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#### Abstract

Separable polynomials in skew polynomial rings were studied extensively by Y．Miyashita，T．Nagahara，S．Ikehata，and G．S eto．In particular，Ikehata gave the characteri ation of $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D})$－separable polynomials in skew polynomial rings．In this article，we shall introduce the notion of weakly（ $\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}$ ）－separable polynomials in skew polynomial rings，and we shall give a characteri ation of the（ $\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D})$－separability and that of the weak $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D})$－separability．


## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper，$A / B$ will represent a ring extension with common identity 1．Let $M$ be an $A$－$A$－bimodule，and $x, y$ arbitrary elements in $A$ ．An additive map $\delta: A \rightarrow M$ is called a $B$－derivation of $A$ to $M$ if $\delta(x y)=\delta(x) y+x \delta(y)$ and $\delta(\alpha)=0$ for any $\alpha \in B$ ．Moreover，$\delta$ is called inner if $\delta(x)=m x-x m$ for some fixed element $m \in M$ ．We say that a ring extension $A / B$ is separable if the $A$－$A$－homomorphism of $A \otimes_{B} A$ onto $A$ defined by $a \otimes b \mapsto a b$ splits．It is well known that $A / B$ is separable if and only if for any $A$－$A$－bimodule $M$ ，every $B$－derivation of $A$ to $M$ is inner（cf．［1， Satz 4．2］）．A ring extension $A / B$ is said to be weakly separable if every $B$－derivation of $A$ to $A$ is inner．The notion of a weakly separable extension was introduced by N ． Hamaguchi and A．Nakajima（cf．［2］）．Obviously，a separable extension is weakly separable．

Let $B$ be a ring，$\rho$ an automorphism of $B, D$ a $\rho$－derivation of $B . B[X ; \rho, D]$ will mean the skew polynomial ring in which the multiplication is given by $\alpha X=$ $X \rho(\alpha)+D(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in B$ ．We set $B[X ; \rho]:=B[X ; \rho, 0]$ and $B[X ; D]:=$ $B\left[X ; 1_{A}, D\right]$ ．By $B[X ; \rho, D]_{(0)}$ we denote the set of all monic polynomials $g$ in $B[X ; \rho, D]$ such that $g B[X ; \rho, D]=B[X ; \rho, D] g$ ．For a polynomial $f \in B[X ; \rho, D]_{(0)}$, the residue ring $B[X ; \rho, D] / f B[X ; \rho, D]$ is a free ring extension of $B$ ．We say that a polynomial $f \in B[X ; \rho, D]_{(0)}$ is separable（resp．weakly separable）in $B[X ; \rho, D]$ if $B[X ; \rho, D] / f B[X ; \rho, D]$ is separable（resp．weakly separable）over $B$ ．

Throughout this article, we assume that $\rho D=D \rho$, and let $f=X^{m}+X^{m-1} a_{m-1}+$ $\cdots+X a_{1}+a_{0} \in B[X ; \rho, D]_{(0)} \cap B^{\rho}[X]$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime} & :=m X^{m-1}+(m-1) X^{m-2} a_{m-1} \cdots+X a_{2}+a_{1}(\text { the derivative of } f), \\
Y_{0} & :=X^{m-1}+X^{m-2} a_{m-1}+\cdots+X a_{2}+a_{1}, \\
& \cdots \\
Y_{j} & :=X^{m-j-1}+X^{m-j-2} a_{m-1}+\cdots+X a_{j+2}+a_{j+1}, \\
& \cdots \\
Y_{m-2} & :=X+a_{m-1}, \\
Y_{m-1} & :=1
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall use the following conventions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{\rho} & :=\{\alpha \in B \mid \rho(\alpha)=\alpha\} \\
B^{D} & :=\{\alpha \in B \mid D(\alpha)=0\} \\
B^{\rho, D} & :=B^{\rho} \cap B^{D} \\
C\left(B^{\rho, D}\right) & \left.:=\left\{\beta \in B^{\rho, D} \mid b \beta=\beta b\left(\forall b \in B^{\rho, D}\right)\right\} \text { (the center of } B^{\rho, D}\right) \\
A & :=B[X ; \rho, D] / f B[X ; \rho, D] \\
x & :=X+f B[X ; \rho, D] \in A \\
f^{\prime} & :=f^{\prime}+f B[X ; \rho, D] \in A \\
y_{j} & :=Y_{j}+f B[X ; \rho, D] \in A \quad(0 \leq j \leq m-1) \\
\rho & : \text { an automorphism of } A \text { defined by } \rho\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x^{j} c_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x^{j} \rho\left(c_{j}\right)\left(c_{j} \in B\right) \\
D & : \text { a } \rho \text {-derivation of } A \text { defined by } D\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x^{j} c_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x^{j} D\left(c_{j}\right)\left(c_{j} \in B\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For any subsets $T \subset B$ and $S \subset A$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{m-1}(T) & :=\left\{z \in A \mid \rho^{m-1}(\alpha) z=z \alpha(\forall \alpha \in T)\right\}, \\
V(T) & :=\{z \in A \mid \alpha z=z \alpha(\forall \alpha \in T)\}, \\
W(S) & :=\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{j} \omega \otimes x^{j} \mid \omega \in S\right\}, \\
\left(A \otimes_{B} A\right)^{S} & :=\left\{\varepsilon \in A \otimes_{B} A \mid \varepsilon w=w \varepsilon(\forall w \in S)\right\}, \\
S^{\tilde{\rho}} & :=\{z \in S \mid \rho(z)=z\}, \\
S^{\tilde{D}} & :=\{z \in S \mid D(z)=0\}, \\
S^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}} & :=S^{\bar{\rho}} \cap S^{\tilde{D}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $J_{m-1}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=V\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ for any subset $B^{\prime}$ of $B^{\rho}$.

We shall state some basic results which were already known.
Lemma 1.1 ([7, Lemma 1.6]). $f$ is in $B[X ; \rho, D]_{(0)}$ if and only if
(1) $a_{i} \rho^{m}(\alpha)=\sum_{j=i}^{m}\binom{j}{i} \rho^{j} D^{j-i}(\alpha) a_{j} \quad\left(\alpha \in B, 0 \leq i \leq m-1, a_{m}=1\right)$
(2) $D\left(a_{i}\right)=a_{i-1}-\rho\left(a_{i-1}\right)-a_{i}\left(\rho\left(a_{m-1}\right)-a_{m-1}\right) \quad(1 \leq i \leq m-1)$
(3) $D\left(a_{0}\right)=a_{0}\left(\rho\left(a_{m-1}\right)-a_{m-1}\right)$

Lemma 1.2 ([7, Corollary 1.7]). If $f$ is in $B[X ; \rho, D]_{(0)} \cap B^{\rho}[X]$ then $f$ is in $C\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)[X]$. Moreover,

$$
\alpha a_{i}=\sum_{j=i}^{m}(-1)^{j-i}\binom{j}{i} a_{j} \rho^{m-j} D^{j-i}(\alpha) \quad\left(\alpha \in B, 0 \leq j \leq m, a_{m}=1\right)
$$

Lemma 1.3 ([6, Theorem 2.2]). Let $B$ be a commutative ring, and $f(X)$ a monic polynomial in $B[X]$. The following are equivalent.
(1) $f(X)$ is weakly separable in $B[X]$.
(2) $f^{\prime}(X)$ is a non-zero-divisor in $B[X]$ modulo $(f(X))$, where $f^{\prime}(X)$ is a derivative of $f(X)$.
(3) $\delta(f(X))$ is a non-zero-divisor in $B$, where $\delta(f(X))$ is a discriminant of $f(X)$.

Now we consider the following $A-A$-homomorphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu:{ }_{A} A \otimes_{B} A_{A} \rightarrow{ }_{A} A_{A}, \quad \mu(z \otimes w)=z w \\
& \xi:{ }_{A} A \otimes_{B} A_{A} \rightarrow{ }_{A} A \otimes_{B} A_{A}, \quad \xi(z \otimes w)=D(z) \otimes \rho(w)+z \otimes D(w) \\
& \eta:{ }_{A} A \otimes_{B} A_{A} \rightarrow{ }_{A} A \otimes_{B} A_{A}, \quad \eta(z \otimes w)=\rho(z) \otimes \rho(w)-z \otimes w
\end{aligned}
$$

By making of the above mappings, S. Ikehata gave the following definition.
Definiton 1.4 ([4, pp.119]). $f$ is called $(\rho, D)$-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$ if there exists an $A$ - $A$-homomorphism $\nu: A \rightarrow A \otimes_{B} A$ such that

$$
\mu \nu=1_{A}, \quad \xi \nu=\nu D, \quad \eta \nu=\nu\left(\rho-1_{A}\right) .
$$

Obviously, a $(\rho, D)$-separable polynomial in $B[X ; \rho, D]$ is separable. In [4], S. Ikehata studied ( $\rho, D$ )-separable polynomials in $B[X ; \rho, D]$ and he gave the following.

Lemma 1.5 ([4, Theorem 2.1]). The following are equivalent.
(1) $f$ is $(\rho, D)$-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$.
(2) There exists $h \in J_{m-1}(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$ such that $f^{\prime} h=h f^{\prime}=1$.
(3) $f$ is separable in $C\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)[X]$.

Noting that Lemma 1.5 (3), we shall give the following definition as a generalization of ( $\rho, D$ )-separable polynomials in $B[X ; \rho, D]$.

Definiton 1.6. $f$ is called weakly ( $\rho, D$ )-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$ if $f$ is weakly separable in $C\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)[X]$.

The purpose of this article is to give characterizations of weakly ( $\rho, D$ )-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$. Moreover, we shall characterize the difference between the $(\rho, D)$ separability and the weak $(\rho, D)$-separability in $B[X ; \rho, D]$.

## 2 Main results

The conventions and notations employed in the preceding section will be used in this section. In particular, recall that $\rho D=D \rho$ and let $f=X^{m}+X^{m-1} a_{m-1}+$ $\cdots+X a_{1}+a_{0} \in B[X ; \rho, D]_{(0)} \cap B^{\rho}[X]$. Note that $f$ is in $C\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)[X]$ by Corollary 1.2. First we shall state the following.

Lemma 2.1. The following are equivalent.
(1) $f$ is weakly $(\rho, D)$-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$.
(2) $f^{\prime}$ is a non-zero-divisor in $C\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)[X] / f C\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)[X]\left(\cong V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right)$.
(3) $\delta(f)$ is a non-zero-divisor in $C\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)$, where $\delta(f)$ is a discriminant of $f$.

Proof. It is obvious by Lemma 1.3.
We recall that $A$ - $A$-homomorphism $\mu: A \otimes_{B} A \rightarrow A$ defined by $z \otimes w \mapsto z w$. Noting that $\alpha f^{\prime}=f^{\prime} \rho^{m-1}(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in B$, we can see that $\mu\left(W\left(J_{m-1}(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right)\right) \subset$ $V(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$. In addition, it is easy to see that $\mu\left(W\left(V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right)\right) \subset V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$. Then we shall state the following.

Theorem 2.2. (1) $f$ is $(\rho, D)$-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$ if and only if the following $A$-A-homomorphism is onto:

$$
\left.\mu\right|_{W\left(J_{m-1}(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right)}: W\left(J_{m-1}(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right) \longrightarrow V(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}
$$

(2) $f$ is weakly $(\rho, D)$-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$ if and only if the following $A-A$ homomorphism is one-to-one:

$$
\left.\mu\right|_{W\left(V\left(B^{\rho, D)}\right)^{\bar{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right)}: W\left(V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right) \longrightarrow V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}
$$

Proof. Note that $\mu\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{j} h \otimes x^{j}\right)=f^{\prime} h=h f^{\prime}$ for any $h \in A^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$.
(1) Assume that $f$ is $(\rho, D)$-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$. Then there exists $h \in$ $J_{m-1}(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$ such that $f^{\prime} h=h f^{\prime}=1$ by Lemma $1.5(2)$. For any $g \in V(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$, we see that $h g=g h \in J_{m-1}(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$ and $\mu\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{j} h g \otimes x^{j}\right)=f^{\prime} h g=g$. Thus $\left.\mu\right|_{W\left(J_{m-1}(B)^{\bar{\rho}}, \bar{D}\right)}$ is onto.

Conversely, assume that $\left.\mu\right|_{W\left(J_{m-1}(B)^{\bar{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right)}$ is onto. Since $1 \in V(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$, there exists $h \in J_{m-1}(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$ such that $1=\mu\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{j} h \otimes x^{j}\right)=f^{\prime} h=h f^{\prime}$. Therefore $f$ is $(\rho, D)$-separable by Lemma 1.5 (2).
(2) Assume that $f$ is weakly $(\rho, D)$-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$. Then $f^{\prime}$ is a non-zerodivisor in $V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$ by Lemma 2.1 (2). Let $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{j} h \otimes x^{j}$ be in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.\mu\right|_{W\left(V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\bar{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right)}\right)$ with $h \in V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$. Then we have $0=f^{\prime} h=h f^{\prime}$. Since $f^{\prime}$ is a non-zero-divisor in $V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\bar{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$, we obtain $h=0$ and hence $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.\mu\right|_{W\left(V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\bar{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right)}\right)=\{0\}$. Thus $\left.\mu\right|_{W\left(V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\bar{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right)}$ is one-to-one.

Conversely, assume that $\left.\mu\right|_{W\left(V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\bar{\rho}, \bar{D}}\right)}$ is one-to-one. Let $h f^{\prime}=0$ for some $h \in V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$. This implies that $\mu\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{j} h \otimes x^{j}\right)=0$. Since $\left.\mu\right|_{W\left(V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\rho, \tilde{D}}\right)}$ is one-to-one, we have $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{j} h \otimes x^{j}=0$, namley, $h=0$. Therefore $f^{\prime}$ is a non-zero-divisor in $V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$, and hence $f$ is weakly $(\rho, D)$-separable by Lemma 2.1 (2).

Corollary 2.3. $f$ is $(\rho, D)$-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$ if and only if $W\left(J_{m-1}(B)^{\tilde{\rho} \tilde{D}}\right) \cong$ $V(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$ as an $A$-A-bimodule.

Proof. Note that $W\left(J_{m-1}(B)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right) \subset W\left(V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\bar{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right)$ and $V(B)^{\bar{\rho}, \tilde{D}} \subset V\left(B^{\rho, D}\right)^{\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{D}}$. If $f$ is $(\rho, D)$-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$ then $f$ is also weakly $(\rho, D)$-separable, and so $\left.\mu\right|_{W\left(J_{m-1}(B)^{\bar{\rho}, \tilde{D}}\right)}$ is one-to-one. Therefore $\left.\mu\right|_{W\left(J_{m-1}(B)^{\left.)^{\tilde{\rho}}, \tilde{D}\right)}\right.}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $f$ is $(\rho, D)$-separable in $B[X ; \rho, D]$.
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