

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Molecular Identification and Susceptibility Testing of Molds Isolated in a Prospective Surveillance of Triazole Resistance in Spain (FILPOP2 Study).

Alastruey-Izquierdo, A., Alcazar-Fuoli, L., Rivero-Menéndez, O., Ayats, J., Castro, C., García-Rodríguez, J., Goterris-Bonet, L., Ibáñez-Martínez, E., Linares-Sicilia, M. J., Martin-Gomez, M. T., Martín-Mazuelos, E., Pelaez, T., Peman, J., Rezusta, A., Rojo, S., Tejero, R., Anza, D. V., Viñuelas, J., Zapico, M. S., Cuenca-Estrella, M., ... the FILPOP2. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 62(9), e00358-18.which has been published in final form at:

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00358-18

# Molecular identification and susceptibility testing of molds isolated in a Prospective Surveillance of Triazole Resistance in Spain (FILPOP2 study)

<u>Ana Alastruey-Izquierdo</u><sup>1,14\*</sup>, Laura Alcazar-Fuoli<sup>1,14</sup>, Olga Rivero-Menéndez<sup>1</sup>, Josefina Ayats<sup>2,14</sup>,
 Carmen Castro<sup>3</sup>, Julio García-Rodríguez<sup>4</sup>, Lidia Goterris-Bonet<sup>5</sup>, Elisa Ibáñez-Martínez<sup>6</sup>, María
 José Linares-Sicilia<sup>7</sup>, M. Teresa Martin-Gomez<sup>5</sup>, Estrella Martín-Mazuelos<sup>3</sup>, Teresa Pelaez<sup>8</sup>,
 Javier Peman<sup>6</sup>, Antonio Rezusta<sup>9</sup>, Susana Rojo<sup>10</sup>, Rocio Tejero<sup>7</sup>, Diego Vicente Anza<sup>11, 12, 13</sup>, Jesús
 Viñuelas<sup>9</sup>, Maria Soledad Zapico<sup>11</sup> and Manuel Cuenca-Estrella<sup>1</sup>, members of the FILPOP2
 Project from GEMICOMED (SEIMC) and REIPI<sup>14</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Instituto Salud Carlos III. National Centre for Microbiology, Madrid, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Hospital 9 10 Universitari de Bellvitge, Bacelona, Spain; <sup>3</sup>Hospital Universitario Virgen de Valme, Sevilla, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain; <sup>5</sup>Hospital Universitario Vall d'Hebron, 11 Barcelona, Spain, <sup>6</sup>Hospital Universitario La Fe, Valencia, Spain; <sup>7</sup> Hospital Universitario Reina 12 Sofía, Córdoba, Spain; <sup>8</sup>Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, <sup>9</sup>Hospital 13 Universitario Miguel Servet, Zaragoza, Spain; <sup>10</sup>Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias, 14 Oviedo, Spain<sup>11</sup>Hospital Universitario Donostia, San Sebastian, Spain;<sup>12</sup>Centro de Investigación 15 Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), <sup>13</sup>Universidad del País Vasco 16 UPV/EHU;<sup>14</sup> Spanish Network for Research in Infectious Diseases, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, 17 Madrid, Spain 18

19 \* Address correspondence to A. Alastruey-Izquierdo: anaalastruey@isciii.es

20 Running title: FILPOP 2 study

Keywords: Antifungal resistance, Aspergillus, cryptic species, Scedosporium, Fusarium,
 Mucorales, azole resistance, cyp51A

23

# 24 Abstract

25 Antifungal resistance is increasing by the emergence of intrinsically resistant species and by the development of secondary resistance in susceptible species. A previous study performed in 26 27 Spain revealed levels of azole resistance in molds between 10 to 12.7% but secondary resistance in A. fumigatus was not detected. We used itraconazole supplemented media to 28 29 select resistant strains. A total of 500 plates supplemented with 2 mg/L of ITZ were sent to 10 30 Spanish tertiary hospitals, molecular identification and antifungal susceptibility testing were 31 performed. In addition, cyp51A gene was sequenced in those A. fumigatus strains showing 32 azole resistance. A total of 493 isolates were included in the study. Sixteen strains were

- 33 isolated from patients with an infection classified as proven, 104 as probable and 373 as
- 34 colonization. *Aspergillus* was the most frequent genera isolated with 80.3% followed by
- 35 Scedosporium/Lomentospora (7.9%), Penicillium/Talaromyces (4.5%), Fusarium (2.6%) and the
- 36 order Mucorales (1 %). Antifungal resistance was detected in *Scedosporium/Lomentospora*
- 37 species, *Fusarium, Talaromyces* and Mucorales. Three strains of *A. fumigatus senso stricto*
- 38 were resistant to azoles, two of them harbored TR<sub>34</sub>+L98H mechanism of resistance and the
- 39 other one had no mutations in *cyp*51A. The level of azole resistance in *A. fumigatus* remains
- 40 low but cryptic species represent over 10% of the isolates and have broader but overall higher
- 41 range of antifungal resistance

#### 43 Introduction

44 The incidence of fungal diseases causing fatal infections has risen due to an increase in population at risk. Mortality rates range from 40% to 90% in high-risk patients such as those 45 with haematological malignancies (1, 2). Despite that Aspergillus fumigatus is the most 46 47 common filamentous fungi involved in invasive diseases, emerging moulds such as Mucorales, 48 Scedosporium spp., Fusarium spp. and other species of Aspergillus are being increasingly 49 reported (3, 4). The prevalence and relevance of these emerging fungal pathogens in the 50 clinical setting is presently unknown. However, a prominent feature is that those emerging 51 fungi show decreased susceptibility in vitro to most antifungal drugs (5) and disseminated mould infections are often very difficult to treat. A scarce number of epidemiological studies 52 53 involving multiple centers in mould infections have been published (6, 7). Epidemiological 54 studies are essential to know the prevalence of fungal pathogens and are key to implement 55 control measures to decrease infection rates. In addition, they are essential to detect 56 emergence of resistance and to define rates of resistance in different geographical areas and 57 group of patients.

Antifungal resistance is increasing both by the emergence of more resistant/less susceptible 58 59 species but also by the developing of secondary resistance (8). Particularly important are the 60 high rates of azole resistant A. fumigatus reported in clinical samples in the Netherlands and 61 UK (9-11). In the Netherlands azole resistance has an overall prevalence of 5.3%, with ranges 62 from 1.8% to 12.8% depending on the geographical area and hospital studied (11). In UK a 63 clinical collection dataset of 519 A. fumigatus isolates showed that the frequency of 64 itraconazole (ITZ) resistance in vitro was 5%, with a significant increase since 2004 (10). Later, 65 the rise of azole resistance has continued in 2008 and 2009 with rates of 14% and 20%, 66 respectively (12). Since then, triazole resistance has been described worldwide (13, 14).

67 In Spain the multicentre epidemiological study FILPOP (6) described the epidemiology of 68 mould infections in the country and the rates of resistance. Triazole resistance ranged from 10 69 to 12.7%, depending on the species and the drug tested however, secondary resistance in A. 70 fumigatus was not detected. Taking into account the high levels of secondary resistance 71 described in neighbouring countries, we hypothesize that this resistance could be 72 underestimated due to the sampling method we used. In this work, we proposed a strategy by 73 using a selective media supplemented with an antifungal (ITZ) to detect specifically resistant 74 isolates in respiratory samples and to avoid the overgrowth of azole susceptible species belonging to the conventional flora. Plates with the selective media were used to cultureclinical samples directly to increase the rate and chance of isolation of azole resistant strains.

#### 77 Results

Five hundred and six isolates were obtained from 10 Spanish Hospitals. One was a dermatophyte isolated from a nail that was excluded from the study, seven were yeast and five did not grow in the reference laboratory (RL) and were no further analyzed. Thus, a total of 493 isolates were included in the study. One hundred and fifty five isolates grew in ITZ supplemented media and three hundred and thirty-eight in the regular Sabouraud medium without supplement. Each isolate was characterized independently since typing techniques were not applied at this stage.

85

86 Four hundred and seventy seven (96.8%) strains were isolated from respiratory samples (333 87 sputum, 79 BAS (broncho aspirate), 38 BAL (broncho alveolar lavage), 14 tracheal aspirate and 88 13 from other respiratory samples), 10 from biopsies, four from wound exudates and two from 89 otic exudates. Sixteen strains were isolated from patients with an infection classified as 90 proven, 104 as probable and 373 as colonization. Underlying diseases included hematological (7%), solid organ transplant patients (9.7%), other cancer (11.6%), HIV (5%), chronic 91 92 obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD 16.6%), cystic fibrosis (9.3%), asthma (2.6%), other 93 causes of immunosuppression (4.5%) and other respiratory diseases (14.8%), other causes 94 (6.1%) and unknown (12.6%). Table 1 summarizes the number of strains isolated in each 95 sample by type of infection.

#### 96 Identification of the strains

97 Aspergillus was the most frequent genera isolated with 80.3% followed by 98 Scedosporium/Lomentospora (7.9%), Penicillium/Talaromyces (4.5%), Fusarium (2.6%) and the 99 order Mucorales (1%). Table 2 shows the identification to species level of the strains analyzed, 100 A. fumigatus was the most frequent species with 260 isolates (52.74%), followed by A. niger 101 (5.27%), A. flavus (5.07%), A. terreus (4.67%) and S. apiospermum (4.26%). Cryptic species of 102 Aspergillus accounted for 11.5% of the isolates. A. fumigatus was the most frequent species 103 both in colonization (186 isolates) and infections (74 isolates), however while A. niger and A. 104 flavus ranked second (26 total isolates) and third (25 total isolates) in the total number of 105 isolates, they were found less frequently (six and two isolates respectively) than S. 106 apiospermum (nine isolates) and A. terreus (eight isolates) in infections classified as probable 107 or proven (table 2). Those differences were not statistically significant.

## 108 Susceptibility testing

109 Three strains did not grow in the media and conditions used for susceptibility testing and were 110 not analyzed. Table 3 shows geometric Mean (GM), MIC causing inhibition of 50% of the 111 isolates (MIC<sub>50</sub>), MIC causing inhibition of 90% of the isolates (MIC<sub>90</sub>) and range of the species 112 that had 10 or more isolates. Scedosporium species had elevated MICs to all antifungals, 113 voriconazole being the most active compound with  $MIC_{50}=1mg/L$ , followed by echinocandins. 114 Azoles and echinocandins showed no activity to any of the *Fusarium* isolates analyzed, only 115 amphotericin B showed activity (MICs <2mg/L for 9 out of 13 isolates). Mucorales species 116 isolated showed elevated MICs to voriconazole and echinocandins and low MICs to 117 amphotericin B and posaconazole. Penicillium/Talaromyces showed low MICs to most 118 antifungals except for *Penicillium citrinum* with high MICs (16 mg/L) to voriconazole. Other 119 species with high MICs were Scopulariopsis brevicaulis and Alternaria spp., with high MICs to 120 all antifungals and Purpureocillium lilacinus (Syn. Paecilomyces lilacinus) with elevated MICs to 121 amphotericin B, itraconazole and echinocandins. Table 4 shows the number of Aspergillus 122 isolates with MICs > 2mg/L for amphotericin B, itraconazole and voriconazole and > 0.25 mg/L 123 for posaconazole. There were not significant differences between MIC values of infectious 124 strains and those of strains isolates from cases defined as colonization (p>0.01).

### 125 Analysis of the impact of itraconazole supplemented media

Table 5 shows the number of strains and percentage growing in Sabouraud and ITZ
supplemented media. Sixty nine percent of the isolates analyzed were isolated in SAB while
31% were isolated in ITZ supplemented media (338 versus 155 isolates).

Resistant isolates (MICs to itraconazole > 2mg/L) were preferably isolated in itraconazole supplemented media (15.6% of the total isolates in itraconazole versus 13.1% of the total isolates in Sabouraud) although differences were not statistically significant (*p*=0.5). *Scedosporium/Lomentospora* isolates were preferentially isolated in itraconazole supplemented media (11% versus 6.5%) as well as *Penicillium/Talaromyces* (5.2 vs 4.1%) although differences were not statistically significant compared with the percentages of *Aspergillus* spp. (*p*=0.08 and p=0.5).

We compared the results obtained in this study with the FILPOP I study where we analyzed the epidemiology of mold infections in 29 centers in Spain between 2010 and 2011. Although the results cannot be comparable because of important methodological differences, the percentages of *Scedosporium/Lomentospora* spp., *Penicillium/Talaromyces* spp. and *Fusarium* spp. increased in this study compared with the previous one while the percentages of *Aspergillus* spp. and Mucorales decreased (Table 6).

#### 142 Characterization of resistance mechanisms in Aspergillus fumigatus

143 Three strains of A. fumigatus senso stricto were resistant to azoles, two of them harbored

144 TR<sub>34</sub>+L98H mechanism of resistance and the other one had no mutations in cyp51A.

#### 145 Discussion

146 The emergence of azole resistance in A. fumigatus has been described worldwide with some 147 European countries showing very high rates (13). These strains have been clinically associated 148 with poorer outcomes (11). Another problem in antifungal resistance is the shift of the 149 epidemiology towards the emergence of intrinsically resistance species such as Scedosporium 150 spp., Fusarium spp. or Mucorales (4, 15). In addition molecular studies have described in the 151 last years, new species of fungi that are indistinguishable by classical methods of identification 152 and have been described as cryptic (16) (17). These cryptic species are more resistant to some 153 of the antifungals available and have been related with higher rates of mortality (18). Cryptic 154 species have been found in clinical samples in percentages higher than other considered 155 emerging pathogens such as Scedosporium or Fusarium (6, 19).

- 156 In a previous study (FILPOP) performed in 30 Spanish hospitals between 2010 and 2011 we 157 found no azole resistant A. fumigatus but 15% rate of cryptic species (6). In the current work, 158 we have used ITZ supplemented plates to screen for azole resistance. Thus, three out of two 159 hundred sixty (1.2%) A. fumigatus isolates analyzed were resistant to azoles, two of these 160 strains harbor the most frequent mechanism of azole resistance (TR<sub>34</sub>+L98H) while the other 161 strain showed no mutations in *cyp51A*. TR<sub>34</sub>+L98H mechanism of resistance has been linked to 162 the use of azoles in agriculture and is the most frequent mechanism of azole resistance 163 worldwide (20). Other mechanisms of resistance related with mutations in cyp51A such as 164 TR<sub>46</sub>/Y121F/T289A and G448S have been described in isolates from Spain (21, 22) but were not 165 found in this work. One out of the three azole resistant A. fumigatus had no mutations in 166 cyp51A. Azole resistant isolates with no mutations in cyp51A have been described previously 167 (23, 24). Other mechanisms of azole resistance could be present and would be further 168 analyzed in this isolate.
- The main source of resistance in our isolates were due to cryptic species of *Aspergillus* and emerging moulds such as *Fusarium, Scedosporium* and Mucorales. Break points for these species have not been defined; however, patients infected with these pathogens are associated with poorer outcomes (25).
- Cryptic species of *Aspergillus* accounted for 11.5% of the total number of isolates. Among *A. fumigatus* complex *A. lentulus* and *A. fumigatiaffinis* showed high MICs to amphotericin B and

voriconazole as previously reported (26, 27). Within A. niger complex A. niger and A. 175 176 tubingensis have been isolated in this study. In accordance with the results obtained here, 177 previous works reported that susceptibility within this group is variable and strain dependent 178 (28, 29). Aspergillus terreus and A. flavus complexes have been associated with higher MICs to 179 amphotericin B. In this work, the MIC range of amphotericin B for A. flavus was 0.25-2 mg/L 180 and 0.25-4 for A. terreus. Two out 23 isolates of A. terreus showed MIC= 4mg/L while all 181 isolates of A. alliaceus (A. flavus complex) showed MICs > 4 mg/L for amphotericin B in 182 accordance with previous results (6, 27, 30). A. citrinoterreus (A. terreus complex) isolates have 183 been reported to be more susceptible to itraconazole, voriconazole, and posaconazole than A. 184 terreus sensu stricto having both high amphotericin B MICs (31). In this study, two isolates of 185 A. citrinoterreus were found with no differences among susceptibilities of A. terreus isolates. 186 Aspergillus ustus complex have been associated with high MICs to all antifungals (6, 17, 27, 187 32). Among the species of that complex, we isolated five A. calidoustus and one A. puniceus 188 being all of them resistant to azoles and echinocandins, and being amphotericin B the only 189 compound with some activity.

Species of *Scedosporium/Lomentospora* represented almost 8% of our total number of strains. *Scedosporium* species had elevated MICs to amphotericin B and itraconazole being the most active antifungals voriconazole and echinocandins. *Lomentospora prolificans* (syn. *Scedosporium prolificans*) is panresistant with no antifungal showing in vitro effect. These results are in accordance with previous studies (33, 34)

195 Fusarium species accounted for 2.6% of the total number of isolates and showed elevated 196 MICs to all antifungals. The echinocandins and azoles had no activity, the only antifungal 197 compound with low MICs against some strains was amphotericin B. Other authors have 198 reported different patterns according to the species complexes, thus F. solani are usually 199 resistant to azoles and show higher MICs to amphotericin B than other species, whereas F. 200 oxysporum and F. verticilloides can be susceptible to voriconazole and posaconazole (35). In 201 our study most isolates (9 out of 13) were identified as F. proliferatum (F. fujikuroi complex), a 202 study analyzing 81 strains of Fusarium fujikuroi complex found that amphotericin B was the 203 most active drug, followed by voriconazole, posaconazole, isavuconazole and natamycin while 204 fluconazole, itraconazole and micafungin showed poor activity (36). Our isolates showed high 205 MICs (>2 mg/L) to all antifungals but amphotericin B with only one strain showing MIC > 2mg/L 206 in accordance with results previously published (37).

207 Unexpectedly, in this study, we could not find statistical differences in the detection of 208 resistant isolates when using itraconazole supplemented plates. Only 209 *Scedosporium/Lomentospora* isolates showed higher percentages of isolation in itraconazole 210 supplemented media compared with the other species. However when we compare the 211 results obtained in FILPOP 1 study (6) with this one (table 6) we see that the percentage of 212 Scedosporium/Lomentospora spp., Penicillium/Talaromyces spp. and Fusarium spp. increased 213 while the percentage of Aspergillus spp. and Mucorales decreased. Although the results are 214 not comparable because of important methodological differences (the number of participating centers, the number of strains analyzed, etc), this could indicate that selective media is 215 216 favoring the isolation of rare species by decreasing the recovery rate of fast growing species as 217 Aspergillus spp. and Mucorales. This is in agreement with previous works were selective media 218 have been recommended for the isolation of Scedosporium species (38-40).

219 In conclusion, this study shows that antifungal resistance is present in Spain. The level of azole 220 resistance in A. fumigatus remains low but cryptic species represent over 10% of the isolates 221 and have different patterns of antifungal resistance. Apart from Aspergillus other emerging 222 moulds such as Scedosporium/Lomentospora, Fusarium and Mucorales showed high MICs to 223 several antifungals. Taking into account these results and the impact on survival of an 224 appropriate antifungal treatment we recommend to screen for antifungal resistance and to 225 perform antifungal susceptibility testing to all isolates coming from sterile sites in order to 226 determine the best treatment option for the patients infected with these pathogens.

227

#### 228 Material and Methods

#### 229 Strains and isolates

230 A total of 500 Sabouraud (SAB, Oxoid S.A., Madrid, Spain) plates supplemented with 2 mg/L of 231 ITZ (Sigma Aldrich Quimica, Madrid, Spain) were sent to 10 Spanish tertiary hospitals from 232 different regions in Spain: Gregorio Marañón (1525 beds, Madrid, Madrid), La Paz (1524 beds, 233 Madrid, Madrid), Virgen de Valme (605 beds, Seville, Andalusia), Reina Sofía (1233 beds, 234 Córdoba, Andalusia), La Fe (1050 beds, Valencia, Valencia), Donostia (1054 beds, Guipúzcoa, 235 Basque Country), Vall d'hebron (1251 beds, Barcelona, Catalonia), Bellvitge (1022 beds, 236 Barcelona, Catalonia), Central de Asturias (989 beds, Oviedo, Asturias), Miguel Servet (1234 237 beds, Zaragoza, Aragon). The number of beds of each hospital was according to the report 238 published in 2017 with the current numbers at the end of 2016 (41). Samples from respiratory 239 secretions, biopsies and other sterile sites were included in the study. The samples were 240 cultured in the classical media and in the 2 mg/L ITZ supplemented media.

All samples positive for filamentous fungus were sent to the Mycology Reference laboratory (RL) of the Spanish National Center of Microbiology for identification and antifungal susceptibility testing.

# 244 Clinical data

Basic clinical data such us source of isolation, underlying disease, the antifungal treatment and
outcome of the patient were gathered when possible. Study approval was obtained from the
research Ethics committee of the Instituto de Salud Carlos III with reference number CEI
PI56 2014.

- 249 The cases of invasive fungal diseases were classified in proven and probable infections
- 250 according to the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Mycosis Study
- 251 Group (EORTC/MSG) criteria (42) we included colonization as a third category in cases when
- 252 infection could not be confirmed but a clinically relevant isolate was detected. Cases that could
- 253 not be classified according those criteria were defined as colonization.

# 254 Morphological Identification

At the RL, the strains were subcultured in different media to ascertain their macroscopic and microscopic morphology. The media included malt extract agar (MEA, 2% malt extract (Oxoid S.A., Madrid, Spain), potato dextrose agar (PDA, Oxoid S.A.), oatmeal agar (OMA, Oxoid S.A.), potassium chloride agar (Oxoid S.A.) and Czapek-Dox Agar (Difco, Soria Melgizo S.A., Madrid, Spain). Cultures were incubated at 30°C and 37°C. Fungal morphological features were examined macro and microscopically by conventional methods (43)

#### 261 Molecular identification

262 Moulds were subcultured in Glucose Yeast Extract Peptone medium (GYEP) (0.3% yeast 263 extract, 1% peptone, Difco, Soria Melguizo) with 2% glucose (Sigma Aldrich Quimica, Madrid, Spain), for 24 to 48h at 30°C. Genomic DNA was isolated using an extraction procedure 264 265 previously described (44). Molecular identification was performed by sequencing informative 266 targets. DNA segments comprising the ITS1 and ITS2 regions, were amplified for all the strains 267 with primer set ITS1 (5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-268 3') (45). In the case of Aspergillus and Scedosporium isolates, a portion of the beta tubulin gene 269 was sequenced with the following primers: ßtub3 (5'-TTCACCTTCAGACCGGT-3') and ßtub2 (5'-270 AGTTGTCGGGACGGAATAG-3') Aspergillus and TUB-F (5'-(16) for 271 CTGTCCAACCCCTCTTACGGCGACCTGAAC-3') and TUB-R (5'- 272 ACCCTCACCAGTATACCAATGCAAGAAAGC-3') (46) for Scedosporium. Also, DNA segments 273 comprising the elongation factor alpha region were amplified for Fusarium isolates with 274 primers EF1 (5'-ATGGGTAAGARGACAAGAC-3') and EF2 (5'-GGARGTACCAGTSATCATGTT -3') 275 (47). All primers were synthesized by Sigma Genosys (Madrid, Spain). The reactions were 276 performed in a GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems) following conditions 277 previously described (6). Sequencing reactions were done with two  $\mu$ l of a sequencing kit 278 (BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing, ready reaction: Applied Biosystems), one  $\mu$ M of primers 279 [the same as in the PCR except for Aspergillus  $\beta$  tubulin were  $\beta$ tub1 (5'-280 AATTGGTGCCGCTTTCTGG-3') and βtub4 (5'-AGCGTCCATGGTACCAGG-3') were used] and three 281  $\mu$ l of the PCR product in a final volume of ten  $\mu$ l.

282 Sequences were assembled and edited using the SegMan II and EditSeg software packages 283 (Lasergene; DNAstar, Inc., Madison, WI, USA). All sequences were compared with reference 284 sequences from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) and Mycobank 285 (http://www.mycobank.org/) databases with InfoQuest FP software, version 4.50 (BIORAD 286 Laboratories, Madrid, Spain), as well as with the data base belonging to the Department of 287 Mycology of the Spanish National Centre for Microbiology which holds 13,000 sequences from 288 strains belonging to 290 different fungal species. This database was designed by the Spanish 289 National Centre for Microbiology and has restricted access (26, 34, 37, 48).

# 290 Antifungal susceptibility testing.

291 Microdilution testing was performed following the European Committee on Antimicrobial 292 Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) standard methodology (49). A. fumigatus ATCC 2004305 and 293 Aspergillus flavus ATCC 2004304 were used as quality control strains. The antifungal agents 294 used in the study were amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich Quimica, Madrid, Spain), itraconazole 295 (Janssen Pharmaceutica, Madrid, Spain), voriconazole (Pfizer S.A., Madrid, Spain), 296 posaconazole (Schering-Plough Research Institute, Kenilworth, N.J.), terbinafine (Novartis, 297 Basel, Switzerland), caspofungin (Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, N.J.), micafungin (Astellas pharma 298 Inc., Tokio, Japan) and anidulafungin (Pfizer S.A., Madrid, Spain). The final concentrations 299 tested ranged from 0.03 to 16 mg/L for amphotericin B, terbinafine and caspofungin, from 300 0.015 to 8 mg/L for itraconazole, voriconazole and posaconazole, from 0.007 to 4 mg/L for 301 anidulafungin and from 0.004 to 2 mg/L for micafungin. The plates were incubated at 35°C for 302 48 h in a humid atmosphere. Visual readings were performed at 24 and 48 hours with the help 303 of a mirror. The endpoint for amphotericin B, itraconazole, voriconazole, posaconazole and 304 terbinafine was the antifungal concentration that produced a complete inhibition of visual 305 growth at 24 and 48 hours (minimum inhibitory concentration, MIC). For the echinocandins 306 the endpoint was the antifungal concentration that produced a visible change in the 307 morphology of the hyphae compared with the growth control well (minimum effective 308 concentration, MEC). The EUCAST have set breakpoints to interpret antifungal susceptibility 309 testing results of amphotericin B (resistant strain MIC value >2 mg/L), itraconazole (MIC >2 310 mg/L), voriconazole (MIC >2 mg/L), and posaconazole (MIC > 0.25 mg/L) (50). These 311 breakpoint values have been set only for some Aspergillus spp., but were used in this study to 312 analyze rate of resistance in vitro for all Aspergillus species. Breakpoints of echinocandins and 313 terbinafine have not been set yet, and rate of resistances were not calculated.

# 314 Analysis of the impact of itraconazole supplemented media

We investigated the impact of the supplemented media by calculating the percentage of isolates growing in each media overall and in the most frequent isolated genera. In addition, isolates were classified as susceptible or resistant to ITZ using the existing breakpoint for *A*. *fumigatus*, thus isolates with an MIC > 2mg/L were classified as resistant and the rest were classified as susceptible. One *A. nidulans* isolate showed an MIC of 2 mg/L, although it should be classified as intermediate according to EUCAST breakpoints, we include it in susceptible group for practical purposes.

# 322 Characterization of resistance mechanism in Aspergillus fumigatus

A. *fumigatus* showing MICs over the breakpoint for resistance (>2mg/L for ITZ and voriconazole and >0.25 for posaconazole) were studied for mutations in *cyp*51A gene. The *cyp*51A gene including its promoter region was amplified and sequenced following the procedure previously described (51) for the detection of specific mutations associated to azole resistance.

#### 328 Statistical analysis.

Descriptive and comparative analyses were done. Differences in the proportions of fungal species were determined by Fisher's exact test or by chi-square analysis. The significance of the differences between MICs was determined by analysis of variance (with Bonferroni's post hoc test) or by nonparametric tests. P<0.01 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 19.0 (SPSS Iberica, Madrid, Spain). A *p* value <0.01 was taken as with statistical significance.

336

337

338

# 339 Acknowledgments

This study was supported by an Investigator Sponsored Research grant from Gilead Sciences (IN-ES-131-1600). The authors thank Cristina Armentia and Teresa Merino for technical assistance.

343 AAI is supported by a research project from Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria (PI13/02145 and 344 PI16CIII/00035) she has received research grants or honoraria as a speaker or advisor from 345 Gilead Sciences, F2G and Scynexis. Olga Rivero-Menendez holds a predoctoral fellowship from 346 Fondo de Investigacion Sanitaria (FI14CIII/00025). MTMG: Has acted as invited speaker in 347 meetings sponsored by Pfizer and MSD. TP is supported by a Project from the Fondo de 348 Investigación Sanitaria, (PI13/02783). JP has received honoraria as a speaker for Pfizer, MSD, 349 Astellas and Gilead. JV has received travel grants from MSD, Becton Dickinson and Beckman. 350 MCE has received grant support from Astellas, bioMerieux, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp & 351 Dohme, Pfizer, Schering Plough, Soria Melguizo, Ferrer International, CIDARA, F2G, Basilea, 352 Amplyx and Scynexis.

354 References

- Pagano L, Akova M, Dimopoulos G, Herbrecht R, Drgona L, Blijlevens N. 2011. Risk
   assessment and prognostic factors for mould-related diseases in immunocompromised
   patients. JAntimicrobChemother 66 Suppl 1:i5-14.
- Akan H, Antia VP, Kouba M, Sinko J, Tanase AD, Vrhovac R, Herbrecht R. 2013.
   Preventing invasive fungal disease in patients with haematological malignancies and the recipients of haematopoietic stem cell transplantation: practical aspects.
   JAntimicrobChemother 68 Suppl 3:iii5-16.
- Shoham S. 2013. Emerging fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients. Infect
   Dis Clin North Am 27:305-316.
- 365 4. Malani AN, Kauffman CA. 2007. Changing epidemiology of rare mould infections:
  366 implications for therapy. Drugs 67:1803-1812.
- 3675.Kanafani ZA, Perfect JR. 2008. Antimicrobial resistance: resistance to antifungal368agents: mechanisms and clinical impact. Clin Infect Dis 46:120-128.
- Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Mellado E, Pelaez T, Peman J, Zapico S, Alvarez M, Rodriguez Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. 2013. Population-based survey of filamentous fungi and antifungal resistance in Spain (FILPOP Study). AntimicrobAgents Chemother 57:3380 3387.
- Pappas PG, Alexander BD, Andes DR, Hadley S, Kauffman CA, Freifeld A, Anaissie EJ,
   Brumble LM, Herwaldt L, Ito J, Kontoyiannis DP, Lyon GM, Marr KA, Morrison VA,
   Park BJ, Patterson TF, Perl TM, Oster RA, Schuster MG, Walker R, Walsh TJ,
   Wannemuehler KA, Chiller TM. 2010. Invasive fungal infections among organ
   transplant recipients: results of the Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance
   Network (TRANSNET). ClinInfect Dis 50:1101-1111.
- Perlin DS, Rautemaa-Richardson R, Alastruey-Izquierdo A. 2017. The global problem
   of antifungal resistance: prevalence, mechanisms, and management. Lancet Infect Dis
   doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30316-X.
- Verweij PE, Mellado E, Melchers WJ. 2007. Multiple-triazole-resistant aspergillosis.
   NEnglJMed 356:1481-1483.
- Howard SJ, Cerar D, Anderson MJ, Albarrag A, Fisher MC, Pasqualotto AC, Laverdiere
   M, Arendrup MC, Perlin DS, Denning DW. 2009. Frequency and evolution of Azole
   resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus associated with treatment failure. Emerg Infect Dis
   15:1068-1076.
- van der Linden JW, Snelders E, Kampinga GA, Rijnders BJ, Mattsson E, Debets Ossenkopp YJ, Kuijper EJ, Van Tiel FH, Melchers WJ, Verweij PE. 2011. Clinical
   implications of azole resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus, The Netherlands, 2007-2009.
   EmergInfectDis 17:1846-1854.
- Bueid A, Howard SJ, Moore CB, Richardson MD, Harrison E, Bowyer P, Denning DW.
   2010. Azole antifungal resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus : 2008 and 2009.
   JAntimicrobChemother 65:2116-2118.
- Rivero-Menendez O, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Mellado E, Cuenca-Estrella M. 2016.
   Triazole resistance in Aspergillus spp.: a worldwide problem? Journal of Fungi 2:1-21.
- 397 14. Verweij PE, Chowdhary A, Melchers WJ, Meis JF. 2016. Azole Resistance in Aspergillus
  398 fumigatus: Can We Retain the Clinical Use of Mold-Active Antifungal Azoles?
  399 ClinInfectDis 62:362-368.
- 400 15. Richardson M, Lass-Florl C. 2008. Changing epidemiology of systemic fungal infections.
  401 ClinMicrobiolInfect 14 Suppl 4:5-24.
- 402 16. Balajee SA, Gribskov JL, Hanley E, Nickle D, Marr KA. 2005. Aspergillus lentulus sp.
  403 nov., a new sibling species of A. fumigatus EukaryotCell 4:625-632.

- 40417.Varga J, Houbraken J, Van Der Lee HA, Verweij PE, Samson RA. 2008. Aspergillus405calidoustus sp. nov., causative agent of human infections previously assigned to406Aspergillus ustus EukaryotCell 7:630-638.
- 407 18. Zbinden A, Imhof A, Wilhelm MJ, Ruschitzka F, Wild P, Bloemberg GV, Mueller NJ.
  408 2012. Fatal outcome after heart transplantation caused by Aspergillus lentulus
  409 TransplInfect Dis 14:E60-E63.
- 410
  19. Balajee SA, Kano R, Baddley JW, Moser SA, Marr KA, Alexander BD, Andes D,
  411
  411 Kontoyiannis DP, Perrone G, Peterson S, Brandt ME, Pappas PG, Chiller T. 2009.
  412 Molecular identification of Aspergillus species collected for the Transplant-Associated
  413 Infection Surveillance Network. J ClinMicrobiol 47:3138-3141.
- Chowdhary A, Kathuria S, Xu J, Meis JF. 2013. Emergence of azole-resistant aspergillus
  fumigatus strains due to agricultural azole use creates an increasing threat to human
  health. PLoSPathog 9:e1003633.
- Pelaez T, Monteiro MC, Garcia-Rubio R, Bouza E, Gomez-Lopez A, Mellado E. 2015.
  First detection of Aspergillus fumigatus azole-resistant strain due to cyp 51A TR 46
  /Y121F/T289A in an azole-naive patient in Spain. New MicrobesNew Infect 6:33-34.
- Pelaez T, Gijon P, Bunsow E, Bouza E, Sanchez-Yebra W, Valerio M, Gama B, CuencaEstrella M, Mellado E. 2012. Resistance to voriconazole due to a G448S substitution in
  Aspergillus fumigatus in a patient with cerebral aspergillosis. J Clin Microbiol 50:25312534.
- 424 23. Arendrup MC, Mavridou E, Mortensen KL, Snelders E, Frimodt-Moller N, Khan H,
  425 Melchers WJ, Verweij PE. 2010. Development of azole resistance in Aspergillus
  426 fumigatus during azole therapy associated with change in virulence. PLoSOne
  427 5:e10080.
- 428 24. Denning DW, Park S, Lass-Florl C, Fraczek MG, Kirwan M, Gore R, Smith J, Bueid A,
  429 Moore CB, Bowyer P, Perlin DS. 2011. High-frequency triazole resistance found In
  430 nonculturable Aspergillus fumigatus from lungs of patients with chronic fungal disease.
  431 ClinInfectDis 52:1123-1129.
- 432 25. Nucci M. 2003. Emerging moulds: Fusarium, Scedosporium and Zygomycetes in transplant recipients. Curr Opin Infect Dis 16:607-612.
- 43426.Alcazar-Fuoli L, Mellado E, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Cuenca-Estrella M, Rodriguez-435Tudela JL. 2008. Aspergillus section Fumigati : antifungal susceptibility patterns and436sequence-based identification. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52:1244-1251.
- 437 27. Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Alcazar-Fuoli L, Cuenca-Estrella M. 2014. Antifungal
  438 susceptibility profile of cryptic species of Aspergillus. Mycopathologia 178:427-433.
- 439 28. Alcazar-Fuoli L, Mellado E, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Cuenca-Estrella M, Rodriguez440 Tudela JL. 2009. Species identification and antifungal susceptibility patterns of species
  441 belonging to Aspergillus section Nigri Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:4514-4517.
- 442 29. Howard SJ, Harrison E, Bowyer P, Varga J, Denning DW. 2011. Cryptic species and
  443 azole resistance in the Aspergillus niger complex. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
  444 55:4802-4809.
- 30. Ozhak-Baysan B, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Saba R, Ogunc D, Ongut G, Timuragaoglu A,
  Arslan G, Cuenca-Estrella M, Rodriguez-Tudela JL. 2010. Aspergillus alliaceus and
  Aspergillus flavus co-infection in an acute myeloid leukemia patient. MedMycol
  48:995-999.
- Guinea J, Sandoval-Denis M, Escribano P, Pelaez T, Guarro J, Bouza E. 2015.
  Aspergillus citrinoterreus, a new species of section Terrei isolated from samples of patients with nonhematological predisposing conditions. JClinMicrobiol 53:611-617.
- 452 32. Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Cuesta I, Houbraken J, Cuenca-Estrella M, Monzon A,
   453 Rodriguez-Tudela JL. 2010. In vitro activity of nine antifungal agents against clinical
   454 isolates of Aspergillus calidoustus MedMycol 48:97-102.

- 455 33. Lackner M, de Hoog GS, Verweij PE, Najafzadeh MJ, Curfs-Breuker I, Klaassen CH,
  456 Meis JF. 2012. Species-specific antifungal susceptibility patterns of Scedosporium and
  457 Pseudallescheria species. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:2635-2642.
- 458 34. Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Cuenca-Estrella M, Monzon A, Rodriguez-Tudela JL. 2007.
  459 Prevalence and susceptibility testing of new species of Pseudallescheria and
  460 Scedosporium in a collection of clinical mold isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
  461 51:748-751.
- 462 35. Al-Hatmi A, Curfs-Breuker I, de Hoog G, Meis J, Verweij P. 2017. Antifungal
  463 Susceptibility Testing of Fusarium: A Practical Approach. Journal of Fungi 3:19.
- Al-Hatmi AM, van Diepeningen AD, Curfs-Breuker I, de Hoog GS, Meis JF. 2015.
  Specific antifungal susceptibility profiles of opportunists in the Fusarium fujikuroi complex. J Antimicrob Chemother 70:1068-1071.
- 467 37. Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Cuenca-Estrella M, Monzon A, Mellado E, Rodriguez-Tudela JL.
  468 2008. Antifungal susceptibility profile of clinical Fusarium spp. isolates identified by
  469 molecular methods. J Antimicrob Chemother 61:805-809.
- 470 38. Tortorano AM, Richardson M, Roilides E, van Diepeningen A, Caira M, Munoz P, 471 Johnson E, Meletiadis J, Pana ZD, Lackner M, Verweij P, Freiberger T, Cornely OA, 472 Arikan-Akdagli S, Dannaoui E, Groll AH, Lagrou K, Chakrabarti A, Lanternier F, Pagano 473 L, Skiada A, Akova M, Arendrup MC, Boekhout T, Chowdhary A, Cuenca-Estrella M, 474 Guinea J, Guarro J, de Hoog S, Hope W, Kathuria S, Lortholary O, Meis JF, Ullmann AJ, 475 Petrikkos G, Lass-Florl C, European Society of Clinical M, Infectious Diseases Fungal 476 Infection Study G, European Confederation of Medical M. 2014. ESCMID and ECMM 477 joint guidelines on diagnosis and management of hyalohyphomycosis: Fusarium spp., 478 Scedosporium spp. and others. Clin Microbiol Infect 20 Suppl 3:27-46.
- Pham T, Giraud S, Schuliar G, Rougeron A, Bouchara JP. 2015. Scedo-Select III: a new semi-selective culture medium for detection of the Scedosporium apiospermum species complex. Med Mycol 53:512-519.
- 482 40. Rainer J, Kaltseis J, de Hoog SG, Summerbell RC. 2008. Efficacy of a selective isolation
  483 procedure for members of the Pseudallescheria boydii complex. Antonie Van
  484 Leeuwenhoek 93:315-322.
- 48541.Ministerio de Sanidad SSel. 2017. Catálogo Nacional de Hospitales 2017.486https://www.msssi.gob.es/ciudadanos/prestaciones/centrosServiciosSNS/hospitales/d487ocs/CNH2015.pdf. Accessed
- 488 42. De Pauw B, Walsh TJ, Donnelly JP, Stevens DA, Edwards JE, Calandra T, Pappas PG, 489 Maertens J, Lortholary O, Kauffman CA, Denning DW, Patterson TF, Maschmeyer G, 490 Bille J, Dismukes WE, Herbrecht R, Hope WW, Kibbler CC, Kullberg BJ, Marr KA, 491 Munoz P, Odds FC, Perfect JR, Restrepo A, Ruhnke M, Segal BH, Sobel JD, Sorrell TC, 492 Viscoli C, Wingard JR, Zaoutis T, Bennett JE. 2008. Revised definitions of invasive 493 fungal disease from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of 494 Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group and the National Institute of 495 Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group (EORTC/MSG) Consensus Group. 496 ClinInfectDis 46:1813-1821.
- 49743.de Hoog GS, Guarro J, Tran CS, Wintermans RGF, Gene J. 1995. Hyphomycetes, p 380-4981007. In Hoog GSd, J.Guarro (ed), Atlas of clinical Fungi. Universitat Rovira i Virgili and499Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Reus, Espa¤a and Baarn, The Netherlands.
- 50044.Holden DW. 1994. DNA mini prep method for Aspergillus fumigatus (and other501filamentous fungi), p 3-4. Telos Press, New york.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor JW. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics, p 315-322. *In* In MI (ed), PCR protocols:
  a guide to methods and applications. Academic Press San Diego
- 50546.Cruse M, Telerant R, Gallagher T, Lee T, Taylor JW. 2002. Cryptic species in506Stachybotrys chartarum Mycologia 94:814-822.

- 50747.Kristensen R, Torp M, Kosiak B, Holst-Jensen A. 2005. Phylogeny and toxigenic508potential is correlated in Fusarium species as revealed by partial translation509elongation factor 1 alpha gene sequences. Mycol Res 109:173-186.
- 48. Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Castelli MV, Cuesta I, Monzon A, Cuenca-Estrella M,
  Rodriguez-Tudela JL. 2009. Activity of posaconazole and other antifungal agents
  against Mucorales strains identified by sequencing of internal transcribed spacers.
  Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:1686-1689.
- 49. M. C. Arendrup, J. Meletiadis, J. W. Mouton, K. Lagrou, Petr Hamal, J Guinea and the 514 515 Subcommittee on Antifungal Susceptibility Testing (AFST) of the ESCMID European 516 Committee for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) 2017. EUCAST DEFINITIVE DOCUMENT E.DEF 9.3.1: Method for the determination of broth dilution 517 518 minimum inhibitory concentrations of antifungal agents for conidia forming moulds. 519 http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST\_files/AFST/Files/EUCAST\_E Def 9 3 1 Mould testing definitive.pdf. Accessed 25/02/18 520
- 521 50. EUCAST European committee on antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 2018. 522 tables interpretation Antifungal breakpoint for of MICs v 9.0. 523 http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST\_files/AFST/Clinical\_breakp 524 oints/Antifungal breakpoints v 9.0 180212.pdf. Accessed 25/02/18.
- 52551.Mellado E, Garcia-Effron G, Alcazar-Fuoli L, Melchers WJ, Verweij PE, Cuenca-Estrella526M, Rodriguez-Tudela JL. 2007. A new Aspergillus fumigatus resistance mechanism527conferring in vitro cross-resistance to azole antifungals involves a combination of528cyp51A alterations. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51:1897-1904.

529

Sample	Colonization	Probable	Proven	Total
Sputum	277	52	4	333
BAS	60	17	2	79
BAL	21	17	0	38
Tracheal aspirate	7	5	2	14
Other respiratory*	8	5	0	13
biopsy	0	2	8	10
Wound exudate	0	4	0	4
Otical exudate	0	2	0	2
Total	373	104	16	493

# 531 Table 1: Number of strains isolated per sample and type of infection

532

\* Other respiratory sites include: sinuses, lung tissue and oropharyngeal swabs.

533	Table 2: Number	of strains	isolated	per species	and type	of infection
555		or strams	15010100	per species	and type	or micecion

Species	Colonization	Probable	Proven	Total
A. fumigatus	186	68	6	260
A. niger	20	6	0	26
A. flavus	23	2	0	25
A. terreus	15	6	2	23
S. apiospermum	12	5	4	21
A. tubingensis	15	1	0	16
S. boydii	11	0	1	12
A. alliaceus	5	5	0	10
Fusarium proliferatum	7	2	0	9
A. lentulus	6	0	0	6
A. nidulans	5	1	0	6
A. calidoustus	4	1	0	5
A. quadrilineatus	5	0	0	5
Penicillium chrysogenum	5	0	0	5
A. sydowii	2	2	0	4
Paecilomyces lilacinus	3	1	0	4
Penicillium citrinum	4	0	0	4
Lomentospora prolificans	1	1	1	3
S. ellipsoidea	3	0	0	3
Other Aspergillus spp.	8	2	0	10
Other Talaromyces spp.	9	0	0	9
Mucorales	3	0	2	5
Basidiomycetes	4	1	0	5
Other Fusarium spp.	4	0	0	4
Other Penicillium spp.	4	0	0	4
Other	9	0	0	9
Total	373	104	16	493

		MIC /mg/L)						MEC (mg/L)		
Species (n)		AMB	ITZ	VCZ	PCZ	TRB	CPF	MCF	ANF	
A. fumigatus (260)	GM	0.36	0.20	0.47	0.06	2.94	0.35	0.01	0.02	
	MIC <sub>50</sub>	0.5	0.12	0.5	0.06	4	0.25	0.015	0.015	
	MIC <sub>90</sub>	0.5	0.5	1	0.12	8	1	0.03	0.03	
	Range	0.015-1	0.03-16	0.12-4	0.015-8	0.25-32	0.004-32	0.003-4	0.007-8	
A. niger (26)	GM	0.25	0.88	0.73	0.14	0.32	0.16	0.01	0.01	
	MIC <sub>50</sub>	0.25	0.5	1	0.12	0.25	0.12	0.015	0.007	
	MIC <sub>90</sub>	0.5	16	1	0.25	2	1	0.03	0.015	
	Range	0.12-0.5	0.25-16	0.5-2	0.06-1	0.12-4	0.03-2	0.004-0.06	0.007-0.03	
A. flavus (25)	GM	0.92	0.18	0.74	0.08	0.28	0.66	0.06	0.05	
	MIC <sub>50</sub>	1	0.12	0.5	0.12	0.25	0.5	0.06	0.03	
	MIC <sub>90</sub>	2	0.5	1	0.25	2	4	0.12	4	
	Range	0.5-4	0.06-0.5	0.5-2	0.03-0.25	0.03-2	0.12-32	0.015-4	0.007-8	
A. terreus (23)	GM	1.06	0.09	0.70	0.08	0.25	0.65	0.02	0.02	
	MIC <sub>50</sub>	1	0.06	0.5	0.06	0.25	0.5	0.015	0.015	
	MIC <sub>90</sub>	2	0.5	1	0.5	2	2	0.03	0.03	
	Range	0.25-4	0.015-16	0.25-8	0.015-16	0.06-2	0.06-32	0.004-4	0.007-8	
S. apiospermum (21)	GM	4.27	2.68	1.64	0.76	12.26	1.69	0.36	0.61	
	MIC <sub>50</sub>	4	16	1	0.5	32	1	0.25	2	
	MIC <sub>90</sub>	32	16	16	16	32	32	4	8	
	Range	0.25-32	0.12-16	0.25-16	0.015-16	0.06-32	0.06-32	0.006-4	0.008-8	
A. tubingensis (16)	GM	0.23	0.92	1.14	0.15	0.42	0.22	0.02	0.01	
	MIC <sub>50</sub>	0.25	1	1	0.25	0.5	0.25	0.03	0.015	
	MIC <sub>90</sub>	0.5	1	2	0.25	2	0.5	0.06	0.03	

# 535 Table 3: Antifungal susceptibility profile of the most frequent species isolated.

	Range	0.12-1	0.25-16	0.5-2	0.015-0.5	0.06-2	0.06-0.5	0.004-0.12	0.007-0.03
S. boydii (12)	GM	3.76	5.97	0.75	0.74	28.51	1.77	0.22	0.78
	MIC <sub>50</sub>	4	16	0.5	1	32	2	0.25	2
	MIC <sub>90</sub>	16	16	1	4	32	16	4	8
	Range	0.12-32	0.015-16	0.25-16	0.015-16	8-32	0.06-16	0.007-4	0.007-8
A. alliaceus (10)	GM	22.63	0.10	0.44	0.04	0.35	4.90	0.43	0.49
	MIC <sub>50</sub>	32	0.12	0.5	0.03	1	32	4	8
	MIC <sub>90</sub>	32	0.25	1	0.12	2	32	4	8
	Range	8-32	0.03-0.25	0.25-1	0.015-0.12	0.03-2	0.12-32	0.015-4	0.015-8
Fusarium spp. (13)	GM	2.35	16.00	8.00	10.44	5.22	28.76	4.00	8.00
	MIC50	2.00	16.00	8.00	16.00	4.00	32.00	4.00	8.00
	MIC90	32.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	32.00	32.00	4.00	8.00
	Range	0.5-32	16-16	1-16	1-16	1-32	8-32	4-4	8-8
Mucorales (5)	GM	0.11	0.33	4.00	0.16	1.49	2.98	1.12	2.28
	MIC50	0.12	0.25	4.00	0.12	0.50	32.00	4.00	8.00
	MIC90	0.25	1.00	8.00	0.50	32.00	32.00	4.00	8.00
	Range	0.03-0.25	0.12-1	2-8	0.06-0.5	0.12-32	0.06-32	0.007-4	0.015-8
All (493)	GM	0.62	0.35	0.71	0.11	1.87	0.58	0.03	0.04
	MIC <sub>50</sub>	0.5	0.25	0.5	0.06	2	0.5	0.015	0.015
	MIC <sub>90</sub>	4	16	4	1	16	8	4	8
	Range	0.015-32	0.015-16	0.015-16	0.015-16	0.03-32	0.012-32	0.0015-4	0.007-8

Specie	No. Isolates	AMB>2 mg/L	ITZ>2 mg/L	VCZ>2 mg/L	PCZ>0.25 mg/L
A. fumigatus	260	2	3	2	3
A. lentulus	6	3		4	
A. fumigatiaffinis	2	2			
A. felis	1			1	
A. niger	26		4		2
A. tubingensis	16		1		1
A. flavus	25	1			
A. alliaceus	10	10			
A. tamarii	1				
A. terreus	23	2	1	1	3
A. citrinoterreus	2				
A. nidulans	6				
A. quadrilineatus	5	1	1	1	1
A. delacroxii	1	1	1		
A. spinulosporus	1				
A. calidoustus	5	1	5	5	4
A. puniceus	1	1	1	1	1
A. sydowii	4				
A. chevalieri	1				
Total	396	24	17	15	15

537 Table 4. Number of in vitro resistant *Aspergillus* spp. strains for amphotericin B, itraconazole,

538 voriconazole and posaconazole following EUCAST breakpoints.

539

536

# 540 Table 5: Number and percentage of strains isolated in each media.

	Media		
Group	SAB (%)	ITZ (%)	
Aspergillus spp.	277 (82.0)	119 (76.8)	
Fusarium spp.	9 (2.7)	4 (2.6)	
Scedosporium/Lomentospora spp.	22 (6.5)	17 (11.0)	
Penicillium/Talaromyces spp.	14 (4.1)	8 (5.2)	
Other genera	16 (4.7)	7 (4.5)	
All	338 (100)	155 (100)	
Resistant*	44 (13.1)	24 (15.6)	
Susceptible	292 (86.9)	130 (84.4)	

541

<sup>542 \*</sup> Strains were classified as susceptible or resistant according to *A. fumigatus* breakpoint for

<sup>543</sup> itraconazole. Three isolates were not included in this group since they did not grow for

<sup>544</sup> antifungal susceptibility testing.

Table 6: Number and percentage of strains isolated in FILPOP 1 and FILPOP 2 studies by group

547 of Species

No of Isolates (%)	FILPOP 1 (6)	FILPOP 2 (this study)
Aspergillus spp.	278 (86.3)	396 (80.32)
Scedosporium/Lomentospora spp.	15 (4.7)	39 (7.91)
Mucorales	12 (3.7)	5 (1.01)
Penicillium/Talaromyces spp.	7 (2.2)	22 (4.46)
Fusarium spp.	4 (1.2)	13 (2.64)
Others	6 (1.9)	18 (3.65)
TOTAL	322 (100)	493 (100)

548