International Journal on

**Advanced Science** Engineering **Information Technology**  Vol. 2 (2012) No. 5 ISSN: 2088-5334

# New Properties for Certain Generalized Ces´aro Integral Operator

Aisha Ahmed Amer, Maslina Darus

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600 Selangor D. Ehsan, Malaysia E-mail: eamer\_80@yahoo.com, maslina@ukm.my* 

*Abstract***— In this work, we obtain the order of convexity of the integral operator which is a generalization to Ces´aro integral operator. Furthermore, some other properties of the integral operator by using the concept of the norm and pre-Schwarzian derivatives are obtained.** 

*Keywords***— Analytic function; pre-Shwarzian derivatives; Ces´aro integral operator; starlike function; convex function.** 

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The Ces´aro operator C acts formally on the power

series 0  $(z) = \sum a_k(f) z^k$ *k*  $f(z) = \sum a_k(f)z$  $\infty$  $=\sum_{k=0}a_k(f)z^k$  as

$$
C[f](z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{1-t} dt
$$
 (1.1)

the classical Ces´aro means play an important role in geometric function theory (see [2], [3],[4],[5]).

Let *H* denote the class of all analytic functions in the open unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  of complex plane.

Let *A* denote the class of functions

 $f \in H$  normalized by  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$ .

Also, let *S* denote the class of all univalent functions in  $A$ .

A function *f* belonging to *A* is said to be starlike of order  $\alpha$  in *U* if it satisfies

$$
f \in S^*(\alpha) \iff \Re \{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\} > \alpha, \quad (z \in U),
$$

for some  $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ 

Further, a function *f* belonging to *A* is said to be convex in *U* if it satisfies

$$
f \in K(\alpha) \iff \Re\left\{\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1\right\} > \alpha, \quad (z \in U),
$$
  
for some  $\alpha(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ .

A function *f* belonging to *A* is said to be the class  $R(\alpha)$  iff

$$
\Re \{f'(z)\} > \alpha, \quad (z \in U),
$$

for some  $\alpha(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ .

Very recently, Frasin and Jahangiri [6] defined the family  $B(\mu, \alpha)$ , for some ( $\mu \ge 0, 0 \le \alpha < 1$ ), so that it consists of functions  $f \in A$  satisfying the condition

$$
\left| f'(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\mu} - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha \quad , \quad (z \in U) \tag{1.2}
$$

The family  $B(\mu, \alpha)$  is a comprehensive class of analytic functions which includes various new classes of analytic univalent functions as well as some very well known ones. For example,

 $B(1, \alpha) = S^*(\alpha)$ , and  $B(0, \alpha) = R(\alpha)$ .

Another interesting subclass is the special case  $B(2, \alpha) = B(\alpha)$ , which has been introduced by Frasin and Darus [7] .

Let  $f: U \rightarrow C$  be analytic and locally univalent. The pre-Schwarzian derivative

(or nonlinearity)  $T_f$  to f is defined by

$$
T_f = \frac{f''}{f'} \quad .
$$

Also, with respect to the Hornich operation, the quantity

$$
||T_f|| = \sup_{z \in U} (1 - |z|^2) |T_f|,
$$

can be regarded as a norm on the space of uniformly locally univalent analytic functions  $f \in U$ .

It is known that  $T_f < \infty$  if and only if f is uniformly locally univalent.

It is well-known that from Becker's univalence criterion [8]: every analytic function *f* in *U* with  $||T_f|| \le 1$  is in fact univalent in *U* . Conversely,

## $\|T_f\| \leq 6$  holds if f univalent.

Consider the general integral operator defined by the formula:

$$
C[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z) =
$$
  

$$
\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \left(\frac{f_1(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_1}} \dots \left(\frac{f_m(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_m}} dt, (z \in U - \{0\}) , (1.3),
$$

where  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \forall i = 1, ..., m$ , and the functions  $f_i(z)$  are in  $B(\mu, \alpha)$ . It is clear that when  $\beta_1 = 1$  and  $\beta_i = 0$ , j = 2, ..., m the integral operator (1.3) reduces to Ces´aro integral operator (1.1).

In this paper we will study some general properties for function

$$
zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z) =
$$
  

$$
\int_{0}^{z} \left(\frac{f_1(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_1}} \dots \left(\frac{f_m(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_m}} dt, (z \in U - \{0\}) .
$$

For the purpose this work, we shall make use of the following lemmas. Lemma 1.1 [1]

Let the analytic function  $f$  be regular in the disk with  $f(0) = 0$ . If  $|f(z)| \le 1$ , for all  $(z \in U)$  then

 $|f(z)| \leq |z|, \quad (z \in U).$ 

The equality can hold only if  $f(z) = \varepsilon z$ ,

where  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ .

Lemma 1.2 Let the analytic and locally univalent *f* in *U* . Then

(*i*) If  $\|\mathbf{T}_f\| \leq 1$ , then *f* is univalent, and (*ii*) If  $\|T_f\| \leq 2$ , then *f* is bounded.

The part (i) is due to Becker [8] and sharpness of the constant 1 is due to Becker and Pommerenke [9]. The part (ii) is obvious (see [10], Corollary 2.4). Note also that, recently, Kari and Per Hag [12] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for  $f \in S$  to have a John disk as the image in terms of the preSchwarzian derivative of *f* .

Also, the norm estimates for typical subclasses of univalent functions are investigated by many authors . See for example ([10], and so on).

Lemma 1.3 [11]

Let  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $f \in S$ .

(i) If *f* is starlike of order  $\alpha$ , then  $\|\mathbf{T}_f\| \leq 6-4\alpha$ , and (*ii*) If  $f$  is convex of order  $\alpha$ , *then* 

 $\left\|T_f\right\| \leq 4(1-\alpha).$ 

The constants are sharp.

II. MAIN RESULTS

*Theorem 2.1*  Let  $f_i \in A$ , be in the class  $B(\mu, \alpha)$ ,  $\mu \ge 0$ ,  $0 \le \alpha$ for all  $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ . If  $| f_i(z) | \le M, 0 \le |z| < \frac{1}{2}, (M \ge 1, z \in U),$ then  $zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z) =$ 1 1 1  $(1)^{l}$ ,  $\beta_{l}$ ,  $(3)^{m}$   $(l)$ ,  $\beta_{m}$ . 0  $\left(\frac{f_1(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\overline{\beta_1}}...\left(\frac{f_m(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\overline{\beta_m}} dt$ ,  $\int_{0}^{z} f_1(t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_1}} \int_{0}^{z} f_m(t) \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_m}}$  $t \sim 1-t$  $\int_{0}^{t_1} (\frac{J_1(t)}{1-t})^{\beta_1} ... (\frac{J_m(t)}{1-t})^{\beta}$ 

is convex of order δ,

where

$$
\delta = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\beta i|} ((2 - \alpha)M^{\mu - 1} + 1),
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\beta i|} ((2 - \alpha)M^{\mu - 1} + 1) < 1, \ \beta_i \in C - \{0\},
$$
\n
$$
\text{For all } i = 1, 2, \dots, m.
$$

Proof:

From the definition of the operator  $(1.3)$ , we have

$$
zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{f_i(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_i}} dt ,
$$

For 
$$
f_i \in B(\mu, \alpha)
$$
. It is easy to see that

$$
(zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z))
$$
  
= 
$$
\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{f_i(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_i}}.
$$
 (2.1)

Differentiating both sides of (2.1) logarithmically, we obtain

$$
\frac{(zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z))^{n}}{(zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z))^{n}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\beta_i} \left( \frac{f_i^{'}(z)}{f_i(z)} + \frac{1}{1-z} \right) ,
$$

which readily shows that 
$$
\Big| z(zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z))'
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left| \left( zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z) \right)'\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\beta_i|} \left| \frac{zf_i'(z)}{f_i(z)} \right| + \left| \frac{z}{1-z} \right|, \\
&= & \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\beta_i|} \left( \left| f_i'(z) \left( \frac{z}{f_i(z)} \right) \mu \right| \left| \left( \frac{f_i(z)}{z} \right) \mu^{-1} \right| + \left| \frac{z}{1-z} \right| \right). (2.2)\n\end{aligned}
$$

Since  $|f_i(z)| \le M, (z \in U, i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}),$ applying the Schwarz lemma, we obtain

$$
\left| \frac{f_i(z)}{z} \right| \le M, (z \in U, i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}).
$$
  
Therefore, from (2.2), we obtain  

$$
\left| \frac{z(zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z))''}{(zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z))'} \right| \le
$$
  

$$
\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\beta_i|} \left( \int f_i'(z) \left( \frac{z}{f_i(z)} \right)^{\mu} \left| M^{\mu-1} + 1 \right. \right). \tag{2.3}
$$
  
From (2.3) and (1.2), we see that  

$$
\left| \frac{z(zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z))''}{(zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z))'} \right|
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\beta_i|} (\left| \int_i ' (z) (\frac{z}{f_i(z)})^{\mu} - 1 \right| + 1) M^{\mu-1} + 1)
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\beta_i|} ( (2-\alpha) M^{\mu-1} + 1) \leq 1 - \delta.
$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2 Let  $f_i \in A$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ . Suppose that  $2 C [f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}$  (z ) is locally univalent in U.  $\overline{r}$ <sup>n</sup> ii  $\overline{z}$ ]

(1) If 
$$
||T_{f_i}|| + 2 \le |\beta_i|
$$
. (2.4)  
Then

 $zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z)$ , is univalent in U.

(2) If  $\left| \left| T_{f_i} \right| + 2 \right| \leq 2 \left| \beta_i \right|$ . (2.5) Then

 $zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z)$ , is univalent in U. Proof:

Since 
$$
\left\| T_{zC[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m}(z)} \right\| =
$$
  
\n
$$
\sup_{z \in U} (1 - |z|^2) \left| T_{zC[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m}(z)} \right|.
$$
 We obtain  
\n
$$
\left\| T_{zC[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m}(z)} \right\|
$$
\n
$$
= \sup_{z \in U} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{z(zC[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m}(z))}{(zC[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m}(z))} \right|.
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sup_{z \in U} (1 - |z|^2) \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\beta_i|} \left| \frac{z f_i'(z)}{f_i(z)} \right| + \left| \frac{z}{1 - z} \right|
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\beta_i|} \Big[ \Big\| T_{f_i} \Big\| + 2 \Big] .
$$

From (2.4),and applying Lemma 1.2 we get

$$
\left\|T_{zC[f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_m]_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\ldots,\beta_m}(z)}\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\beta_i|} \Big[ \left\|T_{f_i}\right\| + 2 \Big] \leq 1.
$$
  
Then  $T_{zC[f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_m]_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\ldots,\beta_m}(z)}$  is univalent in U.  
Also, from (2.5), and applying Lemma 1.2, we get  

$$
\left\|T_{zC[f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_m]_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\ldots,\beta_m}(z)}\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\beta_i|} \Big[ \left\|T_{f_i}\right\| + 2 \Big] \leq 2.
$$

Then  $T_{zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z)}$  is bounded in U. Theorem 2.3 Let  $f_i \in S$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . (1) If  $f_i$  are starlike of order  $\alpha_i$ , then  $\left| \sum_{i=1}^m |f_1, f_2, ..., f_m|_{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m}(z) \right| \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\beta_i|} (1 - \alpha_i).$  $zC[f_1, f_2, ..., f_m]_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m}(z)] \geq 4 \sum_{i} \sqrt{1 - u_i}$ *i*  $\left\|T_{zC[f_1,f_2,...,f_m]_{\beta_1,\beta_2,...,\beta_m}(z)}\right\| \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\beta_i|} (1-\alpha)^{i-1}$ 

(2) If  $f_i$  are convex of order  $\alpha_i$ , then

$$
\left\|T_{zC[f_1,f_2,...,f_m]_{\beta_1,\beta_2,...,\beta_m}(z)}\right\| \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\beta_i|}(3-2\alpha_i).
$$

Proof: The results follow from (2.6) and by using Lemma 1.3. Corollary 2.1

Let  $f_i \in S$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ .

(1) If  $f_i$  are starlike of order  $\alpha$ , then

$$
\left\|T_{zC[f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_m]_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\ldots,\beta_m}(z)}\right\| \leq 4(1-\alpha)\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\beta_i|}.
$$

(2) If  $f_i$  are convex of order  $\alpha$ , then

$$
\left\|T_{zC[J_1,f_2,...,f_m]_{\beta_1,\beta_2,...,\beta_m}(z)}\right\| \leq 2(3-2\alpha)\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\left|\beta_i\right|}\;.
$$

Corollary 2. Let  $f_1 \in S$ .

(1) If  $f_1$  are starlike of order  $\alpha$ , then

$$
\left\|T_{zC[f_1]_{\beta_1}(z)}\right\| \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{|\beta_1|}.
$$

(2) If 
$$
f_1
$$
 are convex of order  $\alpha$ , then  

$$
\left\|T_{zC[f_1]_{\beta_1}(z)}\right\| \leq \frac{2(3-2\alpha)}{|\beta_1|}.
$$

#### III. CONCLUSIONS

We conclude this study with some suggestions for future research; one direction is to obtain the order of convexity of the integral operator. Another direction would be studying other properties of the integral operator.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work presented here was partially supported by UKM-ST-06-FRGS0244-2010.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Z. Nehari, Conformal Mapping, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1952; Reprinted by Dover Publications Incorporated, New York, 1975.
- [2] S. Ruscheweyh, Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory, University of Montereal Press (1982).
- [3] S. Ruscheweyh, Geometric properties of Ces'aro means, Results Math, 22 (1992), 739-748.
- [4] S. Ruscheweyh, L. Salinas, Subordination by Ces´aro means, Complex ,Var. Theory Appl, 21 (1993), 279-285.
- [5] M. Darus, R.W. Ibrahim, On Ces´aro means for Fox-Wright functions, J. Math. and Stat, 4, 3 (2008), 156-160.
- [6] B. A. Frasin, J. Jahangiri, A new and comprehensive class of analytic functions,Anal. Univ. Ordea Fasc. Math, XV(2008), 59-62.
- [7] B. A. Frasin, M. Darus, On certain analytic univalent functions, Internat.J. Math. and Math. Sci, 25(5)(2001), 305-310.
- [8] J. Becker, Lownersche diferentialgleichung und quasikonform Fortstzbare schlichte funktionen,J. Reine Angew, Math, 255(1972), 23-43.
- [9] J. Becker and Ch. Pommerenke, Schlichtheit-skriteriend und Jordangebiete J. Reine Angew, Math, 356 (1984), 74-94.
- [10] Y. C. Kim and T. Sugawa, Growth and coeficient estimates for Uniformly locally univalent functions on the unit disk, Rocky Mountain J. Math, 32 (2002), 179-200.
- [11] S. Yamashita, Norm estimates for functions starlike or convex of order ,Hokkaido. Math. J , 28 (1999) 217-230.
- [12] Kari and Per Hag, John disks and the pre-schwarzian derivative, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A. I. Math, 26 (2001), 205-224.