 45) of host ant workers: (i) proportional survival of *Cyphoderus albinus* in absence of a myrmecophilous predator (white points) (ii) proportional survival of a myrmecophilous predator (grey points) and (iii) proportional survival of *C. albinus* in presence of the myrmecophilous predator (black points). Data are given in three separate boxes for the three different myrmecophilous predators, i.e. left: *Stenus aterrimus*, middle: *Thyreosthenius biovatus*, right: *Mastigusa arietina*. Survival of prey without a predator was determined in a single series of trials, but is depicted in all three figures as a baseline. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Means of a treatment (i.e. same color code and with same predator) labelled with different letters are significantly different at the α = 0.05 level (corrected for multiple testing using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure).



Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3