## Stereoselective Synthesis of Sialylated Tumor-Associated Glycosylamino Acids

## Leo Corcilius and Richard J. Payne\*

School of Chemistry, The University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia richard.payne@sydney.edu.au

Received Date (will be automatically inserted after manuscript is accepted)

## ABSTRACT



Suitably protected sialyl T<sub>N</sub> and 2,6-sialyl T tumor-associated carbohydrate antigen-derived amino acids have been prepared stereoselectively using an oxazolidinone-derived sialoside donor. These glycosylamino acids can be employed directly in the solid-phase synthesis of glycopeptides, as demonstrated by the efficient preparation of tumor-associated MUC1 glycopeptide fragments.

Aberrant glycosylation of cell surface glycoproteins is a common feature on numerous tumor cell types.<sup>1</sup> These often truncated carbohydrate structures, called tumorassociated carbohydrate antigens (TACAs), are a result of the downregulation of glycosyltransferase enzymes which with concomitant over-expression occurs of sialyltransferases upon tumor progression (Figure 1).<sup>1-2</sup> Four common TACAs include N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) and Gal- $\beta$ -1,3-GalNAc, commonly termed the  $T_N$  and T antigens, as well as the sialylated antigens, sialyl T<sub>N</sub> (ST<sub>N</sub>) and 2,6-sialyl T (ST).<sup>1,3</sup> An example of a protein that is highly over-expresssed on epithelial tumor cells and bears these TACAs is the mucin glycoprotein MUC1. Specifically, the extracellular domain of MUC1 displays numerous copies of these truncated glycans on serine and threonine residues within a 20 amino acid repeat called the variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) region.<sup>2, 4</sup> This leads to the exposure of peptide epitopes which can be targeted by the immune system, a property

that has been exploited in the development of synthetic glycopeptide cancer vaccines.<sup>3-4</sup>

One strategy for the synthesis of vaccine candidates (and other glycopeptides) involves the preparation of suitably protected glycosylamino acid cassettes followed by incorporation into targets through Fmoc-strategy solid-



**Figure 1.** A) structures of TACAs and B) the primary sequence of the VNTR of MUC1 (\*indicates glycosylation sites).

phase peptide synthesis (Fmoc-SPPS).<sup>5</sup> Despite the enormous interest and activity in the areas of glycosylamino acid synthesis<sup>3a</sup> and sialylated cancer vaccines,<sup>3</sup> as well as the wealth of literature available on sialylation chemistry, there remains only a small number of methods for accessing sialyl  $T_N$  and sialyl T-bearing glycosylamino acids for incorporation into SPPS.<sup>3a, 5a</sup> This is due, in major part, to difficulties both in accessing a single anomer as well as competing 2,3-elimination reactions on the sialyl donor during activation.<sup>6</sup>

A common method for the construction of sialylated glycosylamino acids makes use of a stereodirecting nitrile solvent to promote  $\alpha$ -selectivity with a range of sialyl donors.<sup>7</sup> However, in most cases these reactions do not exclusively afford the  $\alpha$ -anomer. A number of chemoenzymatic methods employing sialyltransferases have also been utilized in glycosylamino acid and glycopeptide assembly<sup>8</sup> but these often prove prohibitively expensive to scale up. An alternative strategy for the induction of complete  $\alpha$ -selectivity in sialvlation reactions involves the use of directing auxiliaries within the sialyl donor.<sup>9</sup> A recently employed class of auxiliaries are the unsubstituted and N-acylated 5N,4O oxazolidinone moieties<sup>10</sup> which have provided  $\alpha$ sialosides exclusively in the Neu5Ac- $\alpha(2,6)$ -GalNAc linkage found in TACAs.<sup>11,10i</sup> Herein, we report the first use of an oxazolidinone directing auxiliary in the stereoselective synthesis of glycosylamino acids bearing

the  $ST_N$  and ST antigens, as well as their rapid and efficient incorporation into complex glycopeptides.

Synthesis of suitably protected ST<sub>N</sub>-derived amino acid building blocks began with 3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-2-azido-2deoxy-D-galactose  $\alpha$ -linked to Fmoc-protected Ser (1) and Thr (2) which were prepared in four linear steps from Dgalactosamine, as described previously (Scheme 1).<sup>12</sup> The glycosylamino acids were first deacetylated under Zemplén conditions before regioselective 6-silylprotection *via* treatment with *tert*-butyldiphenvlsilvl chloride (TBDPS-Cl) and imidazole to form 3 and 4. Isopropylidene protection of the 3- and 4-hydroxyl groups, followed by buffered fluoridolysis of the silvl ether, afforded acceptors 5 and 6 in good yield over the two steps. Gratifyingly, glycosylation of both acceptors with a stoichiometric quantity of N-Acetyl-5N,4Ocarbonyl protected phenylthiosialoside  $7^{10b}$  under Niodosuccinimide/triflic acid (NIS/TfOH) promotion conditions at -38 °C furnished the sialylated glycosyl amino acids 8 and 9 in 94% and 80% yield, respectively, with only the  $\alpha$ -sialoside produced in both cases. The  $\alpha$ stereochemistry was confirmed through extraction of the  ${}^{3}J_{\rm C1.H3ax}$ coupling constants (see Supporting Information).<sup>13</sup> It is important to note that only 0.4 equivalents of TfOH was employed, so as to prevent acidolysis of the isopropylidene. Rather than removing the oxazolidinone auxillary at this stage, we anticipated that it could be removed following solid-phase assembly of the glycopeptides. Accordingly, hydrolysis of the



Scheme 1. Synthesis of sialyl  $T_N$  and 2,6-sialyl T-derived Ser and Thr. Conditions: a) (i) NaOMe, MeOH, pH 8.0-8.5, rt, 5h, (ii) TBDPS-Cl, imidazole, DMF, 0 °C to rt, 18 h; b) 2,2-dimethoxypropane, *p*-TsOH, rt, 24 h; c) TBAF, AcOH, THF, rt, 18 h; d) 1:1 5:7 or 6:7, 4Å MS, NIS, TfOH, DCM, -38 °C, 1.5 h; e) (i) AcOH:H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1 v/v, 40 °C, 16 h, (ii) AcOH:Ac<sub>2</sub>O:THF 1:6:5 v/v/v, nanoparticle Zn, 0 °C to rt, 18 h, (iii) Ac<sub>2</sub>O:Pyr 1:9 v/v, DMAP, rt, 18 h; f) TFA:*i*Pr<sub>3</sub>SiH:H<sub>2</sub>O 18:1:1 v/v/v, rt, 1 h; g) (i) NaOMe, MeOH, pH 8.0-8.5, rt, 5h, (ii) benzaldehyde dimethylacetal, TsOH, DMF, rt, 18 h; h) 1:2 14:16 or 15:16, 4Å MS, TMSOTf, 1,2-dichloroethane, -20 °C, 1 h; i) AcOH:H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1 v/v, 40 °C, 35 h; j) 1:1 7:19 or 7:20, 4Å MS, NIS, TfOH, DCM, -38 °C, 1.5 h; k) (i) nanoparticle Zn, AcOH:Ac<sub>2</sub>O:THF 1:6:5 v/v/v, 0 °C to 40 °C, 18 h, (ii) Ac<sub>2</sub>O:pyridine 1:4 v/v, eat. DMAP, rt, 18 h]) TFA:*i*Pr<sub>3</sub>SiH:H<sub>2</sub>O 18:1:1 v/v/v, rt, 1 h.

isopropylidene acetals of **8** and **9** with aqueous AcOH, followed by reductive acetylation of the C2-azido moiety with nanoparticle Zn, Ac<sub>2</sub>O and AcOH, and acetylation of the free hydroxyl groups at the 3- and 4-positions with Ac<sub>2</sub>O, pyridine and DMAP, gave acetamides **10** and **11** in 87% and 81% yield over 3 steps. Finally, acidolysis of the *tert*-butyl ester with TFA, triisopropylsilane and water, provided the peracetyated ST<sub>N</sub>-derived Ser and Thr cassettes **12** and **13** in good overall yields.

Next, we turned our attention to the synthesis of 2.6-ST Ser and Thr cassettes 20 and 21. Treatment of triols 1 and 2 with benzaldehyde dimethylacetal/TsOH provided benzylidene acetals 14 and 15. Schmidt glycosylation between acceptors 14 and 15 and tetraacetyl-D-galactose trichloroacetimidate 16 afforded T-antigen core structures 17 and 18 in good yield with complete  $\beta$ -selectivity due to the neighbouring group effect. The benzylidene acetal was next removed by hydrolysis with aqueous AcOH to provide diols 19 and 20. Regioseletive glycosylation of the 6-hydroxyl of acceptors 19 and 20 with a stoichiometric quantity of donor 7 under NIS/TfOH promotion conditions afforded the corresponding 2,6-ST core structures 21 and 22 in 81% and 80% yield, respectively. Small quantities of the doubly sialylated tetrasaccharides were detected by LC-MS (<5%), however, these could be easily separated from the desired products by flash chromatography. The stereochemistry and regiochemistry of the ST glycosylamino acids 21 and 22 were confirmed by NMR spectroscopic analysis (see Supporting Information). From here, reductive acetylation of the C2-azide was achieved by treatment with nanoparticle Zn in a mixture of AcOH and Ac<sub>2</sub>O at elevated temperature (40 °C). The crude N-acetylated glycosylamino acids were subsequently treated with

Ac<sub>2</sub>O, pyridine and DMAP to acetylate the remaining 4hydroxyl group to provide **23** and **24** in 72% and 73% yield, respectively. Finally, acidolytic cleavage of the *tert*-butyl ester with TFA/*i*Pr<sub>3</sub>SiH/H<sub>2</sub>O gave the target 2,6-ST amino acids **25** and **26** in excellent yields.

Having synthesized the requisite glycosyl-Ser and glycosyl-Thr building blocks we were next interested in demonstrating their utility in the synthesis of glycopeptides via direct incorporation into Fmoc-SPPS protocols. Specifically, we targeted four tumor-associated MUC1 glycopeptides 27-30 which were chosen with a view to incorporation into synthetic cancer vaccine candidates in future work. Glycopeptides 27 and 28 possessed a ST<sub>N</sub>- or ST-derived Ser moiety, respectively, within the amino acid sequence GSTAPPAHGVT which embodies the immunostimulatory GSTA epitope of MUC1.<sup>14</sup> In contrast, glycopeptide targets 29 and 30 corresponded to an entire copy of the MUC1 VNTR region (SAPDTRPAPGSTAPPAHGVT) with a key Thr residue within the PDTRP immunodominant epitope<sup>14b, c,</sup>  $^{15}$  derivatized with a  $\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{N}}$  (29) or ST (30) moiety. Synthesis of 27 and 28 began from pre-loaded 2chlorotrityl chloride resin 31 which was elongated via Fmoc-SPPS to provide resin bound nonapeptide 32. From here glycosyl-Ser building blocks 12 or 25, bearing either the  $ST_N$  or ST antigen respectively were coupled to the resin bound peptide. It is worth noting note that due to the propensity of glycosyl-Ser residues to epimerize upon coupling, a slight excess of the precious glycosylamino acid (1.2 eq.) was used in the presence of 2-(1H-7azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyl uronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU, 1.2 eq.), sym-collidine (1.2 eq.) and 1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole (HOAt, 1.5 eq.).<sup>16</sup> Pleasingly, these conditions almost completely prevented



**Scheme 2.** Solid-phase assembly of ST<sub>N</sub>- and ST-derived MUC1 glycopeptides.Conditions: a) Fmoc-SPPS; (i) *coupling:* 4 eq. Fmoc-AA-OH, 4 eq. PyBOP, 8 eq. NMM, 45 min, rt, ii) *capping:* Ac<sub>2</sub>O:pyridine 1:9 v/v, 3 min, rt, iii) *Fmoc-deprotection:* piperidine:DMF 1:9 v/v, 2 x 3 min, rt; b) *glycosylamino acid coupling:* 1.2 eq. **12** or **25**, 1.2 eq. HATU, 1.2 eq. *sym*-collidine, 1.5 eq. HOAt, rt, 12 h or 1.2 eq. **13** or **26**, 1.2 eq. HATU, 2.4 eq. *i*Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt, 1.5 eq. HOAt, rt, 12 h; c) DTT:DBU:DMF 1:2:97 w/v/v, 1 mL per 25 µmol of glycopeptide, 3x 5 min; d) *Cleavage:* TFA:*i*Pr<sub>3</sub>SiH:H<sub>2</sub>O 18:1:1 v/v/v, 2 h, rt; e) NaOMe, MeOH, pH 10, 1 h, rt; f) NaOH, MeOH:H<sub>2</sub>O 2:1 v/v, pH 11.5, 12 h, rt.

epimerization (<5% detected by LC-MS) and facilitated quantitative coupling to afford resin bound 33 and 34. Upon N-terminal Fmoc-deprotection we discovered that the oxazolidinone moiety was susceptible to nucleophilic attack with piperidine, generating resin-bound N-acetyl piperidyl urea and piperidyl urea by-products (see Supporting Information). As such, we set out to identify improved conditions for the en bloc removal of the oxazolidinone moiety prior to further elongation of the glycopeptide chain. The optimized conditions involved treating the resin bound peptides 33 and 34 with DTT and DBU in DMF which led to clean opening of the oxazolidinone and concomitant Fmoc-deprotection (see Supporting Information). The resulting peptide was subsequently elongated by a further glycine residue to provide 35 and 36. Having prepared the desired resin bound target, the glycopeptides were deprotected and cleaved from the resin by treating with an acidic cocktail. The crude glycopeptides were next subjected to Zemplén deacetylation conditions followed by saponification of the remaining methyl ester on the sialic acid moiety. Gratifyingly, following purification by reverse-phase HPLC, the desired MUC1 glycopeptides 27 and 28 were isolated in excellent yields (59% and 41%, respectively) based on the original resin loading. Having successfully demonstrated the utility of the sialylated glycosyl-Ser residues in the solid-phase synthesis of glycopeptides, we next turned our attention to the synthesis of MUC1 VNTR glycopeptides 29 and 30 bearing a Thr-derived ST<sub>N</sub> and ST antigen, respectively. Synthesis of resin bound peptide 37 was achieved by Fmoc-SPPS. Coupling of sialylated glycosyl-Thr residues 13 and 26 was carried out using slightly modified conditions employed for 12 and 25 (HATU, HOAt, N,N-diisopropylethylamine in DMF) to afford resin bound glycopeptides 38 and 39, respectively. The oxazolidinone and N-terminal Fmoc group of 38 and 39 were removed using the optimized DTT and DBU deprotection conditions before the peptide chain was elongated by a further four amino acids by Fmoc-SPPS to provide resin bound eicosaglycopeptides 40 and 41. Acidolytic side chain deprotection and cleavage of these peptides from the resin, followed by deacetylation of the carbohydrate units and saponification of the C-1 methyl ester, provided the crude glycopeptides. Following purification by reverse-phase HPLC the MUC1 VNTR glycopeptides 29 and 30 were isolated in 38% and 25% yields respectively, based on the original resin loading.

In summary, we have successfully developed high yielding and stereoselective routes to suitably protected Ser and Thr residues bearing the  $ST_N$  and ST antigens. These glycosylamino acid cassettes containing the  $\alpha$ -directing *N*-acyl oxazolidinone group could be utilized directly in Fmoc-SPPS of glycopeptides as highlighted by the synthesis of four MUC1 tumor-associated targets. Future work in our laboratories will focus on the use of this synthetic methodology to assemble a range of glycopeptides for incorporation into cancer vaccine candidates.

Acknowledgment The authors would like to acknowledge the Australian Research Council for Discovery Project funding (DP120100194). We would also like to acknowledge a John A. Lamberton Scholarship and the Bruce Veness Chandler Research Scholarship for PhD funding (LC).

**Supporting Information Available** Full characterization of all novel compounds, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra and analytical HPLC chromatograms.

Dube, D. H.; Bertozzi, C. R., *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2005, *4*, 477.
 Taylor-Papadimitriou, J.; Burchell, J.; Miles, D. W.; Dalziel, M., *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis.* 1999, *1455*, 301.

S. (a) Brocke, C.; Kunz, H., Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2002, 10, 3085; (b) Davis, B. G., Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 579; (c) Payne, R. J.; Wong, C. H., Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 21; (d) Sames, D.; Chen, X. T.; Danishefsky, S., J. Nature 1997, 389, 587.

6. (a) Boons, G. J.; Demchenko, A. V., *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 4539; (b) Ress, D. K.; Linhardt, R. J., *Curr. Org. Synth.* **2004**, *1*, 31; (c) Ando, H.; Imamura, A., *Trends Glycosci, Glyc*, **2004**, *16*, 293-303.

7. (a) Iijima, H.; Ogawa, T., Carbohydr. Res. 1988, 172, 183; (b) Liebe,
B.; Kunz, H., Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 8777; (c) Elofsson, M.;
Kihlberg, J., Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 7499; (d) Dziadek, S.;
Griesinger, C.; Kunz, H.; Reinscheid, U. M., Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 4981; (e) Qiu, D.; Gandhi, S. S.; Koganty, R. R., Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 595; (f) Qiu, D.; Koganty, R. R., Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 595; (f) Qiu, D.; Koganty, R. R., Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 595; (f) Qiu, D.; Koganty, R. R., Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 595; (f) Qiu, D.; Koganty, R. R., Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 961; (g) Schwarz, J. B.; Kuduk, S. D.; Chen, X.-T.; Sames, D.; Glunz, P. W.; Danishefsky, S. J., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2662-2673; (h) Winterfeld, G. A.; Schmidt, R. R., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2654.
8. (a) Suzuki, K.; Matsuo, I.; Isomura, M.; Ajisaka, K., J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2002, 21, 99; (b) George, S. K.; Schwientek, T.; Holm, B.; Reis, C. A.; Clausen, H.; Kihlberg, J., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11117; (c) Blixt, O.; Allin, K.; Pereira, L.; Datta, A.; Paulson, J. C., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5739.

9. (a) Okamoto, R.; Souma, S.; Kajihara, Y., *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 3460; (b) Nakahara, Y.; Iijima, H.; Shibayama, S.; Ogawa, T., *Carbohydr. Res.* **1992**, *216*, 211.

 (a) Tanaka, H.; Nishiura, Y.; Takahashi, T., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7124; (b) Crich, D.; Li, W., J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 2387; (c) Farris, M. D.; De Meo, C., Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 1225; (d) Tanaka, H.; Nishiura, Y.; Takahashi, T., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17244;; (e) Crich, D.; Wu, B., Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4033; (f) Kancharla, P. K.; Navuluri, C.; Crich, D., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11105; (g) Noel, A.; Delpech, B.; Crich, D., Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4138; (h) Liao, H.-Y.; Hsu, C.-H.; Wang, S.-C.; Liang, C.-H.; Yen, H.-Y.; Su, C.-Y.; Chen, C.-H.; Jan, J.-T.; Ren, C.-T.; Chen, C.-H.; Cheng, T.-J. R.; Wu, C.-Y.; Wong, C.-H., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14849; (i) Hsu, C.-H.; Chu, K.-C.; Lin, Y.-S.; Han, J.-L.; Peng, Y.-S.; Ren, C.-T.; Wu, C.-Y.; Wong, C.-H., Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 1754; (j) Chu, K.-C.; Ren, C.-T.; Lu, C.-P.; Hsu, C.-H.; Sun, T.-H.; Han, J.-L.; Pal, B.; Chao, T.-A.; Lin, Y.-F.; Wu, S.-H.; Wong, C.-H.; Wu, C.-Y., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9391.

11. Sahabuddin, S.; Chang, T.-C.; Lin, C.-C.; Jan, F.-D.; Hsiao, H.-Y.; Huang, K.-T.; Chen, J.-H.; Horng, J.-C.; Ho, J. A.; Lin, C.-C., *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 7510.

12. Wu, Z.; Guo, X.; Guo, Z., Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 5773.

13. (a) Haverkamp, J.; Spoormaker, T.; Dorland, L.; Vliegenthart, J. F. G.; Schauer, R., J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1979**, 101, 4851; (b) Hori, H.; Nakajima, T.; Nishida, Y.; Ohrui, H.; Meguro, H., Tetrahedron Lett. **1988**, 29, 6317; (c) Prytulla, S.; Lauterwein, J.; Klessinger, M.; Thiem, J., Carbohydr. Res. **1991**, 215, 345.

14. (a) Tarp, M. A.; Sørensen, A. L.; Mandel, U.; Paulsen, H.; Burchell, J.; Taylor-Papadimitriou, J.; Clausen, H., *Glycobiology* 2007, *17*, 197;
(b) Westerlind, U.; Schröder, H.; Hobel, A.; Gaidzik, N.; Kaiser, A.; Niemeyer, C. M.; Schmitt, E.; Waldmann, H.; Kunz, H., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, *48*, 8263.

15. Burchell, J.; Taylor-Papadimitriou, J.; Boshell, M.; Gendler, S.; Duhig, T., Int. J. Cancer **1989**, *44*, 691.

16. (a) Zhang, Y.; Muthana, S. M.; Farnsworth, D.; Ludek, O.; Adams, K.; Barchi, J. J.; Gildersleeve, J. C., Enhanced Epimerization of Glycosylated Amino Acids During Solid-Phase Peptide Synthesis. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2012**, *134* (14), 6316-6325; (b) Zhang, Y. L.; Muthana, S. M.; Barchi, J. J.; Gildersleeve, J. C., Org. Lett. **2012**, *14*, 3958-3961.

Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis. 1999, 1453, 501.
 (a) Gaidzik, N.; Westerlind, U.; Kunz, H., Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 4421; (b) Wilson, R. M.; Danishefsky, S. J., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, DOI:10.1021/ja405932r.

<sup>4.</sup> Tarp, M. A.; Clausen, H., *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2008**, *1780*, 546.