

DOES THE CARER SUPPORT NEEDS ASSESSMENT TOOL COVER THE ESTABLISHED SUPPORT NEEDS OF CARERS OF PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE? A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE SEARCH AND NARRATIVE REVIEW

Kerry Micklewright, University of East Anglia

School of Health Sciences

Edith Cavell Building

University of East Anglia

Norwich Research Park

Norwich, UK

NR4 7TJ

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7559-5219>

Dr Morag Farquhar*, University of East Anglia

School of Health Sciences

Edith Cavell Building

University of East Anglia

Norwich Research Park

Norwich, UK

NR4 7TJ

Tel: 01603 597649

Email: M.Farquhar@uea.ac.uk

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7991-7679>

*Corresponding author

Word count: 5460

ABSTRACT

Background

Informal carers play a key supportive role for patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). However, caring can have a considerable impact on health and wellbeing. Carers may have unidentified support needs that could be a target for intervention. Literature on the support needs of informal carers has not been fully synthesized, and our knowledge of the comprehensiveness of the Carer Support Needs Assessment Tool (CSNAT) for these individuals is limited.

Aim

To explore whether the CSNAT covers the support needs of carers of patients with COPD identified in published literature.

Design

English language studies were identified against predetermined inclusion/exclusion criteria through database searching. Further studies were identified through searching reference lists and citations of included papers. Papers were critically appraised and data extracted and synthesised by two reviewers. Identified needs were mapped to CSNAT questions.

Data sources

MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, CDSR, ASSIA, PsycINFO and Scopus databases (Jan 1997- Dec 2017).

Results

24 studies were included. Results suggest that carers have support needs in a range of domains including physical, social, psychological and spiritual. Many of these needs are unmet. Particular areas of concern relate to: prolonged social isolation, accessing services, emotional support and information needs.

Findings also suggest amendment of the CSNAT may be required relating to difficulties within relationship management.

Conclusion

Evidence suggests that COPD carers would benefit from identification and response to their support needs by healthcare professionals but to enable this the CSNAT requires an additional question. Future planned work will develop this with COPD carers.

Key words

Palliative care, caregivers, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, systematic review

KEY STATEMENTS

What is already known about this topic?

- Informal carers play a vital role in supporting patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).
- COPD carers may have unidentified support needs that could be a target for intervention by clinicians.
- The Carer Support Needs Assessment Tool (CSNAT) has been developed as an evidence-based, person-centred approach to identify carer support needs, though as it was initially developed for carers of patients with end-stage cancer it is unclear if it encompasses all the potential support needs of COPD carers.

What this paper adds?

- Synthesis of knowledge relating to COPD carer support needs from published literature, including needs carers felt were met, needs carers felt were unmet and supportive inputs carers considered helpful.
- Mapping of these support needs to the CSNAT, which suggests that the addition of a question encompassing relationship management issues may be required to make it more comprehensive for COPD carers.

Implications for practice, theory or policy

- Many of the support needs of COPD carers are unmet; particular areas of concern relate to prolonged social isolation, accessing services, emotional support and information needs.
- COPD carers would benefit from identification, a comprehensive, person-centred assessment of their needs and appropriate response to these needs by clinicians.
- The CSNAT is a promising approach to identify COPD carer support needs though it may require amendment to ensure it fully encompasses the potential needs of this group.

INTRODUCTION

Informal carers are unpaid “lay people in a close supportive role who share in the illness experience of the patient and who undertake vital care work and emotion management”. [1] They provide essential support to patients with progressive long-term conditions such as Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) relieving pressure on over-stretched health and social care services: one study reported that 77% of advanced COPD patients indicated they had a carer. [2] Carers support patients with personal care, meal preparation, shopping, managing healthcare appointments, providing emotional support and administering medication, including the use and maintenance of nebulisers. [3-5] Evidence also suggests that, historically, COPD patients have experienced significant inequalities in the provision of effective palliative care, potentially indicating the particular importance of informal care support for this group. [6-8]

However, informal carers are patients in their own right with health and support needs of their own. [9] A breadth of research indicates that caring for patients with COPD can have considerable detrimental impacts on carers’ health and wellbeing. They report fatigue, anxiety, social isolation, depression, distress, financial difficulties and sleep disturbance. [10-14] Meeting the support needs of these carers is essential if they are to manage their own health and wellbeing while providing care throughout the trajectory of the patient’s illness. They may need support with both ‘direct’ needs, which refers to the support an individual may require for themselves, and ‘enabling’ needs, the support that carers may need to provide care. [15] However, there are few evidence-based interventions to support COPD carers, who may particularly benefit from an effective and consistent process to identify and address their support needs. [13, 16, 17]

Policy indicates that the support provided to carers should be tailored to the needs of the individual. [18] Identifying carers is the first step in this process, then a comprehensive, person-centred assessment should inform the provision of effective holistic support. [19] One intervention used for this purpose in practice is the Carer Support Needs Assessment Tool (CSNAT) intervention. [15] The CSNAT intervention comprises two components: (1) an evidence-based, fourteen question tool that encompasses both direct and enabling needs which is integrated into (2) ‘The CSNAT Approach’, a five-stage person-centred process that facilitates a consistent and comprehensive assessment, response and review process. A strength of the CSNAT intervention is that self-report is used to identify carers’ needs directly rather than clinicians inferring what is needed through indirect measures such as carer burden questionnaires. However, the tool itself was originally developed with carers of patients in hospice care settings toward the end of life, predominantly caring for those with cancer diagnoses, therefore whether the questions comprehensively cover the support needs of carers of patients with COPD has not been examined. The disease trajectory, symptoms, length and type of caring and the availability of patient and carer support can differ significantly between COPD and end-stage cancer. [20] Investigation and synthesis of pre-existing evidence on COPD carer support needs may help to elucidate whether the CSNAT encompasses all of the needs of COPD carers and is suitable for use in practice with these carers in its current form. This review therefore aims to systematically identify carer support needs in COPD from the published literature, and to use these findings to examine the comprehensiveness of the CSNAT for COPD carers through question mapping.

METHODS

Review question

Does the CSNAT comprehensively cover the published support needs of carers of patients with COPD?

Study design

A systematic search of peer-reviewed English language research literature published between 1997-2017 was conducted by two reviewers. The data extracted was synthesised and mapped to CSNAT questions, then narratively described. This approach was taken for reasons of pragmatism, based on the proposition that researchers should use the philosophical and/or methodological approach that works best for the particular research problem that is being investigated.[21] This pragmatic approach was in keeping with the very applied nature of the review question. The review followed a registered protocol (Prospero database: CRD42018087082, accessible at https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42018087082). The PRISMA checklist and flowchart were used to ensure clarity in reporting of activity at the various stages of the review.[22]

Study identification

Medline, EMBASE, CINAHL, ASSIA, PsycINFO, Scopus and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) were searched for relevant papers. Initial scoping searches of the literature indicated that carers may have support needs across a variety of domains (for example, physical, psychological, spiritual and social). As such, a range of databases across multiple disciplines were included. Database searching was supplemented through forwards and backwards reference searching of studies identified as relevant.

Scoping searches of multiple grey literature databases including COPAC, OpenGrey, Ethos and ProQuest resulted in minimal relevant material, prompting the decision to exclude grey literature from the review.

The full inclusion criteria and search terms for this review are included as supplementary online material (Appendix A and Appendix B). To be eligible studies had to include adult participants (18+) who are/were informal carers of people diagnosed with COPD. Papers also had to include information about the support needs of these carers and/or 'helpful inputs' that carers considered helpful in reducing or resolving their needs. The review excluded editorials, opinion pieces, case studies and non-empirical studies.

A broad range of search terms were used due to variations in how informal carers are referred to by themselves and others: for example, spouses do not always identify with the term 'carer' as the support they provide is sometimes regarded by them as a natural extension of the marital role.[23]

Data extraction

The first reviewer (KM) screened the titles and abstracts of potentially relevant papers against the inclusion criteria. If eligibility remained unclear the full text was read; for any papers where

uncertainty remained, the paper was discussed with the second reviewer to reach consensus. The second reviewer (MF) reviewed 10% of all identified papers to establish reproducibility of screening.

Data were extracted by the first reviewer. To refine this process, both reviewers independently extracted and interpreted data from 3 (12.5%) eligible papers initially. Findings were then compared and a consistent approach to the extraction and grouping of data was established.

For the purpose of this review, the meaning of 'support needs' was based on the Bradshaw's concept of 'expressed need' given that the CSNAT is a tool used to identify the needs of a unique individual through self-report.[24] Extraction of data on support needs was then based on the work of Ewing and Grande, creators of the CSNAT.[15] Needs were thus identified and grouped in relation to:

- (1) Met needs: support needs that carers consider to be resolved, potentially through intervention from health or social services.
- (2) Unmet needs: support needs that carers consider remain unresolved or unsupported.
- (3) Helpful inputs: specific responses that carers considered useful in reducing or resolving their support needs.

The reviewers considered 'needs' and 'responses to need' in this way to separate discussion of support needs from discussion of potential interventions to meet these needs. This is because the appropriate response to a support need expressed by a carer may vary considerably depending on the individual. For example, two individuals may express a need for emotional support, but how this could be addressed depends on many factors, including the preferences of the individual and the local resources available.

Quality assessment

Quality appraisal of eligible papers was carried out to identify potential areas of bias and consider of the validity of findings reported within papers. Appraisal was completed by the first reviewer (KM). To determine accuracy of appraisal the second reviewer (MF) additionally independently appraised a random sample (10%) of eligible papers. Differences were discussed (minor) and resolved between the reviewers.

Three quality appraisal tools were used, reflecting the varied methodology of included papers:

- 1) Qualitative: Items 1-9 of the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) Checklist.[25] Item 10 was excluded as all papers were judged to be of necessary value for inclusion in this review.
- 2) Quantitative: Appraisal tool for Cross-Sectional Studies (AXIS).[26]
- 3) Narrative review: The reviewers modified the International Narrative Systematic Assessment (INSA) tool.[27] Rather than using the point-scoring system devised by the creators of the INSA, items were phrased into 'yes/no' questions to maintain a consistent approach to quality appraisal.

Data analysis

A license granting permission to use the CSNAT within this review was obtained from its creators. Extracted 'met needs', 'unmet needs' and 'helpful inputs' were mapped onto the 14 CSNAT questions. In their study reporting the development of the CSNAT, Ewing and Grande explain how

qualitative data from carers was initially grouped into discrete categories, which were further refined into CSNAT questions. The reviewers utilised these categories to ensure that mapping was congruent with the support needs Ewing and Grande intended to be encompassed by each question on the tool. This process was achieved by considering each identified need in turn and reviewing the CSNAT questions to identify whether and where the need was located on the tool. Each of the individual CSNAT questions relates to a broad domain of need, therefore multiple support needs can map to one domain.

Extracted support needs that could not be mapped onto the tool's questions indicated areas where amendment of the tool may be useful to ensure the CSNAT is comprehensive for COPD carers. Given the breadth of the individual CSNAT questions noted above, these unmapped support needs were then reviewed to establish whether they could be synthesised to represent one or more additional broad areas of support need (or 'domains of need'). This process was achieved primarily through discussion within the research team, followed by additional consultation with the CSNAT developers. This consultation included discussion and review of the developers' original work in developing the tool and their ongoing work considering the utility of the tool with carers of patients with Motor Neurone Disease (MND). Following this a narrative description of the findings was produced. This design was congruent with paradigm of pragmatism in providing the best methodological approach to answer our very applied review question.[21]

RESULTS

Study selection

Searches yielded 24 eligible papers (see Figure 1); 14 were qualitative, 6 were quantitative and 4 were narrative reviews (see Appendix C – online supplementary material). Details relating to the quality appraisal of these papers are also included in the study characteristics tables.

[FIGURE 1 HERE – PRISMA FLOW CHART]

Included papers were completed in the UK,[17, 19, 28-30], Iceland,[31] Portugal,[13, 32, 33] Denmark,[34] Japan,[35] the Netherlands,[36] Sweden,[37-39] Australia,[40-43] Ireland,[5, 44] Canada[45, 46] and Norway.[47] Participants from qualitative and quantitative studies were recruited from a range of sites, including outpatient clinics,[28, 37] inpatient units/hospitals,[34, 47] primary care,[32] a civil registry,[30] the community,[41, 42, 45] pre-existing studies[46] or more commonly from multiple settings.[29, 31, 33, 35, 38-40, 44] One study recruited carers from medical records, but the setting was unspecified.[5] Another did not state any setting.[43]

All but one qualitative study used interviews to gather data;[5, 19, 28-33, 37-40, 44, 45] focus groups were utilised in the remaining paper.[34] Quantitative studies were observational, with most being cross-sectional;[35, 41-43, 47] the one exception to this was Ross and Graydon[46], who employed case-control. Carers were more likely to be female, with 15 papers either recruiting more women than men or specifically targeting female participants.[28-31, 33, 34, 38, 40-47] Only one study with mixed gender participants had a greater proportion of men than women,[37] though two further papers were focused on male carers exclusively.[32, 39] Four included papers were review articles.[13, 17, 19, 36]

Quality Appraisal

Several issues were revealed through quality appraisal that may impact upon the validity of findings. Multiple studies encountered difficulties with recruitment and carer engagement, including Hynes, Stokes and McCarron[44] and Bove et al.[34] Researchers suggested these issues may have arisen from the disparity between how some carers self-identify and how they are labelled by others; researchers expressed concerns that the individuals they had attempted to recruit did not identify with the 'carer' label and hence chose not to engage with the studies. Further issues encountered within the studies related to carers struggling to engage due to difficulties in finding the time to participate or because of an unexpected deterioration of the patient's health. Many studies recruited carers through patients or required the explicit consent of the patient to proceed.

Overview of findings relating to identified carer needs

The majority of support needs reported were unmet. There were four key areas with which carers needed support initially identified: informational, emotional distress, social isolation and access to services. These are outlined before the mapping of COPD carer support needs to CSNAT items is presented.

Informational

Insufficient information provision was a consistent finding of the review. Carers appeared to struggle with understanding COPD as a medical condition; their lack of confidence in their knowledge of COPD was evident throughout. Although two studies suggested that carers had received sufficient disease-specific information to meet their needs,[40, 43] eleven papers indicated significant shortfalls in the information provided to carers.[5, 13, 19, 29-31, 38, 39, 44-46] One paper described how the provision of adequate information about COPD only took place in the last few days of the patient's life.[40]

Carers also demonstrated considerable unmet needs in relation to information about managing symptoms. Carers reported the need for further information about the patient's medication,[32, 34, 43] managing COPD exacerbations,[29, 40] managing the patient's anxiety,[29] managing oxygen and health aids,[44] maintaining the quality of life for the patient-carer dyad[29] and help to know when the patient required hospitalisation.[40, 46] Knowledge about, and signposting to, available services was also a major unmet need for carers.[5, 17, 19, 29, 30, 36, 42, 43]

Emotional distress

Many of the carers expressed unmet needs in relation to emotional distress. Carers in ten of the included papers discussed a range of powerful emotions that they had not received support to manage, including helplessness, powerlessness, anxiety, fear, sadness, anger, frustration, guilt, resentment, shame, grief, loneliness and uncertainty.[13, 19, 30, 34, 36, 39, 43-46] Carers felt abandoned,[30, 34, 45] struggled to ask for help from others[30, 31] and reported that they had no-one to talk to.[35, 43, 45, 46] In some cases, emotional needs stemmed from fearing for the patient. Carers worried about what would happen if they became too ill to provide care.[32, 43] They also feared the patient dying, being particularly fearful of death by asphyxia.[30, 33, 46]

Social isolation

Carers expressed difficulties relating to social isolation in half of the papers included in the review, with their caring responsibilities preventing them from feeling able to leave the house, have a social life, engage in leisure activities or have time for themselves.[13, 19, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 37, 39, 43, 45, 46] Leaving the patient at home could provoke guilt, fear and anxiety, inhibiting carers from pursuing opportunities for socialising outside the home. It is likely that difficulties accessing other sources of care for the patient contributes to this,[32, 35, 43] and some carers reported a desire for a break from caring[31] and support to reduce their exhaustion and fatigue.[19, 30, 37, 45]

Access to services

Findings suggest that COPD carers encounter considerable barriers to accessing services. Carers appear to struggle with accessing financial assistance,[5, 13, 30, 33, 43-45] alternative sources of care for the patient,[32, 35, 43] support from social services,[13, 47] adequate specialist healthcare services,[32] spiritual support[41, 43] and affordable, consistent home help.[19, 35, 38-40, 43, 44, 44] Studies also revealed significant difficulties in accessing equipment and housing adaptations.[5, 19, 29, 30, 40, 45] Interestingly, carers sometimes indicated that a patient struggling to access services also resulted in an unmet carer support need; for example, carers reported that a lack of patient access to emotional support and rehabilitation was an unmet carer need.[35, 42]

Mapping needs to CSNAT questions

The range of met needs, unmet needs and helpful inputs identified were then mapped to CSNAT questions. The outcome of this is reported below and summarised in Appendix D (online supplementary material). Needs are grouped by the CSNAT questions they were mapped to during data analysis.

Most of the needs and helpful inputs extracted from papers were encompassed by the CSNAT, and no CSNAT questions appeared to be redundant for COPD carers. However, additional needs related to relationships were identified that could not be mapped to any existing CSNAT questions: the patient-carer relationship and the carer-clinician relationship. The majority of these relationship needs were unmet.

The patient-carer relationship

Carers in five studies reported needs that were related to changes or difficulties within the patient-carer relationship.[31, 31, 34, 37, 41] Carers reported a loss of intimacy and understanding from the patient,[31, 36, 43] tensions and constraints between them and the patient[33] and a desire for health professionals to be receptive to discussing relationship problems.[39]

The carer-clinician relationship

Carers felt that clinicians did not always acknowledge carers and their role in supporting the patient.[29, 37, 44] They reported contact with health professionals was inconsistent and that communication between carers and clinicians should be improved.[30, 37, 39, 40] Carers also reported a desire to be more included within decision-making regarding the patient's care.[31, 34, 44] Family meetings were suggested as one potential method to facilitate shared decision-making and understanding. Carers valued positive relationships with healthcare staff, placing particular emphasis on the importance of care that is well-coordinated between settings and led by empathetic staff.[36]

DISCUSSION

Implications of unmet carer needs

This review primarily focused on the comprehensiveness of the CSNAT for COPD carers. However, several areas of shortfall in the support offered to COPD carers were also identified and are worthy of initial discussion. These areas included information provision, support for emotional distress, help to alleviate social isolation and assistance to access services.

Carers within the studies felt that the quality of information provided— and how it was transferred to them from healthcare professionals – must be improved. Many reported needing more information. The types of information needed varied widely. Examples included information related to the patient’s condition and its management as well as information to help establish an understanding of how services worked and interlinked. Among carers’ concerns was how a lack of information impacted upon their understanding of COPD and hence how they cared for the patient. The finding that carers felt they lacked information is unsurprising. Research suggests that both carer and patient often receive inadequate information about COPD, its symptoms and treatments, sometimes to the extent that the patient is not even aware that they have been diagnosed.[48, 49] Ensuring that carers are included in information-sharing and signposting to further appropriate information resources by health professionals could be helpful in meeting their information needs. Professionals could also check that carers who have previously received information fully understand it. Research suggests that there is a notable disparity between the complexity of health information provided and the ability of patients and carers to comprehend it.[50] Clinicians must be mindful that this may act as a barrier for carers to engage with health care resources, discussion with professionals and decisions relating to the patient’s care.

Interestingly, carers in one paper indicated that being offered information about COPD at multiple times as the disease progressed would have been helpful. This suggests that information tailored to the current disease stage – and hence to the carer’s current understanding – is the most beneficial. Carers also suggested that they would have liked to receive information both in the patient’s presence and independently, and that this may include information that the patient would prefer not to know at that time. For example, some of the patients within the papers did not want to receive information relating to how their disease will progress, end-of-life care or the dying process. However, this knowledge could help carers to plan for the future or prepare for the patient’s death and as such could be an important for carers. Some services have gone as far as to offer separate clinic appointments for carers and patients, acknowledging that the priorities and informational needs of these individuals may differ.[51]

The review revealed further areas where health professionals may be able to make a considerable difference for COPD carers. It appears that support to help carers engage socially and pursue interests outside the home would be of significant benefit to this group. Loneliness is a common issue amongst carers that is exacerbated by a range of factors, including financial difficulties and a lack of access to alternative sources of care for the patient.[52] Given that unmet needs for financial assistance and input from formal care were also reported by carers in the review, it is possible that improving access to these may be helpful in reducing social isolation for COPD carers.

Health professionals could also play an important role in meeting the unmet need for emotional support revealed during the review. This support may involve promoting access to services that could help to reduce carer distress. Carers indicated that peer support or individuals to discuss their worries with – potentially including healthcare professionals - would be useful. Carers wanted staff

to acknowledge carer distress and to demonstrate an understanding of the emotional impact caring can have on the wellbeing of carers.

There are other potential ways to address carer distress that healthcare professionals could consider. Given that access to services was a difficulty for carers within the review, ensuring that professionals are aware of appropriate signposting or local resources to help carers manage their mental health may be helpful, including wellbeing services, support lines or local support groups. Making sure carers are also aware of how to get help for the patient in an emergency – for example, establishing a contingency plan as to who would provide care should the carer become ill – also appears to be indicated given that this was noted as a specific source of distress and worry in two papers. It may be that managing emotions and worries could be linked to the aforementioned unmet need to reduce social isolation. Social isolation is associated with distress and poorer mental health outcomes, while social support – specifically emotional support – offers a protective effect against mental health conditions such as depression.[53, 54] By enabling carers to enrich their social lives they may find they are able to engage in protective, meaningful activities and find others with whom they can share their worries. Healthcare professionals can take a proactive role in promoting services that enable carers to manage their emotions and to connect with others.

Effective palliative care also appears to have a potential role in reducing emotional distress for carers. Historically, the provision of palliative care for COPD patients and their carers has not been on par with the care provided for patients with other chronic conditions.[6-8] Aside from the previously mentioned information deficits regarding the future course of the illness and the dying process, carers also reported a variety of unmet emotional needs relating to end-of-life care, including the need for better access to bereavement support. Carers also reported fears and anxiety related to the patient's impending death. This distress could potentially be relieved by support and discussion with appropriate palliative care professionals to help demystify end-of-life issues and prepare carers for what is to come.

A recurring finding was that clinicians may be able to improve the experience of carers by making them aware of, and facilitating access to, useful services. Carers reported a lack of signposting to services that could improve their quality of life or their ability to provide care. This included palliative care services, equipment services, experts who could provide assistance for financial and legal matters, affordable home help, peer support, services that could provide alternative sources of care for the patient and social care services. Health professionals could contribute to an improvement in signposting by ensuring that they are comprehensive in their assessment of the carer's needs and are responsive in providing appropriate referrals and information for carers to access the services they require. Interestingly, studies also suggested that sometimes patients struggling to access services resulted in unmet support needs for carers; these included carers reporting a lack of patient access to rehabilitation and emotional support as an unmet carer support need. This may be related to the potential for these services to help reduce the burden on carers by maximising the function and independence of patients and through connecting patients to other individuals with whom they can share their worries. In terms of the benefits of rehabilitation to carers, research suggests that carers can derive relational and emotional benefits from services that help patients to increase their independence.[55]

In summary, a major finding of the review is the number of, and variety in, unmet support needs amongst COPD carers. It is likely that these individuals would benefit from identification of their needs in a timely and comprehensive way that leads to appropriate and tailored support from health and social care professionals. Utilisation of the CSNAT intervention to identify support needs and develop an action plan to meet these needs may be one way of accomplishing this although, as we

now discuss, the review also indicates that the CSNAT may need adaptation to more fully enable the support needs of COPD carers to be identified and addressed.

Comprehensiveness of the CSNAT for COPD carers

Mapping the support needs of COPD carers to the CSNAT suggests that while none of the CSNAT questions are redundant, some adaptation of the tool may be required to ensure comprehensiveness for COPD i.e. that all potential COPD carer needs are encompassed.

The first category of support needs that did not appear to be covered by existing questions on the tool related to changes in the patient-carer relationship. It is worth considering that providing informal care can sometimes strengthen the patient-carer bond rather than leading to a deterioration in this relationship.[36] However, for individuals experiencing relationship difficulties inclusion of this within the CSNAT may be beneficial, particularly as carers within the review suggested discussing relationship problems with health professionals may be a useful option. Interestingly, a similar finding was made by the CSNAT developers when investigating its potential utility with carers of patients with Motor Neurone Disease (MND). While most MND carer support needs mapped onto CSNAT questions, the researchers also reported additional 'relationship issues warranting further consideration'.[56] It appears that MND and COPD carers may experience relationship difficulties that did not emerge in the original CSNAT study with predominantly end-stage cancer carers. There are a number of possible explanations for this. Differences in the respective trajectories and length of the caring role in these conditions may influence the patient-carer relationship over time in different ways, though no definitive conclusions regarding causation can be made without further investigation.

The discovery of support needs in relation to the carer-clinician relationship could also necessitate adaptation of the CSNAT. From the review it appears carers sometimes experience difficulties within their interactions with health professionals which they struggle to resolve. Carers may benefit from being able to raise these concerns when completing the CSNAT to ensure that the support they are receiving is appropriate to meet their needs. As such, amendment of the CSNAT to encapsulate relationship difficulties may be appropriate to ensure it is comprehensive for COPD carers.

Given that both of these needs relate to relationships, it is proposed that the addition of a single appropriately worded question about relationships may address this.

Limitations

This review utilised a systematic methodology and followed PRISMA guidelines[22] to provide a comprehensive overview of COPD carer support needs. However, limitations remain, particularly in relation to sources of potentially relevant data that were excluded for pragmatic reasons. It is acknowledged that the inclusion of grey literature could have provided a more comprehensive evidence synthesis and might have reduced the impact of any publication bias on results.[57] In addition, the exclusion of non-English papers and those published outside of the search period may mean that potentially relevant data was missed. Also, authors of papers included in the review were not contacted as field experts, which might have identified further literature not captured by the search.

Two further limitations relate to the nature of the empirical work in the included papers, which may indicate wider issues in carer research: (1) gender imbalance and (2) recruitment.

The majority of the participants within papers were female. This gender imbalance may reflect female carers outnumbering male carers in the general population.[58, 59] However, other factors related to study design may have affected recruitment. For example, male carers report that they have different needs to female carers and do not always identify with the 'carer' label, particularly if they are in employment.[60] Hence, studies attempting to use the term 'carer' may have been more likely to alienate potential male participants. The inclusion of two papers with a sole focus on male carers increases the relevance of the review findings to this group, but the lower number of male participants in studies could reflect a gap in the literature that may be a useful area to address in future research on COPD carers.

In addition to this, several studies within the review struggled with recruiting carers. One study used a range of methods and sites to recruit but was unable to reach their recruitment goals.[44] The study researchers considered whether this again may have been affected by a disparity between how carers self-identify and how they are labelled by others – specifically, whether individuals see themselves as 'carers'. Many studies also recruited carers through patients or required the explicit consent of the patient to proceed. While pragmatic and understandable, this places patients in a 'gatekeeping' role which influence which carers were able to consider and participate in studies.[61] For example, data might not be collected from carers where the patient-carer relationship is poor. Farquhar et al. acknowledge this limitation,[29] though they also state that they felt the discovery of patient-carer tension in interviews was an encouraging sign that their recruitment approach had not compromised their findings. An additional difficulty encountered was the issue of carers having to balance study participation with their caring responsibilities, with some carers dropping out partway through the process as the patient became ill.[34, 62, 63]

What this review adds

Four pre-existing review papers were identified and included within this review. Two of these, however, were narrative reviews which do not state a methodology or use systematic searching,[19, 36] one investigated impacts of caring and existing interventions rather than support needs,[13] and the fourth had a search period of 1990-2007. This current review therefore adds a decade of published findings providing a more contemporary picture of support needs for COPD carers.[17]

This review forms part of a larger study to explore aspects of validity of the CSNAT in COPD given its increasing use in this carer group. Follow-on empirical work with COPD carers will investigate the face and content validity of a revised CSNAT (revised to include a question about relationships) and explore their views on delivery of the CSNAT intervention in clinical practice to carers of patients with COPD.

CONCLUSION

Individuals who support people with COPD have a range of support needs, many of which appear to be unmet. Health professionals could play an important role in enabling these needs to be addressed. However, needs must first be identified, and this could be accomplished through

comprehensive person-centred assessment. The CSNAT may be a suitable tool to assess carer support needs, however the current version of the tool would benefit from the addition of a question relating to relationships in order to be fully comprehensive of the needs of COPD carers.

Authorship

Both authors contributed toward designing the review, data analysis and synthesis, drafting and editing the manuscript and gave final approval of the version to be published.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: KM is currently supported by Research Capability Funding from the Norfolk and Waveney CCGs, administered by the Norfolk and Suffolk Primary and Community Care Research Office. MF was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration (ARC EoE) programme. The views expressed are those of the authors, and not necessarily those of the NIHR, NHS or Department of Health and Social Care.

Declaration of conflicting interests

None declared.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethical approval

Not applicable.

Data sharing

Not applicable.

Acknowledgements

This study utilises the Carer Support Needs Assessment Tool (CSNAT) devised by Dr Gail Ewing and Prof Gunn Grande (Copyright © 2009 University of Cambridge (Centre for Family Research) / The University of Manchester (School of Nursing, Midwifery and Social Work). All rights reserved.

A licence was obtained granting permission to use the CSNAT for the project. The authors additionally thank Dr Gail Ewing and Carole Gardener (University of Cambridge) for advice and guidance.

Aspects of the work have been previously published as conference abstracts for: the Annual Marie Curie Palliative Care Research Conference 2018, Hospice UK conference 2019 and Primary Care Respiratory Society Conference 2019.

REFERENCES

1. Thomas C. MS, McIlmurray M., Soothill K., Francis B., Harman J. The Psychosocial Needs of Cancer Patients and their Main Carers. Project report. Lancaster: Institute for Health Research, Lancaster University, cited in National Institute for Clinical Excellence. 2004. Guidance on Cancer Services. Improving Supportive and Palliative Care for Adults with Cancer. The Manual. London: NICE; 2001.
2. Farquhar M, Ewing G, Moore C, et al. How prepared are informal carers of patients with advanced COPD and what are their support needs? Baseline data from an ongoing longitudinal study. *BMJ Support Palliat Care*. 2014;4: P111
3. Gatti V, Banfi P, Centanni S, et al. Enlightening chronic obstructive pulmonary disease through patients' and caregivers' narratives. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2018;13:3095-105.
4. Alhaddad B, Taylor KMG, Robertson T, et al. Assistance of family carers for patients with COPD using nebulisers at home: a qualitative study. *Eur J Hosp Pharm Sci Pract*. 2016;23(3):156.
5. Spence A, Hasson F, Waldron M, et al. Active carers: living with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Palliat Nurs*. 2008;14(8):368-72.
6. Gore JM, Brophy CJ, Greenstone MA. How well do we care for patients with end stage chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)? A comparison of palliative care and quality of life in COPD and lung cancer. *Thorax*. 2000;55(12):1000-1006.
7. Goodridge D, Lawson J, Duggleby W, et al. Health care utilization of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and lung cancer in the last 12 months of life. *Respr Med*. 2008;102(6):885-91.
8. Roberts CM, Seiger A, Buckingham RJ, et al. Clinician perceived good practice in end-of-life care for patients with COPD. *Palliat Med*. 2008;22(7):855-8.
9. Kristjanson LJ, Aoun S. Palliative Care for Families: Remembering the Hidden Patients. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2004;49(6):359-65.
10. Seamark DA, Blake SD, Seamark CJ, et al. Living with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): perceptions of patients and their carers: An interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Palliat Med*. 2004;18(7):619-25.
11. Pinto RA, Holanda MA, Medeiros MMC, et al. Assessment of the burden of caregiving for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respr Med*. 2007;101(11):2402-8.
12. Miravittles M, Peña-Longobardo LM, Oliva-Moreno J, et al. Caregivers' burden in patients with COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2015;10:347-56.
13. Cruz J, Marques A, Figueiredo D. Impacts of COPD on family carers and supportive interventions: a narrative review. *Health Soc Care Community*. 2017;25(1):11-25.
14. RN AS, Hasson F, Waldron M, et al. Active carers: living with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Palliat Nurs*. 2008;14(8):368-72.
15. Ewing G, Grande G. Development of a Carer Support Needs Assessment Tool (CSNAT) for end-of-life care practice at home: A qualitative study. *Palliat Med*. 2012;27(3):244-56.
16. Ewing G, Grande G. Providing comprehensive, person-centred assessment and support for family carers towards the end of life. 2018. [Available from: https://www.hospiceuk.org/docs/default-source/What-We-Offer/Care-Support-Programmes/Research/carers-report---10-recommendations-for-achieving-organisational-change_final.pdf?sfvrsn=0 Accessed Aug 2019]
17. Caress A-L, Luker KA, Chalmers KI, et al. A review of the information and support needs of family carers of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Clin Nurs*. 2009;18(4):479-91.
18. Department of Health and Social Care. Recognised, valued and supported: Next steps for the Carers Strategy. 2010. [Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/recognised-valued-and-supported-next-steps-for-the-carers-strategy> Accessed Aug 2019]
19. Farquhar M. Assessing carer needs in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Chron Respir Dis*. 2017;15(1):26-35.

20. Murray SA, Kendall M, Boyd K, et al. Illness trajectories and palliative care. *BMJ*. 2005;330(7498):1007.
21. Tashakkori A, Teddlie C. *Mixed Methodology: Combining Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 2008.
22. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6(7):5p
23. Carers UK. Missing out: the identification challenge [Available from: <https://www.carersuk.org/for-professionals/policy/policy-library/missing-out-the-identification-challenge> Accessed Aug 2019]
24. Bradshaw J. The taxonomy of social need. In: McLachlan G, ed. *Problems and Progress In Medical Care*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1972.
25. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. CASP Qualitative Checklist [Available from: <https://casp-uk.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/CASP-Qualitative-Checklist.pdf> Accessed Aug 2019]
26. Downes MJ, Brennan ML, Williams HC, et al. Development of a critical appraisal tool to assess the quality of cross-sectional studies (AXIS). *BMJ Open*. 2016;6(12):e011458.
27. La Torre G, Backhaus I, Mannocci A. Rating for narrative reviews: concept and development of the International Narrative Systematic Assessment tool. *Sense Sci*. 2015;2(1):5.
28. Booth S, Silvester S, Todd C. Breathlessness in cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Using a qualitative approach to describe the experience of patients and carers. *Palliat Support Care*. 2003;1(4):337-44.
29. Farquhar M, Penfold C, Benson J, et al. Six key topics informal carers of patients with breathlessness in advanced disease want to learn about and why: MRC phase I study to inform an educational intervention. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(5).
30. Hasson F, Spence A, Waldron M, et al. Experiences and Needs of Bereaved Carers during Palliative and End-of-Life Care for People with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. *J Palliat Care*. 2009;25(3):157-63.
31. Bergs D. 'The Hidden Client'— women caring for husbands with COPD: their experience of quality of life. *J Clin Nurs*. 2002;11(5):613-21.
32. Figueiredo D, Jácome C, Gabriel R, et al. Family care in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: what happens when the carer is a man? *Scand J Caring Sci*. 2016;30(4):721-30.
33. Gabriel R, Figueiredo D, Jácome C, et al. Day-to-day living with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Towards a family-based approach to the illness impacts. *Psychol Health*. 2014;29(8):967-83.
34. Bove DG, Zakrisson A-B, Midtgaard J, et al. Undefined and unpredictable responsibility: a focus group study of the experiences of informal caregiver spouses of patients with severe COPD. *J Clin Nurs*. 2016;25(3-4):483-93.
35. Takata S, Washio M, Moriwaki A, et al. Burden among caregivers of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with long-term oxygen therapy. *Int Med J*. 2008;15:53-7.
36. Nakken N, Janssen DJA, van den Bogaart EHA, et al. Informal caregivers of patients with COPD: Home Sweet Home? *Eur Respir Rev*. 2015;24(137):498.
37. Ek K, Ternstedt B-M, Andershed B, et al. Shifting Life Rhythms: Couples' Stories about Living Together When One Spouse Has Advanced Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. *J Palliat Care* . 2011. 189-97 p.
38. Lindqvist G, Albin B, Heikkilä K, et al. Conceptions of daily life in women living with a man suffering from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Prim Health Care Res Dev*. 2012;14:40-51.
39. Lindqvist G, Heikkilä K, Albin B, et al. Conceptions of daily life in men living with a woman suffering from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Prim Health Care Res Dev*. 2012;14(2):140-50.
40. Philip J, Gold M, Brand C, et al. Facilitating Change and Adaptation: The Experiences of Current and Bereaved Carers of Patients with Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. *J Palliat Med*. 2014;17(4):421-7.

41. Currow DC, Farquhar M, Ward AM, et al. Caregivers' perceived adequacy of support in end-stage lung disease: results of a population survey. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2011;11:55.
42. Currow DC, Ward A, Clark K, et al. Caregivers for people with end-stage lung disease: characteristics and unmet needs in the whole population. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2008;3(4):753-62.
43. Woolfe P, McMillan M, Conway J. The needs of caregivers of people with COPD: A study. *Aust J Prim Health*. 2007;13:28-35.
44. Hynes G, Stokes A, McCarron M. Informal care-giving in advanced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: lay knowledge and experience. *J Clin Nurs*. 2012;21(7-8):1068-77.
45. Simpson AC, Young J, Donahue M, et al. A day at a time: caregiving on the edge in advanced COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2010;5:141-51.
46. Ross E, Graydon JE. The Impact on the Wife of Caring for a Physically Ill Spouse. *J Women Aging*. 1997;9(4):23-35.
47. Gautun H, Werner A, LurÅs H. Care challenges for informal caregivers of chronically ill lung patients: Results from a questionnaire survey. *Scand J Public Health*. 2011;40(1):18-24.
48. Lippiett KA, Richardson A, Myall M, et al. Patients and informal caregivers' experiences of burden of treatment in lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative research. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(2):e020515.
49. Goldman RE, Mennillo L, Stebbins P, et al. How do patients conceptualize chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? *Chron Respir Dis*. 2016;14(3):245-55.
50. Rowlands G, Protheroe J, Winkley J, et al. A mismatch between population health literacy and the complexity of health information: an observational study. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2015;65(635):e379.
51. Swetenham K, Tieman J, Currow D. Do patients and carers find separate palliative care clinic consultations acceptable? A pilot study. *Int J Palliat Nurs*. 2014;20(6):301-5.
52. Cleland S. Alone and caring: Isolation, loneliness and the impact of caring on relationships Carers UK. 2015 [Available from: <https://www.carersuk.org/for-professionals/policy/policy-library/alone-caring>. Accessed Aug 2019]
53. Leigh-Hunt N, Bagguley D, Bash K, et al. An overview of systematic reviews on the public health consequences of social isolation and loneliness. *Public Health*. 2017;152:157-71.
54. Santini ZI, Koyanagi A, Tyrovolas S, et al. The association between social relationships and depression: A systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2015;175:53-65.
55. Al-Janabi H, McLoughlin C, Oyeboode J, et al. Six mechanisms behind carer wellbeing effects: A qualitative study of healthcare delivery. *Soc Sci Med*. 2019;235:112382.
56. Ewing G, Croke S, Rowland C, et al. 14 Enabling tailored and coordinated support for family carers of people with motor neurone disease through adaptation of a carer support needs assessment tool (CSNAT) intervention. *BMJ Support Palliat Care*. 2018;8(3):365.
57. Paez A. Gray literature: An important resource in systematic reviews. *J Evid Based Healthc*. 2017;10(3):233-40.
58. Eurocarers. The Gender Dimension of Informal Care. 2017 [Available from: <https://eurocarers.org/userfiles/files/The%20gender%20dimension%20of%20informal%20care.pdf> Accessed Sep 2019]
59. Aldrige H, Hughes C. Informal carers & poverty in the UK: An analysis of the Family Resources Survey: New Policy Institute; 2016 [30p]. [Available from: https://www.npi.org.uk/files/2114/6411/1359/Carers_and_poverty_in_the_UK_-_full_report.pdf Accessed Sep 2019]
60. Slack K, Fraser M. Husband, Partner, Dad, Son, Carer? A survey of the experiences and needs of male carers: Carers Trust and the Men's Health Forum; 2014 [Available from: https://carers.org/sites/default/files/male_carers_research.pdf. Accessed Aug 2019]
61. Farquhar M, Phillips J. Building the evidence-base for palliative care nursing: overcoming challenges in research, knowledge transfer and implementation. In: Johnston B, Preston N, Walshe

C, editors. Palliative Nursing; Principles and Evidence for Practice. 3rd ed: Open University Press; 2018.

62. Gul RB, Ali PA. Clinical trials: the challenge of recruitment and retention of participants. *J Clin Nurs*. 2010;19(1-2):227-33.

63. Patel MX, Doku V, Tennakoon L. Challenges in recruitment of research participants. *Adv Psychiatr Treat*. 2018;9(3):229-38.

Legends

Figure 1: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow chart for article selection.