

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

Microbiome structure of ecologically important bioeroding sponges (family Clionidae):

The role of host phylogeny and environmental plasticity.

Oriol Sacristán-Soriano^{1,2, †}, Xavier Turon² and Malcolm Hill^{1,3}

¹Department of Biology; University of Richmond; Richmond, VA, USA

²Centre d'Estudis Avançats de Blanes (CEAB, CSIC), Blanes, Spain

³Department of Biology, Bates College, Lewiston, ME, USA

[†]Corresponding author; osacriso7@gmail.com

Keywords: symbiosis, bioerosion, *Symbiodinium*, *Cliona*, *Spherospongia*, *Cervicornia*

15 **Abstract**

16 The potential of increased bioerosion by excavating sponges in future environmental scenarios
17 represents a potential threat to coral reef structure and function. Little is known about
18 prokaryotic associations in excavating sponges despite the fact that evidence indicates they
19 contribute to the sponge growth through their heterotrophic metabolism and may even act as
20 microborers. Here, we provide the first detailed description of the microbial community of
21 multiple bioeroding sponges from the Clionidae family (*Cliona varians*, *C. tumula*, *C. delitrix*,
22 *Sphaciospongia vesparium*, *Cervicornia cuspidifera*) collected in inshore and offshore coral reefs
23 in the Florida Keys. A total of 6,811 prokaryote OTUs identified using 16S rRNA gene
24 sequencing was detected in the samples studied, including ambient water, belonging to 39
25 bacterial phyla and 3 archaeal phyla. The microbiomes of species harboring *Symbiodinium* (*C.*
26 *varians*, *C. tumula*, *C. cuspidifera*) and the azooxanthellate *S. vesparium* were dominated by
27 Alphaproteobacteria that represented from 83 to 96% of total sequences. These clionaid sponges
28 presented species-specific core microbiomes, with 4 OTUs being shared by all sponge samples,
29 albeit with species-specific enrichments. The microbiomes of *C. varians* and *S. vesparium* were
30 stable but showed certain plasticity between offshore and inshore reefs. The distantly related *C.*
31 *delitrix* does not harbor *Symbiodinium*, and had a microbiome dominated by
32 Gammaproteobacteria, which represented 82% of all sequences. Most of the sponge-enriched
33 OTUs are found in low abundance and belong to the “rare biosphere” category, highlighting the
34 potential importance of these microbes in the ecology of the holobiont. Sponge microbiomes
35 may enhance functional redundancy for the sponge holobiont and allow it to respond to shifting
36 environments over much short time scales than evolutionary change would permit. This work

37 establishes the basis for future research to explore how microbial shifts in bioeroding sponges
38 contribute to bioerosion in the face of a changing environment.

39

40 **Introduction**

41 A paradigmatic example of a holobiont is the symbiotic consortium that exists among microbes
42 and their sponge host (Webster and Taylor 2012; Erwin et al. 2015; Thomas et al. 2016; Hill and
43 Sacristán-Soriano 2017; Moitinho-Silva et al. 2017a). Sponges host (even at low relative
44 abundances) up to 60 bacterial and 4 archaeal phyla (Reveillaud et al. 2014; Thomas et al. 2016;
45 Moitinho-Silva et al. 2017a). For most sponges, the within host microbial community is highly
46 diverse and species specific (Thomas et al. 2016). This fact is somewhat surprising given that
47 sponges are filter-feeding bacteriotrophs and thus exposed to a plethora of bacteria from the
48 environment - from transient food items to true sponge associates. It is unclear how sponges
49 discriminate between food items and symbiotic consorts (Hill and Sacristán-Soriano 2017), but it
50 is generally true that sponges sustain a specific microbial composition remarkably different from
51 ambient seawater (e.g., Enticknap et al. 2006; Schmitt et al. 2007; Sharp et al. 2007; Schmitt et
52 al. 2011; Thomas et al. 2016; Turon et al. 2018; Sacristán-Soriano et al. 2019).

53

54 The composition of the symbiotic community within sponges is generally host-specific and not a
55 random sample of microbes from the environment (e.g., Hill et al. 2006; Erwin et al. 2012;
56 Schmitt et al. 2012; Pita et al. 2013; Erwin et al. 2015; Steinert et al. 2016; Hill and Sacristán-
57 Soriano 2017; Sacristán-Soriano et al. 2019). Indeed, these associations appear to be consistent
58 over different geographical regions and under different environmental conditions (Hentschel et
59 al. 2002, 2006; Montalvo and Hill 2011; Burgsdorf et al. 2014; Turon et al. 2019). In recent

60 years, high-throughput sequencing methods have generated an extraordinary amount of
61 information on the characterization and functional diversity of associated microbial communities
62 (Hill and Sacristán-Soriano 2017). The perception of the specificity of sponge-associated
63 microbes has changed, and several bacterial taxa thought to be specific to sponges have been
64 shown to occur also in other habitats, such as seawater, sediment and other hosts (Simister et al.
65 2012). Over 40% of the 173 previously described “sponge-specific” clusters have been detected
66 in seawater (Taylor et al. 2013). So, we may rather use the terms ‘sponge-enriched’ or ‘host-
67 enriched’ to refer to the associated microbial consortia (Moitinho-Silva et al. 2014).

68 Microorganisms that make up the symbiotic community make valuable contributions to many
69 aspects of the sponge physiology and ecology (Taylor et al. 2007). Evidence indicates the
70 symbionts promote the growth and development of the host through the production of regulatory
71 signaling molecules, antibiotics, active secondary metabolites, nutritional components, and other
72 important compounds (Hentschel et al. 2006; Taylor et al. 2007; Webster and Thomas 2016).

73 The holobiont should be a focus of study because organismal phenotype is an integrated product
74 from host and symbiont that shapes all observed benthic marine habitats (Bell 2008). This may
75 be especially true for symbioses in tropical coral reefs that have high rates of productivity despite
76 low availability of environmental inorganic nutrients (Muscatine and Porter 1977; Yellowlees et
77 al. 2008).

78

79 Excavating sponges play important ecological roles in nutrient cycling and in sculpting the three-
80 dimensional structure of coral reefs (Rützler 2012; de Goeij et al. 2013; Schönberg et al. 2017a).

81 Bioeroding sponges often account for 40 up to 90% of reef macroborer activity (Schönberg et al.
82 2017a). Many excavating sponges in the family Clionidae host photosynthetic dinoflagellates

83 (family Symbiodiniaceae) that help penetrate calcium carbonate reef structures by providing
84 energy to the sponge (Hill 1996; Fang et al. 2014; Achlatis et al. 2018, 2019). It has been
85 documented that sponge bioerosion may be enhanced by ocean warming, acidification and
86 eutrophication irrespective of the presence of photosymbionts (Fang et al. 2013; DeCarlo et al.
87 2015; Silbiger et al. 2016; Schönberg et al. 2017a, b) but with certain physiological constraints
88 (Achlatis et al. 2017). The potential of increased bioerosion by excavating sponges in future
89 scenarios is a threat to coral reefs that deserves greater attention. Most research on bioerosion
90 relates the sponge performance with the activity of their photosynthetic dinoflagellates (e.g., Hill
91 1996; Weisz et al. 2010; Fang et al. 2014; Achlatis et al. 2018). However, to fully understand
92 bioerosion caused by sponges, we must understand all components of the holobiont, including
93 the prokaryotes, which may influence the growth of sponges through their heterotrophic
94 metabolism. They may also act as microborers themselves, as Schönberg et al. (2019) found
95 evidence of traces of microbial bioerosion in coral cores simultaneously active with the sponge
96 bioerosion.

97
98 In the present study, we assessed and compared prokaryote communities from five sponge
99 species belonging to the Clionidae family from the Florida Keys, FL, USA. Three of the species
100 harbor *Symbiodinium* populations, and two do not. Two of the species are habitat generalists and
101 occur in deep and shallow habitats. As observed in corals, inshore (i.e., shallow) reefs presented
102 higher calcification rates and growth rates recovered quickly from temperature stress (Manzello
103 et al. 2015). Additionally, inshore habitats could be favored by the presence of seagrass beds that
104 could make them potential acidification refugia for corals (Manzello et al. 2012). Thus, resilience
105 would be higher inshore not only for corals but also for sponges. We used a culture-independent

106 characterization of microbial communities found in sponges and surrounding seawater using
107 high throughput sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene (V4 region). Here, we provide the first
108 detailed description of the microbial community of multiple bioeroding sponges. We sought to
109 answer the following questions: 1) What is the diversity and microbial community composition
110 associated to tropical Clionidae sponges, compared to the surrounding seawater and with regard
111 to the presence of dinoflagellate symbionts? 2) Is there a core-microbiome associated to them? 3)
112 Are these communities host-specific or do they vary between offshore and inshore reefs?

113

114 **Materials and methods**

115 **Sample collection**

116 On May 2017, five sponge species belonging to the Clionidae family were collected at two
117 habitats in the Florida Keys (USA, FL; Table 1). Among the differential characteristics between
118 the two habitats, we found a wide-range in the thermal regime (from 27 to 34°C during summer
119 months) and variable pH conditions (8.0 to 8.2), with marked tides at the inshore reef (personal
120 observation). Replicate seawater samples (n = 3, 1 L samples) were collected in sterilized bottles
121 adjacent to the sampled sponges in the field from the offshore and inshore reefs. Sponges were
122 transported to the lab where they were processed within 0.5 to 1 hour of collection. A sample
123 from each sponge was taken with a sterile scalpel and rinsed several times in 0.22 µm-filtered
124 seawater to discard loosely attached microorganisms. Seawater samples were sequentially passed
125 through polycarbonate 5 µm and 0.22 µm filters (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA, USA), and
126 the contents on the 0.22 µm filters were used to examine the ambient bacterioplankton
127 communities. All samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until
128 processed.

129

130 **Microbiome analysis**

131 DNA was extracted using the DNeasy PowerSoil kit (QIAGEN, Germantown, MD, USA)

132 following standard protocols of the Earth Microbiome Project

133 (<http://press.igsb.anl.gov/earthmicrobiome/emp-standard-protocols/dna-extraction-protocol/>).

134 DNA extracts were sent to Molecular Research LP (www.mrdnalab.com, Shallowater, TX, USA)

135 for amplification, library construction and multiplexed sequencing of partial (V4) 16S rRNA

136 gene sequences on an Illumina MiSeq platform. The HotStarTaq Plus Master Mix kit (Qiagen)

137 was used for PCR amplifications using DNA extracts as templates with the universal

138 bacterial/archaeal primer pair 515F (Parada et al. 2016) and 806R (Apprill et al. 2015). To

139 barcode samples, a multiplex identifier barcode was attached to the forward primer. The

140 thermocycler profile consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 3 min; 28 cycles of

141 94°C for 30s, 53°C for 40s, and 72°C for 1 min with a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 min.

142 Equimolar concentrations of samples were pooled and purified using Agencourt Ampure XP

143 beads (Beckman Coulter) to prepare DNA library by following Illumina TruSeq DNA library

144 preparation protocol. Sequencing was then performed according to manufacturer's guidelines on

145 an Illumina MiSeq. Illumina sequence data were deposited in NCBI SRA under the project ID

146 PRJNA590868.

147

148 Illumina sequence reads were processed in mothur v1.39.5 (Schloss et al. 2009) as previously

149 described (Thomas et al. 2016). Briefly, forward and reverse reads were assembled,

150 demultiplexed, and sequences <200bp and with ambiguous base calls were removed. Sequences

151 were aligned to the SILVA database (release 128, non-redundant, mothur-formatted), trimmed to

152 the V4 region, and screened for chimeras and errors. A naïve Bayesian classifier and Greengenes
153 taxonomy (August 2013 release, mothur-formatted) was used to aid in the removal of non-target
154 sequences (e.g., chloroplasts, mitochondria). We used the SILVA database (release 132, non-
155 redundant, mothur-formatted) for final taxonomic assignment. The resulting high-quality
156 sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) defined by clustering at 3%
157 divergence and singletons were removed. We used rarefaction curves (mothur v1.39.5) to plot
158 the OTUs observed as a function of sequencing depth. To avoid artifacts of varied sampling
159 depth on subsequent diversity calculations, each sequence dataset was subsampled to the lowest
160 read count (mothur v1.39.5). To place the obtained OTUs into a wider context, these were
161 compared to the database of the sponge EMP project (Moitinho-Silva et al. 2017a) using local
162 BLAST searches (NCBI-BLAST-2.7.1+).

163

164 **Community-level analysis**

165 To compare bacterial community profiles, nonmetric multi-dimensional scaling (nMDS) plots of
166 Bray-Curtis similarity matrices were constructed with mothur (v1.39.5) and R (version 3.4.3;
167 ggplot2 package) from square-root transformed OTU relative abundance data. Species *C.*
168 *cuspidifera* was removed from subsequent analyses as we had just one replicate (see Results).
169 We also constructed bubble charts in R (version 3.4.3; ggplot2 package) from OTU relative
170 abundances to plot community dissimilarities. Significant differences among sponge species and
171 ambient seawater were assessed using a one-way permutational multivariate analysis of variance
172 (PERMANOVA), with the factor source (all sponge species vs. seawater). Significant
173 differences among sponge species were further assessed with one-way PERMANOVA, with the
174 factor source (*C. varians*, *C. delitrix*, *C. tumula*, and *S. vesparium*). As stated in the introduction,

175 offshore and inshore habitats may show contrasting resilience for sponges that could translate
176 into microbial shifts between both reefs. Thus, differences between sponge species and habitats
177 were assessed using a two-way PERMANOVA for the species present in the two habitats, with
178 the factors source (*C. varians* and *S. vesparium*), habitat (offshore vs. inshore) and an interaction
179 term. Pairwise comparisons were subsequently conducted for all significant PERMANOVA
180 results involving factors with more than 2 levels. Permutational multivariate analysis of
181 dispersion (PERMDISP) was used to detect differences in homogeneity (dispersion) among
182 groups for all significant PERMANOVA outcomes. All multivariate statistics were performed
183 using R (version 3.4.3; with `adonis2` and `betadisper` functions from `vegan` v2.5-6 package).

184

185 We calculated three indices of alpha diversity in `mothur` v1.39.5 (Schloss et al. 2009) to evaluate
186 community richness and evenness: observed OTU richness, the Simpson index of evenness and
187 the inverse of Simpson index of diversity. One-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) was used to
188 detect differences in diversity metrics among the species from the offshore reef (*C. delitrix*, *C.*
189 *tumula*, *C. varians*, and *S. vesparium*). Two-way ANOVA was used to detect differences in the
190 species present at both habitats, using the factors source (*C. varians* and *S. vesparium*), habitat
191 (offshore vs. inshore) and an interaction term, followed by pairwise comparisons for any
192 significant factor with more than two levels. All data that did not meet the statistical assumptions
193 was transformed accordingly (log-transformation for inverse of Simpson index). The univariate
194 statistics were performed using R (version 3.4.3; `Anova` function from `car` package).

195

196 **OTU-level analysis**

197 We analyzed the dataset for patterns in relative abundances of particular OTUs within categories
198 (e.g., sponge vs. seawater, offshore vs. inshore). For this purpose, we removed from the dataset
199 rare OTUs (<0.1% relative abundance) and OTUs with a low incidence across samples (detected
200 in ≤ 2 samples). We used the Mann-Whitney-U test (or Wilcoxon rank sum test) with FDR p-
201 value correction to identify significantly different patterns in OTU relative abundance among
202 hosts and habitats using QIIME1 (Caporaso et al. 2010). To visualize these differences, we
203 constructed OTU networks with the software Cytoscape v.3.7.2 (Shannon et al. 2003).

204

205 **Results**

206 **Microbiome composition associated to Clionidae sponges**

207 After denoising and filtering our sequence libraries, we obtained a total of 2,002,736 reads with a
208 sample depth ranging from 19,564 to 130,500 reads. As we had 4 replicates per species and
209 location in all cases except for *C. cuspidifera*, we discarded those samples ($n = 2$) with the lowest
210 number of reads ($\leq 28,657$), while keeping at least 3 replicates per sponge and site. To avoid
211 artifacts of sequence depth, we rarefied our libraries to the lowest read count ($n = 30,726$). The
212 OTU accumulation curves showed a lack of plateau in the samples (Suppl. Fig. S1), which
213 implies that we are not capturing all the richness in the samples but we have recovered the
214 abundant OTUs. Thirty-nine bacterial and 3 archaeal phyla were detected in the 6,811 OTUs
215 recovered from seawater and sponge samples (Suppl. Table S1), which were predominantly
216 affiliated to the phyla Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes (Suppl. Fig S2). Of these, 1,949 OTUs
217 were recovered from *C. varians*, 2,026 OTUs from *S. vesparium*, 2,028 OTUs from *C. delitrix*,
218 1,468 OTUs from *C. tumula* and 345 OTUs from *C. cuspidifera*. In total, 4,352 OTUs were
219 detected exclusively in the sponge samples, while we recovered 2,459 OTUs from seawater, 580

220 of which were shared with *C. varians* and 576 with *S. vesparium*. The other reef sponges *C.*
221 *delitrix*, *C. tumula* and *C. cuspidifera* shared 450, 321 and 171 OTUs, respectively, with the
222 ambient seawater sampled from the offshore reef (Suppl. Fig. S3).

223

224 The taxonomic composition of microbial communities recovered from surrounding seawater and
225 sponge hosts was markedly different (Fig. 1). We detected more phyla in sponges (Suppl. Fig S4
226 & S5). However, if we discarded those phyla with low sequence abundances (i.e., 0.1%
227 abundance), sponges and seawater harbored 6 bacterial and 1 archaeal phyla. Differences lay in
228 the fact that we detected in sponge groups such as Chlamydiae, Enttheonellaeota and
229 Thaumarchaeota, which were rare in seawater, while we detected Marinomicrobia SAR406,
230 Verrucomicrobia and Euryarchaeota in seawater. However, all those phyla accumulated a
231 microbial abundance ranging from 0.1 to 1.5%. In the case of Archaea, a specific primer pair for
232 this domain might be useful to uncover the archaeal diversity in sponges (Turon and Uriz 2020).
233 The microbial community harbored by all the sponge hosts sampled was enriched for α -
234 Proteobacteria (>80% of the reads of the microbial community, on average) except for *C. delitrix*
235 that was enriched for γ -Proteobacteria (85% of relative abundance). Seawater instead was
236 dominated by more than one bacterial group, α -Proteobacteria (50%) and γ -Proteobacteria
237 (19%). The composition by number of OTUs (instead of abundance) was more balanced, with
238 less dominance of a single or a few groups (Suppl. Fig S6), *C. delitrix* presented a larger fraction
239 of γ -Proteobacteria and the other hosts showed greater OTU richness of α -Proteobacteria.
240 Differences in free-living microbial communities between the offshore reef and the inshore flat
241 reef lay on the relative abundances of Bacteroidetes (4.6% and 31.7%, respectively),
242 Cyanobacteria (9.5% and 0.07%, respectively), Actinobacteria (6.8% and 0.1%, respectively),

243 and Euryarchaeota (2.9% and 0.1%, respectively). Comparatively, these microbial phyla
244 commonly found in seawater samples were depleted in the sponge species analyzed. On the other
245 hand, other less predominant phyla were enriched in the hosts, such as Thaumarchaeota (1.5%)
246 and Planctomycetes (0.3%), compared to planktonic communities (0.02% and 0.07%,
247 respectively). We found a species-specific enrichment in *C. varians* for δ -Proteobacteria (5.5%)
248 while the relative abundance in the other species and in seawater was below 0.6%.

249

250 **Differences within and between sponge-associated and seawater microbial communities**

251 Statistically significant differences in microbial community structure (PERMANOVA) were
252 detected among *C. varians*, *C. delitrix*, *C. tumula*, *S. vesparium*, and seawater microbes ($F_{4,23} =$
253 6.283; $P < 0.001$). Symbiont communities from seawater exhibited no overlap with sponge
254 species in the multidimensional space, and all sponge species occupied distinct regions of the
255 nMDS plot (Fig. 2). In addition, a significant interaction between host species (*C. varians* and *S.*
256 *vesparium*) and habitat occurred (PERMANOVA, $F_{1,10} = 2.466$; $P = 0.031$), and thus main
257 factors were analyzed separately. There were significant differences in community structure
258 between offshore and inshore reefs in *C. varians* ($t = 4.684$, $P = 0.026$) and *S. vesparium* (t
259 $= 1.565$, $P = 0.042$). Dispersion analysis revealed equal variability within *C. varians* and *S.*
260 *vesparium* microbial communities regardless of sampling site (PERMDISP, $P > 0.05$ in all
261 comparisons).

262

263 We observed significantly higher mean values of diversity (i.e., inverse Simpson diversity
264 index), and evenness in symbiont communities from seawater compared to host species ($P <$
265 0.001 in all pairwise comparisons; Table 2). When we analyzed the sponges from the offshore

266 reef, *C. varians* and *C. delitrix* presented more diverse and even microbial communities than the
267 other species ($P < 0.05$ in all pairwise comparisons). Comparing *C. varians* and *S. vesparium*
268 from the two habitats studied, a two-way ANOVA detected a significant interaction between
269 hosts and habitats for OTU richness ($F_{1,10} = 7.906$; $P = 0.018$) and diversity ($F_{1,10} = 9.427$; $P =$
270 0.012); therefore, main factors were analyzed separately. *C. varians* from the offshore reef
271 harbored richer ($P = 0.002$) and more diverse ($P = 0.002$) microbial assemblages compared to the
272 inshore symbiotic community. Considering the community evenness, *C. varians* presented a
273 more even distribution of the microbes hosted compared to *S. vesparium* ($F_{1,10} = 25.49$; $P <$
274 0.001).

275
276 The abundance of shared OTUs between sponge-associated and seawater microbial communities
277 was calculated ($n = 1,012$; 14.9% of the total OTUs recovered; Suppl. Table S2) and just 8.3%
278 presented relative abundances over 0.1%. Those few OTUs ($n = 84$) accounted for 90.6 and
279 90.3% of the total relative abundance of sponge-associated and seawater microbial assemblages,
280 respectively. All sponge-specific OTUs ($n = 4,352$; 64% of the total OTUs recovered) fell within
281 the 'rare biosphere' ($<0.1\%$ relative abundance).

282 283 **Core microbiome in sponges from Clionidae family**

284 In addition to community-level metrics of diversity and structure, we performed a core
285 microbiome analysis to investigate patterns in abundant and prevalent OTUs among sponge
286 hosts. We define here core microbiomes at the species level, as those OTUs shared by all
287 samples of a given species with a mean relative abundance $>0.1\%$. The core microbiome of *C.*
288 *variens* was formed by 8 OTUs (Fig. 3A) accounting for 22% of the number of OTUs with mean

289 relative abundance >0.1% (Suppl. Table S3). Nearly 70% of total relative read abundance
290 belonged to a single OTU, with highest similarity to Rhizobiales (Alphaproteobacteria). The core
291 microbiome of *S. vesparium* was formed by 5 OTUs (Fig. 3A) accounting for 42% of the number
292 of OTUs with mean relative abundance >0.1% (Suppl. Table S3). Almost 90% of all 16S rRNA
293 reads belonged to a single OTU, with highest similarity to an unclassified Alphaproteobacteria.
294 *C. delitrix* and *C. tumula* presented a few more core OTUs (22 and 15, respectively; Fig. 3B)
295 accounting for over 80% of relative abundance in both sponges (65% and 43% of the number of
296 OTUs with mean relative abundance >0.1%, respectively; Suppl. Table S3). The latter two hosts
297 also followed the microbial signature of LMA sponges with the dominance of a single OTU in *C.*
298 *tumula* (72%), ascribed to unclassified Alphaproteobacteria, and a couple of OTUs (36% and
299 17%) in *C. delitrix*, with highest similarity to Betaproteobacteriales (Gammaproteobacteria) and
300 unclassified Gammaproteobacteria, as recently found for the latter species (Easson et al. 2020).
301 Four OTUs (OTU 1, OTU 2, OTU 3 and OTU 36; Fig. 4) were shared by all sponges and were
302 thus present in all defined core microbiomes. The other core OTUs were either shared by two or
303 three species or specific to one host (Fig. 4). Seawater presented a core microbiome of 20 OTUs
304 (including 5 sponge core OTUs) accounting for over 50% of the water microbiome in relative
305 abundance (Suppl. Table S3). We detected significant sponge enrichments in 19 of the 35 sponge
306 core OTUs in at least one of the species analyzed (Suppl. Table S4 for details). *C. varians* was
307 enriched in 5 OTUs (OTUs 2, 15, 30, 44 and 60; cumulative 73% relative abundance) with the
308 predominance of OTU 2 affiliated to Alphaproteobacteria. *C. delitrix* presented an enrichment in
309 11 OTUs (OTUs 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13, 17, 19, 28, 33 and 50; 84% relative abundance) with the
310 dominance of a bacterium assigned to Betaproteobacteriales (OTU 4). *C. tumula* showed a
311 dominant OTU 3 affiliated to Alphaproteobacteria and 3 other enrichments (OTUs 17, 22 and

312 27; cumulative 81% relative abundance). *S. vesparium* was predominantly enriched in OTU 1,
313 also affiliated to Alphaproteobacteria, and in OTU 60 (accounting for 92% relative abundance;
314 See Fig. 3 & 4). Twenty-seven sponge core OTUs had a mean fold-change in abundance of
315 100.8 ± 18.8 compared to seawater, where they were extremely rare (mean relative abundance
316 $<0.01\%$). Eight additional sponge core OTUs were present in ambient seawater with mean
317 relative abundance $>2.7\%$. From those, 3 OTUs were more abundant in sponges (fold-change
318 77.5 ± 46.4) and 5 OTUs were enriched in seawater communities (fold-change 26.4 ± 9.7 ; Suppl.
319 Table S4). If we compared habitats, both *C. varians* and *S. vesparium* presented differences in
320 their core microbiome abundances between offshore and inshore sampling sites (Suppl. Table
321 S4; Fig. 3A).

322

323 **Comparing clionaid associated microbial communities with the sponge EMP database**

324 Local BLAST searches against the sponge EMP database showed that 88% of the OTUs (n =
325 5960) were found among the sponge microbiome collection with sequence identities over 97%.
326 The core microbiome associated to the sponges from the Clionaidae family is also associated to
327 other sponge hosts and habitats (Suppl. File S1).

328

329 **Discussion**

330 This work describes the bacterial and archaeal diversity and the community composition of five
331 sponge species from the Clionaidae family. Although there is a lot of diversity to be uncovered,
332 we have captured all abundant microbes both in Clionaidae sponges and in seawater (Suppl. Fig.
333 S1). The sponge associated Bacteria/Archaea communities had a microbial signature different
334 from the more diverse and even seawater community, reinforcing the view that these sponges

335 were composed of low microbial abundance (LMA) microbiomes, as previously reported for the
336 genus *Cliona* and other clionoids (Poppell et al. 2013; Moitinho-Silva et al. 2017b). Three of the
337 species studied, *Cliona varians*, *C. tumula* and *Cervicornia cuspidifera*, harbor *Symbiodinium*,
338 whereas *C. delitrix* is free of this dinoflagellate (Hill et al. 2011; Friday et al. 2013; Strehlow et
339 al. 2016). *Sphaciospongia vesparium* is not known to harbor *Symbiodinium* and we have not
340 detected this dinoflagellate in our samples under a light microscope (data not shown). The only
341 known species of the genus *Sphaciospongia* with *Symbiodinium* cells are *S. inconstans* and *S.*
342 *vagabunda* (Lévi 1998).

343
344 Research on excavating sponges in the last decade is largely focused on estimating bioerosion
345 rates under present and future environmental conditions and determining the role of their
346 photosynthetic symbionts. However, the knowledge of the prokaryotic community associated to
347 bioeroding sponges is limited. Previous research has provided a phylum-level overview of the
348 microbial communities within some *Cliona* species, including *C. celata*, *C. delitrix*, *C. orientalis*
349 and *C. viridis* (Blanquer et al. 2013; Jeong et al. 2015; Pineda et al. 2016; Thomas et al. 2016). In
350 addition, Ramsby et al. (2018) presented detailed species-level community dynamics within *C.*
351 *orientalis* and how this community responds to seawater warming. Recently, Easson et al. (2020)
352 linked host and microbial genetics on a geographic scale in *C. delitrix*.

353 354 **Taxonomic composition associated to clionaid sponges**

355 Within the sponge family Clionaidae, Proteobacteria (Gamma- and Alpha- classes) dominate
356 their microbiomes, as commonly found in sponges (Thomas et al. 2016; Moitinho-Silva et al.
357 2017a; Pita et al. 2018; Cleary et al. 2019). However, there is an apparent shift in the class of the

358 dominant Proteobacteria between *Symbiodinium*-bearing and azooxanthellate sponges. *Cliona*
359 *varians*, *C. tumula* and *Cervicornia cuspidifera* (harboring *Symbiodinium*) were dominated by
360 the class Alphaproteobacteria (from 82.6% to 95.5%), as reported for *C. viridis* and *C. orientalis*,
361 which also present dinoflagellate symbiosis (Blanquer et al. 2013; Pineda et al. 2016; Thomas et
362 al. 2016). *C. delitrix* (a *Symbiodinium*-free species) was instead predominantly occupied by
363 Gammaproteobacteria (85.2% on average), as previously documented for the same species
364 (Thomas et al. 2016; Easson et al. 2020) and for *C. celata* (Jeong et al. 2015), which is
365 categorized as an azooxanthellate species (Miller et al. 2010). However, the microbial
366 composition of *Sphaciospongia vesparium* resembled that from *Symbiodinium*-bearing species
367 with dominance of Alphaproteobacteria.

368
369 While the presence of *Symbiodinium* may influence the taxonomic composition of the
370 microbiome, it is also important to provide context about the taxonomic challenges presented by
371 the host sponges. Previously, *C. varians* was in the genus *Anthosigmella*, *C. cuspidifera* was in
372 the genus *Sphaciospongia*, and both genera were in the family Spirastrellidae. Rützler and
373 Hooper (2000) moved these species to the Clionidae based on their capacity to bioerode. Hill et
374 al. (2011) suggested that the taxonomic revision may not have been required given that Clade G
375 *Symbiodinium* appeared to distinguish between ‘spirastrellid-like’ sponges (i.e., 86 bp b-loop
376 variant) and true clionid-like sponges (i.e., 85 bp b-loop variant). Thus, an alternative
377 explanation for the patterns we observed in microbiome community composition is that the
378 sponge hosts belong to two distinct poriferan families or phylogenetic clades, and the
379 microbiome differences are driven by host taxonomy and not by the presence of *Symbiodinium*.
380 It seems that *C. delitrix* would have evolved earlier and would be distantly related to a well-

381 supported clade formed by *C. varians*, *C. cuspidifera* and three species of the genus
382 *Sphaciospongia* (Kober and Nichols 2007; Escobar et al. 2012). If this is true, coevolutionary
383 processes between hosts and their microbial partners appear to play a larger role in shaping
384 microbe community composition than the presence of *Symbiodinium*. Further research is needed
385 to assess the importance of coevolutionary history or the interactions among multiple microbial
386 partners within the sponge in driven microbiome community composition.

387

388 **Core microbial communities associated to clonaid sponges**

389 The low resolution of the taxonomic assignment precludes functional analyses and hinders
390 shedding light on the role of symbiotic partners. The dominant OTUs from *C. varians*, *C. tumula*
391 and *S. vesparium* were shared by the other clonaid species, but with relative abundances much
392 lower, ranging from 0.1 to 0.3%. Likewise, the two dominant OTUs from *C. delitrix* were
393 depleted in the other hosts and assigned to the ‘rare biosphere’ (<0.1% reads). Nearly 80% of
394 sponge core components were not found or were extremely rare (<0.01% on average) in the
395 surrounding seawater, presenting a 100-fold increase in the sponges. These core OTUs are
396 distantly-related to known culturable microbes, are sponge-enriched and closely related to other
397 sponge associated microbes (sponge EMP database). The results presented here support that core
398 OTUs are true symbionts and point to a strong selective ability of the sponges, as found in
399 previous studies (Turon et al. 2018).

400

401 The low number of core microbial components in *C. varians* and *S. vesparium* are due to
402 microbial differences between offshore and inshore environments. As more locations are
403 sampled of a particular species, the more reduced core microbiome can be detected. This

404 reduction of the core community would affect those persistent OTUs from a sponge species that
405 are abundant in a particular habitat (defined as ‘specific core’ microbiome in Astudillo-García et
406 al. 2017), but the ‘overall core’ community (i.e., persistent OTUs from a species across multiple
407 habitats; Astudillo-García et al. 2017) would be maintained as we increased sampling. These
408 differences were more evident in the former species, where the core OTU 2 was predominant in
409 the inshore specimens (82% vs. 46%). Besides this compositional change between habitats, four
410 other bacterial components were highly common in one of the sites while extremely rare in the
411 other (Fig. 4). Two OTUs were assigned to the Alphaproteobacteria class and the other two were
412 affiliated with the genera *Endozoicomonas* (OTU 25) and *Pseudohongiella* (OTU 38), both from
413 the class Gammaproteobacteria. The genus *Endozoicomonas* is commonly found in close
414 association with sponges (Nishijima et al. 2013) and other invertebrates such as corals (Bourne et
415 al. 2016). Multiple functions related to nutrient acquisition and/or cycling, structuring the sponge
416 microbiome via signaling molecules or roles in host health have been proposed for this genus
417 (Nishijima et al. 2013; Rua et al. 2014; Gardères et al. 2015; Morrow et al. 2015; Neave et al.
418 2016). The genus *Pseudohongiella* has been frequently reported in marine bacterioplankton (Xu
419 et al. 2019) but has been also found in sponge microbiomes (Chaib De Mares et al. 2018). Its
420 function is unclear but a recent genomic analysis of this genus in pelagic environments reveals
421 adaptation mechanisms to enhance abilities in the transfer and metabolism of organic and
422 inorganic materials and to react quickly to external changes (Xu et al. 2019).

423

424 In any case, we found an effect of habitat in the two species analyzed, both in the multivariate
425 composition and in the univariate descriptors. However, significant interaction terms indicated
426 that the response is species-specific. These results are in agreement with a recent study that

427 found a spatial component in the variability of microbiomes within *C. delitrix* (Easson et al.
428 2020). In the case of *C. varians*, the richer microbiome found in the offshore reef might be a
429 response to an intra-specific variation at genotype level between offshore and inshore
430 individuals, which needs to be confirmed. Indeed, specimens from the offshore reef belong to *C.*
431 *variens* forma *incrustans* and individuals from the inshore habitat correspond to *C. varians*
432 forma *variens* (Hill and Wilcox 1998). So, intra-specific genotype variation might be also
433 considered as determinant of a specific microbiome signature (Easson et al. 2020). Further
434 research is required to ascertain whether these different morphologies are genetically fixed or
435 represent and adaptation to different environmental conditions.

436

437 The abundance and stability of dominant OTUs among clionaid species suggest a close
438 partnership with the host. Lurgi et al. (2019) revealed that sponges of the order Clionaida shared
439 a microbial organization (i.e., similar community structure and function). This result would
440 support the similarities we found in microbial diversity among the core microbiomes of the
441 sponges from the family Clionaidae, with compositional differences driven by host identity
442 (Thomas et al. 2016). However, clionaid sponges exhibited flexibility of microbial partnerships
443 between and within species and across habitats. This microbial plasticity may serve as a
444 mechanism to preserve selected functions among individuals and species, so these taxonomical
445 shifts may enhance functional redundancy. In open microbial systems, like sponges, taxonomic
446 composition seems to be decoupled from functional structure (Louca et al. 2018) contributing to
447 the sponge microbiome resilience. The degree of functional redundancy depends on the
448 environment and the function considered. Important functions may be better buffered against
449 environmental changes by redundant biodiversity in order to guarantee a proper functioning of

450 the system (Jurburg and Salles 2015; Louca et al. 2018). In our case study, the taxonomic
451 variability found in clionaid sponges at both intraspecific and interspecific levels may produce
452 similar metabolic profiles that contribute to the health and survival of the host. We found that the
453 core microbiomes harbor a high fraction of unclassified bacteria at class or order levels. Given
454 the importance of clionaid sponges to reef bioerosion, further research is needed to identify and
455 classify these microbial strains to fully understand their metabolic potential and determine the
456 role of associated prokaryotic organisms on the sponge eroding capabilities.

457
458 In conclusion, we used high throughput sequencing to provide a detailed characterization of the
459 microbiome of sponges from the Clionaidae family. The *Symbiodinium*-bearing species from this
460 study and the closely related *S. vesparium* were dominated by Alphaproteobacteria, while the
461 azooxanthellate and distantly related *C. delitrix* was dominated by Gammaproteobacteria. These
462 clionoids show a species-specific core microbiome with dominant OTUs partly shared among
463 species but with species-specific enrichments. *C. varians* and *S. vesparium* showed variations in
464 their microbiomes between offshore and inshore reefs probably due to an adaptation to different
465 environmental conditions, although this hypothesis needs to be tested. The other question that
466 arises from the present study is about functional redundancy. Is the plasticity or flexibility of
467 sponge microbiomes related to redundant functions? Given the importance of clionaid sponges to
468 reef bioerosion, understanding the functional basis of prokaryotic symbiosis in holobiont
469 performance is essential. Future research should address how microbial shifts in bioeroding
470 sponges affects sponge resilience and performance under climate change scenarios.

471

472 **Acknowledgements**

473 We would like to thank Iosune Uriz for her comments and assistance in light microscopy.
474 This work was funded by grants from the National Science Foundation (OCE-1617255 and IOS-
475 1555440 to MH) and in part by the Spanish Government project PopCOMics CTM2017-88080
476 (MCIU,AEI/FEDER, UE) to XT and the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and
477 innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement 705464 (“SCOOBA”)
478 to OSS.

479

480 **Conflict of interest**

481 On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

482

483 **References**

- 484 Achlatis M, Pernice M, Green K, Guagliardo P, Kilburn MR, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Dove S (2018)
485 Single-cell measurement of ammonium and bicarbonate uptake within a photosymbiotic
486 bioeroding sponge. *ISME J* 12:1308–1318
- 487 Achlatis M, Schönberg CHL, van der Zande RM, LaJeunesse TC, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Dove S
488 (2019) Photosynthesis by symbiotic sponges enhances their ability to erode calcium
489 carbonate. *J Exp Mar Bio Ecol* 516:140–149
- 490 Achlatis M, van der Zande RM, Schönberg CHL, Fang JKH, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Dove S (2017)
491 Sponge bioerosion on changing reefs: ocean warming poses physiological constraints to the
492 success of a photosymbiotic excavating sponge. *Sci Rep* 7:10705
- 493 Apprill A, McNally S, Parsons R, Weber L (2015) Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R
494 gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquat Microb Ecol*
495 75:129–137

496 Astudillo-García C, Bell JJ, Webster NS, Glasl B, Jompa J, Montoya JM, Taylor MW (2017)
497 Evaluating the core microbiota in complex communities: A systematic investigation.
498 Environ Microbiol 19:1450–1462

499 Bell JJ (2008) The functional roles of marine sponges. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 79:341–353

500 Blanquer A, Uriz MJ, Galand PE (2013) Removing environmental sources of variation to gain
501 insight on symbionts vs. transient microbes in high and low microbial abundance sponges.
502 Environ Microbiol 15:3008–3019

503 Bourne DG, Morrow KM, Webster NS (2016) Insights into the Coral Microbiome: Underpinning
504 the Health and Resilience of Reef Ecosystems. Annu Rev Microbiol 70:317–340

505 Burgsdorf I, Erwin PM, López-Legentil S, Cerrano C, Haber M, Frenk S, Steindler L (2014)
506 Biogeography rather than association with cyanobacteria structures symbiotic microbial
507 communities in the marine sponge *Petrosia ficiformis*. Front Microbiol 5:1–11

508 Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Peña
509 AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig JE, Ley RE,
510 Lozupone CA, McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pirrung M, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh
511 PJ, Walters WA, Widmann J, Yatsunenko T, Zaneveld J, Knight R (2010) QIIME allows
512 analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7:335–336

513 Chaib De Mares M, Jiménez DJ, Palladino G, Gutleben J, Lebrun LA, Muller EEL, Wilmes P,
514 Sipkema D, van Elsas JD (2018) Expressed protein profile of a Tectomicrobium and other
515 microbial symbionts in the marine sponge *Aplysina aerophoba* as evidenced by
516 metaproteomics. Sci Rep 8:1–14

517 Cleary DFR, Swierts T, Coelho FJRC, Polónia ARM, Huang YM, Ferreira MRS, Putschakarn S,
518 Carvalheiro L, van der Ent E, Ueng J, Gomes NCM, de Voogd NJ (2019) The sponge

519 microbiome within the greater coral reef microbial metacommunity. *Nat Commun* 10:1644

520 DeCarlo TM, Cohen AL, Barkley HC, Cobban Q, Young C, Shamberger KE, Brainard RE,
521 Golbuu Y (2015) Coral macrobioerosion is accelerated by ocean acidification and nutrients.
522 *Geology* 43:7–10

523 Easson CG, Chaves-Fonnegra A, Thacker RW, Lopez J V. (2020) Host population genetics and
524 biogeography structure the microbiome of the sponge *Cliona delitrix*. *Ecol Evol* 10:2007–
525 2020

526 Enticknap JJ, Kelly M, Peraud O, Hill RT (2006) Characterization of a culturable
527 alphaproteobacterial symbiont common to many marine sponges and evidence for vertical
528 transmission via sponge larvae. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 72:3724–3732

529 Erwin PM, Coma R, López-Sendino P, Serrano E, Ribes M (2015) Stable symbionts across the
530 HMA-LMA dichotomy: Low seasonal and interannual variation in sponge-associated
531 bacteria from taxonomically diverse hosts. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 91:fiv115

532 Erwin PM, López-Legentil S, González-Pech R, Turon X (2012) A specific mix of generalists:
533 Bacterial symbionts in Mediterranean *Ircinia* spp. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 79:619–637

534 Escobar D, Zea S, Sánchez JA (2012) Phylogenetic relationships among the Caribbean members
535 of the *Cliona viridis* complex (Porifera, Demospongiae, Hadromerida) using nuclear and
536 mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 64:271–284

537 Fang JKH, Mello-Athayde M a., Schönberg CHL, Kline DI, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Dove S (2013)
538 Sponge biomass and bioerosion rates increase under ocean warming and acidification. *Glob*
539 *Chang Biol* 19:3581–3591

540 Fang JKH, Schönberg CHL, Mello-Athayde M a., Hoegh-Guldberg O, Dove S (2014) Effects of
541 ocean warming and acidification on the energy budget of an excavating sponge. *Glob*

542 Chang Biol 20:1043–1054

543 Friday S, Poppell E, Hill M (2013) *Cliona tumula* sp. nov., a conspicuous, massive
544 symbiodinium-bearing clionaid from the lower florida keys (USA) (Demospongiae:
545 Hadromerida: Clionaidae). Zootaxa 3750:375–382

546 Gardères J, Bedoux G, Koutsouveli V, Crequer S, Desriac F, Le Penne G (2015)
547 Lipopolysaccharides from commensal and opportunistic bacteria: Characterization and
548 response of the immune system of the host sponge *Suberites domuncula*. Mar Drugs
549 13:4985–5006

550 de Goeij JM, van Oevelen D, Vermeij MJA, Osinga R, Middelburg JJ, de Goeij AFPM,
551 Admiraal W (2013) Surviving in a marine desert: the sponge loop retains resources within
552 coral reefs. Science (80-) 342:108–110

553 Hentschel U, Hopke J, Horn M, Anja B, Wagner M, Hacker J, Bradley S, Friedrich AB, Moore
554 BS (2002) Molecular evidence for a uniform microbial community in sponges from
555 different oceans. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:4431–4440

556 Hentschel U, Usher KM, Taylor MW (2006) Marine sponges as microbial fermenters. FEMS
557 Microbiol Ecol 55:167–177

558 Hill M, Allenby A, Ramsby B, Schönberg C, Hill A (2011) *Symbiodinium* diversity among host
559 clionaid sponges from Caribbean and Pacific reefs: Evidence of heteroplasmy and putative
560 host-specific symbiont lineages. Mol Phylogenet Evol 59:81–88

561 Hill M, Hill A, Lopez N, Harriott O (2006) Sponge-specific bacterial symbionts in the Caribbean
562 sponge, *Chondrilla nucula* (Demospongiae, Chondrosida). Mar Biol 148:1221–1230

563 Hill MS (1996) Symbiotic zooxanthellae enhance boring and growth rates of the tropical sponge
564 *Anthosigmella varians* forma *variens*. Mar Biol 125:649–654

565 Hill MS, Sacristán-Soriano O (2017) Molecular and functional ecology of sponges and their
566 microbial symbionts. *Climate Change, Ocean Acidification and Sponges*. Springer
567 International Publishing, Cham, pp 105–142

568 Hill MS, Wilcox T (1998) Unusual mode of symbiont repopulation after bleaching in
569 *Anthosigmella varians*: Acquisition of different zooxanthellae strains. *Symbiosis* 25:279–
570 289

571 Jeong J-B, Kim K-H, Park J-S (2015) Sponge-Specific Unknown Bacterial Groups Detected in
572 Marine Sponges Collected from Korea Through Barcoded Pyrosequencing. *J Microbiol*
573 *Biotechnol* 25:1–10

574 Jurburg SD, Salles JF (2015) Functional redundancy and ecosystem function — The soil
575 microbiota as a case study. *Biodiversity in Ecosystems - Linking Structure and Function*.
576 InTech, pp 13

577 Kober KM, Nichols SA (2007) On the phylogenetic relationships of hadromerid and
578 poecilosclerid sponges. *J Mar Biol Assoc United Kingdom* 87:1585–1598

579 Lévi C (1998) *Sponges of the New Caledonia Lagoon*. Institut Français de Recherche
580 Scientifique pour le Développement en Coopération.,

581 Louca S, Polz MF, Mazel F, Albright MBN, Huber JA, O'Connor MI, Ackermann M, Hahn AS,
582 Srivastava DS, Crowe SA, Doebeli M, Parfrey LW (2018) Function and functional
583 redundancy in microbial systems. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2:936–943

584 Lurgi M, Thomas T, Wemheuer B, Webster NS, Montoya JM (2019) Modularity and predicted
585 functions of the global sponge-microbiome network. *Nat Commun* 10:992

586 Manzello DP, Enochs IC, Kolodziej G, Carlton R (2015) Recent decade of growth and
587 calcification of *Orbicella faveolata* in the Florida Keys: An inshore-offshore comparison.

588 Mar Ecol Prog Ser 521:81–89

589 Manzello DP, Enochs IC, Melo N, Gledhill DK, Johns EM (2012) Ocean acidification refugia of
590 the florida reef tract. PLoS One 7:e41715

591 Miller AN, Strychar KB, Shirley TC, Rützler K (2010) Effects of heat and salinity stress on the
592 sponge *Cliona celata*. Int J Biol 2:3–16

593 Moitinho-Silva L, Bayer K, Cannistraci C V., Giles EC, Ryu T, Seridi L, Ravasi T, Hentschel U
594 (2014) Specificity and transcriptional activity of microbiota associated with low and high
595 microbial abundance sponges from the Red Sea. Mol Ecol 23:1348–1363

596 Moitinho-Silva L, Nielsen S, Amir A, Gonzalez A, Ackermann GL, Cerrano C, Astudillo-Garcia
597 C, Easson C, Sipkema D, Liu F, Steinert G, Kotoulas G, McCormack GP, Feng G, Bell JJ,
598 Vicente J, Björk JR, Montoya JM, Olson JB, Reveillaud J, Steindler L, Pineda MC, Marra
599 M V., Ilan M, Taylor MW, Polymenakou P, Erwin PM, Schupp PJ, Simister RL, Knight R,
600 Thacker RW, Costa R, Hill RT, Lopez-Legentil S, Dailianis T, Ravasi T, Hentschel U, Li Z,
601 Webster NS, Thomas T (2017a) The sponge microbiome project. Gigascience 6:1–7

602 Moitinho-Silva L, Steinert G, Nielsen S, Hardoim CCP, Wu YC, McCormack GP, López-
603 Legentil S, Marchant R, Webster N, Thomas T, Hentschel U (2017b) Predicting the HMA-
604 LMA status in marine sponges by machine learning. Front Microbiol 8:1–14

605 Montalvo NF, Hill RT (2011) Sponge-associated bacteria are strictly maintained in two closely
606 related but geographically distant sponge hosts. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:7207–7216

607 Morrow KM, Bourne DG, Humphrey C, Botté ES, Laffy P, Zaneveld J, Uthicke S, Fabricius KE,
608 Webster NS (2015) Natural volcanic CO₂ seeps reveal future trajectories for host-microbial
609 associations in corals and sponges. ISME J 9:894–908

610 Muscatine L, Porter JW (1977) Reef corals: Mutualistic symbioses adapted to nutrient-poor

611 environments. *Bioscience* 27:454–460

612 Neave MJ, Apprill A, Ferrier-Pagès C, Voolstra CR (2016) Diversity and function of prevalent
613 symbiotic marine bacteria in the genus *Endozoicomonas*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*
614 100:8315–8324

615 Nishijima M, Adachi K, Katsuta A, Shizuri Y, Yamasato K (2013) *Endozoicomonas*
616 *numazuensis* sp. nov., a gammaproteobacterium isolated from marine sponges, and
617 emended description of the genus *Endozoicomonas* Kurahashi and Yokota 2007. *Int J Syst*
618 *Evol Microbiol* 63:709–714

619 Parada AE, Needham DM, Fuhrman JA (2016) Every base matters: assessing small subunit
620 rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field
621 samples. *Environ Microbiol* 18:1403–1414

622 Pineda M-C, Strehlow B, Duckworth A, Doyle J, Jones R, Webster NS (2016) Effects of light
623 attenuation on the sponge holobiont- implications for dredging management. *Sci Rep*
624 6:39038

625 Pita L, Rix L, Slaby BM, Franke A, Hentschel U (2018) The sponge holobiont in a changing
626 ocean: from microbes to ecosystems. *Microbiome* 6:46

627 Pita L, Turon X, López-Legentil S, Erwin PM (2013) Host rules: Spatial stability of bacterial
628 communities associated with marine sponges (*Ircinia* spp.) in the Western Mediterranean
629 Sea. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 86:268–276

630 Poppell E, Weisz J, Spicer L, Massaro A, Hill A, Hill M (2013) Sponge heterotrophic capacity
631 and bacterial community structure in high- and low-microbial abundance sponges. *Mar Ecol*
632 35:414–424

633 Ramsby BD, Hoogenboom MO, Whalan S, Webster NS (2018) Elevated seawater temperature

634 disrupts the microbiome of an ecologically important bioeroding sponge. *Mol Ecol*
635 27:2124–2137

636 Reveillaud J, Maignien L, Eren M a, Huber J a, Apprill A, Sogin ML, Vanreusel A (2014) Host-
637 specificity among abundant and rare taxa in the sponge microbiome. *ISME J* 8:1198–209

638 Rua CPJ, Trindade-Silva AE, Appolinario LR, Venas TM, Garcia GD, Carvalho LS, Lima A,
639 Kruger R, Pereira RC, Berlinck RGS, Valle RAB, Thompson CC, Thompson F (2014)
640 Diversity and antimicrobial potential of culturable heterotrophic bacteria associated with the
641 endemic marine sponge *Arenosclera brasiliensis*. *PeerJ* 2014:1–14

642 Rützler K (2012) The role of sponges in the Mesoamerican barrier-reef ecosystem, Belize.

643 Rützler K, Hooper JN (2000) Two new genera of hadromerid sponges (Porifera , Demospongiae
644). *Zoosystema* 22:337–344

645 Sacristán-Soriano O, Winkler M, Erwin P, Weisz J, Harriott O, Heussler G, Bauer E, West
646 Marsden B, Hill A, Hill M (2019) Ontogeny of symbiont community structure in two
647 carotenoid-rich, viviparous marine sponges: comparison of microbiomes and analysis of
648 culturable pigmented heterotrophic bacteria. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 11:249–261

649 Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA,
650 Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ,
651 Weber CF (2009) Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent, Community-
652 Supported Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial Communities. *Appl Environ*
653 *Microbiol* 75:7537–7541

654 Schmitt S, Deines P, Behnam F, Wagner M, Taylor MW (2011) Chloroflexi bacteria are more
655 diverse, abundant, and similar in high than in low microbial abundance sponges. *FEMS*
656 *Microbiol Ecol* 78:497–510

657 Schmitt S, Tsai P, Bell J, Fromont J, Ilan M, Lindquist N, Perez T, Rodrigo A, Schupp PJ,
658 Vacelet J, Webster N, Hentschel U, Taylor MW (2012) Assessing the complex sponge
659 microbiota: core, variable and species-specific bacterial communities in marine sponges.
660 ISME J 6:564–576

661 Schmitt S, Weisz JB, Lindquist N, Hentschel U (2007) Vertical transmission of a
662 phylogenetically complex microbial consortium in the viviparous sponge *Ircinia felix*. Appl
663 Environ Microbiol 73:2067–2078

664 Schönberg CHL, Fang JK-H, Carballo JL (2017a) Bioeroding sponges and the future of coral
665 reefs. Climate Change, Ocean Acidification and Sponges. Springer International Publishing,
666 Cham, pp 179–372

667 Schönberg CHL, Fang JKH, Carreiro-Silva M, Tribollet A, Wisshak M (2017b) Bioerosion: The
668 other ocean acidification problem. ICES J Mar Sci 74:895–925

669 Schönberg CHL, Gleason FH, Meyer N, Wisshak M (2019) Close encounters in the substrate:
670 when macroborers meet microborers. Facies 65:1–8

671 Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B,
672 Ideker T (2003) Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular
673 interaction networks. Genome Res 13:2498–504

674 Sharp KH, Eam B, John Faulkner D, Haygood MG (2007) Vertical transmission of diverse
675 microbes in the tropical sponge *Corticium* sp. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:622–629

676 Silbiger NJ, Guadayol O, Thomas FOM, Donahue MJ (2016) A novel µct analysis reveals
677 different responses of bioerosion and secondary accretion to environmental variability.
678 PLoS One 11:11–16

679 Simister RL, Deines P, Botté ES, Webster NS, Taylor MW (2012) Sponge-specific clusters

680 revisited: A comprehensive phylogeny of sponge-associated microorganisms. Environ
681 Microbiol 14:517–524

682 Steinert G, Taylor MW, Deines P, Simister RL, De Voogd NJ, Hoggard M, Schupp PJ (2016) In
683 four shallow and mesophotic tropical reef sponges from Guam the microbial community
684 largely depends on host identity. PeerJ 2016:1–25

685 Strehlow B, Friday S, McCauley M, Hill M (2016) The potential of azooxanthellate poriferan
686 hosts to assess the fundamental and realized *Symbiodinium* niche: evaluating a novel
687 method to initiate *Symbiodinium* associations. Coral Reefs 35:1201–1212

688 Taylor MW, Radax R, Steger D, Wagner M (2007) Sponge-associated microorganisms:
689 evolution, ecology, and biotechnological potential. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 71:295–347

690 Taylor MW, Tsai P, Simister RL, Deines P, Botte E, Ericson G, Schmitt S, Webster NS (2013)
691 Sponge-specific bacteria are widespread (but rare) in diverse marine environments. ISME J
692 7:438–443

693 Thomas T, Moitinho-Silva L, Lurgi M, Björk JR, Easson C, Astudillo-García C, Olson JB,
694 Erwin PM, López-Legentil S, Luter H, Chaves-Fonnegra A, Costa R, Schupp PJ, Steindler
695 L, Erpenbeck D, Gilbert J, Knight R, Ackermann G, Victor Lopez J, Taylor MW, Thacker
696 RW, Montoya JM, Hentschel U, Webster NS (2016) Diversity, structure and convergent
697 evolution of the global sponge microbiome. Nat Commun 7:11870

698 Turon M, Cáliz J, Garate L, Casamayor EO, Uriz MJ (2018) Showcasing the role of seawater in
699 bacteria recruitment and microbiome stability in sponges. Sci Rep 8:15201

700 Turon M, Cáliz J, Triadó-Margarit X, Casamayor EO, Uriz MJ (2019) Sponges and their
701 microbiomes show similar community metrics across impacted and well-preserved reefs.
702 Front Microbiol 10:1–13

703 Turon M, Uriz MJ (2020) New Insights Into the Archaeal Consortium of Tropical Sponges.
704 Front Mar Sci 6:1–13

705 Webster NS, Taylor MW (2012) Marine sponges and their microbial symbionts: Love and other
706 relationships. Environ Microbiol 14:335–346

707 Webster NS, Thomas T (2016) The Sponge Hologenome. MBio 7:e00135-16

708 Weisz JB, Massaro AJ, Ramsby BD, Hill MS (2010) Zooxanthellar symbionts shape host sponge
709 trophic status through translocation of carbon. Biol Bull 219:189–197

710 Xu L, Zhou P, Wu YH, Xu J, Wu Y, Xu XW (2019) Insight into adaptation mechanisms of
711 marine bacterioplankton from comparative genomic analysis of the genus *Pseudohongiella*.
712 Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr 167:62–69

713 Yellowlees D, Rees TA V., Leggat W (2008) Metabolic interactions between algal symbionts
714 and invertebrate hosts. Plant, Cell Environ 31:679–694

715

716

717

718 **Figure legends**

719 Figure 1. Taxonomic composition of bacterial communities in *Cliona varians*, *Cliona delitrix*,
720 *Cliona tumula*, *Cervicornia cuspidifera*, *Sphaciospongia vesparium* and surrounding seawater
721 from Looe Key offshore reef and a Summerland Key inshore reef.

722

723 Figure 2. Nonmetric multi-dimensional scaling plot of microbial community structure from
724 replicate individuals of *Cliona varians* (orange), *Sphaciospongia vesparium* (dark blue), *Cliona*
725 *delitrix* (red), *Cliona tumula* (maroon) and surrounding seawater (light blue) from Looe Key
726 (black circles) and Summerland Key (gray circles). Stress value for two-dimensional ordination
727 is shown.

728

729 Figure 3. Bubble charts of sponge core OTUs (defined at >0.1% mean relative abundance) of
730 *Cliona varians* - *Sphaciospongia vesparium* (A), and *Cliona delitrix* - *Cliona tumula* (B) among
731 habitats. OTU relative abundances are represented by the size of the bubbles (key on the top of
732 each chart; notice the different scales). Asterisks represent the species-specific core microbiome.
733 OTUs shared by the four species are shown in bold. The smallest taxonomical level for each
734 OTU is also shown. Location key: Looe Key reef (Offshore), Summerland Key reef (Inshore).

735 We also show with a green cross those OTUs from core seawater communities.

736

737 Figure 4. Cytoscape network of the 35 'core' OTUs (present in all replicates and >0.1%
738 abundance) from *Cliona varians* (Cvar), *Cliona tumula* (Ctum), *Cliona delitrix* (Cdel) or
739 *Sphaciospongia vesparium* (Sves). Four other OTUs that differed between inshore and offshore
740 reefs in *C. varians* are also shown. Some OTUs are restricted to specific species whereas others

741 are shared among two, three or the four species analyzed. ‘Core’ OTUs shared by the four
742 species are indicated using bold circle margins. Gray and light gray circle margins indicate
743 OTUs present in *C. varians* from either offshore or inshore reefs. OTU numbers are shown.
744 Node colors represent the OTU phylum or Proteobacteria class and the edge intensity indicates
745 OTU relative abundance. ‘Rare’ edges (with mean relative abundances <0.1%) were discarded.
746
747

Peer-reviewed