



Title	Global bifurcation structure of a limiting system to the SKT competition model with cross-diffusion (Qualitative Theory on ODEs and their applications to Mathematical Modeling)
Author(s)	Yotsutani, Shoji
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 = RIMS Kokyuroku (2019), 2122: 45-55
Issue Date	2019-07
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/252177
Right	
Туре	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

Global bifurcation structure of a limiting system to the SKT competition model with cross-diffusion *

Shoji Yotsutani[†]

Department of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Ryukoku University Seta, Otsu, 520-2194, Japan

1 Introduction

This is a joint work with Yuan Lou (The Ohio State University), Wei-Ming Ni (The Chinese University of Hong Kong and University of Minnesota), Tatsuki Mori (Osaka University), and Shota Yamakawa (Ryukoku University).

We have been interested in the cross-diffusion system

$$(P) \begin{cases} u_t = \Delta[(d_1 + \alpha_{11}u + \alpha_{12}v)u] + u(a_1 - b_1u - c_1v) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), (1.1) \\ v_t = \Delta[(d_2 + \alpha_{21}u + \alpha_{22}v)v] + v(a_2 - b_2u - c_2v) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), (1.2) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty), (1.3) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \ge 0, v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \Omega, (1.4) \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, ν is the outward unit normal vector on $\partial\Omega$.

This mathematical model was proposed by Shigesada, Kawasaki and Teramoto [8] in 1979 to investigate segregation phenomena of two competing species with each other in the same habitat area. Here, u = u(x, t) and v = v(x, t) represent the densities of two competing species, d_1 and d_2 are their diffusion coefficients, a_1 and a_2 denote the intrinsic growth rates of these two species, b_1 and c_2 account

^{*}S. Yotsutani was supported by Grant-in-Aid. for Scientific Research (C) 15K04972. This work was supported by the Joint Research Center for Science and Technology of Ryukoku University in 2018.

 $^{^{\}dagger}\text{E-mail}$ addresses: shoji@math.ryukoku.ac.jp

for intra-specific competitions while b_2 and c_1 account for inter-specific competitions. The constants α_{11} and α_{22} represent intra-specific population pressures, also known as self-diffusion rates, and α_{12} and α_{21} are the coefficients of inter-specific population pressures, also known as cross-diffusion rates.

The effect of cross-diffusion affects the population pressure between two different kinds. It is an interesting problem to see whether this effect may give rise to a spatial segregation or not, and clarify its mechanism.

We should remark that it is well known that the important quantities involving the constants a_i, b_i, c_i (i = 1, 2) are only

$$A := \frac{a_1}{a_2}, \quad B := \frac{b_1}{b_2}, \quad C := \frac{c_1}{c_2}.$$
 (1.5)

It seems natural to consider the following two cases separately: the "strong competition" case B < C and the "weak competition" case C < B. The behavior of solution in case B < C is very different from C > B.

We refer to [7] and [8] for further details of this model.

A lot of research works are done by the singular perturbation method, which started from a theoretical research by Mimura [5]. Kan-on [1] obtained some criteria on the stability of those non-constant solutions of (P). However, it is not easy to clarify the global structure of stationary solutions and stability of stationary solutions.

Lou and Ni [2], [3] started to investigate N-dimensional case and general diffusion coefficients. To investigate the cross-diffusion effects, let us put $\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{21} = \alpha_{22} = 0$ and $r := \alpha_{12}/d_1$. We have

$$\int u_t = d_1 \Delta [(1+rv)u] + u(a_1 - b_1 u - c_1 v) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0,\infty), \quad (1.6)$$

$$(\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{N}}) \begin{cases} v_t = d_2 \Delta v + v(a_2 - b_2 u - c_2 v) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.7) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.8) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial \nu & \partial \nu \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) \ge 0, \ v(x,0) = v_0(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.9)

where u = u(x,t) and v = v(x,t). Then, the stationary problem of (TP_r^N) is

$$\int d_1 \Delta[(1+rv)u] + u(a_1 - b_1 u - c_1 v) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.10}$$

$$\int_{U_1} d_2 \Delta v + v(a_2 - b_2 u - c_2 v) = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad (1.11)$$

$$(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{N}}) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 \\ 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.12)

$$\bigcup_{u \ge 0, v \ge 0} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.13)$$

where u = u(x) and v = v(x).

They obtained limiting systems as $r \to \infty$ for (TP_r^N) and (S_r^N) . One of limiting systems as $r \to \infty$ are as follows. The time-dependent limiting system is

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{\Omega}\frac{\tau}{v}dx = \int_{\Omega}\frac{\tau}{v}\left(a_1 - b_1\frac{\tau}{v} - c_1v\right)dx \quad \text{in } (0,\infty),$$
(1.14)

$$(\mathrm{TP}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{N}}) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v + v(a_2 - c_2 v) - b_2 \tau & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \end{cases}$$
(1.15)

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.16) \\
v(0, t) = v_0(x) > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.17)
\end{cases}$$

where
$$v = v(x,t)$$
 and $\tau = \tau(t)$ are unknown positive functions, and $\tau(t)/v(x,t)$ corresponds to $u(x,t)$. The stationary limiting system is

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\tau}{v} \left(a_1 - b_1 \frac{\tau}{v} - c_1 v \right) dx = 0, \qquad (1.18)$$

$$(\mathbf{S}_{\infty}^{\mathbf{N}}) \begin{cases} d_2 \Delta v + v(a_2 - c_2 v) - b_2 \tau = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial v \end{cases}$$
(1.19)

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \qquad (1.20)$$

$$\mathbf{l} \ v(x) > 0, \qquad \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad (1.21)$$

where v = v(x) is an unknown positive function, τ is an unknown positive constant.

For one-dimension $\Omega := (0, 1)$, the limiting system corresponding (TP_{∞}^{N}) and (SP_{∞}^{N}) are

$$\int \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\tau}{v} dx \right) = \int_0^1 \frac{\tau}{v} \left(a_1 - b_1 \frac{\tau}{v} - c_1 v \right) dx \text{ in}(0, 1) \times (0, \infty) (1.22)$$

$$(\mathrm{TP}^{1}_{\infty}) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_{2}v_{xx} + v(a_{2} - c_{2}v) - b_{2}\tau & \text{in } (0,1), \end{array} \right.$$
(1.23)

$$\begin{cases} v_x(0,t) = 0, & v_x(1,t) = 0, \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x) > 0, \\ \end{cases} \quad \text{in } (0,\infty), \quad (1.24) \\ \text{in } (0,1), \quad (1.25) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\tau}{v} \left(a_{1} - b_{1} \frac{\tau}{v} - c_{1} v \right) dx = 0, \qquad (1.26)$$

$$(\mathbf{S}_{\infty,\text{general}}^{1}) \begin{cases} d_2 v_{xx} + v(a_2 - c_2 v) - b_2 \tau = 0 & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ v_x(0) = 0, \quad v_x(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.27) (1.28)

$$v_x(0) = 0, \quad v_x(1) = 0,$$
 (1.28)

$$v(x) > 0$$
 in (0, 1). (1.29)

Lou, Ni and Yotsutani [4] obtained existence and non-existence of non-constant steady state solutions, the asymptotic shape of solutions, and almost clarified the structure of solutions of $(S^1_{\infty,\text{general}})$.

In what follows, we concentrate on the monotone increasing case $v_x(x) > 0$ to understand the essence of structure of $(S^1_{\infty,general})$.

Now, we introduce a (S^1_{∞}) as follows:

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\tau}{v} \left(a_{1} - b_{1} \frac{\tau}{v} - c_{1} v \right) dx = 0, \qquad (1.30)$$

$$(S_{\infty}^{1}) \begin{cases} d_{2}v_{xx} + v(a_{2} - c_{2}v) - b_{2}\tau = 0 & \text{in } (0,1), \\ v_{x}(0) = 0, \quad v_{x}(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.31) (1.32)

$$v_x(0) = 0, \quad v_x(1) = 0,$$
 (1.32)

$$v(x) > 0, v_x(x) > 0$$
 in (0,1). (1.33)

Results $\mathbf{2}$

We first explain results in [4] for (S^1_{∞}) . As for the existence and non-existence, the following theorems are obtained:

Theorem A (Existence, weak competition). Suppose that $C \leq B$.

- (i) If $B \leq A$ then there exists a solution (v, τ) of (S^1_{∞}) .
- (ii) If (B + 3C)/4 < A < B, then there exists a solution of (S^1_{∞}) . for $d_2 \in (0, \frac{2A (B+C)}{B-C} \cdot \frac{a_2}{\pi^2})$.





- (i) If $d_2 > a_2/\pi^2$, then there exists no solution of (S^1_{∞}) .
- (ii) If (B + 3C)/4 < A < B, then there exists a $d_2^* = d_2^*(A, B, C, a_2) > 0$ such that there exists no solution of (S^1_{∞}) for $d_2 \in (d_2^*, a_2/\pi^2)$.
- (iii) If $A \leq (B+3C)/4$, there exists no solution of (S^1_{∞}) .

Figure 1 shows the existence and non-existence region of solutions of (S_{∞}^1) in the case $C \leq B$ assured by theorems A and B. Here, horizontal axis is A, vertical axis is d_2 . For the case d_2 sufficiently close to 0 and (B+3C)/4 < A < (B+C)/2, existence and non-existence of solutions of (S_{∞}^1) are not clear.

Figure 2 shows the existence and non-existence region of solutions of (S^1_{∞}) in the case B < C assured by theorems C and D. For the case $0 < d_2 < ((B + C - 2A)/(C - B)) \cdot (a_2/\pi^2)$ and B < A < (B + C)/2, existence and non-existence of solutions of (S^1_{∞}) also are not clear.

Theorem C (Existence, strong competition). Suppose that B < C. If

$$\max\left\{0, \frac{B+C-2A}{C-B} \cdot \frac{a_2}{\pi^2}\right\} < d_2 < \frac{a_2}{\pi^2},\tag{2.1}$$

then there exists a solution (v, τ) of (S^1_{∞}) .



Figure 2: Existence and non-existence of solutions of (S^1_{∞}) for B < C.

Theorem D (Non-Existence, strong competition). Suppose that B < C.

- (i) If $d_2 > a_2/\pi^2$, then there exists no solution of (S^1_{∞}) .
- (ii) If $B \leq A < (B+C)/2$, then there exists a $d_2^* = d_2^*(A, B, C, a_2) > 0$ such that there exists no solution of (S^1_{∞}) for $d_2 \in (0, d_2^*]$.
- (iii) If A < B, there exists no solution of (S^1_{∞}) .

In [9], Lou, Ni and Yotsutani conjectured that the situation of existence, nonexistence and the uniqueness drastically changes at C = (7/3)B. For the case $B < C \leq (7/3)B$, the uniqueness seems to hold as shown in Figures 3 and 4. Recently, we have found a mathematical proof of this case.



Figure 4: Existence and non-existence of solutions of (S^1_{∞}) for $B < C \le (7/3)B$.



Figure 5: Existence and non-existence of solutions of (S^1_{∞}) for C > (7/3)B.

On the other hand, for the case C > (7/3)B, the existence region becomes wider as shown in Figure 5. In [6], Mori, Suzuki and Yotsutani have obtained precise numerical results with the stability and instability for this case

As explained above, existence, non-existence and multiplicity of solutions for the case $B \leq C$ are precisely understood. However, it is not clarified the case C < B. Therefore, we investigate this case. Figure 6 show existence, non-existence and multiplicity of non-constant solutions for (S^1_{∞}) obtained by numerical computation.



Figure 6: 0 < C < B.

3 Representation of solutions

We explain the representation of solutions of (S^1_{∞}) , since it is very efficient for investigating the solution structure of (S^1_{∞}) .

Let us introduce a notations. Jacobi's elliptic function sn(x, k) defined by

$$\operatorname{sn}^{-1}(z,k) = \int_0^z \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \xi^2} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2}}$$
(3.1)

for $-1 \leq z \leq 1$. The complete elliptic integrals of the first, second and third kind are defined by

$$K(k) := \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \xi^2} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2}}, \quad E(k) := \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \xi^2}}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2}} d\xi, \qquad (3.2)$$

and

$$\Pi(\nu,k) := \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi}{(1+\nu\xi^2)\sqrt{1-k^2\xi^2}\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}$$
(3.3)

for $0 \le k < 1$ and $-1 < \nu$, respectively.

In what follows in (S^1_{∞}) , we will concentrate on the case

$$b_1 = 1$$
 and $a_2 = b_2 = c_2 = 1.$ (3.4)

In fact, we get from (S^1_{∞}) .

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\bar{v}} \left(\frac{A}{B} - \frac{\bar{\tau}}{\bar{v}} - \frac{C}{B}\bar{v}\right) dx = 0,$$
(3.5)

$$\bar{v}_x(0) = 0, \quad \bar{v}_x(1) = 0,$$
(3.7)

$$\bar{v}(x) > 0, \quad \bar{v}_x(x) > 0 \quad \text{in } (0,1)$$
(3.8)

by employing the following change of variables

$$\bar{v} := \frac{c_2}{a_2} \cdot v, \quad \bar{\tau} := \frac{b_2 c_2}{a_2^2} \cdot \tau, \quad \bar{d}_2 := \frac{d_2}{a_2}.$$
 (3.9)

Thus, without lose of generality, we may consider the case $b_1 = 1$ and $a_2 = b_2 = c_2 = 1$.

Now, we introduce an auxiliary problem to investigate (S_{∞}^1) with $b_1 = a_2 = b_2 = c_2 = 1$. Let $d_2 > 0$ be given. Unknowns are a function v = v(x) and a constant $\tau > 0$.

$$\int d_2 v_{xx} + v(1-v) - \tau = 0 \qquad \text{in } (0,1), \qquad (3.10)$$

(E)
$$\begin{cases} v(x) > 0 & \text{in } [0,1] \text{ and } v_x(x) > 0 & \text{in } (0,1), \end{cases}$$
 (3.11)

$$v_x(0) = 0, v_x(1) = 0 \text{ and } \tau > 0.$$
 (3.12)

Exact solutions of (E) are given in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. (E) has a solution if and only if $d_2 \in (0, 1/\pi^2)$. All solutions $(v(x), \tau)$ of (E) are represented by

$$v(x; d_2, h) = \alpha + (\beta - \alpha) \operatorname{sn}^2(K(\sqrt{h})x, \sqrt{h}), \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\tau(d_2, h) = \frac{\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha}{3} = \frac{1}{4} - 4 d_2^2 (h^2 - h + 1) K(\sqrt{h})^4, \qquad (3.14)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} - 2d_2 K(\sqrt{h})^2 \left(h+1\right), \qquad (3.15)$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} + 2d_2 K(\sqrt{h})^2 \left(2h - 1\right), \qquad (3.16)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} + 2d_2 K(\sqrt{h})^2 \left(2 - h\right). \tag{3.17}$$

Here \tilde{h} is the unique solution of an equation

$$(h+1)K(\sqrt{h})^2 = \frac{1}{4d_2} \tag{3.18}$$

in h, $K(\sqrt{h})$ is the complete elliptic integral of the 1st kind, and $\operatorname{sn}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is Jacobi's elliptic function.

Now, we note that (1.30) with $b_1 = 1$ is rewritten as

$$\frac{\tau \int_0^1 \frac{1}{v^2} dx + c_1}{\int_0^1 \frac{1}{v} dx} = a_1.$$
(3.19)

Thus, let us define a function $\tilde{a}_1(h; d_2, c_1)$ by

$$\tilde{a}_1(h; d_2, c_1) := \frac{\tau \int_0^1 \frac{1}{v(x; d_2, h)^2} dx + c_1}{\int_0^1 \frac{1}{v(x; d_2, h)} dx}.$$
(3.20)

 $\tilde{a}_1(h; d_2, c_1)$ is explicitly given in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. Let $d_2 \in (0, 1/\pi^2)$, $h \in (0, \tilde{h}(d_2))$. It holds that

$$\tilde{a}_{1}(h; d_{2}, c_{1}) = \frac{\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha}{6\alpha\beta\gamma\Pi\left(\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}, \sqrt{h}\right)} \\
\cdot \left((\gamma - \alpha)\alpha E(\sqrt{h}) - \alpha\gamma K(\sqrt{h}) + (\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha)\Pi\left(\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}, \sqrt{h}\right)\right) \\
+ \frac{\alpha K(\sqrt{h})c_{1}}{\Pi\left(\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}, \sqrt{h}\right)},$$
(3.21)

where α , β and γ are defined by (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) respectively. Here, $K(\cdot)$, $E(\cdot)$ and $\Pi(\cdot, \cdot)$ are the complete elliptic integral of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd kind, respectively.

We explain the reason that the existence and non-existence regions change at $c_1 = 7/3$ (C/B = 7/3). We obtain

$$\tilde{a}_1(h; d_2, c_1) = \frac{1}{2} \left(d_2 \pi^2 (1 - c_1) + (1 + c_1) \right) + \tilde{a}_{1,2} \cdot h^2 + \cdots, \qquad (3.22)$$

by Taylor's expansion of (3.21) in h, where

$$\tilde{a}_{1,2} := \frac{3d_2\pi^2}{64(1-\pi^2 d_2)^2} \Big((35+13c_1)\pi^4 d_2^2 - 14\pi^2(c_1-1)d_2 + (c_1-1) \Big).$$
(3.23)

We check the sign of the coefficient $\tilde{a}_{1,2}$. We get $d_2 = d_+$ and d_- by solving

$$(35+13c_1)\pi^4 d_2^2 - 14\pi^2(c_1-1)d_2 + (c_1-1) = 0, \qquad (3.24)$$

where

$$d_{+} := \frac{7(c_{1}-1) + 2\sqrt{3(c_{1}-1)(3c_{1}-7)}}{\pi^{2}(35+13c_{1})}$$
(3.25)

and

$$d_{-} := \frac{7(c_1 - 1) - 2\sqrt{3(c_1 - 1)(3c_1 - 7)}}{\pi^2(35 + 13c_1)}.$$
(3.26)

Thus,

$$\tilde{a}_{1,2} < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < c_1 < 1, \qquad 0 < d_2 < d_+,$$

$$(3.27)$$

$$\tilde{a}_{1,2} \ge 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le c_1 \le 7/3, \quad 0 < d_2 < 1/\pi^2,$$
(3.28)

$$\tilde{a}_{1,2} \ge 0 \quad \text{for} \quad c_1 > 7/3, \qquad d_+ \le d_2 < 1/\pi^2,$$
 (3.29)

$$\tilde{a}_{1,2} < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad c_1 > 7/3, \qquad d_- < d_2 < d_+,$$

$$(3.30)$$

$$\tilde{a}_{1,2} \ge 0 \quad \text{for} \quad c_1 > 7/3, \qquad 0 < d_2 \le d_-.$$
 (3.31)

Therefore, the behavior of $\tilde{a}_1(h, d_2, c_1)$ near h = 0 is drastically change at $c_1 = 1$ and $c_1 = 7/3$.

References

- Y. Kan-on. Stability of singularly perturbed solutions to nonlinear diffusion systems arising in population dynamics, Hiroshima Math. J., 23 (1993), 509-536.
- [2] Y. Lou, W.-M. Ni, Diffusion, self-diffusion and cross-diffusion, J. Differential Equations, 131 (1996), no. 1, 79-131

- [3] Y. Lou, W.-M. Ni, Diffusion vs cross-diffusion: an elliptic approach, J. Differential Equations, 154 (1999), no. 1, 157-190.
- [4] Y. Lou, W.-M. Ni, S. Yotsutani, On a limiting system in the Lotka-Volterra competition with cross-diffusion. Partial differential equations and applications, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 10 (2004), no. 1-2, 435-458.
- [5] M. Mimura, Stationary pattern of some density-dependent diffusion system with competitive dynamics, Hiroshima Math. J., 11 (1981), 621-635.
- [6] T. Mori, T. Suzuki, S. Yotsutani, Numerical Approach to Existence and Stability of Stationary Solutions to a SKT Cross-diffusion Equation, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, Volume No.28, Issue No.11 (2018), 2191-2210.
- [7] A. Okubo, "Diffusion and Ecological Problems: Mathematical Models", Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1980.
- [8] N. Shigesada, K. Kawasaki, E. Teramoto, Spatial segregation of interacting species, J.Theor.Biol., 79 (1979), 83-99.
- S. Yotsutani, Structure and stability of stationary solutions to a crossdiffusion equation, RIMS kôkûroku, 1854 (2013), 23-32.