Snap frozen! Capturing two metastable polymorphs in a tetramorphic onedimensional coordination polymer constructed from cadmium, dithiophosphate, and 4-pyridinealdazine

Yee Seng Tan,[†] Alberto Otero-de-la-Roza,[‡] Mukesh M. Jotani,[§] and Edward R. T. Tiekink^{*,†}

[†] Research Centre for Crystalline Materials, School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

[‡] Departamento de Química Física y Analítica, Facultad de Química and MALTA Consolider Team, Universidad de Oviedo, 33006 Oviedo, Spain

[§] Department of Physics, Bhavan's Sheth R. A. College of Science, Ahmedabad, Gujarat 380001, India

Corresponding author's e-mail: edwardt@sunway.edu.my

ABSTRACT: Four polymorphs are described for the one-dimensional coordination polymer $\{Cd[S_2P(OMe)_2]_2(4\text{-pyridine-aldazine})\}_n$ (1) which features a *trans*-N₂S₄ distorted octahedral coordination geometry. Lattice energy calculations show the room temperature form, 1 α , is the thermodynamically stable phase, being 1.52 kcal/mol more stable than the 1 β form per unit formula. The latter is formed at 233 K on cooling 1 α based on single crystal data. Single crystals of forms 1 α and 1 β exhibit a reversible single-crystal-single-crystal phase change which also

occurs in the bulk form as seen in DSC and PXRD experiments. When **1** was "snap frozen" at 100 K under a cold stream, 1β was observed most of the time but sometimes forms 1γ and 1δ were found. When warmed, 1β converted to 1α by 293 K, and each of 1γ and 1δ first converted to 1β (120-130 and 140-150 K, respectively) and then to 1α (280 K), correlating with the calculated lattice energies; subsequent cooling only yielded 1β , indicating 1γ and 1δ are metastable forms. The polymorphs exhibit different molecular packing patterns based on different non-covalent interactions and these have been evaluated by Hirshfeld surface analyses and NCI plots.

1. INTRODUCTION

Having influence upon chemical and physical properties of materials related to the agriculture, food, explosives, pharmaceutical, *etc.* industries, the phenomenon of polymorphism – the ability of a crystalline material to adopt different crystal structures – is of paramount importance.¹⁻³ In the context of the drug industry, it is estimated that 30% of all drugs exhibit polymorphism,⁴ which impacts on pharmaceutically relevant properties and intellectual property issues.¹⁻⁷ This observed propensity toward polymorphism is consistent with computational/crystal structure prediction studies indicating many polymorphs have differences in Gibbs free energies of a few kcal/mol only.⁸⁻¹⁰ It is worth mentioning here McCrone's comments concerning polymorphs made some 50 years ago, paraphrasing, that the more effort spent seeking polymorphs, the more polymorphs that will be found.¹¹ This axiom is borne out now, especially in the pharmaceutical industry, where polymorph screening often reveals new crystalline forms, including polymorphs.⁴

With similarities in lattice energies of polymorphs,^{8-10,12,13} it is not surprising that a polymorph can be converted into another polymorph with the application of an external stimulus, *e.g.*, light, heat, and pressure. Accordingly, single-crystal-single-crystal (SCSC) transformations for polymorphs are very well documented in the crystallographic literature.¹⁴ Normally, only small changes are noted after a SCSC polymorphic transformation¹⁵ but sometimes dramatic differences in properties result with retention of crystallinity, ranging from differential proclivities towards [2+2] photodimerization,¹⁶ distinct color,¹⁷ and conductivity¹⁸ profiles and, more recently, mechanical properties.¹⁹ As indicated above, temperature is a key factor in phase change and in many laboratories, cryo-crystallography is now the norm whereby a crystal is flash-cooled to low-temperature, typically, 100 K, prior to data collection. While the advantages of low-temperature data collections are wide and varied,²⁰⁻²⁴ there is a potential inherent problem with this approach in that the process of flash-cooling may capture a metastable species, such as a kinetic polymorph rather than the thermodynamic form²⁵ or molecules with an unstable conformation.²⁶ Herein, the capture of two metastable polymorphs at 100 K are described.

The one-dimensional coordination polymer {Cd[S₂P(OMe)₂]₂(4-pyridine-aldazine)}_n (1) became available during the course of on-going studies into the rationalization of the formation/topology of coordination polymers of the zinc-triad 1,1-dithiolates (*e.g.*, dithiocarbamates, $^{-}S_{2}CNR_{2}$, xanthate, $^{-}S_{2}COR$, and dithiophosphate, $^{-}S_{2}P(OR)_{2})^{27-30}$ and their complexes with potentially bridging bipyridyl-type molecules.³¹⁻³⁸ In the case of 1, temperature-dependent polymorphism was observed (single crystal, PXRD, and DCS): at room temperature, an 1 α form was observed which, upon cooling, underwent a SCSC transformation to a 1 β form which remained until 100 K. When crystals of 1 were placed directly into the cold stream at 100 K, three different forms were found, *i.e.*, 1 β , 1 γ , and 1 δ . Heating 1 β gave 1 α , and heating each of

 1γ and 1δ , gave 1β , and then 1α . The structural chemistry of 1 along with computational chemistry and detailed analyses of the calculated Hirshfeld surfaces are described herein. While onedimensional coordination polymers are well documented,³⁹ as are SCSC transformations,^{40,41} the present studies describe both SCSC as well as metastable polymorphs of coordination polymer 1.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1 Physical characterization. Melting points were measured on a Biobase automatic melting point apparatus MP450. Elemental analyses were performed using a LECO TruSpec® Micro instrument. The IR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Vertex 70 V spectrophotometer equipped with a platinum ATR. NMR spectra (¹H, ¹³C{¹H}, and ³¹P{¹H}) were recorded in d_6 -DMSO solution on a Bruker Avence III HD 400 MHz FT-NMR spectrometer. The DSC analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer DSC-8000 differential scanning calorimeter in the range of -160 to 20 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements were performed on a Rigaku SmartLab with CuKa1 radiation ($\lambda = 1.54060$ Å) in the 20 range from 5 to 60° with a step size of 0.010°. The experimental PXRD patterns were compared to the simulated PXRD patterns calculated from the respective Crystallographic Information File (CIFs) using the Rigaku PDXL structure analysis software package. The powdered samples used in these measurements were prepared by fine grinding the crystalline compound using an agate pestle and mortar.

2.2 Synthesis. The starting materials $Cd(NO_3)_{2.4}H_2O$, P_2S_5 (Aldrich), MeOH (Merck), CS_2 (Pancrea), and NaOH (50% *w/w*) solution (Merck) were used without further purification. The $Cd[S_2P(OMe)_2]_2$ compound was prepared in a high yield from the *in situ* reaction of

Cd(NO₃)₂.4H₂O, P₄S₁₀, MeOH, CS₂, and NaOH (50% *w/w*) following standard procedures.³⁴ 4-Pyridinealdazine (0.25 g, 1.19 mmol) was taken into a mixture of chloroform-acetonitrile solution (3:1; 20 ml) and added slowly to a Cd[S₂P(OMe)₂]₂ (0.50 g, 1.17 mmol) chloroform-acetonitrile solution (3:1; 30 ml). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, filtered, and the filtrate was left for slow evaporation at room condition. Yellow blocks of **1** were formed after one week. M. pt: 422.0-422.8 K (dec.). Yield: 0.47 g (63 %). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₂CdN₄O₄P₂S₄: C 30.17; H 3.48; N 8.80 %. Found: C30.14; H 3.47; N 8.72 %. IR (cm⁻¹): 1606 (m) v(C=N); 1060 (m) v(C–O); 1002 (s) v(P–O); 647 (s) v_{asym}(P–S); 514 (m) v_{sym}(P–S). ¹H NMR: δ 8.75 (*d*, 4H, *o*-aryl-H, J_{HH} = 5.84 Hz), 8.69 (*s*, 2H, N=CH), 7.82 (*d*, 4H, *m*-aryl-H, J_{HH} = 5.84 Hz), 3.59 (*d*, 12H, CH₃, J_{PH} = 14.80 Hz) ppm. ¹³C{¹H}: δ 159.6 (C=N), 150.5 (*o*-C₃H₄N), 140.5 (*i*-C₃H₄N), 122.1 (*m*-C₅H₄N), 52.8 (*d*, OCH₃ J_{PC} = 6.46 Hz) ppm. ³¹P{¹H}: δ 115.5 ppm.

2.3 Single crystal X-ray crystallography. Crystal and refinement details for 1α – 1δ are given in Table 1. Intensity data were measured at on a Rigaku/Oxford Diffraction XtaLAB Synergy diffractometer (Dualflex, AtlasS2) fitted with CuK α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) so that $\theta_{max} = 67.1^{\circ}$. Data processing and Gaussian absorption corrections were accomplished with CrysAlis PRO.⁴² The structures were solved by direct methods.⁴³ Full-matrix least squares refinement on F^2 with anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms was performed.⁴⁴ The C-bound H atoms were placed on stereochemical grounds and refined with fixed geometries. A weighting scheme of the form $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ was introduced in each case. In the refinement of 1γ , three reflections, *i.e.*, (1 11 3), (2 11 3), and (1 12 2), were omitted from the final cycles of refinement owing to poor agreement. The programs WinGX,⁴⁵ ORTEP-3 for Windows,⁴⁵ PLATON,⁴⁶ and DIAMOND⁴⁷ were also used in the study.

2.4 Computational chemistry. Density-functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out using the Quantum ESPRESSO software package.⁴⁸ The projector augmented wave (PAW) method⁴⁹ was used with the B86bPBE functional^{50,51} augmented with the exchange-hole dispersion moment (XDM) model.^{52,53} The calculation parameters were chosen to ensure a satisfactory convergence of the total energy: plane-wave cut-off (80 Ry), density cut-off (800 Ry), and k-point sampling ($2 \times 2 \times 2$). The all-electron and valence densities were obtained using the Quantum ESPRESSO post-processing program using a 200 Ry plane-wave cut-off and subsequently analyzed with CRITIC2.⁵⁴ Non-covalent interaction (NCI) plots^{55,56} and calculated atomic (Bader) charges with the Yu-Trinkle (YT) method⁵⁷ were also employed in the study.

Compound	1α	1β	1γ	1δ
Temperature/K	293	100	100	100
Crystal size/mm ³	$0.08\times0.08\times0.17$	$0.07 \times 0.15 \times 0.18$	$0.05 \times 0.06 \times 0.12$	$0.04 \times 0.08 \times 0.13$
Crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	triclinic
Space group	$P\overline{1}$	<i>C</i> 2/ <i>c</i>	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$
a/Å	6.6965(2)	20.7967(2)	6.7627(1)	6.4931(4)
b/Å	9.8315(2)	13.9117(2)	13.4029(1)	9.4186(7)
c/Å	9.9324(2)	16.9722(1)	13.8685(1)	10.6041(4)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	92.039(2)	90	87.825(1)	98.184(4)
$\beta/^{\circ}$	102.068(2)	90.989(1)	81.011(1)	97.197(4)
γ,/°	91.465(2)	90	79.848(1)	106.252(6)
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	638.69(3)	4909.62(9)	1222.09(2)	606.87(7)
Ζ	1	8	2	1
$D_{\rm c}/{ m g~cm^{-3}}$	1.656	1.723	1.731	1.743
$\lambda(MoK\alpha)/mm^{-1}$	11.351	11.814	11.865	11.947

Table 1. Crystallographic data and refinement details for polymorphs $1\alpha - 1\delta^{a}$

Measured data	14906	31963	62940	12979
θ range/°	4.5 - 67.1	3.8 - 67.1	3.2 - 67.1	4.3 - 67.1
Unique data	2261	4375	4352	2171
Observed data ($I \ge 2.0\sigma(I)$)	2237	4321	4214	2127
No. parameters	144	284	284	144
R, obs. data; all data	0.029; 0.029	0.019; 0.019	0.022; 0.023	0.026; 0.026
<i>a</i> ; <i>b</i> in weighting scheme	0.044; 0.298	0.029; 5.745	0.036; 0.936	0.042; 0.360
$R_{\rm w}$, obs. data; all data	0.074; 0.074	0.050; 0.050	0.061; 0.062	0.067; 0.068
Range of residual electron				
density peaks/eÅ ⁻³	-0.75 - 0.57	-0.51 - 0.90	-0.69 - 0.74	-0.80 - 0.98

^a Data common to all four structures: formula: C₁₆H₂₂CdN₄O₄P₂S₄; formula weight: 636.95; crystal color: yellow.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Molecular structures. The {Cd[S₂P(OMe)₂]₂(4-pyridine-aldazine)}_n (1) compound has been crystallized in four polymorphs, Figure 1. Form 1*a* crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ with the cadmium atom located on a center of inversion and the 4-pyridine-aldazine (4-PyAld) molecule located about a center of inversion so the asymmetric unit comprises half the repeat unit of the one-dimensional coordination polymer. In form 1 β , which crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c, the cadmium atom lies in a general position but the N2- and N4- 4-PyAld molecules are situated about a center of inversion and a 2-fold axis of symmetry, respectively. Both the 1 γ and 1 δ forms crystallize in triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$. For 1 γ , the cadmium lies in a general position and each 4-PyAld molecule is situated about a center of inversion. For 1 δ , the asymmetric unit is as for 1*a*, *i.e.*, with the cadmium atom and 4-PyAld molecule located on and about a center of inversion, respectively.

Consistent with the designation of $1\alpha-1\delta$ as polymorphs, the molecular structures are the same, at least to a first approximation; selected geometric parameters are collected in Table S1. The cadmium atom is chelated by two dithiophosphate ligands and two nitrogen atoms derived from bidentate, bridging 4-PyAld ligands. The resulting *trans*-N₂S₄ donor set defines a distorted octahedron; the acute S–Cd–S chelate angle subtended by the dithiophosphate ligands play a major role in distorting the octahedral geometry. There are systematic differences in the Cd–S bond lengths with the difference between the longest and shortest bonds being 0.03, 0.04, 0.08, and 0.10 Å for $1\alpha-1\delta$, respectively. Similarly, the Cd–N bond lengths are experimentally distinct, ranging from a short 2.3925(15) in 1β to a long 2.435(2) in 1δ . Further discussion on the variations in bond lengths about the cadmium atoms is presented below.



Figure 1. Extended coordination geometries in the one-dimensional coordination polymers in tetramorphic {Cd[S₂P(OMe)₂]₂(4-pyridine-aldazine)}_n (1): (a) 1 α form, (b) 1 β , (c) 1 γ , and (d) 1 δ form, showing atom labeling schemes and displacement parameters at the 70% probability level.

There are also experimentally significant variations in bond and torsion angles, for a listing see Table S1 and an overlay diagram shown in Figure S1(a). For example, while for 1α and 1δ , the *trans* angles are constrained by symmetry to be 180°, deviations by over 6° (1β) are evident. Additional differences are noted in conformations of various residues as evidenced in the C_{pyridyl}–C_{pyridyl}–C_{imine}–N torsion angles, which vary up to nearly 21° (1β and 1γ), and in the relative dispositions of the methoxy groups with the S–P–O–C torsion angles varying up to 50° (1δ and 1α). However, the most dramatic differences between the molecular geometries are seen in the dihedral angles between the pyridyl residues of the 4-pyridine-aldazine ligands, which vary by over 50°. A plot of the dihedral angles found in the over 300 literature precedents of 4-pyridine-aldazine molecules extracted from the Cambridge Structural Database is shown in Figure S1(b),⁵⁸ shows well over 80% of examples have dihedral angles less than 20°, as in centrosymmetric 1α and 1δ but in contrast to the wide angles in 1β (53.78(6)°) and 1γ (32.11(9)°).

Side-on and end-on views of the resultant coordination polymers for $1\alpha - 1\delta$ are shown in Figure 2. The similarity is obvious. The pitch of the coordination polymers varies from Cd^{...}Cd = 16.04 Å in 1γ to 16.32 Å in 1δ , and the Cd^{...}Cd^{...}Cd angle deviates from 180° only in 1β (177.733(4)°).



Figure 2. Side-on views of the one-dimensional coordination polymers in tetramorphic ${Cd[S_2P(OMe)_2]_2(4-pyridine-aldazine)}_n (1)$: (a) 1α form, (b) 1β , (c) 1γ and (d) 1δ , and (e) end-on views of, left to right, forms $1\alpha-1\delta$.

3.2 Molecular packing. The molecular packing of polymorphs 1α – 1β feature weak, non-covalent interactions and the geometric parameters characterizing these are given in Table 2. The only

directional interactions identified in the crystal of form 1α , are pyridyl-C–H[…]O(methoxy) contacts which extend laterally between off-set coordination polymers to form a supramolecular layer parallel to (1 0 1), as shown in Figure 3(a). As illustrated in Figure 3(b), the layers stack without directional interactions between them.



Figure 3. Molecular packing in the 1α form: (a) supramolecular layer sustained by pyridyl-C– H^{...}O(methoxy) interactions (shown as orange dashed lines) and (b) view in projection down the *b*-axis highlighting the stacking of layers.

Supramolecular layers are also noted in the crystal of form $\mathbf{1\beta}$ but in this case these are mediated by pyridyl-C–H^{...}S interactions, Figure 4(a). The layers lie parallel to (0 1 0) and are connected into a three-dimensional architecture by weak methoxy-C–H^{...} π (pyridyl) interactions, Figure 4(b).



Figure 4. Molecular packing in the 1β form: (a) supramolecular layer sustained by pyridyl-C–H^{...}S interactions (shown as orange dashed lines) and (b) view in projection down the *c*-axis with methoxy-C–H^{...} π (pyridyl) interactions shown as purple dashed lines (the C–H^{...}S contacts are obscured).

Very similar supramolecular layers to those just described are found in the crystal of form 1γ , Figure 5(a). Whereas in the former, only one dithiophosphate ligand was involved in forming pyridyl-C–H^{...}S interactions but, from symmetry, in the 1γ form, both dithiophosphate ligands participate in their formation. Successive layers, which lie parallel to (0 0 1), are off-set giving rise to an …ABAB… pattern and allowing inter-digitation of the methoxy groups, Figure 5(b). Despite this, no directional interactions between the layers are apparent.

А	Н	В	A–H	H B	A B	А–Н…В	Symmetry
							operation
1δ							
C4	H4	02	0.93	2.48	3.216(5)	136	<i>x</i> , -1+ <i>y</i> , <i>z</i>
1β							
C6	Н6	S3	0.95	2.79	3.3321(18)	117	1- <i>x</i> , <i>y</i> , 1/2- <i>z</i>
C4	H4a	Cg(N1,C5-C9)	0.98	2.94	3.498(2)	117	1- <i>x</i> , - <i>y</i> , - <i>z</i>
1γ							
C12	H12	S2	0.95	2.84	3.499(2)	127	1+ <i>x</i> , <i>y</i> , <i>z</i>
1δ							
C8	H8	02	0.95	2.52	3.427(3)	159	1- <i>x</i> , 1- <i>y</i> , - <i>z</i>

Table 2 A summary of intermolecular interactions (A–H $^{...}$ B; Å, °) operating in the crystal structures of polymorphs $1\alpha-1\delta$



Figure 5. Molecular packing in the 1γ form: (a) supramolecular layer sustained by pyridyl-C–H^{...}S interactions (shown as orange dashed lines) and (b) view in projection down the *a*-axis highlighting the inter-digitation of layers along the *b*-axis.

The molecular packing of form 1δ resembles closely that described above for 1α . Indeed, a comparison of the supramolecular layers for the two forms shown in Figures 3(a) and 6(a), respectively, highlights this. The key difference between forms 1α and 1δ relates to the donor atoms forming the C-H^{...}O(methoxy) interactions, *i.e.*, pyridyl (1α) and imine (1δ); the acceptor atom is a methoxy-O atom in each case. The supramolecular layer in form 1δ lies parallel to (1 1 0) and successive layers inter-digitate. Again, as form 1α , no directional interactions connecting layers are apparent in form 1δ , Figure 6(b).



Figure 6. Molecular packing in the 1δ form: (a) supramolecular layer sustained by imine-C–H^{...}O(methoxy) interactions (shown as orange dashed lines) and (b) view in projection down the *c*-axis highlighting the stacking of layers.

3.3 Non-covalent interaction (NCI) plots

NCI plots are a convenient tool with which one can identify supramolecular contacts in the molecular packing contributing to the overall binding of a molecular crystal.^{55,56} Although qualitative in nature, the color scheme in NCI plots informs whether a given molecular contact contributes more or less to binding, which is achieved by mapping a color scale on the electron density values in the intermolecular space. NCI plots highlighting inter-chain interactions for $1\alpha-1\delta$ are illustrated in Figure 7, where all unique intermolecular contacts in each of the crystal

forms are considered. In an NCI plot, the green regions indicate weak and non-directional interactions between the different moieties in all four polymorphs.

The common feature of all four polymorphs is the presence of weak C–H^{...}O, C–H^{...} π , and H^{...}H interactions in the inter-chain region and all of them except for 1 δ , present evidence of a small S^{...} π interaction. It is also evident π ... π interactions are present in perpendicular conformations between pyridine rings in 1 α , 1 β , and 1 γ , as highlighted by the prominent green regions of Figure 7(b), 7(d), and 7(g). In addition, 1 α presents weak O(lone-pair)... π and C–H^{...}S interactions. All interactions are relatively weak and it is likely that long-range dispersion effects are a major contributing factor determining the structure of these crystals, as evidenced by the tight packing of the different residues.



Figure 7. Images of non-covalent interaction plots for inter-chain interactions in (a)-(c) 1α , (d)-(e) 1β , (f)-(g) 1γ , and (h) 1δ , with the green regions indicating weakly attractive interactions (see text).

3.4 Hirshfeld surface analysis

In order to analyze the molecular packing in more detail, an analysis of the calculated Hirshfeld surfaces was conducted employing *Crystal Explorer* 17^{59} and standard procedures,⁶⁰ including those to differentiate between polymorphs.⁶¹ In each case, the calculations were performed on the {NC(H)C₅H₄NCd[S₂P(OMe)₂]₂NC₅H₄C(H)N} repeat unit.

The Hirshfeld surface mapped over d_{norm} for 1α is shown in Figure 8(a) where the red spots reflect the most prominent points of contact between chains to form the supramolecular layer illustrated in Figure 3(a). Table S2 lists additional interatomic contacts in the crystal of 1α , namely H^{...}H and S^{...}H contacts, these are indicated in the images of Figures 8(b) and 8(c), respectively, and lead to a three-dimensional architecture. Similar considerations pertain to the polymorphs 1β – 1δ , with geometric data given in Table S2 and images shown in Figure S2. In addition to weak H^{...}H and S^{...}H points of contact between chains, the crystals of 1β – 1δ feature O^{...}C and N^{...}H (1β), O^{...}C and O^{...}H (1γ), and S^{...}C, O^{...}H, and N^{...}H (1δ) interatomic contacts, thereby differentiating the supramolecular association in the four polymorphs.



Figure 8. Images of the calculated Hirshfeld surfaces for the 1α form: (a)-(c) Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over d_{norm} in the range in -0.181 to + 1.541 arbitrary units highlighting interlayer, (b) S^{...}H/H^{...}S contacts (red dashed lines), and (c) H^{...}H contacts (sky-blue dashed lines).

The full two-dimensional, Figure 9, and delineated (H^{...}H, O^{...}H/H^{...}O, S^{...}H/H^{...}S, N^{...}H/H^{...}N, and N^{...}N; Figure S4) fingerprint plots were also calculated for 1α -1 δ . As indicated above, the calculations were performed on the

{NC(H)CsH4NCd[S2P(OMe)2]2NCsH4C(H)N} repeat unit so that sharp spikes in the plots are due to the N–N bonds, Figure S4(f). The different appearances of the full fingerprint plots reflect the distinct surface contacts at play in the respective crystals. Table S3 lists the percentage contributions to the surface contacts and this is represented graphically in Figure 10. The three main contributors to the Hirshfeld surfaces are H^{...}H, ranging from 36.0 (1 γ) to 39.8% (1 α), S^{...}H/H^{...}S, ranging from 21.5 (1 δ) to 24.5% (1 α), and O^{...}H/H^{...}O, ranging from 10.8 (1 α) to 14.4% (1 γ). The next most significant percentage contributors to the Hirshfeld surface but at separations close to or beyond van der Waals distances are N^{...}H/H^{...}N, ranging from 7.6 (1 β) to 10.5% (1 δ), and C^{...}H/H^{...}C, ranging from 6.3 (1 β) to 10.9% (1 δ), contacts. The crystals of 1 β (4.9%) and 1 γ (3.2%) feature inter-layer C^{...}O contacts less than van der Waals separations between imine- and pyridyl-carbon atoms and methoxy-oxygen atoms. These result in forceps-like, short tips in the respective delineated C^{...}O/O^{...}C fingerprint plots in Figures S5(a) and S5(b). In the crystal of 1 δ , S^{...}C/C^{...}S contacts (3.8%) involving dithiophosphate-sulfur and pyridyl-carbon atoms are noted and result in a pair of tong-shaped, short tips in the decomposed fingerprint plot of Figure S5(c).



Figure 9. (a)-(d) A comparison of the full two-dimensional fingerprint plots for each of 1α -1 δ , respectively.



Figure 10. Bar graph illustrating the percentage contributions to the calculated Hirshfeld surfaces of $1\alpha-1\delta$.

In the discussion of the molecular packing, it was noted that to a first approximation the packing in 1α and 1δ , with flat 4-pyridine-aldazine bridges, resembled each other and this is borne out in the delineated fingerprint plots in Figures S4(b)-S4(e). In the same way, the decomposed fingerprint plots for 1β and 1γ , with twisted 4-pyridine-aldazine bridges, resemble each other, Figures S4(b)-S4(e).

A recent development in Hirshfeld surface analysis has been to evaluate the surfaces about metal centers in coordination polymers.⁶² Such calculations can provide insight into metal–ligand interactions and differences in the coordination geometries between the polymorphs. In addition to differences in Cd–ligand bond lengths and angles, some non-trivial conformational differences in 1α – 1δ are also apparent. As listed in Table S1, the four-membered CdS₂P chelate rings exhibit deviations from planarity with the r.m.s. deviation of the four atoms ranging from 0.0315 Å, for the S1-chelate ring in 1γ , to 0.1428 Å for both chelate rings in 1δ .

The dihedral angles between the PS₂ planes vary from 0° in 1α and 1δ , where the cadmium atom lies on a center of inversion, to 17.618(19)° in 1β . Finally, the distances the cadmium atoms lie out of the planes through the PS₂ atoms vary markedly. Thus, for 1α the displacement from each PS₂ plane is 0.357(2) Å but, for 1δ , this increases to 0.8665(17) Å. In 1β and 1γ , the displacements are generally smaller, ranging from 0.1906(16) to 0.4044(15) Å in 1δ . The different bond lengths and geometries around the cadmium atoms lead to different shapes of the calculated Hirshfeld surfaces.

The Cd–N coordination bonds on both the sides of the Hirshfeld surface mapped over d_{norm} for 1 α , Figure 11(a) and 1 β –1 δ , Figure S6, appears as the bright-red spots perpendicular to the Cd–N bond direction. The strength of the Cd–N bonds are also evident as the rectangular orange spots and the green flat regions on the Hirshfeld surface mapped with shape-index (S) and over curvedness (C), respectively, shown in Figures 11(b) and 11(c) for 1 α , and Figures S7 and S8 for 1 β –1 δ .



Figure 11. Views of Hirshfeld surfaces for the cadmium center in 1α along mapped over (a) d_{norm} in the range -0.4 to +0.9 Å, (b) the shape-index (S) in the range -1.0 to +1.0 arbitrary units and, (c) the curvedness (C) in the range -4.0 to +0.4 arbitrary units.

Similar trends to those just described are evident for the Cd–S bonds. The orange patches of irregular shape surrounded by yellow regions on the shape-index mapped Hirshfeld surfaces about the cadmium centers facing the sulfur atoms in Figure 11(b) for 1α (Figure S7 for 1β –

1 δ) are indicative of differences in the Cd–S bond lengths. It is also observed from these images for 1α – 1δ that the sulfur atoms link the cadmium centers through the regions outside the orange patches on the surfaces indicating deviations of the Cd–S bonds from the normal of the surfaces. The small red regions on the Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over curvedness in Figure 11(c) for 1α (Figure S8 for 1β – 1δ) reflect the nature and strength of the Cd–S bonds.

The cadmium coordination environments are also rationalized in the fingerprint plots considering only the Hirshfeld surfaces about central atom for each of the polymorphs, Figure 12. It is evident in all fingerprint plots that the long red spikes at $d_e + d_i \sim 2.4$ Å indicate Cd– N bonds whereas the red pencil tips at $d_e + d_i < 2.7$ Å are due to the Cd–S bonds. The subtle differences in modes of association by the sulfur atoms, Table S1, are reflected in the distinct and asymmetric distribution of points in the upper portions of the plots in Figure 12.



Figure 12. (a)-(d) A comparison of the two-dimensional fingerprint plots calculated for the cadmium center only, for each of $1\alpha - 1\delta$, respectively.

3.5 Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD) Experiments

The room temperature PXRD trace measured at room temperature (293 K) corresponded to 1α , while that measured at 100 K is consistent with the presence of 1β , Figure S9; no evidence for either 1γ or 1δ was found in any of the PXRD patterns. A variable- temperature PXRD study was conducted whereby the bulk material was cooled from 293 to 100 K in 10° steps. Figure

13(a) shows excerpts of the full set of traces shown in Figure S10(a). In the cooling cycle, 1α starting converting to 1β below 183 K with complete conversion to 1β at 123 K, *i.e.*, over a 60° temperature range. Then the sample was heated in 10° steps, Figure 13(b) and Figure S10(b). This resulted in conversion of 1β to 1α with onset at 213 K with complete conversion by 233 K, *i.e.*, over a 20° range. The same observations were apparent in a second cycle of heating/cooling.



Figure 13. Variable temperature powder X-ray diffraction patterns: (a) cooling 1α from 293 K to 100 K resulting in complete conversion to 1β between 183 and 123 K and (b) heating 1β from 100 K to 293 K resulting in complete conversion to 1α between 233 and 213 K.

3.6 Thermal Studies

Three cycles of cooling to 100 K and heating to 298 K were conducted on the bulk material, Figure 14. These revealed the reversible phase change between 1α and 1β , with no evidence

of a phase change to either 1γ or 1δ ; no special features were observed in DSC measurements conducted up to 20 K from the temperature of decomposition. The temperature of phase change from 1α to 1β in the first cycle occurred at 243 K and back to 1α at 279 K. For the second and third cycles, the 1α to 1β phase change occurred at 253 K with the reverse phase change occurring consistently at 279 K. This disparity in temperature is explained in terms of "thermal history".⁶³



Figure 14. Three cycles of DSC traces performed in the range 293 to 100 K and back showing a reversible phase change between 1α and 1β . The first cooling (243 K)/heating (279 K) cycle is shown in blue (254 K)/red (279 K), the second in black (253 K)/green (279 K), and the third in purple/maroon.

3.7 Single-Crystal-Single-Crystal Phase Changes

The experiments on the bulk sample of 1α provided evidence of a reversible phase change between 1α and 1β . Thus, variable temperature experiments were conducted on single crystals with the results summarized in Figure 15; unit cell data for the described phase changes are included in Table S5. Based on the DSC experiment, unit cell data were collected at temperatures at either side of the identified phase transformations. Cooling 1α at the rate of 6 °C/min resulted in 100% conversion to 1β at 233 K. Further cooling did not result in a phase change. Heating 1β from 100 K resulted in complete conversion to 1α by 293 K. Attention was then directed towards evaluating the phase changes for 1γ and 1δ . Out of about 20 samples placed under the cold stream at 100 K, about one proved to be 1γ and another 1δ . When 1γ was heated and unit cells measured every 10 K, a phase change to 1β occurred between 120 and 130 K. A second phase change from 1β to 1α occurred between 270 and 280 K. Subsequent cooling only gave evidence for the phase change to 1β . In analogous experiments with 1δ , a phase change to 1β occurred between 140 and 150 K with a subsequent phase change to 1α between 270 and 280 K; again, cooling only yielded 1β .



Figure 15. Summary of the single-crystal-single-crystal phase changes for $1\alpha-1\delta$ as determined by unit cell determinations.

As mentioned in the Introduction, the lattice energies for different polymorphs are often very close to each other. This proved true for lattice energies, defined here as the negative energy required to pull the crystal apart, calculated for 1α – 1δ . The thermodynamically most stable polymorph is 1α (0 kcal/mol) and the differences in lattice energy for 1β (1.52 kcal/mol), 1γ (2.62 kcal/mol) and 1δ (1.97 kcal/mol) indicate that 1β is the next most stable polymorph and 1γ to be the least stable polymorph.

A gratifying trend between phase transformations and the calculated lattice energies is apparent. The least stable polymorphs, 1γ and 1δ , each converts to 1β and 1β , in turn, converts to 1α , in accord with the calculations. Further, the least stable polymorph 1γ , converts to 1β at a lower temperature than the next least stable polymorph, 1δ .

To a first approximation, forms $\mathbf{1a}$ and $\mathbf{1\delta}$, with comparable twists in their 4-pyridinealdazine bridges, exhibit similar supramolecular layers in their crystals. Relatively minor differences are noted in the unit cell parameters in their triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ unit cells with Z = 1, Table 1. Similarly, $\mathbf{1\beta}$ and $\mathbf{1\gamma}$, with planar 4-pyridine-aldazine bridges, exhibit similar supramolecular layers in their crystals but no simple relationship exists between their monoclinic, C2/c with Z = 8 ($\mathbf{1\beta}$) and triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ with Z = 2 ($\mathbf{1\gamma}$) unit cells. Having data recorded at 100 K, it is legitimate to compare the crystal characteristics of $\mathbf{1\beta}$ – $\mathbf{1\delta}$.⁶⁴ The calculated densities of the crystals increase from 1.723 ($\mathbf{1\beta}$), 1.731 ($\mathbf{1\gamma}$), and 1.743 g/cm³ ($\mathbf{1\delta}$), Table 1. These trends are reflected in the calculated packing indices,⁴⁶ *i.e.*, 68.9, 69.2, and 69.7%, respectively.

4. CONCLUSION

Four polymorphs have been revealed for the one-dimensional, linear coordination polymer ${Cd[S_2P(OMe)_2]_2(4-pyridine-aldazine)}_n$ (1); each exhibits distinctive patterns in the

molecular packing. Two forms, 1α and 1β , exhibit reversible single-crystal-single-crystal phase changes as well in powdered form. Forms 1γ and 1δ are only observed when 1 is snap frozen at 100 K but most of time 1β is observed under these conditions. Heating 1γ and 1δ results in a transformation to 1β and then to 1α . Cooling 1α , results in 1β with no evidence of a further phase change to either of 1γ or 1δ upon further cooling, suggesting 1γ or 1δ are metastable polymorphs.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information Available. Crystallographic data for 1α – 1δ in CIF format, geometric data, intermolecular interaction distances, Hirshfeld surface images, DSC, and PXRD patterns. This material is available free of charge *via* the Internet at <u>http://pubs.acs.org</u>.

Accession Codes

CCDC 1978733-1978736 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via <u>www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif</u>, or by emailing <u>data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk</u> or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: <u>edwardt@sunway.edu.my</u>

ORCHID

Edward R.T. Tiekink: 0000-0003-1401-1520

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Crystallographic research at Sunway University is supported by Sunway University Sdn Bhd (Grant no. STR-RCTR-RCCM-001-2019). A.O.R acknowledges the Spanish Government for a Ramón y Cajal fellowship (RyC-2016-20301) and for financial support (projects PGC2018-097520-A-100 and RED2018-102612T).

REFERENCES

- (1) Bernstein, J. Polymorphism in Molecular Crystals; Clarendon: Oxford, 2002.
- Brittain, H. G. Polymorphism in Pharmaceutical Solids; 2nd edn, Informa Healthcare USA: New York, 2009.
- (3) Gentili, D.; Gazzano, M.; Melucci, M.; Jones, D.; Cavallini, M. Polymorphism as an additional functionality of materials for technological applications at surfaces and interfaces. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2019, 48, 2502–2517.
- (4) Cruz-Cabeza, A. J.; Reutzel-Edens, S. M.; Bernstein, J. Facts and fictions about polymorphism. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 8619–8635.
- Bauer, J.; Spanton, S.; Henry, R.; Quick, J.; Dziki, W.; Porter, W.; Morris, J. Ritonavir: An example of conformational polymorphism. *Pharm. Res.* 2001, *43*, 859–866.
- Bučar, D.-K.; Lancaster, R. W.; Bernstein, J. Disappearing polymorphs revisited. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 6972–6993.
- (7) Neumann, M. A.; van de Streek, J. How many ritonavir cases are there still out there?
 Faraday Discuss. 2018, 211, 441–458.
- (8) Price, S. L.; Braun, D. E.; Reutzel-Edens, S. M. Can computed crystal energy landscapes help understand pharmaceutical solids? *Chem. Commun.* 2016, *52*, 7065–7077.
- (9) Price, S. L. Is zeroth order crystal structure prediction (CSP_0) coming to maturity? What should we aim for in an ideal crystal structure prediction code? *Faraday Discuss*. 2018, 211, 9–30.
- (10) Bhardwaj, R. M.; McMahon, J. A.; Nyman, J.; Price, L. S.; Konar, S.; Oswald, I. D. H.;
 Pulham, C. R.; Price, S. L.; Reutzel-Edens, S. M. A prolific solvate former, Galunisertib,
 under the pressure of crystal structure prediction, produces ten diverse polymorphs. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 13887–13897.

- (11) Haleblian, J.; McCrone, W. Pharmaceutical applications of polymorphism. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 1969, 58, 911–929.
- (12) Gavezzotti, A.; Filippini, G. Polymorphic forms of organic crystals at room conditions: Thermodynamic and structural implications. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995, *117*, 12299–12305.
- (13) Nyman, J.; Day, G. M. Static and lattice vibrational energy differences between polymorphs. *CrystEngComm*, **2015**, *17*, 5154–5165.
- (14) Naumov, P.; Chizhik, S.; Panda, M. K.; Nath, N. K.; Boldyreva, Mechanically responsive molecular crystals. *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115*, 12440–12490.
- (15) Donoshita, M.; Hayashi, M.; Ikeda, R.; Yoshida, Y.; Morikawa, S.; Sugimoto, K.; Kitagawa, H. Drastic rearrangement of self-assembled hydrogen-bonded tapes in a molecular crystal. *Chem. Commun.* 2018, *54*, 8571–8594.
- (16) Schmidt, G. M. J. Photodimerization in the solid state. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1971, 27, 647–678.
- (17) Nakasuji, K.; Sasaki, M.; Kotani, T.; Murafa, I.; Enoki, T.; Imaeda, K.; Inokuchi, H.; Kawamoto, A.; Tanaka, Methylthio- and ethanediyldithio-substituted 1,6-dithiapyrenes and their charge-transfer complexes: new organic molecular metals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 6970–6975.
- (18) Yu, L. Polymorphism in molecular solids: An extraordinary system of red, orange, and yellow crystals. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1257–1266.
- (19) Saha, S.; Desiraju, G. R. Trimorphs of 4-bromophenyl 4-bromobenzoate. Elastic, brittle, plastic. *Chem. Commun.* 2018, *54*, 6348–6351.
- (20) Larsen, F. K. Diffraction studies of crystals at low temperatures crystallography below
 77 K. Acta Crystallogr. B, 1994, 51, 468–482.
- (21) Myles, D. A. A., Dauvergne, F.; Blakeley, M. P.; Meilleur, F. Neutron protein crystallography at ultra-low (<15 K) temperatures. J. Appl. Cryst. 2012, 45, 686–692.</p>

- (22) Goeta, A. E.; Howard, J. A. K. Low temperature single crystal X-ray diffraction: advantages, instrumentation and applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2004**, *33* 490–500.
- (23) Sztylko, M.; Malinska, M.; Petricek, V.; Gutmann, M. J.; Hoser, A. A. How accurate do x-ray data need to be to obtain a reliable order of stability for polymorphs? The case study of p-hydroxyacetophenone polymorphs. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2019**, *19*, 5132–5141.
- (24) Thompson, A. L. Chemical Crystallography: when are 'bad data' 'good data'? *Crystallogr. Rev.* 2019, 25, 3–53.
- (25) Harada, J.; Ogawa, K. X-ray diffraction analysis of nonequilibrium states in crystals:
 Observation of an unstable conformer in flash-cooled crystals. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3539–3544.
- (26) Crawford, A. W.; Groeneman, R. H.; Unruh, D. K.; Hutchins, K. M. Cooling-rate dependent single-crystal-to-single-crystal phase transition in an organic co-crystal. *Chem. Commun.* 2019, 55, 3258–3261.
- (27) Tiekink, E. R. T. Molecular architecture and supramolecular association in the zinc-triad 1,1-dithiolates. Steric control as a design element in crystal engineering? *CrystEngComm*,2003, 5, 101–113.
- (28) Tan, Y. S.; Sudlow, A. L.; Molloy, K. C.; Morishima, Y.; Fujisawa, K.; Jackson, W. J.; Henderson, W.; Halim, S. N. Bt. A.; Ng, S. W.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Supramolecular isomerism in a cadmium bis(*N*-hydroxyethyl, *N*-isopropyldithiocarbamate) compound: physiochemical characterization of ball (n = 2) and chain (n = ∞) forms of {Cd[S₂CN(iPr)CH₂CH₂OH]₂·solvent}_n. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2013**, *13*, 3046–3056.
- (29) Ahmad, J.; How, F. N.-F.; Halim, S. N. A.; Jotani, M. M.; Lee, S. M.; Tiekink, E. R. T. A new structural motif for cadmium dithiocarbamates: crystal structures and Hirshfeld surface analyses of homoleptic zinc and cadmium morpholine dithiocarbamates. *Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater.* 2019, 234, 341–349.

- (30) Tiekink, E. R. T. Exploring the topological landscape exhibited by binary zinc-triad 1,1dithiolates. *Crystals*, **2018**, *8*, 292.
- (31) Lai, C. S.; Lim, Y. X.; Yap, T. C.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Molecular paving with zinc thiolates *CrystEngComm*, **2002**, *4*, 596–600.
- (32) Koh, Y. W.; Lai, C. S.; Du, A. Y.; Tiekink, E. R. T.; Loh, K. P. Growth of bismuth sulfide nanowire using bismuth trisxanthate single source precursors. *Chem. Mater.* 2003, 15, 4544–4554.
- (33) Lai, C. S.; Liu, S.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Steric control over polymer formation and topology in adducts of zinc dithiophosphate formed with bridging bipyridine ligands. *CrystEngComm*, 2004, 6, 221–226.
- (34) Lai, C. S.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Engineering polymers with variable topology bipyridine adducts of cadmium dithiophosphates. *CrystEngComm*, **2004**, *6*, 593–605.
- (35) Poplaukhin, P.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Interwoven coordination polymers sustained by tautomeric forms of the bridging ligand. *CrystEngComm*, **2010**, *12*, 1302–1306.
- (36) Tan, Y. S.; Halim, S. N. A.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Exploring the crystallization landscape of cadmium bis(N-hydroxyethyl, N-isopropyl-dithiocarbamate), Cd[S₂CN(iPr)CH₂CH₂OH]₂. Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. 2016, 231, 113–126.
- (37) Tiekink, E. R. T. Perplexing coordination behaviour of potentially bridging bipyridyltype ligands in the coordination chemistry of zinc and cadmium 1,1-dithiolate compounds. *Crystals*, **2018**, *8*, 18.
- (38) Tan, Y. S.; Chun, H. Z.; Jotani, M. M.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Steric control of supramolecular association in structures of Zn(S₂COR)₂ with N,N'-bis(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)oxalamide. Z. *Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater.* 2019, 234, 165–175.
- (39) Leong, W. L.; Vittal, J. J. One-dimensional coordination polymers: Complexity and diversity in structures, properties, and applications. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 688–764.

- (40) Vittal, J. J.; Quah, H. S. Engineering solid state structural transformations of metal complexes. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2017, 342, 1–18.
- (41) He, W. W.; Li, S. L.; Lan, Y. Q. Liquid-free single-crystal to single-crystal transformations in coordination polymers. *Inorg. Chem. Front.* **2018**, *5*, 279–300.
- (42) CrysAlis PRO, Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England, 2017.
- (43) Sheldrick, G. M. A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr. A, 2008, 64, 112–122.
- (44) Sheldrick, G. M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. *Acta Crystallogr. C*, 2015, 71, 3–8.
- (45) Farrugia, L. J. WinGX and ORTEP for Windows: an update. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2012, 45, 849–854.
- (46) Spek, A. L. checkCIF validation ALERTS: what they mean and how to respond. *Acta Crystallogr. E*, **2020**, *76*, 1–11.
- (47) Brandenburg, K. DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany, 2006.
- (48) Giannozzi, P. Andreussi, O.; Brumme, T.; Bunau, O.; Buongiorno Nardelli, M.;
 Calandra, M.; Car, R.; Cavazzoni, C.; Ceresoli, D.; Cococcioni, M.; Colonna, N.;
 Carnimeo, I.; Dal Corso, A.; de Gironcoli, S.; Delugas, P.; DiStasio, R.; Ferretti, A.;
 Floris, A.; Fratesi, G.; Fugallo, G.; Gebauer, R.; Gerstmann, U.; Giustino, F.; Gorni, T.;
 Jia, J.; Kawamura, M.; Ko, H.-Y.; Kokalj, A.; Küçükbenli, E.; Lazzeri, M.; Marsili, M.;
 Marzari, N.; Mauri, F.; and Nguyen, N. L.; Nguyen, H.-V.; Otero-de-la-Roza, A.;
 Paulatto, L.; Ponce, S.; Rocca, D.; Sabatini, R.; Santra, B.; Schlipf, M.; Seitsonen, A.;
 Smogunov, A.; Timrov, I.; Thonhauser, T.; Umari, P.; Vast, N.; Baroni, S. Advanced
 capabilities for materials modelling with Quantum ESPRESSO. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2017, *29*, 465901.
- (49) Blöchl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. Phys. Rev. B, 1994, 50, 17953–17979.

- (50) Becke, A. D. On the large-gradient behavior of the density functional exchange energy.*J. Chem. Phys.* **1986**, *85*, 7184-7187.
- (51) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, 77, 3865–3868.
- (52) Becke, A. D. Johnson, E. R. Exchange-hole dipole moment and the dispersion interaction revisited. J. Chem. Phys. 2007, 127, 154108.
- (53) Otero-de-la-Roza, A.; Johnson, E. R. Van der Waals interactions in solids using the exchange-hole dipole moment model. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *136*, 174109.
- (54) Otero-de-la-Roza, A.; Johnson, E. R.; Luaña, V. CRITIC2: A program for real-space analysis of quantum chemical interactions in solids. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 2014, 185, 1007–1018.
- (55) Johnson, E. R.; Keinan, S.; Mori-Sánchez, P.; Contreras-García, J.; Cohen, A. J.; Yang,
 W. Revealing noncovalent interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 6498–6506.
- (56) Otero-de-la-Roza, A.; Johnson, E. R.; Contreras-García, J. Revealing non-covalent interactions in solids: NCI plots revisited. J. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2012, 14, 12165–12172.
- (57) Yu, M.; Trinkle, D. R. Accurate and efficient algorithm for Bader charge integration. J. Chem. Phys. 2011, 134, 064111.
- (58) Groom, C. R.; Bruno, I. J.; Lightfoot, M. P.; Ward, S. C. The Cambridge Structural Database. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B: Struct. Sci., Cryst. Eng. Mat.* **2016**, *72*, 171–179.
- (59) Turner, M. J.; Mckinnon, J. J.; Wolff, S. K.; Grimwood, D. J.; Spackman, P. R.; Jayatilaka, D.; Spackman, M. A. *CrystalExplorer17*; The University of Western Australia, 2017.

- (60) Tan, S. L.; Jotani, M. M.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Utilizing Hirshfeld surface calculations, non-covalent interaction (NCI) plots and the calculation of interaction energies in the analysis of molecular packing. *Acta Crystallogr. E*, **2019**, *75*, 308–318.
- (61) Jotani, M. M.; Wardell, J. L.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Supramolecular association in the triclinic (Z' = 1) and monoclinic (Z' = 4) polymorphs of 4-(4-acetylphenyl)piperazin-1-ium 2-amino-4-nitrobenzoate. *Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater.* 2019, *234*, 43–57.
- (62) Pinto, C. B.; Dos Santos, L. H. R.; Rodrigues, B. L. Understanding metal–ligand inter-actions in coordination polymers using Hirshfeld surface analysis. *Acta Crystallogr. C*, **2019**, 75, 707–716.
- (63) Beckmann, P. A.; McGhie, A. R.; Rheingold, A. L.; Sloan, G. J.; Szewczyk, S. T. Solid–solid phase transitions and tert-butyl and methyl group rotation in an organic solid: x-ray diffractometry, differential scanning calorimetry, and solid-state 1h nuclear spin relaxation. *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2017, *121*, 6220–6230.
- (64) Kersten, K.; Kaur R.; Matzger, A. Survey and analysis of crystal polymorphism in organic structures. *IUCrJ*, 2018, 5, 124–129.

Snap frozen! Capturing two metastable polymorphs in a tetramorphic one-dimensional coordination polymer constructed from cadmium, dithiophosphate, and 4-pyridinealdazine

Yee Seng Tan, Alberto Otero-de-la-Roza[‡] Mukesh M. Jotani, and Edward R. T. Tiekink



Room temperature (1 α) and three low temperature (100 K; 1 β , 1 γ , and 1 δ) polymorphs of a one-dimensional coordination polymer, {Cd[S₂P(OMe)₂]₂(4-pyridine-aldazine)}₂, have been established. Two metastable forms are observed (1 γ and 1 δ) which convert to 1 β upon heating (120-130 and 140-150 K, respectively) based on single crystal data. Forms 1 α and 1 β undergo a reversible phase transition.